

LAWS3005: Intellectual Property Law

View Online



*Case C-337/95 Parfums Christian Dior SA v Evora BV [1997] ECR I-1603, (1997).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I19C708B0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

*Case C-292/00 Davidoff & Cie SA, Zino Davidoff SA v Gofkid Ltd [2003] ECR I 389, [2002] ETMR 99, (2002).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I94E230C0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

AG v Guardian Newspapers [1990] AC 109. (1990a).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JT8-8WR0-TXD8-61KW&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

AG v Guardian Newspapers [1990] AC 109. (1990b).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JT8-8WR0-TXD8-61KW&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

AG v Guardian Newspapers [1990] AC 109. (1990c).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JT8-8WR0-TXD8-61KW&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

AG v Guardian Newspapers [1990] AC 109. (1990d).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JT8-8WR0-TXD8-61KW&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Alexandra Sims. (2005). 'A shift in the centre of gravity': the dangers of protecting privacy through breach of confidence. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*, 1, 27-51.
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I84951700E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Andreas Rahmatian. (2005). Music and creativity as perceived by copyright law. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*, 267-293.
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/IBD9BC3D0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Andrew Griffiths. (2001). The impact of the global appreciation approach on the boundaries of trade mark protection. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*, 326–360.
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I80DC7BD0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Andrew Griffiths. (2007a). The trade mark monopoly: an analysis of the core zone of absolute protection under Art.5(1)(a). *Intellectual Property Quarterly*, 3, 312–349.
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I943CD4A0407811DCBD0B8974948FEEE1&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Andrew Griffiths. (2007b). The trade mark monopoly: an analysis of the core zone of absolute protection under Art.5(1)(a). *Intellectual Property Quarterly*, 3, 312–349.
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I943CD4A0407811DCBD0B8974948FEEE1&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Bainbridge, David I. (2012). *Intellectual property* (9th ed). Pearson.

Colgate-Palmolive BV v Koninklijke Distilleerderijen Erven Lucas Bols NV (1976) 7 IIC 420 (CLAERYN/KLAREIN), (1979).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I89876421E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Bently, Lionel & Sherman, Brad. (2009a). *Intellectual property law* (3rd ed). Oxford University Press.

Bently, Lionel & Sherman, Brad. (2009b). *Intellectual property law* (3rd ed). Oxford University Press.

Bently, Lionel & Sherman, Brad. (2009c). *Intellectual property law* (3rd ed). Oxford University Press.

Bently, Lionel & Sherman, Brad. (2009d). *Intellectual property law* (3rd ed). Oxford University Press.

Bently, Lionel & Sherman, Brad. (2009e). *Intellectual property law* (3rd ed). Oxford University Press.

Bently, Lionel & Sherman, Brad. (2009f). *Intellectual property law* (3rd ed). Oxford University Press.

Bently, Lionel & Sherman, Brad. (2009g). *Intellectual property law* (3rd ed). Oxford University Press.

Bently, Lionel & Sherman, Brad. (2009h). *Intellectual property law* (3rd ed). Oxford University Press.

Bently, Lionel & Sherman, Brad. (2009i). Intellectual property law (3rd ed). Oxford University Press.

Bently, Lionel & Sherman, Brad. (2009j). Intellectual property law (3rd ed). Oxford University Press.

Bently, Lionel & Sherman, Brad. (2009k). Intellectual property law (3rd ed). Oxford University Press.

Bergquist, J., & Curley, D. (2008). Shape trade marks and fast-moving consumer goods. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 17–24.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I4605FB80A38411DCA386F3C91B230F0D/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Case C-48/05 Adam Opel v Autec [2007] ETMR 33. (2007a).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IDB584410131811DCBED6E2488C9C88D7&crumb-action=reset>

Case C-48/05 Adam Opel v Autec [2007] ETMR 33. (2007b).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IDB584410131811DCBED6E2488C9C88D7&crumb-action=reset>

Case C-59/08 Copad SA v Christian Dior Couture [2009] FSR 859 (22). (2009a).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE642516054A011DE99E188287EC57E09/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Case C-59/08 Copad SA v Christian Dior Couture [2009] FSR 859 (22). (2009b).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE642516054A011DE99E188287EC57E09/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

*Case C-252/07 Intel Corporation Inc v CPM United Kingdom Ltd [2009] ETMR 13. (2009).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IE1F1D190EF4B11DDABD59220C1484B66&crumb-action=reset>

*Case C-291/00 LTJ Diffusion v Sadas Vertbaudet, [2003] ECR I-2799, [2003] ETMR 83, (2003).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE810E610E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

*Case C-291/00 LTJ Diffusion v Sadas Vertbaudet, [2003] ECR I-2799, [2003] ETMR 83, (2003).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE810E610E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Case C-324/09 L'Oréal v eBay International [2011] RPC 27. (2011a).

<http://indiancaselaws.wordpress.com/2013/09/14/loreal-sa-v-ebay-international-ag-c-32409/>

Case C-324/09 L'Oréal v eBay International [2011] RPC 27. (2011b).

<http://indiancaselaws.wordpress.com/2013/09/14/loreal-sa-v-ebay-international-ag-c-32409/>

Case T-387/06 Inter-Ikea Systems BV v OHIM (IDEA/IKEA) [2009] ETMR 17. (2009).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IE1F37F40EF4B11DDABD59220C1484B66&crumb-action=reset>

Chancery Division. (1985). *Lawson v Dundas*.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4PN7-RW10-TXX5-50FC&csi=316560&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

**Noah v Shuba* [1991] FSR 14, (1991).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I0D783F20E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Waterman (Pete) Ltd v CBS United Kingdom Ltd [1993] EMLR 27, (1993).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I1E2EA890E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

De Maudsley v Palumbo [1996] FSR 447, (1996).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I95BB52B0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Creation Records v News Group Newspapers [1997] EMLR 444, (1997).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I8FF0A060E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

**Robin Ray v Classic FM* [1998] FSR 622, (1998).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I848D79E0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Hadley v Kemp [1999] EMLR 589, (1999).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IB88DD011E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Antiquesportfolio.Com Plc v. Rodney Fitch & Company Limited [2001] ECDR 5, (2001).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I5E91C580E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Irvine v Talksport Ltd [2002] 1 WLR 2355, (2002).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICAC470E1E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Irvine v Talksport Ltd [2002] 1 WLR 2355, (2002).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICAC470E1E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Brighton v Jones [2005] FSR 16, (2005).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7A77F3F0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Julius Sämann Ltd v Tetrosyl Ltd [2006] EWHC 529, (2006).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID12EBE91E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Nova Productions Ltd v. Mazooma Games Ltd [2006] RPC 14, (2006).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I114796A0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Nova Productions Ltd v. Mazooma Games Ltd [2006] RPC 14, (2006).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I114796A0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

RxWorks Ltd v Hunter [2007] EWHC 3061, (2007).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I93AF3FC0BDAD11DCAF01C913343759EA&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Glaxo Group Ltd v Dowelhurst Ltd (No 2) [2000] FSR 529, (2000).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAF9E1000E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Christie, Andrew & Gare, Stephen. (2012). Blackstone's statutes on intellectual property: Vol. Blackstone's statutes (11th ed). Oxford University Press.

Christophe Geiger. (2007). Trade marks and freedom of expression - the proportionality of criticism. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 38(3), 317–327.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I2BE7DFB030C111DC94868C93E4A893F7/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Christopher Wadlow. (2011). Passing off at the crossroads again: a review article for Hazel Carty, *An Analysis of the Economic Torts*. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 33(7), 447–455.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I4CF8A4E0881111E0B370896DBAF0B922&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Claire Howell. (2006). Trade marks: what constitutes 'genuine use'? *Laboratoires Goemar SA v La Mer Technology*. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 28(2), 118–121.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICF32C710E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988. (1988).

<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1988/48/contents>

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, & Aplin, Tanya Frances. (2013a). *Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights* (8th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, & Aplin, Tanya Frances. (2013b). *Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights* (8th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, & Aplin, Tanya Frances. (2013c). *Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights* (8th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, & Aplin, Tanya Frances. (2013d). *Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights* (8th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, & Aplin, Tanya Frances. (2013e). *Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights* (8th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, & Aplin, Tanya Frances. (2013f). *Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights* (8th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, & Aplin, Tanya Frances. (2013g). *Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights* (8th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, & Aplin, Tanya Frances. (2013h). *Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights* (8th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, & Aplin, Tanya Frances. (2013i). *Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights* (8th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, & Aplin, Tanya Frances. (2013j). Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights (8th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, & Aplin, Tanya Frances. (2013k). Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights (8th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Court: Chancery Division. (1961). *Bollinger v Costa Brava Wine Co Ltd* [1961] RPC 116.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FGP0-TWW4-2113&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Court: Chancery Division. (1969a). **Coco v AN Clark (Engineers) Ltd* [1968] FSR 415, [1969] RPC 41.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FDF0-TWW4-205K&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Court: Chancery Division. (1969b). **Coco v AN Clark (Engineers) Ltd* [1968] FSR 415, [1969] RPC 41.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FDF0-TWW4-205K&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Court: Chancery Division. (1969c). **Coco v AN Clark (Engineers) Ltd* [1968] FSR 415, [1969] RPC 41.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FDF0-TWW4-205K&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Court: Chancery Division. (1969d). *Vine Products Ltd v Mackenzie & Co Ltd* [1969] RPC 1.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXN-BPC0-TWW4-219M&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Court: Chancery Division. (1974). *British Northrop Ltd v Texteam Blackburn Ltd* [1974] RPC 57.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FDW0-TWW4-20KN&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Court: Court of Appeal. (1952). *Stevenson (or Stephenson) Jordan and Harrison Ltd v MacDonald and Evans* (1952) 1 TLR 101.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXM-YJ40-TWW4-20NH&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Court: Court of Appeal. (1967a). *Seager v Copydex Ltd* [1967] 2 All ER 415, [1967] 1 WLR 923.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FDF0-TWW4-204J&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Court: Court of Appeal. (1967b). *Seager v Copydex Ltd* [1967] 2 All ER 415, [1967] 1 WLR 923.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FDF0-TWW4-204J&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Court: Court of Appeal. (1984). *Anheuser-Busch Inc v Budejovicky Budvar Narodni Podnik, Budweiser Case* [1984] FSR 413.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXV-YY50-TWW4-21H2>

&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t

Court: Court of Appeal. (2007). *L'Oreal SA v Bellure NV [2007] EWCA Civ 968, [2008] RPC 196.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4PWD-GRH0-TWW4-21F9&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Court: Court of First Instance, EC. (2005). Les Editions Albert Rene v OHIM (Case T-336/03) [2005] ECR II-4667.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4HF5-CB60-TWW4-215W&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Court: English court pre-dating November 1874. (1849a). Albert (Prince) v Strange (1849) 18 LJ Ch 120, 1 H & Tw 1.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FDY0-TWW4-20YP&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Court: English court pre-dating November 1874. (1849b). Albert (Prince) v Strange (1849) 18 LJ Ch 120, 1 H & Tw 1.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FDY0-TWW4-20YP&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Court: European Court of Justice. (1999a). Lloyd Schuhfabrik Meyer & Co GmbH v Klijsen Handel BV: C-342/97 [1999] ECR I-3819, [1999] All ER (EC) 587.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXR-N2K0-TWW4-2006&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Court: European Court of Justice. (1999b). Lloyd Schuhfabrik Meyer & Co GmbH v Klijsen Handel BV: C-342/97 [1999] ECR I-3819, [1999] All ER (EC) 587.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXR-N2K0-TWW4-2006&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Court: European Court of Justice. (1999c). Lloyd Schuhfabrik Meyer & Co GmbH v Klijsen Handel BV: C-342/97 [1999] ECR I-3819, [1999] All ER (EC) 587.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXR-N2K0-TWW4-2006&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Court: European Court of Justice. (1999d). Lloyd Schuhfabrik Meyer & Co GmbH v Klijsen Handel BV: C-342/97 [1999] ECR I-3819, [1999] All ER (EC) 587.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXR-N2K0-TWW4-2006&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Court: European Court of Justice. (2003). *Linde AG, Winward Industries Inc & Rado Uhren AG v Deutsches Patentund Markenamt (Cases C-53/01, 54/01 & 55/01) [2003] ECR-I 3161, [2003] RPC 803.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXV-47S0-TWW4-200W&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Court: House of Lords. (1901). IRC v Muller & Co's Margarine Ltd [1901] AC 217.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXV-M7D0-TWW4-20B3&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Court: House of Lords. (1911). *Edge (William) & Sons Ltd v William Niccolls & Sons Ltd* [1911] AC 693.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FG30-TWW4-21GN&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Court: House of Lords. (1915). **Spalding (A.G.) & Bros v A.W. Gamage Ltd and Benetfink & Co Ltd* (1915) 32 RPC 273 (HL).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXR-6310-TWW4-20NS&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Court: House of Lords. (2004a). **Campbell v Mirror Group Newspapers Ltd* [2004] UK HL 22, [2004] 2 AC 457.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXM-JR30-TWW4-21G6&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Court: House of Lords. (2004b). **Campbell v Mirror Group Newspapers Ltd* [2004] UK HL 22, [2004] 2 AC 457.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXM-JR30-TWW4-21G6&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Court: House of Lords. (2004c). **Campbell v Mirror Group Newspapers Ltd* [2004] UK HL 22, [2004] 2 AC 457.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXM-JR30-TWW4-21G6&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Woodward v Hutchins [1977] 2 All ER 751; [1977] 1 WLR 760, (1977).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I09982950E42911DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Exxon Corp v Exxon Insurance Consultants International Ltd [1982] Ch 119, (1982).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA4F75120E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). (1984). *Lion Laboratories Ltd v Evans* [1984] 2 All ER 417.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IE12C1131E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset>

**Faccenda Chicken Ltd v Fowler* [1987] Ch 117, (1987).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA52E8DC1E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Kaye v Robertson [1991] FSR 62, (1991).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID1CFE090E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

hib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth

Taittinger SA v Allbev Ltd [1993] FSR 641, (1993).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IC7A6E7C0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Taittinger SA v Allbev Ltd [1993] FSR 641, (1993).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IC7A6E7C0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Taittinger SA v Allbev Ltd [1993] FSR 641, (1993).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IC7A6E7C0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Harrods v Harrodian School [1996] RPC 697, (1996).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IBAF3FAA0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Harrods v Harrodian School [1996] RPC 697, (1996).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IBAF3FAA0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

ZYX Music GmbH v King [1995] 3 All ER 1, [1997] 2 All ER 129, (1997).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I124B7CA0E42911DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

*Norowzian v Arks Ltd (No 2) [1999] FSR 79, [2000] FSR 363 (CA), (2000).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I108E7FD0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

*Norowzian v Arks Ltd (No 2) [1999] FSR 79, [2000] FSR 363 (CA), (2000).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I108E7FD0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Douglas v Hello! Ltd (No.1) QB 967, [2001] 2 WLR 992, (2001).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9A3457B1E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Arsenal Football Club plc v Reed [2003] ETMR 73 (CA), (2003).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I676D8860E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Beckingham v Hodgens [2003] ECDR 6 (Ch D); [2003] EMLR 18 (CA), (2003).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I72DD8AB0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Reed Executive Plc v Reed Business Information Ltd [2004] ETMR 56, (2004).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I8510B3A0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Douglas v Hello! Ltd [2005] 4 All ER 128; [2005] 3 WLR 881, (2005).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9A3764F0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Griggs Group Ltd v Evans [2005] FSR 31, (2005).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I2E831BE1E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Sawkins v Hyperion Records [2005] RPC 32; [2005] 1 WLR 3281, (2005).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I98427D51E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Sawkins v Hyperion Records [2005] RPC 32; [2005] 1 WLR 3281, (2005).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I98427D51E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Baigent & Leigh v Random House [2007] EWCA Civ 247, [2007] FSR 24, (2007).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I372331B0DDA411DB89E08052F2CA7868&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Mastercigars Direct Ltd v Hunters and Frankau [2007] ETMR 54, (2007).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I925173E0163D11DCA571A55D57CB3653&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Nova Productions Ltd v. Mazooma Games Ltd [2007] RPC 25, (2007).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I0B2036B0D2AC11DB97F6EEA8CBB93415&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Nova Productions Ltd v. Mazooma Games Ltd [2007] RPC 25 (CA), (2007).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I0B2036B0D2AC11DB97F6EEA8CBB93415&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward [2008] EWCA Civ 83, [2008] ETMR 36, (2008).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA56805E0E10B11DC9179F6B281EA371D&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

HRH Prince of Wales v Associated Newspapers Ltd [2008] Ch 57, [2007] 3 WLR 222, (2008).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA4EFF380924111DB8D3DDAA0606E23F1&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). (2009). *Murray v Express Newspapers Plc [2009] Ch 481.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IF9C8BCC01CBA11DDB566FF76D66A7C56/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Diageo v Intercontinental Brands [2010] ETMR 57, (2010).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IACA34D609C3411DF92A7D3B03F532893&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Hotel Cipriani Srl v Cipriani (Grosvenor Street) Ltd [2010] RPC 16, (2010).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9139A39021C211DFA41BF0B6F8159676&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Hotel Cipriani Srl v Cipriani (Grosvenor Street) Ltd [2010] RPC 16, (2010).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9139A39021C211DFA41BF0B6F8159676&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

L'Oréal SA v Bellure NV [2010] ETMR 47 (Court of Appeal), (2010).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I0CE81031654811DFADCD9988CD311A96/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). (2011). Donald v Ntuli [2011] 1 WLR 294.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IF83A68B0F1E011DF8DDEF4C61C812980/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Eden SARL v Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) [2006] (T-305/04) ETMR 14, (2006).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9F9A2DB1E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

John Haig & Co Ltd v Forth Blending Co Ltd (1953) 70 RPC 259, (1953).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICD7279E0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

David Booton. (2011). The informal acquisition of copyright. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*, 1, 28-49.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID6711520345311E0BC47AA7F74E9E5E3&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Davis, J. (2005). *The Need to Leave Free for Others to Use and the Trade Mark Common*. In *Trade mark use*. Oxford University Press.

Douglas and Zeta Jones v Hello! Ltd [2005] 4 All ER 128. (2005).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4H7S-JMY0-TWP1-605P&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Dowie-Whybrow, M. (2013a). *Core statutes on intellectual property: Vol. Palgrave Macmillan core statutes (Fourth edition)*. Palgrave Macmillan.

Dowie-Whybrow, M. (2013b). *Core statutes on intellectual property: Vol. Palgrave Macmillan core statutes (Fourth edition)*. Palgrave Macmillan.

Dyson Ltd v Registrar of Trade Marks (Case C-321/03) - [2007] ETMR 34. (2007a).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=55Y7-JST1-DYBP-N4FG&csi=274665&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Dyson Ltd v Registrar of Trade Marks (Case C-321/03) - [2007] ETMR 34. (2007b).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=55Y7-JST1-DYBP-N4FG&csi=274665&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

*Erven Warnink vs Townend [1979] A.C. 731. (1979a).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K4W-PD40-TXD8-60FB&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

*Erven Warnink vs Townend [1979] A.C. 731. (1979b).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K4W-PD40-TXD8-60FB&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

*Erven Warnink vs Townend [1979] A.C. 731. (1979c).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K4W-PD40-TXD8-60FB&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Estelle Derclaye. (2010). Infopaq International A/S v Danske Dagblades Forening (C-5/08): wonderful or worrisome? The impact of the ECJ ruling in Infopaq on UK copyright law. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 247–251.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IB72704A030AC11DF9C83BB18AACF6BDB/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

European Court of Human Rights. (2004a). *Von Hannover v Germany (59320/00) (2004) 40 EHRR 1.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE52AC120003611DBB3E7976425AFED86/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

European Court of Human Rights. (2004b). *Von Hannover v Germany (59320/00) (2004) 40 EHRR 1.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE52AC120003611DBB3E7976425AFED86/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Mosley v United Kingdom [2012] EMLR 1, (2011).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IB01A71007C4711E09FE9952F1280B01E&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Axel Springer v Germany [2012] EMLR 15, (2012).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IC1FFA770881A11E1B306BD6814F5898C&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Von Hannover v Germany (No 2) [2012] EMLR 16, (2012).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I0F55C7C0881B11E1B306BD6814F5898C&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Case 8/74 Procureur du Roi v Dassonville [1974] ECR 837 at 852, [1974] 2 CMLR 436, (1974).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I2937F9D0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Cases C-15 & 16/74 Centrafarm v Sterling Drug, Centrafarm v Winthrop [1974] ECR 1147, 1183, [1974] 2 CMLR 480, (1974).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I839F8CE0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

ib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth

Case C-317/91 Deutsche Renault AG v Audi AG [1993] ECR I-6227, [1995] 1 CMLR 461, (1995).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I98789DF1E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Case C-427/93 Bristol-Myers Squibb v Paranova [1996] ECR I-3457, [1997] 1 CMLR 1151, (1997).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7A9F5210E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Case C-427/93 Bristol-Myers Squibb v Paranova [1996] ECR I-3457, [1997] 1 CMLR 1151, (1997).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7A9F5210E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Case C-251/95 SABEL v Puma [1997] ECR I-6191, [1998] ETMR 1, (1998).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I97093A00E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Case C-251/95 SABEL v Puma [1997] ECR I-6191, [1998] ETMR 1, (1998).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I97093A00E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Case C-251/95 SABEL v Puma [1997] ECR I-6191, [1998] ETMR 1, (1998).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I97093A00E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Case C-355/96 Silhouette International Schmied GmbH v Hartlauer Handelsgesellschaft mbH [1998] ECR I-4799, [1998] 2 CMLR 953, (1998).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA8607750E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507,

[1999] ETMR 1, (1999).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I82095C80E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1, (1999).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I82095C80E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1, (1999).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I82095C80E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1, (1999).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I82095C80E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1, (1999).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I82095C80E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Case C-63/97 BMW v Deenik [1999] ECR I-905, [1999] 1 CMLR 1099, (1999).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I708BF991E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Windsurfing Chiemsee Produktions und Vertriebs GmbH v Boots und Segelzubehor Walter Huber (Cases C-108/97 and 109/97) [1999] ECR I-2779, [2000] 2 WLR 205, (1999).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I08B1E800E42911DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Windsurfing Chiemsee Produktions und Vertriebs GmbH v Boots und Segelzubehor Walter Huber (Cases C-108/97 and 109/97) [1999] ECR I-2779, [2000] 2 WLR 205, (1999).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I08B1E800E42911DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

*Windsurfing Chiemsee Produktions und Vertriebs GmbH v Boots und Segelzubehor Walter Huber (Cases C-108/97 and 109/97) [1999] ECR I-2779, [2000] 2 WLR 205, (1999).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I08B1E800E42911DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Case C-375/97 General Motors v Yplon [2000] RPC 572, (2000).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IADFD5850E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Case C-379/97 Pharmacia & Upjohn SA v Paranova A/S ("Paranova II") [1999] ECR I-6927, [2000] 1 CMLR 51, (2000).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I1E835840E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Procter & Gamble Company v OHIM (BABY DRY) (Case C-383/99 P) [2001] ECR I-6251, [2002] Ch 82, (2001).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I291D6CF0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Case C-2/00 Hölterhoff v Ulrich Freiesleben [2002] ETMR 917, (2002).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IC3117690E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Case C-143/00 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer I") [2002] ECR I-3759, [2002] All ER (EC) 581, (2002).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7643E730E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Case C-414/99 Zino Davidoff SA v A&G Imports Ltd [2001] ECR I-8691, [2002] Ch 109, [2002] 1 CMLR 1, (2002).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I123387D0E42911DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

*Case C-414/99 Zino Davidoff SA v A&G Imports Ltd [2001] ECR I-8691, [2002] Ch 109, [2002] 1 CMLR 1, (2002).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I123387D0E42911DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

*Koninklijke Philips v Remington (Case C-299/99) [2002] ECR I-5475, [2002] ETMR 81, (2002).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shib>

boleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID535C7E0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth

*Koninklijke Philips v Remington (Case C-299/99) [2002] ECR I-5475, [2002] ETMR 81, (2002).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID535C7E0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Philips Electronics NV v Remington Consumer Products Ltd (Case C-299/99) [2002] ECR I-5475, [2002] All ER (EC) 634, [2002] 2 CMLR 1329, (2002).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID535C7E0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Sieckmann v Deutsches Patent- und Markenamt (C-273/00) [2002] ECR I-11737; [2003] ETMR 37, (2002).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA8480D50E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Sieckmann v Deutsches Patent- und Markenamt (C-273/00) [2002] ECR I-11737; [2003] ETMR 37, (2002).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA8480D50E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Sieckmann v Deutsches Patent- und Markenamt (C-273/00) [2002] ECR I-11737; [2003] ETMR 37, (2002).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA8480D50E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Case C-40/01 Ansul BV and Ajax Brandbeveiliging BV (Minimax) [2003] ECR I-2439, [2003] ETMR 85, (2003).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I5E814AC0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Case C-206/01 Arsenal Football Club plc v Reed, [2002] ECR I-10273, [2003] ETMR 19 (ECJ), (2003).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I676B8C90E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*OHIM v Wm Wrigley Junior Co (DOUBLEMINT) (Case C-191/01 P) [2004] RPC 327, [2004] 1 WLR 1728, (2004).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I1233F270E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*OHIM v Wm Wrigley Junior Co (DOUBLEMINT) (Case C-191/01 P) [2004] RPC 327, [2004] 1 WLR 1728, (2004).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I1233F270E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Case C-16/03 Peak Holding v Axolin-Elinor [2004] ECR I-11313, [2005] Ch 261, [2005] 2 WLR 650, (2005).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I1AF74B50E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Case C-173/98 Sebago Inc and Ancienne Maison Dubois v GB Unic SA [1999] ETMR 681, (1999).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9F7990E1E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Case C-100/02 Gerolsteiner & Brunnen GmbH & Co. v Putsch GmbH [2004] ETMR 40, (2004).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAE5EB230E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Case C-100/02 Gerolsteiner & Brunnen GmbH & Co. v Putsch GmbH [2004] ETMR 40, (2004).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAE5EB230E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Case C-533/06 O2 Holdings Ltd v Hutchison 3G UK Ltd [2008] ETMR 55, (2008).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I341987E03CF711DDA8E4E8EFC9CB01FD&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Case C-487/07 L'Oréal SA v Bellure NV [2009] ECR I-5185; [2010] RPC 1; [2009] ETMR 55 (ECJ), (2009).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I8F8A7AF0652B11DE983DB30BB4733E30&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Case C-487/07 L'Oréal SA v Bellure NV [2009] ECR I-5185; [2010] RPC 1; [2009] ETMR 55

(ECJ), (2009).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I8F8A7AF0652B11DE983DB30BB4733E30&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Case C-487/07 L'Oréal SA v Bellure NV [2009] ECR I-5185; [2010] RPC 1; [2009] ETMR 55 (ECJ), (2009).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I8F8A7AF0652B11DE983DB30BB4733E30&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Case C-323/09 Interflora v Marks & Spencer plc [2012] ETMR 1, (2012).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAF651490EAF111E0A275A3ECCA23837C&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Case C-323/09 Interflora v Marks & Spencer plc [2012] ETMR 1, (2012).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAF651490EAF111E0A275A3ECCA23837C&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Case C-17/06 Céline v Céline SA [2007] ECR I-7041, [2007] ETMR 80, (2007).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9A9597108F5111DC9C26E9F078BBCACB&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Case C-17/06 Céline v Céline SA [2007] ECR I-7041, [2007] ETMR 80, (2007).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9A9597108F5111DC9C26E9F078BBCACB&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Case C-17/06 Céline v Céline SA [2007] ECR I-7041, [2007] ETMR 80, (2007).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9A9597108F5111DC9C26E9F078BBCACB&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Lego Juris v OHIM (Case C-48/09 P) [2010] ETMR 63, (2010).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IACB54990F69B11DFB99CA99461512FB4&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Case C-324/09 L'Oreal SA v eBay International AG [2011] ETMR 53, (2011).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IACB54990F69B11DFB99CA99461512FB4&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

n=reset&docguid=I7F3F9920B27F11E0818793785D117705&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth

SAT.1 SatellitenFernsehen GmbH v OHIM (Case C-329/02) (SAT.2), [2005] 1 CMLR 1546, (2005).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I980E9C10E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

SAT.1 SatellitenFernsehen GmbH v OHIM (Case C-329/02) (SAT.2), [2005] 1 CMLR 1546, (2005).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I980E9C10E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

SAT.1 SatellitenFernsehen GmbH v OHIM (Case C-329/02) (SAT.2), [2005] 1 CMLR 1546, (2005).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I980E9C10E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

SAT.1 SatellitenFernsehen GmbH v OHIM (Case C-329/02) (SAT.2), [2005] 1 CMLR 1546, (2005).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I980E9C10E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II"), [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52, (2007).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID3E9D8F035AB11DCB9EEC1DD635D0C90&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II"), [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52, (2007).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID3E9D8F035AB11DCB9EEC1DD635D0C90&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II"), [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52, (2007).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID3E9D8F035AB11DCB9EEC1DD635D0C90&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II"), [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52, (2007).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID3E9D8F035AB11DCB9EEC1DD635D0C90&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II"), [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52, (2007).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID3E9D8F035AB11DCB9EEC1DD635D0C90&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Case C-408/01 Adidas-Salomon AG & Adidas Benelux BV v Fitnessworld Trading Ltd [2004] ETMR 10, (2004).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I5343F131E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Case C-408/01 Adidas-Salomon AG & Adidas Benelux BV v Fitnessworld Trading Ltd [2004] ETMR 10, (2004).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I5343F131E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Procter & Gamble v OHIM (Cases C-473/01 P and C-474/01 P) [2004] ETMR 89, (2004).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I291ECC80E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Shield Mark BV v Joost Kist H.O.D.N. Memex (Case C-283/01) [2004] ETMR 33, (2004).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA7E1AA60E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Case C-259/02 La Mer Technology Inc v Laboratoires Goemar SA [2004] ETMR 47, (2004).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID645B140E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Case 228/03 Gillette Company v LA-Laboratories Ltd Case [2005] ETMR 67, (2005).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAEF59B00E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Case 228/03 Gillette Company v LA-Laboratories Ltd Case [2005] ETMR 67, (2005).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAEF59B00E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Case C-246/05 Armin Häupl v Lidl Stiftung & Co KG [2007] ETMR 61, (2007).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I853010504BAC11DC869CF358B7B5BFD4&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Case C-145/10 Eva-Maria Painer v Standard Verlags GmbH, [2012] ECDR 6, (2012).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IB8007600633511E19B1EDEC6B79D5EA&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Case C-145/10 Eva-Maria Painer v Standard Verlags GmbH, [2012] ECDR 6, (2012).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IB8007600633511E19B1EDEC6B79D5EA&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Express Newspapers plc v News (UK) Ltd and others - [1990] 3 All ER 376. (1990a).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-49F0-TWP1-6012&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Express Newspapers plc v News (UK) Ltd and others - [1990] 3 All ER 376. (1990b).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-49F0-TWP1-6012&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Fhima, I. S. (2011). The Court of Justice's protection of the advertising function of trade marks: an (almost) sceptical analysis. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*, 6(5), 325–329. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jiplp/jpr004>

Fysh, Michael, Roughton, Ashley, Johnson, Phillip, & Cook, Trevor M. (2010). *The modern law of patents* (2nd ed). LexisNexis.

Gangjee, D., & Burrell, R. (n.d.). Because You're Worth It: L'Oreal and the Prohibition on Free Riding. *Modern Law Review*, 73(2), 282–295.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2230.2010.00794.x>

Garnett, K. M., Davies, Gillian, Harbottle, Gwilym, Copinger, Walter Arthur, & Skone James, E. P. (2011). *Copinger and Skone James on copyright: Vol. Intellectual property library* (16th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Gavin Phillipson. (2003). Transforming breach of confidence? Towards a common law right of privacy under the Human Rights Act. *Modern Law Review*, 66(5), 726–758.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAB06B7B0E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Gill Grassie. (2006a). Parallel imports and trade marks - where are we? Part 1. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 28(9), 474–479.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I8FFA6D10298311DB9C38979DE63AE30C&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Gill Grassie. (2006b). Parallel imports and trade marks: Part 2: the repackaging cases. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 28(10), 513–516.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IB3C89E9042A811DBBF32AB60305756BD&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Google France SARL and another v Louis Vuitton Malletier SA Google France SARL v Viaticum SA and another Google France SARL v Centre national de recherche en relations humaines (CNRRH) SARL and others - [2010] All ER (D) 23 (Apr). (2010a).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7Y4Y-47W0-Y96Y-H1TV&csi=274665&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Google France SARL and another v Louis Vuitton Malletier SA Google France SARL v Viaticum SA and another Google France SARL v Centre national de recherche en relations humaines (CNRRH) SARL and others - [2010] All ER (D) 23 (Apr). (2010b).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7Y4Y-47W0-Y96Y-H1TV&csi=274665&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Arsenal v Reed [2003] RPC 39, (2003).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I676D8860E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Helberger, N., Dufft, N., Van Gompel, S., & Bernt Hugenholtz, P. (2008). Never forever: why extending the term of protection for sound recordings is a bad idea. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 30(5), 174–181.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IBD3B9C10003511DDA46EB425E5C11227&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Helen Norman. (2004a). Time to blow the whistle on trade mark use? *Intellectual Property Quarterly*, 1–34.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I80E07370E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Helen Norman. (2004b). Time to blow the whistle on trade mark use? *Intellectual Property Quarterly*, 1–34.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I80E07370E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Honestly, neither Celine nor Gillette is defensible! (2008). *European Intellectual Property Review*, 30(7), 286–293.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I734626B0286811DD8EF9F64D79DCEEA7&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

**Reddaway v Banham* [1896] AC 199, (1896).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I84E3FE50E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Ladbroke (Football) Ltd v William Hill (Football) Ltd [1964] 1 WLR 273, (1964).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID65CE2C1E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Ladbroke (Football) Ltd v William Hill (Football) Ltd [1964] 1 WLR 273, (1964).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID65CE2C1E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

George Hensher Ltd v Restawile Upholstery (Lancs) Ltd [1976] AC 64, (1976).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAE3160A0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

**Reckitt & Colman v Borden* [1990] RPC 341; [1990] 1 WLR 491, (1990).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I84D5CD80E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

**Reckitt & Colman v Borden* [1990] RPC 341; [1990] 1 WLR 491, (1990).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I84D5CD80E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Newspaper Licensing Agency v Marks & Spencer Plc [2001] Ch 257 (CA); [2003] 1 AC 551 (HL), (2003).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I0CD54860E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Wainwright v Home Office (AC 406), (2003).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shib>

<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IEF2EAFD0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

*R v Johnstone [2004] ETMR 2, (2004).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I50612720E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

S (A Child) (Identification: Restrictions on Publication) [2005] 1 AC 593, (2005).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I915A7420E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

OBG Ltd v Allan [2008] 1 AC 1, HL, (2008).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I35E233B0F92311DB9045877B5F5EF663&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Fisher v Brooker [2007] EMLR 9; [2007] FSR 12 (Ch D); [2008] EMLR 13 (CA); [2009] 1 WLR 1764 (HL), (2009).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IC84829907D7D11DE8013EC861A6B9FF1&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Ilanah Simon. (2005). How does 'essential function' doctrine drive European mark trade law? *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 401-420.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I2F701380E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Ilanah Simon. (2007). Nominative use and honest practices in industrial and commercial matters - a very European history. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*, 117-147.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I7237CE70FF5311DB890AD2939FCE442A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

*Infopaq International v Danske Dagblades Forening (Case C-5/08), (2009).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IEE144860B3B611DE8E61D7238152E802/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Intellectual Property Office. (1994a). Trade Marks Act 1994.

<http://www.ipo.gov.uk/pro-types/pro-tm/t-law.htm>

Intellectual Property Office. (1994b). Trade Marks Act 1994.

<http://www.ipo.gov.uk/pro-types/pro-tm/t-law.htm>

Intellectual Property Office. (1994c). Trade Marks Act 1994.

<http://www.ipo.gov.uk/pro-types/pro-tm/t-law.htm>

- Irini A. Stamatoudi. (2000). 'Joy' for the claimant: can a film also be protected as a dramatic work? *Intellectual Property Quarterly*, 117-126.
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I7FA49810E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>
- Jacob, Robin, Alexander, Daniel, & Fisher, Matthew. (2013a). *Guidebook to intellectual property* (6th ed). Hart.
- Jacob, Robin, Alexander, Daniel, & Fisher, Matthew. (2013b). *Guidebook to intellectual property* (6th ed). Hart.
- Jennifer Davis. (2010). Why the United Kingdom should have a law against misappropriation. *Cambridge Law Journal*, 69(3), 561-581.
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IE598DCA20CB111E0AFBDF1383E1E3727&crumb-action=reset>
- Jeremy Phillips. (2005). Trade mark law and the need to keep free. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 36(4), 389-401.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I2F74CE71E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>
- Jochen Pagenberg. (2004). Trade dress and the three dimensional mark - the neglected children of trade mark law? *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 35(7), 831-843.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I2F83C290E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>
- Joshi, R., & Isaac, B. (2005). What does identical mean? *European Intellectual Property Review*, 27(5), 184-187.
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICF4A94D0E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>
- Keeling, David T. (2003). *Intellectual property rights in EU law: Vol. 1: Free movement and competition law: Vol. Oxford EC law library*. Oxford University Press.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198259183.001.0001>
- Kitchin, David, Kerly, Duncan Mackenzie, & Jacob, Robin. (2005). *Kerly's law of trade marks and trade names: Vol. Intellectual property library* (14th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.
- Koninklijke Philips Electronics NV v Remington Consumer Products Ltd (Case C-299/99) - [2003] Ch 159. (2002).
http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T19962953981&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T19962953983&backKey=20_T19962953984&csi=296988&docNo=10&scrollToPosition=1710
- Laddie, Hugh. (2000). *The modern law of copyright and designs*. Butterworths.

Laddie, Justice. (1996). Copyright: over-strength, over-regulated, over-rated? *European Intellectual Property Review*, 18(5), 253–260.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID04F5AA0E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Liakatou, V., & Maniatis, S. (2010). Lego - building a European concept of functionality. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 32(12), 653–656.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IB6FD09E1EED711DFB0EED922B45E4A88&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Libertel Groep BV v Benelux-Merkenbureau (Case C-104/01) - [2004] Ch 83. (2004a).

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkId=true&ersKey=23_T19962934462&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T19962934467&backKey=20_T19962934468&csi=296988&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=114

*Libertel Groep BV v Benelux-Merkenbureau (Case C-104/01) - [2004] Ch 83. (2004b).

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkId=true&ersKey=23_T19962934462&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T19962934467&backKey=20_T19962934468&csi=296988&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=114

*Libertel v Benelux Merkenbureau (Case C-104/01) [2003] ECR I-3793 [2003] ETMR 63. (2003).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IE0B97940E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset>

M. Senftlebel. (2011). Bringing EU Trademark Law Back Into Shape – Lessons to Learn from Keyword Advertising (Brussels, Belgium). 6th Annual Conference of the EPIP Association: Fine-Tuning IPR Debates (8 September 2011).

<http://www.epip.eu/conferences/epip06/papers/Parallel%20Session%20Papers/>

Marca Mode CV v Adidas AG and Adidas Benelux (Case C-425/98), (2000).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IEE0DCBF0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Merchandising Corporation of America v Harpbond [1983] FSR 32. (1983).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IF91A2A70E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Merz & Krell (Case C-517/99) [2001] ECR I-6959. (2001).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IF9600D10E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset>

Metix (UK) Ltd v G.H. Maughan (Plastics) Ltd [1997] FSR 718, (1997).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IF98129A0E42711DA8FC2A0F03>

55337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true

Module Outline & Reading for TERM 1. (n.d.).

Morcom, Christopher. (2005). *The modern law of trade marks* (2nd ed). LexisNexis Butterworths.

Mothercare v Penguin Books [1988] R.P.C. 113. (1988).
<http://rpc.oxfordjournals.org/content/105/6/113.short?rss=1&ssource=mfr>

N.A. Moreham. (2005). Privacy in the common law: a doctrinal and theoretical analysis. *Law Quarterly Review*, 121(Oct), 628-656.
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICC8D72D0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Newspaper Licensing Agency Ltd v Meltwater Holding BV [2012] RPC 1. (2012a).
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IE9F6C360B8A611E08E89E51884D3FC3D&crumb-action=reset>

*Newspaper Licensing Agency Ltd v Meltwater Holding BV [2012] RPC 1. (2012b).
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IE9F6C360B8A611E08E89E51884D3FC3D&crumb-action=reset>

Nigel P. Gravells. (2007). Authorship and originality: the persistent influence of *Walter v Lane*. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*, 3, 267-293.
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9439A050407811DCBD0B8974948FEEE1&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Zanella SNC's Community Trade Mark Application (B.42053) [2000] ETMR 69, (2000).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I120C29B0E42911DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

*Temple Island Collections Ltd v New English Teas Ltd [2012] FSR 9, (2012).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID00513403D7F11E18561D2A3A042DC41&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Redd Solicitors LLP v Red Legal Ltd [2012] EWPC 54, [2013] ETMR 13, (2013).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I4ADB2B204A5111E2AFC5ADE6B0249198&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Patricia Loughlan. (2005). Descriptive trade marks, fair use and consumer confusion. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 27(12), 443-445.
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shib>

boleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICF386C60E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth

Phillips, J. (n.d.). Strong trade marks and the likelihood of confusion in European law. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*, 1(6), 385–397.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/jiplp/jpl038>

Phillips, Jeremy. (2003a). *Trade mark law: a practical anatomy*. Oxford University Press.

Phillips, Jeremy. (2003b). *Trade mark law: a practical anatomy*. Oxford University Press.

Pila, J. (n.d.). Copyright and Its Categories of Original Works. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, 30(2), 229–254. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/gqq009>

Cadbury Schweppes Pty Ltd v Pub Squash Co Pty Ltd [1981] 1 WLR 193 (PC), (1981).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I806103B0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Interlego AG v Tyco Industries Inc [1989] AC 217, (1989).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICA553451E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Mosley v News Group Newspapers Ltd [2008] EMLR 20, (2008).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID55150E05EC411DDAB7DC9767090C799&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Mosley v News Group Newspapers Ltd [2008] EWHC 1777 (QB), [2008] EMLR 20, (2008).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID55150E05EC411DDAB7DC9767090C799&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Queen's Bench Division. (2012). *Spelman v Express Newspapers [2012] EWHC 355.
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I0CBAF4D06D9111E1945FEE25069F94B3&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Rachael Mulheron. (2006). A potential framework for privacy? A reply to Hello! *Modern Law Review*, 69(5), 679–713.
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I2211DAB03F8411DBBD6EEC2A69B1B2FF/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Rebecca Baines. (2005). Copyright in commissioned works: a cause for uncertainty.

European Intellectual Property Review, 27(3), 122–123.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICF37D020E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Richard Arnold. (2001). Joy: a reply. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*, 10–21.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I7FA50D40E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Richard Arnold. (2005). Copyright in photographs: a case for reform. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 27(9), 303–305.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICF37F730E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Richard Arnold. (2007). Confidence in exclusives: *Douglas v Hello!* in the House of Lords. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 29(8), 339–343.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I5257ADB12B5311DCA0A5F0FD76367280&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Ricketson, S. (1984). Reaping without sowing: Unfair Competition and Intellectual Property Rights in Anglo-Australian Law. *University of New South Wales Law Journal*, 7(1).

<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=journals%2Fswales&collection=journals>

Roughton, A. (2005). Permitted Infringing Use: the Scope of Defences to an Infringement Action. In *Trade mark use*. Oxford University Press.

Schechter, F. (1927). The Rational Basis of Trade Mark Protection. *Harvard Law Review*, 40.

<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=journals%2Fhlr&collection=journals>

Silberquelle GmbH v Maselli-Strickmode GmbH (C-495/07), (2009).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IED6BA7D01B5111DEAFD6ED60DC0DB1FC/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Silver, I., & Lee, P. (2007). Protecting your Rights – Copyright in Computer Games: *Nova Productions and Mazooma Games Ltd*. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 29(6), 251–255.

Spence, M. (2002). Justifying Copyright. In *Dear images: art, copyright and culture* (pp. 389–403). Ridinghouse, ICA.

Spence, Michael. (2007a). *Intellectual property: Vol. Clarendon law series*. Oxford University Press.

Spence, Michael. (2007b). *Intellectual property: Vol. Clarendon law series*. Oxford University Press.

Stothers, Christopher. (2007). Parallel trade in Europe: intellectual property, competition and regulatory law. Hart.

*Lucasfilms v Ainsworth [2009] FSR 2, (2009).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I0C305000B84211E09CEF84D8174DB20E&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

*Lucasfilms v Ainsworth [2009] FSR 2, (2009).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I0C305000B84211E09CEF84D8174DB20E&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Tania S.L. Cheng. (2006). Does copyright law confer a monopoly over unpreserved cows? European Intellectual Property Review, 276–281.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICF290310E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Tanya Aplin. (2007). The development of the action for breach of confidence in a post-HRA era. Intellectual Property Quarterly, 19.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I22D01BE0A82211DB895EE0FA6D085F91&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Terrell, Thomas & Thorley, Simon. (2006). Terrell on the law of patents (16th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Torremans, Paul & Holyoak, Jon. (2013). Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law (7th ed). Oxford University Press.

Trade Marks Directive 2008. (2008a). <http://www.wipo.int/wipolex/en/details.jsp?id=5206>

Trade Marks Directive 2008. (2008b). <http://www.wipo.int/wipolex/en/details.jsp?id=5206>

Re Ghazilian's Trade Mark Application [2002] RPC 33, (2002).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAE7CC180E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Re Basic Trademark SA's Trade Mark Application [2005] RPC 25, (2005).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I701AE840E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

Dennis Woodman v French Connection [2007] RPC 1, (2007).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAC0ACD2032B511DB8591EC6659BE7CBE&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

hib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth

University of London Press v University Tutorial Press [1916] 2 Ch 601. (1916a).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE619B480E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

University of London Press v University Tutorial Press [1916] 2 Ch 601. (1916b).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE619B480E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

University of London Press v University Tutorial Press [1916] 2 Ch 601. (1916c).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE619B480E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Wadlow, Christopher. (2004). *The law of passing-off: unfair competition by misrepresentation: Vol. Intellectual property library* (3rd ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Waelde, Charlotte. (2014). *Contemporary intellectual property: law and policy* (3rd ed). Oxford University Press.

Walter v Lane [1900] AC 539. (1900a).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXM-YK00-TWW4-2116&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Walter v Lane [1900] AC 539. (1900b).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXM-YK00-TWW4-2116&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Zemer, L. (n.d.). Contribution and collaboration in joint authorship: too many misconceptions. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*, 1(4), 283-292.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/jiplp/jpl005>