

# LAWS3005: Intellectual Property Law

View Online



---

1

Module Outline & Reading for TERM 1.

2

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. 8th ed. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2013.

3

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. 3rd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2009.

4

Christie, Andrew, Gare, Stephen. Blackstone's statutes on intellectual property. 11th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2012.

5

Dowie-Whybrow M. Core statutes on intellectual property. Fourth edition. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2013.

6

Jacob, Robin, Alexander, Daniel, Fisher, Matthew. Guidebook to intellectual property. 6th ed. Oxford: : Hart 2013.

7

Spence, Michael. Intellectual property. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2007.

8

Waelde, Charlotte. Contemporary intellectual property: law and policy. 3rd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2014.

9

Bainbridge, David I. Intellectual property. 9th ed. Harlow: : Pearson 2012.

10

Torremans, Paul, Holyoak, Jon. Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law. 7th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2013.

11

Terrell, Thomas, Thorley, Simon. Terrell on the law of patents. 16th ed. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2006.

12

Fysh, Michael, Roughton, Ashley, Johnson, Phillip, et al. The modern law of patents. 2nd ed. London: : LexisNexis 2010.

13

Kitchin, David, Kerly, Duncan Mackenzie, Jacob, Robin. Kerly's law of trade marks and trade names. 14th ed. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2005.

14

Morcom, Christopher. The modern law of trade marks. 2nd ed. London: : LexisNexis

Butterworths 2005.

15

Garnett, K. M., Davies, Gillian, Harbottle, Gwilym, et al. Copinger and Skone James on copyright. 16th ed. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2011.

16

Laddie, Hugh. The modern law of copyright and designs. London: : Butterworths 2000.

17

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. 8th ed. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2013.

18

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. 3rd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2009.

19

Jacob, Robin, Alexander, Daniel, Fisher, Matthew. Guidebook to intellectual property. 6th ed. Oxford: : Hart 2013.

20

Spence, Michael. Intellectual property. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2007.

21

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. 8th ed. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2013.

22

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. 3rd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2009.

23

Richard Arnold. Confidence in exclusives: Douglas v Hello! in the House of Lords. *European Intellectual Property Review* 2007;**29**:339–43. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I5257ADB12B5311DCA0A5F0FD76367280&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

24

Tanya Aplin. The development of the action for breach of confidence in a post-HRA era. *Intellectual Property Quarterly* 2007;**19**. <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I22D01BE0A82211DB895EE0FA6D085F91&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

25

Gavin Phillipson. Transforming breach of confidence? Towards a common law right of privacy under the Human Rights Act. *Modern Law Review* 2003;**66**:726–58. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAB06B7B0E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

26

Rachael Mulheron. A potential framework for privacy? A reply to Hello! *Modern Law Review* 2006;**69**:679–713. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I2211DAB03F8411DBBD6EEC2A69B1B2FF/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

27

Alexandra Sims. 'A shift in the centre of gravity': the dangers of protecting privacy through breach of confidence. *Intellectual Property Quarterly* 2005;**1**:27–51. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac>

uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I84951700E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth

28

N.A. Moreham. Privacy in the common law: a doctrinal and theoretical analysis. *Law Quarterly Review* 2005; **121**:628-56. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICC8D72D0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

29

Court: English court pre-dating November 1874. *Albert (Prince) v Strange* (1849) 18 LJ Ch 120, 1 H & Tw 1. 1849. <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FDY0-TWW4-20YP&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

30

Court: Court of Appeal. *Seager v Copydex Ltd* [1967] 2 All ER 415, [1967] 1 WLR 923. 1967. <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FDF0-TWW4-204J&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

31

Court: House of Lords. *\*Campbell v Mirror Group Newspapers Ltd* [2004] UK HL 22, [2004] 2 AC 457. 2004. <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXM-JR30-TWW4-21G6&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

32

Court: Chancery Division. *\*Coco v AN Clark (Engineers) Ltd* [1968] FSR 415, [1969] RPC 41. 1969. <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FDF0-TWW4-205K&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

33

Court: Court of Appeal. Seager v Copydex Ltd [1967] 2 All ER 415, [1967] 1 WLR 923. 1967.

[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FDF0-TWW4-204\]&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FDF0-TWW4-204]&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t)

34

Court: Chancery Division. \*Coco v AN Clark (Engineers) Ltd [1968] FSR 415, [1969] RPC 41. 1969.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FDF0-TWW4-205K&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

35

AG v Guardian Newspapers [1990] AC 109. 1990.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JT8-8WR0-TXD8-61KW&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

36

Queen's Bench Division. Mosley v News Group Newspapers Ltd [2008] EMLR 20. 2008.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID55150E05EC411DDAB7DC9767090C799&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

37

Chancery Division. De Maudsley v Palumbo [1996] FSR 447. 1996.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I95BB52B0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

38

Court: English court pre-dating November 1874. Albert (Prince) v Strange (1849) 18 LJ Ch 120, 1 H & Tw 1. 1849.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FDY0-TWW4-20YP&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

39

AG v Guardian Newspapers [1990] AC 109. 1990.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JT8-8WR0-TXD8-61KW&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

40

Court: Chancery Division. \*Coco v AN Clark (Engineers) Ltd [1968] FSR 415, [1969] RPC 41. 1969.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FDF0-TWW4-205K&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

41

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). \*Faccenda Chicken Ltd v Fowler [1987] Ch 117. 1987.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA52E8DC1E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

42

AG v Guardian Newspapers [1990] AC 109. 1990.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JT8-8WR0-TXD8-61KW&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

43

AG v Guardian Newspapers [1990] AC 109. 1990.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JT8-8WR0-TXD8-61KW&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

44

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Woodward v Hutchins [1977] 2 All ER 751; [1977] 1 WLR 760. 1977.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I09982950E42911DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://sh>

ib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth

45

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Douglas v Hello! Ltd* [2005] 4 All ER 128; [2005] 3 WLR 881. 2005.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9A3764F0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

46

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Lion Laboratories Ltd v Evans* [1984] 2 All ER 417. 1984.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IE12C1131E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset>

47

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Kaye v Robertson* [1991] FSR 62. 1991.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID1CFE090E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

48

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Douglas v Hello! Ltd (No.1)* QB 967, [2001] 2 WLR 992. 2001.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9A3457B1E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

49

House of Lords. *OBG Ltd v Allan* [2008] 1 AC 1, HL. 2008.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I35E233B0F92311DB9045877B5F5EF663&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>



50

House of Lords. *Wainwright v Home Office* (AC 406). 2003.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IEF2EAFD0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

51

European Court of Human Rights. *\*Von Hannover v Germany* (59320/00) (2004) 40 EHRR 1 . 2004.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE52AC120003611DBB3E7976425AFED86/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

52

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *HRH Prince of Wales v Associated Newspapers Ltd* [2008] Ch 57, [2007] 3 WLR 222. 2008.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA4EFF380924111DB8D3DDAA0606E23F1&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

53

Court: House of Lords. *\*Campbell v Mirror Group Newspapers Ltd* [2004] UK HL 22, [2004] 2 AC 457. 2004.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXM-JR30-TWW4-21G6&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

54

House of Lords. *S (A Child) (Identification: Restrictions on Publication)* [2005] 1 AC 593. 2005.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I915A7420E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

55

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). \*Murray v Express Newspapers Plc [2009] Ch 481. 2009.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IF9C8BCC01CBA11DDB566FF76D66A7C56/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

56

Queen's Bench Division. \*Spelman v Express Newspapers [2012] EWHC 355. 2012.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I0CBAF4D06D9111E1945FEE25069F94B3&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

57

European Court of Human Rights. \*Von Hannover v Germany (59320/00) (2004) 40 EHRR 1 . 2004.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE52AC120003611DBB3E7976425AFED86/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

58

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Donald v Ntuli [2011] 1 WLR 294. 2011.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IF83A68B0F1E011DF8DDEF4C61C812980/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

59

European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber). Axel Springer v Germany [2012] EMLR 15. 2012.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IC1FFA770881A11E1B306BD6814F5898C&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

60

European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber). Von Hannover v Germany (No 2)

[2012] EMLR 16. 2012.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I0F55C7C0881B11E1B306BD6814F5898C&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

61

Queen's Bench Division. \*Mosley v News Group Newspapers Ltd [2008] EWHC 1777 (QB), [2008] EMLR 20. 2008.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID55150E05EC411DDAB7DC9767090C799&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

62

European Court of Human Rights. Mosley v United Kingdom [2012] EMLR 1. 2011.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IB01A71007C4711E09FE9952F1280B01E&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

63

Court: House of Lords. \*Campbell v Mirror Group Newspapers Ltd [2004] UK HL 22, [2004] 2 AC 457. 2004.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXM-JR30-TWW4-21G6&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

64

Douglas and Zeta Jones v Hello! Ltd [2005] 4 All ER 128. 2005.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4H7S-JMY0-TWP1-605P&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

65

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. 8th ed. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2013.

66

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. 3rd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2009.

67

Wadlow, Christopher. The law of passing-off: unfair competition by misrepresentation. 3rd ed. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2004.

68

Ricketson S. Reaping without sowing: Unfair Competition and Intellectual Property Rights in Anglo-Australian Law. University of New South Wales Law Journal 1984;**7**  
. <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=journals%2Fswales&collection=journals>

69

Jennifer Davis. Why the United Kingdom should have a law against misappropriation. Cambridge Law Journal 2010;**69**  
:561-81. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IE598DCA20CB111E0AFBDF1383E1E3727&crumb-action=reset>

70

Christopher Wadlow. Passing off at the crossroads again: a review article for Hazel Carty, An Analysis of the Economic Torts. European Intellectual Property Review 2011;**33**  
:447-55. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I4CF8A4E088111E0B370896DBAF0B922&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

71

\*Erven Warnink vs Townend [1979] A.C. 731. 1979.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K4W-PD40-TXD8-60FB&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

72

House of Lords. \*Reckitt & Colman v Borden [1990] RPC 341; [1990] 1 WLR 491. 1990.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I84D5CD80E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

73

Court: House of Lords. IRC v Muller & Co's Margarine Ltd [1901] AC 217. 1901.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXV-M7D0-TWW4-20B3&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

74

\*Erven Warnink vs Townend [1979] A.C. 731. 1979.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K4W-PD40-TXD8-60FB&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

75

House of Lords. \*Reddaway v Banham [1896] AC 199. 1896.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I84E3FE50E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

76

Court: House of Lords. Edge (William) & Sons Ltd v William Niccolls & Sons Ltd [1911] AC 693. 1911.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FG30-TWW4-21GN&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

77

Court of Session (Outer House). John Haig & Co Ltd v Forth Blending Co Ltd (1953) 70 RPC 259. 1953.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICD7279E0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

78

House of Lords. \*Reckitt & Colman v Borden [1990] RPC 341; [1990] 1 WLR 491. 1990.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I84D5CD80E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

79

Privy Council. Cadbury Schweppes Pty Ltd v Pub Squash Co Pty Ltd [1981] 1 WLR 193 (PC). 1981.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I806103B0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

80

Court: Chancery Division. Bollinger v Costa Brava Wine Co Ltd [1961] RPC 116. 1961.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FGP0-TWW4-2113&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

81

Court: Chancery Division. Vine Products Ltd v Mackenzie & Co Ltd [1969] RPC 1. 1969.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXN-BPC0-TWW4-219M&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

82

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Diageo v Intercontinental Brands [2010] ETMR 57. 2010.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IACA34D609C3411DF92A7D3B03F532893&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

83

Court: Court of Appeal. Anheuser-Busch Inc v Budejovicky Budvar Narodni Podnik,

Budweiser Case [1984] FSR 413. 1984.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXV-YY50-TWW4-21H2&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

84

Chancery Division. Waterman (Pete) Ltd v CBS United Kingdom Ltd [1993] EMLR 27. 1993.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I1E2EA890E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

85

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Hotel Cipriani Srl v Cipriani (Grosvenor Street) Ltd [2010] RPC 16. 2010.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9139A39021C211DFA41BF0B6F8159676&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

86

Court: House of Lords. \*Spalding (A.G.) & Bros v A.W. Gamage Ltd and Benetfink & Co Ltd (1915) 32 RPC 273 (HL). 1915.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXR-6310-TWW4-20NS&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

87

Chancery Division. \*Irvine v Talksport Ltd [2002] 1 WLR 2355. 2002.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICAC470E1E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

88

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Taittinger SA v Allbev Ltd [1993] FSR 641. 1993.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IC7A6E7C0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

89

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Harrods v Harrodian School* [1996] RPC 697. 1996.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IBAF3FAA0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

90

H1 Appeal from the High Court (Chancery Division). *Arsenal v Reed* [2003] RPC 39. 2003.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I676D8860E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

91

Court: Court of Appeal. \**L'Oreal SA v Bellure NV* [2007] EWCA Civ 968, [2008] RPC 196. 2007.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4PWD-GRH0-TWW4-21F9&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

92

\**Erven Warnink vs Townend* [1979] A.C. 731. 1979.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K4W-PD40-TXD8-60FB&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

93

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Taittinger SA v Allbev Ltd* [1993] FSR 641. 1993.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IC7A6E7C0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

94

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Taittinger SA v Allbev Ltd* [1993] FSR 641. 1993.



<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IC7A6E7C0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

95

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Harrods v Harrodian School* [1996] RPC 697. 1996.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IBAF3FAA0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

96

Chancery Division. *\*Irvine v Talksport Ltd* [2002] 1 WLR 2355. 2002.  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICAC470E1E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

97

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad. *Intellectual property law*. 3rd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2009.

98

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances. *Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights*. 8th ed. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2013.

99

Jochen Pagenberg. Trade dress and the three dimensional mark - the neglected children of trade mark law? *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law* 2004; **35**:831-43.  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I2F83C290E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

100

Bergquist J, Curley D. Shape trade marks and fast-moving consumer goods. *European Intellectual Property Review* 2008;:17–24. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/4605FB80A38411DCA386F3C91B230F0D/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

101

Liakatou V, Maniatis S. Lego - building a European concept of functionality. *European Intellectual Property Review* 2010;**32**:653–6. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IB6FD09E1EED711DFB0EED922B45E4A88&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

102

Jeremy Phillips. Trade mark law and the need to keep free. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law* 2005;**36**:389–401. <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I2F74CE71E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

103

Davis J. *The Need to Leave Free for Others to Use and the Trade Mark Common*. In: *Trade mark use*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2005.

104

Patricia Loughlan. Descriptive trade marks, fair use and consumer confusion. *European Intellectual Property Review* 2005;**27**:443–5. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICF386C60E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

105

Intellectual Property Office. *Trade Marks Act 1994*. 1994. <http://www.ipo.gov.uk/pro-types/pro-tm/t-law.htm>

106

Trade Marks Directive 2008. 2008.<http://www.wipo.int/wipolex/en/details.jsp?id=5206>

107

Court: European Court of Justice. Lloyd Schuhfabrik Meyer & Co GmbH v Klijsen Handel BV: C-342/97 [1999] ECR I-3819, [1999] All ER (EC) 587. 1999.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXR-N2K0-TWW4-2006&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

108

Intellectual Property Office. Trade Marks Act 1994.  
1994.<http://www.ipo.gov.uk/pro-types/pro-tm/t-law.htm>

109

Trade Marks Directive 2008. 2008.<http://www.wipo.int/wipolex/en/details.jsp?id=5206>

110

European Court of Justice. \*Sieckmann v Deutsches Patent- und Markenamt (C-273/00) [2002] ECR I-11737; [2003] ETMR 37. 2002.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA8480D50E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

111

Dyson Ltd v Registrar of Trade Marks (Case C-321/03) - [2007] ETMR 34. 2007.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=55Y7-JST1-DYBP-N4FG&csi=274665&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

112

European Court of Justice. \*Sieckmann v Deutsches Patent- und Markenamt (C-273/00)

[2002] ECR I-11737; [2003] ETMR 37. 2002.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA8480D50E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

113

European Court of Justice. \*Sieckmann v Deutsches Patent- und Markenamt (C-273/00) [2002] ECR I-11737; [2003] ETMR 37. 2002.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA8480D50E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

114

Court of First Instance. Eden SARL v Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) [2006] (T-305/04) ETMR 14. 2006.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9F9A2DB1E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

115

European Court of Justice (Sixth Chamber). Shield Mark BV v Joost Kist H.O.D.N. Memex (Case C-283/01) [2004] ETMR 33. 2004.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA7E1AA60E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

116

\*Libertel v Benelux Merkenbureau (Case C-104/01) [2003] ECR I-3793 [2003] ETMR 63. 2003.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IE0B97940E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset>

117

European Court of Justice. \*Koninklijke Philips v Remington (Case C-299/99) [2002] ECR I-5475, [2002] ETMR 81. 2002.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID535C7E0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

118

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber). SAT.1 SatellitenFernsehen GmbH v OHIM (Case C-329/02) (SAT.2), [2005] 1 CMLR 1546. 2005.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I980E9C10E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

119

\*Libertel Groep BV v Benelux-Merkenbureau (Case C-104/01) - [2004] Ch 83. 2004.

[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T19962934462&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T19962934467&backKey=20\\_T19962934468&csi=296988&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=114](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T19962934462&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T19962934467&backKey=20_T19962934468&csi=296988&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=114)

120

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber). SAT.1 SatellitenFernsehen GmbH v OHIM (Case C-329/02) (SAT.2), [2005] 1 CMLR 1546. 2005.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I980E9C10E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

121

Koninklijke Philips Electronics NV v Remington Consumer Products Ltd (Case C-299/99) - [2003] Ch 159. 2002.

[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T19962953981&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T19962953983&backKey=20\\_T19962953984&csi=296988&docNo=10&scrollToPosition=1710](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T19962953981&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T19962953983&backKey=20_T19962953984&csi=296988&docNo=10&scrollToPosition=1710)

122

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber). SAT.1 SatellitenFernsehen GmbH v OHIM (Case C-329/02) (SAT.2), [2005] 1 CMLR 1546. 2005.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I980E9C10E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

123

\*Libertel Groep BV v Benelux-Merkenbureau (Case C-104/01) - [2004] Ch 83. 2004.

[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T19962934462&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T19962934467&backKey=20\\_T19962934468&csi=296988&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=114](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T19962934462&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T19962934467&backKey=20_T19962934468&csi=296988&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=114)

124

European Court of Justice (Sixth Chamber). Procter & Gamble v OHIM (Cases C-473/01 P and C-474/01 P) [2004] ETMR 89. 2004.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I291ECC80E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

125

Court: European Court of Justice. \*Linde AG, Winward Industries Inc & Rado Uhren AG v Deutsches Patentund Markenamt (Cases C-53/01, 54/01 & 55/01) [2003] ECR-I 3161, [2003] RPC 803. 2003.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXV-4750-TWW4-200W&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

126

European Court of Justice. \*OHIM v Wm Wrigley Junior Co (DOUBLEMINT) (Case C-191/01 P) [2004] RPC 327, [2004] 1 WLR 1728. 2004.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I1233F270E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

127

European Court of Justice. Windsurfing Chiemsee Produktions und Vertriebs GmbH v Boots und Segelzubehor Walter Huber (Cases C-108/97 and 109/97) [1999] ECR I-2779, [2000] 2

WLR 205. 1999.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I08B1E800E42911DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

128

European Court of Justice. Windsurfing Chiemsee Produktions und Vertriebs GmbH v Boots und Segelzubehor Walter Huber (Cases C-108/97 and 109/97) [1999] ECR I-2779, [2000] 2 WLR 205. 1999.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I08B1E800E42911DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

129

European Court of Justice. Procter & Gamble Company v OHIM (BABY DRY) (Case C-383/99 P) [2001] ECR I-6251, [2002] Ch 82. 2001.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I291D6CF0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

130

European Court of Justice. \*OHIM v Wm Wrigley Junior Co (DOUBLEMINT) (Case C-191/01 P) [2004] RPC 327, [2004] 1 WLR 1728. 2004.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I1233F270E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

131

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber). SAT.1 SatellitenFernsehen GmbH v OHIM (Case C-329/02) (SAT.2), [2005] 1 CMLR 1546. 2005.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I980E9C10E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

132

Merz & Krell (Case C-517/99) [2001] ECR I-6959. 2001.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IF9600D10E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset>

133

European Court of Justice. \*Windsurfing Chiemsee Produktions und Vertriebs GmbH v Boots und Segelzubehor Walter Huber (Cases C-108/97 and 109/97) [1999] ECR I-2779, [2000] 2 WLR 205. 1999.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I08B1E800E42911DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

134

European Court of Justice. Philips Electronics NV v Remington Consumer Products Ltd (Case C-299/99) [2002] ECR I-5475, [2002] All ER (EC) 634, [2002] 2 CMLR 1329. 2002.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID535C7E0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

135

Dyson Ltd v Registrar of Trade Marks (Case C-321/03) - [2007] ETMR 34. 2007.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=55Y7-JST1-DYBP-N4FG&csi=274665&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

136

European Court of Justice. \*Koninklijke Philips v Remington (Case C-299/99) [2002] ECR I-5475, [2002] ETMR 81. 2002.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID535C7E0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

137

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber). \*Lego Juris v OHIM (Case C-48/09 P) [2010] ETMR 63. 2010.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID535C7E0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>



n=reset&docguid=IACB54990F69B11DFB99CA99461512FB4&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth

138

Trade Marks Registry (Appointed Person). Re Ghazilian's Trade Mark Application [2002] RPC 33. 2002.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAE7CC180E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

139

Trade Marks Registry (Appointed Person). Re Basic Trademark SA's Trade Mark Application [2005] RPC 25. 2005.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I701AE840E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

140

Trade Marks Registry (Appointed Person). Dennis Woodman v French Connection [2007] RPC 1. 2007.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAC0ACD2032B511DB8591EC6659BE7CBE&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

141

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. 8th ed. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2013.

142

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. 3rd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2009.

143

Andrew Griffiths. The impact of the global appreciation approach on the boundaries of trade mark protection. *Intellectual Property Quarterly* 2001;:326–60. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I80DC7BD0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

144

Phillips, Jeremy. *Trade mark law: a practical anatomy*. [Oxford]: : Oxford University Press 2003.

145

Joshi R, Isaac B. What does identical mean? *European Intellectual Property Review* 2005;**27**:184–7. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICF4A94D0E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

146

Phillips J. Strong trade marks and the likelihood of confusion in European law. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*;1:385–97. doi:10.1093/jiplp/jpl038

147

Schechter F. The Rational Basis of Trade Mark Protection. *Harvard Law Review* 1927;**40**. <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=journals%2Fhlr&collection=journals>

148

Gangjee D, Burrell R. Because You're Worth It: L'Oreal and the Prohibition on Free Riding. *Modern Law Review*;73:282–95. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2230.2010.00794.x

149

Court: European Court of Justice. *Lloyd Schuhfabrik Meyer & Co GmbH v Klijsen Handel BV*: C-342/97 [1999] ECR I-3819, [1999] All ER (EC) 587. 1999.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXR-N2K0-TWW4-2006&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

150

\*Case C-291/00 LTJ Diffusion v Sadas Vertbaudet, [2003] ECR I-2799, [2003] ETMR 83. 2003.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE810E610E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

151

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Reed Executive Plc v Reed Business Information Ltd [2004] ETMR 56. 2004.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I8510B3A0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

152

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-251/95 SABEL v Puma [1997] ECR I-6191, [1998] ETMR 1. 1998.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I97093A00E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

153

Court: Court of First Instance, EC. Les Editions Albert Rene v OHIM (Case T-336/03) [2005] ECR II-4667. 2005.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4HF5-CB60-TWW4-215W&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

154

Court: European Court of Justice. Lloyd Schuhfabrik Meyer & Co GmbH v Klijsen Handel BV: C-342/97 [1999] ECR I-3819, [1999] All ER (EC) 587. 1999.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXR-N2K0-TWW4-2006>

&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t

155

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1. 1999.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I82095C80E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

156

Office for Harmonization in the Internal Market (Opposition Division). Zanella SNC's Community Trade Mark Application (B.42053) [2000] ETMR 69. 2000.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I120C29B0E42911DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

157

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-251/95 SABEL v Puma [1997] ECR I-6191, [1998] ETMR 1. 1998.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I97093A00E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

158

Marca Mode CV v Adidas AG and Adidas Benelux (Case C-425/98 ). 2000.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IEE0DCBF0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

159

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1. 1999.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I82095C80E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

n=reset&docguid=I82095C80E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth

160

Court: European Court of Justice. Lloyd Schuhfabrik Meyer & Co GmbH v Klijsen Handel BV: C-342/97 [1999] ECR I-3819, [1999] All ER (EC) 587. 1999.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXR-N2K0-TWW4-2006&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

161

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-251/95 SABEL v Puma [1997] ECR I-6191, [1998] ETMR 1. 1998.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I97093A00E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

162

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1. 1999.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I82095C80E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

163

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1. 1999.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I82095C80E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

164

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1. 1999.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I82095C80E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

165

Case T-387/06 Inter-Ikea Systems BV v OHIM (IDEA/IKEA) [2009] ETMR 17. 2009.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IE1F37F40EF4B11DDABD59220C1484B66&crumb-action=reset>

166

Advocate Generals Opinion. \*Case C-292/00 Davidoff & Cie SA, Zino Davidoff SA v Gofkid Ltd [2003] ECR I 389, [2002] ETMR 99. 2002.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I94E230C0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

167

European Court of Justice (Sixth Chamber). \*Case C-408/01 Adidas-Salomon AG & Adidas Benelux BV v Fitnessworld Trading Ltd [2004] ETMR 10. 2004.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I5343F131E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

168

European Court of Justice (Sixth Chamber). \*Case C-408/01 Adidas-Salomon AG & Adidas Benelux BV v Fitnessworld Trading Ltd [2004] ETMR 10. 2004.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I5343F131E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

169

European Court of Justice. Case C-375/97 General Motors v Yplon [2000] RPC 572. 2000.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IADFD5850E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

170

Chancery Division. *Julius Sämann Ltd v Tetrosyl Ltd* [2006] EWHC 529. 2006.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID12EBE91E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

171

European Court of Justice (First Chamber). *Case C-323/09 Interflora v Marks & Spencer plc* [2012] ETMR 1. 2012.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAF651490EAF111E0A275A3ECCA23837C&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

172

\**Case C-252/07 Intel Corporation Inc v CPM United Kingdom Ltd* [2009] ETMR 13. 2009.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IE1F1D190EF4B11DDABD59220C1484B66&crumb-action=reset>

173

Benelux Court of Justice. *Colgate-Palmolive BV v Koninklijke Distilleerderijen Erven Lucas Bols NV* (1976) 7 IIC 420 (CLAERYN/KLAREIN). 1979.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I89876421E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

174

European Court of Justice (First Chamber). *Case C-487/07 L'Oréal SA v Bellure NV* [2009] ECR I-5185; [2010] RPC 1; [2009] ETMR 55 (ECJ). 2009.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=18F8A7AF0652B11DE983DB30BB4733E30&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

175

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *L'Oréal SA v Bellure NV* [2010] ETMR 47 (Court of Appeal). 2010.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I0CE81031654811DFADCD9988CD311A96/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

176

Intellectual Property Office. Trade Marks Act 1994. 1994. <http://www.ipo.gov.uk/pro-types/pro-tm/t-law.htm>

177

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances. *Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights*. 8th ed. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2013.

178

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad. *Intellectual property law*. 3rd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2009.

179

Helen Norman. Time to blow the whistle on trade mark use? *Intellectual Property Quarterly* 2004;:1-34. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I80E07370E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

180

Ilanah Simon. How does 'essential function' doctrine drive European mark trade law? *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law* 2005;:401-20. <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset>



&docguid=I2F701380E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth

181

Andrew Griffiths. The trade mark monopoly: an analysis of the core zone of absolute protection under Art.5(1)(a). *Intellectual Property Quarterly* 2007; **3**:312-49. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?cru-amb-action=reset&docguid=I943CD4A0407811DCBD0B8974948FEEE1&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

182

M. Senftlebel. Bringing EU Trademark Law Back Into Shape – Lessons to Learn from Keyword Advertising. 2011. <http://www.epip.eu/conferences/epip06/papers/Parallel%20Session%20Papers/>

183

Andrew Griffiths. The trade mark monopoly: an analysis of the core zone of absolute protection under Art.5(1)(a). *Intellectual Property Quarterly* 2007; **3**:312-49. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?cru-amb-action=reset&docguid=I943CD4A0407811DCBD0B8974948FEEE1&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

184

*Mothercare v Penguin Books* [1988] R.P.C. 113. 1988. <http://rpc.oxfordjournals.org/content/105/6/113.short?rss=1&ssource=mfr>

185

Helen Norman. Time to blow the whistle on trade mark use? *Intellectual Property Quarterly* 2004;:1-34. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I80E07370E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

186

\*Case C-291/00 LTJ Diffusion v Sadas Vertbaudet, [2003] ECR I-2799, [2003] ETMR 83. 2003.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE810E610E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

187

European Court of Justice. Case C-63/97 BMW v Deenik [1999] ECR I-905, [1999] 1 CMLR 1099. 1999.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I708BF991E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

188

European Court of Justice. Case C-2/00 Hölterhoff v Ulrich Freiesleben [2002] ETMR 917. 2002.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IC3117690E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

189

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-206/01 Arsenal Football Club plc v Reed, [2002] ECR I-10273, [2003] ETMR 19 (ECJ). 2003.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I676B8C90E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

190

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). \*Arsenal Football Club plc v Reed [2003] ETMR 73 (CA). 2003.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I676D8860E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

191

House of Lords. \*R v Johnstone [2004] ETMR 2. 2004.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I50612720E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

192

Case C-48/05 Adam Opel v Autec [2007] ETMR 33. 2007.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IDB584410131811DCBED6E2488C9C88D7&crumb-action=reset>

193

Google France SARL and another v Louis Vuitton Malletier SA Google France SARL v Viaticum SA and another Google France SARL v Centre national de recherche en relations humaines (CNRRH) SARL and others - [2010] All ER (D) 23 (Apr). 2010.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7Y4Y-47W0-Y96Y-H1TV&csi=274665&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

194

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber). Case C-17/06 Céline v Céline SA [2007] ECR I-7041, [2007] ETMR 80. 2007.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9A9597108F5111DC9C26E9F078BBCACB&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

195

Chancery Division. RxWorks Ltd v Hunter [2007] EWHC 3061. 2007.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I93AF3FC0BDAD11DCAF01C913343759EA&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

196

Google France SARL and another v Louis Vuitton Malletier SA Google France SARL v Viaticum SA and another Google France SARL v Centre national de recherche en relations humaines (CNRRH) SARL and others - [2010] All ER (D) 23 (Apr). 2010.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7Y4Y-47W0-Y96Y-H1TV&csi=274665&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

197

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber). Case C-324/09 L'Oreal SA v eBay International AG [2011] ETMR 53. 2011.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7F3F9920B27F11E0818793785D117705&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

198

European Court of Justice (First Chamber). Case C-323/09 Interflora v Marks & Spencer plc [2012] ETMR 1. 2012.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAF651490EAF111E0A275A3ECCA23837C&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

199

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. 8th ed. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2013.

200

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. 3rd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2009.

201

Roughton A. Permitted Infringing Use: the Scope of Defences to an Infringement Action. In: Trade mark use. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2005.

202

Ilanah Simon. Nominative use and honest practices in industrial and commercial matters - a very European history. *Intellectual Property Quarterly* 2007;:117-47. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I7237CE70FF5311DB890AD2939FCE442A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

203

Honestly, neither Celine nor Gillette is defensible! *European Intellectual Property Review* 2008;**30**:286-93. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?cru-amb-action=reset&docguid=I734626B0286811DD8EF9F64D79DCEEA7&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

204

Christophe Geiger. Trade marks and freedom of expression - the proportionality of criticism. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law* 2007;**38**:317-27. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I2BE7DFB030C111DC94868C93E4A893F7/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

205

Claire Howell. Trade marks: what constitutes 'genuine use'? *Laboratoires Goemar SA v La Mer Technology*. *European Intellectual Property Review* 2006;**28**:118-21. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?cru-amb-action=reset&docguid=ICF32C710E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

206

Phillips, Jeremy. *Trade mark law: a practical anatomy*. [Oxford]: : Oxford University Press 2003.

207

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber). *Case C-17/06 Céline v Céline SA* [2007] ECR I-7041, [2007] ETMR 80. 2007. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shib>

boleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9A9597108F5111DC9C26E9F078BBCACB&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth

208

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Hotel Cipriani Srl v Cipriani (Grosvenor Street) Ltd [2010] RPC 16. 2010.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9139A39021C211DFA41BF0B6F8159676&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

209

Patents County Court. Redd Solicitors LLP v Red Legal Ltd [2012] EWPC 54, [2013] ETMR 13. 2013.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I4ADB2B204A5111E2AFC5ADE6B0249198&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

210

Case C-48/05 Adam Opel v Autec [2007] ETMR 33. 2007.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IDB584410131811DCBED6E2488C9C88D7&crumb-action=reset>

211

European Court of Justice (Fifth Chamber). Case C-100/02 Gerolsteiner & Brunnen GmbH & Co. v Putsch GmbH [2004] ETMR 40. 2004.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAE5EB230E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

212

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber). \*Case 228/03 Gillette Company v LA-Laboratories Ltd Case [2005] ETMR 67. 2005.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAEF59B00E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

213

European Court of Justice (Fifth Chamber). Case C-100/02 Gerolsteiner & Brunnen GmbH & Co. v Putsch GmbH [2004] ETMR 40. 2004.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAE5EB230E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

214

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber). \*Case 228/03 Gillette Company v LA-Laboratories Ltd Case [2005] ETMR 67. 2005.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAEF59B00E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

215

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber). Case C-17/06 Céline v Céline SA [2007] ECR I-7041, [2007] ETMR 80. 2007.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9A9597108F5111DC9C26E9F078BBCACB&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

216

European Court of Justice (First Chamber). Case C-487/07 L'Oréal SA v Bellure NV [2009] ECR I-5185; [2010] RPC 1; [2009] ETMR 55 (ECJ). 2009.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I8F8A7AF0652B11DE983DB30BB4733E30&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

217

Dowie-Whybrow M. Core statutes on intellectual property. Fourth edition. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2013.

218

European Court of Justice (First Chamber). \*Case C-533/06 O2 Holdings Ltd v Hutchison 3G UK Ltd [2008] ETMR 55. 2008.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I341987E03CF711DDA8E4E8EFC9CB01FD&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

219

European Court of Justice (First Chamber). Case C-487/07 L'Oréal SA v Bellure NV [2009] ECR I-5185; [2010] RPC 1; [2009] ETMR 55 (ECJ). 2009.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I8F8A7AF0652B11DE983DB30BB4733E30&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

220

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-40/01 Ansul BV and Ajax Brandbeveiliging BV (Minimax) [2003] ECR I-2439, [2003] ETMR 85. 2003.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I5E814AC0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

221

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber). Case C-259/02 La Mer Technology Inc v Laboratoires Goemar SA [2004] ETMR 47. 2004.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID645B140E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

222

Silberquelle GmbH v Maselli-Strickmode GmbH (C-495/07). 2009.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shib>



boleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IED6BA7D01B5111DEAFD6ED60DC0DB1FC/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true

223

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber). Case C-246/05 Armin Häupl v Lidl Stiftung & Co KG [2007] ETMR 61. 2007.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I853010504BAC11DC869CF358B7B5BFD4&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

224

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. 8th ed. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2013.

225

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. 3rd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2009.

226

Stothers, Christopher. Parallel trade in Europe: intellectual property, competition and regulatory law. Oxford: : Hart 2007.

227

Gill Grassie. Parallel imports and trade marks - where are we? Part 1. European Intellectual Property Review 2006;**28**

:474-9.<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I8FFA6D10298311DB9C38979DE63AE30C&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

228

Gill Grassie. Parallel imports and trade marks: Part 2: the repackaging cases. European Intellectual Property Review 2006;**28**

:513-6. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IB3C89E9042A811DBBF32AB60305756BD&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

229

Keeling, David T. Intellectual property rights in EU law: Vol. 1: Free movement and competition law. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2003.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198259183.001.0001>

230

Fhima IS. The Court of Justice's protection of the advertising function of trade marks: an (almost) sceptical analysis. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice* 2011;**6**:325-9.  
doi:10.1093/jiplp/jpr004

231

European Court of Justice. Case 8/74 Procureur du Roi v Dassonville [1974] ECR 837 at 852, [1974] 2 CMLR 436. 1974.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I2937F9D0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

232

European Court of Justice. Cases C-15 & 16/74 Centrafarm v Sterling Drug, Centrafarm v Winthrop [1974] ECR 1147, 1183, [1974] 2 CMLR 480. 1974.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I839F8CE0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

233

European Court of Justice. Case C-317/91 Deutsche Renault AG v Audi AG [1993] ECR I-6227, [1995] 1 CMLR 461. 1995.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I98789DF1E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

234

European Court of Justice. Case C-355/96 Silhouette International Schmied GmbH v Hartlauer Handelsgesellschaft mbH [1998] ECR I-4799, [1998] 2 CMLR 953. 1998.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA8607750E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

235

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-414/99 Zino Davidoff SA v A&G Imports Ltd [2001] ECR I-8691, [2002] Ch 109, [2002] 1 CMLR 1. 2002.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I123387D0E42911DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

236

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-414/99 Zino Davidoff SA v A&G Imports Ltd [2001] ECR I-8691, [2002] Ch 109, [2002] 1 CMLR 1. 2002.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I123387D0E42911DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

237

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Mastercigars Direct Ltd v Hunters and Frankau [2007] ETMR 54. 2007.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I925173E0163D11DCA571A55D57CB3653&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

238

European Court of Justice (Fifth Chamber). Case C-173/98 Sebago Inc and Ancienne Maison Dubois v GB Unic SA [1999] ETMR 681. 1999.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9F7990E1E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

239

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-16/03 Peak Holding v Axolin-Elinor [2004] ECR I-11313, [2005] Ch 261, [2005] 2 WLR 650. 2005.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I1AF74B50E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

240

Case C-324/09 L'Oréal v eBay International [2011] RPC 27. 2011.

<http://indiancaselaws.wordpress.com/2013/09/14/loreal-sa-v-ebay-international-ag-c-32409/>

241

Case C-59/08 Copad SA v Christian Dior Couture [2009] FSR 859 (22). 2009.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE642516054A011DE99E188287EC57E09/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

242

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-427/93 Bristol-Myers Squibb v Paranova [1996] ECR I-3457, [1997] 1 CMLR 1151. 1997.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7A9F5210E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

243

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber). \*Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II"), [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52. 2007.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID3E9D8F035AB11DCB9EEC1DD635D0C90&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

244

European Court of Justice. Case C-379/97 Pharmacia & Upjohn SA v Paranova A/S ("Paranova II") [1999] ECR I-6927, [2000] 1 CMLR 51. 2000.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I1E835840E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

245

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber). \*Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II"), [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52. 2007.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID3E9D8F035AB11DCB9EEC1DD635D0C90&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

246

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-427/93 Bristol-Myers Squibb v Paranova [1996] ECR I-3457, [1997] 1 CMLR 1151. 1997.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7A9F5210E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

247

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber). \*Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II"), [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52. 2007.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID3E9D8F035AB11DCB9EEC1DD635D0C90&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

248

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward [2008] EWCA Civ 83, [2008] ETMR 36. 2008.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA56805E0E10B11DC9179F6B281EA371D&entityID=https://s>

hib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth

249

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-143/00 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer I") [2002] ECR I-3759, [2002] All ER (EC) 581. 2002.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7643E730E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

250

Chancery Division (Patents Court). Glaxo Group Ltd v Dowelhurst Ltd (No 2) [2000] FSR 529. 2000.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAF9E1000E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

251

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber). \*Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II"), [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52. 2007.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID3E9D8F035AB11DCB9EEC1DD635D0C90&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

252

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber). \*Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II"), [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52. 2007.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID3E9D8F035AB11DCB9EEC1DD635D0C90&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

253

Advocate Generals Opinion. \*Case C-337/95 Parfums Christian Dior SA v Evora BV [1997] ECR I-1603. 1997.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I19C708B0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

254

Case C-59/08 Copad SA v Christian Dior Couture [2009] FSR 859 (22). 2009.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE642516054A011DE99E188287EC57E09/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

255

Case C-324/09 L'Oréal v eBay International [2011] RPC 27. 2011.  
<http://indiancaselaws.wordpress.com/2013/09/14/loreal-sa-v-ebay-international-ag-c-32409/>

256

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. 8th ed. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2013.

257

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. 3rd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2009.

258

Pila J. Copyright and Its Categories of Original Works. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, **30**:229-54. doi:10.1093/ojls/gqq009

259

Spence M. Justifying Copyright. In: *Dear images: art, copyright and culture*. London: : Ridinghouse:, ICA 2002. 389-403.

260

Irini A. Stamatoudi. 'Joy' for the claimant: can a film also be protected as a dramatic work? *Intellectual Property Quarterly* 2000;:117-26. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I7FA49810E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

261

Richard Arnold. Joy: a reply. *Intellectual Property Quarterly* 2001;:10-21. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I7FA50D40E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

262

Richard Arnold. Copyright in photographs: a case for reform. *European Intellectual Property Review* 2005;**27**:303-5. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICF37F730E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

263

Andreas Rahmatian. Music and creativity as perceived by copyright law. *Intellectual Property Quarterly* 2005;:267-93. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/IBD9BC3D0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

264

Tania S.L. Cheng. Does copyright law confer a monopoly over unpreserved cows? *European Intellectual Property Review* 2006;:276-81. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICF290310E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

265



Silver I, Lee P. Protecting your Rights – Copyright in Computer Games: Nova Productions and Mazooma Games Ltd. *European Intellectual Property Review* 2007;**29**:251–5.

266

Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.  
1988.<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1988/48/contents>

267

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). \*Norowzian v Arks Ltd (No 2) [1999] FSR 79, [2000] FSR 363 (CA). 2000.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I108E7FD0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

268

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Baigent & Leigh v Random House [2007] EWCA Civ 247, [2007] FSR 24. 2007.  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I372331B0DDA411DB89E08052F2CA7868&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

269

Chancery Division. Nova Productions Ltd v. Mazooma Games Ltd [2006] RPC 14. 2006.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I114796A0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

270

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Nova Productions Ltd v. Mazooma Games Ltd [2007] RPC 25. 2007.  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I0B2036B0D2AC11DB97F6EEA8CBB93415&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

271

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Exxon Corp v Exxon Insurance Consultants International Ltd [1982] Ch 119. 1982.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA4F75120E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

272

University of London Press v University Tutorial Press [1916] 2 Ch 601. 1916.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE619B480E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

273

\*Newspaper Licensing Agency Ltd v Meltwater Holding BV [2012] RPC 1. 2012.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IE9F6C360B8A611E08E89E51884D3FC3D&crumb-action=reset>

274

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). \*Norowzian v Arks Ltd (No 2) [1999] FSR 79, [2000] FSR 363 (CA). 2000.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I108E7FD0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

275

Chancery Division. Nova Productions Ltd v. Mazooma Games Ltd [2006] RPC 14. 2006.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I114796A0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

276

Chancery Division. Lawson v Dundas. 1985.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4PN7-RW10-TXX5-50FC&csi=316560&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

277

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). \*Sawkins v Hyperion Records [2005] RPC 32; [2005] 1 WLR 3281. 2005.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I98427D51E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

278

Chancery Division. Creation Records v News Group Newspapers [1997] EMLR 444. 1997.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I8FF0A060E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

279

Metix (UK) Ltd v G.H. Maughan (Plastics) Ltd [1997] FSR 718. 1997.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IF98129A0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

280

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Nova Productions Ltd v. Mazooma Games Ltd [2007] RPC 25 (CA). 2007.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I0B2036B0D2AC11DB97F6EEA8CBB93415&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

281

Court: Chancery Division. British Northrop Ltd v Texteam Blackburn Ltd [1974] RPC 57. 1974.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXH-FDW0-TWW4-20KN&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

282

Merchandising Corporation of America v Harpbond [1983] FSR 32. 1983.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IF91A2A70E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

283

Supreme Court. \*Lucasfilms v Ainsworth [2009] FSR 2. 2009.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I0C305000B84211E09CEF84D8174DB20E&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

284

Supreme Court. \*Lucasfilms v Ainsworth [2009] FSR 2. 2009.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I0C305000B84211E09CEF84D8174DB20E&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

285

House of Lords. George Hensher Ltd v Restawile Upholstery (Lancs) Ltd [1976] AC 64. 1976.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IAE3160A0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

286

University of London Press v University Tutorial Press [1916] 2 Ch 601. 1916.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE619B480E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

287

House of Lords. Ladbroke (Football) Ltd v William Hill (Football) Ltd [1964] 1 WLR 273. 1964.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shib>

boleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID65CE2C1E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth

288

Walter v Lane [1900] AC 539. 1900.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXM-YK00-TWW4-2116&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

289

Express Newspapers plc v News (UK) Ltd and others - [1990] 3 All ER 376. 1990.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-49F0-TWP1-6012&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

290

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. 8th ed. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2013.

291

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. 3rd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2009.

292

Nigel P. Gravells. Authorship and originality: the persistent influence of Walter v Lane. Intellectual Property Quarterly 2007;**3**

:267-93.<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9439A050407811DCBD0B8974948FEEE1&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

293

Zemer L. Contribution and collaboration in joint authorship: too many misconceptions. Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice;**1**:283-92. doi:10.1093/jiplp/jpl005

294

Rebecca Baines. Copyright in commissioned works: a cause for uncertainty. *European Intellectual Property Review* 2005;**27**:122–3. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICF37D020E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

295

David Booton. The informal acquisition of copyright. *Intellectual Property Quarterly* 2011;**1**:28–49. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID6711520345311E0BC47AA7F74E9E5E3&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

296

Laddie, Justice. Copyright: over-strength, over-regulated, over-rated? *European Intellectual Property Review* 1996;**18**:253–60. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID04F5AA0E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

297

Helberger N, Dufft N, Van Gompel S, et al. Never forever: why extending the term of protection for sound recordings is a bad idea. *European Intellectual Property Review* 2008;**30**:174–81. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IBD3B9C10003511DDA46EB425E5C11227&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

298

Estelle Derclaye. Infopaq International A/S v Danske Dagblades Forening (C-5/08): wonderful or worrisome? The impact of the ECJ ruling in Infopaq on UK copyright law. *European Intellectual Property Review* 2010;:247–51. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp>.

[ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IB72704A030AC11DF9C83BB18AACF6BDB/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true](https://ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IB72704A030AC11DF9C83BB18AACF6BDB/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true)

299

University of London Press v University Tutorial Press [1916] 2 Ch 601. 1916.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE619B480E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

300

House of Lords. Ladbroke (Football) Ltd v William Hill (Football) Ltd [1964] 1 WLR 273. 1964.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID65CE2C1E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

301

Walter v Lane [1900] AC 539. 1900.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXM-YK00-TWW4-2116&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

302

Express Newspapers plc v News (UK) Ltd and others - [1990] 3 All ER 376. 1990.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-49F0-TWP1-6012&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

303

Privy Council (Hong Kong). \*Interlego AG v Tyco Industries Inc [1989] AC 217. 1989.  
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICA553451E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

304

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). \*Sawkins v Hyperion Records [2005] RPC 32; [2005] 1 WLR 3281. 2005.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I98427D51E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

305

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). ZYX Music GmbH v King [1995] 3 All ER 1, [1997] 2 All ER 129. 1997.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I124B7CA0E42911DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

306

Chancery Division. Antiquesportfolio.Com Plc v. Rodney Fitch & Company Limited [2001] ECDR 5. 2001.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I5E91C580E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

307

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber). \*Case C-145/10 Eva-Maria Painer v Standard Verlags GmbH, [2012] ECDR 6. 2012.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IB8007600633511E19B1EDEC66B79D5EA&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

308

Patents County Court. \*Temple Island Collections Ltd v New English Teas Ltd [2012] FSR 9. 2012.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID00513403D7F11E18561D2A3A042DC41&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>



309

\*Infopaq International v Danske Dagblades Forening (Case C-5/08). 2009.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IEE144860B3B611DE8E61D7238152E802/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

310

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber). \*Case C-145/10 Eva-Maria Painer v Standard Verlags GmbH, [2012] ECDR 6. 2012.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IB8007600633511E19B1EDEC6B79D5EA&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

311

\*Newspaper Licensing Agency Ltd v Meltwater Holding BV [2012] RPC 1. 2012.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IE9F6C360B8A611E08E89E51884D3FC3D&crumb-action=reset>

312

House of Lords. Newspaper Licensing Agency v Marks & Spencer Plc [2001] Ch 257 (CA); [2003] 1 AC 551 (HL). 2003.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I0CD54860E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

313

Chancery Division. \*Robin Ray v Classic FM [1998] FSR 622. 1998.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I848D79E0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

314

Chancery Division. Brighton v Jones [2005] FSR 16. 2005.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7A77F3F0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

315

Chancery Division. *Hadley v Kemp* [1999] EMLR 589. 1999.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IB88DD011E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

316

House of Lords. *Fisher v Brooker* [2007] EMLR 9; [2007] FSR 12 (Ch D); [2008] EMLR 13 (CA); [2009] 1 WLR 1764 (HL). 2009.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IC84829907D7D11DE8013EC861A6B9FF1&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

317

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Beckingham v Hodgens* [2003] ECDR 6 (Ch D); [2003] EMLR 18 (CA). 2003.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I72DD8AB0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

318

Court: Court of Appeal. *Stevenson (or Stephenson) Jordan and Harrison Ltd v MacDonald and Evans* (1952) 1 TLR 101. 1952.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4FXM-YJ40-TWW4-20NH&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

319

Chancery Division. *\*Noah v Shuba* [1991] FSR 14. 1991.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shib>

boleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I0D783F20E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true

320

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Griggs Group Ltd v Evans [2005] FSR 31. 2005.  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I2E831BE1E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>