

# LAWS3005: Intellectual Property Law

View Online



- 
1.  
Module Outline & Reading for TERM 1.
  
  2.  
Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, & Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. (Sweet & Maxwell, 2013).
  
  3.  
Bently, Lionel & Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. (Oxford University Press, 2009).
  
  4.  
Christie, Andrew & Gare, Stephen. Blackstone's statutes on intellectual property. vol. Blackstone's statutes (Oxford University Press, 2012).
  
  5.  
Dowie-Whybrow, M. Core statutes on intellectual property. vol. Palgrave Macmillan core statutes (Palgrave Macmillan, 2013).
  
  6.  
Jacob, Robin, Alexander, Daniel, & Fisher, Matthew. Guidebook to intellectual property. (Hart, 2013).

7.

Spence, Michael. Intellectual property. vol. Clarendon law series (Oxford University Press, 2007).

8.

Waelde, Charlotte. Contemporary intellectual property: law and policy. (Oxford University Press, 2014).

9.

Bainbridge, David I. Intellectual property. (Pearson, 2012).

10.

Torremans, Paul & Holyoak, Jon. Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law. (Oxford University Press, 2013).

11.

Terrell, Thomas & Thorley, Simon. Terrell on the law of patents. (Sweet & Maxwell, 2006).

12.

Fysh, Michael, Roughton, Ashley, Johnson, Phillip, & Cook, Trevor M. The modern law of patents. (LexisNexis, 2010).

13.

Kitchin, David, Kerly, Duncan Mackenzie, & Jacob, Robin. Kerly's law of trade marks and trade names. vol. Intellectual property library (Sweet & Maxwell, 2005).

14.

Morcom, Christopher. The modern law of trade marks. (LexisNexis Butterworths, 2005).

15.

Garnett, K. M., Davies, Gillian, Harbottle, Gwilym, Copinger, Walter Arthur, & Skone James, E. P. Copinger and Skone James on copyright. vol. Intellectual property library (Sweet & Maxwell, 2011).

16.

Laddie, Hugh. The modern law of copyright and designs. (Butterworths, 2000).

17.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, & Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. (Sweet & Maxwell, 2013).

18.

Bently, Lionel & Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. (Oxford University Press, 2009).

19.

Jacob, Robin, Alexander, Daniel, & Fisher, Matthew. Guidebook to intellectual property. (Hart, 2013).

20.

Spence, Michael. Intellectual property. vol. Clarendon law series (Oxford University Press, 2007).

21.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, & Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. (Sweet & Maxwell, 2013).

22.

Bently, Lionel & Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. (Oxford University Press, 2009).

23.

Richard Arnold. Confidence in exclusives: Douglas v Hello! in the House of Lords. *European Intellectual Property Review* **29**, 339–343 (2007).

24.

Tanya Aplin. The development of the action for breach of confidence in a post-HRA era. *Intellectual Property Quarterly* **19**, (2007).

25.

Gavin Phillipson. Transforming breach of confidence? Towards a common law right of privacy under the Human Rights Act. *Modern Law Review* **66**, 726–758 (2003).

26.

Rachael Mulheron. A potential framework for privacy? A reply to Hello! *Modern Law Review* **69**, 679–713 (2006).

27.

Alexandra Sims. 'A shift in the centre of gravity': the dangers of protecting privacy through breach of confidence. *Intellectual Property Quarterly* **1**, 27–51 (2005).

28.

N.A. Moreham. Privacy in the common law: a doctrinal and theoretical analysis. *Law Quarterly Review* **121**, 628–656 (2005).

29.

Court: English court pre-dating November 1874. *Albert (Prince) v Strange* (1849) 18 LJ Ch

120, 1 H & Tw 1. (1849).

30.

Court: Court of Appeal. Seager v Copydex Ltd [1967] 2 All ER 415, [1967] 1 WLR 923. (1967).

31.

Court: House of Lords. \*Campbell v Mirror Group Newspapers Ltd [2004] UK HL 22, [2004] 2 AC 457. (2004).

32.

Court: Chancery Division. \*Coco v AN Clark (Engineers) Ltd [1968] FSR 415, [1969] RPC 41. (1969).

33.

Court: Court of Appeal. Seager v Copydex Ltd [1967] 2 All ER 415, [1967] 1 WLR 923. (1967).

34.

Court: Chancery Division. \*Coco v AN Clark (Engineers) Ltd [1968] FSR 415, [1969] RPC 41. (1969).

35.

AG v Guardian Newspapers [1990] AC 109. (1990).

36.

Queen's Bench Division. Mosley v News Group Newspapers Ltd [2008] EMLR 20. (2008).

37.

Chancery Division. *De Maudsley v Palumbo* [1996] FSR 447. (1996).

38.

Court: English court pre-dating November 1874. *Albert (Prince) v Strange* (1849) 18 LJ Ch 120, 1 H & Tw 1. (1849).

39.

*AG v Guardian Newspapers* [1990] AC 109. (1990).

40.

Court: Chancery Division. *\*Coco v AN Clark (Engineers) Ltd* [1968] FSR 415, [1969] RPC 41. (1969).

41.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *\*Faccenda Chicken Ltd v Fowler* [1987] Ch 117. (1987).

42.

*AG v Guardian Newspapers* [1990] AC 109. (1990).

43.

*AG v Guardian Newspapers* [1990] AC 109. (1990).

44.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Woodward v Hutchins* [1977] 2 All ER 751; [1977] 1 WLR 760. (1977).

45.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Douglas v Hello! Ltd* [2005] 4 All ER 128; [2005] 3 WLR 881 . (2005).

46.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Lion Laboratories Ltd v Evans* [1984] 2 All ER 417. (1984).

47.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Kaye v Robertson* [1991] FSR 62. (1991).

48.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Douglas v Hello! Ltd (No.1)* QB 967, [2001] 2 WLR 992. (2001).

49.

House of Lords. *OBG Ltd v Allan* [2008] 1 AC 1, HL. (2008).

50.

House of Lords. *Wainwright v Home Office* (AC 406). (2003).

51.

European Court of Human Rights. *\*Von Hannover v Germany* (59320/00) (2004) 40 EHRR 1 . (2004).

52.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *HRH Prince of Wales v Associated Newspapers Ltd* [2008] Ch 57, [2007] 3 WLR 222. (2008).

53.

Court: House of Lords. \*Campbell v Mirror Group Newspapers Ltd [2004] UK HL 22, [2004] 2 AC 457. (2004).

54.

House of Lords. S (A Child) (Identification: Restrictions on Publication) [2005] 1 AC 593. (2005).

55.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). \*Murray v Express Newspapers Plc [2009] Ch 481. (2009).

56.

Queen's Bench Division. \*Spelman v Express Newspapers [2012] EWHC 355. (2012).

57.

European Court of Human Rights. \*Von Hannover v Germany (59320/00) (2004) 40 EHRR 1 . (2004).

58.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Donald v Ntuli [2011] 1 WLR 294. (2011).

59.

European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber). Axel Springer v Germany [2012] EMLR 15. (2012).

60.

European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber). Von Hannover v Germany (No 2) [2012] EMLR 16. (2012).



61.

Queen's Bench Division. \*Mosley v News Group Newspapers Ltd [2008] EWHC 1777 (QB), [2008] EMLR 20. (2008).

62.

European Court of Human Rights. Mosley v United Kingdom [2012] EMLR 1. (2011).

63.

Court: House of Lords. \*Campbell v Mirror Group Newspapers Ltd [2004] UK HL 22, [2004] 2 AC 457. (2004).

64.

Douglas and Zeta Jones v Hello! Ltd [2005] 4 All ER 128. (2005).

65.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, & Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. (Sweet & Maxwell, 2013).

66.

Bently, Lionel & Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. (Oxford University Press, 2009).

67.

Wadlow, Christopher. The law of passing-off: unfair competition by misrepresentation. vol. Intellectual property library (Sweet & Maxwell, 2004).

68.

Ricketson, S. Reaping without sowing: Unfair Competition and Intellectual Property Rights in Anglo-Australian Law. University of New South Wales Law Journal **7**, (1984).

69.

Jennifer Davis. Why the United Kingdom should have a law against misappropriation. *Cambridge Law Journal* **69**, 561–581 (2010).

70.

Christopher Wadlow. Passing off at the crossroads again: a review article for Hazel Carty, An Analysis of the Economic Torts. *European Intellectual Property Review* **33**, 447–455 (2011).

71.

\*Erven Warnink vs Townend [1979] A.C. 731. (1979).

72.

House of Lords. \*Reckitt & Colman v Borden [1990] RPC 341; [1990] 1 WLR 491. (1990).

73.

Court: House of Lords. IRC v Muller & Co's Margarine Ltd [1901] AC 217. (1901).

74.

\*Erven Warnink vs Townend [1979] A.C. 731. (1979).

75.

House of Lords. \*Reddaway v Banham [1896] AC 199. (1896).

76.

Court: House of Lords. Edge (William) & Sons Ltd v William Niccolls & Sons Ltd [1911] AC 693. (1911).

77.

Court of Session (Outer House). *John Haig & Co Ltd v Forth Blending Co Ltd* (1953) 70 RPC 259. (1953).

78.

House of Lords. \**Reckitt & Colman v Borden* [1990] RPC 341; [1990] 1 WLR 491. (1990).

79.

Privy Council. *Cadbury Schweppes Pty Ltd v Pub Squash Co Pty Ltd* [1981] 1 WLR 193 (PC). (1981).

80.

Court: Chancery Division. *Bollinger v Costa Brava Wine Co Ltd* [1961] RPC 116. (1961).

81.

Court: Chancery Division. *Vine Products Ltd v Mackenzie & Co Ltd* [1969] RPC 1. (1969).

82.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Diageo v Intercontinental Brands* [2010] ETMR 57. (2010).

83.

Court: Court of Appeal. *Anheuser-Busch Inc v Budejovicky Budvar Narodni Podnik, Budweiser Case* [1984] FSR 413. (1984).

84.

Chancery Division. *Waterman (Pete) Ltd v CBS United Kingdom Ltd* [1993] EMLR 27. (1993).

85.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Hotel Cipriani Srl v Cipriani (Grosvenor Street) Ltd* [2010] RPC 16. (2010).

86.

Court: House of Lords. *\*Spalding (A.G.) & Bros v A.W. Gamage Ltd and Benetfink & Co Ltd* (1915) 32 RPC 273 (HL). (1915).

87.

Chancery Division. *\*Irvine v Talksport Ltd* [2002] 1 WLR 2355. (2002).

88.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Taittinger SA v Allbev Ltd* [1993] FSR 641. (1993).

89.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Harrods v Harrodian School* [1996] RPC 697. (1996).

90.

H1 Appeal from the High Court (Chancery Division). *Arsenal v Reed* [2003] RPC 39. (2003).

91.

Court: Court of Appeal. *\*L'Oreal SA v Bellure NV* [2007] EWCA Civ 968, [2008] RPC 196. (2007).

92.

\*Erven Warnink vs Townend [1979] A.C. 731. (1979).

93.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Taittinger SA v Allbev Ltd [1993] FSR 641. (1993).

94.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Taittinger SA v Allbev Ltd [1993] FSR 641. (1993).

95.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Harrods v Harrodian School [1996] RPC 697. (1996).

96.

Chancery Division. \*Irvine v Talksport Ltd [2002] 1 WLR 2355. (2002).

97.

Bently, Lionel & Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. (Oxford University Press, 2009).

98.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, & Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. (Sweet & Maxwell, 2013).

99.

Jochen Pagenberg. Trade dress and the three dimensional mark - the neglected children of trade mark law? International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law **35**, 831-843 (2004).

100.

Bergquist, J. & Curley, D. Shape trade marks and fast-moving consumer goods. *European Intellectual Property Review* 17–24 (2008).

101.

Liakatou, V. & Maniatis, S. Lego - building a European concept of functionality. *European Intellectual Property Review* **32**, 653–656 (2010).

102.

Jeremy Phillips. Trade mark law and the need to keep free. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law* **36**, 389–401 (2005).

103.

Davis, J. The Need to Leave Free for Others to Use and the Trade Mark Common. in *Trade mark use* (Oxford University Press, 2005).

104.

Patricia Loughlan. Descriptive trade marks, fair use and consumer confusion. *European Intellectual Property Review* **27**, 443–445 (2005).

105.

Intellectual Property Office. *Trade Marks Act 1994*. (1994).

106.

Trade Marks Directive 2008. (2008).

107.

Court: European Court of Justice. *Lloyd Schuhfabrik Meyer & Co GmbH v Klijsen Handel BV*: C-342/97 [1999] ECR I-3819, [1999] All ER (EC) 587. (1999).

108.

Intellectual Property Office. Trade Marks Act 1994. (1994).

109.

Trade Marks Directive 2008. (2008).

110.

European Court of Justice. \*Sieckmann v Deutsches Patent- und Markenamt (C-273/00) [2002] ECR I-11737; [2003] ETMR 37. (2002).

111.

Dyson Ltd v Registrar of Trade Marks (Case C-321/03) - [2007] ETMR 34. (2007).

112.

European Court of Justice. \*Sieckmann v Deutsches Patent- und Markenamt (C-273/00) [2002] ECR I-11737; [2003] ETMR 37. (2002).

113.

European Court of Justice. \*Sieckmann v Deutsches Patent- und Markenamt (C-273/00) [2002] ECR I-11737; [2003] ETMR 37. (2002).

114.

Court of First Instance. Eden SARL v Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) [2006] (T-305/04) ETMR 14. (2006).

115.

European Court of Justice (Sixth Chamber). Shield Mark BV v Joost Kist H.O.D.N. Memex (Case C-283/01) [2004] ETMR 33. (2004).

116.

\*Libertel v Benelux Merkenbureau (Case C-104/01) [2003] ECR I-3793 [2003] ETMR 63. (2003).

117.

European Court of Justice. \*Koninklijke Philips v Remington (Case C-299/99) [2002] ECR I-5475, [2002] ETMR 81. (2002).

118.

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber). SAT.1 SatellitenFernsehen GmbH v OHIM (Case C-329/02) (SAT.2), [2005] 1 CMLR 1546. (2005).

119.

\*Libertel Groep BV v Benelux-Merkenbureau (Case C-104/01) - [2004] Ch 83. (2004).

120.

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber). SAT.1 SatellitenFernsehen GmbH v OHIM (Case C-329/02) (SAT.2), [2005] 1 CMLR 1546. (2005).

121.

Koninklijke Philips Electronics NV v Remington Consumer Products Ltd (Case C-299/99) - [2003] Ch 159. (2002).

122.

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber). SAT.1 SatellitenFernsehen GmbH v OHIM (Case C-329/02) (SAT.2), [2005] 1 CMLR 1546. (2005).

123.

\*Libertel Groep BV v Benelux-Merkenbureau (Case C-104/01) - [2004] Ch 83. (2004).



124.

European Court of Justice (Sixth Chamber). Procter & Gamble v OHIM (Cases C-473/01 P and C-474/01 P) [2004] ETMR 89. (2004).

125.

Court: European Court of Justice. \*Linde AG, Winward Industries Inc & Rado Uhren AG v Deutsches Patentund Markenamt (Cases C-53/01, 54/01 & 55/01) [2003] ECR-I 3161, [2003] RPC 803. (2003).

126.

European Court of Justice. \*OHIM v Wm Wrigley Junior Co (DOUBLEMINT) (Case C-191/01 P) [2004] RPC 327, [2004] 1 WLR 1728. (2004).

127.

European Court of Justice. Windsurfing Chiemsee Produktions und Vertriebs GmbH v Boots und Segelzubehor Walter Huber (Cases C-108/97 and 109/97) [1999] ECR I-2779, [2000] 2 WLR 205. (1999).

128.

European Court of Justice. Windsurfing Chiemsee Produktions und Vertriebs GmbH v Boots und Segelzubehor Walter Huber (Cases C-108/97 and 109/97) [1999] ECR I-2779, [2000] 2 WLR 205. (1999).

129.

European Court of Justice. Procter & Gamble Company v OHIM (BABY DRY) (Case C-383/99 P) [2001] ECR I-6251, [2002] Ch 82. (2001).

130.

European Court of Justice. \*OHIM v Wm Wrigley Junior Co (DOUBLEMINT) (Case C-191/01 P) [2004] RPC 327, [2004] 1 WLR 1728. (2004).

131.

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber). SAT.1 SatellitenFernsehen GmbH v OHIM (Case C-329/02) (SAT.2), [2005] 1 CMLR 1546. (2005).

132.

Merz & Krell (Case C-517/99) [2001] ECR I-6959. (2001).

133.

European Court of Justice. \*Windsurfing Chiemsee Produktions und Vertriebs GmbH v Boots und Segelzubehor Walter Huber (Cases C-108/97 and 109/97) [1999] ECR I-2779, [2000] 2 WLR 205. (1999).

134.

European Court of Justice. Philips Electronics NV v Remington Consumer Products Ltd (Case C-299/99) [2002] ECR I-5475, [2002] All ER (EC) 634, [2002] 2 CMLR 1329. (2002).

135.

Dyson Ltd v Registrar of Trade Marks (Case C-321/03) - [2007] ETMR 34. (2007).

136.

European Court of Justice. \*Koninklijke Philips v Remington (Case C-299/99) [2002] ECR I-5475, [2002] ETMR 81. (2002).

137.

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber). \*Lego Juris v OHIM (Case C-48/09 P) [2010] ETMR 63. (2010).

138.

Trade Marks Registry (Appointed Person). *Re Ghazilian's Trade Mark Application* [2002] RPC 33. (2002).

139.

Trade Marks Registry (Appointed Person). *Re Basic Trademark SA's Trade Mark Application* [2005] RPC 25. (2005).

140.

Trade Marks Registry (Appointed Person). *Dennis Woodman v French Connection* [2007] RPC 1. (2007).

141.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, & Aplin, Tanya Frances. *Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights*. (Sweet & Maxwell, 2013).

142.

Bently, Lionel & Sherman, Brad. *Intellectual property law*. (Oxford University Press, 2009).

143.

Andrew Griffiths. *The impact of the global appreciation approach on the boundaries of trade mark protection*. *Intellectual Property Quarterly* 326–360 (2001).

144.

Phillips, Jeremy. *Trade mark law: a practical anatomy*. (Oxford University Press, 2003).

145.

Joshi, R. & Isaac, B. *What does identical mean?* *European Intellectual Property Review* **27**, 184–187 (2005).

146.

Phillips, J. Strong trade marks and the likelihood of confusion in European law. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice* **1**, 385–397.

147.

Schechter, F. The Rational Basis of Trade Mark Protection. *Harvard Law Review* **40**, (1927).

148.

Gangjee, D. & Burrell, R. Because You're Worth It: L'Oreal and the Prohibition on Free Riding. *Modern Law Review* **73**, 282–295.

149.

Court: European Court of Justice. *Lloyd Schuhfabrik Meyer & Co GmbH v Klijsen Handel BV*: C-342/97 [1999] ECR I-3819, [1999] All ER (EC) 587. (1999).

150.

\*Case C-291/00 LT] *Diffusion v Sadas Vertbaudet*, [2003] ECR I-2799, [2003] ETMR 83. (2003).

151.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Reed Executive Plc v Reed Business Information Ltd* [2004] ETMR 56. (2004).

152.

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-251/95 *SABEL v Puma* [1997] ECR I-6191, [1998] ETMR 1. (1998).

153.

Court: Court of First Instance, EC. *Les Editions Albert Rene v OHIM* (Case T-336/03) [2005]

ECR II-4667. (2005).

154.

Court: European Court of Justice. Lloyd Schuhfabrik Meyer & Co GmbH v Klijsen Handel BV: C-342/97 [1999] ECR I-3819, [1999] All ER (EC) 587. (1999).

155.

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1. (1999).

156.

Office for Harmonization in the Internal Market (Opposition Division). Zanella SNC's Community Trade Mark Application (B.42053) [2000] ETMR 69. (2000).

157.

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-251/95 SABEL v Puma [1997] ECR I-6191, [1998] ETMR 1. (1998).

158.

Marca Mode CV v Adidas AG and Adidas Benelux (Case C-425/98 ). (2000).

159.

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1. (1999).

160.

Court: European Court of Justice. Lloyd Schuhfabrik Meyer & Co GmbH v Klijsen Handel BV: C-342/97 [1999] ECR I-3819, [1999] All ER (EC) 587. (1999).

161.

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-251/95 SABEL v Puma [1997] ECR I-6191, [1998] ETMR 1. (1998).

162.

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1. (1999).

163.

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1. (1999).

164.

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1. (1999).

165.

Case T-387/06 Inter-Ikea Systems BV v OHIM (IDEA/IKEA) [2009] ETMR 17. (2009).

166.

Advocate Generals Opinion. \*Case C-292/00 Davidoff & Cie SA, Zino Davidoff SA v Gofkid Ltd [2003] ECR I 389, [2002] ETMR 99. (2002).

167.

European Court of Justice (Sixth Chamber). \*Case C-408/01 Adidas-Salomon AG & Adidas Benelux BV v Fitnessworld Trading Ltd [2004] ETMR 10. (2004).

168.

European Court of Justice (Sixth Chamber). \*Case C-408/01 Adidas-Salomon AG & Adidas Benelux BV v Fitnessworld Trading Ltd [2004] ETMR 10. (2004).

169.

European Court of Justice. Case C-375/97 General Motors v Yplon [2000] RPC 572. (2000).

170.

Chancery Division. Julius Sämann Ltd v Tetrosyl Ltd [2006] EWHC 529. (2006).

171.

European Court of Justice (First Chamber). Case C-323/09 Interflora v Marks & Spencer plc [2012] ETMR 1. (2012).

172.

\*Case C-252/07 Intel Corporation Inc v CPM United Kingdom Ltd [2009] ETMR 13. (2009).

173.

Benelux Court of Justice. Colgate-Palmolive BV v Koninklijke Distilleerderijen Erven Lucas Bols NV (1976) 7 IIC 420 (CLAERYN/KLAREIN). (1979).

174.

European Court of Justice (First Chamber). Case C-487/07 L'Oréal SA v Bellure NV [2009] ECR I-5185; [2010] RPC 1; [2009] ETMR 55 (ECJ). (2009).

175.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). L'Oréal SA v Bellure NV [2010] ETMR 47 (Court of Appeal). (2010).

176.

Intellectual Property Office. Trade Marks Act 1994. (1994).

177.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, & Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. (Sweet & Maxwell, 2013).

178.

Bently, Lionel & Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. (Oxford University Press, 2009).

179.

Helen Norman. Time to blow the whistle on trade mark use? *Intellectual Property Quarterly* 1-34 (2004).

180.

Ilanah Simon. How does 'essential function' doctrine drive European mark trade law? *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law* 401-420 (2005).

181.

Andrew Griffiths. The trade mark monopoly: an analysis of the core zone of absolute protection under Art.5(1)(a). *Intellectual Property Quarterly* **3**, 312-349 (2007).

182.

M. Senftlebel. Bringing EU Trademark Law Back Into Shape – Lessons to Learn from Keyword Advertising. (2011).

183.

Andrew Griffiths. The trade mark monopoly: an analysis of the core zone of absolute protection under Art.5(1)(a). *Intellectual Property Quarterly* **3**, 312-349 (2007).



184.

Mothercare v Penguin Books [1988] R.P.C. 113. (1988).

185.

Helen Norman. Time to blow the whistle on trade mark use? Intellectual Property Quarterly 1-34 (2004).

186.

\*Case C-291/00 LTJ Diffusion v Sadas Vertbaudet, [2003] ECR I-2799, [2003] ETMR 83. (2003).

187.

European Court of Justice. Case C-63/97 BMW v Deenik [1999] ECR I-905, [1999] 1 CMLR 1099. (1999).

188.

European Court of Justice. Case C-2/00 Hölderhoff v Ulrich Freiesleben [2002] ETMR 917. (2002).

189.

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-206/01 Arsenal Football Club plc v Reed, [2002] ECR I-10273, [2003] ETMR 19 (ECJ). (2003).

190.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). \*Arsenal Football Club plc v Reed [2003] ETMR 73 (CA). (2003).

191.

House of Lords. \*R v Johnstone [2004] ETMR 2. (2004).

192.

Case C-48/05 Adam Opel v Autec [2007] ETMR 33. (2007).

193.

Google France SARL and another v Louis Vuitton Malletier SA Google France SARL v Viaticum SA and another Google France SARL v Centre national de recherche en relations humaines (CNRRH) SARL and others - [2010] All ER (D) 23 (Apr). (2010).

194.

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber). Case C-17/06 Céline v Céline SA [2007] ECR I-7041, [2007] ETMR 80. (2007).

195.

Chancery Division. RxWorks Ltd v Hunter [2007] EWHC 3061. (2007).

196.

Google France SARL and another v Louis Vuitton Malletier SA Google France SARL v Viaticum SA and another Google France SARL v Centre national de recherche en relations humaines (CNRRH) SARL and others - [2010] All ER (D) 23 (Apr). (2010).

197.

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber). Case C-324/09 L'Oreal SA v eBay International AG [2011] ETMR 53. (2011).

198.

European Court of Justice (First Chamber). Case C-323/09 Interflora v Marks & Spencer plc [2012] ETMR 1. (2012).

199.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, & Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. (Sweet & Maxwell, 2013).

200.

Bently, Lionel & Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. (Oxford University Press, 2009).

201.

Roughton, A. Permitted Infringing Use: the Scope of Defences to an Infringement Action. in Trade mark use (Oxford University Press, 2005).

202.

Ilanah Simon. Nominative use and honest practices in industrial and commercial matters - a very European history. Intellectual Property Quarterly 117-147 (2007).

203.

Honestly, neither Celine nor Gillette is defensible! European Intellectual Property Review **30**, 286-293 (2008).

204.

Christophe Geiger. Trade marks and freedom of expression - the proportionality of criticism. International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law **38**, 317-327 (2007).

205.

Claire Howell. Trade marks: what constitutes 'genuine use'? Laboratoires Goemar SA v La Mer Technology. European Intellectual Property Review **28**, 118-121 (2006).

206.

Phillips, Jeremy. Trade mark law: a practical anatomy. (Oxford University Press, 2003).

207.

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber). Case C-17/06 Céline v Céline SA [2007] ECR I-7041, [2007] ETMR 80. (2007).

208.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Hotel Cipriani Srl v Cipriani (Grosvenor Street) Ltd [2010] RPC 16. (2010).

209.

Patents County Court. Redd Solicitors LLP v Red Legal Ltd [2012] EWPC 54, [2013] ETMR 13. (2013).

210.

Case C-48/05 Adam Opel v Autec [2007] ETMR 33. (2007).

211.

European Court of Justice (Fifth Chamber). Case C-100/02 Gerolsteiner & Brunnen GmbH & Co. v Putsch GmbH [2004] ETMR 40. (2004).

212.

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber). \*Case 228/03 Gillette Company v LA-Laboratories Ltd Case [2005] ETMR 67. (2005).

213.

European Court of Justice (Fifth Chamber). Case C-100/02 Gerolsteiner & Brunnen GmbH & Co. v Putsch GmbH [2004] ETMR 40. (2004).

214.

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber). \*Case 228/03 Gillette Company v LA-Laboratories Ltd Case [2005] ETMR 67. (2005).

215.

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber). Case C-17/06 Céline v Céline SA [2007] ECR I-7041, [2007] ETMR 80. (2007).

216.

European Court of Justice (First Chamber). Case C-487/07 L'Oréal SA v Bellure NV [2009] ECR I-5185; [2010] RPC 1; [2009] ETMR 55 (ECJ). (2009).

217.

Dowie-Whybrow, M. Core statutes on intellectual property. vol. Palgrave Macmillan core statutes (Palgrave Macmillan, 2013).

218.

European Court of Justice (First Chamber). \*Case C-533/06 O2 Holdings Ltd v Hutchison 3G UK Ltd [2008] ETMR 55. (2008).

219.

European Court of Justice (First Chamber). Case C-487/07 L'Oréal SA v Bellure NV [2009] ECR I-5185; [2010] RPC 1; [2009] ETMR 55 (ECJ). (2009).

220.

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-40/01 Ansul BV and Ajax Brandbeveiliging BV (Minimax) [2003] ECR I-2439, [2003] ETMR 85. (2003).

221.

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber). Case C-259/02 La Mer Technology Inc v Laboratoires Goemar SA [2004] ETMR 47. (2004).

222.

Silberquelle GmbH v Maselli-Strickmode GmbH (C-495/07). (2009).

223.

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber). Case C-246/05 Armin Häupl v Lidl Stiftung & Co KG [2007] ETMR 61. (2007).

224.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, & Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. (Sweet & Maxwell, 2013).

225.

Bently, Lionel & Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. (Oxford University Press, 2009).

226.

Stothers, Christopher. Parallel trade in Europe: intellectual property, competition and regulatory law. (Hart, 2007).

227.

Gill Grassie. Parallel imports and trade marks - where are we? Part 1. European Intellectual Property Review **28**, 474-479 (2006).

228.

Gill Grassie. Parallel imports and trade marks: Part 2: the repackaging cases. European Intellectual Property Review **28**, 513-516 (2006).

229.

Keeling, David T. Intellectual property rights in EU law: Vol. 1: Free movement and competition law. vol. Oxford EC law library (Oxford University Press, 2003).

230.

Fhima, I. S. The Court of Justice's protection of the advertising function of trade marks: an (almost) sceptical analysis. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice* **6**, 325–329 (2011).

231.

European Court of Justice. Case 8/74 Procureur du Roi v Dassonville [1974] ECR 837 at 852, [1974] 2 CMLR 436. (1974).

232.

European Court of Justice. Cases C-15 & 16/74 Centrafarm v Sterling Drug, Centrafarm v Winthrop [1974] ECR 1147, 1183, [1974] 2 CMLR 480. (1974).

233.

European Court of Justice. Case C-317/91 Deutsche Renault AG v Audi AG [1993] ECR I-6227, [1995] 1 CMLR 461. (1995).

234.

European Court of Justice. Case C-355/96 Silhouette International Schmied GmbH v Hartlauer Handelsgesellschaft mbH [1998] ECR I-4799, [1998] 2 CMLR 953. (1998).

235.

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-414/99 Zino Davidoff SA v A&G Imports Ltd [2001] ECR I-8691, [2002] Ch 109, [2002] 1 CMLR 1. (2002).

236.

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-414/99 Zino Davidoff SA v A&G Imports Ltd [2001] ECR I-8691, [2002] Ch 109, [2002] 1 CMLR 1. (2002).

237.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Mastercigars Direct Ltd v Hunters and Frankau* [2007] ETMR 54. (2007).

238.

European Court of Justice (Fifth Chamber). *Case C-173/98 Sebago Inc and Ancienne Maison Dubois v GB Unic SA* [1999] ETMR 681. (1999).

239.

European Court of Justice. \**Case C-16/03 Peak Holding v Axolin-Elinor* [2004] ECR I-11313, [2005] Ch 261, [2005] 2 WLR 650. (2005).

240.

*Case C-324/09 L'Oréal v eBay International* [2011] RPC 27. (2011).

241.

*Case C-59/08 Copad SA v Christian Dior Couture* [2009] FSR 859 (22). (2009).

242.

European Court of Justice. \**Case C-427/93 Bristol-Myers Squibb v Paranova* [1996] ECR I-3457, [1997] 1 CMLR 1151. (1997).

243.

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber). \**Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II")*, [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52. (2007).

244.



European Court of Justice. Case C-379/97 Pharmacia & Upjohn SA v Paranova A/S ("Paranova II") [1999] ECR I-6927, [2000] 1 CMLR 51. (2000).

245.

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber). \*Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II"), [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52. (2007).

246.

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-427/93 Bristol-Myers Squibb v Paranova [1996] ECR I-3457, [1997] 1 CMLR 1151. (1997).

247.

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber). \*Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II"), [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52. (2007).

248.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward [2008] EWCA Civ 83, [2008] ETMR 36. (2008).

249.

European Court of Justice. \*Case C-143/00 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer I") [2002] ECR I-3759, [2002] All ER (EC) 581. (2002).

250.

Chancery Division (Patents Court). Glaxo Group Ltd v Dowelhurst Ltd (No 2) [2000] FSR 529. (2000).

251.

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber). \*Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v

Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II"), [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52. (2007).

252.

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber). \*Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II"), [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52. (2007).

253.

Advocate Generals Opinion. \*Case C-337/95 Parfums Christian Dior SA v Evora BV [1997] ECR I-1603. (1997).

254.

Case C-59/08 Copad SA v Christian Dior Couture [2009] FSR 859 (22). (2009).

255.

Case C-324/09 L'Oréal v eBay International [2011] RPC 27. (2011).

256.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, & Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. (Sweet & Maxwell, 2013).

257.

Bently, Lionel & Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. (Oxford University Press, 2009).

258.

Pila, J. Copyright and Its Categories of Original Works. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies **30**, 229-254.

259.

Spence, M. Justifying Copyright. in *Dear images: art, copyright and culture* 389–403 (Ridinghouse:, ICA, 2002).

260.

Irini A. Stamatoudi. 'Joy' for the claimant: can a film also be protected as a dramatic work? *Intellectual Property Quarterly* 117–126 (2000).

261.

Richard Arnold. Joy: a reply. *Intellectual Property Quarterly* 10–21 (2001).

262.

Richard Arnold. Copyright in photographs: a case for reform. *European Intellectual Property Review* **27**, 303–305 (2005).

263.

Andreas Rahmatian. Music and creativity as perceived by copyright law. *Intellectual Property Quarterly* 267–293 (2005).

264.

Tania S.L. Cheng. Does copyright law confer a monopoly over unpreserved cows? *European Intellectual Property Review* 276–281 (2006).

265.

Silver, I. & Lee, P. Protecting your Rights – Copyright in Computer Games: Nova Productions and Mazooma Games Ltd. *European Intellectual Property Review* **29**, 251–255 (2007).

266.

Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988. (1988).

267.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). \*Norowzian v Arks Ltd (No 2) [1999] FSR 79, [2000] FSR 363 (CA). (2000).

268.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Baigent & Leigh v Random House [2007] EWCA Civ 247, [2007] FSR 24. (2007).

269.

Chancery Division. Nova Productions Ltd v. Mazooma Games Ltd [2006] RPC 14. (2006).

270.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Nova Productions Ltd v. Mazooma Games Ltd [2007] RPC 25. (2007).

271.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Exxon Corp v Exxon Insurance Consultants International Ltd [1982] Ch 119. (1982).

272.

University of London Press v University Tutorial Press [1916] 2 Ch 601. (1916).

273.

\*Newspaper Licensing Agency Ltd v Meltwater Holding BV [2012] RPC 1. (2012).

274.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). \*Norowzian v Arks Ltd (No 2) [1999] FSR 79, [2000] FSR

363 (CA). (2000).

275.

Chancery Division. Nova Productions Ltd v. Mazooma Games Ltd [2006] RPC 14. (2006).

276.

Chancery Division. Lawson v Dundas. (1985).

277.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). \*Sawkins v Hyperion Records [2005] RPC 32; [2005] 1 WLR 3281. (2005).

278.

Chancery Division. Creation Records v News Group Newspapers [1997] EMLR 444. (1997).

279.

Metix (UK) Ltd v G.H. Maughan (Plastics) Ltd [1997] FSR 718. (1997).

280.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Nova Productions Ltd v. Mazooma Games Ltd [2007] RPC 25 (CA). (2007).

281.

Court: Chancery Division. British Northrop Ltd v Texteam Blackburn Ltd [1974] RPC 57. (1974).

282.

Merchandising Corporation of America v Harpbond [1983] FSR 32. (1983).

283.

Supreme Court. \*Lucasfilms v Ainsworth [2009] FSR 2. (2009).

284.

Supreme Court. \*Lucasfilms v Ainsworth [2009] FSR 2. (2009).

285.

House of Lords. George Hensher Ltd v Restawile Upholstery (Lancs) Ltd [1976] AC 64. (1976).

286.

University of London Press v University Tutorial Press [1916] 2 Ch 601. (1916).

287.

House of Lords. Ladbroke (Football) Ltd v William Hill (Football) Ltd [1964] 1 WLR 273. (1964).

288.

Walter v Lane [1900] AC 539. (1900).

289.

Express Newspapers plc v News (UK) Ltd and others - [1990] 3 All ER 376. (1990).

290.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, & Aplin, Tanya Frances. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. (Sweet & Maxwell, 2013).

291.

Bently, Lionel & Sherman, Brad. Intellectual property law. (Oxford University Press, 2009).

292.

Nigel P. Gravells. Authorship and originality: the persistent influence of *Walter v Lane*. *Intellectual Property Quarterly* **3**, 267–293 (2007).

293.

Zemer, L. Contribution and collaboration in joint authorship: too many misconceptions. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice* **1**, 283–292.

294.

Rebecca Baines. Copyright in commissioned works: a cause for uncertainty. *European Intellectual Property Review* **27**, 122–123 (2005).

295.

David Booton. The informal acquisition of copyright. *Intellectual Property Quarterly* **1**, 28–49 (2011).

296.

Laddie, Justice. Copyright: over-strength, over-regulated, over-rated? *European Intellectual Property Review* **18**, 253–260 (1996).

297.

Helberger, N., Dufft, N., Van Gompel, S. & Bernt Hugenholtz, P. Never forever: why extending the term of protection for sound recordings is a bad idea. *European Intellectual Property Review* **30**, 174–181 (2008).

298.

Estelle Derclaye. Infopaq International A/S v Danske Dagblades Forening (C-5/08): wonderful or worrisome? The impact of the ECJ ruling in Infopaq on UK copyright law. *European Intellectual Property Review* 247-251 (2010).

299.

University of London Press v University Tutorial Press [1916] 2 Ch 601. (1916).

300.

House of Lords. Ladbroke (Football) Ltd v William Hill (Football) Ltd [1964] 1 WLR 273. (1964).

301.

Walter v Lane [1900] AC 539. (1900).

302.

Express Newspapers plc v News (UK) Ltd and others - [1990] 3 All ER 376. (1990).

303.

Privy Council (Hong Kong). \*Interlego AG v Tyco Industries Inc [1989] AC 217. (1989).

304.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). \*Sawkins v Hyperion Records [2005] RPC 32; [2005] 1 WLR 3281. (2005).

305.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). ZYX Music GmbH v King [1995] 3 All ER 1, [1997] 2 All ER 129. (1997).



306.

Chancery Division. Antiquesportfolio.Com Plc v. Rodney Fitch & Company Limited [2001] ECDR 5. (2001).

307.

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber). \*Case C-145/10 Eva-Maria Painer v Standard Verlags GmbH, [2012] ECDR 6. (2012).

308.

Patents County Court. \*Temple Island Collections Ltd v New English Teas Ltd [2012] FSR 9. (2012).

309.

\*Infopaq International v Danske Dagblades Forening (Case C-5/08). (2009).

310.

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber). \*Case C-145/10 Eva-Maria Painer v Standard Verlags GmbH, [2012] ECDR 6. (2012).

311.

\*Newspaper Licensing Agency Ltd v Meltwater Holding BV [2012] RPC 1. (2012).

312.

House of Lords. Newspaper Licensing Agency v Marks & Spencer Plc [2001] Ch 257 (CA); [2003] 1 AC 551 (HL). (2003).

313.

Chancery Division. \*Robin Ray v Classic FM [1998] FSR 622. (1998).

314.

Chancery Division. Brighton v Jones [2005] FSR 16. (2005).

315.

Chancery Division. Hadley v Kemp [1999] EMLR 589. (1999).

316.

House of Lords. Fisher v Brooker [2007] EMLR 9; [2007] FSR 12 (Ch D); [2008] EMLR 13 (CA); [2009] 1 WLR 1764 (HL). (2009).

317.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Beckingham v Hodgens [2003] ECDR 6 (Ch D); [2003] EMLR 18 (CA). (2003).

318.

Court: Court of Appeal. Stevenson (or Stephenson) Jordan and Harrison Ltd v MacDonald and Evans (1952) 1 TLR 101. (1952).

319.

Chancery Division. \*Noah v Shuba [1991] FSR 14. (1991).

320.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Griggs Group Ltd v Evans [2005] FSR 31. (2005).