

LAWS3005: Intellectual Property Law

View Online



1.

Module Outline & Reading for TERM 1.

2.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances: Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2013).

3.

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad: Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2009).

4.

Christie, Andrew, Gare, Stephen: Blackstone's statutes on intellectual property. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

5.

Dowie-Whybrow, M.: Core statutes on intellectual property. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2013).

6.

Jacob, Robin, Alexander, Daniel, Fisher, Matthew: Guidebook to intellectual property. Hart, Oxford (2013).

7.

Spence, Michael: Intellectual property. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2007).

8.

Waelde, Charlotte: Contemporary intellectual property: law and policy. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

9.

Bainbridge, David I.: Intellectual property. Pearson, Harlow (2012).

10.

Torremans, Paul, Holyoak, Jon: Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2013).

11.

Terrell, Thomas, Thorley, Simon: Terrell on the law of patents. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2006).

12.

Fysh, Michael, Roughton, Ashley, Johnson, Phillip, Cook, Trevor M.: The modern law of patents. LexisNexis, London (2010).

13.

Kitchin, David, Kerly, Duncan Mackenzie, Jacob, Robin: Kerly's law of trade marks and trade names. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2005).

14.

Morcom, Christopher: The modern law of trade marks. LexisNexis Butterworths, London

(2005).

15.

Garnett, K. M., Davies, Gillian, Harbottle, Gwilym, Copinger, Walter Arthur, Skone James, E. P.: Copinger and Skone James on copyright. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2011).

16.

Laddie, Hugh: The modern law of copyright and designs. Butterworths, London (2000).

17.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances: Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2013).

18.

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad: Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2009).

19.

Jacob, Robin, Alexander, Daniel, Fisher, Matthew: Guidebook to intellectual property. Hart, Oxford (2013).

20.

Spence, Michael: Intellectual property. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2007).

21.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances: Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2013).

22.

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad: Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2009).

23.

Richard Arnold: Confidence in exclusives: Douglas v Hello! in the House of Lords. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 29, 339–343 (2007).

24.

Tanya Aplin: The development of the action for breach of confidence in a post-HRA era. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*. 19, (2007).

25.

Gavin Phillipson: Transforming breach of confidence? Towards a common law right of privacy under the Human Rights Act. *Modern Law Review*. 66, 726–758 (2003).

26.

Rachael Mulheron: A potential framework for privacy? A reply to Hello! *Modern Law Review*. 69, 679–713 (2006).

27.

Alexandra Sims: 'A shift in the centre of gravity': the dangers of protecting privacy through breach of confidence. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*. 1, 27–51 (2005).

28.

N.A. Moreham: Privacy in the common law: a doctrinal and theoretical analysis. *Law Quarterly Review*. 121, 628–656 (2005).

29.

Court: English court pre-dating November 1874: *Albert (Prince) v Strange* (1849) 18 LJ Ch 120, 1 H & Tw 1. (1849).

30.

Court: Court of Appeal: Seager v Copydex Ltd [1967] 2 All ER 415, [1967] 1 WLR 923. (1967).

31.

Court: House of Lords: *Campbell v Mirror Group Newspapers Ltd [2004] UK HL 22, [2004] 2 AC 457. (2004).

32.

Court: Chancery Division: *Coco v AN Clark (Engineers) Ltd [1968] FSR 415, [1969] RPC 41. (1969).

33.

Court: Court of Appeal: Seager v Copydex Ltd [1967] 2 All ER 415, [1967] 1 WLR 923. (1967).

34.

Court: Chancery Division: *Coco v AN Clark (Engineers) Ltd [1968] FSR 415, [1969] RPC 41. (1969).

35.

AG v Guardian Newspapers [1990] AC 109. (1990).

36.

Queen's Bench Division: Mosley v News Group Newspapers Ltd [2008] EMLR 20. (2008).

37.

Chancery Division: De Maudsley v Palumbo [1996] FSR 447. (1996).

38.

Court: English court pre-dating November 1874: Albert (Prince) v Strange (1849) 18 LJ Ch 120, 1 H & Tw 1. (1849).

39.

AG v Guardian Newspapers [1990] AC 109. (1990).

40.

Court: Chancery Division: *Coco v AN Clark (Engineers) Ltd [1968] FSR 415, [1969] RPC 41. (1969).

41.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): *Faccenda Chicken Ltd v Fowler [1987] Ch 117. (1987).

42.

AG v Guardian Newspapers [1990] AC 109. (1990).

43.

AG v Guardian Newspapers [1990] AC 109. (1990).

44.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): Woodward v Hutchins [1977] 2 All ER 751; [1977] 1 WLR 760. (1977).

45.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): Douglas v Hello! Ltd [2005] 4 All ER 128; [2005] 3 WLR 881. (2005).

46.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): Lion Laboratories Ltd v Evans [1984] 2 All ER 417. (1984).

47.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): Kaye v Robertson [1991] FSR 62. (1991).

48.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): Douglas v Hello! Ltd (No.1) QB 967, [2001] 2 WLR 992. (2001).

49.

House of Lords: OBG Ltd v Allan [2008] 1 AC 1, HL. (2008).

50.

House of Lords: Wainwright v Home Office (AC 406). (2003).

51.

European Court of Human Rights: *Von Hannover v Germany (59320/00) (2004) 40 EHRR 1. (2004).

52.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): HRH Prince of Wales v Associated Newspapers Ltd [2008] Ch 57, [2007] 3 WLR 222. (2008).

53.

Court: House of Lords: *Campbell v Mirror Group Newspapers Ltd [2004] UK HL 22, [2004] 2 AC 457. (2004).

54.

House of Lords: *S (A Child) (Identification: Restrictions on Publication)* [2005] 1 AC 593. (2005).

55.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): **Murray v Express Newspapers Plc* [2009] Ch 481. (2009).

56.

Queen's Bench Division: **Spelman v Express Newspapers* [2012] EWHC 355. (2012).

57.

European Court of Human Rights: **Von Hannover v Germany* (59320/00) (2004) 40 EHRR 1. (2004).

58.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): *Donald v Ntuli* [2011] 1 WLR 294. (2011).

59.

European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber): *Axel Springer v Germany* [2012] EMLR 15. (2012).

60.

European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber): *Von Hannover v Germany (No 2)* [2012] EMLR 16. (2012).

61.

Queen's Bench Division: **Mosley v News Group Newspapers Ltd* [2008] EWHC 1777 (QB),

[2008] EMLR 20. (2008).

62.

European Court of Human Rights: *Mosley v United Kingdom* [2012] EMLR 1. (2011).

63.

Court: House of Lords: **Campbell v Mirror Group Newspapers Ltd* [2004] UK HL 22, [2004] 2 AC 457. (2004).

64.

Douglas and Zeta Jones v Hello! Ltd [2005] 4 All ER 128. (2005).

65.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances: *Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights*. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2013).

66.

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad: *Intellectual property law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2009).

67.

Wadlow, Christopher: *The law of passing-off: unfair competition by misrepresentation*. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2004).

68.

Ricketson, S.: *Reaping without sowing: Unfair Competition and Intellectual Property Rights in Anglo-Australian Law*. *University of New South Wales Law Journal*. 7, (1984).

69.

Jennifer Davis: Why the United Kingdom should have a law against misappropriation. Cambridge Law Journal. 69, 561–581 (2010).

70.

Christopher Wadlow: Passing off at the crossroads again: a review article for Hazel Carty, An Analysis of the Economic Torts. European Intellectual Property Review. 33, 447–455 (2011).

71.

*Erven Warnink vs Townend [1979] A.C. 731. (1979).

72.

House of Lords: *Reckitt & Colman v Borden [1990] RPC 341; [1990] 1 WLR 491. (1990).

73.

Court: House of Lords: IRC v Muller & Co's Margarine Ltd [1901] AC 217. (1901).

74.

*Erven Warnink vs Townend [1979] A.C. 731. (1979).

75.

House of Lords: *Reddaway v Banham [1896] AC 199. (1896).

76.

Court: House of Lords: Edge (William) & Sons Ltd v William Niccolls & Sons Ltd [1911] AC 693. (1911).

77.

Court of Session (Outer House): John Haig & Co Ltd v Forth Blending Co Ltd (1953) 70 RPC 259. (1953).

78.

House of Lords: *Reckitt & Colman v Borden [1990] RPC 341; [1990] 1 WLR 491. (1990).

79.

Privy Council: Cadbury Schweppes Pty Ltd v Pub Squash Co Pty Ltd [1981] 1 WLR 193 (PC). (1981).

80.

Court: Chancery Division: Bollinger v Costa Brava Wine Co Ltd [1961] RPC 116. (1961).

81.

Court: Chancery Division: Vine Products Ltd v Mackenzie & Co Ltd [1969] RPC 1. (1969).

82.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): Diageo v Intercontinental Brands [2010] ETMR 57. (2010).

83.

Court: Court of Appeal: Anheuser-Busch Inc v Budejovicky Budvar Narodni Podnik, Budweiser Case [1984] FSR 413. (1984).

84.

Chancery Division: Waterman (Pete) Ltd v CBS United Kingdom Ltd [1993] EMLR 27. (1993).

85.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): Hotel Cipriani Srl v Cipriani (Grosvenor Street) Ltd [2010] RPC 16. (2010).

86.

Court: House of Lords: *Spalding (A.G.) & Bros v A.W. Gamage Ltd and Benetfink & Co Ltd (1915) 32 RPC 273 (HL). (1915).

87.

Chancery Division: *Irvine v Talksport Ltd [2002] 1 WLR 2355. (2002).

88.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): Taittinger SA v Allbev Ltd [1993] FSR 641. (1993).

89.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): Harrods v Harrodian School [1996] RPC 697. (1996).

90.

H1 Appeal from the High Court (Chancery Division): Arsenal v Reed [2003] RPC 39. (2003).

91.

Court: Court of Appeal: *L'Oreal SA v Bellure NV [2007] EWCA Civ 968, [2008] RPC 196. (2007).

92.

*Erven Warnink vs Townend [1979] A.C. 731. (1979).

93.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): Taittinger SA v Allbev Ltd [1993] FSR 641. (1993).

94.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): Taittinger SA v Allbev Ltd [1993] FSR 641. (1993).

95.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): Harrods v Harrodian School [1996] RPC 697. (1996).

96.

Chancery Division: *Irvine v Talksport Ltd [2002] 1 WLR 2355. (2002).

97.

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad: Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2009).

98.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances: Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2013).

99.

Jochen Pagenberg.: Trade dress and the three dimensional mark - the neglected children of trade mark law? International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law. 35, 831-843 (2004).

100.

Bergquist, J., Curley, D.: Shape trade marks and fast-moving consumer goods. European Intellectual Property Review. 17-24 (2008).

101.

Liakatou, V., Maniatis, S.: Lego - building a European concept of functionality. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 32, 653–656 (2010).

102.

Jeremy Phillips: Trade mark law and the need to keep free. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*. 36, 389–401 (2005).

103.

Davis, J.: The Need to Leave Free for Others to Use and the Trade Mark Common. In: *Trade mark use*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2005).

104.

Patricia Loughlan: Descriptive trade marks, fair use and consumer confusion. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 27, 443–445 (2005).

105.

Intellectual Property Office: Trade Marks Act 1994,
<http://www.ipo.gov.uk/pro-types/pro-tm/t-law.htm>, (1994).

106.

Trade Marks Directive 2008, <http://www.wipo.int/wipolex/en/details.jsp?id=5206>, (2008).

107.

Court: European Court of Justice: *Lloyd Schuhfabrik Meyer & Co GmbH v Klijsen Handel BV*: C-342/97 [1999] ECR I-3819, [1999] All ER (EC) 587. (1999).

108.

Intellectual Property Office: Trade Marks Act 1994,

<http://www.ipo.gov.uk/pro-types/pro-tm/t-law.htm>, (1994).

109.

Trade Marks Directive 2008, <http://www.wipo.int/wipolex/en/details.jsp?id=5206>, (2008).

110.

European Court of Justice: *Sieckmann v Deutsches Patent- und Markenamt (C-273/00) [2002] ECR I-11737; [2003] ETMR 37. (2002).

111.

Dyson Ltd v Registrar of Trade Marks (Case C-321/03) - [2007] ETMR 34. (2007).

112.

European Court of Justice: *Sieckmann v Deutsches Patent- und Markenamt (C-273/00) [2002] ECR I-11737; [2003] ETMR 37. (2002).

113.

European Court of Justice: *Sieckmann v Deutsches Patent- und Markenamt (C-273/00) [2002] ECR I-11737; [2003] ETMR 37. (2002).

114.

Court of First Instance: Eden SARL v Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) [2006] (T-305/04) ETMR 14. (2006).

115.

European Court of Justice (Sixth Chamber): Shield Mark BV v Joost Kist H.O.D.N. Memex (Case C-283/01) [2004] ETMR 33. (2004).

116.

*Libertel v Benelux Merkenbureau (Case C-104/01) [2003] ECR I-3793 [2003] ETMR 63. (2003).

117.

European Court of Justice: *Koninklijke Philips v Remington (Case C-299/99) [2002] ECR I-5475, [2002] ETMR 81. (2002).

118.

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber): SAT.1 SatellitenFernsehen GmbH v OHIM (Case C-329/02) (SAT.2), [2005] 1 CMLR 1546. (2005).

119.

*Libertel Groep BV v Benelux-Merkenbureau (Case C-104/01) - [2004] Ch 83. (2004).

120.

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber): SAT.1 SatellitenFernsehen GmbH v OHIM (Case C-329/02) (SAT.2), [2005] 1 CMLR 1546. (2005).

121.

Koninklijke Philips Electronics NV v Remington Consumer Products Ltd (Case C-299/99) - [2003] Ch 159. (2002).

122.

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber): SAT.1 SatellitenFernsehen GmbH v OHIM (Case C-329/02) (SAT.2), [2005] 1 CMLR 1546. (2005).

123.

*Libertel Groep BV v Benelux-Merkenbureau (Case C-104/01) - [2004] Ch 83. (2004).

124.

European Court of Justice (Sixth Chamber): Procter & Gamble v OHIM (Cases C-473/01 P and C-474/01 P) [2004] ETMR 89. (2004).

125.

Court: European Court of Justice: *Linde AG, Winward Industries Inc & Rado Uhren AG v Deutsches Patentund Markenamt (Cases C-53/01, 54/01 & 55/01) [2003] ECR-I 3161, [2003] RPC 803. (2003).

126.

European Court of Justice: *OHIM v Wm Wrigley Junior Co (DOUBLEMINT) (Case C-191/01 P) [2004] RPC 327, [2004] 1 WLR 1728. (2004).

127.

European Court of Justice: Windsurfing Chiemsee Produktions und Vertriebs GmbH v Boots und Segelzubehor Walter Huber (Cases C-108/97 and 109/97) [1999] ECR I-2779, [2000] 2 WLR 205. (1999).

128.

European Court of Justice: Windsurfing Chiemsee Produktions und Vertriebs GmbH v Boots und Segelzubehor Walter Huber (Cases C-108/97 and 109/97) [1999] ECR I-2779, [2000] 2 WLR 205. (1999).

129.

European Court of Justice: Procter & Gamble Company v OHIM (BABY DRY) (Case C-383/99 P) [2001] ECR I-6251, [2002] Ch 82. (2001).

130.

European Court of Justice: *OHIM v Wm Wrigley Junior Co (DOUBLEMINT) (Case C-191/01 P) [2004] RPC 327, [2004] 1 WLR 1728. (2004).

131.

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber): SAT.1 SatellitenFernsehen GmbH v OHIM (Case C-329/02) (SAT.2), [2005] 1 CMLR 1546. (2005).

132.

Merz & Krell (Case C-517/99) [2001] ECR I-6959. (2001).

133.

European Court of Justice: *Windsurfing Chiemsee Produktions und Vertriebs GmbH v Boots und Segelzubehor Walter Huber (Cases C-108/97 and 109/97) [1999] ECR I-2779, [2000] 2 WLR 205. (1999).

134.

European Court of Justice: Philips Electronics NV v Remington Consumer Products Ltd (Case C-299/99) [2002] ECR I-5475, [2002] All ER (EC) 634, [2002] 2 CMLR 1329. (2002).

135.

Dyson Ltd v Registrar of Trade Marks (Case C-321/03) - [2007] ETMR 34. (2007).

136.

European Court of Justice: *Koninklijke Philips v Remington (Case C-299/99) [2002] ECR I-5475, [2002] ETMR 81. (2002).

137.

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber): *Lego Juris v OHIM (Case C-48/09 P) [2010] ETMR 63. (2010).

138.

Trade Marks Registry (Appointed Person): Re Ghazilian's Trade Mark Application [2002] RPC 33. (2002).

139.

Trade Marks Registry (Appointed Person): Re Basic Trademark SA's Trade Mark Application [2005] RPC 25. (2005).

140.

Trade Marks Registry (Appointed Person): Dennis Woodman v French Connection [2007] RPC 1. (2007).

141.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances: Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2013).

142.

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad: Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2009).

143.

Andrew Griffiths: The impact of the global appreciation approach on the boundaries of trade mark protection. Intellectual Property Quarterly. 326-360 (2001).

144.

Phillips, Jeremy: Trade mark law: a practical anatomy. Oxford University Press, [Oxford] (2003).

145.

Joshi, R., Isaac, B.: What does identical mean? European Intellectual Property Review. 27, 184-187 (2005).

146.

Phillips, J.: Strong trade marks and the likelihood of confusion in European law. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*. 1, 385–397. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jiplp/jpl038>.

147.

Schechter, F.: The Rational Basis of Trade Mark Protection. *Harvard Law Review*. 40, (1927).

148.

Gangjee, D., Burrell, R.: Because You're Worth It: L'Oreal and the Prohibition on Free Riding. *Modern Law Review*. 73, 282–295.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2230.2010.00794.x>.

149.

Court: European Court of Justice: Lloyd Schuhfabrik Meyer & Co GmbH v Klijsen Handel BV: C-342/97 [1999] ECR I-3819, [1999] All ER (EC) 587. (1999).

150.

*Case C-291/00 LTJ Diffusion v Sadas Vertbaudet, [2003] ECR I-2799, [2003] ETMR 83. (2003).

151.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): Reed Executive Plc v Reed Business Information Ltd [2004] ETMR 56. (2004).

152.

European Court of Justice: *Case C-251/95 SABEL v Puma [1997] ECR I-6191, [1998] ETMR 1. (1998).

153.

Court: Court of First Instance, EC: Les Editions Albert Rene v OHIM (Case T-336/03) [2005] ECR II-4667. (2005).

154.

Court: European Court of Justice: Lloyd Schuhfabrik Meyer & Co GmbH v Klijsen Handel BV: C-342/97 [1999] ECR I-3819, [1999] All ER (EC) 587. (1999).

155.

European Court of Justice: *Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1. (1999).

156.

Office for Harmonization in the Internal Market (Opposition Division): Zanella SNC's Community Trade Mark Application (B.42053) [2000] ETMR 69. (2000).

157.

European Court of Justice: *Case C-251/95 SABEL v Puma [1997] ECR I-6191, [1998] ETMR 1. (1998).

158.

Marca Mode CV v Adidas AG and Adidas Benelux (Case C-425/98). (2000).

159.

European Court of Justice: *Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1. (1999).

160.

Court: European Court of Justice: Lloyd Schuhfabrik Meyer & Co GmbH v Klijsen Handel BV: C-342/97 [1999] ECR I-3819, [1999] All ER (EC) 587. (1999).

161.

European Court of Justice: *Case C-251/95 SABEL v Puma [1997] ECR I-6191, [1998] ETMR 1. (1998).

162.

European Court of Justice: *Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1. (1999).

163.

European Court of Justice: *Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1. (1999).

164.

European Court of Justice: *Case C-39/97 Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1998] ECR I-5507, [1999] ETMR 1. (1999).

165.

Case T-387/06 Inter-Ikea Systems BV v OHIM (IDEA/IKEA) [2009] ETMR 17. (2009).

166.

Advocate General's Opinion: *Case C-292/00 Davidoff & Cie SA, Zino Davidoff SA v Gofkid Ltd [2003] ECR I 389, [2002] ETMR 99. (2002).

167.

European Court of Justice (Sixth Chamber): *Case C-408/01 Adidas-Salomon AG & Adidas Benelux BV v Fitnessworld Trading Ltd [2004] ETMR 10. (2004).

168.

European Court of Justice (Sixth Chamber): *Case C-408/01 Adidas-Salomon AG & Adidas Benelux BV v Fitnessworld Trading Ltd [2004] ETMR 10. (2004).

169.

European Court of Justice: Case C-375/97 General Motors v Yplon [2000] RPC 572. (2000).

170.

Chancery Division: Julius Sämann Ltd v Tetrosyl Ltd [2006] EWHC 529. (2006).

171.

European Court of Justice (First Chamber): Case C-323/09 Interflora v Marks & Spencer plc [2012] ETMR 1. (2012).

172.

*Case C-252/07 Intel Corporation Inc v CPM United Kingdom Ltd [2009] ETMR 13. (2009).

173.

Benelux Court of Justice: Colgate-Palmolive BV v Koninklijke Distilleerderijen Erven Lucas Bols NV (1976) 7 IIC 420 (CLAERYN/KLAREIN). (1979).

174.

European Court of Justice (First Chamber): Case C-487/07 L'Oréal SA v Bellure NV [2009] ECR I-5185; [2010] RPC 1; [2009] ETMR 55 (ECJ). (2009).

175.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): L'Oréal SA v Bellure NV [2010] ETMR 47 (Court of Appeal). (2010).

176.

Intellectual Property Office: Trade Marks Act 1994,
<http://www.ipo.gov.uk/pro-types/pro-tm/t-law.htm>, (1994).

177.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances: Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2013).

178.

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad: Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2009).

179.

Helen Norman: Time to blow the whistle on trade mark use? Intellectual Property Quarterly. 1–34 (2004).

180.

Ilanah Simon: How does 'essential function' doctrine drive European mark trade law? International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law. 401–420 (2005).

181.

Andrew Griffiths: The trade mark monopoly: an analysis of the core zone of absolute protection under Art.5(1)(a). Intellectual Property Quarterly. 3, 312–349 (2007).

182.

M. Senftlebel: Bringing EU Trademark Law Back Into Shape – Lessons to Learn from Keyword Advertising,
<http://www.epip.eu/conferences/epip06/papers/Parallel%20Session%20Papers/>, (2011).

183.

Andrew Griffiths: The trade mark monopoly: an analysis of the core zone of absolute

protection under Art.5(1)(a). Intellectual Property Quarterly. 3, 312–349 (2007).

184.

Mothercare v Penguin Books [1988] R.P.C. 113. (1988).

185.

Helen Norman: Time to blow the whistle on trade mark use? Intellectual Property Quarterly. 1–34 (2004).

186.

*Case C-291/00 LT] Diffusion v Sadas Vertbaudet, [2003] ECR I-2799, [2003] ETMR 83. (2003).

187.

European Court of Justice: Case C-63/97 BMW v Deenik [1999] ECR I-905, [1999] 1 CMLR 1099. (1999).

188.

European Court of Justice: Case C-2/00 Hölterhoff v Ulrich Freiesleben [2002] ETMR 917. (2002).

189.

European Court of Justice: *Case C-206/01 Arsenal Football Club plc v Reed, [2002] ECR I-10273, [2003] ETMR 19 (ECJ). (2003).

190.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): *Arsenal Football Club plc v Reed [2003] ETMR 73 (CA). (2003).

191.

House of Lords: *R v Johnstone [2004] ETMR 2. (2004).

192.

Case C-48/05 Adam Opel v Autec [2007] ETMR 33. (2007).

193.

Google France SARL and another v Louis Vuitton Malletier SA Google France SARL v Viaticum SA and another Google France SARL v Centre national de recherche en relations humaines (CNRRH) SARL and others - [2010] All ER (D) 23 (Apr). (2010).

194.

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber): Case C-17/06 Céline v Céline SA [2007] ECR I-7041, [2007] ETMR 80. (2007).

195.

Chancery Division: RxWorks Ltd v Hunter [2007] EWHC 3061. (2007).

196.

Google France SARL and another v Louis Vuitton Malletier SA Google France SARL v Viaticum SA and another Google France SARL v Centre national de recherche en relations humaines (CNRRH) SARL and others - [2010] All ER (D) 23 (Apr). (2010).

197.

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber): Case C-324/09 L'Oreal SA v eBay International AG [2011] ETMR 53. (2011).

198.

European Court of Justice (First Chamber): Case C-323/09 Interflora v Marks & Spencer plc [2012] ETMR 1. (2012).

199.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances: Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2013).

200.

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad: Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2009).

201.

Roughton, A.: Permitted Infringing Use: the Scope of Defences to an Infringement Action. In: Trade mark use. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2005).

202.

Ilanah Simon: Nominative use and honest practices in industrial and commercial matters - a very European history. Intellectual Property Quarterly. 117-147 (2007).

203.

Honestly, neither Celine nor Gillette is defensible! European Intellectual Property Review. 30, 286-293 (2008).

204.

Christophe Geiger: Trade marks and freedom of expression - the proportionality of criticism. International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law. 38, 317-327 (2007).

205.

Claire Howell: Trade marks: what constitutes 'genuine use'? Laboratoires Goemar SA v La Mer Technology. European Intellectual Property Review. 28, 118-121 (2006).

206.

Phillips, Jeremy: Trade mark law: a practical anatomy. Oxford University Press, [Oxford] (2003).

207.

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber): Case C-17/06 Céline v Céline SA [2007] ECR I-7041, [2007] ETMR 80. (2007).

208.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): Hotel Cipriani Srl v Cipriani (Grosvenor Street) Ltd [2010] RPC 16. (2010).

209.

Patents County Court: Redd Solicitors LLP v Red Legal Ltd [2012] EWPC 54, [2013] ETMR 13. (2013).

210.

Case C-48/05 Adam Opel v Autec [2007] ETMR 33. (2007).

211.

European Court of Justice (Fifth Chamber): Case C-100/02 Gerolsteiner & Brunnen GmbH & Co. v Putsch GmbH [2004] ETMR 40. (2004).

212.

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber): *Case 228/03 Gillette Company v LA-Laboratories Ltd Case [2005] ETMR 67. (2005).

213.

European Court of Justice (Fifth Chamber): Case C-100/02 Gerolsteiner & Brunnen GmbH & Co. v Putsch GmbH [2004] ETMR 40. (2004).

214.

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber): *Case 228/03 Gillette Company v LA-Laboratories Ltd Case [2005] ETMR 67. (2005).

215.

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber): Case C-17/06 Céline v Céline SA [2007] ECR I-7041, [2007] ETMR 80. (2007).

216.

European Court of Justice (First Chamber): Case C-487/07 L'Oréal SA v Bellure NV [2009] ECR I-5185; [2010] RPC 1; [2009] ETMR 55 (ECJ). (2009).

217.

Dowie-Whybrow, M.: Core statutes on intellectual property. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2013).

218.

European Court of Justice (First Chamber): *Case C-533/06 O2 Holdings Ltd v Hutchison 3G UK Ltd [2008] ETMR 55. (2008).

219.

European Court of Justice (First Chamber): Case C-487/07 L'Oréal SA v Bellure NV [2009] ECR I-5185; [2010] RPC 1; [2009] ETMR 55 (ECJ). (2009).

220.

European Court of Justice: *Case C-40/01 Ansul BV and Ajax Brandbeveiliging BV (Minimax) [2003] ECR I-2439, [2003] ETMR 85. (2003).

221.

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber): Case C-259/02 La Mer Technology Inc v Laboratoires Goemar SA [2004] ETMR 47. (2004).

222.

Silberquelle GmbH v Maselli-Strickmode GmbH (C-495/07). (2009).

223.

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber): Case C-246/05 Armin Häupl v Lidl Stiftung & Co KG [2007] ETMR 61. (2007).

224.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances: Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2013).

225.

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad: Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2009).

226.

Stothers, Christopher: Parallel trade in Europe: intellectual property, competition and regulatory law. Hart, Oxford (2007).

227.

Gill Grassie: Parallel imports and trade marks - where are we? Part 1. European Intellectual Property Review. 28, 474-479 (2006).

228.

Gill Grassie: Parallel imports and trade marks: Part 2: the repackaging cases. European Intellectual Property Review. 28, 513-516 (2006).

229.

Keeling, David T.: Intellectual property rights in EU law: Vol. 1: Free movement and competition law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2003).

230.

Fhima, I.S.: The Court of Justice's protection of the advertising function of trade marks: an (almost) sceptical analysis. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*. 6, 325-329 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1093/jiplp/jpr004>.

231.

European Court of Justice: Case 8/74 Procureur du Roi v Dassonville [1974] ECR 837 at 852, [1974] 2 CMLR 436. (1974).

232.

European Court of Justice: Cases C-15 & 16/74 Centrafarm v Sterling Drug, Centrafarm v Winthrop [1974] ECR 1147, 1183, [1974] 2 CMLR 480. (1974).

233.

European Court of Justice: Case C-317/91 Deutsche Renault AG v Audi AG [1993] ECR I-6227, [1995] 1 CMLR 461. (1995).

234.

European Court of Justice: Case C-355/96 Silhouette International Schmied GmbH v Hartlauer Handelsgesellschaft mbH [1998] ECR I-4799, [1998] 2 CMLR 953. (1998).

235.

European Court of Justice: *Case C-414/99 Zino Davidoff SA v A&G Imports Ltd [2001] ECR I-8691, [2002] Ch 109, [2002] 1 CMLR 1. (2002).

236.

European Court of Justice: *Case C-414/99 Zino Davidoff SA v A&G Imports Ltd [2001] ECR I-8691, [2002] Ch 109, [2002] 1 CMLR 1. (2002).

237.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): Mastercigars Direct Ltd v Hunters and Frankau [2007] ETMR 54. (2007).

238.

European Court of Justice (Fifth Chamber): Case C-173/98 Sebago Inc and Ancienne Maison Dubois v GB Unic SA [1999] ETMR 681. (1999).

239.

European Court of Justice: *Case C-16/03 Peak Holding v Axolin-Elinor [2004] ECR I-11313, [2005] Ch 261, [2005] 2 WLR 650. (2005).

240.

Case C-324/09 L'Oréal v eBay International [2011] RPC 27. (2011).

241.

Case C-59/08 Copad SA v Christian Dior Couture [2009] FSR 859 (22). (2009).

242.

European Court of Justice: *Case C-427/93 Bristol-Myers Squibb v Paranova [1996] ECR I-3457, [1997] 1 CMLR 1151. (1997).

243.

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber): *Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II"), [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52. (2007).

244.

European Court of Justice: Case C-379/97 Pharmacia & Upjohn SA v Paranova A/S ("Paranova II") [1999] ECR I-6927, [2000] 1 CMLR 51. (2000).

245.

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber): *Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II"), [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52. (2007).

246.

European Court of Justice: *Case C-427/93 Bristol-Myers Squibb v Paranova [1996] ECR I-3457, [1997] 1 CMLR 1151. (1997).

247.

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber): *Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II"), [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52. (2007).

248.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward [2008] EWCA Civ 83, [2008] ETMR 36. (2008).

249.

European Court of Justice: *Case C-143/00 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer I") [2002] ECR I-3759, [2002] All ER (EC) 581. (2002).

250.

Chancery Division (Patents Court): Glaxo Group Ltd v Dowelhurst Ltd (No 2) [2000] FSR 529. (2000).

251.

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber): *Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II"), [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52. (2007).

252.

European Court of Justice (Second Chamber): *Case C-348/04 Boehringer Ingelheim v Swingward Ltd and Dowelhurst ("Boehringer II"), [2007] ECR I-3391, [2007] 2 CMLR 52. (2007).

253.

Advocate Generals Opinion: *Case C-337/95 Parfums Christian Dior SA v Evora BV [1997] ECR I-1603. (1997).

254.

Case C-59/08 Copad SA v Christian Dior Couture [2009] FSR 859 (22). (2009).

255.

Case C-324/09 L'Oréal v eBay International [2011] RPC 27. (2011).

256.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances: Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2013).

257.

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad: Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2009).

258.

Pila, J.: Copyright and Its Categories of Original Works. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies. 30, 229–254. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/gqq009>.

259.

Spence, M.: Justifying Copyright. In: Dear images: art, copyright and culture. pp. 389-403. Ridinghouse:, ICA, London (2002).

260.

Irini A. Stamatoudi: 'Joy' for the claimant: can a film also be protected as a dramatic work? Intellectual Property Quarterly. 117-126 (2000).

261.

Richard Arnold: Joy: a reply. Intellectual Property Quarterly. 10-21 (2001).

262.

Richard Arnold: Copyright in photographs: a case for reform. European Intellectual Property Review. 27, 303-305 (2005).

263.

Andreas Rahmatian: Music and creativity as perceived by copyright law. Intellectual Property Quarterly. 267-293 (2005).

264.

Tania S.L. Cheng: Does copyright law confer a monopoly over unpreserved cows? European Intellectual Property Review. 276-281 (2006).

265.

Silver, I., Lee, P.: Protecting your Rights – Copyright in Computer Games: Nova Productions and Mazooma Games Ltd. European Intellectual Property Review. 29, 251-255 (2007).

266.

Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988,
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1988/48/contents>, (1988).

267.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): *Norowzian v Arks Ltd (No 2) [1999] FSR 79, [2000] FSR 363 (CA). (2000).

268.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): Baigent & Leigh v Random House [2007] EWCA Civ 247, [2007] FSR 24. (2007).

269.

Chancery Division: Nova Productions Ltd v. Mazooma Games Ltd [2006] RPC 14. (2006).

270.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): Nova Productions Ltd v. Mazooma Games Ltd [2007] RPC 25. (2007).

271.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): Exxon Corp v Exxon Insurance Consultants International Ltd [1982] Ch 119. (1982).

272.

University of London Press v University Tutorial Press [1916] 2 Ch 601. (1916).

273.

*Newspaper Licensing Agency Ltd v Meltwater Holding BV [2012] RPC 1. (2012).

274.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): *Norowzian v Arks Ltd (No 2) [1999] FSR 79, [2000] FSR 363 (CA). (2000).

275.

Chancery Division: Nova Productions Ltd v. Mazooma Games Ltd [2006] RPC 14. (2006).

276.

Chancery Division: Lawson v Dundas. (1985).

277.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): *Sawkins v Hyperion Records [2005] RPC 32; [2005] 1 WLR 3281. (2005).

278.

Chancery Division: Creation Records v News Group Newspapers [1997] EMLR 444. (1997).

279.

Metix (UK) Ltd v G.H. Maughan (Plastics) Ltd [1997] FSR 718. (1997).

280.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): Nova Productions Ltd v. Mazooma Games Ltd [2007] RPC 25 (CA). (2007).

281.

Court: Chancery Division: British Northrop Ltd v Texteam Blackburn Ltd [1974] RPC 57. (1974).

282.

Merchandising Corporation of America v Harpbond [1983] FSR 32. (1983).

283.

Supreme Court: *Lucasfilms v Ainsworth [2009] FSR 2. (2009).

284.

Supreme Court: *Lucasfilms v Ainsworth [2009] FSR 2. (2009).

285.

House of Lords: George Hensher Ltd v Restawile Upholstery (Lancs) Ltd [1976] AC 64. (1976).

286.

University of London Press v University Tutorial Press [1916] 2 Ch 601. (1916).

287.

House of Lords: Ladbroke (Football) Ltd v William Hill (Football) Ltd [1964] 1 WLR 273. (1964).

288.

Walter v Lane [1900] AC 539. (1900).

289.

Express Newspapers plc v News (UK) Ltd and others - [1990] 3 All ER 376. (1990).

290.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, David, Aplin, Tanya Frances: Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2013).

291.

Bently, Lionel, Sherman, Brad: Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2009).

292.

Nigel P. Gravells: Authorship and originality: the persistent influence of *Walter v Lane*. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*. 3, 267–293 (2007).

293.

Zemer, L.: Contribution and collaboration in joint authorship: too many misconceptions. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*. 1, 283–292.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/jiplp/jpl005>.

294.

Rebecca Baines: Copyright in commissioned works: a cause for uncertainty. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 27, 122–123 (2005).

295.

David Booton: The informal acquisition of copyright. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*. 1, 28–49 (2011).

296.

Laddie, Justice: Copyright: over-strength, over-regulated, over-rated? *European Intellectual Property Review*. 18, 253–260 (1996).

297.

Helberger, N., Dufft, N., Van Gompel, S., Bernt Hugenholtz, P.: Never forever: why extending the term of protection for sound recordings is a bad idea. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 30, 174–181 (2008).

298.

Estelle Derclaye: Infopaq International A/S v Danske Dagblades Forening (C-5/08): wonderful or worrisome? The impact of the ECJ ruling in Infopaq on UK copyright law. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 247–251 (2010).

299.

University of London Press v University Tutorial Press [1916] 2 Ch 601. (1916).

300.

House of Lords: Ladbroke (Football) Ltd v William Hill (Football) Ltd [1964] 1 WLR 273. (1964).

301.

Walter v Lane [1900] AC 539. (1900).

302.

Express Newspapers plc v News (UK) Ltd and others - [1990] 3 All ER 376. (1990).

303.

Privy Council (Hong Kong): *Interlego AG v Tyco Industries Inc [1989] AC 217. (1989).

304.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): *Sawkins v Hyperion Records [2005] RPC 32; [2005] 1 WLR 3281. (2005).

305.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): ZYX Music GmbH v King [1995] 3 All ER 1, [1997] 2 All ER 129. (1997).

306.

Chancery Division: Antiquesportfolio.Com Plc v. Rodney Fitch & Company Limited [2001] ECDR 5. (2001).

307.

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber): *Case C-145/10 Eva-Maria Painer v Standard Verlags GmbH, [2012] ECDR 6. (2012).

308.

Patents County Court: *Temple Island Collections Ltd v New English Teas Ltd [2012] FSR 9. (2012).

309.

*Infopaq International v Danske Dagblades Forening (Case C-5/08). (2009).

310.

European Court of Justice (Third Chamber): *Case C-145/10 Eva-Maria Painer v Standard Verlags GmbH, [2012] ECDR 6. (2012).

311.

*Newspaper Licensing Agency Ltd v Meltwater Holding BV [2012] RPC 1. (2012).

312.

House of Lords: Newspaper Licensing Agency v Marks & Spencer Plc [2001] Ch 257 (CA); [2003] 1 AC 551 (HL). (2003).

313.

Chancery Division: *Robin Ray v Classic FM [1998] FSR 622. (1998).

314.

Chancery Division: Brighton v Jones [2005] FSR 16. (2005).

315.

Chancery Division: Hadley v Kemp [1999] EMLR 589. (1999).

316.

House of Lords: Fisher v Brooker [2007] EMLR 9; [2007] FSR 12 (Ch D); [2008] EMLR 13 (CA); [2009] 1 WLR 1764 (HL). (2009).

317.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): Beckingham v Hodgins [2003] ECDR 6 (Ch D); [2003] EMLR 18 (CA). (2003).

318.

Court: Court of Appeal: Stevenson (or Stephenson) Jordan and Harrison Ltd v MacDonald and Evans (1952) 1 TLR 101. (1952).

319.

Chancery Division: *Noah v Shuba [1991] FSR 14. (1991).

320.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): Griggs Group Ltd v Evans [2005] FSR 31. (2005).