

ARCLG347: Laboratory and instrumental skills in archaeological science

[View Online](#)

1

Archaeometry. ;**49**

.<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/doi/10.1111/arch.2007.49.issue-2/issuetoc>
;jsessionid=C29BB0DA1059927413EA82D1C17CC253.d03t04

2

Archaeometry. ;**50**

.<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/doi/10.1111/arch.2008.50.issue-6/issuetoc>

3

Archaeometry. ;**50**

.<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/doi/10.1111/arch.2008.50.issue-6/issuetoc>

4

Artioli G, Angelini I. Scientific methods and cultural heritage: an introduction to the application of materials science to archaeometry and conservation science. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2010. <http://UCL.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=618614>

5

Bowman S. Science and the past. London: : British Museum Press 1991.

6

Brothwell DR, Pollard AM. Handbook of archaeological sciences. Chichester: : John Wiley 2001.

7

Demortier G, Adriaens A, European Cooperation in the Field of Scientific and Technical Research (Organization). COST G1 (Project), et al. Ion beam study of art and archaeological objects. Luxembourg: : Office for Official Publications of the European Communities 2000.

8

Dran J-C, Salomon J, Calligaro T, et al. Ion beam analysis of art works: 14 years of use in the Louvre. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms 2004;**219-220**:7-15.
doi:10.1016/j.nimb.2004.01.019

9

Ciliberto E, Spoto G. Modern analytical methods in art and archaeology. New York: : Wiley 2000.

10

Edwards HGM, Chalmers JM, Royal Society of Chemistry (Great Britain). Raman spectroscopy in archaeology and art history. Cambridge: : Royal Society of Chemistry 2005.

11

Giumlia-Mair A, Albertson C, Boschian G, et al. Surface characterisation techniques in the study and conservation of art and archaeological artefacts: a review. Materials Technology 2010;**25**:245-61. doi:10.1179/175355510X12850784228001

12

Goffe Z. Archaeological chemistry. 2nd ed. Hoboken, N.J.: : Wiley 2007.

13

Henderson J. Scientific analysis in archaeology and its interpretation. Oxford: : Oxford University Committee for Archaeology, Institute of Archaeology 1989.

14

Henderson J. The science and archaeology of materials: an investigation of inorganic materials. London: : Routledge 2000.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/UCL/detail.action?docID=1144554&pq-origsite=primo>

15

Janssens KHA, Grieken R van. Non-destructive microanalysis of cultural heritage materials. Amsterdam, London: : Elsevier 2004.

16

Lambert JB. Traces of the past: unraveling the secrets of archaeology through chemistry. Reading, Mass: : Addison-Wesley 1997.

17

Martini M, Milazzo M, Piacentini M, et al. Physics methods in archaeometry. Amsterdam: : IOS Press 2004.

18

Martinón-Torres M, Rehren T. Archaeology, history and science: integrating approaches to ancient materials. Walnut Creek, CA: : Left Coast Press 2008.

19

Moreau J-F. Proceedings: ISA 2006 : 36th International Symposium on Archaeometry : 2-6 May 2006, Quebec City, Canada. Québec: : CELAT, Université Laval 2009.

20

Arthur M. Sackler Colloquia of the National Academy of Sciences, National Academy of Sciences (U.S.). Scientific examination of art: modern techniques in conservation and analysis : National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D.C., March 19-21, 2003. Washington, D.C.: : National Academies Press 2005.

21

Nesse WD. Introduction to optical mineralogy. 3rd ed. New York: : Oxford University Press 2004.

22

Olsen SL. Scanning electron microscopy in archaeology. Oxford: : B.A.R. 1988.
doi:<https://doi.org/10.30861/9780860545798>

23

Parkes PA. Current scientific techniques in archaeology. London: : Croom Helm 1986.

24

Pérez-Arantegui J, editor. Proceedings of the 34th International Symposium on Archaeometry. 2006.<http://ifc.dpz.es/publicaciones/ebooks/id/2610>

25

Pollard AM, Heron C, Royal Society of Chemistry (Great Britain), et al. Archaeological chemistry. Cambridge: : Royal Society of Chemistry 2017.

26

Pollard AM, Batt C, Young S, et al. Analytical chemistry in archaeology. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2007.

27

Shackley MS. An Introduction to X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) Analysis in Archaeology. In: Shackley MS, ed. X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometry (XRF) in Geoarchaeology. New York, NY: : Springer New York 2011. 7-44. doi:10.1007/978-1-4419-6886-9_2

28

Torrence R, Rehren T, Martinon-Torres M. Scoping the Future of Archaeological Science: Papers in Honour of Richard Klein. Journal of Archaeological Science 2015;**56**.
<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/03054403/56>

29

Uda M, Demortier G, Nakai I, et al. X-rays for archaeology. Dordrecht: : Springer 2005.
<https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/1-4020-3581-0>

30

Adriaens A. Non-destructive analysis and testing of museum objects: An overview of 5 years of research. Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy 2005;**60**:1503-16.
doi:10.1016/j.sab.2005.10.006

31

De Atley SP, Bishop RL. Toward an integrated interface for archaeology and archaeometry. In: The ceramic legacy of Anna O. Shepard. Niwot, Colo: : University Press of Colorado 1991.
358-81.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=724ac537-6915-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

32

Hamilton E. The four scales of technical analysis; or 'how to make archaeometry more useful. In: Exploring the role of analytical scale in archaeological interpretation. Oxford: : Archaeopress 2004.
45-8.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=1dfefd87-db0c-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

33

Killick D. Archaeology and archaeometry: From casual dating to a meaningful relationship? *Antiquity* 1997;**71**:518–24. <http://search.proquest.com/docview/217552149?accountid=14511>

34

Killick D. The awkward adolescence of archaeological science. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 2015;**56**:242–7. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2015.01.010

35

Jones A. *Archaeological theory and scientific practice*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2001. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511606069>

36

Jones A. Archaeometry and materiality: materials-based analysis in theory and practice*. *Archaeometry* 2004;**46**:327–38. doi:10.1111/j.1475-4754.2004.00161.x

37

Martinón-Torres M. Why should archaeologists take history and science seriously? In: *Archaeology, history and science: integrating approaches to ancient materials*. Walnut Creek, CA: : Left Coast Press 2008. 15–36. http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45457.pdf

38

Martinón-Torres M, Killick DC. Archaeological Theories and Archaeological Sciences. In: Gardner A, Lake M, Sommer U, eds. *The Oxford Handbook of Archaeological Theory*. 2015. <http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199567942.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199567942-e-004?rskey=F3hTAd&result=1>

39

Rehren T. Qantir-Piramesses and the organisation of the Egyptian glass industry. In: *The social context of technological change: Egypt and the Near East, 1650-1550 B.C.* :

proceedings of a conference held at St Edmund Hall, Oxford, 12-14 September 2000.
Oxford: : Oxbow 2001.

223-138.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=eadf6446-d60c-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

40

Sillar B, Tite MS. The challenge of 'Technological choices' for materials science approaches in archaeology. *Archaeometry* 2000;**42**:2-20. doi:10.1111/j.1475-4754.2000.tb00863.x

41

Tite MS. Overview - materials study in archaeology. In: *Handbook of archaeological sciences*. Chichester: : John Wiley 2001.

443-8.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=db56c214-7a15-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

42

Orton C. *Sampling in archaeology*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2000.
doi:10.1017/CBO9781139163996

43

Tite MS. Archaeological Collections: Invasive Sampling versus Object Integrity. *Papers from the Institute of Archaeology* 2002;**13**. doi:10.5334/pia.189

44

Tubb KW. Irreconcilable Differences? Problems with Unprovenanced Antiquities. *Papers from the Institute of Archaeology* 2007;**18**. doi:10.5334/pia.294

45

Hancock RGV. Elemental analysis. In: *Modern analytical methods in art and archaeology*. New York: : Wiley 2000. 11-20.

46

Shackley M. An introduction to X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) analysis in archaeology. In: X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (XRF) in geoarchaeology. New York: : Springer 2011. 7-44.
doi:10.1007/978-1-4419-6886-9_2

47

Shackley M. An introduction to X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) analysis in archaeology. In: X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (XRF) in geoarchaeology. New York: : Springer 2011. 7-44.
doi:10.1007/978-1-4419-6886-9_2

48

Contrey RM, Goodman-Elgar M, Bettencourt N, et al. Calibration of a portable X-ray fluorescence spectrometer in the analysis of archaeological samples using influence coefficients. *Geochemistry: Exploration, Environment, Analysis* 2014;**14**
.http://geea.lyellcollection.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/content/14/3/291.full.pdf

49

Frahm E, Doonan RCP. The technological versus methodological revolution of portable XRF in archaeology. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 2013;**40**:1425-34.
doi:10.1016/j.jas.2012.10.013

50

Shackley M. Is there reliability and validity in portable X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (XRF)? *SAA archaeological record* 2010;;17-20.

51

Shackley MS. Portable X-ray Fluorescence Spectrometry (pXRF): The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly. *Archaeology Southwest Magazine* 2012;**26**
.http://www.archaeologysouthwest.org/pdf/pXRF_essay_shackley.pdf

52

Shugar AN, Mass JL. *Handheld XRF for art and archaeology*. Leuven: : Leuven University

Press 2012. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt9qdzfs>

53

Shugar AN. Portable X-ray Fluorescence and Archaeology: Limitations of the Instrument and Suggested Methods To Achieve Desired Results. In: Armitage RA, Burton JH, eds. Archaeological chemistry VIII. Washington, DC: : American Chemical Society 2013. 173–89.

54

Tykot RH. Using Nondestructive Portable X-ray Fluorescence Spectrometers on Stone, Ceramics, Metals, and Other Materials in Museums: Advantages and Limitations. Applied Spectroscopy 2016;**70**:42–56. doi:10.1177/0003702815616745

55

Charalambous A, Kassianidou V, Papasavvas G. A compositional study of Cypriot bronzes dating to the Early Iron Age using portable X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (pXRF). Journal of Archaeological Science 2014;**46**:205–16. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2014.03.006

56

Dussubieux L, Walder H. Identifying American native and European smelted coppers with pXRF: a case study of artifacts from the Upper Great Lakes region. Journal of Archaeological Science 2015;**59**:169–78. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2015.04.011

57

Kearns T, Martínón-Torres M, Rehren T. Metal to mould: alloy identification in experimental casting moulds using XRF. Historical metallurgy: journal of the Historical Metallurgy Society 2010;**44**:48–58.

58

Martínón-Torres M, Li XJ, Bevan A, et al. Forty Thousand Arms for a Single Emperor: From Chemical Data to the Labor Organization Behind the Bronze Arrows of the Terracotta Army. Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory 2014;**21**:534–62. doi:10.1007/s10816-012-9158-z

59

Martinón-Torres M, Valcárcel Rojas R, Sáenz Samper J, et al. Metallic encounters in Cuba: The technology, exchange and meaning of metals before and after Columbus. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 2012;**31**:439–54. doi:10.1016/j.jaa.2012.03.006

60

Martinón-Torres M, Uribe-Villegas MA. The prehistoric individual, connoisseurship and archaeological science: The Muisca goldwork of Colombia. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 2015;**63**:136–55. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2015.08.014

61

Nicholas M, Manti P. Testing the applicability of handheld portable XRF to the characterisation of archaeological copper alloys. In: Bridgland J, ed. ICOM-CC 17th Triennial Conference Preprints, Melbourne. Paris: International Council of Museums 15AD. <http://orca.cf.ac.uk/65469/>

62

Orfanou V, Rehren Th. A (not so) dangerous method: pXRF vs. EPMA-WDS analyses of copper-based artefacts. *Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences* 2015;**7**:387–97. doi:10.1007/s12520-014-0198-z

63

Scott RB, Eekelers K, Degryse P. Quantitative Chemical Analysis of Archaeological Slag Material Using Handheld X-ray Fluorescence Spectrometry. *Applied Spectroscopy* 2016;**70**:94–109. doi:10.1177/0003702815616741

64

Scott RB, Eekelers K, Fredericks L, et al. A methodology for qualitative archaeometallurgical fieldwork using a handheld X-ray fluorescence spectrometer. *STAR: Science & Technology of Archaeological Research* 2015;**1**:70–80. doi:10.1080/20548923.2016.1183941

65

Forster N, Grave P, Vickery N, et al. Non-destructive analysis using PXRF: methodology and application to archaeological ceramics. *X-Ray Spectrometry* 2011;**40**:389–98. doi:10.1002/xrs.1360

66

Goren Y, Mommsen H, Klinger J. Non-destructive provenance study of cuneiform tablets using portable X-ray fluorescence (pXRF). *Journal of Archaeological Science* 2011;**38**:684–96. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2010.10.020

67

Hunt AMW, Speakman RJ. Portable XRF analysis of archaeological sediments and ceramics. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 2015;**53**:626–38. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2014.11.031

68

Speakman RJ, Little NC, Creel D, et al. Sourcing ceramics with portable XRF spectrometers? A comparison with INAA using Mimbres pottery from the American Southwest. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 2011;**38**:3483–96. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2011.08.011

69

Dungworth D, Girbal B. Walmer Castle, Deal, Kent: Analysis of Window Glass. English Heritage Research Department Report Series 2011;**2011**. <http://archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/archives/view/greylit/details.cfm?id=11363>

70

Liu S, Li QH, Gan F, et al. Silk Road glass in Xinjiang, China: chemical compositional analysis and interpretation using a high-resolution portable XRF spectrometer. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 2012;**39**:2128–42. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2012.02.035

71

Nazaroff AJ, Prufer KM, Drake BL. Assessing the applicability of portable X-ray fluorescence spectrometry for obsidian provenance research in the Maya lowlands. *Journal of*

Archaeological Science 2010;**37**:885–95. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2009.11.019

72

Frahm E. Validity of 'off-the-shelf' handheld portable XRF for sourcing Near Eastern obsidian chip debris. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 2013;**40**:1080–92. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2012.06.038

73

Frahm E. Silo science and portable XRF in archaeology: a response to Speakman and Shackley. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 2013;**40**:1435–43. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2012.09.033

74

Frahm E. Is obsidian sourcing about geochemistry or archaeology? A reply to Speakman and Shackley. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 2013;**40**:1444–8. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2012.10.001

75

Milić M. PXRF characterisation of obsidian from central Anatolia, the Aegean and central Europe. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 2014;**41**:285–96. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2013.08.002

76

Grave P, Attenbrow V, Sutherland L, et al. Non-destructive pXRF of mafic stone tools. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 2012;**39**:1674–86. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2011.11.011

77

Ogburn D, Sillar B, Sierra JC. Evaluating effects of chemical weathering and surface contamination on the in situ provenance analysis of building stones in the Cuzco region of Peru with portable XRF. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 2013;**40**:1823–37. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2012.09.023

78

Potts PJ, Williams-Thorpe O, Webb PC. The Bulk Analysis of Silicate Rocks by Portable X-Ray Fluorescence: Effect of Sample Mineralogy in Relation to the Size of the Excited Volume. *Geostandards and Geoanalytical Research* 1997;**21**:29-41.
doi:10.1111/j.1751-908X.1997.tb00529.x

79

Colombo C, Bracci S, Conti C, et al. Non-invasive approach in the study of polychrome terracotta sculptures: employment of the portable XRF to investigate complex stratigraphy. *X-Ray Spectrometry* 2011;**40**:273-9. doi:10.1002/xrs.1336

80

Chaplin TD, Clark RJH, Martín-Álvarez-Torres M. A combined Raman microscopy, XRF and SEM-EDX study of three valuable objects - A large painted leather screen and two illuminated title pages in 17th century books of ordinances of the Worshipful Company of Barbers, London. *Journal of Molecular Structure* 2010;**976**:350-9.
doi:10.1016/j.molstruc.2010.03.042

81

Eliyahu-Behar A, Shilstein S, Raban-Gerstel N, et al. An integrated approach to reconstructing primary activities from pit deposits: iron smithing and other activities at Tel Dor under Neo-Assyrian domination. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 2008;**35**:2895-908.
doi:10.1016/j.jas.2008.06.004

82

Gauss RK, Břitora J, Nowaczinski E, et al. The Early Bronze Age settlement of Fidvár, Vrábľe (Slovakia): reconstructing prehistoric settlement patterns using portable XRF. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 2013;**40**:2942-60. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2013.01.029

83

Freestone IC, Middleton AP. Mineralogical applications of the analytical SEM in archaeology. *Mineralogical Magazine* 1987;**51**:21-31.
http://www.minersoc.org/pages/Archive-MM/Volume_51/51-359-21.pdf

84

Ingo GM, Balbi S, de Caro T, et al. Combined use of SEM-EDS, OM and XRD for the characterization of corrosion products grown on silver roman coins. *Applied Physics A* 2006;**83**:493–7. doi:10.1007/s00339-006-3533-0

85

Martinón-Torres M, Uribe-Villegas MA. The prehistoric individual, connoisseurship and archaeological science: The Muisca goldwork of Colombia. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 2015;**63**:136–55. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2015.08.014

86

Sax M, Walsh JM, Freestone IC, et al. The origins of two purportedly pre-Columbian Mexican crystal skulls. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 2008;**35**:2751–60. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2008.05.007

87

Abe Y, Nakai I, Takahashi K, et al. On-site analysis of archaeological artifacts excavated from the site on the outcrop at Northwest Saqqara, Egypt, by using a newly developed portable fluorescence spectrometer and diffractometer. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry* 2009;**395**:1987–96. doi:10.1007/s00216-009-3141-x

88

Cotte M, Dumas P, Taniguchi Y, et al. Recent applications and current trends in Cultural Heritage Science using synchrotron-based Fourier transform infrared micro-spectroscopy. *Comptes Rendus Physique* 2009;**10**:590–600. doi:10.1016/j.crhy.2009.03.016

89

De Benedetto GE, Laviano R, Sabbatini L, et al. Infrared spectroscopy in the mineralogical characterization of ancient pottery. *Journal of Cultural Heritage* 2002;**3**:177–86. doi:10.1016/S1296-2074(02)01178-0

90

Eiland ML, Williams Q. Investigation of Islamic ceramics from Tell Tuneinir using X-ray diffraction. *Geoarchaeology* 2001;**16**:875–903. doi:10.1002/gea.1025

91

Ricciardi P, Colomban P, Tournié A, et al. A non-invasive study of Roman Age mosaic glass tesserae by means of Raman spectroscopy. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 2009;**36**:2551–9. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2009.07.008

92

Derrick MR, Stulik DC, Landry JM. *Infrared Spectroscopy in Conservation Science - infrared spectroscopy*. Los Angeles: : Getty Conservation Institute 1999.
<http://www.getty.edu/publications/virtuallibrary/0892364696.html>

93

Young ML, Casadio F, Schnepf S, et al. Non-invasive characterization of manufacturing techniques and corrosion of ancient Chinese bronzes and a later replica using synchrotron X-ray diffraction. *Applied Physics A* 2010;**100**:635–46. doi:10.1007/s00339-010-5646-8

94

Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences. ;**1**
[.http://link.springer.com/journal/12520/1/3/page/1](http://link.springer.com/journal/12520/1/3/page/1)

95

Ben-David M, Flaherty EA. Stable isotopes in mammalian research: a beginner's guide. *Journal of Mammalogy* 2012;**93**:312–28. doi:10.1644/11-MAMM-S-166.1

96

Alexander Bentley R. Strontium Isotopes from the Earth to the Archaeological Skeleton: A Review. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* 2006;**13**:135–87.
doi:10.1007/s10816-006-9009-x

97

Degryse P. Isotope-Ratio Techniques in Glass Studies. In: Janssens K, ed. Modern Methods for Analysing Archaeological and Historical Glass. Oxford, UK: : John Wiley & Sons Ltd 2013. 235–45. doi:10.1002/9781118314234.ch10

98

Degryse P, Henderson J, Hodgins G. Isotopes in vitreous materials. Leuven, Belgium: : Leuven University Press 2009. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt9qdx40>

99

Freestone IC, Leslie KA, Thirlwall M, et al. Strontium Isotopes in the Investigation of Early Glass Production: Byzantine and Early Islamic Glass from the Near East*. Archaeometry 2003;**45**:19–32. doi:10.1111/1475-4754.00094

100

HAUSTEIN M, GILLIS C, PERNICKA E. TIN ISOTOPY-A NEW METHOD FOR SOLVING OLD QUESTIONS. Archaeometry 2010;**52**:816–32. doi:10.1111/j.1475-4754.2010.00515.x

101

Janssens KHA. Modern methods for analysing archaeological and historical glass. Chichester, West Sussex, United Kingdom: : John Wiley & Sons Inc 2011. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9781118314234>

102

LEE-THORP JA. ON ISOTOPES AND OLD BONES*. Archaeometry 2008;**50**:925–50. doi:10.1111/j.1475-4754.2008.00441.x

103

Brothwell DR, Pollard AM. Handbook of archaeological sciences. Chichester: : John Wiley 2001.

104

Hein A, Tsolakidou A, Iliopoulos I, et al. Standardisation of elemental analytical techniques applied to provenance studies of archaeological ceramics: an inter laboratory calibration study. *The Analyst* 2002;**127**:542–53. doi:10.1039/b109603f

105

Heginbotham A, Bezur A, Bouchard M, et al. An Evaluation of inter-laboratory reproducibility for quantitative XRF of historic copper Alloys. In: Mardikian P, Chemello C, Watters C, et al., eds. *Metal 2010. Proceedings of the International Conference on Metal Conservation*, Charleston, South Carolina, USA, October 11-15, 2010. Clemson University 2010. 178–88. http://www.getty.edu/museum/pdfs/heginbotham_metal2010_submitted2.pdf

106

Kovacs R, Schlosser S, Staub SP, et al. Characterization of calibration materials for trace element analysis and fingerprint studies of gold using LA-ICP-MS. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry* 2009;**24**. doi:10.1039/b819685k

107

Baxter MJ. *Exploratory multivariate analysis in archaeology*. Edinburgh: : Edinburgh University Press 1994. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctv2sx9gfb>

108

Baxter MJ. *Statistics in archaeology*. London: : Arnold 2003.

109

Baxter MJ, Buck CE. Data handling and statistical analysis. In: *Modern analytical methods in art and archaeology*. New York: : Wiley 2000. 681–746. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=5381c5cf-6c15-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

110

BAXTER MJ, FREESTONE IC. LOG-RATIO COMPOSITIONAL DATA ANALYSIS IN ARCHAEOOMETRY*. *Archaeometry* 2006;**48**:511–31. doi:10.1111/j.1475-4754.2006.00270.x

111

Charlton MF, Blakelock E, Martinon-Torres M. Investigating the production provenance of iron artifacts with multivariate methods. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 2012;**39**:2280–93.<http://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/1375923/1/1375923.pdf>

112

Drennan RD. *Statistics for archaeologists: a commonsense approach*. 2nd ed. New York: : Springer 2009. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-0413-3>

113

Fletcher M, Lock GR. *Digging numbers: elementary statistics for archaeologists*. Oxford: : Oxford University Committee for Archaeology 1991.

114

Orton, Clive. *Mathematics in archaeology*. London: : Collins 1980.

115

Shennan S. *Quantifying archaeology*. 2nd ed. Iowa City: : University of Iowa Press 1997. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.3366/j.ctvxcrzt3>

116

Chippindale C. Colleagues, talking, writing, publishing. In: *Handbook of archaeological methods*. Lanham, Md: : Altamira Press 2006. 1339–71.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=d9c1e291-e30c-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

117

Sand-Jensen K. How to write consistently boring scientific literature. *Oikos* 2007;**116**:723–7. doi:10.1111/j.0030-1299.2007.15674.x

118

White P. Producing the record. In: *Archaeology in practice: a student guide to archaeological analyses*. Malden, MA: : Blackwell 2006. 410–25. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=0e7f700a-df0c-e811-80cd-005056af4099>