

ARCL2037: Interpreting Archaeological Data

Undergraduate: Year 2 core: 0.5 units

View Online



-
1.
David N, Kramer C. Ethnoarchaeology in Action. Cambridge University Press; 2001.
doi:10.1017/CBO9781316036488

 2.
Flannery, Kent V. The Early Mesoamerican Village. Updated ed. Left Coast Press; 2009.

 3.
Flannery KV. Research strategy and formative Mesoamerica; a prayer for an endangered species. In: The Early Mesoamerican Village. Updated ed. Left Coast Press; 2009.

 4.
Banning EB. The Archaeologist's Laboratory: The Analysis of Archaeological Data. Vol Interdisciplinary contributions to archaeology. London; 2000.

 5.
Binford LR. Behavioral Archaeology and the 'Pompeii Premise' . Journal of Anthropological Research. 1981;37(3):195-208. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3629723>

 6.
Hodder I. Interpretive Archaeology and Its Role. American Antiquity. 1991;56(01):7-18.
doi:10.2307/280968

7.

Schiffer MB. Archaeological context and systemic context. *American antiquity*. 1972;37(2):156-165.

8.

Binford LB. A consideration of archaeological research design. *American antiquity*. 1964;29(4):425-441.

9.

Clarke D. Archaeology: the loss of innocence. *Antiquity*. 1973;47(185):6-18.
doi:10.1017/S0003598X0003461X

10.

Flannery KV. The golden marshalltown. *American Anthropologist, New Series*. 1982;84(2):265-278.

11.

Hodder I. Excavating Çatalhöyük: South, North and KOPAL Area Reports from the 1995-99 Seasons. Vol McDonald Institute monographs. MacDonal Institute for archaeological reseach; 2007.

12.

Trigger BG. Aims in Prehistoric Archaeology. *Antiquity*. 1970;44(173):26-37.
doi:10.1017/S0003598X00040953

13.

Woolley L. The beginnings of Ur. In: *Ur 'of the Chaldees': A Revised and Updated Edition of Sir Leonard Woolley's Excavations at Ur*. Rev., updated ed. Cornell University Press; 1982:24-35.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=d856208b-ec7a-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

14.

Goldberg P. Formation processes of the archaeological record. *Geoarchaeology*. 1989;4(3):277-278. doi:10.1002/gea.3340040307

15.

Schiffer MB. Formation processes. In: *Formation Processes of the Archaeological Record*. University of New Mexico Press; 1987:143-150-199-217.

16.

Wood W, Johnson D. A survey of disturbance processes in archaeological site formation. *Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory*. 1978;1.

17.

Hodder I. Excavating Çatalhöyük: South, North and KOPAL Area Reports from the 1995-99 Seasons. Vol McDonald Institute monographs. MacDonal Institute for archaeological research; 2007.

18.

Araujo AGM, Feathers JK, Arroyo-Kalin M, Tizuka MM. Lapa das boleiras rockshelter: stratigraphy and formation processes at a paleoamerican site in Central Brazil. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2008;35(12):3186-3202. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2008.07.007

19.

Malinsky-Buller A, Hovers E, Marder O. Making time: 'Living floors', 'palimpsests' and site formation processes – A perspective from the open-air Lower Paleolithic site of Revadim Quarry, Israel. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2011;30(2):89-101. doi:10.1016/j.jaa.2010.11.002

20.

Schick K. Geoarchaeological analysis of an acheulean site at Kalambo Falls, Zambia. *GEOARCHAEOLOGY*. 1992;7(1):1-26. doi:10.1002/gea.3340070102

21.

Shahack-Gross R, Albert RM, Gilboa A, Nagar-Hilman O, Sharon I, Weiner S. Geoarchaeology in an urban context: The uses of space in a Phoenician monumental building at Tel Dor (Israel). *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2005;32(9):1417-1431. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2005.04.001

22.

Andrefsky W. Raw material availability and organization of technology. *American Antiquity*. 1994;59(1):21-34.

23.

Binford LR. Forty-seven trips: A case study in the character of archaeological formation process. In: *Working at Archaeology*. Vol Studies in archeology. Academic Press; 1983:243-268.

24.

Binford LR. Organization and formation processes: looking at curated technologies. *Journal of Anthropological Research*. 1979;35(3):255-273.

25.

Binford LR. Dimensional Analysis of Behavior and Site Structure: Learning from an Eskimo Hunting Stand. *American Antiquity*. 1978;43(03):330-361. doi:10.2307/279390

26.

Hayden B, Cannon A. Where the garbage goes: Refuse disposal in the Maya Highlands. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 1983;2(2):117-163. doi:10.1016/0278-4165(83)90010-7

27.

Hardy-Smith T, Edwards PC. The garbage crisis in prehistory: artefact discard patterns at the Early Natufian site of Wadi Hammeh 27 and the origins of household refuse disposal

strategies. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2004;23(3):253-289.
doi:10.1016/j.jaa.2004.05.001

28.

Flannery KV, Winter M. Analyzing household activities. In: *The Early Mesoamerican Village*. Updated ed. Left Coast Press; 2009:34-47.

29.

V.M. LaMotta, M.B. Schiffer. Formation processes of house floor assemblages. In: *The Archaeology of Household Activities*. Routledge; 1999:19-29.
<https://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9780203014929>

30.

de Loecker et al. D. A re-fitter's paradise. In: *Lithic Analysis at the Millennium*. Institute of Archaeology, University College London; 2003:113-136.

31.

Hayden B, Cannon A. Where the garbage goes: Refuse disposal in the Maya Highlands. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 1983;2(2):117-163.
doi:10.1016/0278-4165(83)90010-7

32.

Hill JD. Introduction. In: *Ritual and Rubbish in the Iron Age of Wessex: A Study on the Formation of a Specific Archaeological Record*. Vol BAR British series. Tempus Reparatum; 1995:1-11.

33.

Hodder I. Excavating Çatalhöyük: South, North and KOPAL Area Reports from the 1995-99 Seasons. Vol McDonald Institute monographs. MacDonal Institute for archaeological research; 2007.

34.

Kent S. The archaeological visibility of storage: delineating storage from trash areas. *American Antiquity*. 1999;64(1):79-94.

35.

Pigeot N. Technical and social actors: flintknapping specialists and apprentices at Magdalenian etiolles. *Archaeological review from Cambridge*. 1990;9(1):126-141. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=c2071af0-4a36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

36.

Flannery, Kent V. *The Early Mesoamerican Village*. Updated ed. Left Coast Press; 2009.

37.

Nicholas David. The Fulani compound and the archaeologist. *World Archaeology*. 1971;3(2):111-131. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/124068>

38.

David N, Kramer C. *Ethnoarchaeology in Action*. Cambridge University Press; 2001. doi:10.1017/CBO9781316036488

39.

Parker Pearson M, Richards C. Ordering the world: perceptions of architecture, space and time. In: *Architecture and Order: Approaches to Social Space*. Vol Material cultures. Routledge; 1994:1-36.

40.

Grahame M. Public and private in the Roman house. In: *Domestic Space in the Roman World: Pompeii and Beyond*. Vol Journal of Roman archaeology. JRA; 1997:137-164.

41.

Kramer C. An archaeological view of a contemporary Kurdish village: domestic

architecture, household size and wealth . In: Ethnoarchaeology: Implications of Ethnography for Archaeology. Columbia University Press; 1979:139-163.

42.

Thomas J. Pluckhahn. Household Archaeology in the Southeastern United States: History, Trends, and Challenges. *Journal of Archaeological Research*. 2010;18(4):331-385. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23018401>

43.

Cynthia Robin. New Directions in Classic Maya Household Archaeology. *Journal of Archaeological Research*. 2003;11(4):307-356. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41053202>

44.

Katheryn C. Twiss, Amy Bogaard, Doru Bogdan, Tristan Carter, Michael P. Charles, Shahina Farid, Nerissa Russell, Mirjana Stevanović, E. Nurcan Yalman and Lisa Yeomans. Arson or Accident? The Burning of a Neolithic House at Çatalhöyük, Turkey. *Journal of Field Archaeology*. 2008;33(1):41-57. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40026664>

45.

Wright KI. The Social Origins of Cooking and Dining in Early Villages of Western Asia. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society*. 2000;66:89-121. doi:10.1017/S0079497X0000178X

46.

Wright KI (Karen). Domestication and inequality? Households, corporate groups and food processing tools at Neolithic Çatalhöyük. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2014;33:1-33. doi:10.1016/j.jaa.2013.09.007

47.

Fairclough G. Meaningful constructions – spatial and functional analysis of medieval buildings. *Antiquity*. 1992;66(251):348-366. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00081461

48.

Foster SM. Analysis of spatial patterns in buildings (access analysis) as an insight into social structure: examples from the Scottish Atlantic Iron Age. *Antiquity*. 1989;63(238):40-50. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00075566

49.

Hill J. Broken K pueblo. In: *New Perspectives in Archeology*. Aldine; 1968:103-142. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=df51ecdd-029a-e711-80cb-005056af4099>

50.

Manfred Bietak, E. B. Pusch, Mark Lehner, M. Verner, D. G. Jeffreys, H. S. Smith, E. Strouhal, D. Arnold, J. Dorner, H. Jaritz, A. J. Spencer, Günter Dreyer, E. Graefe and Michael Allen Hoffman. Ägypten. *Archiv für Orientforschung*. Published online 1985:128-184. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41662069>

51.

Lehner M. The Pyramid Age Settlement of the Southern Mount at Giza. *Journal of the American Research Center in Egypt*. 2002;39. doi:10.2307/40001149

52.

Robinson D. The social texture of Pompeii. In: *Sequence and Space in Pompeii*. Vol Oxbow monograph. Oxbow Books; 1997:135-144. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=b5667444-039a-e711-80cb-005056af4099>

53.

Wallace-Hadrill A. Public honour and private shame: the urban texture of Pompeii. In: *Urban Society in Roman Italy*. UCL Press; 1995:39-62.

54.

Whitelaw T. House, households and community at Early Minoan Fournou, Korifi: methods and models for interpretation. In: *Building Communities: House, Settlement and Society in the Aegean and Beyond*. Vol British School at Athens studies. British School at Athens; 2007:65-76.

55.

Allison PM. *Pompeian Households: An Analysis of the Material Culture*. Vol Monograph. Cotsen Institute of Archaeology at University of California, Los Angeles; 2004.

56.

Bradley E. Ensor. Kinship theory in archaeology: from critiques to the study of transformations. *American Antiquity*. 2011;76(2):203-227.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/41331888>

57.

Laurence R. *Roman Pompeii: Space and Society*. 2nd ed. Routledge; 2007.

58.

Parker BJ, Foster CP. *New Perspectives on Household Archaeology*. Eisenbrauns; 2012.
https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/discovery/fulldisplay?docid=alma9931379375504761&context=L&vid=44UCL_INST:UCL_VU2&lang=en&search_scope=MyInst_and_CI&adaptor=Local%20Search%20Engine&isFrbr=true&tab=Everything&query=any,contains,New%20perspectives%20on%20household%20archaeology&sortby=date_d&facet=frbrgroupid,include,9066180631753836537&offset=0

59.

Poehler E, Flohr M, Cole K. *Pompeii: Art, Industry and Infrastructure*. Oxbow; 2011.

60.

Sharon R. Steadman. Recent Research in the Archaeology of Architecture: Beyond the Foundations. *Journal of Archaeological Research*. 1996;4(1):51-93.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/41053111>

61.

Wallace-Hadrill A. *Houses and Society in Pompeii and Herculaneum*. Princeton University

Press; 1994.

62.

Warren P. Myrtos: An Early Bronze Age Settlement in Crete. Vol Supplementary volume / British School of Archaeology at Athens. British School of Archaeology at Athens/Thames and Hudson; 1972.

63.

Wilk RR, Rathje WL. Household Archaeology. *American Behavioral Scientist*. 1982;25(6):617-639. doi:10.1177/000276482025006003

64.

Whitelaw T. The settlement at Fournou, Korifi, Myrtos and aspects of Early Minoan social organization. In: *Minoan Society: Proceedings of the Cambridge Colloquium 1981*. Bristol Classical Press; 1983:323-345.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=e07e7e3e-009a-e711-80cb-005056af4099>

65.

Whitelaw T, Day P, Kiriati E, Kilikoglou V, Wilson D. Ceramic traditions at EM IIB Myrtos, Fournou Korifi. In: *Techne: Craftsmen, Craftswomen and Craftsmanship in the Aegean Bronze Age : Proceedings of the 6th International Aegean Conference/6e Rencontre Égéenne Internationale Philadelphia, Temple University, 18-21 April 1996*. Vol *Aegaeum*. Université de Liège; 1997:265-274.

66.

Whitelaw T. Feasts of clay? Ceramics and feasting at Early Minoan Myrtos: Fournou Korifi. In: Galanakis I, Wilkinson TC, Bennet J, eds. *AΘYPMATA: Critical Essays on the Archaeology of the Eastern Mediterranean in Honour of E. Susan Sherratt*. Vol *Archaeopress archaeology*. Archaeopress; 2014:247-259.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=0be196aa-fe99-e711-80cb-005056af4099>

67.

Andrefsky, Jr W. *Lithics: Macroscopic Approaches to Analysis*. Vol *Cambridge Manuals in Archaeology*. 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press; 2005.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511810244>

68.

Banning EB. *The Archaeologist's Laboratory: The Analysis of Archaeological Data*. Vol Interdisciplinary contributions to archaeology. London; 2000.

69.

Magne MP. Lithic reduction stages and assemblage formation processes. In: *Experiments in Lithic Technology*. Vol BAR international series. B.A.R; 1989:15-31.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=35cc60f2-8366-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

70.

George H. Odell. Stone Tool Research at the End of the Millennium: Procurement and Technology. *Journal of Archaeological Research*. 2000;8(4):269-331.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/41053170>

71.

George H. Odell. Stone Tool Research at the End of the Millennium: Classification, Function, and Behavior. *Journal of Archaeological Research*. 2001;9(1):45-100.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/41053173>

72.

Odell GH. *Lithic Analysis*. Vol Manuals in archaeological method, theory, and technique. Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; 2004.

73.

Rapp GR. *Archaeomineralogy*. Vol Natural science in archaeology. Springer; 2002.

74.

Katherine Wright. A classification system for ground stone tools from the prehistoric Levant. *Paléorient*. 1992;18(2):53-81. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41492491>

75.

Carter T, Grant S, Kartal M, Coşkun A, Özkaya V. Networks and Neolithisation: sourcing obsidian from Körtik Tepe (SE Anatolia). *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2013;40(1):556-569. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2012.08.003

76.

Carter T, Milic M. The chipped stone. In: *Substantive Technologies at Çatalhöyük: Reports from the 2000-2008 Seasons*. Vol Çatalhöyük research project series. British Institute at Ankara and the Cotsen Institute of Archaeology at UCLA; 2013:409-470.

77.

Carter T, Conolly J, Spasojeviae J. The chipped stone. In: *Changing Materialities at Çatalhöyük: Reports from the 1995-99 Seasons*. Vol McDonald Institute monographs. McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research; 2005:221-284.

78.

Carter T. Beyond the Mohs scale: Raw material choice and the production of stone vases in a late Minoan context. In: *New Approaches to Old Stones: Recent Studies of Ground Stone Artifacts*. Vol Approaches to anthropological archaeology. Equinox Pub; 2008:66-81. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=4d6092b8-e3cd-eb11-a7ad-281878522727>

79.

Wright KI. The ground stone technologies of Çatalhöyük, 1993-2008. In: *Substantive Technologies at Çatalhöyük: Reports from the 2000-2008 Seasons*. Vol Çatalhöyük research project series. British Institute at Ankara and the Cotsen Institute of Archaeology at UCLA; 2013:365-416.

80.

Wright KI (Karen). Domestication and inequality? Households, corporate groups and food processing tools at Neolithic Çatalhöyük. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2014;33:1-33. doi:10.1016/j.jaa.2013.09.007

81.

Bains R, Bar-Yosef D, Russell N, Vasic M, Wright KI. A technological approach to personal ornamentation and social expression at Çatalhöyük. In: Substantive Technologies at Çatalhöyük: Reports from the 2000-2008 Seasons. Vol Çatalhöyük research project series. British Institute at Ankara and the Cotsen Institute of Archaeology at UCLA; 2013:331-363.

82.

Wright KI, Critchley P, Garrard A, Baird D, Bains R, Groom S. Stone Bead Technologies and Early Craft Specialization: Insights from Two Neolithic Sites in Eastern Jordan. *Levant*. 2008;40(2):131-165. doi:10.1179/175638008X348016

83.

Wright K, Garrard A. Social identities and the expansion of stone bead-making in Neolithic Western Asia: new evidence from Jordan. *Antiquity*. 2003;77(296):267-284. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00092267

84.

Adams WY, Adams EW. *Archaeological Typology and Practical Reality*. Cambridge University Press; 1991. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511558207

85.

Adams JL, *Archaeology Southwest (Organization)*. *Ground Stone Analysis: A Technological Approach*. 2nd ed. University of Utah Press; 2014. <https://muse-jhu-edu.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/book/41396>

86.

Andrefsky, Jr W. *Lithics: Macroscopic Approaches to Analysis*. Vol Cambridge Manuals in Archaeology. 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press; 2005. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511810244>

87.

Andrefsky W. *Lithic Debitage: Context, Form, Meaning*. University of Utah Press; 2001.

88.

Debénath A, Dibble HL. Handbook of Paleolithic Typology. University Museum, University of Pennsylvania; 1993.

89.

Edmonds MR. Stone Tools and Society: Working Stone in Neolithic and Bronze Age Britain. Batsford; 1995.

90.

Marie-Louise Inizan; Jehanne Féblot-Augustins; Cercle de Recherches et d'Etude Préhistoriques. Technology and Terminology of Knapped Stone : Followed by a Multilingual Vocabulary Arabic, English, French, German, Greek, Italian, Portuguese, Spanish / Marie-Louise Inizan ... [et al.]; Translated [from the French] by Jehanne Féblot-Augustins. [http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=UCL_LMS_DS000619591&indx=1&reclds=UCL_LMS_DS000619591&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL_LMS_DS%29&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl\(freeText0\)=inizan%20technology%20knapped&dstmp=1507294273024](http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=UCL_LMS_DS000619591&indx=1&reclds=UCL_LMS_DS000619591&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL_LMS_DS%29&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl(freeText0)=inizan%20technology%20knapped&dstmp=1507294273024)

91.

Rosen SA. Lithics after the Stone Age: A Handbook of Stone Tools from the Levant. AltaMira Press; 1997.

92.

Rowan YM, Ebeling JR. New Approaches to Old Stones: Recent Studies of Ground Stone Artifacts. Vol Approaches to anthropological archaeology. Equinox Pub; 2008.

93.

Schumann W. Handbook of Rocks, Minerals, and Gemstones. Houghton Mifflin; 1993.

94.

Binford L. Interassemblage variability - the Mousterian and the functional argument. In: *The Explanation of Culture Change: Models in Prehistory*. Duckworth; 1973:227-254.

95.

Michael S. Bisson. Nineteenth Century Tools for Twenty-First Century Archaeology? Why the Middle Paleolithic Typology of François Bordes Must Be Replaced. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory*. 2000;7(1):1-48. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20177411>

96.

Francois Bordes and Denise de Sonneville-Bordes. The Significance of Variability in Palaeolithic Assemblages. *World Archaeology*. 1970;2(1):61-73. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/124167>

97.

Bordes F. On the chronology and contemporaneity of different palaeolithic cultures. In: *The Explanation of Culture Change: Models in Prehistory*. Duckworth; 1973:217-226.

98.

Barnett WK, Kingery WD, Close AE, Dibble HL, McDonald MMA. News and Short Contributions. *Journal of Field Archaeology*. 1991;18(2). doi:10.2307/530272

99.

Dibble HL. Raw material availability , intensity of utilization and Middle Paleolithic assemblage variability. In: *The Middle Paleolithic Site of Combe-Capelle Bas (France)*. University Museum, University of Pennsylvania; 1995.

100.

Fladmark KR. Microdebitage analysis: Initial considerations. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1982;9(2):205-220. doi:10.1016/0305-4403(82)90050-4

101.

Angela E. Close. The Identification of Style in Lithic Artefacts. *World Archaeology*. 1978;10(2):223-237. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/124230>

102.

Gero J. Assessing social information in material objects: how well do lithics measure up? In: *Time, Energy and Stone Tools*. Vol New directions in archaeology. Cambridge University Press; 1989.

103.

Sackett JR. Style and Ethnicity in the Kalahari: A Reply to Wiessner. *American Antiquity*. 1985;50(01):154-159. doi:10.2307/280642

104.

Wiessner P. Style and Social Information in Kalahari San Projectile Points. *American Antiquity*. 1983;48(02):253-276. doi:10.2307/280450

105.

DeLoecker D. A re-fitter's paradise. In: *Lithic Analysis at the Millennium*. Institute of Archaeology, University College London; 2003:113-136. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=43d32496-7536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

106.

Pigeot N. Technical and social actors: flintknapping specialists at Magdalenian Etiolles. *Archaeological review from Cambridge*. 1990;9(1):126-141.

107.

Clark JE. Ground stone tools and hunter-gatherer subsistence in southwest Asia: implications for the transition to farming. In: *The Organization of Core Technology*. Vol Westview special studies in archaeological research. Westview Press; 1987:259-284.

108.

Perles C. Systems of Exchange and Organization of Production in Neolithic Greece. *Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology*. 1992;5(2):115-164. doi:10.1558/jmea.v5i2.115

109.

Ramos Millán A, Ángeles Bustillo M, *International Flint Symposium. Siliceous Rocks and Culture*. Universidad de Granada; 1997.

110.

Wright KI. Ground-Stone Tools and Hunter-Gatherer Subsistence in Southwest Asia: Implications for the Transition to Farming. *American Antiquity*. 1994;59(02):238-263. doi:10.2307/281929

111.

Adams RM. Complexity in Archaic States. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2001;20(3):345-360. doi:10.1006/jaar.2000.0377

112.

Cherry J. Power in space: archaeological and geographical studies of the state. In: *Landscape and Culture: Geographical and Archaeological Perspectives*. Basil Blackwell; 1987:146-172.

113.

Binford LR. Willow smoke and dog's tails: hunter-gatherer settlement systems and archaeological site formation. *American Antiquity*. 1980;45(1):4-20.

114.

Davies T, Fry H, Wilson A, Palmisano A, Altaweel M, Radner K. Application of an entropy maximizing and dynamics model for understanding settlement structure: the Khabur Triangle in the Middle Bronze and Iron Ages. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2014;43:141-154. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2013.12.014

115.

Flannery, Kent V. *The Early Mesoamerican Village*. Updated ed. Left Coast Press; 2009.

116.

Knappett C, Evans T, Rivers R. Modelling maritime interaction in the Aegean Bronze Age. *Antiquity*. 2008;82(318):1009-1024. doi:10.1017/S0003598X0009774X

117.

Roth A, ed. Social change in the Fourth Dynasty (Egypt): the spatial organization of pyramids, tombs and cemeteries. *Journal of the American Research Center in Egypt*. 1993;30.

118.

Bietak M. The archaeology of the 'gold of valour'. *Egyptian archaeology: bulletin of the Egypt Exploration Society*. 2012;40:42-43.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=f53f8d79-7f66-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

119.

The location of Alashiya: new evidence from petrographic investigation of Alashiyan tablets from el-Amarna and Ugarit. *American Journal of Archaeology*. 2003;107(2):233-255.

120.

Izre'el S. The Amarna letters from Canaan. In: *Civilizations of the Ancient Near East*. Hendrickson; 2000:2411-2420.

121.

Postgate N, Wang T, Wilkinson T. The evidence for early writing: utilitarian or ceremonial? *Antiquity*. 1995;69(264):459-480. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00081874

122.

Serpico M, White R. The botanical identity and transport of incense during the Egyptian

New Kingdom. *Antiquity*. 2000;74(286):884-897. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00060531

123.

Weiss H. Rediscovering: Tell Leilan on the Habur Plains of Syria. *The Biblical Archaeologist*. 1985;48(1). doi:10.2307/3209945

124.

Weiss H, Akkermans P, Stein GJ, Parayre D, Whiting R. 1985 Excavations at Tell Leilan, Syria. *American Journal of Archaeology*. 1990;94(4). doi:10.2307/505120

125.

Woolley L. The beginnings of Ur. In: *Ur 'of the Chaldees': A Revised and Updated Edition of Sir Leonard Woolley's Excavations at Ur. Rev., updated ed.* Cornell University Press; 1982:24-35.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=d856208b-ec7a-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

126.

Andrén, Anders, Crozier, Alan. *Between Artifacts and Texts: Historical Archaeology in Global Perspective. Vol Contributions to global historical archaeology.* Plenum Press; 1998.

127.

Cohen R, Westbrook R. *Amarna Diplomacy: The Beginnings of International Relations.* Johns Hopkins Univeristy Press; 2000.

128.

Parker HDD, Rollston CA. The Epigraphic Digital Lab: Teaching Epigraphy in the Twenty-First Century. *Near Eastern Archaeology*. 2016;79(1):44-56.

doi:10.5615/neareastarch.79.1.0044

129.

Goren, Yuval, Artzy, Michal, Finkelstein, Israel, Na'aman, Nadav, Makhon le-arkhe'ologyah 'a. sh. Sonyah u-Março Nadler. *Inscribed in Clay: Provenance Study of the Amarna Tablets and Other Ancient Near Eastern Texts*. Vol Monograph series / Sonia and Marco Nadler Institute of Archeology. Emery and Claire Yass Publications in Archaeology; 2004.

130.

Little Barbara J. Text-aided archaeology. In: *Text-Aided Archaeology*. CRC Press; 1992:1-6.

131.

Moran, William L. *The Amarna Letters*. English-language ed. Johns Hopkins University Press; 1992.

132.

Rollston CA, Vaughn AG. Fakes, Forgeries and Biblical Scholarship. *Near Eastern archaeology*. 2005;68(1/2):61-72.

133.

Westbrook, Raymond, Cohen, Raymond. *Amarna Diplomacy: The Beginnings of International Relations*. Johns Hopkins University Press; 2000.
<http://quod.lib.umich.edu/cgi/t/text/text-idx?c=acls;idno=heb30995.0001.001>

134.

Duday H. *The Archaeology of the Dead: Lectures in Archaeoethanatology*. Vol Studies in funerary archaeology. Oxbow Books; 2009.

135.

Giles M. Preserving the body. In: *The Oxford Handbook of the Archaeology of Death and Burial*. Oxford University Press; 2013:475-496.

136.

Michael Parker Pearson. Form now to then: ethnoarchaeology and analogy. In: The Archaeology of Death and Burial. Sutton; 1999.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=364ba8be-9666-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

137.

C. Scarre. The meaning of death: funerary beliefs and the prehistorian. In: Renfrew C, Zubrow EBW, eds. The Ancient Mind. Cambridge University Press; 1994:75-82.
doi:10.1017/CBO9780511598388

138.

Ucko PJ. Ethnography and archaeological interpretation of funerary remains. World Archaeology. 1969;1(2):262-280. doi:10.1080/00438243.1969.9979444

139.

Evans JG. Stonehenge - the environment in the Late Neolithic and Early Bronze Age and a Beaker Age burial. Wiltshire archaeological and natural history magazine. 1984;78:7-30.

140.

Bentley RA, Wahl J, Price TD, Atkinson TC. Isotopic signatures and hereditary traits: snapshot of a Neolithic community in Germany. Antiquity. 2008;82(316):290-304.
doi:10.1017/S0003598X00096812

141.

Bickle P, Hofmann D. Moving on: the contribution of isotope studies to the early Neolithic of Central Europe. Antiquity. 2007;81(314):1029-1041. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00096095

142.

Golitko M, Keeley LH. Beating ploughshares back into swords: warfare in the Linearbandkeramik. Antiquity. 2007;81(312):332-342. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00095211

143.

Jantzen D, Brinker U, Orschiedt J, et al. A Bronze Age battlefield? Weapons and trauma in the Tollense Valley, north-eastern Germany. *Antiquity*. 2011;85(328):417-433. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00067843

144.

Michael Parker Pearson. Mortuary practices, society and ideology: an ethnographical study. In: Hodder I, ed. *Symbolic and Structural Archaeology*. Cambridge University Press; 1982:99-113. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511558252

145.

Rega E. Age, gender and biological reality in the Early Bronze Age cemetery at Mokrin. In: *Invisible People and Processes: Writing Gender and Childhood into European Archaeology*. Leicester University Press; 1996:229-247.

146.

Shennan S. The social organization at Branč. *Antiquity*. 1975;49(196):279-288. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00070319

147.

Caple C. *Objects: Reluctant Witnesses to the Past*. Routledge; 2006.

148.

Costin C. Craft production. In: *Handbook of Archaeological Methods*. Altamira Press; 2005:1034-1107. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=364d506d-ef01-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

149.

Forbes RJ. *Studies in Ancient Technology: Vol.8. Vol Studies in ancient technology*. Brill; 1971.

150.

Hodges, Henry. *Artifacts: An Introduction to Early Materials and Technology*. Duckworth; 1989.

151.

Hurcombe, L. M. *Archaeological Artefacts as Material Culture*. Routledge; 2007.

152.

Shaw, Ian, Nicholson, Paul T. *Ancient Egyptian Materials and Technology*. Cambridge University Press; 2000.

153.

Singer C. *A History of Technology: Vol.1: From Earliest Times to Fall of Ancient Empires*. Clarendon Press; 1954.

<https://quod.lib.umich.edu/cgi/t/text/text-idx?c=acls;idno=heb02191.0001.001>

154.

Banning EB. *The Archaeologist's Laboratory: The Analysis of Archaeological Data. Vol Interdisciplinary contributions to archaeology*. London; 2000.

155.

Rice PM. *Pottery Analysis: A Sourcebook. Second edition*. The University of Chicago Press; 2015.

156.

Prudence M. Rice. *Recent Ceramic Analysis: 1. Function, Style, and Origins*. *Journal of Archaeological Research*. 1996;4(2):133-163. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41053114>

157.

Braun D. *Pots as tools*. In: *Archaeological Hammers and Theories. Vol Studies in*

archaeology. Academic Press; 1983:107-134.

158.

Rice PM. Pottery Analysis: A Sourcebook. Second edition. The University of Chicago Press; 2015.

159.

Prudence M. Rice. Recent Ceramic Analysis: 1. Function, Style, and Origins. Journal of Archaeological Research. 1996;4(2):133-163. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41053114>

160.

Nicholas David, Judy Sterner and Kodzo Gavua. Why Pots are Decorated. Current Anthropology. 1988;29(3):365-389. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2743453>

161.

Gosselain OP. Technology and Style: Potters and Pottery Among Bafia of Cameroon. Man. 1992;27(3). doi:10.2307/2803929

162.

Hegmon M. Archaeological Research on Style. Annual Review of Anthropology. 1992;21(1):517-536. doi:10.1146/annurev.an.21.100192.002505

163.

Frank Hole. Analysis of Structure and Design in Prehistoric Ceramics. World Archaeology. 1984;15(3):326-347. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/124629>

164.

Lechtman H. Style in technology: some early thoughts. In: Material Culture: Styles, Organization, and Dynamics of Technology. Vol Proceedings of the American Ethnological Society. West Pub. Co; 1977:3-20.

165.

Rice PM. Pottery Analysis: A Sourcebook. Second edition. The University of Chicago Press; 2015.

166.

Barbara J. Mills. Integrating Functional Analyses of Vessels and Sherds through Models of Ceramic Assemblage Formation. *World Archaeology*. 1989;21(1):133-147.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/124489>

167.

Morris E. Staying alive: the function and use of prehistoric ceramics. In: *Prehistoric Britain: The Ceramic Basis*. Vol Prehistoric Ceramics Research Group. occasional publication. Oxbow; 2002:54-61.

168.

Prudence M. Rice. On the Origins of Pottery. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory*. 1999;6(1):1-54. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20177395>

169.

Woodward A, Blinkthorn J. Size is important: Iron Age vessels capacities in central and southern England. In: *Not so Much a Pot, More a Way of Life: Current Approaches to Artefact Analysis in Archaeology*. Vol Oxbow monograph. Oxbow; 1997:21-35.

170.

Ferguson L. Struggling with pots in colonial South Carolina. In: *The Archaeology of Inequality*. Vol Social archaeology. Blackwell; 1991:28-39.

171.

Gosselain OP. Social and technical identity in a clay crystal ball. In: *The Archaeology of Social Boundaries*. Vol Smithsonian series in archaeological inquiry. Smithsonian Institution Press; 1998:78-106.

172.

Gosselain OP. Technology and Style: Potters and Pottery Among Bafia of Cameroon. *Man*. 1992;27(3). doi:10.2307/2803929

173.

Hamilton S. Between ritual and routine: interpreting prehistoric British pottery production and distribution. In: *Prehistoric Britain: The Ceramic Basis*. Vol Prehistoric Ceramics Research Group. occasional publication. Oxbow; 2002:38-53.

174.

Meadows K. Much ado about nothing: the social context of eating and drinking in early Roman Britain. In: *Not so Much a Pot, More a Way of Life: Current Approaches to Artefact Analysis in Archaeology*. Vol Oxbow monograph. Oxbow; 1997:21-35.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=9b5a7c27-75c8-eb11-a7ad-281878521be7>

175.

Amiran R, Beck P, Zevulan U. *Ancient Pottery of the Holy Land: From Its Beginnings in the Neolithic Period to the End of the Iron Age*. Masada Press; 1969.

176.

Dothan T, *Hevrah la-ḥaḳirat Erets-Yiśra'el ve-'atikoteha*. The Philistines and Their Material Culture. Israel Exploration Society; 1982.

177.

Last J, et al. Pottery from the east mound. In: *Changing Materialities at Çatalhöyük: Reports from the 1995-99 Seasons*. Vol McDonald Institute monographs. McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research; 2005.

178.

Wright K, Najjar M, Last J, et al. *The Wadi Faynan Fourth and Third Millennium Project*, 1997:

Report on the First Season of Test Excavations at Wadi Faynan 100. *Levant*. 1998;30(1):33-60. doi:10.1179/007589198790217142

179.

Orton C, Hughes M. *Pottery in Archaeology*. Vol Cambridge manuals in archaeology. 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press; 2013.

180.

Sinopoli CM. *Approaches to Archaeological Ceramics*. Plenum Press; 1991.

181.

Skibo JM. *Pottery Function: A Use-Alteration Perspective*. Vol Interdisciplinary contributions to archaeology. Plenum Press; 1992.

182.

Banning EB. *The Archaeologist's Laboratory: The Analysis of Archaeological Data*. Vol Interdisciplinary contributions to archaeology. London; 2000.

183.

Binford LR. Behavioral Archaeology and the 'Pompeii Premise' . *Journal of Anthropological Research*. 1981;37(3):195-208. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3629723>

184.

Flannery, Kent V. *The Early Mesoamerican Village*. Updated ed. Left Coast Press; 2009.

185.

Hodder I. Interpretive Archaeology and Its Role. *American Antiquity*. 1991;56(01):7-18. doi:10.2307/280968

186.

Schiffer MB. Archaeological context and systemic context. *American antiquity*. 1972;37(2):156-165.

187.

Binford LB. A consideration of archaeological research design. *American antiquity*. 1964;29(4):425-441.

188.

Clarke D. Archaeology: the loss of innocence. *Antiquity*. 1973;47(185):6-18.
doi:10.1017/S0003598X0003461X

189.

Flannery KV. The golden marshalltown. *American Anthropologist, New Series*. 1982;84(2):265-278.

190.

Trigger BG. Aims in Prehistoric Archaeology. *Antiquity*. 1970;44(173):26-37.
doi:10.1017/S0003598X00040953

191.

Woolley L. The beginnings of Ur. In: *Ur 'of the Chaldees': A Revised and Updated Edition of Sir Leonard Woolley's Excavations at Ur. Rev., updated ed.* Cornell University Press; 1982:24-35.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=d856208b-ec7a-e911-80cd-005056af4099>