

ARCL2037: Interpreting Archaeological Data

View Online



Undergraduate: Year 2 core: 0.5 units

1

David N, Kramer C. Ethnoarchaeology in Action. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2001. doi:10.1017/CBO9781316036488

2

Flannery, Kent V. The early Mesoamerican village. Updated ed. Walnut Creek, Calif: : Left Coast Press 2009.

3

Flannery KV. Research strategy and formative Mesoamerica; a prayer for an endangered species. In: The early Mesoamerican village. Walnut Creek, Calif: : Left Coast Press 2009.

4

Banning EB. The archaeologist's laboratory: the analysis of archaeological data. New York: : London 2000.

5

Binford LR. Behavioral Archaeology and the 'Pompeii Premise' . Journal of Anthropological Research 1981;**37**:195–208.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3629723>

6

Hodder I. Interpretive Archaeology and Its Role. American Antiquity 1991;**56**:7–18.

doi:10.2307/280968

7

Schiffer MB. Archaeological context and systemic context. *American antiquity* 1972;**37**:156–65.

8

Binford LB. A consideration of archaeological research design. *American antiquity* 1964;**29**:425–41.

9

Clarke D. Archaeology: the loss of innocence. *Antiquity* 1973;**47**:6–18.
doi:10.1017/S0003598X0003461X

10

Flannery KV. The golden marshalltown. *American Anthropologist, New Series* 1982;**84**:265–78.

11

Hodder I. Excavating Çatalhöyük: south, north and KOPAL area reports from the 1995-99 seasons. Cambridge: : MacDonal Institute for archaeological reseach 2007.

12

Trigger BG. Aims in Prehistoric Archaeology. *Antiquity* 1970;**44**:26–37.
doi:10.1017/S0003598X00040953

13

Woolley L. The beginnings of Ur. In: *Ur 'of the Chaldees': a revised and updated edition of Sir Leonard Woolley's Excavations at Ur*. Ithaca, N.Y.: : Cornell University Press 1982. 24–35.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=d856208b-ec7a-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

14

Goldberg P. Formation processes of the archaeological record. *Geoarchaeology* 1989;**4**:277-8. doi:10.1002/gea.3340040307

15

Schiffer MB. Formation processes. In: *Formation processes of the archaeological record*. Albuquerque, N.M.: : University of New Mexico Press 1987. 143-150-199-217.

16

Wood W, Johnson D. A survey of disturbance processes in archaeological site formation. *Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory* 1978;**1**.

17

Hodder I. *Excavating Çatalhöyük: south, north and KOPAL area reports from the 1995-99 seasons*. Cambridge: : MacDonald Institute for archaeological research 2007.

18

Araujo AGM, Feathers JK, Arroyo-Kalin M, et al. Lapa das boleiras rockshelter: stratigraphy and formation processes at a paleoamerican site in Central Brazil. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 2008;**35**:3186-202. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2008.07.007

19

Malinsky-Buller A, Hovers E, Marder O. Making time: 'Living floors', 'palimpsests' and site formation processes - A perspective from the open-air Lower Paleolithic site of Revadim Quarry, Israel. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 2011;**30**:89-101. doi:10.1016/j.jaa.2010.11.002

20

Schick K. Geoarchaeological analysis of an acheulean site at Kalambo Falls, Zambia. *GEOARCHAEOLOGY* 1992;**7**:1-26. doi:10.1002/gea.3340070102

21

Shahack-Gross R, Albert R-M, Gilboa A, et al. Geoarchaeology in an urban context: The uses of space in a Phoenician monumental building at Tel Dor (Israel). *Journal of Archaeological Science* 2005;**32**:1417–31. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2005.04.001

22

Andrefsky W. Raw material availability and organization of technology. *American Antiquity* 1994;**59**:21–34.

23

Binford LR. Forty-seven trips: A case study in the character of archaeological formation process. In: *Working at archaeology*. London: : Academic Press 1983. 243–68.

24

Binford LR. Organization and formation processes: looking at curated technologies. *Journal of Anthropological Research* 1979;**35**:255–73.

25

Binford LR. Dimensional Analysis of Behavior and Site Structure: Learning from an Eskimo Hunting Stand. *American Antiquity* 1978;**43**:330–61. doi:10.2307/279390

26

Hayden B, Cannon A. Where the garbage goes: Refuse disposal in the Maya Highlands. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 1983;**2**:117–63. doi:10.1016/0278-4165(83)90010-7

27

Hardy-Smith T, Edwards PC. The garbage crisis in prehistory: artefact discard patterns at the Early Natufian site of Wadi Hammeh 27 and the origins of household refuse disposal strategies. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 2004;**23**:253–89. doi:10.1016/j.jaa.2004.05.001

28

Flannery KV, Winter M. Analyzing household activities. In: The early Mesoamerican village. Walnut Creek, Calif: : Left Coast Press 2009. 34–47.

29

V.M. LaMotta, M.B. Schiffer. Formation processes of house floor assemblages. In: The archaeology of household activities. London: : Routledge 1999. 19–29.<https://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9780203014929>

30

de Loecker et al. D. A re-fitter's paradise. In: Lithic analysis at the Millennium. London: : Institute of Archaeology, University College London 2003. 113–36.

31

Hayden B, Cannon A. Where the garbage goes: Refuse disposal in the Maya Highlands. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 1983;**2**:117–63.
doi:10.1016/0278-4165(83)90010-7

32

Hill JD. Introduction. In: Ritual and rubbish in the Iron Age of Wessex: a study on the formation of a specific archaeological record. Oxford: : Tempus Reparatum 1995. 1–11.

33

Hodder I. Excavating Çatalhöyük: south, north and KOPAL area reports from the 1995-99 seasons. Cambridge: : MacDonal Institute for archaeological reseach 2007.

34

Kent S. The archaeological visibility of storage: delineating storage from trash areas. *American Antiquity* 1999;**64**:79–94.

35

Pigeot N. Technical and social actors: flintknapping specialists and apprentices at Magdalenian etiolles. *Archaeological review from Cambridge* 1990;**9**:126–41. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=c2071af0-4a36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

36

Flannery, Kent V. *The early Mesoamerican village*. Updated ed. Walnut Creek, Calif: : Left Coast Press 2009.

37

Nicholas David. The Fulani compound and the archaeologist. *World Archaeology* 1971;**3**:111–31. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/124068>

38

David N, Kramer C. *Ethnoarchaeology in Action*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2001. doi:10.1017/CBO9781316036488

39

Parker Pearson M, Richards C. Ordering the world: perceptions of architecture, space and time. In: *Architecture and order: approaches to social space*. London: : Routledge 1994. 1–36.

40

Grahame M. Public and private in the Roman house. In: *Domestic space in the Roman world: Pompeii and beyond*. Portsmouth, RI: : JRA 1997. 137–64.

41

Kramer C. An archaeological view of a contemporary Kurdish village: domestic architecture, household size and wealth . In: *Ethnoarchaeology: implications of ethnography for archaeology*. Guildford: : Columbia University Press 1979. 139–63.

42

Thomas J. Pluckhahn. Household Archaeology in the Southeastern United States: History, Trends, and Challenges. *Journal of Archaeological Research* 2010;**18**:331–85.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/23018401>

43

Cynthia Robin. New Directions in Classic Maya Household Archaeology. *Journal of Archaeological Research* 2003;**11**:307–56.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/41053202>

44

Katheryn C. Twiss, Amy Bogaard, Doru Bogdan, Tristan Carter, Michael P. Charles, Shahina Farid, Nerissa Russell, Mirjana Stevanović, E. Nurcan Yalman and Lisa Yeomans. Arson or Accident? The Burning of a Neolithic House at Çatalhöyük, Turkey. *Journal of Field Archaeology* 2008;**33**:41–57.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/40026664>

45

Wright KI. The Social Origins of Cooking and Dining in Early Villages of Western Asia. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society* 2000;**66**:89–121. doi:10.1017/S0079497X0000178X

46

Wright KI (Karen). Domestication and inequality? Households, corporate groups and food processing tools at Neolithic Çatalhöyük. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 2014;**33**:1–33. doi:10.1016/j.jaa.2013.09.007

47

Fairclough G. Meaningful constructions – spatial and functional analysis of medieval buildings. *Antiquity* 1992;**66**:348–66. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00081461

48

Foster SM. Analysis of spatial patterns in buildings (access analysis) as an insight into social structure: examples from the Scottish Atlantic Iron Age. *Antiquity* 1989;**63**:40–50. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00075566

49

Hill J. Broken K pueblo. In: *New perspectives in archeology*. Chicago: : Aldine 1968. 103-42. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=df51ecdd-029a-e711-80cb-005056af4099>

50

Manfred Bietak, E. B. Pusch, Mark Lehner, M. Verner, D. G. Jeffrey, H. S. Smith, E. Strouhal, D. Arnold, J. Dorner, H. Jaritz, A. J. Spencer, Günter Dreyer, E. Graefe and Michael Allen Hoffman. Ägypten. *Archiv für Orientforschung* 1985;:128-84. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41662069>

51

Lehner M. The Pyramid Age Settlement of the Southern Mount at Giza. *Journal of the American Research Center in Egypt* 2002;**39**. doi:10.2307/40001149

52

Robinson D. The social texture of Pompeii. In: *Sequence and space in Pompeii*. Oxford: : Oxbow Books 1997. 135-44. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=b5667444-039a-e711-80cb-005056af4099>

53

Wallace-Hadrill A. Public honour and private shame: the urban texture of Pompeii. In: *Urban society in Roman Italy*. London: : UCL Press 1995. 39-62.

54

Whitelaw T. House, households and community at Early Minoan Fournou, Korifi: methods and models for interpretation. In: *Building communities: house, settlement and society in the Aegean and beyond*. London: : British School at Athens 2007. 65-76.

55

Allison PM. Pompeian households: an analysis of the material culture. Los Angeles: : Cotsen Institute of Archaeology at University of California, Los Angeles 2004.

56

Bradley E. Ensor. Kinship theory in archaeology: from critiques to the study of transformations. *American Antiquity* 2011; **76**:203–27. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41331888>

57

Laurence R. Roman Pompeii: space and society. 2nd ed. London: : Routledge 2007.

58

Parker BJ, Foster CP. New perspectives on household archaeology. Winona Lake, Ind: : Eisenbrauns 2012.

https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/discovery/fulldisplay?docid=alma9931379375504761&context=L&vid=44UCL_INST:UCL_VU2&lang=en&search_scope=MyInst_and_CI&adaptor=Local%20Search%20Engine&isFrbr=true&tab=Everything&query=any,contains,New%20perspectives%20on%20household%20archaeology&sortby=date_d&facet=frbrgroupid,include,9066180631753836537&offset=0

59

Poehler E, Flohr M, Cole K. Pompeii: art, industry and infrastructure. Oxford: : Oxbow 2011.

60

Sharon R. Steadman. Recent Research in the Archaeology of Architecture: Beyond the Foundations. *Journal of Archaeological Research* 1996; **4**:51–93. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41053111>

61

Wallace-Hadrill A. Houses and society in Pompeii and Herculaneum. Princeton: : Princeton University Press 1994.

62

Warren P. Myrtos: an early Bronze Age settlement in Crete. London: : British School of Archaeology at Athens/Thames and Hudson 1972.

63

Wilk RR, Rathje WL. Household Archaeology. *American Behavioral Scientist* 1982;**25**:617–39. doi:10.1177/000276482025006003

64

Whitelaw T. The settlement at Fournou, Korifi, Myrtos and aspects of Early Minoan social organization. In: *Minoan society: proceedings of the Cambridge Colloquium 1981*. Bristol: : Bristol Classical Press 1983. 323–45. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=e07e7e3e-009a-e711-80cb-005056af4099>

65

Whitelaw T, Day P, Kiriati E, et al. Ceramic traditions at EM IIB Myrtos, Fournou Korifi. In: *Techne: craftsmen, craftswomen and craftsmanship in the Aegean Bronze Age : proceedings of the 6th International Aegean Conference/6e Rencontre égéenne internationale Philadelphia, Temple University, 18-21 April 1996*. Liège: : Université de Liège 1997. 265–74.

66

Whitelaw T. Feasts of clay? Ceramics and feasting at Early Minoan Myrtos: Fournou Korifi. In: Galanakis I, Wilkinson TC, Bennet J, eds. *AΘYPMATA: critical essays on the archaeology of the eastern Mediterranean in honour of E. Susan Sherratt*. Oxford: : Archaeopress 2014. 247–59. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=0be196aa-fe99-e711-80cb-005056af4099>

67

Andrefsky, Jr W. *Lithics: Macroscopic Approaches to Analysis*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2005. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511810244>

68

Banning EB. The archaeologist's laboratory: the analysis of archaeological data. New York: : London 2000.

69

Magne MP. Lithic reduction stages and assemblage formation processes. In: Experiments in lithic technology. Oxford, England: : B.A.R 1989. 15–31.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=35cc60f2-8366-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

70

George H. Odell. Stone Tool Research at the End of the Millennium: Procurement and Technology. *Journal of Archaeological Research* 2000;**8**:269–331.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/41053170>

71

George H. Odell. Stone Tool Research at the End of the Millennium: Classification, Function, and Behavior. *Journal of Archaeological Research* 2001;**9**:45–100.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/41053173>

72

Odell GH. Lithic analysis. New York: : Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers 2004.

73

Rapp GR. Archaeomineralogy. Berlin: : Springer 2002.

74

Katherine Wright. A classification system for ground stone tools from the prehistoric Levant. *Paléorient* 1992;**18**:53–81.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/41492491>

75

Carter T, Grant S, Kartal M, et al. Networks and Neolithisation: sourcing obsidian from Körtik Tepe (SE Anatolia). *Journal of Archaeological Science* 2013;**40**:556–69. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2012.08.003

76

Carter T, Milic M. The chipped stone. In: *Substantive technologies at Çatalhöyük: reports from the 2000–2008 seasons*. London: : British Institute at Ankara and the Cotsen Institute of Archaeology at UCLA 2013. 409–70.

77

Carter T, Conolly J, Spasojeviae J. The chipped stone. In: *Changing materialities at Çatalhöyük: reports from the 1995–99 seasons*. Cambridge: : McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research 2005. 221–84.

78

Carter T. Beyond the Mohs scale: Raw material choice and the production of stone vases in a late Minoan context. In: *New approaches to old stones: recent studies of ground stone artifacts*. London: : Equinox Pub 2008. 66–81. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=4d6092b8-e3cd-eb11-a7ad-281878522727>

79

Wright KI. The ground stone technologies of Çatalhöyük, 1993–2008. In: *Substantive technologies at Çatalhöyük: reports from the 2000–2008 seasons*. London: : British Institute at Ankara and the Cotsen Institute of Archaeology at UCLA 2013. 365–416.

80

Wright KI (Karen). Domestication and inequality? Households, corporate groups and food processing tools at Neolithic Çatalhöyük. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 2014;**33**:1–33. doi:10.1016/j.jaa.2013.09.007

81

Bains R, Bar-Yosef D, Russell N, et al. A technological approach to personal ornamentation and social expression at Çatalhöyük. In: *Substantive technologies at Çatalhöyük: reports*

from the 2000-2008 seasons. London: : British Institute at Ankara and the Cotsen Institute of Archaeology at UCLA 2013. 331-63.

82

Wright KI, Critchley P, Garrard A, et al. Stone Bead Technologies and Early Craft Specialization: Insights from Two Neolithic Sites in Eastern Jordan. *Levant* 2008;**40**:131-65. doi:10.1179/175638008X348016

83

Wright K, Garrard A. Social identities and the expansion of stone bead-making in Neolithic Western Asia: new evidence from Jordan. *Antiquity* 2003;**77**:267-84. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00092267

84

Adams WY, Adams EW. *Archaeological typology and practical reality*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1991. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511558207

85

Adams JL, *Archaeology Southwest (Organization)*. *Ground stone analysis: a technological approach*. 2nd ed. Salt Lake City: : University of Utah Press 2014. <https://muse-jhu-edu.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/book/41396>

86

Andrefsky, Jr W. *Lithics: Macroscopic Approaches to Analysis*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2005. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511810244>

87

Andrefsky W. *Lithic debitage: context, form, meaning*. Salt Lake City: : University of Utah Press 2001.

88

Debénath A, Dibble HL. Handbook of paleolithic typology. Philadelphia: : University Museum, University of Pennsylvania 1993.

89

Edmonds MR. Stone tools and society: working stone in Neolithic and Bronze Age Britain. London: : Batsford 1995.

90

Marie-Louise Inizan; Jehanne Féblot-Augustins; Cercle de Recherches et d'Etude Préhistoriques. Technology and terminology of knapped stone : followed by a multilingual vocabulary Arabic, English, French, German, Greek, Italian, Portuguese, Spanish / Marie-Louise Inizan ... [et al.]; translated [from the French] by Jehanne Féblot-Augustins. [http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=UCL_LMS_DS000619591&indx=1&reclids=UCL_LMS_DS000619591&reclidx=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dsct=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL_LMS_DS%29&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl\(freeText0\)=inizan%20technology%20knapped&dstmp=1507294273024](http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=UCL_LMS_DS000619591&indx=1&reclids=UCL_LMS_DS000619591&reclidx=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dsct=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL_LMS_DS%29&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl(freeText0)=inizan%20technology%20knapped&dstmp=1507294273024)

91

Rosen SA. Lithics after the Stone Age: a handbook of stone tools from the Levant. Walnut Creek, CA: : AltaMira Press 1997.

92

Rowan YM, Ebeling JR. New approaches to old stones: recent studies of ground stone artifacts. London: : Equinox Pub 2008.

93

Schumann W. Handbook of rocks, minerals, and gemstones. Boston: : Houghton Mifflin 1993.

94

Binford L. Interassemblage variability - the Mousterian and the functional argument. In: The explanation of culture change: models in prehistory. London: : Duckworth 1973.

227-54.

95

Michael S. Bisson. Nineteenth Century Tools for Twenty-First Century Archaeology? Why the Middle Paleolithic Typology of François Bordes Must Be Replaced. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* 2000;**7**:1-48.<https://www.jstor.org/stable/20177411>

96

Francois Bordes and Denise de Sonneville-Bordes. The Significance of Variability in Palaeolithic Assemblages. *World Archaeology* 1970;**2**:61-73.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/124167>

97

Bordes F. On the chronology and contemporaneity of different palaeolithic cultures. In: *The explanation of culture change: models in prehistory*. London: : Duckworth 1973. 217-26.

98

Barnett WK, Kingery WD, Close AE, et al. News and Short Contributions. *Journal of Field Archaeology* 1991;**18**. doi:10.2307/530272

99

Dibble HL. Raw material availability , intensity of utilization and Middle Paleolithic assemblage variability. In: *The Middle Paleolithic site of Combe-Capelle Bas (France)*. Philadelphia: : University Museum, University of Pennsylvania 1995.

100

Fladmark KR. Microdebitage analysis: Initial considerations. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 1982;**9**:205-20. doi:10.1016/0305-4403(82)90050-4

101

Angela E. Close. The Identification of Style in Lithic Artefacts. *World Archaeology* 1978;**10**:223–37. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/124230>

102

Gero J. Assessing social information in material objects: how well do lithics measure up? In: *Time, energy and stone tools*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1989.

103

Sackett JR. Style and Ethnicity in the Kalahari: A Reply to Wiessner. *American Antiquity* 1985;**50**:154–9. doi:10.2307/280642

104

Wiessner P. Style and Social Information in Kalahari San Projectile Points. *American Antiquity* 1983;**48**:253–76. doi:10.2307/280450

105

DeLoecker D. A re-fitter's paradise. In: *Lithic analysis at the Millennium*. London: : Institute of Archaeology, University College London 2003. 113–36. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=43d32496-7536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

106

Pigeot N. Technical and social actors: flintknapping specialists at Magdalenian Etiolles. *Archaeological review from Cambridge* 1990;**9**:126–41.

107

Clark JE. Ground stone tools and hunter-gatherer subsistence in southwest Asia: implications for the transition to farming. In: *The organization of core technology*. Boulder: : Westview Press 1987. 259–84.

108

Perles C. Systems of Exchange and Organization of Production in Neolithic Greece. *Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology* 1992;**5**:115–64. doi:10.1558/jmea.v5i2.115

109

Ramos Millán A, Ángeles Bustillo M, International Flint Symposium. Siliceous rocks and culture. Granada: : Universidad de Granada 1997.

110

Wright KI. Ground-Stone Tools and Hunter-Gatherer Subsistence in Southwest Asia: Implications for the Transition to Farming. *American Antiquity* 1994;**59**:238–63. doi:10.2307/281929

111

Adams RM. Complexity in Archaic States. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 2001;**20**:345–60. doi:10.1006/jaar.2000.0377

112

Cherry J. Power in space: archaeological and geographical studies of the state. In: *Landscape and culture: geographical and archaeological perspectives*. Oxford: : Basil Blackwell 1987. 146–72.

113

Binford LR. Willow smoke and dog's tails: hunter-gatherer settlement systems and archaeological site formation. *American Antiquity* 1980;**45**:4–20.

114

Davies T, Fry H, Wilson A, et al. Application of an entropy maximizing and dynamics model for understanding settlement structure: the Khabur Triangle in the Middle Bronze and Iron Ages. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 2014;**43**:141–54. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2013.12.014

115

Flannery, Kent V. *The early Mesoamerican village*. Updated ed. Walnut Creek, Calif: : Left

Coast Press 2009.

116

Knappett C, Evans T, Rivers R. Modelling maritime interaction in the Aegean Bronze Age. *Antiquity* 2008;**82**:1009–24. doi:10.1017/S0003598X0009774X

117

Roth A, editor. Social change in the Fourth Dynasty (Egypt): the spatial organization of pyramids, tombs and cemeteries. *Journal of the American Research Center in Egypt* 1993;**30**.

118

Bietak M. The archaeology of the 'gold of valour'. *Egyptian archaeology: bulletin of the Egypt Exploration Society* 2012;**40**:42–3. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=f53f8d79-7f66-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

119

The location of Alashiya: new evidence from petrographic investigation of Alashiyan tablets from el-Amarna and Ugarit. *American Journal of Archaeology* 2003;**107**:233–55.

120

Izre'el S. The Amarna letters from Canaan. In: *Civilizations of the ancient Near East*. Peabody, Mass: : Hendrickson 2000. 2411–20.

121

Postgate N, Wang T, Wilkinson T. The evidence for early writing: utilitarian or ceremonial? *Antiquity* 1995;**69**:459–80. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00081874

122

Serpico M, White R. The botanical identity and transport of incense during the Egyptian New Kingdom. *Antiquity* 2000;**74**:884–97. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00060531

123

Weiss H. Rediscovering: Tell Leilan on the Habur Plains of Syria. *The Biblical Archaeologist* 1985;**48**. doi:10.2307/3209945

124

Weiss H, Akkermans P, Stein GJ, et al. 1985 Excavations at Tell Leilan, Syria. *American Journal of Archaeology* 1990;**94**. doi:10.2307/505120

125

Woolley L. The beginnings of Ur. In: *Ur 'of the Chaldees': a revised and updated edition of Sir Leonard Woolley's Excavations at Ur*. Ithaca, N.Y.: : Cornell University Press 1982. 24–35. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=d856208b-ec7a-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

126

Andrén, Anders, Crozier, Alan. *Between artifacts and texts: historical archaeology in global perspective*. London: : Plenum Press 1998.

127

Cohen R, Westbrook R. *Amarna diplomacy: the beginnings of international relations*. Baltimore, Md: : Johns Hopkins Univeristy Press 2000.

128

Parker HDD, Rollston CA. The Epigraphic Digital Lab: Teaching Epigraphy in the Twenty-First Century. *Near Eastern Archaeology* 2016;**79**:44–56. doi:10.5615/neareastarch.79.1.0044

129

Goren, Yuval, Artzy, Michal, Finkelstein, Israel, et al. *Inscribed in clay: provenance study of the Amarna tablets and other ancient Near Eastern texts*. Tel Aviv: : Emery and Claire Yass Publications in Archaeology 2004.

130

Little Barbara J. Text-aided archaeology. In: Text-aided archaeology. Boca Raton, Fla: : CRC Press 1992. 1-6.

131

Moran, William L. The Amarna letters. English-language ed. Baltimore: : Johns Hopkins University Press 1992.

132

Rollston CA, Vaughn AG. Fakes, Forgeries and Biblical Scholarship. Near Eastern archaeology 2005;**68**:61-72.

133

Westbrook, Raymond, Cohen, Raymond. Amarna diplomacy: the beginnings of international relations. Baltimore, Md: : Johns Hopkins Univeristy Press 2000.
<http://quod.lib.umich.edu/cgi/t/text/text-idx?c=acls;idno=heb30995.0001.001>

134

Duday H. The archaeology of the dead: lectures in archaeoethanatology. Oxford: : Oxbow Books 2009.

135

Giles M. Preserving the body. In: The Oxford handbook of the archaeology of death and burial. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2013. 475-96.

136

Michael Parker Perason. Form now to then: ethnoarchaeology and analogy. In: The archaeology of death and burial. Stroud: : Sutton 1999.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=364ba8be-9666-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

137

C. Scarre. The meaning of death: funerary beliefs and the prehistorian. In: Renfrew C, Zubrow EBW, eds. *The Ancient Mind*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1994. 75–82. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511598388

138

Ucko PJ. Ethnography and archaeological interpretation of funerary remains. *World Archaeology* 1969;**1**:262–80. doi:10.1080/00438243.1969.9979444

139

Evans JG. Stonehenge - the enviroment in the Late Neolithic and Early Bronze Age and a Beaker Age burial. *Wiltshire archaeological and natural history magazine* 1984;**78**:7–30.

140

Bentley RA, Wahl J, Price TD, et al. Isotopic signatures and hereditary traits: snapshot of a Neolithic community in Germany. *Antiquity* 2008;**82**:290–304. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00096812

141

Bickle P, Hofmann D. Moving on: the contribution of isotope studies to the early Neolithic of Central Europe. *Antiquity* 2007;**81**:1029–41. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00096095

142

Golitko M, Keeley LH. Beating ploughshares back into swords: warfare in the Linearbandkeramik. *Antiquity* 2007;**81**:332–42. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00095211

143

Jantzen D, Brinker U, Orschiedt J, et al. A Bronze Age battlefield? Weapons and trauma in the Tollense Valley, north-eastern Germany. *Antiquity* 2011;**85**:417–33. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00067843

144

Michael Parker Pearson. Mortuary practices, society and ideology: an ethnographical study. In: Hodder I, ed. *Symbolic and Structural Archaeology*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1982. 99–113. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511558252

145

Rega E. Age, gender and biological reality in the Early Bronze Age cemetery at Mokrin. In: *Invisible people and processes: writing gender and childhood into European archaeology*. London: : Leicester University Press 1996. 229–47.

146

Shennan S. The social organization at Branč. *Antiquity* 1975;**49**:279–88. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00070319

147

Caple C. *Objects: reluctant witnesses to the past*. London: : Routledge 2006.

148

Costin C. Craft production. In: *Handbook of archaeological methods*. Lanham, Md: : Altamira Press 2005. 1034–107. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=364d506d-ef01-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

149

Forbes RJ. *Studies in ancient technology: Vol.8*. Leiden: : Brill 1971.

150

Hodges, Henry. *Artifacts: an introduction to early materials and technology*. Duckworth 1989.

151

Hurcombe, L. M. Archaeological artefacts as material culture. London: : Routledge 2007.

152

Shaw, Ian, Nicholson, Paul T. Ancient Egyptian materials and technology. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2000.

153

Singer C. A history of technology: Vol.1: From earliest times to fall of ancient empires. Oxford: : Clarendon Press 1954.

<https://quod.lib.umich.edu/cgi/t/text/text-idx?c=acls;idno=heb02191.0001.001>

154

Banning EB. The archaeologist's laboratory: the analysis of archaeological data. New York: : London 2000.

155

Rice PM. Pottery analysis: a sourcebook. Second edition. Chicago: : The University of Chicago Press 2015.

156

Prudence M. Rice. Recent Ceramic Analysis: 1. Function, Style, and Origins. Journal of Archaeological Research 1996;**4**:133-63.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/41053114>

157

Braun D. Pots as tools. In: Archaeological hammers and theories. New York: : Academic Press 1983. 107-34.

158

Rice PM. Pottery analysis: a sourcebook. Second edition. Chicago: : The University of Chicago Press 2015.

159

Prudence M. Rice. Recent Ceramic Analysis: 1. Function, Style, and Origins. *Journal of Archaeological Research* 1996;**4**:133–63.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/41053114>

160

Nicholas David, Judy Sterner and Kodzo Gavua. Why Pots are Decorated. *Current Anthropology* 1988;**29**:365–89.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2743453>

161

Gosselain OP. Technology and Style: Potters and Pottery Among Bafia of Cameroon. *Man* 1992;**27**. doi:10.2307/2803929

162

Hegmon M. Archaeological Research on Style. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 1992;**21**:517–36. doi:10.1146/annurev.an.21.100192.002505

163

Frank Hole. Analysis of Structure and Design in Prehistoric Ceramics. *World Archaeology* 1984;**15**:326–47.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/124629>

164

Lechtman H. Style in technology: some early thoughts. In: *Material culture: styles, organization, and dynamics of technology*. St. Paul: : West Pub. Co 1977. 3–20.

165

Rice PM. Pottery analysis: a sourcebook. Second edition. Chicago: : The University of Chicago Press 2015.

166

Barbara J. Mills. Integrating Functional Analyses of Vessels and Sherds through Models of Ceramic Assemblage Formation. *World Archaeology* 1989;**21**:133-47.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/124489>

167

Morris E. Staying alive: the function and use of prehistoric ceramics. In: *Prehistoric Britain: the ceramic basis*. Oxford: : Oxbow 2002. 54-61.

168

Prudence M. Rice. On the Origins of Pottery. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* 1999;**6**:1-54.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20177395>

169

Woodward A, Blinkthorn J. Size is important: Iron Age vessels capacities in central and southern England. In: *Not so much a pot, more a way of life: current approaches to artefact analysis in archaeology*. Oxford: : Oxbow 1997. 21-35.

170

Ferguson L. Struggling with pots in colonial South Carolina. In: *The archaeology of inequality*. Oxford: : Blackwell 1991. 28-39.

171

Gosselain OP. Social and technical identity in a clay crystal ball. In: *The archaeology of social boundaries*. Washington [D.C.]: : Smithsonian Institution Press 1998. 78-106.

172

Gosselain OP. Technology and Style: Potters and Pottery Among Bafia of Cameroon. *Man* 1992;**27**. doi:10.2307/2803929

173

Hamilton S. Between ritual and routine: interpreting prehistoric British pottery production and distribution. In: Prehistoric Britain: the ceramic basis. Oxford: : Oxbow 2002. 38–53.

174

Meadows K. Much ado about nothing: the social context of eating and drinking in early Roman Britain. In: Not so much a pot, more a way of life: current approaches to artefact analysis in archaeology. Oxford: : Oxbow 1997. 21–35. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=9b5a7c27-75c8-eb11-a7ad-281878521be7>

175

Amiran R, Beck P, Zevulan U. Ancient pottery of the Holy Land: from its beginnings in the Neolithic period to the end of the Iron Age. Jerusalem: : Masada Press 1969.

176

Dothan T, Hevrah la-ḥaḳirat Erets-Yiśra'el ve-'atīkoteha. The Philistines and their material culture. Jerusalem: : Israel Exploration Society 1982.

177

Last J, et al. Pottery from the east mound. In: Changing materialities at Çatalhöyük: reports from the 1995–99 seasons. Cambridge: : McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research 2005.

178

Wright K, Najjar M, Last J, et al. The Wadi Faynan Fourth and Third Millennium Project, 1997: Report on the First Season of Test Excavations at Wadi Faynan 100. *Levant* 1998;**30**:33–60. doi:10.1179/007589198790217142

179

Orton C, Hughes M. Pottery in archaeology. 2nd ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2013.

180

Sinopoli CM. Approaches to archaeological ceramics. New York: : Plenum Press 1991.

181

Skibo JM. Pottery function: a use-alteration perspective. New York: : Plenum Press 1992.

182

Banning EB. The archaeologist's laboratory: the analysis of archaeological data. New York: : London 2000.

183

Binford LR. Behavioral Archaeology and the 'Pompeii Premise' . Journal of Anthropological Research 1981;**37**:195–208.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3629723>

184

Flannery, Kent V. The early Mesoamerican village. Updated ed. Walnut Creek, Calif: : Left Coast Press 2009.

185

Hodder I. Interpretive Archaeology and Its Role. American Antiquity 1991;**56**:7–18.
doi:10.2307/280968

186

Schiffer MB. Archaeological context and systemic context. American antiquity 1972;**37**:156–65.

187

Binford LB. A consideration of archaeological research design. *American antiquity* 1964;**29**:425–41.

188

Clarke D. Archaeology: the loss of innocence. *Antiquity* 1973;**47**:6–18.
doi:10.1017/S0003598X0003461X

189

Flannery KV. The golden marshalltown. *American Anthropologist, New Series* 1982;**84**:265–78.

190

Trigger BG. Aims in Prehistoric Archaeology. *Antiquity* 1970;**44**:26–37.
doi:10.1017/S0003598X00040953

191

Woolley L. The beginnings of Ur. In: *Ur 'of the Chaldees': a revised and updated edition of Sir Leonard Woolley's Excavations at Ur*. Ithaca, N.Y.: : Cornell University Press 1982. 24–35.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=d856208b-ec7a-e911-80cd-005056af4099>