

ARCL2037: Interpreting Archaeological Data

View Online



Undergraduate: Year 2 core: 0.5 units

1.

David, N., Kramer, C.: Ethnoarchaeology in Action. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781316036488>.

2.

Flannery, Kent V.: The early Mesoamerican village. Left Coast Press, Walnut Creek, Calif (2009).

3.

Flannery, K.V.: Research strategy and formative Mesoamerica; a prayer for an endangered species. In: The early Mesoamerican village. Left Coast Press, Walnut Creek, Calif (2009).

4.

Banning, E.B.: The archaeologist's laboratory: the analysis of archaeological data. London, New York (2000).

5.

Binford, L.R.: Behavioral Archaeology and the 'Pompeii Premise' . Journal of Anthropological Research. 37, 195-208 (1981).

6.

Hodder, I.: Interpretive Archaeology and Its Role. American Antiquity. 56, 7-18 (1991).

<https://doi.org/10.2307/280968>.

7.

Schiffer, M.B.: Archaeological context and systemic context. *American antiquity*. 37, 156-165 (1972).

8.

Binford, L.B.: A consideration of archaeological research design. *American antiquity*. 29, 425-441 (1964).

9.

Clarke, D.: Archaeology: the loss of innocence. *Antiquity*. 47, 6-18 (1973).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X0003461X>.

10.

Flannery, K.V.: The golden marshalltown. *American Anthropologist, New Series*. 84, 265-278 (1982).

11.

Hodder, I.: Excavating Çatalhöyük: south, north and KOPAL area reports from the 1995-99 seasons. MacDonal Institute for archaeological reseach, Cambridge (2007).

12.

Trigger, B.G.: Aims in Prehistoric Archaeology. *Antiquity*. 44, 26-37 (1970).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X00040953>.

13.

Woolley, L.: The beginnings of Ur. In: *Ur 'of the Chaldees': a revised and updated edition of Sir Leonard Woolley's Excavations at Ur*. pp. 24-35. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N.Y. (1982).

14.

Goldberg, P.: Formation processes of the archaeological record. *Geoarchaeology*. 4, 277-278 (1989). <https://doi.org/10.1002/gea.3340040307>.

15.

Schiffer, M.B.: Formation processes. In: *Formation processes of the archaeological record*. pp. 143-150-199-217. University of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque, N.M. (1987).

16.

Wood, W., Johnson, D.: A survey of disturbance processes in archaeological site formation. *Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory*. 1, (1978).

17.

Hodder, I.: *Excavating Çatalhöyük: south, north and KOPAL area reports from the 1995-99 seasons*. MacDonald Institute for archaeological research, Cambridge (2007).

18.

Araujo, A.G.M., Feathers, J.K., Arroyo-Kalin, M., Tizuka, M.M.: Lapa das boleiras rockshelter: stratigraphy and formation processes at a paleoamerican site in Central Brazil. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 35, 3186-3202 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2008.07.007>.

19.

Malinsky-Buller, A., Hovers, E., Marder, O.: Making time: 'Living floors', 'palimpsests' and site formation processes - A perspective from the open-air Lower Paleolithic site of Revadim Quarry, Israel. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 30, 89-101 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaa.2010.11.002>.

20.

Schick, K.: Geoarchaeological analysis of an acheulean site at Kalambo Falls, Zambia. *GEOARCHAEOLOGY*. 7, 1-26 (1992). <https://doi.org/10.1002/gea.3340070102>.

21.

Shahack-Gross, R., Albert, R.-M., Gilboa, A., Nagar-Hilman, O., Sharon, I., Weiner, S.: Geoarchaeology in an urban context: The uses of space in a Phoenician monumental building at Tel Dor (Israel). *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 32, 1417–1431 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2005.04.001>.

22.

Andrefsky, W.: Raw material availability and organization of technology. *American Antiquity*. 59, 21–34 (1994).

23.

Binford, L.R.: Forty-seven trips: A case study in the character of archaeological formation process. In: *Working at archaeology*. pp. 243–268. Academic Press, London (1983).

24.

Binford, L.R.: Organization and formation processes: looking at curated technologies. *Journal of Anthropological Research*. 35, 255–273 (1979).

25.

Binford, L.R.: Dimensional Analysis of Behavior and Site Structure: Learning from an Eskimo Hunting Stand. *American Antiquity*. 43, 330–361 (1978). <https://doi.org/10.2307/279390>.

26.

Hayden, B., Cannon, A.: Where the garbage goes: Refuse disposal in the Maya Highlands. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2, 117–163 (1983). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-4165\(83\)90010-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-4165(83)90010-7).

27.

Hardy-Smith, T., Edwards, P.C.: The garbage crisis in prehistory: artefact discard patterns at the Early Natufian site of Wadi Hammeh 27 and the origins of household refuse disposal

strategies. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 23, 253–289 (2004).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaa.2004.05.001>.

28.

Flannery, K.V., Winter, M.: Analyzing household activities. In: *The early Mesoamerican village*. pp. 34–47. Left Coast Press, Walnut Creek, Calif (2009).

29.

V.M. LaMotta, M.B. Schiffer: Formation processes of house floor assemblages. In: *The archaeology of household activities*. pp. 19–29. Routledge, London (1999).

30.

de Loecker et al., D.: A re-fitter's paradise. In: *Lithic analysis at the Millennium*. pp. 113–136. Institute of Archaeology, University College London, London (2003).

31.

Hayden, B., Cannon, A.: Where the garbage goes: Refuse disposal in the Maya Highlands. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2, 117–163 (1983).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-4165\(83\)90010-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-4165(83)90010-7).

32.

Hill, J.D.: Introduction. In: *Ritual and rubbish in the Iron Age of Wessex: a study on the formation of a specific archaeological record*. pp. 1–11. Tempus Reparatum, Oxford (1995).

33.

Hodder, I.: *Excavating Çatalhöyük: south, north and KOPAL area reports from the 1995-99 seasons*. MacDonald Institute for archaeological research, Cambridge (2007).

34.

Kent, S.: The archaeological visibility of storage: delineating storage from trash areas.

American Antiquity. 64, 79–94 (1999).

35.

Pigeot, N.: Technical and social actors: flintknapping specialists and apprentices at Magdalenian etiolles. *Archaeological review from Cambridge*. 9, 126–141 (1990).

36.

Flannery, Kent V.: *The early Mesoamerican village*. Left Coast Press, Walnut Creek, Calif (2009).

37.

Nicholas David: The Fulani compound and the archaeologist. *World Archaeology*. 3, 111–131 (1971).

38.

David, N., Kramer, C.: *Ethnoarchaeology in Action*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781316036488>.

39.

Parker Pearson, M., Richards, C.: Ordering the world: perceptions of architecture, space and time. In: *Architecture and order: approaches to social space*. pp. 1–36. Routledge, London (1994).

40.

Grahame, M.: Public and private in the Roman house. In: *Domestic space in the Roman world: Pompeii and beyond*. pp. 137–164. *JRA*, Portsmouth, RI (1997).

41.

Kramer, C.: An archaeological view of a contemporary Kurdish village: domestic architecture, household size and wealth . In: *Ethnoarchaeology: implications of ethnography for archaeology*. pp. 139–163. Columbia University Press, Guildford (1979).

42.

Thomas J. Pluckhahn: Household Archaeology in the Southeastern United States: History, Trends, and Challenges. *Journal of Archaeological Research*. 18, 331–385 (2010).

43.

Cynthia Robin: New Directions in Classic Maya Household Archaeology. *Journal of Archaeological Research*. 11, 307–356 (2003).

44.

Katheryn C. Twiss, Amy Bogaard, Doru Bogdan, Tristan Carter, Michael P. Charles, Shahina Farid, Nerissa Russell, Mirjana Stevanović, E. Nurcan Yalman and Lisa Yeomans: Arson or Accident? The Burning of a Neolithic House at Çatalhöyük, Turkey. *Journal of Field Archaeology*. 33, 41–57 (2008).

45.

Wright, K.I.: The Social Origins of Cooking and Dining in Early Villages of Western Asia. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society*. 66, 89–121 (2000).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0079497X0000178X>.

46.

Wright, K.I. (Karen): Domestication and inequality? Households, corporate groups and food processing tools at Neolithic Çatalhöyük. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 33, 1–33 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaa.2013.09.007>.

47.

Fairclough, G.: Meaningful constructions – spatial and functional analysis of medieval buildings. *Antiquity*. 66, 348–366 (1992). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X00081461>.

48.

Foster, S.M.: Analysis of spatial patterns in buildings (access analysis) as an insight into

social structure: examples from the Scottish Atlantic Iron Age. *Antiquity*. 63, 40–50 (1989). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X00075566>.

49.

Hill, J.: Broken K pueblo. In: *New perspectives in archeology*. pp. 103–142. Aldine, Chicago (1968).

50.

Manfred Bietak, E. B. Pusch, Mark Lehner, M. Verner, D. G. Jeffreys, H. S. Smith, E. Strouhal, D. Arnold, J. Dörner, H. Jaritz, A. J. Spencer, Günter Dreyer, E. Graefe and Michael Allen Hoffman: Ägypten. *Archiv für Orientforschung*. 128–184 (1985).

51.

Lehner, M.: The Pyramid Age Settlement of the Southern Mount at Giza. *Journal of the American Research Center in Egypt*. 39, (2002). <https://doi.org/10.2307/40001149>.

52.

Robinson, D.: The social texture of Pompeii. In: *Sequence and space in Pompeii*. pp. 135–144. Oxbow Books, Oxford (1997).

53.

Wallace-Hadrill, A.: Public honour and private shame: the urban texture of Pompeii. In: *Urban society in Roman Italy*. pp. 39–62. UCL Press, London (1995).

54.

Whitelaw, T.: House, households and community at Early Minoan Fournou, Korifi: methods and models for interpretation. In: *Building communities: house, settlement and society in the Aegean and beyond*. pp. 65–76. British School at Athens, London (2007).

55.

Allison, P.M.: *Pompeian households: an analysis of the material culture*. Cotsen Institute of

Archaeology at University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles (2004).

56.

Bradley E. Ensor: Kinship theory in archaeology: from critiques to the study of transformations. *American Antiquity*. 76, 203–227 (2011).

57.

Laurence, R.: *Roman Pompeii: space and society*. Routledge, London (2007).

58.

Parker, B.J., Foster, C.P.: *New perspectives on household archaeology*. Eisenbrauns, Winona Lake, Ind (2012).

59.

Poehler, E., Flohr, M., Cole, K.: *Pompeii: art, industry and infrastructure*. Oxbow, Oxford (2011).

60.

Sharon R. Steadman: Recent Research in the Archaeology of Architecture: Beyond the Foundations. *Journal of Archaeological Research*. 4, 51–93 (1996).

61.

Wallace-Hadrill, A.: *Houses and society in Pompeii and Herculaneum*. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1994).

62.

Warren, P.: *Myrtos: an early Bronze Age settlement in Crete*. British School of Archaeology at Athens/Thames and Hudson, London (1972).

63.

Wilk, R.R., Rathje, W.L.: Household Archaeology. *American Behavioral Scientist*. 25, 617–639 (1982). <https://doi.org/10.1177/000276482025006003>.

64.

Whitelaw, T.: The settlement at Fournou, Korifi, Myrtos and aspects of Early Minoan social organization. In: *Minoan society: proceedings of the Cambridge Colloquium 1981*. pp. 323–345. Bristol Classical Press, Bristol (1983).

65.

Whitelaw, T., Day, P., Kiriati, E., Kilikoglou, V., Wilson, D.: Ceramic traditions at EM IIB Myrtos, Fournou Korifi. In: *Techne: craftsmen, craftswomen and craftsmanship in the Aegean Bronze Age : proceedings of the 6th International Aegean Conference/6e Rencontre égéenne internationale Philadelphia, Temple University, 18-21 April 1996*. pp. 265–274. Université de Liège, Liège (1997).

66.

Whitelaw, T.: Feasts of clay? Ceramics and feasting at Early Minoan Myrtos: Fournou Korifi. In: Galanakis, I., Wilkinson, T.C., and Bennet, J. (eds.) *AΘYPMATA: critical essays on the archaeology of the eastern Mediterranean in honour of E. Susan Sherratt*. pp. 247–259. Archaeopress, Oxford (2014).

67.

Andrefsky, Jr, W.: *Lithics: Macroscopic Approaches to Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2005).

68.

Banning, E.B.: *The archaeologist's laboratory: the analysis of archaeological data*. London, New York (2000).

69.

Magne, M.P.: Lithic reduction stages and assemblage formation processes. In: *Experiments in lithic technology*. pp. 15–31. B.A.R, Oxford, England (1989).

70.

George H. Odell: Stone Tool Research at the End of the Millennium: Procurement and Technology. *Journal of Archaeological Research*. 8, 269–331 (2000).

71.

George H. Odell: Stone Tool Research at the End of the Millennium: Classification, Function, and Behavior. *Journal of Archaeological Research*. 9, 45–100 (2001).

72.

Odell, G.H.: *Lithic analysis*. Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York (2004).

73.

Rapp, G.R.: *Archaeomineralogy*. Springer, Berlin (2002).

74.

Katherine Wright: A classification system for ground stone tools from the prehistoric Levant. *Paléorient*. 18, 53–81 (1992).

75.

Carter, T., Grant, S., Kartal, M., Coşkun, A., Özkaya, V.: Networks and Neolithisation: sourcing obsidian from Körtik Tepe (SE Anatolia). *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 40, 556–569 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2012.08.003>.

76.

Carter, T., Milic, M.: The chipped stone. In: *Substantive technologies at Çatalhöyük: reports from the 2000-2008 seasons*. pp. 409–470. British Institute at Ankara and the Cotsen Institute of Archaeology at UCLA, London (2013).

77.

Carter, T., Conolly, J., Spasojeviae, J.: The chipped stone. In: Changing materialities at Çatalhöyük: reports from the 1995-99 seasons. pp. 221-284. McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research, Cambridge (2005).

78.

Carter, T.: Beyond the Mohs scale: Raw material choice and the production of stone vases in a late Minoan context. In: New approaches to old stones: recent studies of ground stone artifacts. pp. 66-81. Equinox Pub, London (2008).

79.

Wright, K.I.: The ground stone technologies of Çatalhöyük, 1993-2008. In: Substantive technologies at Çatalhöyük: reports from the 2000-2008 seasons. pp. 365-416. British Institute at Ankara and the Cotsen Institute of Archaeology at UCLA, London (2013).

80.

Wright, K.I. (Karen): Domestication and inequality? Households, corporate groups and food processing tools at Neolithic Çatalhöyük. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 33, 1-33 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaa.2013.09.007>.

81.

Bains, R., Bar-Yosef, D., Russell, N., Vasic, M., Wright, K.I.: A technological approach to personal ornamentation and social expression at Çatalhöyük. In: Substantive technologies at Çatalhöyük: reports from the 2000-2008 seasons. pp. 331-363. British Institute at Ankara and the Cotsen Institute of Archaeology at UCLA, London (2013).

82.

Wright, K.I., Critchley, P., Garrard, A., Baird, D., Bains, R., Groom, S.: Stone Bead Technologies and Early Craft Specialization: Insights from Two Neolithic Sites in Eastern Jordan. *Levant*. 40, 131-165 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1179/175638008X348016>.

83.

Wright, K., Garrard, A.: Social identities and the expansion of stone bead-making in Neolithic Western Asia: new evidence from Jordan. *Antiquity*. 77, 267-284 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X00092267>.

84.

Adams, W.Y., Adams, E.W.: *Archaeological typology and practical reality*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1991). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511558207>.

85.

Adams, J.L., *Archaeology Southwest (Organization): Ground stone analysis: a technological approach*. University of Utah Press, Salt Lake City (2014).

86.

Andrefsky, Jr, W.: *Lithics: Macroscopic Approaches to Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2005).

87.

Andrefsky, W.: *Lithic debitage: context, form, meaning*. University of Utah Press, Salt Lake City (2001).

88.

Debénath, A., Dibble, H.L.: *Handbook of paleolithic typology*. University Museum, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia (1993).

89.

Edmonds, M.R.: *Stone tools and society: working stone in Neolithic and Bronze Age Britain*. Batsford, London (1995).

90.

Marie-Louise Inizan; Jehanne Féblot-Augustins; *Cercle de Recherches et d'Etude Préhistoriques.: Technology and terminology of knapped stone : followed by a multilingual vocabulary Arabic, English, French, German, Greek, Italian, Portuguese, Spanish / Marie-Louise Inizan ... [et al.]; translated [from the French] by Jehanne Féblot-Augustins.*

91.

Rosen, S.A.: *Lithics after the Stone Age: a handbook of stone tools from the Levant*. AltaMira Press, Walnut Creek, CA (1997).

92.

Rowan, Y.M., Ebeling, J.R.: *New approaches to old stones: recent studies of ground stone artifacts*. Equinox Pub, London (2008).

93.

Schumann, W.: *Handbook of rocks, minerals, and gemstones*. Houghton Mifflin, Boston (1993).

94.

Binford, L.: *Interassemblage variability - the Mousterian and the functional argument*. In: *The explanation of culture change: models in prehistory*. pp. 227–254. Duckworth, London (1973).

95.

Michael S. Bisson: *Nineteenth Century Tools for Twenty-First Century Archaeology? Why the Middle Paleolithic Typology of François Bordes Must Be Replaced*. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory*. 7, 1–48 (2000).

96.

Francois Bordes and Denise de Sonneville-Bordes: *The Significance of Variability in Palaeolithic Assemblages*. *World Archaeology*. 2, 61–73 (1970).

97.

Bordes, F.: *On the chronology and contemporaneity of different palaeolithic cultures*. In: *The explanation of culture change: models in prehistory*. pp. 217–226. Duckworth, London (1973).

98.

Barnett, W.K., Kingery, W.D., Close, A.E., Dibble, H.L., McDonald, M.M.A.: News and Short Contributions. *Journal of Field Archaeology*. 18, (1991). <https://doi.org/10.2307/530272>.

99.

Dibble, H.L.: Raw material availability , intensity of utilization and Middle Paleolithic assemblage variability. In: *The Middle Paleolithic site of Combe-Capelle Bas (France)*. University Museum, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia (1995).

100.

Fladmark, K.R.: Microdebitage analysis: Initial considerations. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 9, 205–220 (1982). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-4403\(82\)90050-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-4403(82)90050-4).

101.

Angela E. Close: The Identification of Style in Lithic Artefacts. *World Archaeology*. 10, 223–237 (1978).

102.

Gero, J.: Assessing social information in material objects: how well do lithics measure up? In: *Time, energy and stone tools*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1989).

103.

Sackett, J.R.: Style and Ethnicity in the Kalahari: A Reply to Wiessner. *American Antiquity*. 50, 154–159 (1985). <https://doi.org/10.2307/280642>.

104.

Wiessner, P.: Style and Social Information in Kalahari San Projectile Points. *American Antiquity*. 48, 253–276 (1983). <https://doi.org/10.2307/280450>.

105.

DeLoecker, D.: A re-fitter's paradise. In: *Lithic analysis at the Millennium*. pp. 113–136. Institute of Archaeology, University College London, London (2003).

106.

Pigeot, N.: Technical and social actors: flintknapping specialists at Magdalenian Etiolles. *Archaeological review from Cambridge*. 9, 126–141 (1990).

107.

Clark, J.E.: Ground stone tools and hunter-gatherer subsistence in southwest Asia: implications for the transition to farming. In: *The organization of core technology*. pp. 259–284. Westview Press, Boulder (1987).

108.

Perles, C.: Systems of Exchange and Organization of Production in Neolithic Greece. *Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology*. 5, 115–164 (1992).
<https://doi.org/10.1558/jmea.v5i2.115>.

109.

Ramos Millán, A., Ángeles Bustillo, M., *International Flint Symposium: Siliceous rocks and culture*. Universidad de Granada, Granada (1997).

110.

Wright, K.I.: Ground-Stone Tools and Hunter-Gatherer Subsistence in Southwest Asia: Implications for the Transition to Farming. *American Antiquity*. 59, 238–263 (1994).
<https://doi.org/10.2307/281929>.

111.

Adams, R.M.: Complexity in Archaic States. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 20, 345–360 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1006/jaar.2000.0377>.

112.

Cherry, J.: Power in space: archaeological and geographical studies of the state. In: Landscape and culture: geographical and archaeological perspectives. pp. 146–172. Basil Blackwell, Oxford (1987).

113.

Binford, L.R.: Willow smoke and dog's tails: hunter-gatherer settlement systems and archaeological site formation. *American Antiquity*. 45, 4–20 (1980).

114.

Davies, T., Fry, H., Wilson, A., Palmisano, A., Altaweel, M., Radner, K.: Application of an entropy maximizing and dynamics model for understanding settlement structure: the Khabur Triangle in the Middle Bronze and Iron Ages. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 43, 141–154 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2013.12.014>.

115.

Flannery, Kent V.: *The early Mesoamerican village*. Left Coast Press, Walnut Creek, Calif (2009).

116.

Knappett, C., Evans, T., Rivers, R.: Modelling maritime interaction in the Aegean Bronze Age. *Antiquity*. 82, 1009–1024 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X0009774X>.

117.

Roth, A. ed: Social change in the Fourth Dynasty (Egypt): the spatial organization of pyramids, tombs and cemeteries. *Journal of the American Research Center in Egypt*. 30, (1993).

118.

Bietak, M.: The archaeology of the 'gold of valour'. *Egyptian archaeology: bulletin of the Egypt Exploration Society*. 40, 42–43 (2012).

119.

The location of Alashiya: new evidence from petrographic investigation of Alashiyan tablets from el-Amarna and Ugarit. *American Journal of Archaeology*. 107, 233–255 (2003).

120.

Izre'el, S.: The Amarna letters from Canaan. In: *Civilizations of the ancient Near East*. pp. 2411–2420. Hendrickson, Peabody, Mass (2000).

121.

Postgate, N., Wang, T., Wilkinson, T.: The evidence for early writing: utilitarian or ceremonial? *Antiquity*. 69, 459–480 (1995). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X00081874>.

122.

Serpico, M., White, R.: The botanical identity and transport of incense during the Egyptian New Kingdom. *Antiquity*. 74, 884–897 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X00060531>.

123.

Weiss, H.: Rediscovering: Tell Leilan on the Habur Plains of Syria. *The Biblical Archaeologist*. 48, (1985). <https://doi.org/10.2307/3209945>.

124.

Weiss, H., Akkermans, P., Stein, G.J., Parayre, D., Whiting, R.: 1985 Excavations at Tell Leilan, Syria. *American Journal of Archaeology*. 94, (1990). <https://doi.org/10.2307/505120>.

125.

Woolley, L.: The beginnings of Ur. In: *Ur 'of the Chaldees': a revised and updated edition of Sir Leonard Woolley's Excavations at Ur*. pp. 24–35. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N.Y. (1982).

126.

Andrén, Anders, Crozier, Alan: *Between artifacts and texts: historical archaeology in global perspective*. Plenum Press, London (1998).

127.

Cohen, R., Westbrook, R.: *Amarna diplomacy: the beginnings of international relations*. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, Md (2000).

128.

Parker, H.D.D., Rollston, C.A.: *The Epigraphic Digital Lab: Teaching Epigraphy in the Twenty-First Century*. *Near Eastern Archaeology*. 79, 44–56 (2016).
<https://doi.org/10.5615/neareastarch.79.1.0044>.

129.

Goren, Yuval, Artzy, Michal, Finkelstein, Israel, Na'aman, Nadav, *Makhon le-arkhe'ologyah 'a. sh. Sonyah u-Marḳo Nadler: Inscribed in clay: provenance study of the Amarna tablets and other ancient Near Eastern texts*. Emery and Claire Yass Publications in Archaeology, Tel Aviv (2004).

130.

Little, Barbara.J.: *Text-aided archaeology*. In: *Text-aided archaeology*. pp. 1–6. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla (1992).

131.

Moran, William L.: *The Amarna letters*. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore (1992).

132.

Rollston, C.A., Vaughn, A.G.: *Fakes, Forgeries and Biblical Scholarship*. *Near Eastern Archaeology*. 68, 61–72 (2005).

133.

Westbrook, Raymond, Cohen, Raymond: Amarna diplomacy: the beginnings of international relations. Johns Hopkins Univeristy Press, Baltimore, Md (2000).

134.

Duday, H.: The archaeology of the dead: lectures in archaeoethanatology. Oxbow Books, Oxford (2009).

135.

Giles, M.: Preserving the body. In: The Oxford handbook of the archaeology of death and burial. pp. 475-496. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2013).

136.

Michael Parker Perason: Form now to then: ethnoarchaeology and analogy. In: The archaeology of death and burial. Sutton, Stroud (1999).

137.

C. Scarre: The meaning of death: funerary beliefs and the prehistorian. In: Renfrew, C. and Zubrow, E.B.W. (eds.) The Ancient Mind. pp. 75-82. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1994). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511598388>.

138.

Ucko, P.J.: Ethnography and archaeological interpretation of funerary remains. World Archaeology. 1, 262-280 (1969). <https://doi.org/10.1080/00438243.1969.9979444>.

139.

Evans, J.G.: Stonehenge - the enviroment in the Late Neolithic and Early Bronze Age and a Beaker Age burial. Wiltshire archaeological and natural history magazine. 78, 7-30 (1984).

140.

- Bentley, R.A., Wahl, J., Price, T.D., Atkinson, T.C.: Isotopic signatures and hereditary traits: snapshot of a Neolithic community in Germany. *Antiquity*. 82, 290–304 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X00096812>.
- 141.
- Bickle, P., Hofmann, D.: Moving on: the contribution of isotope studies to the early Neolithic of Central Europe. *Antiquity*. 81, 1029–1041 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X00096095>.
- 142.
- Golitko, M., Keeley, L.H.: Beating ploughshares back into swords: warfare in the Linearbandkeramik. *Antiquity*. 81, 332–342 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X00095211>.
- 143.
- Jantzen, D., Brinker, U., Orschiedt, J., Heinemeier, J., Piek, J., Hauenstein, K., Krüger, J., Lidke, G., Lübke, H., Lampe, R., Lorenz, S., Schult, M., Terberger, T.: A Bronze Age battlefield? Weapons and trauma in the Tollense Valley, north-eastern Germany. *Antiquity*. 85, 417–433 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X00067843>.
- 144.
- Michael Parker Pearson: Mortuary practices, society and ideology: an ethnographical study. In: Hodder, I. (ed.) *Symbolic and Structural Archaeology*. pp. 99–113. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1982). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511558252>.
- 145.
- Rega, E.: Age, gender and biological reality in the Early Bronze Age cemetery at Mokrin. In: *Invisible people and processes: writing gender and childhood into European archaeology*. pp. 229–247. Leicester University Press, London (1996).
- 146.
- Shennan, S.: The social organization at Branč. *Antiquity*. 49, 279–288 (1975). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X00070319>.

147.

Caple, C.: *Objects: reluctant witnesses to the past*. Routledge, London (2006).

148.

Costin, C.: *Craft production*. In: *Handbook of archaeological methods*. pp. 1034-1107. Altamira Press, Lanham, Md (2005).

149.

Forbes, R.J.: *Studies in ancient technology: Vol.8*. Brill, Leiden (1971).

150.

Hodges, Henry: *Artifacts: an introduction to early materials and technology*. Duckworth (1989).

151.

Hurcombe, L. M.: *Archaeological artefacts as material culture*. Routledge, London (2007).

152.

Shaw, Ian, Nicholson, Paul T.: *Ancient Egyptian materials and technology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2000).

153.

Singer, C.: *A history of technology: Vol.1: From earliest times to fall of ancient empires*. Clarendon Press, Oxford (1954).

154.

Banning, E.B.: *The archaeologist's laboratory: the analysis of archaeological data*. London,

New York (2000).

155.

Rice, P.M.: Pottery analysis: a sourcebook. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago (2015).

156.

Prudence M. Rice: Recent Ceramic Analysis: 1. Function, Style, and Origins. Journal of Archaeological Research. 4, 133-163 (1996).

157.

Braun, D.: Pots as tools. In: Archaeological hammers and theories. pp. 107-134. Academic Press, New York (1983).

158.

Rice, P.M.: Pottery analysis: a sourcebook. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago (2015).

159.

Prudence M. Rice: Recent Ceramic Analysis: 1. Function, Style, and Origins. Journal of Archaeological Research. 4, 133-163 (1996).

160.

Nicholas David, Judy Sterner and Kodzo Gavua: Why Pots are Decorated. Current Anthropology. 29, 365-389 (1988).

161.

Gosselain, O.P.: Technology and Style: Potters and Pottery Among Bafia of Cameroon. Man. 27, (1992). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2803929>.

162.

Hegmon, M.: Archaeological Research on Style. *Annual Review of Anthropology*. 21, 517–536 (1992). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.an.21.100192.002505>.

163.

Frank Hole: Analysis of Structure and Design in Prehistoric Ceramics. *World Archaeology*. 15, 326–347 (1984).

164.

Lechtman, H.: Style in technology: some early thoughts. In: *Material culture: styles, organization, and dynamics of technology*. pp. 3–20. West Pub. Co, St. Paul (1977).

165.

Rice, P.M.: *Pottery analysis: a sourcebook*. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago (2015).

166.

Barbara J. Mills: Integrating Functional Analyses of Vessels and Sherds through Models of Ceramic Assemblage Formation. *World Archaeology*. 21, 133–147 (1989).

167.

Morris, E.: Staying alive: the function and use of prehistoric ceramics. In: *Prehistoric Britain: the ceramic basis*. pp. 54–61. Oxbow, Oxford (2002).

168.

Prudence M. Rice: On the Origins of Pottery. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory*. 6, 1–54 (1999).

169.

Woodward, A., Blinkthorn, J.: Size is important: Iron Age vessels capacities in central and

southern England. In: Not so much a pot, more a way of life: current approaches to artefact analysis in archaeology. pp. 21–35. Oxbow, Oxford (1997).

170.

Ferguson, L.: Struggling with pots in colonial South Carolina. In: The archaeology of inequality. pp. 28–39. Blackwell, Oxford (1991).

171.

Gosselain, O.P.: Social and technical identity in a clay crystal ball. In: The archaeology of social boundaries. pp. 78–106. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington [D.C.] (1998).

172.

Gosselain, O.P.: Technology and Style: Potters and Pottery Among Bafia of Cameroon. *Man*. 27, (1992). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2803929>.

173.

Hamilton, S.: Between ritual and routine: interpreting prehistoric British pottery production and distribution. In: Prehistoric Britain: the ceramic basis. pp. 38–53. Oxbow, Oxford (2002).

174.

Meadows, K.: Much ado about nothing: the social context of eating and drinking in early Roman Britain. In: Not so much a pot, more a way of life: current approaches to artefact analysis in archaeology. pp. 21–35. Oxbow, Oxford (1997).

175.

Amiran, R., Beck, P., Zevulan, U.: Ancient pottery of the Holy Land: from its beginnings in the Neolithic period to the end of the Iron Age. Masada Press, Jerusalem (1969).

176.

Dothan, T., *Ḥevrah la-ḥaḳirat Erets-Yiśra'el ve-'atikoteha*: The Philistines and their material culture. Israel Exploration Society, Jerusalem (1982).

177.

Last, J., et al: Pottery from the east mound. In: Changing materialities at Çatalhöyük: reports from the 1995-99 seasons. McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research, Cambridge (2005).

178.

Wright, K., Najjar, M., Last, J., Moloney, N., Flender, M., Gower, J., Jackson, N., Kennedy, A., Shafiq, R.: The Wadi Faynan Fourth and Third Millennia Project, 1997: Report on the First Season of Test Excavations at Wadi Faynan 100. *Levant*. 30, 33-60 (1998).
<https://doi.org/10.1179/007589198790217142>.

179.

Orton, C., Hughes, M.: Pottery in archaeology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2013).

180.

Sinopoli, C.M.: Approaches to archaeological ceramics. Plenum Press, New York (1991).

181.

Skibo, J.M.: Pottery function: a use-alteration perspective. Plenum Press, New York (1992).

182.

Banning, E.B.: The archaeologist's laboratory: the analysis of archaeological data. London, New York (2000).

183.

Binford, L.R.: Behavioral Archaeology and the 'Pompeii Premise' . *Journal of Anthropological Research*. 37, 195-208 (1981).

184.

Flannery, Kent V.: The early Mesoamerican village. Left Coast Press, Walnut Creek, Calif (2009).

185.

Hodder, I.: Interpretive Archaeology and Its Role. *American Antiquity*. 56, 7-18 (1991).
<https://doi.org/10.2307/280968>.

186.

Schiffer, M.B.: Archaeological context and systemic context. *American antiquity*. 37, 156-165 (1972).

187.

Binford, L.B.: A consideration of archaeological research design. *American antiquity*. 29, 425-441 (1964).

188.

Clarke, D.: Archaeology: the loss of innocence. *Antiquity*. 47, 6-18 (1973).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X0003461X>.

189.

Flannery, K.V.: The golden marshalltown. *American Anthropologist, New Series*. 84, 265-278 (1982).

190.

Trigger, B.G.: Aims in Prehistoric Archaeology. *Antiquity*. 44, 26-37 (1970).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X00040953>.

191.

Woolley, L.: The beginnings of Ur. In: *Ur 'of the Chaldees': a revised and updated edition of*

Sir Leonard Woolley's Excavations at Ur. pp. 24–35. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N.Y. (1982).