

PSYC3211: Attention and Awareness

[View Online](#)

1

Adams J K. Laboratory studies of behaviour without awareness. *Psychological Bulletin* 1957;**54**:383-405.<http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=yrovft&AN=00006823-195709000-00002&PDF=y>

2

Adams JK. Laboratory Studies of Behaviour Without Awareness. *Psychological Bulletin* 1957;**54**.<http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=yrovft&AN=00006823-195709000-00002&PDF=y>

3

Merikle PM, Smilek D, Eastwood JD. Perception without awareness: perspectives from cognitive psychology. *Cognition* 4AD;**79**:115-34.<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010027700001268>

4

Raft Kunst-Wilson W, Zajonc RB. Affective Discrimination of Stimuli that cannot be Recognized. *Science* 2AD;**207**:557-8.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/1684047?&Search=yes&searchText=discrimination&searchText=affective&searchText=stimuli&list=hide&searchUri=%252Faction%252FdoBasicSearch%253FQuery%253Daffective%252Bdiscrimination%252Bof%252Bstimuli%2526filter%253Djid%25253A10.2307%25252Fj100000%2526Search%253DSearch%2526wc%253Don%2526fc%253Doff%2526globalSearch%253D%2526sbbBox%253D%2526sbjBox%253D%2526sbpBox%253D&prevSearch=&item=1&ttl=45&returnArticleService=showFullText>

5

Murphy ST. Affect, Cognition, and Awareness: Affective Priming With Optimal and Suboptimal Stimulus Exposures. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*; **64**:723–39. <http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN=00005205-199305000-00003&LSLINK=80&D=ovft>

6

Murphy ST, Zajonc RB. Affect, cognition, and awareness: affective priming with optimal and suboptimal stimulus exposures. *PubMed.gov*. 1993; **64**:723–39. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8505704?report=abstract>

7

Mack A, Rock I. *Inattention blindness*. Cambridge, Mass: : MIT Press 2000.

8

Most SB, Simons DJ, Scholl BJ, et al. How not to be Seen: The Contribution of Similarity and Selective Ignoring to Sustained Inattentional Blindness. *PubMed.gov* 2001; **12**:9–17. doi:10.1111/1467-9280.00303

9

Most SB, Simons DJ, Sholl BJ, et al. How not to be seen: the contribution of similarity and selective ignoring to sustained inattentional blindness. *PubMed.gov* 1AD; **12**:9–17. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11294235>

10

Moore CM, Egeth H. Perception without attention: evidence of grouping under conditions of inattention. *Journal of Experimental Psychology, Human Perception and Performance* 1997; **23**. http://pbs.jhu.edu/research/egeth/publications/PDF/PWA_article.pdf

11

Simons DJ, Chabris CF. Gorillas in our midst: sustained inattentional blindness for dynamic events. *Perception* 1999; **28**:1059–74. doi:10.1068/p2952

12

Simons DJ, Chabris CF. Gorillas in our midst: sustained inattention blindness for dynamic events. PubMed.gov 1999;**28**:1059–74.<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10694957>

13

O'Regan JK, Rensink RA, Clark JJ. Change-blindness as a result of 'mudsplashes'. Nature 3AD;**398**.<http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v398/n6722/full/398034a0.html>

14

Rensink RA, O'Regan JK, Clark JJ. To See or not to See: The Need for Attention to Perceive Changes in Scenes. Psychological Science 1997;**8**:368–73.<http://pss.sagepub.com/content/8/5/368>

15

Simons DJ, Levin DT. Failure to detect changes to people during a real-world interaction. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review 1998;**5**:644–9.<http://link.springer.com/article/10.3758%2FBF03208840>

16

Daniel J. Simons and Michael S. Ambinder. Change Blindness: Theory and Consequences. Current Directions in Psychological Science;**14**:44–8.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20182983>

17

Tsal Y, Kolbet L. Disambiguating ambiguous figures by selective attention. The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology Section A 2AD;**37**:25–37.<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/14640748508400950#.Uvp1eF76kjU>

18

Chaudhuri A. Modulation of the motion aftereffect by selective attention. Nature 3AD;**344**.<http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v344/n6261/pdf/344060a0.pdf>

19

Rees G, Lavie N. What can functional imaging reveal about the role of attention in visual awareness? *Neuropsychologia* 1AD;**39**:1343–53.<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0028393201001221>

20

Beck DM, Rees G, Frith CD, et al. Neural correlates of change detection and change blindness. *Nature Neuroscience* 2001;**4**:645–50.<http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/88477>

21

Carmel D, Lavie N, Rees G. Conscious Awareness of Flicker in Humans Involves Frontal and Parietal Cortex. *Current Biology* 5AD;**16**:907–11.<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0960982206013522>

22

Rees G, Kreiman G, Koch C. Neural correlates of consciousness in humans. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 4AD;**3**:261–70.<http://www.nature.com/nrn/journal/v3/n4/full/nrn783.html>

23

Cartwright-Finch U, Lavie N. The role of perceptual load in inattention blindness. *Cognition - Journal - Elsevier* Published Online First: 1AD.<http://www.icn.ucl.ac.uk/lavielab/reprints/Cartwright-Finch-Lavie.pdf>

24

Lavie N. The role of perceptual load in visual awareness. *Brain Research* 3AD;**1080**:91–100.<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0006899305013910>

25

Lavie N. Distracted and confused?: selective attention under load. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 2005;**9**

:75–82.http://www.cell.com/trends/cognitive-sciences/retrieve/pii/S136466130400316X?_returnURL=http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S136466130400316X?showall=true

26

Lavie N. Load Theory of Selective Attention and Cognitive Control. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*; **133**:339–54.<http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN=00004785-200409000-00002&LSLINK=80&D=ovft>

27

Lavie N, Hirst A, De Fockert JW, et al. Load theory of selective attention and cognitive control. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General* 2004; **133**:339–54.<http://www.icn.ucl.ac.uk/lavielab/reprints/Lavie-et-al-04.pdf>

28

Lavie N, de Fockert J. The role of working memory in attentional capture. *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review* 8AD; **12**:669–74.<http://link.springer.com/article/10.3758%2FBF03196756#page-1>

29

Lavie N, de Fockert J. The role of working memory in attentional capture. In press in *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*. 8AD; **12**:669–74.<http://www.icn.ucl.ac.uk/lavielab/reprints/preprint-wm-ac.pdf>

30

de Fockert JW, Bremner AJ. Release of inattention blindness by high working memory load: Elucidating the relationship between working memory and selective attention. *Cognition* 2011; **121**:400–8.<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010027711002174>

31

Lavie N, de Fockert J. The role of working memory in attentional capture. *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review* 8AD; **12**

:669–74.<http://link.springer.com/article/10.3758%2F03196756#page-1>

32

Konstantinou N, Bahrami B, Rees G, et al. Visual Short-term Memory Load Reduces Retinotopic Cortex Response to Contrast. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 2012;**24**:2199–210.http://www.mitpressjournals.org/doi/abs/10.1162/jocn_a_00279

33

Konstantinou N, Bahrami B, Rees G, et al. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*. Visual short-term memory load reduces retinotopic cortex response to contrast 2012;**24**.http://www.mitpressjournals.org/doi/abs/10.1162/jocn_a_00279

34

US National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health. PubMed.gov. Attention and consciousness: two distinct brain processes. 1AD.<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17129748>

35

US National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health. PubMed.gov. The attentional requirements of consciousness. 8AD.[http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=cohen nakayama cavanagh %5Bau%5D](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=cohen+nakayama+cavanagh+%5Bau%5D)

36

US National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health. PubMed.gov. Attentional load modulates responses of human primary visual cortex to invisible stimuli. 3AD.<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17346967>

37

US National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health. PubMed.gov. Unconscious orientation processing depends on perceptual load. 3AD.<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18484818>

38

Yokoyama T, Sakai H, Noguchi Y, et al. Perception of Direct Gaze Does Not Require Focus of Attention. Scientific Reports 2014;**4**. doi:10.1038/srep03858

39

Owen AM. Detecting Awareness in the Vegetative State. Science 2006;**313**:1402–1402. doi:10.1126/science.1130197

40

Greenberg DL. Comment on 'Detecting Awareness in the Vegetative State'. Science 2007; **315**:1221b–1221b. doi:10.1126/science.1135284

41

Nachev P, Husain M. Comment on 'Detecting Awareness in the Vegetative State'. Science 2007;**315**:1221a–1221a. doi:10.1126/science.1135096

42

Fleming SM, Dolan RJ, Frith CD. Metacognition: computation, biology and function. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 19AD;**367**:1280–6. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3318771/>

43

Terrace HS, Son LK. Comparative metacognition. Current Opinion in Neurobiology 2009;**19**:67–74. doi:10.1016/j.conb.2009.06.004

44

Griffin DR. Animals know more than we used to think. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2001;**98**:4833–4. doi:10.1073/pnas.091088198

45

Narayanan NS, Cavanagh JF, Frank MJ, et al. Common medial frontal mechanisms of adaptive control in humans and rodents. *Nature Neuroscience*; **16**:1888–95. <http://www.nature.com/neuro/journal/v16/n12/full/nn.3549.html>

46

Ullsperger M, Fischer AG, Nigbur R, et al. Neural mechanisms and temporal dynamics of performance monitoring. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*; **18**:259–67. <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1364661314000539>

47

Yeung N, Summerfield C. Metacognition in human decision-making: confidence and error monitoring. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 2012; **367**:1310–21. <http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/367/1594/1310.abstract>

48

Summerfield C, Yeung N. Oh, rats! Post-error behavioral adjustment in creatures great and small. *Nature Neuroscience*; **16**:1715–6. <http://www.nature.com/neuro/journal/v16/n12/full/nn.3577.html>

49

Johnson DDP, Fowler JH. The evolution of overconfidence. *Nature*; **477**:317–20. <http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v477/n7364/full/nature10384.html>

50

Kepecs A, Mainen ZF. A computational framework for the study of confidence in humans and animals. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 2012; **367**:1322–37. <http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/367/1594/1322.full.html#ref-list-1>

51

Smith JD, Couchman JJ, Beran MJ. The highs and lows of theoretical interpretation in animal-metacognition research. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 2012; **367**

:1297–309.<http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/367/1594/1297.abstract>

52

Carruthers P. Meta-cognition in Animals: A Skeptical Look. Journal compilation. 1AD.<http://faculty.philosophy.umd.edu/pcarruthers/Meta-cognition.pdf>

53

Fleming SM, Dolan RJ, Frith CD. Metacognition: computation, biology and function. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 19AD;**367**:1280–6.<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3318771/>

54

Schnyer DM, Verfaellie M, Alexander MP, et al. A role for right medial prefrontal cortex in accurate feeling-of-knowing judgments: evidence from patients with lesions to frontal cortex. Neuropsychologia 2004;**42**:957–66.<http://www.christofflab.ca/pdfs/2009/01/schnyer-et-al-2004.pdf>

55

Festinger L. A Theory of Social Comparison Process. Human Relations 5AD;**7**:117–40.<http://kslab.kaist.ac.kr/kse612/Festinger1954.pdf>

56

Sapolsky RS. The Influence of Social Hierarchy on Primate Health. Science 29AD;**308**:648–52.https://galileo.seas.harvard.edu/images/material/2800/1140/Sapolsky_TheInfluenceofSocialHierarchyonPrimateHealth.pdf

57

Education Portal. Self-Comparison Theory: Upward vs. Downward Social Comparison. <http://education-portal.com/academy/lesson/self-comparison-theory-upward-vs-downward-social-comparison.html#lesson>

58

Bahrami B, Olsen K, Latham PE, et al. Optimally interacting minds. *Science* 27AD;**329**:1081–5.<http://www.sciencemag.org/content/329/5995/1081>

59

Wright ND, Bahrami B, Johnson E, et al. Testosterone disrupts human collaboration by increasing egocentric choices. *Philosophical Transactions of The Royal Society: Biological Sciences* 7AD;**279**:2275–80.<http://rspb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/early/2012/01/27/rspb.2011.2523>

60

Zaki J, Ochsner K. Reintegrating the Study of Accuracy Into Social Cognition Research. *Psychological Inquiry* 2011;**22**:159–82. doi:10.1080/1047840X.2011.551743

61

Koriat A. When Are Two Heads Better than One and Why? *Science* 2012;**336**:360–2. doi:10.1126/science.1216549

62

Hertwig R. Tapping into the Wisdom of the Crowd--with Confidence. *Science* 2012;**336**:303–4. doi:10.1126/science.1221403

63

Kruger J, Dunning D. Unskilled and unaware of it: how difficulties in recognizing one's own incompetence lead to inflated self-assessments. *Journal of personality and social psychology*; **77**:1121–34.<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10626367>

64

Heider F. Attitudes and Cognitive Organization. *The Journal of Psychology*; **21**:107–12.<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/00223980.1946.9917275>

65

Cialdini RB, Goldstein NJ. Social Influence: Compliance and Conformity. Annual Review of Psychology; **55**:591–621. <http://www.annualreviews.org/doi/full/10.1146/annurev.psych.55.090902.142015>

66

Campbell-Meiklejohn DK, Bach DR, Roepstorff A, et al. How the Opinion of Others Affects Our Valuation of Objects. Current Biology 2010; **20**:1165–70. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2010.04.055

67

Izuma K, Adolphs R. Social Manipulation of Preference in the Human Brain. Neuron 2013; **78**:563–73. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2013.03.023