

PSYC3211: Attention and Awareness

[View Online](#)

1.

Adams, J., K.: Laboratory studies of behaviour without awareness. *Psychological Bulletin*. 54, 383–405 (1957).

2.

Adams, J.K.: Laboratory Studies of Behaviour Without Awareness. *Psychological Bulletin*. 54, (1957).

3.

Merikle, P.M., Smilek, D., Eastwood, J.D.: Perception without awareness: perspectives from cognitive psychology. *Cognition*. 79, 115–134 (4)AD.

4.

Raft Kunst-Wilson, W., Zajonc, R.B.: Affective Discrimination of Stimuli that cannot be Recognized. *Science*. 207, 557–558 (2)AD.

5.

Murphy, S.T.: Affect, Cognition, and Awareness: Affective Priming With Optimal and Suboptimal Stimulus Exposures. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 64, 723–739.

6.

Murphy, S.T., Zajonc, R.B.: Affect, cognition, and awareness: affective priming with optimal

and suboptimal stimulus exposures,
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8505704?report=abstract>.

7.

Mack, A., Rock, I.: Inattention blindness. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass (2000).

8.

Most, S.B., Simons, D.J., Scholl, B.J., Jimenez, R., Clifford, E., Chabris, C.F.: How not to be Seen: The Contribution of Similarity and Selective Ignoring to Sustained Inattentional Blindness. PubMed.gov. 12, 9–17 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9280.00303>.

9.

Most, S.B., Simons, D.J., Sholl, B.J., Jimenez, R., Clifford, E., Chabris, C.F.: How not to be seen: the contribution of similarity and selective ignoring to sustained inattentional blindness. PubMed.gov. 12, 9–17 (1)AD.

10.

Moore, C.M., Egeth, H.: Perception without attention: evidence of grouping under conditions of inattention. Journal of Experimental Psychology, Human Perception and Performance. 23, (1997).

11.

Simons, D.J., Chabris, C.F.: Gorillas in our midst: sustained inattentional blindness for dynamic events. Perception. 28, 1059–1074 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1068/p2952>.

12.

Simons, D.J., Chabris, C.F.: Gorillas in our midst: sustained inattentional blindness for dynamic events. PubMed.gov. 28, 1059–1074 (1999).

13.

O'Regan, J.K., Rensink, R.A., Clark, J.J.: Change-blindness as a result of 'mudsplashes'.

Nature. 398, (3)AD.

14.

Rensink, R.A., O'Regan, J.K., Clark, J.J.: To See or not to See: The Need for Attention to Perceive Changes in Scenes. *Psychological Science*. 8, 368–373 (1997).

15.

Simons, D.J., Levin, D.T.: Failure to detect changes to people during a real-world interaction. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*. 5, 644–649 (1998).

16.

Daniel J. Simons and Michael S. Ambinder: Change Blindness: Theory and Consequences. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*. 14, 44–48.

17.

Tsal, Y., Kolbet, L.: Disambiguating ambiguous figures by selective attention. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology Section A*. 37, 25–37 (2)AD.

18.

Chaudhuri, A.: Modulation of the motion aftereffect by selective attention. *Nature*. 344, (3)AD.

19.

Rees, G., Lavie, N.: What can functional imaging reveal about the role of attention in visual awareness? *Neuropsychologia*. 39, 1343–1353 (1)AD.

20.

Beck, D.M., Rees, G., Frith, C.D., Lavie, N.: Neural correlates of change detection and change blindness. *Nature Neuroscience*. 4, 645–650 (2001).

21.

Carmel, D., Lavie, N., Rees, G.: Conscious Awareness of Flicker in Humans Involves Frontal and Parietal Cortex. *Current Biology*. 16, 907–911 (5)AD.

22.

Rees, G., Kreiman, G., Koch, C.: Neural correlates of consciousness in humans. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 3, 261–270 (4)AD.

23.

Cartwright-Finch, U., Lavie, N.: The role of perceptual load in inattention blindness. *Cognition - Journal - Elsevier*. (1)AD.

24.

Lavie, N.: The role of perceptual load in visual awareness. *Brain Research*. 1080, 91–100 (3)AD.

25.

Lavie, N.: Distracted and confused?: selective attention under load. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 9, 75–82 (2005).

26.

Lavie, N.: Load Theory of Selective Attention and Cognitive Control. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*. 133, 339–354.

27.

Lavie, N., Hirst, A., De Fockert, J.W., Viding, E.: Load theory of selective attention and cognitive control. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*. 133, 339–354 (2004).

28.

Lavie, N., de Fockert, J.: The role of working memory in attentional capture. *Psychonomic*

Bulletin and Review. 12, 669–674 (8)AD.

29.

Lavie, N., de Fockert, J.: The role of working memory in attentional capture, <http://www.icn.ucl.ac.uk/lavielab/reprints/preprint-wm-ac.pdf>, (8)AD.

30.

de Fockert, J.W., Bremner, A.J.: Release of inattention blindness by high working memory load: Elucidating the relationship between working memory and selective attention. *Cognition*. 121, 400–408 (2011).

31.

Lavie, N., de Fockert, J.: The role of working memory in attentional capture. *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review*. 12, 669–674 (8)AD.

32.

Konstantinou, N., Bahrami, B., Rees, G., Lavie, N.: Visual Short-term Memory Load Reduces Retinotopic Cortex Response to Contrast. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*. 24, 2199–2210 (2012).

33.

Konstantinou, N., Bahrami, B., Rees, G., Lavie, N.: *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*. Visual short-term memory load reduces retinotopic cortex response to contrast. 24, (2012).

34.

US National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health: PubMed.gov, <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17129748>.

35.

US National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health: PubMed.gov, <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=cohen+nakayama+cavanagh+%5Bau%5D>.

36.

US National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health: PubMed.gov, <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17346967>.

37.

US National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health: PubMed.gov, <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18484818>.

38.

Yokoyama, T., Sakai, H., Noguchi, Y., Kita, S.: Perception of Direct Gaze Does Not Require Focus of Attention. Scientific Reports. 4, (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep03858>.

39.

Owen, A.M.: Detecting Awareness in the Vegetative State. Science. 313, 1402–1402 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1130197>.

40.

Greenberg, D.L.: Comment on 'Detecting Awareness in the Vegetative State'. Science. 315, 1221b–1221b (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1135284>.

41.

Nachev, P., Husain, M.: Comment on 'Detecting Awareness in the Vegetative State'. Science. 315, 1221a–1221a (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1135096>.

42.

Fleming, S.M., Dolan, R.J., Frith, C.D.: Metacognition: computation, biology and function. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 367, 1280–1286 (19)AD.

43.

Terrace, H.S., Son, L.K.: Comparative metacognition. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*. 19, 67–74 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2009.06.004>.

44.

Griffin, D.R.: Animals know more than we used to think. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 98, 4833–4834 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.091088198>.

45.

Narayanan, N.S., Cavanagh, J.F., Frank, M.J., Laubach, M.: Common medial frontal mechanisms of adaptive control in humans and rodents. *Nature Neuroscience*. 16, 1888–1895.

46.

Ullsperger, M., Fischer, A.G., Nigbur, R., Endrass, T.: Neural mechanisms and temporal dynamics of performance monitoring. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 18, 259–267.

47.

Yeung, N., Summerfield, C.: Metacognition in human decision-making: confidence and error monitoring. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 367, 1310–1321 (2012).

48.

Summerfield, C., Yeung, N.: Oh, rats! Post-error behavioral adjustment in creatures great and small. *Nature Neuroscience*. 16, 1715–1716.

49.

Johnson, D.D.P., Fowler, J.H.: The evolution of overconfidence. *Nature*. 477, 317–320.

50.

Kepecs, A., Mainen, Z.F.: A computational framework for the study of confidence in

humans and animals. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 367, 1322–1337 (2012).

51.

Smith, J.D., Couchman, J.J., Beran, M.J.: The highs and lows of theoretical interpretation in animal-metacognition research. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 367, 1297–1309 (2012).

52.

Carruthers, P.: Meta-cognition in Animals: A Skeptical Look,
<http://faculty.philosophy.umd.edu/pcarruthers/Meta-cognition.pdf>, (1)AD.

53.

Fleming, S.M., Dolan, R.J., Frith, C.D.: Metacognition: computation, biology and function. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 367, 1280–1286 (19)AD.

54.

Schnyer, D.M., Verfaellie, M., Alexander, M.P., LaFleche, G., Nicholls, L., Kaszniak, A.W.: A role for right medial prefrontal cortex in accurate feeling-of-knowing judgments: evidence from patients with lesions to frontal cortex. *Neuropsychologia*. 42, 957–966 (2004).

55.

Festinger, L.: A Theory of Social Comparison Process. *Human Relations*. 7, 117–140 (5)AD.

56.

Sapolsky, R.S.: The Influence of Social Hierarchy on Primate Health. *Science*. 308, 648–652 (29)AD.

57.

Education Portal: Self-Comparison Theory: Upward vs. Downward Social Comparison, <http://education-portal.com/academy/lesson/self-comparison-theory-upward-vs-downward-social-comparison.html#lesson>.

58.

Bahrami, B., Olsen, K., Latham, P.E., Roepstorff, A., Rees, G., Frith, C.D.: Optimally interacting minds. *Science*. 329, 1081–1085 (27)AD.

59.

Wright, N.D., Bahrami, B., Johnson, E., Di Malta, G., Rees, G., Frith, C.D., Dolan, R.J.: Testosterone disrupts human collaboration by increasing egocentric choices. *Philosophical Transactions of The Royal Society: Biological Sciences*. 279, 2275–2280 (7)AD.

60.

Zaki, J., Ochsner, K.: Reintegrating the Study of Accuracy Into Social Cognition Research. *Psychological Inquiry*. 22, 159–182 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1080/1047840X.2011.551743>.

61.

Koriat, A.: When Are Two Heads Better than One and Why? *Science*. 336, 360–362 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1216549>.

62.

Hertwig, R.: Tapping into the Wisdom of the Crowd--with Confidence. *Science*. 336, 303–304 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1221403>.

63.

Kruger, J., Dunning, D.: Unskilled and unaware of it: how difficulties in recognizing one's own incompetence lead to inflated self-assessments. *Journal of personality and social psychology*. 77, 1121–1134.

64.

Heider, F.: Attitudes and Cognitive Organization. *The Journal of Psychology*. 21, 107–112.

65.

Cialdini, R.B., Goldstein, N.J.: Social Influence: Compliance and Conformity. *Annual Review of Psychology*. 55, 591–621.

66.

Campbell-Meiklejohn, D.K., Bach, D.R., Roepstorff, A., Dolan, R.J., Frith, C.D.: How the Opinion of Others Affects Our Valuation of Objects. *Current Biology*. 20, 1165–1170 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2010.04.055>.

67.

Izuma, K., Adolphs, R.: Social Manipulation of Preference in the Human Brain. *Neuron*. 78, 563–573 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.03.023>.