

PSYC3211: Attention and Awareness

[View Online](#)

1.

Adams J K. Laboratory studies of behaviour without awareness. *Psychological Bulletin* [Internet]. 1957;54(5):383–405. Available from: <http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=yrovft&AN=00006823-195709000-00002&PDF=y>

2.

Adams JK. Laboratory Studies of Behaviour Without Awareness. *Psychological Bulletin* [Internet]. 1957;54(5). Available from: <http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=yrovft&AN=00006823-195709000-00002&PDF=y>

3.

Merikle PM, Smilek D, Eastwood JD. Perception without awareness: perspectives from cognitive psychology. *Cognition* [Internet]. 4 AD;79(1-2):115–34. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010027700001268>

4.

Raft Kunst-Wilson W, Zajonc RB. Affective Discrimination of Stimuli that cannot be Recognized. *Science* [Internet]. 2 AD;207(4430):557–8. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1684047?&Search=yes&searchText=discrimination&searchText=affective&searchText=stimuli&list=hide&searchUri=%252Faction%252FdoBasicSearch%253FQuery%253Daffective%252Bdiscrimination%252Bof%252Bstimuli%2526filter%253Djid%25253A10.2307%25252Fj100000%2526Search%253DSearch%2526wc%253Don%2526fc%253Doff%2526globalSearch%253D%2526sbbBox%253D%2526sbjBox%253D%2526sbbBox%253D&prevSearch=&item=1&ttl=45&returnArticleService=showFullText>

5.

Murphy ST. Affect, Cognition, and Awareness: Affective Priming With Optimal and Suboptimal Stimulus Exposures. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* [Internet]. 64(5):723–39. Available from: <http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN=00005205-199305000-00003&LSLINK=80&D=ovft>

6.

Murphy ST, Zajonc RB. Vol. 64, PubMed.gov. 1993. p. 723–39 Affect, cognition, and awareness: affective priming with optimal and suboptimal stimulus exposures. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8505704?report=abstract>

7.

Mack A, Rock I. Inattention blindness. Vol. MIT Press/Bradford Books series in cognitive psychology. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press; 2000.

8.

Most SB, Simons DJ, Scholl BJ, Jimenez R, Clifford E, Chabris CF. How not to be Seen: The Contribution of Similarity and Selective Ignoring to Sustained Inattention Blindness. *PubMed.gov*. 2001 Jan;12(1):9–17.

9.

Most SB, Simons DJ, Sholl BJ, Jimenez R, Clifford E, Chabris CF. How not to be seen: the contribution of similarity and selective ignoring to sustained inattention blindness. *PubMed.gov* [Internet]. 1 AD;12(1):9–17. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11294235>

10.

Moore CM, Egeth H. Perception without attention: evidence of grouping under conditions of inattention. *Journal of Experimental Psychology, Human Perception and Performance* [Internet]. 1997;23(2). Available from: http://pbs.jhu.edu/research/egeth/publications/PDF/PWA_article.pdf

11.

Simons DJ, Chabris CF. Gorillas in our midst: sustained inattention blindness for dynamic events. *Perception* [Internet]. 1999;28(9):1059–74. Available from: <http://www.perceptionweb.com/abstract.cgi?id=p2952>

12.

Simons DJ, Chabris CF. Gorillas in our midst: sustained inattention blindness for dynamic events. *PubMed.gov* [Internet]. 1999;28(9):1059–74. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10694957>

13.

O'Regan JK, Rensink RA, Clark JJ. Change-blindness as a result of 'mudsplashes'. *Nature* [Internet]. 3 AD;398(6722). Available from: <http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v398/n6722/full/398034a0.html>

14.

Rensink RA, O'Regan JK, Clark JJ. To See or not to See: The Need for Attention to Perceive Changes in Scenes. *Psychological Science* [Internet]. 1997 Sept;8(5):368–73. Available from: <http://pss.sagepub.com/content/8/5/368>

15.

Simons DJ, Levin DT. Failure to detect changes to people during a real-world interaction. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review* [Internet]. 1998 Dec;5(4):644–9. Available from: <http://link.springer.com/article/10.3758%2FBF03208840>

16.

Daniel J. Simons and Michael S. Ambinder. Change Blindness: Theory and Consequences. *Current Directions in Psychological Science* [Internet]. 14(1):44–8. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20182983>

17.

Tsal Y, Kolbet L. Disambiguating ambiguous figures by selective attention. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology Section A* [Internet]. 2 AD;37(1):25–37. Available from: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/14640748508400950#.Uvp1eF76kjU>

18.

Chaudhuri A. Modulation of the motion aftereffect by selective attention. *Nature* [Internet]. 3 AD;344. Available from:
<http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v344/n6261/pdf/344060a0.pdf>

19.

Rees G, Lavie N. What can functional imaging reveal about the role of attention in visual awareness? *Neuropsychologia* [Internet]. 1 AD;39(2):1343–53. Available from:
<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0028393201001221>

20.

Beck DM, Rees G, Frith CD, Lavie N. Neural correlates of change detection and change blindness. *Nature Neuroscience* [Internet]. 2001;4(6):645–50. Available from:
<http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/88477>

21.

Carmel D, Lavie N, Rees G. Conscious Awareness of Flicker in Humans Involves Frontal and Parietal Cortex. *Current Biology* [Internet]. 5 AD;16(9):907–11. Available from:
<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0960982206013522>

22.

Rees G, Kreiman G, Koch C. Neural correlates of consciousness in humans. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* [Internet]. 4 AD;3(4):261–70. Available from:
<http://www.nature.com/nrn/journal/v3/n4/full/nrn783.html>

23.

Cartwright-Finch U, Lavie N. The role of perceptual load in inattention blindness. *Cognition - Journal - Elsevier* [Internet]. 1 AD; Available from:
<http://www.icn.ucl.ac.uk/lavielab/reprints/Cartwright-Finch-Lavie.pdf>

24.

Lavie N. The role of perceptual load in visual awareness. *Brain Research* [Internet]. 3 AD;1080(1):91–100. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0006899305013910>

25.

Lavie N. Distracted and confused?: selective attention under load. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* [Internet]. 2005;9(2):75–82. Available from: http://www.cell.com/trends/cognitive-sciences//retrieve/pii/S136466130400316X?_returnURL=http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S136466130400316X?showall=true

26.

Lavie N. Load Theory of Selective Attention and Cognitive Control. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General* [Internet]. 133(3):339–54. Available from: <http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN=00004785-200409000-00002&LSLINK=80&D=ovft>

27.

Lavie N, Hirst A, De Fockert JW, Viding E. Load theory of selective attention and cognitive control. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General* [Internet]. 2004;133(3):339–54. Available from: <http://www.icn.ucl.ac.uk/lavielab/reprints/Lavie-et al-04.pdf>

28.

Lavie N, de Fockert J. The role of working memory in attentional capture. *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review* [Internet]. 8 AD;12(4):669–74. Available from: <http://link.springer.com/article/10.3758%2F03196756#page-1>

29.

Lavie N, de Fockert J. The role of working memory in attentional capture [Internet]. Vol. 12, In press in *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*. 8 AD. p. 669–74. Available from: <http://www.icn.ucl.ac.uk/lavielab/reprints/preprint-wm-ac.pdf>

30.

de Fockert JW, Bremner AJ. Release of inattention blindness by high working memory load: Elucidating the relationship between working memory and selective attention.

Cognition [Internet]. 2011 Dec;121(3):400–8. Available from:
<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010027711002174>

31.

Lavie N, de Fockert J. The role of working memory in attentional capture. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review [Internet]. 8 AD;12(4):669–74. Available from:
<http://link.springer.com/article/10.3758%2F03196756#page-1>

32.

Konstantinou N, Bahrami B, Rees G, Lavie N. Visual Short-term Memory Load Reduces Retinotopic Cortex Response to Contrast. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience [Internet]. 2012 Nov;24(11):2199–210. Available from:
http://www.mitpressjournals.org/doi/abs/10.1162/jocn_a_00279

33.

Konstantinou N, Bahrami B, Rees G, Lavie N. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience. Visual short-term memory load reduces retinotopic cortex response to contrast [Internet]. 2012 Nov;24(11). Available from:
http://www.mitpressjournals.org/doi/abs/10.1162/jocn_a_00279

34.

US National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health. Attention and consciousness: two distinct brain processes. 1 AD. PubMed.gov. Available from:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17129748>

35.

US National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health. The attentional requirements of consciousness. 8 AD. PubMed.gov. Available from:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=cohen+nakayama+cavanagh+%5Bau%5D>

36.

US National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health. Attentional load modulates responses of human primary visual cortex to invisible stimuli. 3 AD. PubMed.gov. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17346967>

37.

US National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health. Unconscious orientation processing depends on perceptual load. 3 AD. PubMed.gov. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18484818>

38.

Yokoyama T, Sakai H, Noguchi Y, Kita S. Perception of Direct Gaze Does Not Require Focus of Attention. Scientific Reports. 2014 Jan 24;4.

39.

Owen AM. Detecting Awareness in the Vegetative State. Science. 2006 Sept 8;313(5792):1402–1402.

40.

Greenberg DL. Comment on 'Detecting Awareness in the Vegetative State'. Science. 2007 Mar 2;315(5816):1221b–1221b.

41.

Nachev P, Husain M. Comment on 'Detecting Awareness in the Vegetative State'. Science. 2007 Mar 2;315(5816):1221a–1221a.

42.

Fleming SM, Dolan RJ, Frith CD. Metacognition: computation, biology and function. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences [Internet]. 19 AD;367(1594):1280–6. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3318771/>

43.

Terrace HS, Son LK. Comparative metacognition. Current Opinion in Neurobiology. 2009 Feb;19(1):67–74.

44.

Griffin DR. Animals know more than we used to think. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2001 Apr 24;98(9):4833–4.

45.

Narayanan NS, Cavanagh JF, Frank MJ, Laubach M. Common medial frontal mechanisms of adaptive control in humans and rodents. *Nature Neuroscience* [Internet]. 16(12):1888–95. Available from: <http://www.nature.com/neuro/journal/v16/n12/full/nn.3549.html>

46.

Ullsperger M, Fischer AG, Nigbur R, Endrass T. Neural mechanisms and temporal dynamics of performance monitoring. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* [Internet]. 18(5):259–67. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1364661314000539>

47.

Yeung N, Summerfield C. Metacognition in human decision-making: confidence and error monitoring. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* [Internet]. 2012 May;367(1594):1310–21. Available from: <http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/367/1594/1310.abstract>

48.

Summerfield C, Yeung N. Oh, rats! Post-error behavioral adjustment in creatures great and small. *Nature Neuroscience* [Internet]. 16:1715–6. Available from: <http://www.nature.com/neuro/journal/v16/n12/full/nn.3577.html>

49.

Johnson DDP, Fowler JH. The evolution of overconfidence. *Nature* [Internet]. 477(7364):317–20. Available from: <http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v477/n7364/full/nature10384.html>

50.

Kepecs A, Mainen ZF. A computational framework for the study of confidence in humans and animals. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* [Internet]. 2012 May 19;367(1594):1322–37. Available from: <http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/367/1594/1322.full.html#ref-list-1>

51.

Smith JD, Couchman JJ, Beran MJ. The highs and lows of theoretical interpretation in animal-metacognition research. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* [Internet]. 2012 May 19;367(1594):1297–309. Available from: <http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/367/1594/1297.abstract>

52.

Carruthers P. Meta-cognition in Animals: A Skeptical Look [Internet]. Journal compilation. Blackwell Publishing Ltd; 1 AD. Available from: <http://faculty.philosophy.umd.edu/pcarruthers/Meta-cognition.pdf>

53.

Fleming SM, Dolan RJ, Frith CD. Metacognition: computation, biology and function. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* [Internet]. 19 AD;367(1594):1280–6. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3318771/>

54.

Schnyer DM, Verfaellie M, Alexander MP, LaFleche G, Nicholls L, Kaszniak AW. A role for right medial prefrontal cortex in accurate feeling-of-knowing judgments: evidence from patients with lesions to frontal cortex. *Neuropsychologia* [Internet]. 2004;42(7):957–66. Available from: <http://www.christofflab.ca/pdfs/2009/01/schnyer-et-al-2004.pdf>

55.

Festinger L. A Theory of Social Comparison Process. *Human Relations* [Internet]. 5 AD;7(2):117–40. Available from: <http://kslab.kaist.ac.kr/kse612/Festinger1954.pdf>

56.

Sapolsky RS. The Influence of Social Hierarchy on Primate Health. *Science* [Internet]. 29

AD;308:648–52. Available from:
https://galileo.seas.harvard.edu/images/material/2800/1140/Sapolsky_TheInfluenceofSocialHierarchyonPrimateHealth.pdf

57.

Education Portal. Self-Comparison Theory: Upward vs. Downward Social Comparison [Internet]. Available from:
<http://education-portal.com/academy/lesson/self-comparison-theory-upward-vs-downward-social-comparison.html#lesson>

58.

Bahrami B, Olsen K, Latham PE, Roepstorff A, Rees G, Frith CD. Optimally interacting minds. *Science* [Internet]. 27 AD;329(5995):1081–5. Available from:
<http://www.sciencemag.org/content/329/5995/1081>

59.

Wright ND, Bahrami B, Johnson E, Di Malta G, Rees G, Frith CD, et al. Testosterone disrupts human collaboration by increasing egocentric choices. *Philosophical Transactions of The Royal Society: Biological Sciences* [Internet]. 7 AD;279(1736):2275–80. Available from:
<http://rspb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/early/2012/01/27/rspb.2011.2523>

60.

Zaki J, Ochsner K. Reintegrating the Study of Accuracy Into Social Cognition Research. *Psychological Inquiry*. 2011 Aug 26;22(3):159–82.

61.

Koriat A. When Are Two Heads Better than One and Why? *Science*. 2012 Apr 20;336(6079):360–2.

62.

Hertwig R. Tapping into the Wisdom of the Crowd—with Confidence. *Science*. 2012 Apr 20;336(6079):303–4.

63.

Kruger J, Dunning D. Unskilled and unaware of it: how difficulties in recognizing one's own incompetence lead to inflated self-assessments. *Journal of personality and social psychology* [Internet]. 77(6):1121–34. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10626367>

64.

Heider F. Attitudes and Cognitive Organization. *The Journal of Psychology* [Internet]. 21(1):107–12. Available from: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/00223980.1946.9917275>

65.

Cialdini RB, Goldstein NJ. Social Influence: Compliance and Conformity. *Annual Review of Psychology* [Internet]. 55:591–621. Available from: <http://www.annualreviews.org/doi/full/10.1146/annurev.psych.55.090902.142015>

66.

Campbell-Meiklejohn DK, Bach DR, Roepstorff A, Dolan RJ, Frith CD. How the Opinion of Others Affects Our Valuation of Objects. *Current Biology*. 2010 July;20(13):1165–70.

67.

Izuma K, Adolphs R. Social Manipulation of Preference in the Human Brain. *Neuron*. 2013 May;78(3):563–73.