

INSTG059: Concepts and Contexts

[View Online](#)

Abraham, T. (1991). Collection Policy or Documentation Strategy: Theory and Practice. *The American Archivist*, 54(1), 44–52. <https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.54.1.v2323483077xr227>

Abrams, S., Cruse, P., & Kunze, J. (2009). Preservation Is Not a Place. *International Journal of Digital Curation*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.2218/ijdc.v4i1.72>

Adami, T. A., & Hunt, M. (2005). Genocidal Archives: The African Context—Genocide in Rwanda. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 26(1), 105–121. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00039810500047557>

Alexander, P., & Samuels, H. (1987). The Roots of 128: A Hypothetical Documentation Strategy. *The American Archivist*, 50(4), 518–531. <https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.50.4.v889q1182r11p36u>

Altman, B., & Nemmers, J. (2001). The Usability of On-line Archival Resources: The Polaris Project Finding Aid. *The American Archivist*, 64(1), 121–131. <https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.64.1.80300272655rqu74>

Anderson, S., & Allen, R. (2009). Envisioning the Archival Commons. *The American Archivist*, 72(2), 383–400. <https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.72.2.g54085061q586416>

Anne, G. (n.d.). The Archival Paradigm: The Genesis and Rationales of Archival Principles and Practices: Council on Library and Information Resources. <http://www.clir.org/pubs/reports/pub89/archival.html>

Archival Science, Volume 8, Issue 4 - Digital Convergence: Libraries, Archives and Museums in the Information Age. (n.d.). <http://link.springer.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/journal/10502/8/4/page/1>

Arias, P. B. (2008). Appraising the value of statistical records of the European Central Bank for retention scheduling purposes. *Records Management Journal*, 18(3), 205–220. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09565690810916456>

Atherton, Jay. (1985). From Life Cycle to Continuum: Some Thoughts on the Records Management - Archives Relationship. *Archivaria*, 21.

ATSILIRN - Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Library and Information Resource Network. (n.d.).

Bailey, C. (n.d.). Past Imperfect? Reflections on the Evolution of Canadian Federal Government Records Appraisal.

<http://journals.sfu.ca.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13432/14742>

Bailey, S., & Vidyarthi, J. (2010). Human-computer interaction: the missing piece of the records management puzzle? *Records Management Journal*, 20(3), 279–290.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691011095300>

Baker, S., & Huber, A. (2013). Notes towards a typology of the DIY institution: Identifying do-it-yourself places of popular music preservation. *European Journal of Cultural Studies*, 16(5), 513–530. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1367549413491721>

Barbara Reed. (n.d.-a). Beyond Perceived Boundaries: Imagining the potential of pluralised recordkeeping.

Bastian, J. (2001). A Question of Custody: The Colonial Archives of the United States Virgin Islands. *The American Archivist*, 64(1), 96–114.
<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.64.1.h6k872252u2gr377>

Bastian, J. (2002). Taking Custody, Giving Access: A Postcustodial Role for a New Century. *Archivaria*, 53.

Bastian, Jeannette Allis & Alexander, Ben. (2009a). Community archives: the shaping of memory: Vol. Principles and practice in records management and archives. Facet.
<https://doi.org/10.29085/9781856049047>

Bastian, Jeannette Allis & Alexander, Ben. (2009b). Community archives: the shaping of memory: Vol. Principles and practice in records management and archives. Facet.
<https://doi.org/10.29085/9781856049047>

Bazerman, C. (2012). The orders of documents, the orders of activity, and the orders of information. *Archival Science*, 12(4), 377–388. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-012-9178-1>

Benedict, Karen M. & Society of American Archivists. (2003). Ethics and the archival profession: introduction and case studies. Society of American Archivists.

Birkinshaw, P. (2005a). Government and information: the law relating to access, disclosure and their regulation (3rd ed). Tottel.

Birkinshaw, P. (2005b). Government and information: the law relating to access, disclosure and their regulation (3rd ed). Tottel.

Birkinshaw, P. (2010a). Freedom of information: the law, the practice and the ideal: Vol. The law in context series (4th ed). Cambridge University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511844904>

Birkinshaw, P. (2010b). Freedom of information: the law, the practice and the ideal: Vol. The law in context series (4th ed). Cambridge University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511844904>

Birkinshaw, P. (2010c). Freedom of information and its impact in the United Kingdom. *Government Information Quarterly*, 27(4), 312–321.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2010.06.006>

Blais, G. (1995). Access to Archival Records: A Review of Current Issues: A RAMP Study. UNESCO.

Boles, F., & Young, J. (1985). Exploring the Black Box: The Appraisal of University Administrative Records. *The American Archivist*, 48(2), 121–140.
<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.48.2.1414g624328868vw>

Boles, Frank. (2005). Selecting & appraising archives & manuscripts: Vol. Archival fundamentals series. Society of American Archivists.

Booms, H. (1987). Society and the Formation of a Documentary Heritage: Issues in the Appraisal of Archival Sources.

Broady-Preston, J. (2010). The information professional of the future: polymath or dinosaur? *Library Management*, 31(1/2), 66–78.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/01435121011013412>

Brothman, B. (1991). Orders of Value: Probing the Theoretical Terms of Archival Practice. Brothman, B. (1999). Declining Derrida: Integrity, Tensegrity, and the Preservation of Archives from Deconstruction. *Archivaria*, 48.
<http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/12717/13894>

BS ISO 15489-1:2016. (n.d.).

Buchanan, A., & Bastian, M. (2015). Activating the archive: rethinking the role of traditional archives for local activist projects. *Archival Science*, 15(4), 429–451.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-015-9247-3>

Bunn, J. (2013a). Questioning autonomy: an alternative perspective on the principles which govern archival description. *Archival Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-013-9200-2>
Bunn, J. (2013b). Developing descriptive standards: a renewed call to action. *Archives and Records*, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23257962.2013.830066>

Butler, B. (2009). 'Othering' the archive—from exile to inclusion and heritage dignity: the case of Palestinian archival memory. *Archival Science*, 9(1–2), 57–69.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-009-9095-0>

Caron, D. J., & Kellerhals, A. (n.d.). Supporting Democratic Values Through a Relevant Documentary Foundation - An Evolutionary Complex.

Caron, D. J., & Kellerhals, A. (2013a). Archiving for self-ascertainment, identity-building and permanent self-questioning: archives between scepticism and certitude. *Archival Science*, 13(2–3), 207–216. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-012-9189-y>

Caron, D. J., & Kellerhals, A. (2013b). Archiving for self-ascertainment, identity-building and permanent self-questioning: archives between scepticism and certitude. *Archival Science*, 13(2–3), 207–216. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-012-9189-y>

Carvalho, C. (2001). Appraisal based on organic functional analysis: a case study in an electronic records environment. *Records Management Journal*, 11(3), 175–205.

<https://doi.org/10.1108/EUM0000000007274>

Caswell, M. (2013). Not Just Between Us: A Riposte to Mark Greene / Letter to the Editor. *American Archivist*, 76(2).

<http://americanarchivist.org/doi/pdf/10.17723/aarc.76.2.89324135v02r2q74>

Caswell, M. (2014a). Defining human rights archives: introduction to the special double issue on archives and human rights. *Archival Science*, 14(3-4), 207-213.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9226-0>

Caswell, M. (2014b). Toward a survivor-centered approach to records documenting human rights abuse: lessons from community archives. *Archival Science*, 14(3-4), 307-322.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9220-6>

Caswell, M. (2014c). Toward a survivor-centered approach to records documenting human rights abuse: lessons from community archives. *Archival Science*, 14(3-4), 307-322.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9220-6>

Caswell, M., & Mallick, S. (2014). Collecting the easily missed stories: digital participatory microhistory and the South Asian American Digital Archive. *Archives and Manuscripts*, 42(1), 73-86. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01576895.2014.880931>

Ceeney, N. (2008). The Role of a 21st-century National Archive—The Relevance of the Jenkinsonian Tradition, and a Redefinition for the Information Society. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 29(1), 57-71. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00379810802499868>

Chapman, A. (2004). Collection-level description: Joining up the domains. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 25(2), 149-155. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0037981042000271475>

Christen, K. (2011a). Opening Archives: Respectful Repatriation. *The American Archivist*, 74(1), 185-210. <https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.74.1.4233nv6nv6428521>

Christen, K. (2011b). Opening Archives: Respectful Repatriation. *The American Archivist*, 74(1), 185-210. <https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.74.1.4233nv6nv6428521>

Cifor, M. (2015). Affecting relations: introducing affect theory to archival discourse. *Archival Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-015-9261-5>

Cifor, M., & Gilliland, A. J. (2015). Affect and the archive, archives and their affects: an introduction to the special issue. *Archival Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-015-9263-3>

Cline, S. (2009). 'To the Limit of Our Integrity': Reflections on Archival Being. *The American Archivist*, 72(2), 331-343. <https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.72.2.g0321510717r6j14>

Cline, S. (2012). "Dust Clouds of Camels Shall Cover You": Covenant and the Archival Endeavor. *American Archivist*.

<https://americanarchivist.org/doi/abs/10.17723/aarc.75.2.03193j1517858r34>

Clough, P., Tang, J., Hall, M. M., & Warner, A. (2011). Linking archival data to location: a case study at the UK National Archives. *Aslib Proceedings*, 63(2/3), 127-147.

<https://doi.org/10.1108/00012531111135628>

- Cole, J., Nolan, J., Seko, Y., Mancuso, K., & Ospina, A. (2011). GimpGirl grows up: Women with disabilities rethinking, redefining, and reclaiming community. *New Media & Society*, 13(7), 1161–1179. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444811398032>
- Conway, P. (2014). Digital transformations and the archival nature of surrogates. *Archival Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9219-z>
- Cook, M. (2006). Professional ethics and practice in archives and records management in a human rights context *. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 27(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00039810600691205>
- Cook, M. (2010). Appraisal and access: We should expect changes driven by the media and by public awareness. *Records Management Journal*, 20(1), 72–77. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691011039843>
- Cook, T. (n.d.). Fashionable Nonsense of Professional Rebirth: Postmodernism and the Practice of Archives.
- Cook, T. (2001a). Fashionable Nonsense or Professional Rebirth: Postmodernism and the Practice of Archives. *Archivaria*, 51. <http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/12792/13989>
- Cook, T. (2001b). Archival science and postmodernism: new formulations for old concepts. *Archival Science*, 1(1), 3–24. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02435636>
- Cook, T. (2006). Macroappraisal in Theory and Practice: Origins, Characteristics, and Implementation in Canada, 1950–2000. *Archival Science*, 5(2–4), 101–161. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-005-9010-2>
- Cook, T. (2007). Electronic Records, Paper Minds: The Revolution in Information Management and Archives in the Post-Custodial and Post-Modernist Era. *Archives & Social Studies*.
- Cook, T. (2011). 'We Are What We Keep; We Keep What We Are': Archival Appraisal Past, Present and Future. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 32(2), 173–189. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00379816.2011.619688>
- Cook, T. (2013). Evidence, memory, identity, and community: four shifting archival paradigms. *Archival Science*, 13(2–3), 95–120. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-012-9180-7>
- Cook, T., & Schwartz, J. M. (2002). Archives, records, and power: From (postmodern) theory to (archival) performance. *Archival Science*, 2(3–4), 171–185. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02435620>
- Cook, Terry. (1993). The Concept of the Archival Fonds in the Post-Custodial Era: Theory, Problems and Solutions. *Archivaria*, 35.
- Cook, Terry. (1997). What is Past is Prologue: A History of Archival Ideas Since 1898, and the Future Paradigm Shift. *Archivaria*, 43, 17–63.
- Cook, Terry & Samuels, Helen Willa. (2011). Controlling the past: documenting society and

institutions : essays in honor of Helen Willa Samuels. Society of American Archivists.

Cooper, D. (2015). House proud: an ethnography of the BC Gay and Lesbian Archives. *Archival Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-015-9250-8>

Council of Europe. (2003). Access to official documents Recommendation Rec (2002) 2 of the Committee of Ministers and explanatory memorandum. Directorate General of Human Rights, Council of Europe.

Council of Europe - Council of Europe Convention on Access to Official Documents (CETS No. 205). (2009).

Cox, R. (n.d.). The Documentation Strategy and Archival Appraisal Principles: A Different Perspective.

Cox, R., & Samuels, H. (1988). The Archivist's First Responsibility: A Research Agenda to Improve the Identification and Retention of Records of Enduring Value. *The American Archivist*, 51(1-2), 28-42. <https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.51.1-2.gkw67424l3344ug8>

Cox, Richard J. (2004). No innocent deposits: forming archives by rethinking appraisal. Scarecrow Press.

Cox, Richard J. (2006a). Ethics, accountability and recordkeeping in a dangerous world: Vol. Principles and practice in records management and archives. Facet.

Cox, Richard J. (2006b). Ethics, accountability and recordkeeping in a dangerous world: Vol. Principles and practice in records management and archives. Facet.

Craig, Barbara Lazenby. (2004). Archival appraisal: theory and practice. K.G. Saur.

Craven, Louise. (2008). What are archives?: cultural and theoretical perspectives : a reader . Ashgate. <https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.4324/9781315547497>

Crymble, A. (n.d.). An Analysis of Twitter and Facebook Use by the Archival Community. <http://journals.sfu.ca/libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13298/14609>

Cumming, K. (2010). Ways of seeing: contextualising the continuum. *Records Management Journal*, 20(1), 41-52. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691011036224>

Cumming, K., & Findlay, C. (2010). Digital recordkeeping: are we at a tipping point? *Records Management Journal*, 20(3), 265-278. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691011095292>

Cumming, K., & Picot, A. (2014). Reinventing appraisal. *Archives and Manuscripts*, 42(2), 133-145. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01576895.2014.926824>

Cunningham, A. (2008). Digital Curation/Digital Archiving: A View from the National Archives of Australia. *The American Archivist*, 71(2), 530-543. <https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.71.2.p0h0t68547385507>

Currall, J., & Moss, M. (2008). We are archivists, but are we OK? *Records Management*

Journal, 18(1), 69–91. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09565690810858532>

Cynthia K. Sauer. (2001). Doing the Best We Can? The Use of Collection Development Policies and Cooperative Collecting Activities at Manuscript Repositories. *The American Archivist*, 64(2), 308–349.

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/40294175?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=Doing&searchText=the&searchText=best&searchText=we&searchText=can?&searchText=The&searchText=use&searchText=of&searchText=collection&searchText=development&searchText=policies&searchText=and&searchText=cooperative&searchText=collecting&searchText=activities&searchText=at&searchText=manuscript&searchText=repositories&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3DDoing%2Bthe%2Bbest%2Bwe%2Bcan%253F%2BThe%2Buse%2Bof%2Bcollection%2Bdevelopment%2Bpolicies%2Band%2Bcooperative%2Bcollecting%2Bactivities%2Bat%2Bmanuscript%2Brepositories%26amp%3Bfilter%3Djid%253A10.2307%252Fj50000557%26amp%3BSearch%3DSearch%26amp%3Bwc%3Don%26amp%3Bfc%3Doff%26amp%3BglobalSearch%3D%26amp%3Bsbbox%3D%26amp%3BsbjBox%3D%26amp%3BsbpBox%3D>

Daines, J. G., & Nimer, C. L. (2011). Re-Imagining Archival Display: Creating User-Friendly Finding Aids. *Journal of Archival Organization*, 9(1), 4–31.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/15332748.2011.574019>

Daniel, D. (2013). Archival representations of immigration and ethnicity in North American history: from the ethnicization of archives to the archivization of ethnicity. *Archival Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-013-9209-6>

Daniels, M., & Yakel, E. (2010). Seek and You May Find: Successful Search in Online Finding Aid Systems. *The American Archivist*, 73(2), 535–568.
<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.73.2.p578900680650357>

Danielson, Elena S. (2010). The ethical archivist. *Society of American Archivists*.

Derrida, Jacques & Prenowitz, Eric. (1996). *Archive fever: a Freudian impression: Vol. Religion and postmodernism*. University of Chicago Press.

Dingwall, G. (2004). Trusting Archivists: The Role of Archival Ethics Codes in Establishing Public Faith. *The American Archivist*, 67(1), 11–30.
<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.67.1.mw0914r2p52xx2t4>

Dirks, J. (2004). Accountability, History, and Archives: Conflicting Priorities or Synthesized Strands? *Archivaria*, 57.
<http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/viewFile/12451/13554>

Dominy, G. (2005). 'We must remember our past so that we do not repeat it' Archiving and Accessing the Records of the South African Truth and Reconciliation Commission.

Duchemin, M. (1992). The History of European Archives and the Development of the Archival Profession in Europe. *The American Archivist*, 55(1), 14–25.
<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.55.1.k17n44g856577888>

Duff, W., & Johnson, C. (2003). Where Is the List with All the Names? Information-Seeking Behavior of Genealogists. *The American Archivist*, 66(1), 79–95.
<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.66.1.l375uj047224737n>

- Duff, W. M., Flinn, A., Suurtamm, K. E., & Wallace, D. A. (2013). Social justice impact of archives: a preliminary investigation. *Archival Science*, 13(4).
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-012-9198-x>
- Duff, W. M., & Harris, V. (2002). Stories and names: Archival description as narrating records and constructing meanings. *Archival Science*, 2(3-4), 263-285.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02435625>
- Duranti, L. (n.d.-a). Archives as place. *Archives & Social Studies: A Journal of Interdisciplinary Research*.
http://archivo.cartagena.es/files/36-165-DOC_FICHERO1/07-duranti_archives.pdf
- Duranti, L. (n.d.-b). From Digital Diplomatics to Digital Records Forensics.
<http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13229/14548>
- Duranti, L. (n.d.-c). Reliability and Authenticity: The Concepts and Their Implications.
- Duranti, L. (1989a). Diplomatics: New Uses for an Old Science 1.
- Duranti, L. (1989b). Diplomatics: New Uses for an Old Science 2.
- Duranti, L. (1990a). Diplomatics: New Uses for an Old Science 3.
- Duranti, L. (1990b). Diplomatics: New Uses for an Old Science 4.
- Duranti, L. (1991). Diplomatics: New Uses for an Old Science 5.
- Duranti, L. (1992). Origin and Development of the Concept of Archival Description | Duranti | *Archivaria*. *Archivaria*, 35.
<https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/92f1/d3b23cfc46f8c4ed7638ca58fac9c05c4574.pdf>
- Duranti, L. (2010). Concepts and principles for the management of electronic records, or records management theory is archival diplomatics. *Records Management Journal*, 20(1), 78-95. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691011039852>
- Duranti, L., & MacNeil, H. (n.d.). The Protection of the Integrity of Electronic Records: An Overview of the UBC-MAS Research Project.
- Duranti, Luciana. (1998). Diplomatics: new uses for an old science. Scarecrow Press.
- Duranti, Luciana, Preston, Randy, InterPARES Project, & Associazione nazionale archivistica italiana. (2008). International research on permanent authentic records in electronic systems (InterPARES) 2: Experimental, interactive and dynamic records. CLEUP.
- Duranti, Luciana, & Thibodeau, Kenneth. (2006). The Concept of Record in Interactive, Experiential and Dynamic Environments: the View of InterPARES*. *Archival Science*, 6(1), 13-68. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-006-9021-7>
- Eastwood, T. (n.d.-a). Reflections on the Goal of Archival Appraisal in Democratic Societies.
- Eastwood, T. (n.d.-b). What is Archival Theory and Why is it Important?

Eastwood, T. (1993). Nailing a Little Jelly to the Wall of Archival Studies.

EICHHORN, K. (2010). D.I.Y. Collectors, Archiving Scholars, and Activist Librarians: Legitimizing Feminist Knowledge and Cultural Production Since 1990. *Women's Studies*, 39 (6), 622–646. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00497878.2010.490716>

Eito-Brun, R. (2014). Context-based aggregation of archival data: the role of authority records in the semantic landscape. *Archival Science*.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9215-3>

Elizabeth, G., & Rebecca, S. (n.d.). 'Golden Age,' Quiescence, and Revival: How the Sociology of Professions Became the Study of Knowledge-Based Work.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/258200542_Golden_Age_Quiescence_and_Revival

Elke Zobl. (2009). Cultural Production, Transnational Networking, and Critical Reflection in Feminist Zines. *Signs*, 35(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1086/599256>

Evans, J., McKemmish, S., Daniels, E., & McCarthy, G. (2015). Self-determination and archival autonomy: advocating activism. *Archival Science*, 15(4), 337–368.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-015-9244-6>

Eveleigh, A. (2012a). Welcoming the World: An Exploration of Participatory Archives.
<http://ica2012.ica.org/files/pdf/Full%20papers%20upload/ica12Final00128.pdf>

Eveleigh, A. (2012b). Welcoming the World: An Exploration of Participatory Archives, ICA Congress Brisbane 2012.
<http://ica2012.ica.org/files/pdf/Full%20papers%20upload/ica12Final00128.pdf>

Exploring Heritage in Participatory Culture: The MuseumApp | museumsandtheweb.com. (n.d.).
http://www.museumsandtheweb.com/mw2011/papers/exploring_heritage_in_participatory_culture_th

Fear, K. (2010). User Understanding of Metadata in Digital Image Collections: Or, What Exactly Do You Mean by 'Coverage'? *The American Archivist*, 73(1), 26–60.
<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.73.1.j00044lr77415551>

Fear, K., & Donaldson, D. R. (2012). Provenance and credibility in scientific data repositories. *Archival Science*, 12(3), 319–339. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-012-9172-7>

Findlay, C. (2013a). People, records and power: what archives can learn from WikiLeaks. *Archives and Manuscripts*, 41(1), 7–22. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01576895.2013.779926>

Findlay, C. (2013b). People, records and power: what archives can learn from WikiLeaks. *Archives and Manuscripts*, 41(1), 7–22. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01576895.2013.779926>

Flanagan, M., & Carini, P. (2012). How Games Can Help Us Access and Understand Archival Images. *The American Archivist*, 75(2), 514–537.
<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.75.2.b424537w27970gu4>

Flinn, A. (2007a). Community Histories, Community Archives: Some Opportunities and Challenges. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 28(2), 151–176.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00379810701611936>

Flinn, A. (2007b). Community Histories, Community Archives: Some Opportunities and Challenges¹. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 28(2), 151–176.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00379810701611936>

Flinn, A. (2010). Independent Community Archives and Community-Generated Content: 'Writing, Saving and Sharing our Histories'. *Convergence: The International Journal of Research into New Media Technologies*, 16(1), 39–51.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354856509347707>

Flinn, A. (2011a). Archival Activism: Independent and Community-led Archives, Radical Public History and the Heritage Professions [eScholarship]. *interActions UCLA Journal of Education and Information Studies*, 7(2).

Flinn, A. (2011b). Chapter 8: The impact of independent and community archives on professional archival thinking and practice. In *The future of archives and recordkeeping: a reader* (pp. 145–169). Facet.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=f8a3983f-8a36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

Flinn, A. (2011a). The Impact of independent and community archives on professional archival thinking and practice. In *The future of archives and recordkeeping: a reader*. Facet.
https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=14509809360004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true

Flinn, A., & Alexander, B. (2015). "Humanizing an inevitability political craft": Introduction to the special issue on archiving activism and activist archiving. *Archival Science*, 15(4), 329–335. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-015-9260-6>

Flinn, A., & Pick, G. (2009a). Diversifying and democratising archive collections - an agenda for transformation. In *Embedding shared heritage: the Heritage Diversity Task Force report*. Greater London Authority.
http://www.mla.gov.uk/what/programmes/renaissance/regions/london/News_and_Resources/~media/London/Files/2009/Publications/Embedding%20Shared%20Heritage_LOW%20resolution.ashx

Flinn, A., & Stevens, M. (2009b). "It is noh mistri, wi mekin histri". *Telling Our Own Story: Independent and Community Archives in the United Kingdom, Challenging and Subverting the Mainstream*. In *Community archives: the shaping of memory: Vol. Principles and practice in records management and archives*. Facet.
<https://doi.org/10.29085/9781856049047>

Flinn, A., Stevens, M., & Shepherd, E. (2009). Whose memories, whose archives? Independent community archives, autonomy and the mainstream. *Archival Science*, 9 (1–2), 71–86. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-009-9105-2>

Flinn, Andrew. (2011b). Archival Activism: Independent and Community-led Archives, Radical Public History and the Heritage Professions [Electronic resource]. *Interactions*:

UCLA Journal of Education and Information Studies, 7(2).

<http://www.escholarship.org/uc/item/9pt2490x>

Flinn, Andrew & Jones, Harriet. (2009). Introduction. In *Freedom of information: open access, empty archives?* (pp. 1–6). Routledge.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=ab7e6e60-8b36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

FORTIER, N. (2005). Transparency, Compliance, and Accountability: Developing a Knowledge Infrastructure for Macroappraisal at Library and Archives Canada. *Archival Science*, 5(2–4), 343–360. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-005-9005-z>

Frank Upward. (1996a). Structuring the Records Continuum - Part One: Postcustodial principles and properties (Information Technology).

Frank Upward. (1996b). Structuring the Records Continuum - Part One: Postcustodial principles and properties (Information Technology).

Fryer, C. (2015). Project to Production: Digital Preservation at the Houses of Parliament, 2010–2020. *International Journal of Digital Curation*, 10(2).

<https://doi.org/10.2218/ijdc.v10i2.378>

Gale, C. (2006). Record-keeping as an ethical imperative. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 27(1), 17–27. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00039810600691221>

Gauld, C. (2015). Democratising or privileging: the democratisation of knowledge and the role of the archivist. *Archival Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-015-9262-4>

Geoffrey Yeo. (n.d.-b). The Conceptual Fonds and the Physical Collection.

<http://journals.sfu.ca.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13384/14691>

Gilliland, A. (2011). Neutrality, social justice and the obligations of archival education and educators in the twenty-first century. *Archival Science*, 11(3–4), 193–209.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-011-9147-0>

Gilliland, A., & Flinn, A. (n.d.). Community Archives: What are we really talking about?

Nexus, Confluence, and Difference: Community Archives Meets Community Informatics: Prato CIRN Conference Oct 28–30 2013,.

http://www.monash.edu/__data/assets/pdf_file/0007/920626/gilliland_flinn_keynote.pdf

Gilliland, A. J. (2014a). Moving past: probing the agency and affect of recordkeeping in individual and community lives in post-conflict Croatia. *Archival Science*, 14(3–4), 249–274.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9231-3>

Gilliland, A. J. (2014b). Moving past: probing the agency and affect of recordkeeping in individual and community lives in post-conflict Croatia. *Archival Science*, 14(3–4), 249–274.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9231-3>

Gilliland, A. J., & Caswell, M. (2015). Records and their imaginaries: imagining the impossible, making possible the imagined. *Archival Science*.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-015-9259-z>

- Gilliland, Anne J. (n.d.). The Role of Participatory Archives in Furthering Human Rights, Reconciliation and Recovery. *Atlanti: Review for Modern Archival Theory and Practice*, 24. <http://escholarship.org/uc/item/346521tf>
- Gracy, K. F. (2014). Archival description and linked data: a preliminary study of opportunities and implementation challenges. *Archival Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9216-2>
- Gray, V. (2008). 'Who's that Knocking on Our Door?': Archives, Outreach and Community. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 29(1), 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00379810802499652>
- Green, L. J. F. (2007). Cultural heritage, archives & citizenship: 1. Critical Arts, 21(2), 308-320. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02560040701810073>
- Greene, M. (2002). The Power of Meaning: The Archival Mission in the Postmodern Age -. *American Archivist*, 65. <http://archivists.metapress.com/content/l914668v881wv19n/?p=ae0ce19dfb2c403fbcddf677c12148e4&pi=3>
- Greene, M. (2013). A Critique of Social Justice as an Archival Imperative: What It We're Doing That's All That Important? *The American Archivist*, 76(2), 302-334. <https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.76.2.14744l214663kw43>
- Groth, P., Gil, Y., Cheney, J., & Miles, S. (2012). Requirements for Provenance on the Web. *International Journal of Digital Curation*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.2218/ijdc.v7i1.213>
- Guide to archival software. (n.d.).
- Halilovich, H. (2014). Reclaiming erased lives: archives, records and memories in post-war Bosnia and the Bosnian diaspora. *Archival Science*, 14(3-4), 231-247. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9227-z>
- Ham, G. (1981). Archival Strategies for the Post-Custodial Era. *The American Archivist*, 44-3.
- Hamilton, Carolyn. (2002). *Refiguring the archive*. Kluwer Academic. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-010-0570-8>
- Harris, V. (1997). Claiming Less, Delivering More: A Critique of Positivist Formulations on Archives in South Africa. *Archivaria*, 44. <http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/12200/13217>
- Harris, V. (2002). The archival sliver: Power, memory, and archives in South Africa. *Archival Science*, 2(1-2), 63-86. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02435631>
- Harris, V. (2014). Antonyms of our remembering. *Archival Science*, 14(3-4), 215-229. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9221-5>
- Harvey, R., & Thompson, D. (2010). Automating the appraisal of digital materials. *Library Hi Tech*, 28(2), 313-322. <https://doi.org/10.1108/07378831011047703>

Hawkins, D. T. (2013). Personal archiving: preserving our digital heritage. Information Today, Inc.

Heather, M. (2000). Conceptualizing an Authentic Electronic Record.

Higgins, S. (2011). Digital Curation: The Emergence of a New Discipline. *International Journal of Digital Curation*, 6(2). <https://doi.org/10.2218/ijdc.v6i2.191>

Hill, A. (2004). Serving the invisible researcher: Meeting the needs of online users. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 25(2), 139–148.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/0037981042000271466>

Hill, Jennie. (2011). The future of archives and recordkeeping: a reader. Facet.

Holmlund, Mona & Avery, Cheryl. (2010). Better off forgetting?: essays on archives, public policy, and collective memory. University of Toronto Press.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.3138/9781442689879>

Honer, E., & Graham, S. (n.d.). Should Users Have a Role in Determining the Future Archive? The Approach Adopted by the Public Record Office, the UK National Archive, to the Selection of Records for Permanent Preservation | Honer | LIBER Quarterly.

Hopkins, I. (2008a). Places From Which to Speak. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 29(1), 83–109. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00379810802515069>

Hopkins, I. (2008b). Places From Which to Speak. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 29(1), 83–109. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00379810802515069>

Horsman, P. (n.d.). The Last Dance of the Phoenix, or the De-discovery of the Archival Fonds.

Hurley, C. (2002). Recordkeeping, Document Destruction and the Law (Heiner, Enron and McCabe). 30(2).

Hurley, C. (2006). Archivists and Accountability. 34(2).

Huvila, I. (2008). Participatory archive: towards decentralised curation, radical user orientation, and broader contextualisation of records management. *Archival Science*, 8(1), 15–36. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-008-9071-0>

Iacovino, L. (2010a). Rethinking archival, ethical and legal frameworks for records of Indigenous Australian communities: a participant relationship model of rights and responsibilities. *Archival Science*, 10(4), 353–372.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-010-9120-3>

Iacovino, L. (2010b). Rethinking archival, ethical and legal frameworks for records of Indigenous Australian communities: a participant relationship model of rights and responsibilities. *Archival Science*, 10(4), 353–372.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-010-9120-3>

International Council on Archives. (1992). Statement of Principles Regarding Archival Description. *Archivaria*, 1(34).

<http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/11837/12789>

InterPARES 1 Template for Analysis. (n.d.).

InterPARES 3 Intellectual Framework. (n.d.).

Interview with Dr Anne Thurston on Open Government and trustworthy records | Gov 2.0 Radio. (n.d.).

Ismail, A., & Jamaludin, A. (2011). Records professionals: an invisible profession in Malaysia. *Records Management Journal*, 21(1), 69–75.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691111125116>

Isto Huvila. (n.d.-c). The Unbearable Lightness of Participating? Revisiting the discourses of 'participation' in archival literature.
<http://www.istohuvila.se/files/IstoHuvilaUnbearableLightnessPreprint.pdf>

Jacobsen, T., Punzalan, R. L., & Hedstrom, M. L. (2013). Invoking "collective memory": mapping the emergence of a concept in archival science. *Archival Science*, 13(2–3), 217–251. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-013-9199-4>

Jenkinson, H. (1965). *A manual of archive administration* (2nd ed. reprinted). Lund, Humphries.

Jenkinson, Hilary. (1948). *The English archivist: a new profession*. H.K. Lewis.

Jenkinson, Hilary & Carnegie Endowment for International Peace. (1922). *Manual of archive administration*. <http://www2.archivists.org/publications/epubs>

Jennifer, M. (n.d.). *Towards an Archival Concept of Evidence*.

Jimerson, R. (2013). Archivists and Social Responsibility: A Response to Mark Greene. *The American Archivist*, 76(2), 335–345. <https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.76.2.2627p15350572t21>

Jimerson, R. C. (2011). Ripples across the pond: global implications of the Heiner Affair. 39 (1).

Jimerson, Randall C. & Society of American Archivists. (2009). *Archives power: memory, accountability, and social justice*. Society of American Archivists.

Jones, S., Abbott, D., & Ross, S. (2009). Redefining the performing arts archive. *Archival Science*, 9(3–4), 165–171. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-009-9086-1>

Jonker, A. E. M. (2009). No Privileged Past—Acquisition Revisited. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 30(1), 67–80. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00379810903264625>

Joseph, P., Debowski, S., & Goldschmidt, P. (2012). Paradigm shifts in recordkeeping responsibilities: implications for ISO 15489's implementation. *Records Management Journal*, 22(1), 57–75. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691211222108>

Kahle, B. (2007). Universal Access to All Knowledge. *The American Archivist*, 70(1), 23–31.

<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.70.1.u114006770252845>

Kalfatovic, M. R., Kapsalis, E., Spiess, K. P., Camp, A., & Edson, M. (2008). Smithsonian Team Flickr: a library, archives, and museums collaboration in web 2.0 space. *Archival Science*, 8(4), 267–277. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-009-9089-y>

Kallberg, M. (2012). Archivists 2.0: redefining the archivist's profession in the digital age. *Records Management Journal*, 22(2), 98–115. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691211268162>

Kärberg, T. (2013). Digital preservation of knowledge in the public sector: a pre-ingest tool. *Archival Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-013-9211-z>

Kärberg, T. (2015). Digital preservation of knowledge in the public sector: a pre-ingest tool. *Archival Science*, 15(1), 83–95. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-013-9211-z>

Ketelaar, E. (n.d.). *Archival Theory and the Dutch Manual*.

Ketelaar, E. (2008). Archives as Spaces of Memory. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 29(1), 9–27. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00379810802499678>

Ketelaar, E. (2012). Cultivating archives: meanings and identities. *Archival Science*, 12(1), 19–33. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-011-9142-5>

Kim, Y., & Ross, S. (2013). Closing the loop: Assisting archival appraisal and information retrieval in one sweep. *Proceedings of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 50(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1002/meet.14505001042>

Krebs, A. B. (2012). Native America's twenty-first-century right to know. *Archival Science*, 12(2), 173–190. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-011-9161-2>

Kretzschmar, R. (2006). Archival Appraisal in Germany: A Decade of Theory, Strategies, and Practices. *Archival Science*, 5(2–4), 219–238. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-005-9014-y>

Lappin, J. (2010). What will be the next records management orthodoxy? *Records Management Journal*, 20(3), 252–264. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691011095283>

Lau, A. J., Gilliland, A. J., & Anderson, K. (2012). NATURALIZING COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT IN INFORMATION STUDIES. *Information, Communication & Society*, 15(7), 991–1015. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2011.630404>

Lee, C., & Tibbo, H. (n.d.). Where's the Archivist in Digital Curation? Exploring the Possibilities through a Matrix of Knowledge and Skills. <http://journals.sfu.ca.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13362/14668>

Legislation and regulations | The National Archives. (n.d.). <http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/information-management/legislation/>

Leighton John, J. (2010). Digital lives - an initial synthesis Version 0.1. British Library.

Lemieux, V. L. (2010). The records-risk nexus: exploring the relationship between records and risk. *Records Management Journal*, 20(2), 199–216.

<https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691011064331>

MacNeil, H. (n.d.-a). *Archival Theory and Practice: Between Two Paradigms*.

MacNeil, H. (n.d.-b). Providing Grounds for Trust II: The Findings of the Authenticity Task Force of InterPARES.

MacNeil, H. (n.d.-c). *Trusting Records in a Postmodern World*.

MacNeil, H. (2001). Trusting Records in a Postmodern World. *Archivaria*, 51.

<http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/12793/13991>

MacNeil, H. (2011). Trust and professional identity: narratives, counter-narratives and lingering ambiguities. *Archival Science*, 11(3–4), 175–192.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-011-9150-5>

MacNeil, Heather. (1992). *Without consent: the ethics of disclosing personal information in public archives*. Scarecrow Press.

MacNeil, Heather & Eastwood, Terry. (2010a). *Currents of archival thinking vol 1*. Libraries Unlimited.

MacNeil, Heather & Eastwood, Terry. (2010b). *Currents of archival thinking vol 1*. Libraries Unlimited.

MacNeil, Heather & Eastwood, Terry. (2010c). *Currents of archival thinking vol 1*. Libraries Unlimited.

Mak, B. (n.d.). On the Uses of Authenticity.

<http://journals.sfu.ca.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13381/14688>

Mancuso, L. (2013). Archival appraisal in Brazil. *Archives and Manuscripts*, 41(2), 146–159.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/01576895.2013.801101>

Marsden, C. (2001). Sectors and Domains: Some reflections on co-operation and integration. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 22(1), 17–23.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/00379810120037469>

Marty, P. F. (2010). An Introduction to Digital Convergence: Libraries, Archives, and Museums in the Information Age. *The Library Quarterly*, 80(1), 1–5.

<https://doi.org/10.1086/648549>

Matthews, R. J. (2015). Is the archivist a "radical atheist" now? Deconstruction, its new wave, and archival activism. *Archival Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-015-9248-2>

McKemmish, S. (n.d.). Evidence of me . . . (first published in *Archives and Manuscripts*, 24 (1) 1996.).

McKemmish, S., Faulkhead, S., & Russell, L. (2011). Distrust in the archive: reconciling records. *Archival Science*, 11(3-4), 211-239. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-011-9153-2>

McKemmish, S., & Piggott, M. (2013a). Toward the Archival Multiverse: Challenging the Binary Opposition of the Personal and Corporate Archive in Modern Archival Theory and Practice. *Archivaria*, 76.
<http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13461/14781>

McKemmish, S., & Piggott, M. (2013b). Toward the Archival Multiverse: Challenging the Binary Opposition of the Personal and Corporate Archive in Modern Archival Theory and Practice. *Archivaria*, 76.
<http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13461/14781>

McKemmish, Sue & Charles Sturt University. (2005a). Archives: recordkeeping in society: Vol. Topics in Australasian library and information studies. Centre for Information Studies, Charles Sturt University.

McKemmish, Sue & Charles Sturt University. (2005b). Archives: recordkeeping in society: Vol. Topics in Australasian library and information studies. Centre for Information Studies, Charles Sturt University.

McKemmish, Sue, Piggott, Michael, & Australia. (1994). The Records continuum: Ian Maclean and Australian Archives first fifty years: Vol. Monash occasional papers in librarianship, recordkeeping and bibliography. Ancora Press in association with Australian Archives.

McLeod, J. (2012). Thoughts on the opportunities for records professionals of the open access, open data agenda. *Records Management Journal*, 22(2), 92-97.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691211268711>

McLeod, J., & Hare, C. (2010). Development of RMJ: A mirror of the development of the profession and discipline of records management. *Records Management Journal*, 20(1), 9-40. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691011036215>

Meijer, A. (n.d.). Accountability in an Information Age: Opportunities and Risks for Records Management - ProQuest.

Menne-Haritz, A. (2001). Access — The reformulation of an archival paradigm. *Archival Science*, 1(1), 57-82. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02435639>

Menne-Haritz, Angelika. (2004). Business processes: an archival science approach to collaborative decision making, records, and knowledge management: Vol. Archivist's library. Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Millar, L. (n.d.). The Death of the Fonds and the Resurrection of Provenance: Archival Context in Space and Time.

Moore, S., & Pell, S. (2010). Autonomous archives. *International Journal of Heritage Studies*, 16(4-5), 255-268. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13527251003775513>

Mortensen, P. (1999). The Place of Theory in Archival Practice.

Moss, M. (2005). The Hutton Inquiry, the President of Nigeria and What the Butler Hoped to See. *The English Historical Review*, 120(487), 577–592. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ehr/cei121>

Muller, Samuel, Feith, Johan Adriaan, Fruin, R., & Vereniging van Archivarissen in Nederland. (2003). *Manual for the arrangement and description of archives: Vol. Archival classics series*. Society of American Archivists.

Museum Management and Curatorship - Volume 24, Issue 4. (n.d.). <https://www.tandfonline.com/toc/rmmc20/24/4>

Neazor, M. (2007). Recordkeeping Professional Ethics and their Application. <http://journals.sfu.ca.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13146/14390>

Nesmith, T. (1999). Still Fuzzy, But More Accurate: Some Thoughts on the 'Ghosts' of Archival Theory.

Newman, J. (2012). Revisiting Archive Collections: Developing Models for Participatory Cataloguing. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 33(1), 57–73. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00379816.2012.666404>

Niu, J. (2014). Event-based archival information organization. *Archival Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9222-4>

O'Meara, E., & Tuomala, M. (n.d.). Finding Balance between Archival Principles and Real-Life Practices in an Institutional Repository. <http://journals.sfu.ca.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13385/14693>

Open Government Data | Open Knowledge Foundation Blog. (n.d.). [https://doi.org/\[object Object\]](https://doi.org/[object Object])

Ormond-Parker, L., & Sloggett, R. (2012). Local archives and community collecting in the digital age. *Archival Science*, 12(2), 191–212. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-011-9154-1>
paradigm | workbook on digital private papers. (n.d.).

Pearce-Moses, R. (2007). Janus in Cyberspace: Archives on the Threshold of the Digital Era. *The American Archivist*, 70(1), 13–22. <https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.70.1.n7121165223j6t83>

Pollard, R. (n.d.). *The Appraisal of Personal Papers: A Critical Literature Review*.

Procter, M. (2010). What's an 'Archivist'? Some Nineteenth-Century Perspectives. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 31(1), 15–27. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00379811003658476>

Procter, Margaret, Cook, Michael, & Williams, Caroline. (2005). Political pressure and the archival record. *Society of American Archivists*.

Procter, Margaret, Lewis, C. P., & Liverpool University Centre for Archive Studies. (2000). *New directions in archival research*. Liverpool University Centre for Archive Studies.

- Prom, C. (2011). Using Web Analytics to Improve Online Access to Archival Resources. *The American Archivist*, 74(1), 158–184.
<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.74.1.h56018515230417v>
- Protocols for Native American Archival Materials. (n.d.).
- Public Sector Information | Europa - Information Society. (n.d.).
- Ramírez, M. (2009). The Task of the Latino/a Archivist: On Archiving Identity and Community. *Studies [eScholarship]. InterActions: UCLA Journal of Education and Information Studies*, 5(1), Article 3.
http://escholarship.org/uc/gseis_interactions?volume=5;issue=1
- Ray, J. (2009). Sharks, digital curation, and the education of information professionals. *Museum Management and Curatorship*, 24(4), 357–368.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09647770903314720>
- Redwine, G. (n.d.). Personal Digital Archiving.
<http://www.dpconline.org/advice/technology-watch-reports>
- Reed, B. (2014). Reinventing access. *Archives and Manuscripts*, 42(2), 123–132.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01576895.2014.926823>
- Ridener, John. (2009). *From Polders to postmodernism: a concise history of archival theory*. Litwin Books.
- Riley, T. (2009). Prospects in First Nations Archives: Reflections based on my participation in the Tribal Archives, Libraries and Museums Institutes and Conference programs.
<http://dspace.ucalgary.ca/bitstream/1880/47975/1/Prospects%2520-%2520final.pdf>
- Roberts, J. (n.d.-a). Practice Makes Perfect, Theory Makes Theorists.
- Roberts, J. (n.d.-b). What is Archival Theory and Why is it Important? Response to Terry Eastwood's Paper.
- Robinson, G. (2014). Break the rules, save the records: human rights archives and the search for justice in East Timor. *Archival Science*, 14(3-4), 323–343.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9228-y>
- Robinson, H. (2012). Remembering things differently: museums, libraries and archives as memory institutions and the implications for convergence. *Museum Management and Curatorship*, 27(4), 413–429. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09647775.2012.720188>
- Roy, L., Trace, C., & Gilbert, S. (2013). Indigenous ways: assessing the awareness of and potential need for identifying content on indigenous world view in educating Libraries/Archives/Museum (LAM) entry level professionals. IFLA World Library and Information Congress, 17 - 23 August 2013, Singapore. <http://library.ifla.org/108/>
- Ruddock, B. (2011). Linked Data and the LOCAH project. *Business Information Review*, 28(2), 105–111. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0266382111404013>
- Sachs, A. (2006). Archives, Truth, and Reconciliation. *Archivaria*, 62.

<http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/12887/14118>

Sachs, H. R. (2008). *Reconstructing a Life: The Archival Challenges of Women's History*. *Library Trends*, 56(3), 650–666. <https://doi.org/10.1353/lib.2008.0018>

Samuels, H. (1991). Improving our disposition: documentation strategy. *Archivaria*, 33.

'Say nothing': silenced records and the Boston College subpoenas. (n.d.). <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/23257962.2013.859573>

Scanlan, K. (2011). ARMA v. SAA: The History and Heart of Professional Friction. *The American Archivist*, 74. http://www.jstor.org/stable/23079045?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

Schellenberg, T. R. (n.d.). *The Appraisal of Modern Records*.

Schellenberg, T. R. (1956a). *Modern archives: principles and techniques*. F.W. Cheshire. <http://www2.archivists.org/publications/epubs>

Schellenberg, T. R. (1956b). *Modern archives: principles and techniques*. F.W. Cheshire.

Schwartz, J. M., & Cook, T. (2002a). Archives, records, and power: The making of modern memory. *Archival Science*, 2(1–2), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02435628>

Schwartz, J. M., & Cook, T. (2002b). Archives, records, and power: The making of modern memory. *Archival Science*, 2(1–2), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02435628>

SCOTT, M. (1997). Functional appraisal of records: a methodology. *Records Management Journal*, 7(2), 101–114. <https://doi.org/10.1108/eb027107>

Sellie, A., Goldstein, J., Fair, M., & Hoyer, J. (2015). Interference Archive: a free space for social movement culture. *Archival Science*, 15(4), 453–472. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-015-9245-5>

Sexton, A., Turner, C., Yeo, G., & Hockey, S. (2004). Understanding users: a prerequisite for developing new technologies. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 25(1), 33–49. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0037981042000199133>

Shepherd, E. (2007). Freedom of Information and Records Management in the UK: What has been the Impact? *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 28(2), 125–138. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00379810701607736>

Shepherd, E. (2009). *Archives and archivists in 20th century England*. Ashgate. <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780754681922>

Shepherd, E., Stevenson, A., & Flinn, A. (2009). The Impact of Freedom of Information on Records Management and Record Use in Local Government: A Literature Review. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 30(2), 227–248. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00379810903445000>

Shepherd, E., Stevenson, A., & Flinn, A. (2011). Records management in English local government: the effect of freedom of information. *Records Management Journal*, 21(2),

122-134. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691111152053>

Shepherd, Elizabeth. (2009). Gatekeepers to the Profession: Archival education, 1880-1980. In *Archives and archivists in 20th century England*. Ashgate. <https://doi.org/https://www.dawsonera.com/readonline/9780754681922/startPage/186>

Shilton, K., & Srinivasan, R. (2007a). Participatory Appraisal and Arrangement for Multicultural Archival Collections. *Archivaria*, 63.

Shilton, K., & Srinivasan, R. (2007b). Participatory Appraisal and Arrangement for Multicultural Archival Collections.

Significance 2.0: a guide to assessing the significance of collections - significance-2.0.pdf. (n.d.). <https://www.arts.gov.au/what-we-do/museums-libraries-and-galleries/significance-20>

Sims, F. (2002). A new appraisal approach for English Heritage. *Records Management Journal*, 12(1), 8-13. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09565690210427743>

Sinn, D., & Syn, S. Y. (2013). Personal documentation on a social network site: Facebook, a collection of moments from your life? *Archival Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-013-9208-7>

Stan Godlovitch. (1989). Preserving, Restoring, Repairing. *Journal of Aesthetic Education*, 23(3), 39-47. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3332759>

Stevens, M., Flinn, A., & Shepherd, E. (2010). New frameworks for community engagement in the archive sector: from handing over to handing on. *International Journal of Heritage Studies*, 16(1-2), 59-76. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13527250903441770>

Stockholm Conference on Archival Science and the Concept of Record. (1998). The concept of record: report from the second Stockholm Conference on Archival Science and the Concept of Record, 30-31 May 1996: Vol. Skrifter utgivna av Riksarkivet. Riksarkivet.

Stockholm Conference on Archival Theory and the Principle of Provenance & Sweden. (1994). The principle of provenance: report from the First Stockholm Conference on Archival Theory and the Principle of Provenance 2-3 September 1993: Vol. Skrifter utgivna av Svenska riksarkivet. Swedish National Archives.

Strauss, A. (2014a). Treading the ground of contested memory: archivists and the human rights movement in Chile. *Archival Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9223-3>

Strauss, A. (2014b). Treading the ground of contested memory: archivists and the human rights movement in Chile. *Archival Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9223-3>

Strauss, A. (2015). Treading the ground of contested memory: archivists and the human rights movement in Chile. *Archival Science*, 15(4), 369-397. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9223-3>

Sue, M. (1997). *Yesterday, Today and Tomorrow: A Continuum of Responsibility (Information Technology)*.

- Sue McKemmish. (2005). Traces: Document, record, archive, archives. In Archives: recordkeeping in society: Vol. Topics in Australasian library and information studies (pp. 1–20). Centre for Information Studies, Charles Sturt University.
- Taavetti, R. (2015). A marshall in love. Remembering and forgetting queer pasts in the Finnish archives. *Archival Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-015-9251-7>
- The Almost Accidental Archive and its Impact on Literary Subjects and Canonicity. (2007). *Journal of Canadian Studies/Revue d'études Canadiennes*, 40(2), 96–108. http://muse.jhu.edu/journals/journal_of_canadian_studies/v040/40.2tector.html
- The DCC Curation Lifecycle Model. (n.d.).
- The Guide to Researching Audiences. (n.d.).
- The Institute of Conservation Professional Standards in Conservation. (n.d.). <https://www.icon.org.uk/resources/resources-for-conservation-professionals/standards-and-ethics/icon-professional-standards.html>
- The National Archives. (n.d.-d). The United Kingdom Report on the Re-use of Public Sector Information.
- The National Archives Appraisal Policy Background Paper - The 'Grigg System' and Beyond. (n.d.).
- The National Geospatial Digital Archives—Collection Development: Lessons Learned. (2009). *Library Trends*, 57(3), 490–515. <https://doi.org/10.1353/lib.0.0049>
- Theimer, K. (2011). What Is the Meaning of Archives 2.0? *The American Archivist*, 74(1), 58–68. <https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.74.1.h7tn4m4027407666>
- Thorpe, K. (2013). Protocols for libraries and archives in Australia: incorporating Indigenous perspectives in the information field. <http://library.ifla.org/99/>
- Timms, K. (n.d.). New Partnerships for Old Sibling Rivals: The Development of Integrated Access Systems for the Holdings of Archives, Libraries and Museums. <http://journals.sfu.ca.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13230/14549>
- Tom Nesmith. (2002). Seeing Archives: Postmodernism and the Changing Intellectual Place of Archives. *American Archivist*, 65. <https://americanarchivist.org/doi/pdf/10.17723/aarc.65.1.rr48450509r0712u>
- Tough, A. (2011). Accountability, open government and record keeping: time to think again? *Records Management Journal*, 21(3), 225–236. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691111186894>
- Trace, C. B. (2002). What is recorded is never simply 'what happened': Record keeping in modern organizational culture. *Archival Science*, 2(1–2), 137–159. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02435634>
- Tschan, R. (2002). A Comparison of Jenkinson and Schellenberg on Appraisal. *The*

American Archivist, 65(2), 176–195. <https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.65.2.920w65g321770611>

Upward, F. (2000). Modelling the continuum as paradigm shift in recordkeeping and archiving processes, and beyond: a personal reflection. *Records Management Journal*, 10 (3), 115–139. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EUM0000000007259>

Upward, Frank. (n.d.-e). Structuring the Records Continuum, Part Two: Structuration Theory and Recordkeeping.

Upward, Frank. (1996c). Structuring the Records Continuum - Part One: Postcustodial principles and properties.

Valge, J., & Kibal, B. (2007). Restrictions on Access to Archives and Records in Europe: A History and the Current Situation. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 28(2), 193–214. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00379810701611951>

Vilar, P., & Sauperl, A. (2015). Archives, Quo Vadis et Cum Quibus?: Archivists' self-perceptions and perceptions of users of contemporary archives. *International Journal of Information Management*, 35(5), 551–560. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2015.06.001>

Wakimoto, D. K., Bruce, C., & Partridge, H. (2013). Archivist as activist: lessons from three queer community archives in California. *Archival Science*, 13(4), 293–316. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-013-9201-1>

Wallace, David A. & Cox, Richard J. (2002a). Archives and the public good: accountability and records in modern society. Quorum Books. <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=74622&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s8454451>

Wallace, David A. & Cox, Richard J. (2002b). Archives and the public good: accountability and records in modern society. Quorum Books. <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=74622&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s8454451>

Wamukoya, J. (2000). Records and archives as a basis for good government: implications and challenges for records managers and archivists in Africa. *Records Management Journal*, 10(1), 23–33. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EUM0000000007254>

Williams, C. (n.d.). Studying Reality: The Application of Theory in an Aspect of UK Practice.

Wilson, J. Z., & Golding, F. (2015). Latent scrutiny: personal archives as perpetual mementos of the official gaze. *Archival Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-015-9255-3>

Wisniewski, Timothy. (2008). Framers of the Kept: Against the Grain Appraisal of Ephemeral Moving Images. *The Moving Image*, 7(2), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1353/mov.2008.0002>

Wood, S., Carbone, K., Cifor, M., Gilliland, A., & Punzalan, R. (2014a). Mobilizing records:

re-framing archival description to support human rights. *Archival Science*, 14(3-4), 397-419. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9233-1>

Wood, S., Carbone, K., Cifor, M., Gilliland, A., & Punzalan, R. (2014b). Mobilizing records: re-framing archival description to support human rights. *Archival Science*, 14(3-4), 397-419. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9233-1>

Wooldridge, J. M. (2013). *Introductory econometrics: a modern approach* (5th ed). South-Western Cengage Learning.

WORTHY, B. (2010). More Open but Not More Trusted? The Effect of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 on the United Kingdom Central Government. *Governance*, 23(4), 561-582. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0491.2010.01498.x>

Yaco, S., & Hardy, B. B. (2013). Historians, archivists, and social activism: benefits and costs. *Archival Science*, 13(2-3), 253-272. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-012-9187-0>

Yakel, E. (2003). Archival representation. *Archival Science*, 3(1), 1-25. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02438926>

Yakel, E., & Bost, L. L. (n.d.). Understanding Administrative Use and Users in University Archives - *American Archivist* - Volume 57, Number 4 / Fall 1994 - Society of American Archivists. *The American Archivist*. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40293870>

Yakel, E., & Torres, D. (2003). AI: Archival Intelligence and User Expertise. *The American Archivist*, 66(1), 51-78. <https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.66.1.q022h85pn51n5800>

Yeo, G. (n.d.). Bringing Things Together: Aggregate Records in a Digital Age. <http://journals.sfu.ca.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13407/14713>

Yeo, G. (2007). Concepts of Record (1): Evidence, Information, and Persistent Representations. *The American Archivist*, 70(2), 315-343. <https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.70.2.u327764v1036756q>

Yeo, G. (2008). Concepts of Record (2): Prototypes and Boundary Objects. *The American Archivist*, 71(1), 118-143. <https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.71.1.p0675v40tr14q6w2>

Yeo, G. (2010). 'Nothing is the same as something else': significant properties and notions of identity and originality. *Archival Science*, 10(2), 85-116. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10502-010-9119-9>

Yeo, G. (2011). Rising to the level of a record? Some thoughts on records and documents. *Records Management Journal*, 21(1), 8-27. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691111125071>

Zhang, J. (n.d.). Original Order in Digital Archives. <http://journals.sfu.ca.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13410/14719>