

# ARCLG113: Prehistoric Stone Artefact Analysis: Norah Moloney

[View Online](#)

---

1.

Andrefsky, William. Lithics: macroscopic approaches to analysis [Internet]. 2nd ed. Cambridge manuals in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2005.

Available from:

[https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&mp;package\\_service\\_id=14943909900004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true](https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&mp;package_service_id=14943909900004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true)

2.

Holdaway, Simon, Stern, Nicola. A record in stone: the study of Australia's flaked stone artefacts. Canberra: Aboriginal Studies Press; 2004.

3.

Inizan, Marie-Louise, Roche, Hélène, Tixier, Jacques, Reduron-Ballinger, Michèle. The technology of knapped stone: followed by a multilingual vocabulary arabic, english, french, german, greek, italian, russian, spanish [Internet]. Préhistoire de la pierre taillée. Meudon: CREP; 1992. Available from:

<http://www.arkeotek.org/ebooks/TerminologyKnappedStone.pdf>

4.

Odell, George H. Lithic analysis. Manuals in archaeological method, theory, and technique. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; 2004.

5.

Shea JJ. Stone Tools in the Paleolithic and Neolithic Near East: A Guide [Internet].

Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013. Available from:  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139026314>

6.

Whittaker, John C. Flintknapping: making and understanding stone tools [Internet]. Austin: University of Texas Press; 1994. Available from:  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/ucl/detail.action?docID=3571875>

7.

William Andrefsky Jr. The Analysis of Stone Tool Procurement, Production, and Maintenance. *Journal of Archaeological Research* [Internet]. 17(1):65–103. Available from:  
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/41053258?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=The&searchText=Analysis&searchText=of&searchText=Stone&searchText=Tool&searchText=Procurement,&searchText=Production,&searchText=and&searchText=Maintenance&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3DThe%2BAnalysis%2Bof%2BStone%2BTool%2BProcurement%252C%2BProduction%252C%2Band%2BMaintenance%26amp%3Bfilter%3Djid%253A10.2307%252Fj50001097%26amp%3BSearch%3DSearch%26amp%3Bwc%3Don%26amp%3Bfc%3Doff%26amp%3BglobalSearch%3D%26amp%3BsbbBox%3D%26amp%3BsbjBox%3D%26amp%3BsbpBox%3D>

8.

George H. Odell. Stone Tool Research at the End of the Millennium: Procurement and Technology. *Journal of Archaeological Research* [Internet]. 8(4):269–331. Available from:  
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/41053170>

9.

Andrefsky W. Ch. 2: Basics of stone tool production. In: *Lithics: macroscopic approaches to analysis*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2005. p. 11–40.

10.

Andrefsky W. Ch.5: Flake debitage attributes. In: *Lithics: macroscopic approaches to analysis* [Internet]. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2005. Available from:  
[https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&amp;package\\_service\\_id=14943909900004761&amp;institutionId=4761&amp;customerId=4760&amp;VE=true](https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&amp;package_service_id=14943909900004761&amp;institutionId=4761&amp;customerId=4760&amp;VE=true)

11.

Holdaway, Simon, Stern, Nicola. A record in stone: the study of Australia's flaked stone artefacts. Canberra: Aboriginal Studies Press; 2004.

12.

Inizan, Marie-Louise, Roche, Hélène, Tixier, Jacques, Reduron-Ballinger, Michèle. The technology of knapped stone: followed by a multilingual vocabulary arabic, english, french, german, greek, italian, russian, spanish [Internet]. Préhistoire de la pierre taillée. Meudon: CREP; 1992. Available from:  
<http://www.arkeotek.org/ebooks/TerminologyKnappedStone.pdf>

13.

Odell GH. Ch. 3: Tool manufacture. In: Lithic analysis. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; 2004. p. 43–85.

14.

Shea JJ. Stone Tools in the Paleolithic and Neolithic Near East: A Guide [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013. Available from:  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139026314>

15.

van Gijn AL. The biography of flint tools: methods of study. In: Flint in focus: lithic biographies in the Neolithic and Bronze Age [Internet]. Leiden: Sidestone Press; 2010. p. 11–34. Available from: <https://www.sidestone.com/books/flint-in-focus>

16.

Ignacio de la Torre. Tècniques d'excavació en jaciments paleolítics. Alguns casos d'estudi. Treballs d'Arqueologia [Internet]. 2014;(20). Available from:  
<http://www.raco.cat/index.php/TreballsArqueologia/article/view/293145/381651>

17.

Whittaker JC. Ch. 2: Flintknapping: basic principles. In: Flintknapping: making and understanding stone tools. Austin: University of Texas Press; 1994.

18.

Cotterell B, Kamminga J. The mechanics of flaking. In: Lithic use-wear analysis. New York: Academic Press; 1979. p. 97–112.

19.

Brian Cotterell and Johan Kamminga. The Formation of Flakes. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 52(4):675–708. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/281378>

20.

Crabtree D. Notes on experiments in flintknapping: 4. Tools used for making flaked stone artefacts. *Tebiwa: journal of the Idaho State University Museum of Natural History*. 1967;10(1):60–71.

21.

Harold L. Dibble and Mary C. Bernard. A Comparative Study of Basic Edge Angle Measurement Techniques. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 45(4):857–65. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/280156>

22.

Dibble HL, Pelcin A. The Effect of Hammer Mass and Velocity on Flake Mass. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1995 May;22(3):429–39. doi:10.1006/jasc.1995.0042

23.

Dibble HL, Whittaker JC. New experimental evidence on the relation between percussion flaking and flake variation. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1981 Sep;8(3):283–96. doi:10.1016/0305-4403(81)90004-2

24.

Edmonds M. Lithic exploitation and use. In: Handbook of archaeological sciences. Chichester: John Wiley; 2001. p. 461–70.

25.

Eren MI, Greenspan A, Sampson CG. Are Upper Paleolithic blade cores more productive than Middle Paleolithic discoidal cores? A replication experiment. *Journal of Human Evolution*. 2008 Dec;55(6):952–61. doi:10.1016/j.jhevol.2008.07.009

26.

Harrison R. Stone tools. In: The Oxford handbook of material culture studies [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2010. Available from: [https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package\\_service\\_id=14478218020004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true](https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=14478218020004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true)

27.

Kuhn SL. A geometric index of reduction for unifacial stone tools. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1990 Sep;17(5):583–93. doi:10.1016/0305-4403(90)90038-7

28.

Pelcin AW. The Formation of Flakes: The Role of Platform Thickness and Exterior Platform Angle in the Production of Flake Initiations and Terminations. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1997 Dec;24(12):1107–13. doi:10.1006/jasc.1996.0190

29.

Reti JS. Quantifying Oldowan Stone Tool Production at Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania. *PLOS ONE*. 2016 Jan 25;11(1). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0147352

30.

Michael J. Shott. Size and Form in the Analysis of Flake Debris: Review and Recent Approaches. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. 1(1):69–110. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20177305>

31.

Torre I, Mora R. Remarks on the current theoretical and methodological approaches to the study of early technological strategies in Eastern Africa. In: Interdisciplinary approaches to the Oldowan [Internet]. Dordrecht: Springer; 2008. p. 15–24. Available from: <https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-1-4020-9060-8>

32.

Bloxam E. Ancient quarries in mind: pathways to a more accessible significance. *World Archaeology*. 2011 Jun;43(2):149–66. doi:10.1080/00438243.2011.579481

33.

Edmonds, M. R. Ancestral geographies of the Neolithic: landscapes, monuments and memory. London: Routledge; 1999. doi:<https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203020197>

34.

Harmand S. Patterns of lithic raw material procurement and transformation during the Middle Paleolithic in Western Europe. In: *Lithic materials and Paleolithic societies* [Internet]. Chichester, UK: Wiley-Blackwell; 2009. p. 15–24. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9781444311976>

35.

Spence MW, et al. State controlled procurement and the obsidian workshops of Teotihuacan, Mexico. In: *Prehistoric Quarries and Lithic Production* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1984. p. 97–105. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511753244>

36.

Stout D, Quade J, Semaw S, Rogers MJ, Levin NE. Raw material selectivity of the earliest stone toolmakers at Gona, Afar, Ethiopia. *Journal of Human Evolution*. 2005 Apr;48(4):365–80. doi:10.1016/j.jhevol.2004.10.006

37.

TaÇlon PSC. The power of stone: symbolic aspects of stone use and tool development in western Arnhem Land, Australia. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 1991;65(247):192–207. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/1293749868?accountid=14511>

38.

Turq A, Roebroeks W, Bourguignon L, Faivre JP. The fragmented character of Middle Palaeolithic stone tool technology. *Journal of Human Evolution*. 2013 Nov;65(5):641–55. doi:10.1016/j.jhevol.2013.07.014

39.

Vermeersch PM, et al. Middle Palaeolithic chert mining in Egypt. In: *Siliceous rocks and culture* / A. Ramos-Millán and M. A. Bustillo (eds.). p. 173–93.

40.

World Archaeology 2011: 2: Papers in this volume address aspects of quarrying and mining [Internet]. Available from: <http://web.a.ebscohost.com/ehost/results?sid=db06eaf9-f12c-421c-8584-8856400c1ab6%40sessionmgr4007&vid=1&hid=4207&bquery=JN+%22World+Archaeology%22+AND+DT+20110601&bdata=JkF1dGhUeXBIPWlwLHNoaWlmZGI9YXN1JnR5cGU9MSZzaXRIPWVob3N0LWxpdmUmc2NvcGU9c2l0ZQ%3d%3d>

41.

Blades BS, Adams B, Wiley InterScience (Online service). *Lithic materials and Paleolithic societies* [Internet]. Chichester, UK: Wiley-Blackwell; 2009. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9781444311976>

42.

Adler et al. D. Between a rock and a hard place: Neanderthal-modern human interaction in the southern Caucasus. In: *When Neanderthals and modern humans met*. Tübingen: Kerns; 2006. p. 165–88.

43.

William Andrefsky Jr. Raw-Material Availability and the Organization of Technology. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 59(1):21–34. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3085499>

44.

Lewis R. Binford and James F. O'Connell. An Alyawara Day: The Stone Quarry. *Journal of Anthropological Research* [Internet]. 40(3):406–32. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3629763>

45.

Bloxam EG, et al. Hard stone quarrying in the Egyptian Old Kingdom (3rd millennium BC): rethinking the social organisation. In: *ASMOSIA VII: actes du VIIe colloque international de l'ASMOSIA organisé par l'Ecole française d'Athènes, 120 septembre 2003 = proceedings of the 7th International Conference of Association for the Study of Marble and Other Stones in Antiquity*. Athènes: Ecole française d'Athènes; 2009. p. 187–201.

46.

Bloxam E. Miners and mistresses: Middle Kingdom mining on the margins. *Journal of Social Archaeology* [Internet]. 2006;6(2):277–303. Available from: <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/1469605306064244>

47.

Boivin N. From veneration to exploitation: human engagement with the mineral world. In: *Soils, stones and symbols: cultural perceptions of the mineral world*. London: UCL Press; 2004. p. 1–29.

48.

Bradley R, Edmonds MR. Interpreting the axe trade: production and exchange in Neolithic Britain. *New studies in archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1993.

49.

BRADLEY R, FORD S. THE SITING OF NEOLITHIC STONE QUARRIES? EXPERIMENTAL ARCHAEOLOGY AT GREAT LANGDALE, CUMBRIA. *Oxford Journal of Archaeology*. 1986

Jul;5(2):123–8. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0092.1986.tb00348.x

50.

Carter T, Poupeau G, Bressy C, Pearce NJG. A new programme of obsidian characterization at Çatalhöyük, Turkey. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2006 Jul;33(7):893–909. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2005.10.023

51.

Cooney G, Mandal S. Getting to the core of the problem: petrological results from the Irish Stone Axe Project. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 1995;69(266):969–80. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/217550589?accountid=14511>

52.

Crabtree D. Notes on experiments in flintknapping: the flintknapper's raw materials. *Tebiwa: journal of the Idaho State University Museum of Natural History*. 1967;10(1):8–24.

53.

Edmonds, M. R. *Stone tools and society: working stone in Neolithic and Bronze Age Britain*. London: Routledge; 1995.

54.

Eren MI, Roos CI, Story BA, von Cramon-Taubadel N, Lycett SJ. The role of raw material differences in stone tool shape variation: an experimental assessment. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2014 Sep;49:472–87. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2014.05.034

55.

Ericson, Jonathon E., Purdy, Barbara A. *Prehistoric quarries and lithic production* [Internet]. New directions in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1984. Available from: [https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package\\_service\\_id=14744629320004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true](https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=14744629320004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true)

56.

Féblot-Augustins J. Revisiting European Upper Paleolithic raw material Transfers: the demise of the cultural ecological paradigm? In: *Lithic materials and Paleolithic societies*. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell; 2009. p. 25–46. doi:10.1002/9781444311976

57.

Ford A, Stross F, Asaro F, Michel HV. Obsidian Procurement and Distribution in the Tikal-Yaxha Intersite Area of the Central Maya Lowlands. *Ancient Mesoamerica*. 1997 Mar;8(01):101–10. doi:10.1017/S0956536100001607

58.

GALE NH. Mediterranean obsidian source characterisation by strontium isotope analysis. *Archaeometry*. 1981 Feb;23(1):41–51. doi:10.1111/j.1475-4754.1981.tb00953.x

59.

Richard A. Gould and Sherry Saggers. Lithic Procurement in Central Australia: A Closer Look at Binford's Idea of Embeddedness in Archaeology. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 50(1):117–36. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/280637>

60.

Healan DM. Pre-Hispanic Quarrying in the Ucareo-Zinapécuaro Obsidian Source Area. *Ancient Mesoamerica*. 1997 Mar;8(01):77–100. doi:10.1017/S0956536100001590

61.

Inizan et al. ML. Chapter 1: Knapper stone. In: *The technology of knapped stone: followed by a multilingual vocabulary arabic, english, french, german, greek, italian, russian, spanish* [Internet]. Meudon: CREP; 1992. p. 15–21. Available from: <http://www.arkeotek.org/ebooks/TerminologyKnappedStone.pdf>

62.

George T. Jones, Charlotte Beck, Eric E. Jones and Richard E. Hughes. Lithic Source Use and Paleoarchaic Foraging Territories in the Great Basin. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 68(1):5–38. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3557031>

63.

Knecht, Heidi. *Projectile technology. Interdisciplinary contributions to archaeology*. New York: Plenum Press; 1997.

64.

Larsson L. The passage of axes: fire transformation of flint objects in the Neolithic of southern Sweden. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 2000;74(285):602–10. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/1293813293?accountid=14511>

65.

Luedtke, Barbara E., University of California, Los Angeles. *An archaeologist's guide to chert and flint. Archaeological research tools*. Los Angeles: Institute of Archaeology, University of California; 1992.

66.

Meignen L, et al. Patterns of lithic raw material procurement and transformation during the Middle Paleolithic in Western Europe. In: *Prehistoric Quarries and Lithic Production* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1984. p. 15–24. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511753244>

67.

Douglas R. Mitchell and M. Steven Shackley. Classic Period Hohokam Obsidian Studies in Southern Arizona. *Journal of Field Archaeology* [Internet]. 22(3):291–304. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/530177>

68.

Russell, Miles. *Flint mines in neolithic Britain*. Stroud: Tempus; 2000.

69.

Torrence R. Monopoly or direct access. Industrial organization at the Melos obsidian quarries. In: Prehistoric quarries and lithic production [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1984. p. 49–64. Available from: [https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package\\_service\\_id=14744629320004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true](https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=14744629320004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true)

70.

Renfrew, Colin, Wagstaff, J. Malcolm. The obsidian trade. In: An Island polity: the archaeology of exploitation in Melos. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1982. p. 182–221.

71.

Vermeersch et al. PM. Middle Palaeolithic chert mining in Egypt. In: Siliceous rocks and culture. Granada: Universidad de Granada; 1997. p. 173–93.

72.

Arroyo A, Hirata S, Matsuzawa T, de la Torre I. Nut Cracking Tools Used by Captive Chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*) and Their Comparison with Early Stone Age Percussive Artefacts from Olduvai Gorge. PLOS ONE. 2016 Nov 21;11(11). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0166788

73.

Carvalho S, et al. From pounding to knapping: how chimpanzees can help us to model hominin lithics. In: Sanz CM, Call J, Boesch C, editors. Tool Use in Animals: Cognition and Ecology [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013. p. 226–41. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511894800>

74.

Carvalho S, Cunha E, Sousa C, Matsuzawa T. Chaînes opératoires and resource-exploitation strategies in chimpanzee (*Pan troglodytes*) nut cracking. Journal of Human Evolution. 2008 Jul;55(1):148–63. doi:10.1016/j.jhevol.2008.02.005

75.

Fragaszy D, Izar P, Visalberghi E, Ottoni EB, de Oliveira MG. Wild capuchin monkeys (*Cebus libidinosus*) use anvils and stone pounding tools. *American Journal of Primatology*. 2004 Dec;64(4):359–66. doi:10.1002/ajp.20085

76.

Panger MA, Brooks AS, Richmond BG, Wood B. Older than the Oldowan? Rethinking the emergence of hominin tool use. *Evolutionary Anthropology: Issues, News, and Reviews*. 2003 Jan 6;11(6):235–45. doi:10.1002/evan.10094

77.

McGrew WC. *Chimpanzee Material Culture: Implications for Human Evolution* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1992. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511565519>

78.

Mercader J, Barton H, Gillespie J, Harris J, Kuhn S, Tyler R, et al. 4,300-Year-old chimpanzee sites and the origins of percussive stone technology. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2007 Feb 27;104(9):3043–8. doi:10.1073/pnas.0607909104

79.

Mercader J. Excavation of a Chimpanzee Stone Tool Site in the African Rainforest. *Science*. 2002 May 24;296(5572):1452–5. doi:10.1126/science.1070268

80.

Mora R, de la Torre I. Percussion tools in Olduvai Beds I and II (Tanzania): Implications for early human activities. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2005 Jun;24(2):179–92. doi:10.1016/j.jaa.2004.12.001

81.

Proffitt T, Luncz LV, Falótico T, Ottoni EB, de la Torre I, Haslam M. Wild monkeys flake stone tools. *Nature*. 2016 Oct 19;539(7627):85–8. doi:10.1038/nature20112

82.

de la Torre I, Benito-Calvo A, Arroyo A, Zupancich A, Proffitt T. Experimental protocols for the study of battered stone anvils from Olduvai Gorge (Tanzania). *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2013 Jan;40(1):313–32. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2012.08.007

83.

DELAGNES A, ROCHE H. Late Pliocene hominid knapping skills: The case of Lokalalei 2C, West Turkana, Kenya. *Journal of Human Evolution*. 2005 May;48(5):435–72. doi:10.1016/j.jhevol.2004.12.005

84.

Leakey MD. Olduvai gorge: Vol.3: Excavations in beds I and II, 1960-1963. Cambridge: University Press; 1971.

85.

Lycett SJ, Gowlett JAJ. On questions surrounding the Acheulean 'tradition'. *World Archaeology*. 2008 Sep;40(3):295–315. doi:10.1080/00438240802260970

86.

Semaw S, Renne P, Harris JWK, Feibel CS, Bernor RL, Fesseha N, et al. 2.5-million-year-old stone tools from Gona, Ethiopia. *Nature*. 1997 Jan 23;385(6614):333–6. doi:10.1038/385333a0

87.

Shea JJ. *Stone Tools in the Paleolithic and Neolithic Near East: A Guide* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139026314>

88.

de la Torre I, Mora R. Remarks on the current theoretical and methodological approaches

to the study of early technological strategies in Eastern Africa. In: Interdisciplinary approaches to the Oldowan [Internet]. Dordrecht: Springer; 2008. p. 15–24. Available from: <https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-1-4020-9060-8>

89.

Toth N. The oldowan reassessed: A close look at early stone artifacts. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1985 Mar;12(2):101–20. doi:10.1016/0305-4403(85)90056-1

90.

Schick, Kathy Diane, Toth, Nicholas Patrick. *The Oldowan: case studies into the earliest Stone Age*. Stone Age Institute publication series. Gosport, IN: Stone Age Institute; 2006.

91.

Anna Belfer-Cohen and Naama Goren-Inbar. Cognition and Communication in the Levantine Lower Palaeolithic. *World Archaeology* [Internet]. 26(2):144–57. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/124849>

92.

Braun DR, Plummer T, Ditchfield P, Ferraro JV, Maina D, Bishop LC, et al. Oldowan behavior and raw material transport: perspectives from the Kanjera Formation. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2008 Aug;35(8):2329–45. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2008.03.004

93.

Braun DR, Tactikos JC, Ferraro JV, Arnow SL, Harris JWK. Oldowan reduction sequences: methodological considerations. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2008 Aug;35(8):2153–63. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2008.01.015

94.

Clark JD. The Acheulian industrial complex in Africa and elsewhere. In: *Integrative paths to the past: paleoanthropological advances in honor of F. Clark Howell*. Englewood Cliffs, N.J: Prentice Hall; 1994. p. 451–69.

95.

Edwards S. A modern knapper's assessment of the technical skills of the Late Acheulean biface workers at Kalambo Falls. In: Kalambo Falls prehistoric site: 3: The earlier cultures : middle and earlier Stone Age ; assisted by Julie Cormack and Susan Chin ; with contributions by M. R. Kleindienst ... [et al.]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2001. p. 605–11.

96.

Gamble C, Marshall G. The shape of handaxes, the structure of the Acheulian world. In: A very remote period indeed: papers on the Palaeolithic presented to Derek Roe. Oxford: Oxbow; 2001. p. 19–27.

97.

Goren-Inbar N, Grosman L, Sharon G. The technology and significance of the Acheulian giant cores of Gesher Benot Ya'aqov, Israel. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2011 Aug;38(8):1901–17. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2011.03.037

98.

Goren-Inbar N. Culture and cognition in the Acheulian industry: a case study from Gesher Benot Yalhringaqov. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 2011 Apr 12;366(1567):1038–49. doi:10.1098/rstb.2010.0365

99.

Isaac G. Foundation stones: early artefacts as indicators of activities and abilities. In: *Stone Age prehistory: studies in memory of Charles McBurney*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1986. p. 221–41.

100.

Peter Rasmussen Jones. Effects of Raw Materials on Biface Manufacture. *Science* [Internet]. 204(4395):835–6. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1748635>

101.

Ludwig BV, Harris JWK. Towards a technological reassessment of East African plio-pleistocene lithic assemblages. In: Early human behaviour in the global context: the rise and diversity of the Lower Paleolithic Period. London: Routledge; 1998. p. 84–107.

102.

Lycett SJ, Gowlett JAJ. On questions surrounding the Acheulean 'tradition'. *World Archaeology*. 2008 Sep;40(3):295–315. doi:10.1080/00438240802260970

103.

Marke MW. Who made stone tools? In: Stone knapping: the necessary conditions for a uniquely hominin behaviour. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research; 2005. p. 243–56.

104.

Mora R, de la Torre I. Percussion tools in Olduvai Beds I and II (Tanzania): Implications for early human activities. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2005 Jun;24(2):179–92. doi:10.1016/j.jaa.2004.12.001

105.

M. H. Newcomer. Some Quantitative Experiments in Handaxe Manufacture. *World Archaeology* [Internet]. 3(1):85–104. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/124204>

106.

Norton CJ, Bae K, Harris JWK, Lee H. Middle Pleistocene handaxes from the Korean Peninsula. *Journal of Human Evolution*. 2006 Nov;51(5):527–36. doi:10.1016/j.jhevol.2006.07.004

107.

Petraglia MD, Shipton C. Large cutting tool variation west and east of the Movius Line. *Journal of Human Evolution*. 2008 Dec;55(6):962–6. doi:10.1016/j.jhevol.2007.11.007

108.

Schick KD, Toth N, Garufi G, Savage-Rumbaugh ES, Rumbaugh D, Sevcik R. Continuing Investigations into the Stone Tool-making and Tool-using Capabilities of a Bonobo (*Pan paniscus*). *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1999 Jul;26(7):821–32. doi:10.1006/jasc.1998.0350

109.

Schick KD. The Movius line reconsidered: perspectives on the earlier Paleolithic of Eastern Asia. In: Integrative paths to the past: paleoanthropological advances in honor of F. Clark Howell. Englewood Cliffs, N.J: Prentice Hall; 1994. p. 569–96.

110.

Semaw S. The World's Oldest Stone Artefacts from Gona, Ethiopia: Their Implications for Understanding Stone Technology and Patterns of Human Evolution Between 2·6–1·5 Million Years Ago. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2000 Dec;27(12):1197–214. doi:10.1006/jasc.1999.0592

111.

Sharon G, Alperson-Afil N, Goren-Inbar N. Cultural conservatism and variability in the Acheulian sequence of Gesher Benot Ya'aqov. *Journal of Human Evolution*. 2011 Apr;60(4):387–97. doi:10.1016/j.jhevol.2009.11.012

112.

Shimelmitz R, Barkai R, Gopher A. Systematic blade production at late Lower Paleolithic (400–200 kyr) Qesem Cave, Israel. *Journal of Human Evolution*. 2011 Oct;61(4):458–79. doi:10.1016/j.jhevol.2011.06.003

113.

Spikins P. Goodwill hunting? Debates over the 'meaning' of Lower Palaeolithic handaxe form revisited. *World Archaeology*. 2012 Sep;44(3):378–92. doi:10.1080/00438243.2012.725889

114.

Stout D, Chaminade T. The evolutionary neuroscience of tool making. *Neuropsychologia*. 2007 Jan;45(5):1091–100. doi:10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2006.09.014

115.

Stout D, Quade J, Semaw S, Rogers MJ, Levin NE. Raw material selectivity of the earliest stone toolmakers at Gona, Afar, Ethiopia. *Journal of Human Evolution*. 2005 Apr;48(4):365–80. doi:10.1016/j.jhevol.2004.10.006

116.

de la Torre I. The origins of stone tool technology in Africa: a historical perspective. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 2011 Apr 12;366(1567):1028–37. doi:10.1098/rstb.2010.0350

117.

Torre I de la, Mora R, Martínez-Moreno J. The early Acheulean in Peninj (Lake Natron, Tanzania). *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2008 Jun;27(2):244–64. doi:10.1016/j.jaa.2007.12.001

118.

de la Torre I, Mora R,  
Domí

nguez-Rodrigo M, de Luque L, Alcalá L. The Oldowan industry of Peninj and its bearing on the reconstruction of the technological skills of LowerPleistocene hominids. *Journal of Human Evolution*. 2003 Feb;44(2):203–24. doi:10.1016/S0047-2484(02)00206-3

119.

Wynn T. Archaeology and cognitive evolution. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*. 2002 Jun;25(03). doi:10.1017/S0140525X02000079

120.

T. Wynn and W. C. McGrew. An Ape's View of the Oldowan. *Man* [Internet]. 24(3):383–98. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2802697>

121.

Boeda E. Levallois: a volumetric construction, methods and technique. In: *The definition and interpretation of Levallois technology*. Madison, Wis: Prehistory Press; 1995. p. 41–68.

122.

Debénath, André, Dibble, Harold Lewis. *Handbook of paleolithic typology*. Philadelphia: University Museum, University of Pennsylvania; 1993.

123.

Dibble, Harold Lewis, Bar-Yosef, Ofer. *The definition and interpretation of Levallois technology*. Monographs in world archaeology. Madison, Wis: Prehistory Press; 1995.

124.

Inizan, Marie-Louise, Roche, Hélène, Tixier, Jacques, Reduron-Ballinger, Michèle. *The technology of knapped stone: followed by a multilingual vocabulary arabic, english, french, german, greek, italian, russian, spanish* [Internet]. *Préhistoire de la pierre taillée*. Meudon: CREP; 1992. Available from: <http://www.arkeotek.org/ebooks/TerminologyKnappedStone.pdf>

125.

Peresani, Marco. *Discoid lithic technology: Advances and implications*. BAR international series. Oxford: Archaeopress; 2003. doi:<https://doi.org/10.30861/9781841714967>

126.

Shea JJ. *Stone Tools in the Paleolithic and Neolithic Near East: A Guide* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139026314>

127.

Bar-Yosef O, Meignen L. Insights into Levantine Middle Palaeolithic cultural variability. In: The Middle Paleolithic: adaptation, behavior, and variability. Philadelphia: University Museum, University of Pennsylvania; 1992. p. 163–80.

128.

Bar-Yosef O, Van Peer P. The Chaîne Operatoire Approach in Middle Paleolithic Archaeology. *Current Anthropology*. 2009 Feb;50(1):103–31. doi:10.1086/592234

129.

Culley EV, Popescu G, Clark GA. An analysis of the compositional integrity of the Levantine Mousterian facies. *Quaternary International*. 2013 Jun;300:213–33. doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2012.11.030

130.

Delanges A, Meignen L. Diversity of lithic production systems during the Middle Paleolithic in France. In: *Transitions before the transition: evolution and stability in the Middle Paleolithic and Middle Stone Age* [Internet]. New York: Springer; 2006. p. 85–107. Available from: [https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package\\_service\\_id=14355934290004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true](https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=14355934290004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true)

131.

Dibble HL, Aldeias V, Jacobs Z, Olszewski DI, Rezek Z, Lin SC, et al. On the industrial attributions of the Aterian and Mousterian of the Maghreb. *Journal of Human Evolution*. 2013 Mar;64(3):194–210. doi:10.1016/j.jhevol.2012.10.010

132.

Eren MI, Greenspan A, Sampson CG. Are Upper Paleolithic blade cores more productive than Middle Paleolithic discoidal cores? A replication experiment. *Journal of Human Evolution*. 2008 Dec;55(6):952–61. doi:10.1016/j.jhevol.2008.07.009

133.

Groucutt HS, Scerri EML, Lewis L, Clark-Balzan L, Blinkhorn J, Jennings RP, et al. Stone tool assemblages and models for the dispersal of *Homo sapiens* out of Africa. *Quaternary International*. 2015 Sep;382:8–30. doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2015.01.039

134.

Martínez-Moreno J, Mora R. The Middle-to-Upper Palaeolithic transition in Cova Gran (Catalunya, Spain) and the extinction of Neanderthals in the Iberian Peninsula. *Journal of Human Evolution*. 2010 Mar;58(3):211–26. doi:10.1016/j.jhevol.2009.09.002

135.

McBrearty S, Brooks AS. The revolution that wasn't: a new interpretation of the origin of modern human behavior. *Journal of Human Evolution*. 2000 Nov;39(5):453–563. doi:10.1006/jhev.2000.0435

136.

L Meignen. Early Middle Palaeolithic blade technology in Southwestern Asia. *Acta Anthropologica Sinica* [Internet]. 2000;19:158–68. Available from: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/246000919\\_Early\\_Middle\\_Palaeolithic\\_blade\\_technology\\_in\\_Southwestern\\_Asia](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/246000919_Early_Middle_Palaeolithic_blade_technology_in_Southwestern_Asia)

137.

Olszewski DI, Dibble HL, McPherron SP, Schurmans UA, Chiotti L, Smith JR. Nubian Complex strategies in the Egyptian high desert. *Journal of Human Evolution*. 2010 Aug;59(2):188–201. doi:10.1016/j.jhevol.2010.06.001

138.

Peresani M. Discoid lithic technology: Advances and implications. BAR international series. Oxford: Archaeopress; 2003. doi:<https://doi.org/10.30861/9781841714967>

139.

Rose JI, Usik VI, Marks AE, Hilbert YH, Galletti CS, Parton A, et al. The Nubian Complex of

Dhofar, Oman: An African Middle Stone Age Industry in Southern Arabia. PLoS ONE. 2011 Nov 30;6(11). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0028239

140.

Reynolds T. The Middle Palaeolithic of Cyrenaica: Is there an Aterian at the Haua Fteah and does it matter? Quaternary International. 2013 Jun;300:171–81. doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2012.09.025

141.

Scerri EML. The Aterian and its place in the North African Middle Stone Age. Quaternary International. 2013 Jun;300:111–30. doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2012.09.008

142.

Sellet F. Levallois or not Levallois? Does it really matter? Learning from the African case. In: The definition and interpretation of Levallois technology. Madison, Wis: Prehistory Press; 1995. p. 25–40.

143.

Shea JJ. Sink the Mousterian? Named stone tool industries (NASTIES) as obstacles to investigating hominin evolutionary relationships in the Later Middle Paleolithic Levant. Quaternary International. 2014 Nov;350:169–79. doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2014.01.024

144.

Shea JJ. Spear Points from the Middle Paleolithic of the Levant. Journal of Field Archaeology. 1988 Jan;15(4):441–50. doi:10.1179/jfa.1988.15.4.441

145.

John J. Shea. The Middle Paleolithic of the East Mediterranean Levant. Journal of World Prehistory [Internet]. 2003;17(4):313–94. Available from: [http://www.jstor.org/stable/25801210?seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org/stable/25801210?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

146.

Shea JJ. The Middle Paleolithic: Early Modern Humans and Neandertals in the Levant. *Near Eastern Archaeology*. 2001 Mar;64(1/2). doi:10.2307/3210819

147.

Soriano S, Villa P, Wadley L. Blade technology and tool forms in the Middle Stone Age of South Africa: the Howiesons Poort and post-Howiesons Poort at Rose Cottage Cave. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2007 May;34(5):681–703. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2006.06.017

148.

Turq A, Roebroeks W, Bourguignon L, Faivre JP. The fragmented character of Middle Palaeolithic stone tool technology. *Journal of Human Evolution*. 2013 Nov;65(5):641–55. doi:10.1016/j.jhevol.2013.07.014

149.

Tryon CA, McBrearty S, Texier PJ. Levallois Lithic Technology from the Kapthurin Formation, Kenya: Acheulian Origin and Middle Stone Age Diversity. *African Archaeological Review*. 2006 Sep 29;22(4):199–229. doi:10.1007/s10437-006-9002-5

150.

Usik VI, Rose JJ, Hilbert YH, Van Peer P, Marks AE. Nubian Complex reduction strategies in Dhofar, southern Oman. *Quaternary International*. 2013 Jun;300:244–66. doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2012.08.2111

151.

Van Peer P. Refitting of lithic reduction sequences, formal classification systems and Middle Palaeolithic individuals at work. In: *Fitting rocks: lithic refitting examined* [Internet]. Oxford: Archaeopress; 2007. p. 91–103. Available from: <https://www.fulcrum.org/concern/monographs/1j92g9025>

152.

Van Peer P. The Nile Corridor and the Out-of-Africa Model An Examination of the

Archaeological Record. *Current Anthropology*. 1998 Jun;39(S1):S115–40.  
doi:10.1086/204692

153.

Van Peer P. Current issues in the Levallois problem. In: *The definition and interpretation of Levallois technology*. Madison, Wis: Prehistory Press; 1995. p. 1–10.

154.

Vaquero M, Vallverdu J, Rosell J, Pasto I, Allue E. Neandertal Behavior at the Middle Palaeolithic Site of Abric Romani, Capellades, Spain. *Journal of Field Archaeology*. 2001 Spring;28(1/2). doi:10.2307/3181461

155.

Villa P, Delagnes A, Wadley L. A late Middle Stone Age artifact assemblage from Sibudu (KwaZulu-Natal): comparisons with the European Middle Paleolithic. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2005 Mar;32(3):399–422. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2004.11.007

156.

Francois Bordes and Denise de Sonneville-Bordes. The Significance of Variability in Palaeolithic Assemblages. *World Archaeology* [Internet]. 2(1):61–73. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/124167>

157.

Jean-Paul Caspar and Marc De Bie. Preparing for the Hunt in the Late Paleolithic Camp at Rekem, Belgium. *Journal of Field Archaeology* [Internet]. 23(4):437–60. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/530547>

158.

Churchill SE, Smith FH. Makers of the early Aurignacian of Europe. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* [Internet]. 2001;113(S31):61–115. Available from: [http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/1096-8644\(2000\)43:31%2B%3C61::AID-AJPA4%3E3.0.CO;2-3/abstract](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/1096-8644(2000)43:31%2B%3C61::AID-AJPA4%3E3.0.CO;2-3/abstract)

159.

Conard NJ, Bolus M. Radiocarbon dating the appearance of modern humans and timing of cultural innovations in Europe: new results and new challenges. *Journal of Human Evolution*. 2003 Mar;44(3):331–71. doi:10.1016/S0047-2484(02)00202-6

160.

Hublin JJ, Spoor F, Braun M, Zonneveld F, Condemi S. A late Neanderthal associated with Upper Palaeolithic artefacts. *Nature*. 1996 May 16;381(6579):224–6. doi:10.1038/381224a0

161.

The Late Upper Palaeolithic Lithic Collection from Gough's Cave, Cheddar, Somerset and Human Use of the cave. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society* [Internet]. 2004;70:1–92. Available from: <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/proceedings-of-the-prehistoric-society/article/div-classtitlethe-late-upper-palaeolithic-lithic-collection-from-goughandaposs-cave-cheddar-somerset-and-human-use-of-the-cavediv/CD9C04B89EA52D20026A2E703CCE9EFB>

162.

d'Errico F, Zilhao J, Julien M, Baffier D, Pelegrin J. Neanderthal Acculturation in Western Europe? A Critical Review of the Evidence and Its Interpretation. *Current Anthropology*. 1998 Jun;39(S1):S1–44. doi:10.1086/204689

163.

D'Errico F. The invisible frontier. A multiple species model for the origin of behavioral modernity. *Evolutionary Anthropology: Issues, News, and Reviews*. 2003 Aug 5;12(4):188–202. doi:10.1002/evan.10113

164.

Mcbrearty S, Brooks AS. The revolution that wasn't: a new interpretation of the origin of modern human behavior. *Journal of Human Evolution*. 2000 Nov;39(5):453–563. doi:10.1006/jhev.2000.0435

165.

Mellars P. The Neanderthal Problem Continued. *Current Anthropology*. 1999 Jun;40(3):341–64. doi:10.1086/200024

166.

Smith, Christopher. *Late Stone Age hunters of the British Isles*. London: Routledge; 1992.

167.

Piel-Desruisseaux, Jean-Luc. *Outils préhistoriques: forme, fabrication, utilisation*. Paris: Masson; 1986.

168.

Shea JJ. *Stone Tools in the Paleolithic and Neolithic Near East: A Guide* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139026314>

169.

Tixier, Jacques. *Typologie de l'épipaléolithique du Maghreb*. Mémoires du Centre de recherches anthropologiques, préhistoriques et ethnographiques. Paris: Arts et métiers graphiques; 1963.

170.

Tixier, Jacques. *Glossary for the description of stone tools: with special reference to the epipalaeolithic of the Maghreb*. Newsletter of lithic technology. Pullman, Wash: Washington State University; 1974.

171.

João Zilhão and Francesco d'Errico. The Chronology and Taphonomy of the Earliest Aurignacian and Its Implications for the Understanding of Neandertal Extinction. *Journal of*

World Prehistory [Internet]. 13(1):1–68. Available from:  
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/25801137>

172.

Jean-Paul Caspar and Marc De Bie. Preparing for the Hunt in the Late Paleolithic Camp at Rekem, Belgium. *Journal of Field Archaeology* [Internet]. 23(4):437–60. Available from:  
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/530547>

173.

The Late Upper Palaeolithic Lithic Collection from Gough's Cave, Cheddar, Somerset and Human Use of the cave. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society* [Internet]. 2004;70:1–92. Available from:  
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/proceedings-of-the-prehistoric-society/article/div-classtitlethe-late-upper-palaeolithic-lithic-collection-from-goughandaposs-cave-cheddar-somerset-and-human-use-of-the-cavediv/CD9C04B89EA52D20026A2E703CCE9EFB>

174.

Michael Jochim, Cynthia Herhahn and Harry Starr. The Magdalenian Colonization of Southern Germany. *American Anthropologist* [Internet]. 101(1):129–42. Available from:  
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/683346>

175.

Jochim, Michael A. *Hunter-gatherer subsistence and settlement: a predictive model*. Studies in archeology. New York: Academic Press; 1976.

176.

Karlin et al. C. Some socio-economic aspects of the knapping process among groups of hunter-gatherers in the Paris Basin area. In: *The use of tools by human and non-human primates*. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1993. p. 318–37.

177.

Finlay N. *Microliths and Multiple Authorship*. In: *Mesolithic on the move: papers presented at the Sixth International Conference on the Mesolithic in Europe, Stockholm 2000*. Oxford:

Oxbow; 2003. p. 169–76.

178.

Mellars, Paul. The early post glacial settlement of Northern Europe: an ecological perspective. New approaches in archaeology. London: Duckworth; 1978.

179.

T. Douglas Price. The Mesolithic of Western Europe. Journal of World Prehistory [Internet]. 1(3):225–305. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25800527>

180.

Reynier, Michael. Early Mesolithic Britain: origins, development and directions. BAR British series. Oxford: Archaeopress; 2005. doi:<https://doi.org/10.30861/9781841718477>

181.

Shea JJ. Stone Tools in the Paleolithic and Neolithic Near East: A Guide [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139026314>

182.

Addington, Lucile R. Lithic illustration: drawing flaked stone artifacts for publication. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1986.

183.

Adkins, Lesley, Adkins, Roy. Archaeological illustration. Cambridge manuals in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1989.

184.

Martingell, Hazel, Saville, Alan, Association of Archaeological Illustrators & Surveyors, Lithic Studies Society. The illustration of lithic artefacts [Internet]. LSS occasional paper.

Association of Archaeological Illustrators & Surveyors; 1988. Available from:  
<http://www.gag-cifa.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/12/flint-paper-digital.pdf>

185.

Bretzke K, Conard NJ. Evaluating morphological variability in lithic assemblages using 3D models of stone artifacts. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2012 Dec;39(12):3741–9. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2012.06.039

186.

Bretzke K, Conard NJ. Evaluating morphological variability in lithic assemblages using 3D models of stone artifacts. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2012 Dec;39(12):3741–9. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2012.06.039

187.

McPherron SP, Gernat T, Hublin JJ. Structured light scanning for high-resolution documentation of in situ archaeological finds. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2009 Jan;36(1):19–24. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2008.06.028

188.

Olson BR, Gordon JM, Runnels C, Chomyszak S. EXPERIMENTAL THREE-DIMENSIONAL PRINTING OF A LOWER PALAEOLITHIC HANDAXE: AN ASSESSMENT OF THE TECHNOLOGY AND ANALYTICAL VALUE. *Lithic Technology*. 2014 Nov;39(3):162–72. doi:10.1179/2051618514Y.0000000004

189.

Palaeolithic archaeology and 3D visualization technology: recent developments. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 2002;76(294):929–30. Available from:  
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/antiquity/article/div-classtitlepalaeolithic-archaeology-and-3d-visualization-technology-recent-developmentsdiv/5522BD23DDA89E33A648B8BFDD2F8B1A>

190.

Michael J. Shott and Brian W. Trail. EXPLORING NEW APPROACHES TO LITHIC ANALYSIS:

LASER SCANNING AND GEOMETRIC MORPHOMETRICS. Lithic Technology [Internet]. 2010;35(2):195–220. Available from: [http://www.jstor.org/stable/23273766?seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org/stable/23273766?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

191.

Whittaker JC. Flintknapping: making and understanding stone tools [Internet]. Austin: University of Texas Press; 1994. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/ucl/detail.action?docID=3571875>

192.

Brézillon MN, Centre national de la recherche scientifique (France). La dénomination des objets de pierre taillée: matériaux pour un vocabulaire des préhistoriens de langue française. IVe supplément à Gallia préhistoire. Paris: Éditions du Centre national de la Recherche scientifique; 1968.

193.

Inizan ML, Roche H, Tixier J, Reduron-Ballinger M. The technology of knapped stone: followed by a multilingual vocabulary arabic, english, french, german, greek, italian, russian, spanish [Internet]. Préhistoire de la pierre taillée. Meudon: CREP; 1992. Available from: <http://www.arkeotek.org/ebooks/TerminologyKnappedStone.pdf>

194.

Piel-Desruisseaux JL. Outils préhistoriques: forme, fabrication, utilisation. In: Outils préhistoriques: du galet taillé au bistouri d'obsidienne. 6e éd. Paris: Dunod; 2012.

195.

Newcomer M. 'Punch technique' and Upper Palaeolithic blades. In: Lithic technology: making and using stone tools. The Hague: Mouton; 1975. p. 97–102.

196.

Pitts MW. On the shape of waste flakes as an index of technological change in lithic industries. Journal of Archaeological Science. 1978 Mar;5(1):17–37. doi:10.1016/0305-4403(78)90016-X

197.

Owen L. Blade core reduction strategies: selected examples. *Early man news*. 1989;14:71–89.

198.

Pelegriin J. Sure une recherche experimentale des techniques de debitage laminaire. In: *Archéologie expérimentale: actes du Colloque international 'Expérimentation en archéologie, bilan et perspectives'*, tenu à l'Archéodrome de Beaune les 6, 7, 8 et 9 avril 1988. Paris: Editions Errance; 1991. p. 118–28.

199.

Speth JD. Experimental investigations of hard-hammer percussion flaking. *Tebiwa: journal of the Idaho State University Museum of Natural History*. 1974;17(1):7–35.

200.

Speth JD. Experimental investigations of hard-hammer percussion flaking. In: *Experimental archeology*. New York: Columbia University Press; 1977. p. 3–37.

201.

Tixier J. Le debitage par pression. In: *Préhistoire de la pierre taillée 2, Économie du débitage laminaire*. [Internet]. p. 57–70. Available from: [http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo\\_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=UCL\\_LMS\\_DS000241640&indx=1&reclds=UCL\\_LMS\\_DS000241640&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL\\_LMS\\_DS%29&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL\\_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl\(freeText0\)=prehistoire%20de%20la%20pierre&dstmp=1481882681824](http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=UCL_LMS_DS000241640&indx=1&reclds=UCL_LMS_DS000241640&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL_LMS_DS%29&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl(freeText0)=prehistoire%20de%20la%20pierre&dstmp=1481882681824)

202.

Tuohy DR. A comparison of pressure and percussion debitage from a Crabtree obsidian stoneworking demonstration. *Tebiwa: journal of the Idaho State University Museum of Natural History*. 1987;23:23–30.

203.

Gijn AL van. Flint in focus: lithic biographies in the Neolithic and Bronze Age [Internet]. Leiden: Sidestone Press; 2010. Available from: <https://www.sidestone.com/books/flint-in-focus>

204.

Budziszewski J. Flint economy in Chalcolithic societies of East-Central Europe. In: Stone age - mining age. Bochum: Deutsches Bergbau-Museum; 2006. p. 315–28.

205.

Gatsov I. The latest results from the technological and typological analysis of chipped stone assemblages from Ilipinar, Pendik, Fikir tele and Mentés. Documenta praehistorica: Poročilo o raziskovanju paleolitika, neolitika in eneolitika v Sloveniji [Internet]. 2003;30:53–60. Available from: <http://revije.ff.uni-lj.si/DocumentaPraehistorica/article/view/30.9/1908>

206.

Gatsov I. Chipped stone assemblages from South Bulgaria and North-west Turkey. Epipalaeolithic, Mesolithic and Neolithic. In: Technology, style and society: contributions to the innovations between the Alps and the Black Sea in prehistory. Oxford: Archaeopress; 2000. p. 1–28.

207.

Gatsov I, Gurova M. Some remarks on the chipped stone industry of the earliest Neolithic cultures in Bulgaria. In: Problemy epoki kamienia na obszarze starego świata =: Problems of the stone age in the old world. Kraków: Uniwersytet Jagiellon

ski, Instytut Archeologii; 2001. p. 249–64.

208.

Kozłowski JK. The lithic industry of the Eastern Linear Pottery culture in Slovakia. Slovenská archeológia =: Slovak archeology. 1989;37(2):259–93.

209.

Kozłowski JK, Kozłowski SK. Chipped stone industries from Lepenski Vir, Yugoslavia. *Preistoria alpina : rivista annuale della Sezione di Paletnologia, Museo Tridentino di Scienze Natural.* 1984;19:259–93.

210.

Kozłowski JK, Kaczanowska M. Chipped stone industry of the Vinca Culture. In: *Vinča and its world: international symposium : The Danubian region from 6000 to 3000 B.C. :* Belgrade, Smederevska Palanka, October 1988. Beograd: Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts; 1990. p. 1–136.

211.

Manolakis L. Les industries lithiques énéolithiques de Bulgarie. *Internationale Archäologie.* Rahden/Westf: Marie Leidorf; 2005.

212.

Mateicuicova I. Mesolithic traditions and the origins of the Linear Pottery culture (LBK). In: *LBK dialogues: studies in the formation of the linear pottery culture.* Oxford: Archaeopress; 2004. p. 91–107.

213.

Starnini E. Typological and technological analysis of the Koros culture stone assemblages of Mehtelek Nadas (North East Hungary), a preliminary report. In: *Nyíregyházi Jósza András Múzeum Evkonyve : Jahrbuch des Jósza András Museums von Nyíregyhá.* p. 101–10.

214.

Allard P, Burnez-Lanotte L. Surplus production in the Belgian Linearbandkeramik: blade debitage at Verlaine 'Petit Paradis' (Verlaine, Hesbaye, Belgium). In: *Stone age - mining age.* Bochum: Deutsches Bergbau-Museum; 2006. p. 37–54.

215.

Burnez-Lanotte L, International Congress of Prehistoric and Protohistoric Sciences. Production and management of lithic materials in the European Linearbandkeramik: Gestion des matériaux lithiques dans la Rubané européen : acts of the XIVth UISPP Congress, University of Liège, Belgium, 2-8 September 2001. BAR international series. Oxford: Archaeopress; 2003. doi:<https://doi.org/10.30861/9781841715650>

216.

Cahen D. Technologie de la débitage laminaire. In: Les Fouilles de la place Saint-Lambert à Liège / travaux réalisés par le Centre interdisciplinaire de recherches archéologiques de l'Université de Liège ; sous la direction de Marcel Otte ; avec la collaboration de Franz Camps ... [et al.]. [Internet]. p. 171-98. Available from: [http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo\\_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=UCL\\_LMS\\_DS000434777&indx=1&reclds=UCL\\_LMS\\_DS000434777&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL\\_LMS\\_DS%29&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL\\_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl\(freeText0\)=fouilles%20de%20la%20place%20saint-lsmbert%20a%20liege&dstmp=1481885583590](http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=UCL_LMS_DS000434777&indx=1&reclds=UCL_LMS_DS000434777&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL_LMS_DS%29&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl(freeText0)=fouilles%20de%20la%20place%20saint-lsmbert%20a%20liege&dstmp=1481885583590)

217.

Caspar JP. Chipped stone industries of the linearband pottery culture (LBP): techniques, morphology and function of the implemenets in Belgian and Polish assemblages. *Helinium* : revue consacré à l'archéologie des Pays-Bas, de la Belgique et du Grand-Duché de Lux. 1989;39(2):157-205.

218.

De Grooth M. The organisation of flint tool manufacture in the Dutch Neolithic. *Analecta praehistorica Leidensia* [Internet]. 1987;20:27-51. Available from: <https://openaccess.leidenuniv.nl/handle/1887/27950>

219.

De Grooth M. In search of Bandkeramik specialist flintknappers. In: Rubane & Cardial: actes du colloque de Liège, novembre 1988. Liège: Université de Liège; 1990. p. 89-93.

220.

De Grooth M. Technological and socio-economic aspects of Bandkeramik flint-working. In: The big puzzle: International Symposium on Refitting Stone Artefacts, Monrepos, 1987. Bonn: Holos; 1990. p. 197–210.

221.

Rijksuniversiteit te Leiden. Instituut voor Prehistorie. Flint: procurement and distribution strategies: technological aspects. In: van der Velde P, editor. *Analecta praehistorica Leidensia* [Internet]. Leiden: Leiden University Press; 2007. Available from: <https://openaccess.leidenuniv.nl/handle/1887/33079>

222.

Gronenborn D. Mesolithic-Neolithic interactions. The lithic industries of the earliest bandceramic site at Friedberg-Bruchenbrücken, Wetteraukreis (West Germany). In: Contributions to the Mesolithic in Europe: papers presented at the Fourth International Symposium, The Mesolithic in Europe, Leuven, 1990. Leuven, Belgium: Leuven University Press; 1990. p. 173–82.

223.

Kaczanowska M, Lech J. The flint industry of Danubian communities north of the Carpathians. *Acta archaeologica Carpathica*. 1977;17:5–28.

224.

Pavlu I, Rulf J. Stone industry from the Neolithic site of Bylany. *Pamatky archeologicke*. 2 AD;1991(82):277–365.

225.

Zimmermann A. Some aspects of the formation of flint assemblages. In: Chipped stone industries of the early farming cultures in Europe. Wyd. 1. [Warszawa]: Wydawn. Uniwersytetu Warszawskiego; 1987. p. 187–201.

226.

Pelegriin J. La production des grandes lames de silex du Grand Pressigny. In: *Matériaux, productions, circulations du néolithique à l'Âge du bronze / sous la direction de Jean*

Guilaine. [Internet]. p. 131–50. Available from:  
[http://srhap.naturalsciences.be/pdf/ap-115/Anthropologica\\_et\\_Praehistorica\\_115\\_123-138.pdf](http://srhap.naturalsciences.be/pdf/ap-115/Anthropologica_et_Praehistorica_115_123-138.pdf)

227.

In search of Hindsgavl: experiments in the production of Neolithic Danish flint daggers. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 1998;72(276):338–49. Available from:  
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/antiquity/article/div-classtitlein-search-of-hindsgavl-experiments-in-the-production-of-neolithic-danish-flint-daggersdiv/2C380DBBB87B88201F7D9C7631B483FE>

228.

van Gijn AL. The cultural biography of the Scandinavian daggers in the northern Netherlands. In: Frieman C, Eriksen BV, editors. *Flint daggers in prehistoric Europe*. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2015. p. 76–82.

229.

Butler C. *Prehistoric flintwork*. Stroud: Tempus; 2005.

230.

Green HS. *The flint arrowheads of the British Isles: a detailed study of material from England and Wales with comparanda from Scotland and Ireland*. BAR British series. Oxford: BAR; 1980.

231.

Lech J, Longworth I. The Grimes Graves flint mine site in the light of two late Neolithic workshop assemblages: a second approach. In: *Stone age - mining age*. Bochum: Deutsches Bergbau-Museum; 2006. p. 413–22.

232.

Mercer RJ, Saville A. *Grimes Graves, Norfolk: excavations 1971-72, Vol.2: The flint assemblage*. Department of the Environment archaeological reports. London: H.M.S.O.; 1981.

233.

Bettinger RL. Holocene hunter-gatherers. In: *Archaeology at the millennium: a sourcebook*. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; 2001. p. 137–95.

234.

Close AE. Backed bladelets are a foreign country. In: *Thinking small: global perspectives on microlithization*. Arlington, Va: American Anthropological Assn; 2002. p. 31–44.

235.

Kelterborn P. Towards replicating Egyptian predynastic flint knives. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1984 Nov;11(6):433–53. doi:10.1016/0305-4403(84)90023-2

236.

Rosen SA. Lithic industries during the Holocene period. In: *A companion to the archaeology of the ancient Near East* [Internet]. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell; 2012. p. 236–60. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary-wiley-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/doi/book/10.1002/9781444360790>

237.

Shea JJ. Lithic Modes A–I: A New Framework for Describing Global-Scale Variation in Stone Tool Technology Illustrated with Evidence from the East Mediterranean Levant. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory*. 2013 Mar;20(1):151–86. doi:10.1007/s10816-012-9128-5

238.

Shea JJ. *Stone Tools in the Paleolithic and Neolithic Near East: A Guide* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139026314>

239.

Shirai N. The archaeology of the first farmer-herders in Egypt: new insights into the Fayum Epipalaeolithic and Neolithic [Internet]. Archaeological studies Leiden University. [Leiden]: Leiden University Press; 2010. Available from:  
<https://openaccess.leidenuniv.nl/handle/1887/21366>

240.

Shirai N. A missing chapter of 'The Desert Fayum': Fayum lithic artefact collection in the Allard Pierson Museum, Amsterdam. Archéo-nil Lettre d'information / Société pour l'étude des cultures prépharaoniques de la vallée du [Internet]. 2011;21:115-46. Available from:  
[https://www.persee.fr/doc/arnil\\_1161-0492\\_2011\\_num\\_21\\_1\\_1032](https://www.persee.fr/doc/arnil_1161-0492_2011_num_21_1_1032)

241.

The Desert Fayum at 80: revisiting a Neolithic farming community in Egypt. Antiquity [Internet]. 2016;90(353):1181-95. Available from:  
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/antiquity/article/div-classtitlespan-classitalicthe-desert-fayumspan-at-80-revisiting-a-neolithic-farming-community-in-egyptdiv/B19CE2EC3A4E35B170CA45A8FF146769>

242.

Roubet C. Methods of analysis of grinding implements. In: The prehistory of Wadi Kubbaniya. Dallas, Tex: Southern Methodist University Press; 1986. p. 470-2.

243.

Roubet C. Report on Site E-82-1. In: The prehistory of Wadi Kubbaniya. Dallas, Tex: Southern Methodist University Press; 1986. p. 610-588.

244.

Katherine WRIGHT. A CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM FOR GROUND STONE TOOLS FROM THE PREHISTORIC LEVANT. Paléorient [Internet]. 1992;18(2):53-81. Available from:  
[http://www.jstor.org/stable/41492491?seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org/stable/41492491?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

245.

Jenny L. Adams. Use-Wear Analyses on Manos and Hide-Processing Stones. Journal of Field

Archaeology [Internet]. 15(3):307–15. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/530311>

246.

Bellina B. Beads, social change and interaction between India and South-east Asia. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 2003;77(296):285–97. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/217571452?accountid=14511>

247.

Sugiyama Y, Koman J. Tool-using and -making behavior in wild chimpanzees at Bossou, Guinea. *Primates*. 1979 Oct;20(4):513–24. doi:10.1007/BF02373433

248.

Mitekufat Haeven et al. M. Rolling stones: basalt implements as evidence for trade/exchange in the Levantine Epipalaeolithic. *Mitekufat haeven: journal of the Israel Prehistoric Society*. 2001;31:25–42.

249.

The Social Origins of Cooking and Dining in Early Villages of Western Asia. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society* [Internet]. 2000;66:89–121. Available from: <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/proceedings-of-the-prehistoric-society/article/div-classtitlethe-social-origins-of-cooking-and-dining-in-early-villages-of-western-asiadiv/764F4D5C23C8BB5EBADD640E1B2B4AE7>

250.

Wright K. Dhuweila: Ground stone. In: *The Harra and the Hamad: excavations and surveys in Eastern Jordan*. Sheffield: Sheffield Academic; 1998. p. 121–34.

251.

Katherine I. Wright. Ground-Stone Tools and Hunter-Gatherer Subsistence in Southwest Asia: Implications for the Transition to Farming. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 59(2):238–63. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/281929>

252.

Wright KI. Early Holocene Ground Stone Assemblages in the Levant. *Levant*. 1993 Jan;25(1):93–111. doi:10.1179/lev.1993.25.1.93

253.

Katherine WRIGHT. A CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM FOR GROUND STONE TOOLS FROM THE PREHISTORIC LEVANT. *Paléorient* [Internet]. 18(2):53–81. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41492491>

254.

Wright K, Garrard A. Social identities and the expansion of stone bead-making in Neolithic Western Asia: new evidence from Jordan. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 2003;77(296):267–84. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/217566542?accountid=14511>

255.

Cziesla E. On refitting stone artefacts. In: *The big puzzle: International Symposium on Refitting Stone Artefacts, Monrepos, 1987*. Bonn: Holos; 1990. p. 9–44.

256.

Cziesla E, International Symposium on Refitting Stone Artefacts. *The big puzzle: International Symposium on Refitting Stone Artefacts, Monrepos, 1987*. Studies in modern archaeology. Bonn: Holos; 1990.

257.

Hofman JL. Putting the pieces together: an introduction to refitting. In: *Piecing together the past: applications of refitting studies in archaeology*. Bar; 1992. p. 1–20.

258.

Larson ML, Ingbar EE. Perspectives on refitting: critique and a complementary approach. In: *Piecing together the past: applications of refitting studies in archaeology*. Bar; 1992. p.

151-62. doi:<https://doi.org/10.30861/9780860547334>

259.

Schurmans U, De Bie M. Fitting rocks: lithic refitting examined [Internet]. BAR international series. Oxford: Archaeopress; 2007. Available from: <https://www.fulcrum.org/concern/monographs/1j92g9025>

260.

Bodu P, et al. Who's who? The Magdalenian flintknappers of Pincevent (France). In: The big puzzle: International Symposium on Refitting Stone Artefacts, Monrepos, 1987. Bonn: Holos; 1990. p. 143-63.

261.

François Bordes and J. Briard. Actualité scientifique. Question de contemporanéité: l'illusion des remontages. Bulletin de la Société préhistorique française [Internet]. 1980;(5):132-5. Available from: [http://www.jstor.org/stable/27918441?seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org/stable/27918441?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

262.

D. Cahen, F. Bordes, Henri Laville, Claude Masset and Emmanuel Vigneron. Actualité scientifique. Savez-vous remonter les cailloux à la mode de chez nous. Bulletin de la Société préhistorique française [Internet]. 1980;(8):232-4. Available from: [http://www.jstor.org/stable/27918463?seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org/stable/27918463?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

263.

D. Cahen, F. Bordes, Henri Laville, Claude Masset and Emmanuel Vigneron. Actualité scientifique. Question de contemporanéité: l'apport des remontages. Bulletin de la Société préhistorique française [Internet]. 1980;(8):230-2. Available from: [http://www.jstor.org/stable/27918463?seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org/stable/27918463?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

264.

Cahen D. Refitting stone artefacts: why bother? In: The human uses of flint and chert: proceedings of the Fourth International Flint Symposium held at Brighton Polytechnic, 10-15 April 1983. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1987. p. 1-9.

265.

D. Cahen, L. H. Keeley, F. L. Van Noten, Jeffery A. Behm, Colin I. Busby, Robert C. Dunnell, Brian Hayden, L. Lewis Johnson, Paul Katz, G. C. Mohapatra, Hallam L. Movius, Jr., Karl J. Narr, Mark Newcomer, Raymond R. Newell, George H. Odell, Milla Y. Ohel, K. Paddayya, R. Pittioni, Derek A. Roe, John Tomenchuk and Thomas G. Wynn. Stone Tools, Toolkits, and Human Behavior in Prehistory [and Comments and Reply]. *Current Anthropology* [Internet]. 1979;20(4):661–83. Available from: [http://www.jstor.org/stable/2741679?seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org/stable/2741679?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

266.

Cooper JR, Qiu F. Expediting and standardizing stone artifact refitting using a computerized suitability model. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2006 Jul;33(7):987–98. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2005.11.005

267.

De Bie M. Benefiting from refitting intra-site analysis. Lessons from Rekem (Belgium). In: *Fitting rocks: lithic refitting examined* [Internet]. Oxford: Archaeopress; 2007. p. 31–44. Available from: <https://www.fulcrum.org/concern/monographs/1j92g9025>

268.

De Loecker DI, et al. A refitter's paradise: on the conjoining of artefacts at Maastricht-Belvedere (The Netherlands). In: *Lithic analysis at the Millennium*. London: Institute of Archaeology, University College London; 2003. p. 113–36.

269.

DELAGNES A, ROCHE H. Late Pliocene hominid knapping skills: The case of Lokalalei 2C, West Turkana, Kenya. *Journal of Human Evolution*. 2005 May;48(5):435–72. doi:10.1016/j.jhevol.2004.12.005

270.

Gilead I, Fabian P. Conjoinable artefacts from the Middle Palaeolithic open air site Fara II, Northern Negev, Israel: a preliminary report. In: *The big puzzle: International Symposium on Refitting Stone Artefacts, Monrepos, 1987*. Bonn: Holos; 1990. p. 101–12.

271.

Hofman J. Putting the pieces together: an introduction to refitting. In: Piecing together the past: applications of refitting studies in archaeology. Bar; 1992. p. 1–20.

272.

Laughlin JP, Kelly RL. Experimental analysis of the practical limits of lithic refitting. Journal of Archaeological Science. 2010 Feb;37(2):427–33. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2009.10.007

273.

Petraglia MD. Stone artifact refitting and formation processes at the Abri Dufaure, an Upper Palaeolithic site in southwest France. In: Piecing together the past: applications of refitting studies in archaeology. Bar; 1992. p. 163–78.  
doi:<https://doi.org/10.30861/9780860547334>

274.

Understanding Levallois: Lithic Technology and Cognitive Archaeology. Cambridge Archaeological Journal [Internet]. 1996;6(2):231–54. Available from:  
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/cambridge-archaeological-journal/article/div-class-titleunderstanding-levallois-lithic-technology-and-cognitive-archaeologydiv/607650D8257922747A273F138F07BBB6>

275.

Schurmans U. Refitting in the Old and New Worlds. In: Fitting rocks: lithic refitting examined [Internet]. Oxford: Archaeopress; 2007. p. 7–23. Available from:  
<https://www.fulcrum.org/concern/monographs/1j92g9025>

276.

de la Torre I, et al. When bones are not enough: lithic refits and occupation dynamics in the Middle Palaeolithic Level 10 of Roca dels Bous (Catalonia, Spain). In: Bones for tools - tools for bones: the interplay between objects and objectives. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research; 2012. p. 13–23.

277.

Turq A, Roebroeks W, Bourguignon L, Faivre JP. The fragmented character of Middle Palaeolithic stone tool technology. *Journal of Human Evolution*. 2013 Nov;65(5):641–55. doi:10.1016/j.jhevol.2013.07.014

278.

van Peer Ph. Refitting of lithic reduction sequences, formal classification systems and Middle Palaeolithic individuals at work. In: *Fitting rocks: lithic refitting examined* [Internet]. Oxford: Archaeopress; 2007. p. 91–103. Available from: <https://www.fulcrum.org/concern/monographs/1j92g9025>

279.

Weiner D. 'The refitters failure'. Some criteria concerning the duration of settlement through refitting. In: *The big puzzle: International Symposium on Refitting Stone Artefacts*, Monrepos, 1987. Bonn: Holos; 1990. p. 477–92.

280.

Richard W. Yerkes and P. Nick Kardulias. Recent Developments in the Analysis of Lithic Artifacts. *Journal of Archaeological Research* [Internet]. 1993;1(2):89–119. Available from: [http://www.jstor.org/stable/41053071?seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org/stable/41053071?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

281.

Odell GH. Tool function: chapter 5. In: *Lithic analysis*. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; 2004. p. 135–74.

282.

Fullagar R. Residues and use-wear. In: *Archaeology in practice: a student guide to archaeological analyses*. Malden, MA: Blackwell; 2006. p. 207–34.

283.

Piperno DR, Weiss E, Holst I, Nadel D. Processing of wild cereal grains in the Upper Palaeolithic revealed by starch grain analysis. *Nature*. 2004 Aug 5;430(7000):670–3.

doi:10.1038/nature02734

284.

Ruth Tringham, Glenn Cooper, George Odell, Barbara Voytek and Anne Whitman. Experimentation in the Formation of Edge Damage: A New Approach to Lithic Analysis. *Journal of Field Archaeology* [Internet]. 1974;1(1):171–96. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/529712>

285.

Van Gijn AL. Science and interpretation in microwear studies. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2014 Aug;48:166–9. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2013.10.024

286.

Gijn AL van. The biography of flint tools: methods of study. In: *Flint in focus: lithic biographies in the Neolithic and Bronze Age* [Internet]. Leiden: Sidestone Press; 2010. p. 11–34. Available from: <https://www.sidestone.com/books/flint-in-focus>

287.

Adams JL. Use-Wear Analyses on Manos and Hide-Processing Stones. *Journal of Field Archaeology*. 1988 Autumn;15(3). doi:10.2307/530311

288.

Adams J, et al. Functional analysis of macro-lithic artefacts: a focus on working surfaces. In: *Non-flint raw material use in prehistory: old prejudices and new directions = L'utilisation préhistorique de matières premières lithiques alternatives : anciens préjugés, nouvelles perspectives*. Oxford: Archaeopress; 2009. p. 43–66.

289.

Borel A, Ollé A, Vergès JM, Sala R. Scanning Electron and Optical Light Microscopy: two complementary approaches for the understanding and interpretation of usewear and residues on stone tools. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2014 Aug;48:46–59. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2013.06.031

290.

Burroni D, Donahue RE, Pollard AM, Mussi M. The Surface Alteration Features of Flint Artefacts as a Record of Environmental Processes. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2002 Nov;29(11):1277-87. doi:10.1006/jasc.2001.0771

291.

BYRNE L, OLLÉ A, VERGÈS JM. UNDER THE HAMMER: RESIDUES RESULTING FROM PRODUCTION AND MICROWEAR ON EXPERIMENTAL STONE TOOLS. *Archaeometry*. 2006 Nov;48(4):549-64. doi:10.1111/j.1475-4754.2006.00272.x

292.

Caspar JP, Bie MD. Preparing for the Hunt in the Late Paleolithic Camp at Rekem, Belgium. *Journal of Field Archaeology*. 1996 Winter;23(4). doi:10.2307/530547

293.

Craig OE, Collins MJ. The Removal of Protein from Mineral Surfaces: Implications for Residue Analysis of Archaeological Materials. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2002 Oct;29(10):1077-82. doi:10.1006/jasc.2001.0757

294.

T. P. Denham, S. G. Haberle, C. Lentfer, R. Fullagar, J. Field, M. Therin, N. Porch and B. Winsborough. Origins of Agriculture at Kuk Swamp in the Highlands of New Guinea. *Science* [Internet]. 2003;301(5630):189-93. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3834782>

295.

Dockall JE. Wear Traces and Projectile Impact: A Review of the Experimental and Archaeological Evidence. *Journal of Field Archaeology*. 1997 Autumn;24(3). doi:10.2307/530688

296.

Donahue RE, Burrone DB. Lithic microwear analysis and the formation of archaeological assemblages. In: *Lithics in action: papers from the conference 'Lithic Studies in the Year 2000'*. Oxford: Oxbow; 2004. p. 140–8.

297.

Dubreuil L. Long-term trends in Natufian subsistence: a use-wear analysis of ground stone tools. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2004 Nov;31(11):1613–29.  
doi:10.1016/j.jas.2004.04.003

298.

Evans AA, Donahue RE. Laser scanning confocal microscopy: a potential technique for the study of lithic microwear. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2008 Aug;35(8):2223–30.  
doi:10.1016/j.jas.2008.02.006

299.

Evans AA, Macdonald D. Using metrology in early prehistoric stone tool research: further work and a brief instrument comparison. *Scanning*. 2011 Sep;33(5):294–303.  
doi:10.1002/sca.20272

300.

Faulks NR, Kimball LR, Hidjrati N, Coffey TS. Atomic force microscopy of microwear traces on Mousterian tools from Myshtylagty Lagat (Weasel Cave), Russia. *Scanning*. 2011 Sep;33(5):304–15. doi:10.1002/sca.20273

301.

Fiedel S. Blood from Stones? Some Methodological and Interpretive Problems in Blood Residue Analysis. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1996 Jan;23(1):139–47.  
doi:10.1006/jasc.1996.0011

302.

Grace R. The limitations and applications of use-wear analysis. In: *The interpretative possibilities of microwear studies: proceedings of the International Conference on Lithic Use-wear Analysis, 15th-17th February 1989 in Uppsala, Sweden*. Uppsala: Societas

Archaeologica Upsaliensis; 1990. p. 9–14.

303.

Hamon C. Functional analysis of stone grinding and polishing tools from the earliest Neolithic of north-western Europe. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2008 Jun;35(6):1502–20. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2007.10.017

304.

Hardy BL, Garufi GT. Identification of Woodworking on Stone Tools through Residue and Use-Wear Analyses: Experimental Results. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1998 Feb;25(2):177–84. doi:10.1006/jasc.1997.0234

305.

Hardy BL, Raff RA, Raman V. Recovery of Mammalian DNA from Middle Paleolithic Stone Tools. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1997 Jul;24(7):601–11. doi:10.1006/jasc.1996.0144

306.

Ibáñez Estévez, Juan José, González Urquijo, Jesús Emilio. From tool use to site function: use-wear analysis on some final Upper Palaeolithic sites in the Basque country. BAR international series. Oxford: Tempus Reparatum; 1996. doi:https://doi.org/10.30861/9780860548478

307.

Ibanez JJ, Gonzalez JE. Use-wear in the 1990s in western Europe: potential and limitations of a method. In: *Lithic analysis at the Millennium*. London: Institute of Archaeology, University College London; 2003. p. 163–72.

308.

Jahren AH, Toth N, Schick K, Clark JD, Amundson RG. Determining Stone Tool Use: Chemical and Morphological Analyses of Residues on Experimentally Manufactured Stone Tools. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1997 Mar;24(3):245–50. doi:10.1006/jasc.1996.0107

309.

Helle Juel Jensen. Functional Analysis of Prehistoric Flint Tools by High-Power Microscopy: A Review of West European Research. *Journal of World Prehistory* [Internet]. 2(1):53-88. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25800536>

310.

Juel Jensen, Helle. Flint tools and plant working: hidden traces of Stone Age technology. Aarhus: Aarhus University Press; 1994.

311.

Kealhofer L, Torrence R, Fullagar R. Integrating Phytoliths within Use-Wear/Residue Studies of Stone Tools. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1999 May;26(5):527-46. doi:10.1006/jasc.1998.0332

312.

Keeley, Lawrence H. Experimental determination of stone tool uses: a microwear analysis. *Prehistoric archeology and ecology*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1980.

313.

Keeley LH, Toth N. Microwear polishes on early stone tools from Koobi Fora, Kenya. *Nature*. 1981 Oct 8;293(5832):464-5. doi:10.1038/293464a0

314.

Keeley LH, Newcomer MH. Microwear analysis of experimental flint tools: a test case. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1977 Mar;4(1):29-62. doi:10.1016/0305-4403(77)90111-X

315.

Kooyman B, Newman ME, Ceri H. Verifying the reliability of blood residue analysis on archaeological tools. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1992 May;19(3):265-9.

doi:10.1016/0305-4403(92)90016-V

316.

Lemorini C, Stiner MC, Gopher A, Shimelmitz R, Barkai R. Use-wear analysis of an Amudian laminar assemblage from the Acheuleo-Yabrudian of Qesem Cave, Israel. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2006 Jul;33(7):921–34. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2005.10.019

317.

Liu L, Field J, Fullagar R, Zhao C, Chen X, Yu J. A functional analysis of grinding stones from an early holocene site at Donghulin, North China. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2010 Oct;37(10):2630–9. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2010.05.023

318.

Lombard M. Finding resolution for the Howiesons Poort through the microscope: micro-residue analysis of segments from Sibudu Cave, South Africa. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2008 Jan;35(1):26–41. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2007.02.021

319.

Lombard M, Wadley L. The morphological identification of micro-residues on stone tools using light microscopy: progress and difficulties based on blind tests. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2007 Jan;34(1):155–65. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2006.04.008

320.

Thomas H. Loy and E. James Dixon. Blood Residues on Fluted Points from Eastern Beringia. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 1998;63(1):21–46. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2694774>

321.

Moss EH. Some comments on edge damage as a factor in functional analysis of stone artifacts. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1983 May;10(3):231–42. doi:10.1016/0305-4403(83)90006-7

322.

Newcomer M, Grace R, Unger-Hamilton R. Investigating microwear polishes with blind tests. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1986 May;13(3):203-17.  
doi:10.1016/0305-4403(86)90059-2

323.

Ollé A, Vergès JM. The use of sequential experiments and SEM in documenting stone tool microwear. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2014 Aug;48:60-72.  
doi:10.1016/j.jas.2013.10.028

324.

Owen LR. Material worked by hunter and gatherer groups of northern North America: implications for use-wear analysis. In: *Traces et fonction: les gestes retrouvés*. Liège: University of Liège; 1993. p. 3-14.

325.

Owen LR. Lithic functional analysis as a means of studying gender and material culture in prehistory. In: *Gender and material culture in archaeological perspective*. Basingstoke: Macmillan; 2000. p. 185-205.

326.

Prinsloo LC, Wadley L, Lombard M. Infrared reflectance spectroscopy as an analytical technique for the study of residues on stone tools: potential and challenges. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2014 Jan;41:732-9. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2013.10.011

327.

Perry L, Sandweiss DH, Piperno DR, Rademaker K, Malpass MA, Umire A, et al. Early maize agriculture and interzonal interaction in southern Peru. *Nature*. 2006 Mar 2;440(7080):76-9. doi:10.1038/nature04294

328.

Piperno, Dolores R. *Phytoliths: a comprehensive guide for archaeologists and*

paleoecologists. Lanham, Md: AltaMira Press; 2006.

329.

Piperno DR, Holst I. The Presence of Starch Grains on Prehistoric Stone Tools from the Humid Neotropics: Indications of Early Tuber Use and Agriculture in Panama. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1998 Aug;25(8):765–76. doi:10.1006/jasc.1997.0258

330.

Piperno DR, Ranere AJ, Holst I, Hansell P. Starch grains reveal early root crop horticulture in the Panamanian tropical forest. *Nature*. 2000 Oct 19;407(6806):894–7. doi:10.1038/35038055

331.

Revedin A, Aranguren B, Becattini R, Longo L, Marconi E, Lippi MM, et al. Thirty thousand-year-old evidence of plant food processing. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2010 Nov 2;107(44):18815–9. doi:10.1073/pnas.1006993107

332.

Sajnerova-Duskova A, Fridrichova-Sykorova FJ. Pitted and grinding stones from Middle Palaeolithic settlements in Bohemia: a functional study. In: *Non-flint raw material use in prehistory: old prejudices and new directions = L'utilisation préhistorique de matières premières lithiques alternatives : anciens préjugés, nouvelles perspectives* [Internet]. Oxford: Archaeopress; 2009. p. 145–51. Available from: [https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package\\_service\\_id=14758820570004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true](https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=14758820570004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true)

333.

Levi Sala I. Use wear and post-depositional surface modification: A word of caution. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1986 May;13(3):229–44. doi:10.1016/0305-4403(86)90061-0

334.

Levi Sala, Irene. A study of microscopic polish on flint implements [Internet]. BAR international series. Oxford, England: Tempus Reparatum; 1996. Available from: <https://www-fulcrum-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/concern/monographs/cz30pv210>

335.

Delwen Samuel. Investigation of Ancient Egyptian Baking and Brewing Methods by Correlative Microscopy. Science [Internet]. 1996;273(5274):488–90. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/2890514?Search=yes&searchText=Investigation&searchText=of&searchText=ancient&searchText=Egyptian&searchText=baking&searchText=and&searchText=brewing&searchText=methods&searchText=by&searchText=correlative&searchText=microscopy&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3DInvestigation%2Bof%2Bancient%2BEgyptian%2Bbaking%2Band%2Bbrewing%2Bmethods%2Bby%2Bcorrelative%2Bmicroscopy%26amp%3BSearch%3DSearch%26amp%3Bwc%3Don%26amp%3Bfc%3Doff%26amp%3BglobalSearch%3D%26amp%3BsbBox%3D%26amp%3BsbjBox%3D%26amp%3BsbpBox%3D>

336.

Semenov, S. A. Prehistoric technology: an experimental study of the oldest tools and artefacts [sic] from traces of manufacture and wear. Bath, [Avon]: Adams & Dart; 1973.

337.

Shea JJ, Klenck JD. An Experimental Investigation of the Effects of Trampling on the Results of Lithic Microwear Analysis. Journal of Archaeological Science. 1993 Mar;20(2):175–94. doi:10.1006/jasc.1993.1013

338.

Kristin D. Sobolik. Lithic Organic Residue Analysis: An Example from the Southwestern Archaic. Journal of Field Archaeology [Internet]. 1996;23(4):461–9. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/530548>

339.

Stemp WJ, Lerner HJ, Kristant EH. Quantifying Microwear on Experimental Mistassini Quartzite Scrapers: Preliminary Results of Exploratory Research Using LSCM and Scale-Sensitive Fractal Analysis. Scanning. 2013 Jan;35(1):28–39. doi:10.1002/sca.21032

340.

Stevens NE, Harro DR, Hicklin A. Practical quantitative lithic use-wear analysis using multiple classifiers. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2010 Oct;37(10):2671–8. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2010.06.004

341.

Torrence, Robin, Barton, Huw. *Ancient starch research*. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2006.

342.

Unger-Hamilton, Romana. *Method in microwear analysis: prehistoric sickles and other stone tools from Arjoune, Syria*. BAR international series. Oxford: British Archaeological Reports; 1988. doi:<https://doi.org/10.30861/9780860545613>

343.

Van Gijn A. Craft activities in the Dutch Neolithic: a lithic viewpoint. In: *Understanding the Neolithic of north-western Europe*. Glasgow: Cruithne Press; 1998. p. 328–50.

344.

Vaughan, Patrick C. *Use-wear analysis of flaked stone tools*. Tucson, Ariz: University of Arizona Press; 1985.

345.

Wadley L, Lombard M. Small things in perspective: the contribution of our blind tests to micro-residue studies on archaeological stone tools. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2007 Jun;34(6):1001–10. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2006.09.016

346.

Wadley L, Lombard M, Williamson B. The first residue analysis blind tests: results and lessons learnt. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2004 Nov;31(11):1491–501.

doi:10.1016/j.jas.2004.03.010

347.

Callahan E. What is experimental archaeology. In: Primitive technology: a book of earth skills. Salt Lake City, Utah: Gibbs Smith Publisher; 1999. p. 4–6.

348.

Ferguson, Jeffrey R. Designing experimental research in archaeology: examining technology through production and use [Internet]. Boulder, Colo: University Press of Colorado; 2010. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt46nv4k>

349.

Outram AK. Introduction to experimental archaeology. World Archaeology. 2008 Mar;40(1):1–6. doi:10.1080/00438240801889456

350.

Paardekooper RP. Experimental archaeology. In: Encyclopedia of archaeology [Internet]. [Amsterdam?]: ScienceDirect; 2008. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/referenceworks/9780123739629>

351.

Reynolds P. The nature of experiment in archaeology. In: Experiment and design: archaeological studies in honour of John Coles. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 1999. p. 156–62.

352.

Seetah K. Modern analogy, cultural theory and experimental replication: a merging point at the cutting edge of archaeology. World Archaeology. 2008 Mar;40(1):135–50. doi:10.1080/00438240701843652

353.

Shimada I. Experimental archaeology. In: Handbook of archaeological methods. Lanham, Md: Altamira Press; 2005. p. 603–42.

354.

Tichy R. Presentation of archaeology and archaeological experiment. EuroREA: reconstruction and experimentation in archaeology [Internet]. 2005;2:113–9. Available from: <http://exarc.net/eurorea-2-2005/ea/presentation-archaeology-and-archaeological-experiment>

355.

Adams JL. Ground stone use-wear analysis: a review of terminology and experimental methods. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2014 Aug;48:129–38. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2013.01.030

356.

Amick DS, Mauldin RP. The potential of experiments in lithic technology. In: *Experiments in lithic technology*. Oxford, England: B.A.R; 1989. p. 1–14. doi:<https://doi.org/10.30861/9780860546726>

357.

de la Torre I, Benito-Calvo A, Arroyo A, Zupancich A, Proffitt T. Experimental protocols for the study of battered stone anvils from Olduvai Gorge (Tanzania). *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2013 Jan;40(1):313–32. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2012.08.007

358.

Dibble HL, Pelcin A. The Effect of Hammer Mass and Velocity on Flake Mass. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1995 May;22(3):429–39. doi:10.1006/jasc.1995.0042

359.

L. Lewis Johnson, Jeffery A. Behm, François Bordes, Daniel Cahen, Don E. Crabtree, Dena F. Dincauze, Conran A. Hay, Brian Hayden, Thomas R. Hester, Paul R. Katz, Ruthann Knudson, Francis P. McManamon, S. C. Malik, Hansjürgen Müller-Beck, Mark H. Newcomer, K.

Paddayya, Patricia Price-Beggerly, Anthony J. Ranere, H. D. Sankalia and Payson D. Sheets. A History of Flint-Knapping Experimentation, 1838-1976 [and Comments and Reply]. *Current Anthropology* [Internet]. 1978;19(2):337–72. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2741997>

360.

Toth N. The importance of experimental replicative and functional studies in Palaeolithic archaeology. In: *Cultural beginnings: approaches to understanding early hominid life-ways in the African savanna*. Bonn: Rudolf Habelt; 1991. p. 109–24.

361.

Adams JL. Methods for improving ground stone artifact analysis: experiments in mano wear patterns. In: *Experiments in lithic technology*. Oxford, England: B.A.R; 1989. p. 259–76. doi:<https://doi.org/10.30861/9780860546726>

362.

Asryan L, Ollé A, Moloney N. Reality and confusion in the recognition of post-depositional alterations and use-wear: an experimental approach on basalt tools. *Journal of Lithic Studies*. 2014 Mar 15;1(1). doi:10.2218/jls.v1i1.815

363.

Aubry T, Bradley B, Almeida M, Walter B, Neves MJ, Pelegrin J, et al. Solutrean laurel leaf production at Maîtreaux: an experimental approach guided by techno-economic analysis. *World Archaeology*. 2008 Mar;40(1):48–66. doi:10.1080/00438240701843538

364.

Blacking J. Edward Simpson, alias 'Flint Jack'. A Victorian craftsman. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 1953;27(108):207–11. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/1293786639?accountid=14511>

365.

Bradley B, Sampson CG. Analysis by replication of two Acheulian artefact assemblages. In: *Stone Age prehistory: studies in memory of Charles McBurney*. Cambridge: Cambridge

University Press; 1986. p. 29–45.

366.

Braun DR, Pobiner BL, Thompson JC. An experimental investigation of cut mark production and stone tool attrition. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2008 May;35(5):1216–23. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2007.08.015

367.

BYRNE L, OLLÉ A, VERGÈS JM. UNDER THE HAMMER: RESIDUES RESULTING FROM PRODUCTION AND MICROWEAR ON EXPERIMENTAL STONE TOOLS. *Archaeometry*. 2006 Nov;48(4):549–64. doi:10.1111/j.1475-4754.2006.00272.x

368.

Callahan, Errett. The basics of biface knapping in the eastern fluted point tradition: a manual for flintknappers and lithic analysts. [Bethlehem, Conn.]: Eastern States Archeological Federation; 1990.

369.

Derndarsky M, Ocklind G. Some Preliminary Observations on Subsurface Damage on Experimental and Archaeological Quartz Tools using CLSM and Dye. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2001 Nov;28(11):1149–58. doi:10.1006/jasc.2000.0646

370.

Diez-Martín F, Yustos P, Domínguez-Rodrigo M, Prendergast M. An Experimental Study of Bipolar and Freehand Knapping of Naibor Soit Quartz from Olduvai Gorge (Tanzania). *American Antiquity*. 2011 Oct 1;76(4):690–708. doi:10.7183/0002-7316.76.4.690

371.

Edwards S. A modern knapper's assessment of the technical skills of the Late Acheulean biface workers at Kalambo Falls. In: *Kalambo Falls prehistoric site: 3: The earlier cultures : middle and earlier Stone Age ; assisted by Julie Cormack and Susan Chin ; with contributions by M. R. Kleindienst ... [et al.]*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2001. p. 605–11.

372.

Jeffrey R. Ferguson. The When, Where, and How of Novices in Craft Production. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. 2008;15(1):51–67. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40345994>

373.

Nyree Finlay. Blank Concerns: Issues of Skill and Consistency in the Replication of Scottish Later Mesolithic Blades. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. 2008;15(1):68–90. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40345995>

374.

Herzlinger G, Pinsky S, Goren-Inbar N. A note on handaxe knapping products and their breakage taphonomy: an experimental view. *Journal of Lithic Studies*. 2015 Mar 15;2(1). doi:10.2218/jls.v2i1.1295

375.

Jones PR. Results of experimental work in relation to the stone industries of Olduvai Gorge. In: *Olduvai Gorge: Vol.5: Excavations in Beds III, IV and the Masek Beds, 1968-1971*. Cambridge: Cambridge U.P.; 1994. p. 254–98.

376.

Kelterborn P. Towards replicating Egyptian predynastic flint knives. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1984 Nov;11(6):433–53. doi:10.1016/0305-4403(84)90023-2

377.

Machin AJ, Hosfield RT, Mithen SJ. Why are some handaxes symmetrical? Testing the influence of handaxe morphology on butchery effectiveness. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2007 Jun;34(6):883–93. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2006.09.008

378.

M. H. Newcomer. Some Quantitative Experiments in Handaxe Manufacture. *World Archaeology* [Internet]. 1971;3(1):85–104. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/124204>

379.

Ollé A, Vergès JM. The use of sequential experiments and SEM in documenting stone tool microwear. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2014 Aug;48:60–72. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2013.10.028

380.

Pelegri J. Remarks about archaeological techniques and methods of knapping: elements of a cognitive approach to stone knapping. In: *Stone knapping: the necessary conditions for a uniquely hominin behaviour*. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research; 2005. p. 23–33.

381.

History of Experimental Archaeology Conference. Experiments past: histories of experimental archaeology [Internet]. Flores JR, Paardekooper R, editors. Leiden: Sidestone Press; 2014. Available from: <https://www.sidestone.com/books/experiments-past>

382.

V. Roux, B. Bril and G. Dietrich. Skills and Learning Difficulties Involved in Stone Knapping: The Case of Stone-Bead Knapping in Khambhat, India. *World Archaeology* [Internet]. 1995;27(1):63–87. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/124778>

383.

Sahnouni M, Schick K, Toth N. An Experimental Investigation into the Nature of Faceted Limestone "Spheroids" in the Early Palaeolithic. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1997 Aug;24(8):701–13. doi:10.1006/jasc.1996.0152

384.

Toth N. Behavioral inferences from Early Stone artifact assemblages: an experimental model. *Journal of Human Evolution*. 1987 Nov;16(7–8):763–87.

doi:10.1016/0047-2484(87)90023-6

385.

Whittaker, John C. Flintknapping: making and understanding stone tools [Internet]. Austin: University of Texas Press; 1994. Available from:  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/ucl/detail.action?docID=3571875>