

ARCL2044: Theory and Methods for the Archaeology of the Ancient World: Kris Lockyear

[View Online](#)

1.

Johnson M. Common sense is not enough. In: Archaeological Theory: An Introduction. 2nd ed. Wiley-Blackwell; 2010:1-12.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=017e9e8d-0208-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

2.

Morris I. Archaeologies of Greece. In: Archaeology as Cultural History: Words and Things in Iron Age Greece. Vol Social archaeology. Blackwell; 2000:37-76.

3.

Whitley J. Introduction: Classical Archaeology and its objects. In: The Archaeology of Ancient Greece. Vol Cambridge world archaeology. Cambridge University Press; 2001:3-16.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=1bc8b1eb-5b36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

4.

Snodgrass A. The health of a discipline. In: An Archaeology of Greece: The Present State and Future Scope of a Discipline. Vol Sather classical lectures. University of California Press; 1987:1-36.

5.

Biers WR. Art, Artefacts, and Chronology in Classical Archaeology. Vol Approaching the ancient world. Routledge; 1992.

6.

Hodder I. Introduction: Contemporary Theoretical Debate in Archaeology. In: Archaeological Theory Today. 2nd ed. Polity; 2012:1-14.
http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=1101335&site=ehost-live&scope=site&ebv=EK&ppid=Page-__-1

7.

Hodder I, Hudson S. The problem. In: Reading the Past : Current Approaches to Interpretation in Archaeology / Ian Hodder and Scott Hutson. ; :1-19.
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780511562136>

8.

Hodder I. Crises in Global Archaeology. In: The Archaeological Process: An Introduction. Blackwell; 1999:1-19.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=aa824ea4-5e36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

9.

Morris I. Periodization and the heroes: Inventing a dark age. In: Inventing Ancient Culture: Historicism, Periodization and the Ancient World. Routledge; 1997:96-131.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=0e127228-5236-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

10.

Hawkes C. Archaeological theory and method: some suggestions from the Old World. American Anthropologist. 1954;56(2):155-168. doi:10.1525/aa.1954.56.2.02a00660

11.

Osborne R, Alcock S. Introduction. In: Classical Archaeology. Vol Blackwell studies in global archaeology. Blackwell; 2007:1-8.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=a34f9f92-5f36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

12.

Terrenato N. The innocents and the sceptics: *ANTIQUITY* and classical archaeology. *Antiquity*. 2002;76(294):1104-1111.
<https://search.proquest.com/docview/217558742?accountid=14511>

13.

Shanks M. Cities and sanctuaries, art and archaeology: roots in the past. In: *Classical Archaeology of Greece: Experiences of the Discipline*. Vol The experience of archaeology. Routledge; 1995:21-51.

14.

Dyson SL. The role of ideology and institutions in shaping classical archaeology in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries. In: *Tracing Archaeology's Past: The Historiography of Archaeology*. Vol Publications in archaeology. Southern Illinois University Press; 1989:127-135.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=bfc0d84c-6e36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

15.

Kurtz DC. Beazley and the connoisseurship of Greek vases. In: *Greek Vases in the J. Paul Getty Museum: Vol.2*. Vol Occasional papers on antiquities. J. Paul Getty Museum; 1985:237-250.

16.

Whitley J. Beazley as theorist. *Antiquity*. 1997;71(271):40-47.
doi:10.1017/S0003598X00084520

17.

Ceserani G. Wilamowitz and stratigraphy in 1873. A case study in the history of archaeology's 'Great Divide'. In: *Archives, Ancestors, Practices: Archaeology in the Light of Its History*. Berghahn Books; 2008:75-87.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=d3623eb4-8536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

18.

Childe VG. What Happened in History. M. Parrish; 1960.

19.

Clarke D. Archaeology: the loss of innocence. *Antiquity*. 1973;47(185):6-18.
doi:10.1017/S0003598X0003461X

20.

Dyson SL. In Pursuit of Ancient Pasts: A History of Classical Archaeology in the Nineteenth and Twentieth Centuries. Yale University Press; 2006.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt1npzcm>

21.

Momigliano A. Ancient History and the Antiquarian. *Journal of the Warburg and Courtauld Institutes*. 1950;13(3/4):285-315. doi:10.2307/750215

22.

Sparkes BA. The Red and the Black: Studies in Greek Pottery. Routledge; 1996.

23.

Schnapp A. The Discovery of the Past: The Origins of Archaeology. British Museum Press; 1996.

24.

Michael Vickers. Value and Simplicity: Eighteenth-Century Taste and the Study of Greek Vases. *Past & Present*. (116):98-137. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/650882>

25.

Dyson SL. A Classical Archaeologist's Response to the 'New Archaeology'. *Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research*. 1981;242(242):7-13. doi:10.2307/1356543

26.

Johnson M. The 'New Archaeology'. In: *Archaeological Theory: An Introduction*. 2nd ed. Wiley-Blackwell; 2010:12-34.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=c030bb34-7a36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

27.

Clarke D. Archaeology: the loss of innocence. *Antiquity*. 1973;47(185):6-18.
doi:10.1017/S0003598X0003461X

28.

Shanks M. Rudiments of a social archaeology (Chapter 5). In: *Classical Archaeology of Greece: Experiences of the Discipline*. Vol The experience of archaeology. Routledge; 1995:118-153.

29.

Snodgrass AM. The New Archaeology and the Classical Archaeologist. *American Journal of Archaeology*. 1985;89(1):31-37. doi:10.2307/504768

30.

Binford L. Archaeological perspectives. In: *New Perspectives in Archaeology*. Aldine; 1968:78-104.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=6c608edf-4b36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

31.

Ian Hodder. Theoretical archaeology: a reactionary view. In: Hodder I, ed. *Symbolic and Structural Archaeology*. Cambridge University Press; 1982:1-16.
doi:10.1017/CBO9780511558252

32.

Johnson M. Culture as system. In: *Archaeological Theory: An Introduction*. Blackwell; 1999:64-84.

33.

Morgan C, Whitelaw T. Pots and Politics: Ceramic Evidence for the Rise of the Argive State. *American Journal of Archaeology*. 1991;95(1):79-108. doi:10.2307/505158

34.

Morris I. *Burial and Ancient Society: The Rise of the Greek City-State*. Vol New studies in archaeology. Cambridge University Press; 1987. <http://hdl.handle.net/2027/heb.01457>

35.

Renfrew C. The multiplier effect in action. In: *Approaches to Social Archaeology*. Harvard University Press; 1984:283-308.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=fd5ffdf7-5f36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

36.

Snodgrass, Anthony M. *Archaic Greece: The Age of Experiment*. University of California Press; 1980.

37.

Schiffer MB. Archaeological Context and Systemic Context. *American Antiquity*. 1972;37(2):156-165. doi:10.2307/278203

38.

Trigger BG. Current trends in American archaeology. In: *Time and Traditions: Essays in Archaeological Interpretation*. Edinburgh University Press; 1978:2-18.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=17761142-7136-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

39.

Whitley, James. *Style and Society in Dark Age Greece: The Changing Face of a Pre-Literate Society, 1100-700 B.C.* Vol New studies in archaeology. Cambridge University Press; 1991.

40.

James Whitley. Social Diversity in Dark Age Greece. *The Annual of the British School at Athens*. 1991;86:341-365. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/30102882>

41.

Cherry J. Power in space: archaeological and geographical studies of the state. In: *Landscape and Culture: Geographical and Archaeological Perspectives*. Basil Blackwell; 1987:146-172.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=90501fdd-5d36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

42.

Thomas J. Archaeologies of place and landscape. In: *Archaeological Theory Today*. Polity; 2001:165-186.
http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=1101335&site=ehost-live&scope=site&ebv=EK&ppid=Page-__-85

43.

Horden, Peregrine, Purcell, Nicholas. *The Corrupting Sea: A Study of Mediterranean History*. Blackwell; 2000.

44.

Alcock SE, Cherry JF, Davies JL. Intensive survey, agricultural practices and the classical landscape of Greece. In: *Classical Greece: Ancient Histories and Modern Archaeologies*. Vol New directions in archaeology. Cambridge University Press; 1994:137-170.

45.

Alcock, Susan E., Cherry, John F. Side-by-Side Survey: Comparative Regional Studies in the Mediterranean World. Oxbow; 2004.

46.

Bintliff JL. Settlement and territory. In: Companion Encyclopedia of Archaeology. Vol 1. Routledge; 1999:505-545.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=2585e681-5136-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

47.

Cunliffe B. Hill-Forts and oppida in Britain. In: Problems in Economic and Social Archaeology. Duckworth; 1976:343-358.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=33962b5c-6436-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

48.

Fitzjohn M. A cognitive approach to an upland landscape. In: Uplands of Ancient Sicily and Calabria: The Archaeology of Landscape Revisited. Vol Accordia specialist studies on Italy. Accordia Research Institute; 2007:143-155.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=572135d9-8e36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

49.

Higgs ES, Vita-Finzi C. Prehistoric Economies: a territorial approach. In: Papers in Economic Prehistory: Studies by Members and Associates of the British Academy Major Research Project in the Early History of Agriculture. Cambridge University Press; 1972:27-36.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=ce479ae8-0608-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

50.

Knapp AB, Ashmore W. Archaeological Landscapes: Constructed, Conceptualized, Ideational. In: Archaeologies of Landscape: Contemporary Perspectives. Vol Social archaeology. Blackwell Publishers; 1999:1-30.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=ad4ce8e9-5e36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

51.

Rackham O. Ancient landscapes. In: The Greek City: From Homer to Alexander. Clarendon Press; 1991:85-111.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=ef0e44c9-4c36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

52.

Renfrew AC. Space, time and polity. In: The Evolution of Social Systems: Proceedings of a Meeting of the Research Seminar in Archaeology and Related Subjects Held at the Institute of Archaeology, London University. Duckworth; 1977:89-112.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=ab131751-6436-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

53.

Tilley C. Space, place, landscape and perception: phenomenological perspectives. In: A Phenomenology of Landscape: Places, Paths, and Monuments. Vol Explorations in anthropology. Berg; 1994:7-34.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=c375a3b7-8b36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

54.

Dyson SL. From New to New Age Archaeology: Archaeological Theory and Classical Archaeology-A 1990s Perspective. American Journal of Archaeology. 1993;97(2):195-206. doi:10.2307/505656

55.

Johnson, Matthew. Archaeological Theory: An Introduction. 2nd ed. Wiley-Blackwell; 2010.

56.

Morris I. Archaeology as cultural history. In: Archaeology as Cultural History: Words and

Things in Iron Age Greece. Vol Social archaeology. Blackwell; 2000:3-36.

57.

Morris I. The past, the east and the hero of Lefkandi. In: Archaeology as Cultural History: Words and Things in Iron Age Greece. Vol Social archaeology. Blackwell; 2000:195-256.

58.

Shanks M, Hodder I. Processual, postprocessual and interpretive archaeologies. In: Interpreting Archaeology: Finding Meaning in the Past. Routledge; 1994:3-28.
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781317799467>

59.

Hodder I. Contextual archaeology. In: Reading the Past: Current Approaches to Interpretation in Archaeology. ; 2003:156-205.
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780511562136>

60.

Shanks M. Style and the design of a perfume jar from an Archaic Greek city state. In: Contemporary Archaeology in Theory: [A Reader]. Vol Social archaeology. Blackwell; 1996:364-393.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=32a31a7d-5e36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

61.

M. Shanks, C. Tilley. Ideology, Symbolic Power and Ritual Communication: A Reinterpretation of Neolithic Mortuary Practices. In: Hodder I, ed. Symbolic and Structural Archaeology. Cambridge University Press; 1982:129-161. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511558252

62.

Shanks, Michael, Tilley, Christopher Y. Re-Constructing Archaeology: Theory and Practice. Vol New studies in archaeology. Cambridge University Press; 1987.

63.

Snodgrass A. The first figure scenes in Greek art. In: *An Archaeology of Greece: The Present State and Future Scope of a Discipline*. Vol Sather classical lectures. University of California Press; 1987:132-169.

64.

Interpretative Archaeology. Bloomsbury Academic; 1993. doi:10.5040/9781474214995

65.

Thomas J. Where are we now? Archaeological theory in the 1990s. In: *Theory in Archaeology: A World Perspective*. Routledge; 1994:343-362.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=db055f42-5236-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

66.

Patty Jo Watson and Michael Fotiadis. The Razor's Edge: Symbolic-Structuralist Archeology and the Expansion of Archeological Inference. *American Anthropologist*. 92(3):613-629.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/680338>

67.

d'Agostino B. The Italian perspective on theoretical archaeology. In: *Archaeological Theory in Europe: The Last Three Decades*. Vol Material cultures. Routledge; 1991:52-64.

68.

Kotsakis K. The powerful past: theoretical trends in Greek archaeology. In: *Archaeological Theory in Europe: The Last Three Decades*. Vol Material cultures. Routledge; 1991:65-90.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=a58826a0-5136-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

69.

Bérard, Claude. *A City of Images: Iconography and Society in Ancient Greece*. Princeton University Press; 1989.

70.

Schnapp A. Eros the Hunter. In: *A City of Images: Iconography and Society in Ancient Greece*. Princeton University Press; 1989:71-87.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=b038aa25-6136-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

71.

Bintliff, J. L. *The Annales School and Archaeology*. Leicester University Press; 1991.

<https://openaccess.leidenuniv.nl/handle/1887/7980>

72.

Bietti Sestieri, Anna Maria. *The Iron Age Community of Osteria Dell'Osa: A Study of Socio-Political Development in Central Tyrrhenian Italy*. Vol New studies in archaeology. Cambridge University Press; 1992.

73.

Cleuziou S, Coudart A, Demoule JP, Schnapp A. The use of Theory in French Archaeology. In: *Archaeological Theory in Europe: The Last Three Decades*. Vol Material cultures. Routledge; 1991:91-128.

74.

Cuozzo M. Patterns of Organisation and Funerary Customs in the Cemetery of Pontecagnano (Salerno) During the Orientalising Period. *Journal of European Archaeology*. 1994;2(2):263-298. doi:10.1179/096576694800719157

75.

Cuozzo MA. Ancient Campania. Cultural interaction, political borders and geographical boundaries. In: *Ancient Italy: Regions without Boundaries*. University of Exeter Press; 2007:224-267.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=575d8078-0b08-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

76.

d'Agostino B. Military Organisation and social structure in Archaic Etruria. In: The Greek City: From Homer to Alexander. Clarendon Press; 1991:59-82.

77.

Izzet V. Etruria and the Etruscans. Recent approaches. In: Ancient Italy: Regions without Boundaries. University of Exeter Press; 2007:114-130.

78.

Lissarrague F. Epiktetos egraphsen: the Writing on the Cup. In: Art and Text in Ancient Greek Culture. Vol Cambridge studies in new art history and criticism. Cambridge University Press; 1994:12-27.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=01b654c8-5a36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

79.

Marchand, Suzanne L. Down from Olympus: Archaeology and Philhellenism in Germany, 1750-1970. Princeton University Press; 1996.

80.

Terrenato N. 'Start the revolution without me': recent debates in Italian Classical Archaeology. In: Papers in Italian Archaeology VI: Communities and Settlements from the Neolithic to the Early Medieval Period: Proceedings of the 6th Conference of Italian Archaeology Held at the University of Groningen, Groningen Institute of Archaeology, the Netherlands, April 15-17, 2003. Vol BAR international series. Archaeopress; 2005:39-43.

81.

Dobres MA, Robb JE. Agency in archaeology: paradigm or platitude? In: Agency in Archaeology. Routledge; 2000:3-17.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=37d0cb62-5336-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

82.

Gardner A. Agency. In: Handbook of Archaeological Theories. ; 2008:95-108.
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780759113602>

83.

Morris I. The anthropology of a dead world. In: Death-Ritual and Social Structure in Classical Antiquity. Vol Key themes in ancient history. Cambridge University Press; 1992:1-30.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=c4ad4e7d-5a36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

84.

Osborne R. W(h)ither Orientalization? In: Debating Orientalization: Multidisciplinary Approaches to Processes of Change in the Ancient Mediterranean. Vol Monographs in Mediterranean archaeology. Equinox; 2006:153-158.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=ff782124-8636-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

85.

Sewell WH. The Concept(s) of Culture. In: Logics of History: Social Theory and Social Transformation. Vol Chicago studies in practices of meaning. University of Chicago Press; 2005:152-174.

86.

Shanks M, Tilley C. The individual and the social. In: Social Theory and Archaeology. Polity in association with Blackwell; 1987:61-78.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=07ba3ee9-6636-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

87.

Gardner, Andrew. An Archaeology of Identity: Soldiers and Society in Late Roman Britain.

Vol Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London. Left Coast Press; 2007.

88.

Gell A. The problem defined. In: Art and Agency: An Anthropological Theory. Clarendon Press; 1998:1-11.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=f6621786-4e67-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

89.

Tanner J. Culture, social structure and artistic agency in Classical Greece. In: The Invention of Art History in Ancient Greece: Religion, Society and Artistic Rationalisation. Vol Cambridge classical studies. Cambridge University Press; 2006:141-204.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=f7d2a9ba-5c36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

90.

Barrett J. Agency, the duality of structure and the problem of the archaeological record. In: Archaeological Theory Today. Polity; 2001:141-164.

http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=1101335&site=ehost-live&scope=site&ebv=EK&ppid=Page-__-76

91.

Bourdieu P. The Berber house or the world reversed. In: Rules and Meanings: The Anthropology of Everyday Knowledge : Selected Readings. Vol Penguin modern sociology readings. Penguin Education; 1973:98-110.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=f96314a8-155a-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

92.

Bourdieu, Pierre, Nice, Richard. Outline of a Theory of Practice. Vol Cambridge studies in social anthropology. Cambridge University Press; 1977.

93.

Dietler M, Herbich I. Habitus, techniques, style: an integrated approach to the social understanding of material culture and boundaries. In: The Archaeology of Social

Boundaries. Vol Smithsonian series in archaeological inquiry. Smithsonian Institution Press; 1998:232-263.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=01d474eb-7d36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

94.

Giddens, Anthony. The Constitution of Society: Outline of the Theory of Structuration. Polity Press; 1986. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/ucl/detail.action?docID=1221197>

95.

van Dommelen P. Punic persistence: colonialism and cultural identity in Roman Sardinia. In: Cultural Identity in the Roman Empire. Routledge; 1998:25-48.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=407c43b5-5236-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

96.

Meskell L. Archaeologies of identity. In: Archaeological Theory Today. Polity; 2001:187-213.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=a382cfcd-6736-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

97.

Hall JM. Ethnic Identity in Greek Antiquity. Cambridge Archaeological Journal. 1998;8(02):265-283. doi:10.1017/S0959774300001864

98.

Vives-Ferrándiz Sánchez J. Colonial encounters and the negotiation of identities in south-east Iberia. In: Mediterranean Crossroads. Pierides Foundation; 2007:537-562.

99.

Peter van Dommelen. Colonial Constructs: Colonialism and Archaeology in the Mediterranean. World Archaeology. 28(3):305-323. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/125021>

100.

Antonaccio C. Ethnicity and Colonization. In: Ancient Perceptions of Greek Ethnicity. Vol Center for Hellenic Studies colloquia. Center for Hellenic Studies, Trustees for Harvard University; 2001:113-157.

101.

Diaz-Andreu M, Lucy S. Introduction. In: The Archaeology of Identity: Approaches to Gender, Age, Status, Ethnicity and Religion. Routledge; 2005:1-13.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=b25f4f45-5336-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

102.

Morgan C. Ethnicity and early Greek states: historical and material perspectives. Proceedings of the Cambridge Philological Society. 1992;37:131-163.
doi:10.1017/S0068673500001565

103.

Given M. Inventing the Eteocypriots: Imperialist Archaeology and the Manipulation of Ethnic Identity. Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology. 1998;11(1):3-29.
doi:10.1558/jmea.v11i1.3

104.

Fotiadis M. Cultural identity and regional archaeological projects. Archaeological Dialogues. 1997;4(01):102-113. doi:10.1017/S1380203800000933

105.

Hingley R. Cultural Diversity and Unity: empire and Rome. In: Material Culture and Social Identities in the Ancient World. Cambridge University Press; 2010:54-75.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=4073012d-5c36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

106.

Jones S. Discourses of identity in the interpretation of the past. In: Cultural Identity and Archaeology: The Construction of European Communities. Vol Theoretical Archaeology Group (TAG). Routledge; 1996:62-80.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=8c7bba35-5236-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

107.

Malkin I. Postcolonial Concepts and Ancient Greek Colonization. MLQ: Modern Language Quarterly. 2004;65(3):341-364.
http://muse.jhu.edu/journals/modern_language_quarterly/v065/65.3malkin.html

108.

Hall, Jonathan M. Hellenicity: Between Ethnicity and Culture. University of Chicago Press; 2002.

109.

van Dommelen P. Cultural imaginings. Punic tradition and local identity in Roman Republican Sardinia. In: Italy and the West: Comparative Issues in Romanization. Oxbow; 2001:68-84.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=cdd86dae-8136-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

110.

Woolf, Greg, Gosden C. Beyond Romans and natives. World Archaeology. 1997;28(3):339-350. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/125023>

111.

Woolf G. Becoming Roman: The Origins of Provincial Civilization in Gaul. Cambridge University Press; 1998. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511518614

112.

Yates T. Frameworks for an archaeology of the body. In: Interpretative Archaeology. Vol Explorations in anthropology. Berg; 1992:31-72.

<https://www.bloomsburycollections.com/book/interpretative-archaeology/>

113.

Izzet V. Holding a mirror to Etruscan gender. In: Gender and Italian Archaeology: Challenging the Stereotypes. Vol Accordia specialist studies on Italy. Accordia Research Institute; 1998:209-227.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=ec69728f-8e36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

114.

Meskel L. Archaeologies of identity. In: Archaeological Theory Today. Polity; 2001:187-213.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=e0a1019e-0a08-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

115.

Johnson, Matthew. Archaeological Theory: An Introduction. Blackwell; 1999.

116.

Conkey MW, Gero JM. Programme to practice: Gender and Feminism in Archaeology. Annual Review of Anthropology. 1997;26(1):411-437.

doi:10.1146/annurev.anthro.26.1.411

117.

Tarlow, Sarah, Hamilakis, Yannis, Pluciennik, Mark. Thinking through the Body: Archaeologies of Corporeality. Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; 2002.

118.

Knapp AB, Meskel L. Bodies of Evidence on Prehistoric Cyprus. Cambridge Archaeological Journal. 1997;7(02):183-204. doi:10.1017/S0959774300001931

119.

Meskel L. The somatization of archaeology: Institutions, discourses, corporeality.

Norwegian Archaeological Review. 1996;29(1):1-16. doi:10.1080/00293652.1996.9965595

120.

Meskeel, Lynn. Archaeologies of Social Life: Age, Sex, Class et Cetera in Ancient Egypt. Vol Social archaeology. Blackwell; 1999.

121.

Morris I. Archaeology and gender ideologies in early Archaic Greece. In: Sex and Difference in Ancient Greece and Rome. Vol Edinburgh readings on the ancient world. Edinburgh University Press; 2003:264-275.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=67759abe-6836-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

122.

Nevett, Lisa C. House and Society in the Ancient Greek World. Vol New studies in archaeology. Cambridge University Press; 1999.

123.

Osborne R. Looking on Greek style: does the sculpted girl speak to women too. In: Classical Greece: Ancient Histories and Modern Archaeologies. Vol New directions in archaeology. Cambridge University Press; 1994:81-96.

124.

Rautman, Alison E., Gender and Archaeology Conference. Reading the Body: Representations and Remains in the Archaeological Record. Vol Regendering the past. University of Pennsylvania Press; 2000.

125.

Sofaer JR. The Body as Material Culture: A Theoretical Osteoarchaeology. Cambridge University Press; 2006. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511816666

126.

Stewart A. Reflections. In: *Sexuality in Ancient Art: Near East, Egypt, Greece and Italy*. Vol Cambridge studies in new art history and criticism. Cambridge University Press; 1996:136-154.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=d0bd0a7c-5b36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

127.

Moore H. Bodies on the move: gender, power and material culture. In: *A Passion for Difference: Essays in Anthropology and Gender*. Polity; 1994:71-85.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=ed370090-6736-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

128.

Mauss M. Body Techniques. In: *Sociology and Psychology: Essays*. Routledge and Kegan Paul; 1979:95-123.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=7d8e026e-6236-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

129.

Jonathan Friedman. The Past in the Future: History and the Politics of Identity. *American Anthropologist*. 94(4):837-859. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/680224>

130.

Rowlands M. The politics of identity in archaeology. In: *Social Construction of the Past: Representation as Power*. Vol One world archaeology. Routledge; 1994:129-143.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=5aacb0fb-5136-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

131.

Hamilakis Y, Yalouri E. Antiquities as symbolic capital in modern Greek society. *Antiquity*. 1996;70(267):117-129. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00082934

132.

Meskel (ed.) L. Archaeology Under Fire: Nationalism, Politics and Heritage in the Eastern Mediterranean and Middle East. Routledge; 2002. doi:10.4324/9780203029817

133.

Di

az-Andreu M. Nationalism and Archaeology in Europe. Routledge; 2014.
doi:10.4324/9781315748221

134.

Michael Dietler. 'Our Ancestors the Gauls': Archaeology, Ethnic Nationalism, and the Manipulation of Celtic Identity in Modern Europe. *American Anthropologist*. 96(3):584-605.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/682302>

135.

Michael Fotiadis. Modernity and the Past-Still-Present: Politics of Time in the Birth of Regional Archaeological Projects in Greece. *American Journal of Archaeology*. 99(1):59-78.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/506879>

136.

Hamilakis Y. Decolonizing Greek archaeology: indigenous archaeologies, modernist archaeology and the post-colonial critique. In: *A Singular Antiquity: Archaeology and Hellenic Identity in Twentieth-Century Greece*. Vol Mouseio Benaki. Benaki Museum; 2008:273-284.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=e15c9e59-4d67-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

137.

Hamilakis, Yannis. *The Nation and Its Ruins: Antiquity, Archaeology, and National Imagination in Greece*. Vol Classical presences. Oxford University Press; 2007.

138.

Hamilakis Y. Museums of oblivion. *Antiquity*. 2011;85(328):625-629.
doi:10.1017/S0003598X00068010

139.

Hamilakis Y. Are We Postcolonial Yet? Tales from the Battlefield. *Archaeologies*. 2012;8(1):67-76. doi:10.1007/s11759-012-9200-5

140.

Hobsbawm E, Ranger T, eds. *The Invention of Tradition*. Cambridge University Press; 2012.
doi:10.1017/CBO9781107295636

141.

Lowenthal D. *The Heritage Crusade and the Spoils of History*. Cambridge University Press; 1998. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511523809

142.

Lynn Meskell. The Intersections of Identity and Politics in Archaeology. *Annual Review of Anthropology*. 2002;31:279-301. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4132881>

143.

Odermatt P. Built heritage and the politics of (re) presentation. *Archaeological Dialogues*. 1996;3(02):95-119. doi:10.1017/S1380203800000660

144.

Pierre Nora. Between Memory and History: Les Lieux de Mémoire. *Representations*. (26):7-24. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2928520>

145.

Yiannis Papadakis. Greek Cypriot Narratives of History and Collective Identity: Nationalism as a Contested Process. *American Ethnologist*. 25(2):149-165.

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/646690>

146.

Paul Sant Cassia. Tradition, Tourism and Memory in Malta. *The Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*. 5(2):247-263. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2660696>

147.

Yalouri, Eleana. *The Acropolis: Global Fame, Local Claim. Vol Materializing culture*. Berg; 2001.

148.

Banning E. Research design and sampling. In: *The Archaeologist's Laboratory: The Analysis of Archaeological Data. Vol Interdisciplinary contributions to archaeology*. London; 2000:73-92.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=cfa3440e-4e67-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

149.

Binford LR. A Consideration of Archaeological Research Design. *American Antiquity*. 1964;29(4):425-441. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/277978>

150.

Blinkhorn PW, Cumberpatch CG. The Interpretation of Artefacts and the Tyranny of the Field Archaeologist. *Assemblage: the Sheffield graduate journal of archaeology*. 4.
<https://assemblagejournal.files.wordpress.com/2017/05/blinkhorn-and-cumberpatch-1998-the-interpretation-of-artefacts-and-the-tyranny-of-the-field-archaeologist.pdf>

151.

Bowkett L, Hill S, Wardle D, Wardle KA. Introduction. In: *Classical Archaeology in the Field: Approaches. Vol Classical world series*. Bristol Classical Press; 2001:1-10.

152.

Bowkett L, Hill S, Wardle D, Wardle KA. The development of Classical Archaeology. In: Classical Archaeology in the Field: Approaches. Vol Classical world series. Bristol Classical Press; 2001:11-25.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=87753895-8936-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

153.

Andrews G, English Heritage. Management of Archaeological Projects. English Heritage; 1991. <http://intarch.ac.uk/journal/issue5/westhes/map2index.htm>

154.

Flannery, Kent V. The Early Mesoamerican Village. Vol Studies in archeology. Academic Press; 1976.

155.

Hassan FA. Beyond the surface: comments on Hodder's 'reflexive excavation methodology'. *Antiquity*. 1997;71(274):1020-1025. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00085938

156.

Hodder I. 'Always momentary, fluid and flexible': towards a reflexive excavation methodology. *Antiquity*. 1997;71(273):691-700. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00085410

157.

Hodder I. Whose rationality? A response to Fekri Hassan. *Antiquity*. 1998;72(275):213-217. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00086439

158.

Milne G. The archaeologist as alchemist. In: From Roman Basilica to Medieval Market: Archaeology in Action in the City of London. HMSO; 1992:51-59.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=c3113bbe-4b36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

159.

Payne S. Partial recovery and sample bias: The results of some sieving experiments. In: Papers in Economic Prehistory: Studies by Members and Associates of the British Academy Major Research Project in the Early History of Agriculture. Cambridge University Press; 1972:49-64.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=41494f18-5936-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

160.

Redman CL. Multistage Fieldwork and Analytical Techniques. American Antiquity. 38(1):61-79. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/279311>

161.

Redman CL. Surface Collection, Sampling, and Research Design: A Retrospective. American Antiquity. 52(2):249-265. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/281779>

162.

W. Raymond Wood and Donald Lee Johnson. A Survey of Disturbance Processes in Archaeological Site Formation. Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory. 1978;1:315-381. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20170136>

163.

Lewis R. Binford. Behavioral Archaeology and the 'Pompeii Premise'. Journal of Anthropological Research. 1981;37(3):195-208. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3629723>

164.

Bon SE. A city frozen in time or a site in perpetual motion? Formation processes at Pompeii. In: Sequence and Space in Pompeii. Vol Oxbow monograph. Oxbow Books; 1997:7-12.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=123f78cd-8f36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

165.

Bradley R, Fulford M. Sherd size in the analysis of occupation debris. Bulletin of the

Institute of Archaeology. 1980;17:85-94.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=de9090bc-4a36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

166.

Green FJ, Lockyear K. Seeds, sherds and samples: site formation processes at the Waitrose site, Romsey. In: Whither Environmental Archaeology?. Vol Oxbow monograph. Oxbow; 1994:91-104.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=1f953589-7736-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

167.

Halstead P, Hodder I, Jones G. Behavioural archaeology and refuse patterns: A case study. Norwegian Archaeological Review. 1978;11(2):118-131.

doi:10.1080/00293652.1978.9965308

168.

Jansen GCM. Systems for the disposal of waste and excreta in Roman cities. The situation in Pompeii, Herculaneum and Ostia. In: Sordes Urbis: La Eliminación de Residuos En La Ciudad Romana : Actas de La Reunión de Roma (15-16 de Noviembre de 1996). Vol Bibliotheca Italica : monografías de la Escuela Española de Historia y Arqueología en Roma. L'Erma di Bretschneider; 2000:37-50.

169.

Liebschuetz W. Rubbish disposal in Greek and Roman Cities. In: Sordes Urbis: La Eliminación de Residuos En La Ciudad Romana : Actas de La Reunión de Roma (15-16 de Noviembre de 1996). Vol Bibliotheca Italica : monografías de la Escuela Española de Historia y Arqueología en Roma. L'Erma di Bretschneider; 2000:51-62.

170.

Orton CR. Two useful parameters for pottery research. In: Computer Applications in Archaeology 1985: Proceedings of the Conference on Quantitative Methods, Institute of Archaeology, London, March 29-30, 1985. University of London, Institute of Archaeology; 1986:114-120.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=2f76d612-1c46-e711-80cb-005056af4099>

171.

Peña JT. Roman Pottery in the Archaeological Record. Cambridge University Press; 2007.
doi:10.1017/CBO9780511499685

172.

Schiffer MB. Archaeological Context and Systemic Context. *American Antiquity*. 1972;37(2):156-165. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/278203>

173.

Schiffer, Michael B. Formation Processes of the Archaeological Record. University of New Mexico Press; 1987.
http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=10467&site=ehost-live&scope=site&ebv=EB&ppid=pp_COVER

174.

Peter E. Siegel and Peter G. Roe. Shipibo Archaeo-Ethnography: Site Formation Processes and Archaeological Interpretation. *World Archaeology*. 1986;18(1):96-115.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/124664>

175.

Alan P. Sullivan, III. The Technology of Ceramic Reuse: Formation Processes and Archaeological Evidence. *World Archaeology*. 1989;21(1):101-114.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/124487>

176.

Allison PM. Artefact Assemblages: Not the Pompeii Premise. In: *Papers of the Fourth Conference of Italian Archaeology*. Accordia Research Centre; 1991:49-56.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=0b7c6260-8e36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

177.

Cool HEM. An overview of the small finds from Catterick. In: Cataractonium: Roman Catterick and Its Hinterland : Excavations and Research, 1958-1997. Vol Research report / Council for British Archaeology. Council for British Archaeology; 2002:24-43.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=a3e10cef-9036-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

178.

Cool HEM, Baxter MJ. Exploring Romano-British finds assemblages. Oxford Journal of Archaeology. 2002;21(4):365-380. doi:10.1111/1468-0092.00168

179.

Crummy N. Six honest serving men: a basic methodology for the study of small finds conference held at the Univeristy of Durham. In: Roman Finds: Context and Theory : Proceedings of a Conference Held at the Univeristy of Durham. Oxbow Books; 2007:59-66.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt1kw29ff>

180.

Hayden B, Cannon A. Where the garbage goes: Refuse disposal in the Maya Highlands. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology. 1983;2(2):117-163.
doi:10.1016/0278-4165(83)90010-7

181.

Lockyear K. Site Finds in Roman Britain: A Comparison of Techniques. Oxford Journal of Archaeology. 2000;19(4):397-423. doi:10.1111/1468-0092.00118

182.

Lockyear, Kris. Patterns and Process in Late Roman Republican Coin Hoards, 157-2 BC. Vol BAR international series. Archaeopress; 2007.

183.

Lucas G. Splitting objects. In: Critical Approaches to Fieldwork : Contemporary and Historical Archaeological Practice / Gavin Lucas. ; :64-106.
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780203132258>

184.

Millett M. Experiments in the analysis of finds deposition at Shiptonthorpe: a retrospect. In: Roman Finds: Context and Theory : Proceedings of a Conference Held at the Univeristy of Durham. Oxbow Books; 2007:100-105. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt1kw29ff>

185.

Orton C, Hughes M. Pottery in Archaeology. Cambridge University Press; 2013.
doi:10.1017/CBO9780511920066

186.

Reece R. The interpretation of site finds — a review. In: Coin Finds and Coin Use in the Roman World: The Thirteenth Oxford Symposium on Coinage and Monetary History, 25.-27.3.1993 : A NATO Advanced Research Workshop. Vol Studien zu Fundmünzen der Antike. Gebr. Mann Verlag; 1996:341-355.

187.

Tyers P. Sources for the study of Roman pottery. In: Roman Pottery in Britain. Batsford; 1996:24-35.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=f9cfe4ff-6236-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

188.

Allison PM. Artefact distribution and spatial function in Pompeian houses. In: The Roman Family in Italy: Status, Sentiment, Space. Vol OUP/HRC. Humanities Research Centre; 1997:321-354.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=79e1dcd6-4c36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

189.

Allison PM. Introduction. In: The Archaeology of Household Activities / Edited by Penelope M. Allison. ; :1-18.
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780203014929>

190.

Allison PM. Labels for Ladles: Interpreting the material culture of Roman Households by Penelope M. Allison. In: *The Archaeology of Household Activities* / Edited by Penelope M. Allison. ; :57-77.
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780203014929>

191.

Flannery KV. Analysis on the household level/The Early Mesoamerican House. In: *The Early Mesoamerican Village*. Vol *Studies in archeology*. Academic Press; 1976:13-24.

192.

Gardner A. Artefacts, contexts, and the archaeology of social practicess of a conference held at the Univeristy of Durham. In: *Roman Finds: Context and Theory : Proceedings of a Conference Held at the Univeristy of Durham*. Oxbow Books; 2007:128-139.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt1kw29ff>

193.

Grahame M. Public and private in the Roman house: the spatial order of the Casa del Fauno. In: *Roman Finds: Context and Theory : Proceedings of a Conference Held at the Univeristy of Durham*. Oxbow Books; 2007:137-164.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt1kw29ff>

194.

Grahame, Mark. *Reading Space: Social Interaction and Identity in the Houses of Roman Pompeii : A Syntactical Approach to the Analysis and Interpretation of Built Space*. Vol *BAR international series*. Archaeopress; 2000.

195.

LaMotta VM, Schiffer MB. The formation processes of house floor assemblages. In: *The Archaeology of Household Activities* / Edited by Penelope M. Allison. ; :19-29.

<https://www.dawsonera.com/readonline/9780203014929/startPage/16/1>

196.

Laurence, Ray. Roman Pompeii: Space and Society. Routledge; 1994.

197.

Wallace-Hadrill, Andrew. Houses and Society in Pompeii and Herculaneum. Princeton University Press; 1994.

198.

Barker, Graeme, Hodges, Richard, Clark, Gillian. A Mediterranean Valley: Landscape Archaeology and Annals History in the Biferno Valley. Leicester University Press; 1995.

199.

Barker, Graeme, Mattingly, D. J., Unesco Libyan Valleys Archaeological Survey. Farming the Desert: The UNESCO Libyan Valleys Archaeological Survey. UNESCO; 1996.

200.

Barker, Graeme, Lloyd, John, British School at Rome, Ecole française de Rome, Instituto Español de Historia y Arqueología, Struttura agricola romana: il contributo della ricognizione archeologica: Conference. Roman Landscapes: Archaeological Survey in the Mediterranean Region. Vol Archaeological monographs of the British School at Rome. British School at Rome; 1991.

201.

Belcher M, Harrison A, Stoddart S. Analyzing Rome's hinterland. In: Geographical Information Systems and Landscape Archaeology. Vol The archaeology of Mediterranean landscapes. Oxbow; 1999:95-101.

202.

Flannery, Kent V. The Early Mesoamerican Village. Vol Studies in archeology. Academic Press; 1976.

203.

Flannery KV. Sampling on the Regional Level. In: The Early Mesoamerican Village. Vol Studies in archeology. Academic Press; 1976:131-160.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=76158fd1-4b36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

204.

Hayes JW. The current state of Roman ceramic studies in Mediterranean survey, or handling pottery from surveys. In: Extracting Meaning from Ploughsoil Assemblages. Vol The archaeology of Mediterranean landscapes. Oxbow; 2000:105-109.

205.

Lock G, Bell T, Lloyd J. Towards a methodology for modeling surface survey data: the Sangro Valley Project. In: Geographical Information Systems and Landscape Archaeology. Vol The archaeology of Mediterranean landscapes. Oxbow; 1999:55-63.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=59347803-9036-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

206.

Mattingly D. Methods of collection, recording and quantification. In: Extracting Meaning from Ploughsoil Assemblages. Vol The archaeology of Mediterranean landscapes. Oxbow; 2000:5-15.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=d1f3d1f6-075a-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

207.

Mattingly D, Witcher R. Mapping the Roman world: the contribution of field survey data. In: Side-by-Side Survey: Comparative Regional Studies in the Mediterranean World. Oxbow; 2004:173-188.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=f3d0a902-8236-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

208.

Millett M. Dating, quantifying and utilizing pottery assemblages from surface survey. In: Extracting Meaning from Ploughsoil Assemblages. Vol The archaeology of Mediterranean landscapes. Oxbow; 2000:53-59.

209.

Orton CR. Covering the ground. In: Sampling in Archaeology. Vol Cambridge manuals in archaeology. Cambridge University Press; 2000:67-111.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=455f02ab-5b36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

210.

Terrenato N. The visibility of sites and the interpretation of field survey results: towards an analysis of incomplete distributions. In: Extracting Meaning from Ploughsoil Assemblages. Vol The archaeology of Mediterranean landscapes. Oxbow; 2000:60-71.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=cb022a17-9036-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

211.

Terrenato N. "Sample size matters! The paradox of global trends and local surveys. In: Side-by-Side Survey: Comparative Regional Studies in the Mediterranean World. Oxbow; 2004:36-48.