

PUBL0032: International Law and Human Rights : Dr Nathan Derejko

View Online



-
1.
International Law and Human Rights - PUBLG052.
 2.
Moeckli, D., Shah, S., Sivakumaran, S., Harris, D.J.: International human rights law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).
 3.
Alston, P., Goodman, R.: International human rights: text and materials. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).
 4.
Harris, D.J., Sivakumaran, S.: Cases and materials on international law. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2015).
 5.
Gandhi, P.R.: Blackstone's international human rights documents. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2010).
 6.
Smith, R.K.M.: Texts and materials on international human rights. Routledge, London (2013).

7.

Başak Cali: International law for international relations. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2010).

8.

Armstrong, J.D., Farrell, T., Lambert, H.: International law and international relations. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012).

9.

Armstrong, J.D., Farrell, T., Lambert, H.: International law and international relations. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2007).

10.

R.J. Vincent: Human rights and international relations. Published in association with the Royal Institute of International Affairs by Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1986).

11.

Vincent, R.J.: Human Rights and International Relations. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1987). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511598814>.

12.

Forsythe, D.P.: Human rights in international relations. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012).

13.

Beth A. Simmons, Richard H. Steinberg: International law and international relations. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2006).

14.

Rosalyn Higgins: Problems and process: international law and how we use it. Clarendon Press, Oxford (1994).

15.

Higgins, R.: Problems and process: international law and how we use it. Clarendon, Oxford (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1093/law/9780198764106.001.0001>.

16.

White, R.C.A., Ovey, C., Jacobs, F.G., Ovey, C.: The European Convention on Human Rights. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2010).

17.

Shaw, M.N.: International law. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2017).

18.

Shaw, M.N.: International Law. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139051903>.

19.

Antonio Cassese: International law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2005).

20.

Alan E. Boyle, C.M. Chinkin: The making of international law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2007).

21.

Alan Boyle, Christine Chinkin,: The Making of International Law.

22.

Hilary Charlesworth, C.M. Chinkin: The boundaries of international law: a feminist analysis. Manchester University Press, Juris, Manchester (2000).

23.

Brownlie's Principles of Public International Law (8th ed., 2012), James Crawford.

24.

Evans, M.D. ed: International law. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom (2018).

25.

Saul, B., Kinley, D., Mowbray, J.: The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: commentary, cases, and materials. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

26.

Shelton, D. ed: The Oxford handbook of international human rights law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2013).

27.

Dinah Shelton, The Oxford Handbook of International Human Rights Law 2013,
[http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/search.do;jsessionid=BBDE8C447BB987EEC948830E0037FE55?cs=frb&ct=frb&frbg=707356124&fctN=facet_frbrgroupid&fctV=707356124&doc=UCL_LMS_DS002234891&lastPag=&lastPagIdx=1&rfnGrp=frbr&frbrSrt=rank&frbrRecordsSource=Primo+Local&frbrJtitleDisplay=&frbrIssnDisplay=&frbrEissnDisplay=&frbrSourceidDisplay=UCL_LMS_DS&query=any%2Ccontains%2CDinah%20Shelton%2C%20The%20Oxford%20Handbook%20of%20International%20Human%20Rights%20Law%20\(2013\)&indx=1&fn=search&dscnt=0&search_scope=CSCOP_UCL&scp.scps=scope%3A\(UCL\)%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&vid=UCL_VU1&onCampus=false&highlight=false&ct=search&institution=UCL&bulkSize=10&tab=local&displayField=title&dym=true&vl\(2235343UI0\)=](http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/search.do;jsessionid=BBDE8C447BB987EEC948830E0037FE55?cs=frb&ct=frb&frbg=707356124&fctN=facet_frbrgroupid&fctV=707356124&doc=UCL_LMS_DS002234891&lastPag=&lastPagIdx=1&rfnGrp=frbr&frbrSrt=rank&frbrRecordsSource=Primo+Local&frbrJtitleDisplay=&frbrIssnDisplay=&frbrEissnDisplay=&frbrSourceidDisplay=UCL_LMS_DS&query=any%2Ccontains%2CDinah%20Shelton%2C%20The%20Oxford%20Handbook%20of%20International%20Human%20Rights%20Law%20(2013)&indx=1&fn=search&dscnt=0&search_scope=CSCOP_UCL&scp.scps=scope%3A(UCL)%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&vid=UCL_VU1&onCampus=false&highlight=false&ct=search&institution=UCL&bulkSize=10&tab=local&displayField=title&dym=true&vl(2235343UI0)=)

any&vI(freeText0)=Dinah%20Shelton%2C%20The%20Oxford%20Handbook%20of%20International%20Human%20Rights%20Law%20(2013)&dstmp=1508443362273&fromDL.

28.

Moeckli, D., Shah, S., Sivakumaran, S., Harris, D.J.: International human rights law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

29.

Fassbender, B., Peters, A., Peter, S., Högger, D.: The Oxford handbook of the history of international law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

30.

Rainey, B., Wicks, E., Ovey, C., Jacobs, F.G., White, R.C.A.: Jacobs, White & Ovey: the European Convention on Human Rights. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2017).

31.

Moeckli, D., Shah, S., Sivakumaran, S., Harris, D.J.: International human rights law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

32.

Alston, P., Goodman, R.: International human rights: text and materials. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

33.

Crawford, J.R.: The Identification of Customary International Law,
[http://www.google.co.uk/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=1&ved=0CCMQFjAA&url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.ila-hq.org%2Fdownload.cfm%2Fdocid%2FBC985B09-ACEA-4356-AD31C90620705001&ei=oQokVMPjJJPkaN7IgjG&usg=AFQjCNFUoRnjms2d6l4IAoiq_ucrCBANzQ&bvm=bv.76247554,d.d2s,\(23\)AD](http://www.google.co.uk/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=1&ved=0CCMQFjAA&url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.ila-hq.org%2Fdownload.cfm%2Fdocid%2FBC985B09-ACEA-4356-AD31C90620705001&ei=oQokVMPjJJPkaN7IgjG&usg=AFQjCNFUoRnjms2d6l4IAoiq_ucrCBANzQ&bvm=bv.76247554,d.d2s,(23)AD).

34.

United Nations: Universal Declaration of Human Rights,
<http://www.un.org/en/documents/udhr/>.

35.

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights: International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, <http://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/ccpr.aspx>.

36.

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights: International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, <http://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/cescr.aspx>.

37.

International Court of Justice: Advisory Opinion on Reservations to the Convention on Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide,
<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=4&k=90&case=12&code=ppcg&p3=4>.

38.

Aust, A.: *Modern treaty law and practice*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2013).

39.

Ed Bates: *Avoiding Legal Obligations Created by Human Rights Treaties*. *The International and Comparative Law Quarterly*. 57, 751–788.

40.

Besson, S.: *Theorizing the Sources of International Law*. In: *The philosophy of international law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2010).

41.

Bianchi, A.: Human Rights and the Magic of Jus Cogens. *European Journal of International Law*. 19, 491–508 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ejil/chn026>.

42.

Cannizzaro, E., Arsanjani, M.H.: *The law of treaties beyond the Vienna Convention*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

43.

Corten, O., Klein, P.: *The Vienna Conventions on the Law of Treaties: a commentary*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

44.

Olivier Corten, Pierre Klein,: *The Vienna Conventions on the Law of Treaties: A Commentary*.

45.

Kingsbury, B.: Legal Positivism as Normative Politics: International Society, Balance of Power and Lassa Oppenheim's Positive International Law. *European Journal of International Law*. 13, 401–437 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ejil/13.2.401>.

46.

Koskenniemi, M.: Hierarchy in International Law: A Sketch. *European Journal of International Law*. 8, 566–582 (1997).

47.

Kolb, R.: Selected Problems in the Theory of Customary International Law. *Netherlands International Law Review*. 50, 119–150. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0165070X03001190>.

48.

Meron, T.: Human rights and humanitarian norms as customary law. Clarendon, Oxford (1989). <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198257455.001.0001>.

49.

O'Connell, M.E., Cogens, J.: International Law's Higher Ethical Norms. In: The role of ethics in international law. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012).

50.

Perreau-Saussine, A., Murphy, J.B.: The nature of customary law. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2007).

51.

Perreau-Saussine, A., Murphy, J.B. eds: The Nature of Customary Law. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511493744>.

52.

Akehurst, M.: The Hierarchy of the Sources of International Law. The British year book of international law. 47, 281–285 (1975).

53.

Alston, P., Goodman, R.: International human rights: text and materials. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

54.

R (Nicklinson & Another) v. Ministry of Justice, [2014] UKSC 38 (25 June), https://www.supremecourt.uk/decided-cases/docs/uksc_2013_0235_judgment.pdf.

55.

Nicklinson & Lamb v. UK, ECtHR App. Nos. 1787/15 & 2478/15 (2015) .

56.

Schutter, O. de: *International human rights law: cases, materials, commentary*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom (2014).

57.

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights: *International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights*, <http://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/ccpr.aspx>.

58.

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights: *International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights*, <http://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/cescr.aspx>.

59.

European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, http://www.echr.coe.int/Pages/home.aspx?p=basictexts&c=#n1359128122487_pointer.

60.

Bassiouni, M.C., Schabas, W. eds: *New challenges for the UN human rights machinery: what future for the UN treaty body system and the Human Rights Council procedures?* Intersentia, Cambridge (2011).

61.

Carozza, P.G.: 'My Friend Is a Stranger': The Death Penalty and the Global Jus Commune of Human Rights. *Texas Law Review*. 81, 1031–1089.

62.

Carozza, P.G.: Human Dignity and Judicial Interpretation of Human Rights: A Reply. *European Journal of International Law*. 19, 931–944 (2008).

<https://doi.org/10.1093/ejil/chn059>.

63.

Egan, S.: *The United Nations human rights treaty system: law and procedure*. Bloomsbury Professional, Haywards Heath (2011).

64.

Guzman, A.T., Meyer, T.L.: *International Common Law: The Soft law of International Tribunals*. *Chicago journal of international law*. 9, 515–536.

65.

R.H. Helmholz: *Natural Human Rights: The Perspective of the IUS Commune*. *Catholic University Law Review*. 52,.

66.

Isiksel, T.: *Global legal pluralism as fact and norm*. *Global Constitutionalism*. 2, 160–195.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S2045381713000130>.

67.

Isiksel, T., Thies, A.: *Changing subjects: Rights, remedies and responsibilities of individuals under global legal pluralism*. *Global Constitutionalism*. 2, 151–159.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S2045381713000117>.

68.

McCrudden, C.: *Human Dignity and Judicial Interpretation of Human Rights*. *European Journal of International Law*. 19, 655–724 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ejil/chn043>.

69.

Örücü, E., *United Kingdom National Committee of Comparative Law: Judicial comparativism in human rights cases*. *United Kingdom National Committee of Comparative*

Law, [London] (2003).

70.

Shelton, D.: Regional protection of human rights. Oxford University Press, New York (2008).

71.

Stone Sweet, A.: A cosmopolitan legal order: Constitutional pluralism and rights adjudication in Europe. *Global Constitutionalism*. 1, 53-90.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S2045381711000062>.

72.

van Elsuwege, P.: New challenges for pluralist adjudication after Lisbon: the protection of fundamental rights in a *Ius commune Europaeum*. *Netherlands quarterly of human rights*. 30, 195-217 (2012).

73.

United Nations: Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW), <http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/cedaw/>.

74.

European Convention on Human Rights & Fundamental Freedoms,
<http://conventions.coe.int/Treaty/en/Treaties/Html/005.htm>.

75.

United Nations: Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, <http://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/CAT.aspx>.

76.

Alston, P., Goodman, R.: International human rights: text and materials. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

77.

Chinkin, C.: A critique of the public/private dimension. *European Journal of International Law*. 10, 387–395 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ejil/10.2.387>.

78.

X & Y v. Netherlands, ECtHR, App No. 8978/80,
<http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-57603#%7B%22itemid%22:%5B2001-57603%22%5D%7D>, (1985).

79.

Osman v. UK, ECtHR, App No. 87/1997/871/1083,
<http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-58257#%7B%22itemid%22:%5B2001-58257%22%5D%7D>, (1998).

80.

Committee Against Torture, General Comment No. 2, Implementation of article 2 by States Parties, http://www1.umn.edu/humanrts/cat/general_comments/cat-gencom2.html.

81.

Human Rights Committee, General Comment No. 31, Nature of the General Legal Obligation Imposed on States Parties to the Covenant, CCPR/C/21/Rev.1/Add.13,
<http://www1.umn.edu/humanrts/gencomm/hrcom31.html>.

82.

M.M. v. Netherlands, ECtHR, App. No 39339/98,
<http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-61002#%7B%22itemid%22:%5B2001-61002%22%5D%7D>, (2003).

83.

International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination,
<http://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/CERD.aspx>.

84.

Human Rights Committee, : General Comment No. 24: Issues relating to reservations made upon ratification or accession to the Covenant or the Optional Protocols thereto, or in relation to declarations under article 41 of the Covenant, U.N. Doc. CCPR/C/21/Rev.1/Add.6, <https://www1.umn.edu/humanrts/gencomm/hrcom24.htm>.

85.

UNGA 'Report of the Committee for the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women' (1998), <http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/cedaw/reports/18report.pdf>.

86.

Belilos v. Switzerland, ECtHR App. No 10328/83 (1988),
[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{"appno":\["10328/83"\],"itemid":\["001-57434"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{).

87.

Askin, K.D., Koenig, D.M.: Women and international human rights law. Transnational, Ardsley, N. Y. (1999).

88.

Charlesworth, H., Chinkin, C., Wright, S.: Feminist Approaches to International Law. The American Journal of International Law. 85, 613–645.

89.

Charlesworth, H., Chinkin, C.M.: The boundaries of international law: a feminist analysis. Manchester University Press, Manchester (2000).

90.

Andrew Clapham,,: Human Rights Obligations of Non-State Actors.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199288465.001.0001>.

91.

Clapham, A., Academy of European Law: Human rights obligations of non-state actors. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2006).

92.

Krook, M.L.: Quotas for women in politics: gender and candidate selection reform worldwide. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2009).

93.

New perspectives on the public-private divide. UBC Press, Vancouver (2003).

94.

Manjoo, R.: Violence Against Women in the United States and the State's Obligation to Protect,
<http://www.reproductiverights.org/sites/crr.civicactions.net/files/documents/vaw.pdf>,
(2011).

95.

Meyersfeld, B.: Domestic violence and international law. Hart, Oxford (2010).

96.

Mullally, S.: Domestic Violence Asylum Claims and Recent Developments in International Human Rights Law: A Progress Narrative? *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*. 60, 459–484. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020589311000042>.

97.

Opuz v. Turkey, ECtHR, App. No. 33401/02,
<http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-92945#%7B%22itemid%22:%5B%22001-92945%22%5D%7D>}, (2009).

98.

Shue, H.: Basic rights: subsistence, affluence, and U.S. foreign policy. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (1996).

99.

Alston, P., Goodman, R.: International human rights: text and materials. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

100.

United Nations: Universal Declaration of Human Rights,
<http://www.un.org/en/universal-declaration-human-rights/>.

101.

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights: International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, <http://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/cescr.aspx>.

102.

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights: International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, <http://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/ccpr.aspx>.

103.

European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms,
http://www.echr.coe.int/Pages/home.aspx?p=basictexts&c=#n1359128122487_pointer.

104.

International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination,
<http://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/CERD.aspx>.

105.

Öllinger v. Austria, ECtHR App. No. 76900/01,

106.

TBB v. Germany, Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination Communication
No. 48/2010, CERD/C/82/D/48/2010,
<http://www2.ohchr.org/English/bodies/cerd/docs/CERD-C-82-D-48-2010-English.pdf>, (4)AD.

107.

Goekce v. Austria, Annex, U.N. Doc. CEDAW/C/39/D/5/2005,
http://www.iwraw-ap.org/protocol/doc/Sahide_Goekce_v_Austria.pdf, (6)AD.

108.

Alston, P., Goodman, R.: International human rights: text and materials. Oxford University
Press, Oxford (2012).

109.

Eweida & others v. UK, ECtHR, App. Nos. 48420/10, 59842/10, 51671/10 and 36516/10,

110.

Human Rights Committee: General Comment 34, U.N. Doc CCPR/C/GC/34,
<http://www.refworld.org/docid/4ed34b562.html>.

111.

Koskenniemi, M.: Human Rights Mainstreaming as a Strategy for Institutional Power. *Humanity: An International Journal of Human Rights, Humanitarianism, and Development*. 1, 47–58. <https://doi.org/10.1353/hum.2010.0003>.

112.

Sweet, A.S., Matthews, J.: Proportionality Balancing and Global Constitutionalism. *Columbia Journal of Transnational Law*. 47, (2008).

113.

SAS v. France, ECtHR, App. No. 43835/11, <http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-145466#%7B%22itemid%22:%5B%22001-145466%22%5D%7D>}, (2014).

114.

□□ Council of Europe Parliamentary Assembly, Committee on Legal Affairs and Human Rights: The Future of the European Court of Human Rights and the Brighton Declaration, AS/Jur, http://assembly.coe.int/CommitteeDocs/2012/ajdoc42_2012.pdf, (3)AD.

115.

Protocol No. 15 Amending the Convention on the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, <http://conventions.coe.int/Treaty/EN/Treaties/Html/213.htm>, (24)AD.

116.

R (Nicklinson & Another) v. Ministry of Justice, [2014] UKSC 38 (25 June), https://www.supremecourt.uk/decided-cases/docs/uksc_2013_0235_judgment.pdf.

117.

Arosemena, G.: Conflicts of rights in international human rights: A meta-rule analysis. *Global Constitutionalism*. 2, 6–36. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S2045381712000214>.

118.

Foster, L., Jacobs, L.A., Azmi, S.: *Balancing competing human rights claims in a diverse society: institutions, policy, principles*. Irwin Law, Toronto (2012).

119.

Benvenisti, E.: *Margin of Appreciation, Consensus and Universal Standards*. New York University journal of international law & politics. 31, 843–854.

120.

Brady, A.D.P.: *Proportionality and Deference under the UK Human Rights Act*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139003445>.

121.

Brady, A.D.P.: *Proportionality and deference under the UK Human Rights Act: an institutionally sensitive approach*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK (2012).

122.

Brems, E., *International Conference on Conflicts Between Fundamental Rights: Conflicts between fundamental rights*. Intersentia, Antwerp (2008).

123.

Başak Cali: *Balancing Human Rights? Methodological Problems with Weights, Scales and Proportions*. Human Rights Quarterly. 29, 251–270.

124.

Virgílio Afonso da Silva: *Comparing the Incommensurable: Constitutional Principles, Balancing and Rational Decision*. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies. 31, 273–301.

125.

Handyside v. UK, ECtHR, App. No. 5493/72,
<http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-57499#%7B%22itemid%22:%5B%22001-57499%22%5D%7D>, (1976).

126.

Kennedy, D.: *The dark sides of virtue: reassessing international humanitarianism*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (2004).

127.

Kennedy, D.: *A critique of adjudication: fin de siècle*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass (1997).

128.

Klare, K.: *Legal Theory and Democratic Reconstruction*. University of British Columbia law review. 25, 69–103 (1991).

129.

Klatt, M., Meister, M.: *The constitutional structure of proportionality*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

130.

Onora O'Neill: *The Dark Side of Human Rights*. *International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-)*. 81, 427–439.

131.

Samuels, H.: *Feminizing Human Rights Adjudication: Feminist Method and the Proportionality Principle*. *Feminist Legal Studies*. 21, 39–60.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10691-012-9225-6>.

132.

Cass R. Sunstein: Rights and Their Critics. *Notre Dame Law Review*. 70, 727–768 (1995).

133.

Stavros Tsakyrakis: Proportionality: An Assault on Human Rights? *International Journal of Constitutional Law*. 7, 468–493 (2009).

134.

Madhav Khosla: Proportionality: An Assault on Human Rights: A Reply. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*. 8, 293–306 (2010).

135.

Stavros Tsakyrakis: Proportionality: An Assault on Human Rights: A Rejoinder to Madhav Khosla. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*. 8, 307–310 (2010).

136.

Klatt, M., Meister, M.: Proportionality--a benefit to human rights? Remarks on the I.CON controversy. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*. 10, 687–708 (2012).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/icon/mos019>.

137.

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights: International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, <http://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/ccpr.aspx>.

138.

European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms,
http://www.echr.coe.int/Pages/home.aspx?p=basictexts&c=#n1359128122487_pointer.

139.

Organization of American States: American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man,
http://www.hrcr.org/docs/OAS_Declaration/oasrights.html.

140.

Geneva Convention (III) relative to the Treatment of Prisoners of War,
<http://www.icrc.org/ihl/INTRO/375?OpenDocument>.

141.

Protocol (I) Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and relating to the
Protection of Victims of International Armed Conflicts, <http://www.icrc.org/ihl/INTRO/470>.

142.

Geneva Convention (IV) relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War,
<http://www.icrc.org/ihl/385ec082b509e76c41256739003e636d/6756482d86146898c125641e004aa3c5>.

143.

Alston, P., Goodman, R.: International human rights: text and materials. Oxford University
Press, Oxford (2012).

144.

OLC Memo for Alberto R. Gonzales & William J. Haynes II,
<http://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/olc/legacy/2009/08/24/memo-laws-taliban-detainees.pdf>, (22)AD.

145.

OLC Memo for Alberto R. Gonzales, http://lawofwar.org/Bush_torture_memo.htm, (7)AD.

146.

Memo from the President to national security advisors,
<http://www2.gwu.edu/~nsarchiv/NSAEBB/NSAEBB127/02.02.07.pdf>, (7)AD.

147.

Al-Jedda v. UK, ECtHR, [GC] App. no. 27021/08 (2011),
[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{"dmdocnumber":\["887954"\],"itemid":\["001-105612"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{).

148.

Hassan v. UK, ECtHR [GC], no. 29750/09 (2014),
[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{"documentcollectionid2":\["GRANDCHAMBER","CHAMBER"\],"itemid":\["001-146501"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{), (2014).

149.

Alston, P., Goodman, R.: International human rights: text and materials. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

150.

Statement of Attorney General Eric Holder on Closure of Investigation into the Interrogation of Certain Detainees, Department of Justice Office of Public Affairs (August 30, 2012),
<http://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/statement-attorney-general-eric-holder-closure-investigation-interrogation-certain-detainees>.

151.

OLC Memo for Alberto R. Gonzales, Counsel to the President, Re: Standards of Conduct for Interrogation Under 18 USC §§ 2340-2340A, August 1, 2002,
<http://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/olc/legacy/2010/08/05/memo-gonzales-aug2002.pdf>.

152.

CIA Office of General Counsel, Draft: Legal Appendix: Paragraph 5-Hostile Interrogations:

Legal Considerations for CIA Officers, November 26, 2002.

153.

Abella v. Argentina, Case 11.137, Inter-American Commission on Human Rights, Report No. 55/97, <http://www1.umn.edu/humanrts/cases/1997/argentina55-97a.html>.

154.

Armed Activities on the Territory of the Congo (DRC v. Uganda), ICJ Rep. 168, <http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&code=co&case=116&k=51/>.

155.

Abresch, W.: A Human Rights Law of Internal Armed Conflict: The European Court of Human Rights in Chechnya. *European Journal of International Law*. 16, 741–767. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ejil/chi139>.

156.

Arnold, R., Quénivet, N.N.R.: *International humanitarian law and human rights law: towards a new merger in international law*. Martinus Nijhoff, Leiden (2008).

157.

Inter-American Court of Human Rights: *Bámaca Velásquez v. Guatemala*, Case No. 11/129 (Merits), *Inter-Am. Ct. H.R.*, (Ser. C) No. 70, <http://www1.umn.edu/humanrts/iachr/C/70-ing.html>.

158.

Bullard, A.: *Human rights in crisis*. Ashgate, Aldershot (2008).

159.

Coard v. the United States of America, Inter-American Commission on Human Rights, Case 10.951, <https://www.cidh.oas.org/annualrep/99eng/Merits/UnitedStates10.951.htm>.

160.

Doswald-Beck, L., Vité, S.: International Humanitarian Law and Human Rights Law. *International Review of the Red Cross*. 33, 94–119.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020860400071539>.

161.

Cordula Droege: The Interplay between International Humanitarian Law and International Human Rights Law in Situations of Armed Conflict. *Israel Law Review*. 40, 310–355.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021223700013376>.

162.

Goldsmith, J.L.: *Power and constraint: the accountable presidency after 9/11*. W. W. Norton & Co, New York (2012).

163.

Greenberg, K.J.: *The least worst place: Guantanamo's first 100 days*. Oxford University Press, New York (2009).

164.

Hampson, F.: The Relationship between International Human Rights Law and International Humanitarian Law, E/CN.4/Sub.2/2005/14,
<http://www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/subcom/57/aevdoc.htm>.

165.

Heintze, H.-J.: On the relationship between human rights law protection and international humanitarian law. *Revue Internationale de la Croix-Rouge/International Review of the Red Cross*. 86, 789–814. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1560775500180460>.

166.

Kolb, R.: *The relationship between international humanitarian law and human rights law: A*

brief history of the 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the 1949 Geneva Conventions. *International Review of the Red Cross*. 38, 409-419.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S002086040009121X>.

167.

Las Palmeras v. Colombia (Preliminary Objections, February 4, 2000), Inter-Am. Ct. H.R., (Ser. C) No. 67, <http://www1.umn.edu/humanrts/iachr/C/67-ing.html>.

168.

International Court of Justice: Legal Consequences of the Construction of a Wall in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, Advisory Opinion, ICJ Rep. 136,
<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=4&case=131&p3=4>.

169.

Levinson, S.: *Torture: a collection*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2004).

170.

Meron, T.: Convergence of International Humanitarian Law and Human Rights Law. In: *Human rights and humanitarian law: the quest for universality*. M. Nijhoff, The Hague (1997).

171.

Theodor Meron: The Humanization of Humanitarian Law. *The American Journal of International Law*. 94, 239-278.

172.

Orakhelashvili, A.: The Interaction between Human Rights and Humanitarian Law: Fragmentation, Conflict, Parallelism, or Convergence? *European Journal of International Law*. 19, 161-182 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ejil/chm055>.

173.

Roth, K., Worden, M., Bernstein, A.D.: *Torture: does it make us safer? Is it ever OK? : a human rights perspective*. New Press in conjunction with Human Rights Watch, New York, N.Y. (2005).

174.

Sands, P.: *Torture team: Rumsfeld's memo and the betrayal of American values*. Palgrave Macmillan, New York (2008).

175.

Johan Steyn: *Guantanamo Bay: The Legal Black Hole*. *The International and Comparative Law Quarterly*. 53, 1–15.

176.

United Nations: *International legal protection of human rights in armed conflict*. United Nations, New York (2011).

177.

Alston, P., Goodman, R.: *McCann and others v. United Kingdom: European Court of Human Rights, Application No.18984/91 (Grand Chamber), 27 Sept.1995*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

178.

Dinstein, Y.: *Lawful targets of attack*. In: *The Conduct of Hostilities under the Law of International Armed Conflict*. pp. 102–138. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781316389591.006>.

179.

Dinstein, Y.: *Prohibited weapons*. In: *The Conduct of Hostilities under the Law of International Armed Conflict*. pp. 72–101. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781316389591.005>.

180.

Supreme Court of Israel: Public Committee Against Torture in Israel v. Israel, Supreme Court of Israel, HCJ 769/02, http://www.haguejusticeportal.net/Docs/NLP/Israel/Targetted_Killings_Supreme_Court_13-12-2006.pdf, (2006).

181.

Office of the Assistant Attorney General, Memorandum for the Attorney General, July 16, 2010, <http://www.washingtonpost.com/r/2010-2019/WashingtonPost/2014/06/23/National-Security/Graphics/memodrones.pdf>.

182.

The White House: U.S. Policy Standards and Procedures for the Use of Force in Counterterrorism Operations Outside the United States and Areas of Active Hostilities, <http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2013/05/23/fact-sheet-us-policy-standards-and-procedures-use-force-counterterrorism>.

183.

House of Lords House of Commons Joint Committee on Human Rights, The Government's policy on the use of drones for targeted killing, Second Report of Session 2015-16, HL Paper 141 HC 574 (10 May 2016), <https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/jt201516/jtselect/jtrights/574/574.pdf>.

184.

Alston, P., Goodman, R.: International human rights: text and materials. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

185.

Human Rights Committee: HRC, General Comment No. 31: Nature of the General Legal Obligation Imposed on States Parties to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, <http://www1.umn.edu/humanrts/gencomm/hrcom31.html>.

186.

International Court of Justice: Legal Consequences of the Construction of a Wall in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, Advisory Opinion, ICJ Rep. 136,
<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=4&case=131&p3=4>.

187.

U.S. Department of State: Fourth Periodic Report of the United States of America to the United Nations Committee on Human Rights Concerning the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, <http://www.state.gov/j/drl/rls/179781.htm>.

188.

Supreme Court: Smith (No. 2) v. Ministry of Defence [2013] UKSC 41. (19)AD.

189.

U.N. General Assembly: General Assembly Third Committee, The Right to Privacy in a Digital Age, UN Doc. A/C.3/68/L.45,
<http://daccess-dds-ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/LTD/N13/576/77/PDF/N1357677.pdf?OpenElement>, (20)AD.

190.

MacAskill, E., Ball, J.: UN surveillance resolution goes ahead despite attempts to dilute language,
<http://www.theguardian.com/world/2013/nov/21/un-surveillance-resolution-us-uk-dilute-language>.

191.

Milanovic, M.: Foreign Surveillance and Human Rights: Introduction,
<http://www.ejiltalk.org/foreign-surveillance-and-human-rights-introduction/>.

192.

Milanovic, M.: CRC Concluding Observations on the Holy See,
<http://www.ejiltalk.org/crc-concluding-observations-on-the-holy-see/>.

193.

The Right to Privacy in the Digital Age, Report of the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights, A/HRC/27/37 (June 30, 2014), https://www.google.co.uk/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=1&ved=0CCEQFjAAahUKEwias4aYjf_HAhXFfhoKHXC5BFM&url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.ohchr.org%2FEN%2FHRBodies%2FHRC%2FRegularSessions%2FSession27%2FDocuments%2FA-HRC-27-37_en.doc&usq=AFQjCNEV939mQ6D32744AZQmPV2V0uLuA&sig2=s-h3KVwWowHyQFrfoxGadA.

194.

Necessary and Proportionate, <https://necessaryandproportionate.org/principles>.

195.

Alston, P.: Study on Targeted Killings – Addendum to the Report of the Special Rapporteur on Extrajudicial, Summary or Arbitrary Executions, <http://www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/hrcouncil/docs/14session/A.HRC.14.24.Add6.pdf>.

196.

Alston, P., Hunt, P., Kälin, W., Kothari, M.: Report of UN Special Rapporteurs on Mission To Lebanon And Israel, UN Doc A/HRC/2/7, <http://daccess-dds-ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/G06/141/95/PDF/G0614195.pdf?OpenElement>.

197.

Bothe, M.: The Protection of the Civilian Population and NATO Bombing on Yugoslavia: Comments on a Report to the Prosecutor of the ICTY. *European Journal of International Law*. 12, 531–536 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ejil/12.3.531>.

198.

International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia: Final Report to the Prosecutor by the Committee Established to Review the NATO Bombing Campaign Against the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia, <http://www.icty.org/sid/10052>.

199.

Israeli Ministry of Foreign Affairs: Responding to Hizbullah attacks from Lebanon: Issues of proportionality,
<http://www.mfa.gov.il/mfa/aboutisrael/state/law/pages/responding%20to%20hizbullah%20attacks%20from%20lebanon-%20issues%20of%20proportionality%20july%202006.aspx>.

200.

Kretzmer, D.: Targeted Killing of Suspected Terrorists: Extra-Judicial Executions or Legitimate Means of Defence? *European Journal of International Law*. 16, 171–212.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/ejil/chi114>.

201.

McCorquodale, R., Simons, P.: Responsibility beyond Borders: State Responsibility for Extraterritorial Violations by Corporations of International Human Rights Law. *The Modern Law Review*. 70, 598–625.

202.

Melzer, N.: Interpretive guidance on the notion of direct participation in hostilities under international humanitarian law,
<http://www.icrc.org/eng/resources/documents/publication/p0990.htm>.

203.

International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia: Prosecutor v. Blas

vic

, Appeal Judgement, Case No. IT-95-14-A, <http://www.icty.org/case/blaskic/4>.

204.

International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia: Prosecutor v. Gotovina, Appeals Judgment, Case No. IT-06-90-A,
http://www.icty.org/x/cases/gotovina/acjug/en/121116_judgement.pdf.

205.

International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia: Prosecutor v. Galic

, Trial Judgment, Case No. IT-98-29-T,
<http://www.icty.org/x/cases/galic/acjug/en/gal-acjud061130.pdf>, (2003).

206.

Marco Roscini: Targeting and Contemporary Aerial Bombardment. *The International and Comparative Law Quarterly*. 54, 411–443.

207.

Ruggie, J.G.: Business and Human Rights: The Evolving International Agenda. *The American Journal of International Law*. 101, 819–840.

208.

Watkin, K.: Controlling the Use of Force: A Role for Human Rights Norms in Contemporary Armed Conflict. *The American Journal of International Law*. 98, 1–34.

209.

Business & Human Rights Resource Centre: Extraterritorial jurisdiction,
<http://business-humanrights.org/en/special-representative/un-secretary-generals-special-representative-on-business-human-rights/materials-by-topic/extraterritorial-jurisdiction>.

210.

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights: International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, <http://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/cescr.aspx>.

211.

Alston, P., Goodman, R.: International human rights: text and materials. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

212.

Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, G.A. res. 63/117 (2008),
<http://www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/cescr/docs/A-RES-63-117.pdf>.

213.

African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (Banjul Charter / ACHPR) (1981),
http://www.achpr.org/files/instruments/achpr/banjul_charter.pdf.

214.

American Convention on Human Rights (Pact of San Jose / ACHR) (1969),
http://www.oas.org/dil/treaties_B-32_American_Convention_on_Human_Rights.pdf.

215.

Additional Protocol to the American Convention on Human Rights in the Area of Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights (Protocol of San Salvador) (1988),
[http://www.oas.org/dil/1988%20Additional%20Protocol%20to%20the%20American%20Convention%20on%20Human%20Rights%20in%20the%20Area%20of%20Economic,%20Social%20and%20Cultural%20Rights%20\(Protocol%20of%20San%20Salvador\).pdf](http://www.oas.org/dil/1988%20Additional%20Protocol%20to%20the%20American%20Convention%20on%20Human%20Rights%20in%20the%20Area%20of%20Economic,%20Social%20and%20Cultural%20Rights%20(Protocol%20of%20San%20Salvador).pdf).

216.

African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights, Principles and Guidelines on the Implementation of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights in the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (November 2010),
http://www.achpr.org/files/instruments/economic-social-cultural/achpr_instr_guide_draft_esc_rights_eng.pdf.

217.

□□ Monim Elgak, Osman Hummeida and Amir Suliman (represented by FIDH and OMCT) v Sudan, African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights, Communication 379/09 (10

March, 2015),
http://www.achpr.org/files/sessions/15th-eo/comunications/379.09/achpr15eos_decision_379_09_eng.pdf.

218.

Acevedo Buendía v. Perú, IACtHR (1 July, 2009),
http://www.corteidh.or.cr/docs/casos/articulos/seriec_198_ing.pdf.

219.

Committee on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights, General Comment No. 15 (2002),
<http://www.refworld.org/docid/4538838d11.html>.

220.

Roth, K.: Defending Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: Practical Issues Faced by an International Human Rights Organization. 26,.

221.

Roth, K.: Response to Leonard S. Rubenstein. *Human Rights Quarterly*. 26, 873–878 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1353/hrq.2004.0055>.

222.

Rubenstein, L.S.: How International Human Rights Organizations Can Advance Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: A Response to Kenneth Roth. *Human Rights Quarterly*. 26, 845–865 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1353/hrq.2004.0056>.

223.

de Albuquerque, C.: Chronicle of an Announced Birth: The Coming into Life of the Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights—The Missing Piece of the International Bill of Human Rights. *Human Rights Quarterly*. 32, 144–178. <https://doi.org/10.1353/hrq.0.0137>.

224.

Philip Alston: Ships Passing in the Night: The Current State of the Human Rights and Development Debate Seen through the Lens of the Millennium Development Goals. *Human Rights Quarterly*. 27, 755–829.

225.

Alston, P.: A Human Rights Perspective on the Millennium Development Goals, <http://www.appg-popdevrh.org.uk/Publications/Population%20Hearings/Evidence/UNHCHR%20report%202.pdf>.

226.

De Schutter, O., et al: Commentary to the Maastricht Principles on Extraterritorial Obligations of States in the Area of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. *Human Rights Quarterly*. 34, 1084–1169. <https://doi.org/10.1353/hrq.2012.0063>.

227.

Easterly, W.: How the Millennium Development Goals are Unfair to Africa. *World Development*. 37, 26–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2008.02.009>.

228.

Gauri, V., Brinks, D.M.: *Courting social justice: judicial enforcement of social and economic rights in the developing world*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2008).

229.

Langford, M.: *Social rights jurisprudence: emerging trends in international and comparative law*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2008).

230.

Nelson, P.J.: Human Rights, the Millennium Development Goals, and the Future of Development Cooperation. *World Development*. 35, 2041–2055. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2007.02.006>.

231.

Rosenberg, G.N.: *The hollow hope: can courts bring about social change?* University of Chicago, Chicago (2008).

232.

Sachs, J.D., McArthur, J.W.: *The Millennium Project: a plan for meeting the Millennium Development Goals.* *Lancet.* 365, 347–353 (22)AD.

233.

Saith, A.: *From Universal Values to Millennium Development Goals: Lost in Translation.* *Development and Change.* 37, 1167–1199.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7660.2006.00518.x>.

234.

Travis, P., et al: *Overcoming health-systems constraints to achieve the Millennium Development Goals.* *The Lancet.* 364, 900–906.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(04\)16987-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(04)16987-0).

235.

Tushnet, M.V.: *Weak courts, strong rights: judicial review and social welfare rights in comparative constitutional law.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (2008).

236.

White, L., Perelman, J.: *Stones of hope: how African activists reclaim human rights to challenge global poverty.* Stanford University Press, Stanford, Calif (2011).

237.

Yamin, A.E., Gloppen, S.: *Litigating health rights: can courts bring more justice to health?* Harvard University Press, [Cambridge, MA] (2011).

238.

Young, K.G.: Constituting economic and social rights. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

239.

Craven, M.C.R.: The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: a perspective on its development. Clarendon Press, Oxford (2002).

240.

Sepúlveda, M.M.: The nature of the obligations under the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Intersentia, Antwerpen (2003).

241.

Tara Melish: Protecting economic, social and cultural rights in the Inter-American human rights system,
https://law.yale.edu/system/files/documents/pdf/Intellectual_Life/MANUAL_OAS_INGLES.pdf
, (2002).

242.

United Nations: Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, General Comment No. 20, Non-Discrimination in Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (art. 2, para. 2) U.N. Doc. E/C.12/GC/20, <http://www1.umn.edu/humanrts/gencomm/escgencom20.html>, (2009).

243.

Young, K.G., Lemaitre, J.: The Comparative Fortunes of the Right to Health: Two Tales of Justiciability in Colombia and South Africa. Harvard Human Rights Journal. 26, 179–216 (2013).

244.

Yamin, A.E., Parra-Vera, O.: Judicial protection of the right to health in Colombia: From

social demands to individual claims to public debates. *Hastings International and Comparative Law Review*. 33, 431–459.

245.

Moeckli, D., Shah, S., Sivakumaran, S., Harris, D.J.: *International human rights law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

246.

Alston, P., Goodman, R., Steiner, H.J.: *International human rights: text and materials*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

247.

ICCPR, <http://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/ccpr.aspx>.

248.

ACHPR, http://www.achpr.org/files/instruments/achpr/banjul_charter.pdf.

249.

Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (1948), <https://treaties.un.org/doc/Publication/UNTS/Volume%2078/volume-78-I-1021-English.pdf>.

250.

Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, GA Res 61/295 (2007), http://www.un.org/esa/socdev/unpfii/documents/DRIPS_en.pdf.

251.

Kitok v. Sweden, HRC Comm. No. 197/1985, UN Doc. A/43/40 (1988), http://www.worldcourts.com/hrc/eng/decisions/1988.07.27_Kitok_v_Sweden.htm.

252.

Human Rights Committee, General Comment 23, UN Doc CCPR/C/21/Rev.1/Add.5 (1994), <http://www.refworld.org/docid/453883fc0.html>.

253.

Human Rights Committee, General Comment 12 (1984), in , U.N. Doc. HRI/GEN/1/Rev.1 at 12, <http://www.refworld.org/docid/453883f822.html>.

254.

Advisory Opinion of the African Commission on Human and Peoples ' Rights on the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (2007), http://www.achpr.org/files/special-mechanisms/indigenous-populations/un_advisory_opinion_idp_eng.pdf.

255.

Apirana Mahuika v. New Zealand, Human Rights Committee, Comm. No. 547/1993, UN Doc. CCPR/C/70/D/547/1993 (2000), <http://hrlibrary.umn.edu/undocs/547-1993.html>.

256.

The Human Rights Situation of Indigenous People in the Americas, IACmHR, OEA/Ser.L/V/II/108, Doc. 62 (2000), <http://www.cidh.org/Indigenas/TOC.htm>.

257.

Xákmok Kásek Indigenous Community v. Paraguay, IACtHR Ser. C No. 214 (24 August 2010), http://www.corteidh.or.cr/docs/casos/articulos/seriec_214_ing.pdf.

258.

Saramaka People v. Suriname, IACtHR Ser. C No. 172 (28 November, 2007), http://www.corteidh.or.cr/docs/casos/articulos/seriec_172_ing.pdf.

259.

Centre for Minority Rights Development (Kenya) and Minority Rights Group International on behalf of Endorois Welfare Council v. Kenya, Afr Comm'n HPR Case 276/03 (2010), http://www.achpr.org/files/sessions/46th/comunications/276.03/achpr46_276_03_eng.pdf.

260.

African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights, Principles and Guidelines on the Implementation of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights in the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (November 2010), http://www.achpr.org/files/instruments/economic-social-cultural/achpr_instr_guide_draft_esc_rights_eng.pdf.

261.

Addis, Adeno: Individualism, Communitarianism, And The Rights Of Ethnic Minorities. The Notre Dame Law Review. 67, 615-676.

262.

Alston, P., Goodman, R.: International human rights: text and materials. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

263.

Katangese Peoples' Congress v. Zaire, ACmHPR Comm. 75/92 (1995), <http://www1.umn.edu/humanrts/africa/comcases/75-92.html>.

264.

Reference by the Governor in Council concerning Certain Questions relating to the Secession of Quebec from Canada ([1998] 2 Supreme Court Reporter (SCR) 217, <http://scc-csc.lexum.com/scc-csc/scc-csc/en/item/1643/index.do>.

265.

Report of the Special Envoy of the Secretary-General on Kosovo's future status, U.N. Doc. S/2007/168 (26 March, 2007), <https://www.osce.org/kosovo/24787?download=true>.

266.

Accordance with international law of the unilateral declaration of independence in respect of Kosovo (Request for Advisory Opinion), 2010 ICJ Rep 403 (22 July), <https://www.icj-cij.org/files/case-related/141/141-20100722-ADV-01-00-EN.pdf>.

267.

Alston, P., Goodman, R.: International human rights: text and materials. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

268.

Protocol No. 13 to European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, <http://conventions.coe.int/Treaty/Commun/QueVoulezVous.asp?NT=187&CL=ENG>.

269.

Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (1948), <https://treaties.un.org/doc/Publication/UNTS/Volume%2078/volume-78-I-1021-English.pdf>.

270.

European Court of Human Rights: Al-Saadoon & Mufdhi v UK, ECtHR (61498/08). (2)AD.

271.

European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber): El Masri v. Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, ECtHR, App No. 39630/09. (13)AD.

272.

European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber): Ilaşcu v. Moldova and Russia, ECtHR, App no. 48787/99. (8)AD.

273.

European Court of Human Rights: Hussein v. Albania & Others, ECtHR, Admissibility Decision, App no. 23276/04. (14)AD.

274.

Human Rights Watch: The EU's Dirty Hands,
<http://www.hrw.org/reports/2011/09/21/eu-s-dirty-hands-0>.

275.

Al-Nashiri v. Poland, ECtHR, App no. 28761/11 (24 July, 2014),
[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng?i=001-146044#{"itemid":\["001-146044"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng?i=001-146044#{).

276.

Application of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (Bosnia and Herzegovina v. Serbia and Montenegro), Judgment, 2007 ICJ Rep 43 (2007),
<https://www.icj-cij.org/files/case-related/91/091-20070226-JUD-01-00-EN.pdf>.

277.

House of Lords House of Commons Joint Committee on Human Rights, The Government's policy on the use of drones for targeted killing, Second Report of Session 2015–16, HL Paper 141 HC 574 (10 May 2016),
<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/jt201516/jtselect/jtrights/574/574.pdf>.

278.

Sands, P.P., Clapham, P.A.,
Ghra

laigh, B.N.: Legal Opinion: The Lawfulness of the Authorisation by the United Kingdom of Weapons and Related Items for Export to Saudi Arabia in the Context of Saudi Arabia's Military Intervention in Yemen (11 December, 2015),
https://www.amnesty.org.uk/files/webfm/Documents/issues/final_legal_opinion_saudi_arabia_18_december_2015_-_final.pdf.

279.

Aust, H.P.: *Complicity and the law of state responsibility*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2011). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511862632>.

280.

Bird, A.: *Third State Responsibility for Human Rights Violations*. *European Journal of International Law*. 21, 883–900 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ejil/chq066>.

281.

Duffy, A.: *Expulsion to Face Torture? Non-refoulement in International Law*. *International Journal of Refugee Law*. 20, 373–390 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ijrl/een022>.

282.

Nollkaemper, A., Jacobs, D.: *Shared Responsibility in International Law*. *Michigan journal of international law*. 34, 359–438.

283.

Venice Commission: *Opinion on the International Legal Obligations of Council of Europe member states in respect of secret detention facilities and inter-state transport of prisoners*, Opinion No. 363/2005, Doc. CDL-AD(2006)009, [http://www.venice.coe.int/webforms/documents/default.aspx?pdffile=CDL-AD\(2006\)009-e,\(17\)AD](http://www.venice.coe.int/webforms/documents/default.aspx?pdffile=CDL-AD(2006)009-e,(17)AD).

284.

United Nations: *Draft Articles on the Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts*, http://legal.un.org/ilc/texts/instruments/english/draft%20articles/9_6_2001.pdf, (2001).

285.

Noyes, J.E., Smith, B.D.: *State Responsibility and the Principle of Joint and Several Liability*.

The Yale journal of international law. 13, 225–267.

286.

Avbelj, M., Fontanelli, F., Martinico, G. eds: Kadi on trial: a multifaceted analysis of the Kadi trial. Routledge, London (2014).

287.

Burns, W.C.G., Osofsky, H.M.: Adjudicating climate change: state, national, and international approaches. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2009).

288.

d'Aspremont, J., Dopagne, F.: Kadi: The ECJ's Reminder of the Elementary Divide between Legal Orders. International Organizations Law Review. 5, 371–379 (2008).
<https://doi.org/10.1163/157237408X412970>.

289.

de Burca, G.: The European Court of Justice and the International Legal Order after Kadi. Harvard International Law Journal. 51, 1–49.

290.

de Wet, E., Vidmar, J.: Conflicts between international paradigms: Hierarchy versus systemic integration. Global Constitutionalism. 2, 196–217.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S2045381713000129>.

291.

Humphreys, S.: Human rights and climate change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010).

292.

International Council on Human Rights Policy: Beyond Technology Transfer: Protecting

Human Rights in a Climate-Constrained World, <http://www.ichrp.org/>, (2011).

293.

Isiksel, N.T.: Fundamental rights in the EU after Kadi and Al Barakaat. *European Law Journal*. 16, 551–577. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2010.00522.x>.

294.

Kokott, J., Sobotta, C.: The Kadi Case - Constitutional Core Values and International Law - Finding the Balance? *European Journal of International Law*. 23, 1015–1024 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ejil/chs063>.

295.

Thomas Nagel: The Problem of Global Justice. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 33, 113–147.

296.

Pogge, T.W.M.: *World poverty and human rights: cosmopolitan responsibilities and reforms*. Polity, Cambridge (2008).

297.

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights: Report of the UN Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights on the Relationship Between Climate Change and Human Rights, UN Doc. A/HRC/10/61, <http://www.ohchr.org/Documents/Press/AnalyticalStudy.pdf>, (15)AD.

298.

Ryngaert, C.: The European Court of Human Rights' approach to the responsibility of member states in connection with acts of international organizations. *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*. 60, 997–1016. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020589311000467>.

299.

Aurel Sari: *The Relationship Between Community Law and International Law after Kadi*. In: *International law in a multipolar world*. Routledge, London (2013).

300.

Symposium: *International Human Rights and Climate Change*. *Georgia journal of international and comparative law*. 38, 511–797 (2010).

301.

Uvin, P.: *Human rights and development*. Kumarian Press, Bloomfield, Conn (2004).

302.

Vizard, P.: *Poverty and human rights: Sen's 'capability perspective' explored*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2006).

303.

Ziegler, K.S.: *Strengthening the Rule of Law, but Fragmenting International Law: The Kadi Decision of the ECJ from the Perspective of Human Rights*. *Human Rights Law Review*. 9, 288–305 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1093/hrlr/ngp010>.

304.

Alston, P., Goodman, R.: *International human rights: text and materials*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

305.

Alston, P., Macdonald, E., *Academy of European Law: Human rights, intervention, and the use of force*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2008).
<https://doi.org/DOL:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199552719.003.0005>.

306.

United Nations: *UN Charter*, <https://treaties.un.org/doc/publication/ctc/uncharter.pdf>,

(1945).

307.

Bosphorus Hava Yollari Turizm Ve Ticaret Anonim Sirketi v Ireland (Application 45036/98) 42 EHRR 1, 19 BHRC 299. European Court of Human Rights (2005).

308.

European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber): Al-Jedda v. UK, ECtHR, App. no. 27021/08. (7)AD.

309.

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber): Kadi & Al Barakaat International Foundation v. Council of the EU & Commission of the EU, ECJ C-402/05 P & C-415/05 P. (3)AD.

310.

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber): European Commission v. Kadi, ECJ C-584/10 P & C-595/10 P. (18)AD.

311.

de Burca, G: The European Court of Justice and the International Legal Order After Kadi. Harvard International Law Journal. 51, 1–49.

312.

Nada v. Switzerland, ECtHR App no 10593/08 (12 September 2012), [http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng?i=001-113118#{"itemid":\["001-113118"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng?i=001-113118#{).

313.

DE WET, E., VIDMAR, J.: Conflicts between international paradigms: Hierarchy versus systemic integration. Global Constitutionalism. 2, 196–217 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S2045381713000129>.

314.

International Commission on Intervention and State Sovereignty: The Responsibility to Protect, <http://responsibilitytoprotect.org/ICISS%20Report.pdf>.

315.

The Independent International Commission on Kosovo, The Kosovo Report (2000), <http://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/6D26FF88119644CFC1256989005CD392-thekosovoreport.pdf>.

316.

Dinah Shelton, The Oxford Handbook of International Human Rights Law 2013, [http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/search.do;jsessionid=BBDE8C447BB987EEC948830E0037FE55?cs=frb&ct=frb&frbg=707356124&fctN=facet_frbrgroupid&fctV=707356124&doc=UCL_LMS_DS002234891&lastPag=&lastPagIndx=1&rftGrp=frbr&frbrSrt=rank&frbrRecordsSource=Primo+Local&frbrJtitleDisplay=&frbrIssnDisplay=&frbrEissnDisplay=&frbrSourceidDisplay=UCL_LMS_DS&query=any%2Ccontains%2CDinah%20Shelton%2C%20The%20Oxford%20Handbook%20of%20International%20Human%20Rights%20Law%20\(2013\)&indx=1&fn=search&dscnt=0&search_scope=CSCOP_UCL&scp.scps=scope%3A\(UCL\)%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&vid=UCL_VU1&onCampus=false&highlight=false&ct=search&institution=UCL&bulkSize=10&tab=local&displayField=title&dym=true&vl\(2235343UI0\)=any&vl\(freeText0\)=Dinah%20Shelton%2C%20The%20Oxford%20Handbook%20of%20International%20Human%20Rights%20Law%20\(2013\)&dstmp=1508443362273&fromDL](http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/search.do;jsessionid=BBDE8C447BB987EEC948830E0037FE55?cs=frb&ct=frb&frbg=707356124&fctN=facet_frbrgroupid&fctV=707356124&doc=UCL_LMS_DS002234891&lastPag=&lastPagIndx=1&rftGrp=frbr&frbrSrt=rank&frbrRecordsSource=Primo+Local&frbrJtitleDisplay=&frbrIssnDisplay=&frbrEissnDisplay=&frbrSourceidDisplay=UCL_LMS_DS&query=any%2Ccontains%2CDinah%20Shelton%2C%20The%20Oxford%20Handbook%20of%20International%20Human%20Rights%20Law%20(2013)&indx=1&fn=search&dscnt=0&search_scope=CSCOP_UCL&scp.scps=scope%3A(UCL)%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&vid=UCL_VU1&onCampus=false&highlight=false&ct=search&institution=UCL&bulkSize=10&tab=local&displayField=title&dym=true&vl(2235343UI0)=any&vl(freeText0)=Dinah%20Shelton%2C%20The%20Oxford%20Handbook%20of%20International%20Human%20Rights%20Law%20(2013)&dstmp=1508443362273&fromDL).

317.

Moeckli, D., Shah, S., Sivakumaran, S., Harris, D.J.: International human rights law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

318.

Moeckli, D., Shah, S., Sivakumaran, S., Harris, D.J.: International human rights law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).