

PUBLG057: Parliaments, Political Parties and Policy Making

Professor Meg Russell

View Online



[1]

Africa All Party Parliamentary Group 2008. Strengthening Parliaments in Africa: Improving Support. Africa All Party Parliamentary Group.

[2]

Akirav, O. 2010. Candidate Selection and a Crowded Parliament: The Israeli Knesset, 1988–2006. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 16, 1 (2010), 96–120.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13572330903542142>.

[3]

Allern, E.H. and Pedersen, K. 2007. The impact of party organisational changes on democracy. *West European Politics*. 30, 1 (2007), 68–92.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01402380601019688>.

[4]

Alvarez, R.M. and Sinclair, B. 2012. Electoral Institutions and Legislative Behavior: The Effects of Primary Processes. *Political Research Quarterly*. 65, 3 (2012), 544–557.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1065912911411098>.

[5]

Andeweg, R.B. 2014. Roles in Legislatures. *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. S. Martin et al., eds. Oxford University Press.

[6]

Andre, A. et al. 2015. Electoral Systems and Legislators' Constituency Effort: The Mediating Effect of Electoral Vulnerability. *Comparative Political Studies*. 48, 4 (2015), 464–496. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414014545512>.

[7]

Andrews, J.T. 2014. Legislatures in Central and Eastern Europe. *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. S. Martin et al., eds. Oxford University Press.

[8]

Ansolabehere, S. and Jones, P.E. 2010. Constituents' Responses to Congressional Roll-Call Voting. *American Journal of Political Science*. 54, 3 (2010), 583–597. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2010.00448.x>.

[9]

Arter, D. 2003. Committee cohesion and the 'corporate dimension' of parliamentary committees: A comparative analysis. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 9, 4 (2003), 73–87. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1357233042000306263>.

[10]

Arter, D. 2008. From 'Parliamentary Control' to 'Accountable Government'? The Role of Public Committee Hearings in the Swedish Riksdag. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 61, 1 (2008), 122–143. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gsm058>.

[11]

Arter, David 1984. *The Nordic parliaments: a comparative analysis*. Hurst.

[12]

Azevedo-Harman, E. 2011. Parliaments in Africa: Representative Institutions in the Land of the 'Big Man'. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 17, 1 (2011), 65–85. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572334.2011.545180>.

[13]

B. L. Shankar and Valerian Rodrigues 2011. *The Indian Parliament: a democracy at work*.

[14]

Bacchi, C. 2006. Arguing for and against quotas: theoretical issues. *Women, quotas and politics*. D. Dahlerup, ed. 32–51.

[15]

Bach, S. 2003. *Platypus and Parliament: The Australian Senate in Theory and Practice*. Department of the Senate, Canberra.

[16]

Barkan, J.D. 2009. African Legislatures and the 'third wave' of democratization. *Legislative power in emerging African democracies*. Lynne Rienner. 1–31.

[17]

Barkan, J.D. 2008. Legislatures on the Rise? *Journal of Democracy*. 19, 2 (2008), 124–137. <https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.2008.0020>.

[18]

Barkan, Joel D. 2009. *Legislative power in emerging African democracies*. Lynne Rienner.

[19]

Barnea, S. and Rahat, G. 2007. Reforming Candidate Selection Methods: A Three-Level Approach. *Party Politics*. 13, 3 (2007), 375–394. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068807075942>.

[20]

Barnes, T.D. and Burchard, S.M. 2013. 'Engendering' Politics: The Impact of Descriptive Representation on Women's Political Engagement in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Comparative Political Studies*. 46, 7 (2013), 767–790. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414012463884>.

[21]

Bates, S.R. et al. 2014. Questions to the Prime Minister: A Comparative Study of PMQs from Thatcher to Cameron. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 67, 2 (2014), 253–280. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gss044>.

[22]

Bauer, G. 2012. 'Let there be a Balance': Women in African Parliaments. *Political Studies Review*. 10, 3 (2012), 370–384. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1478-9302.2012.00272.x>.

[23]

Bean, C. and Wattenberg, M. 1998. Attitudes Towards Divided Government and Ticket-splitting in Australia and the United States. *Australian Journal of Political Science*. 33, 1 (1998), 25–36. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10361149850705>.

[24]

Bengtsson, A. and Wass, H. 2010. Styles of Political Representation: What Do Voters Expect? *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion & Parties*. 20, 1 (2010), 55–81. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17457280903450724>.

[25]

Benton, M. and Russell, M. 2012. Assessing the Impact of Parliamentary Oversight Committees: The Select Committees in the British House of Commons. *Parliamentary Affairs*. (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gss009>.

[26]

Bergman, Torbjorn et al. 2003. *Challenges to Parliamentary Democracy. Delegation and accountability in parliamentary democracies*. Oxford University Press.

[27]

Bergman, Torbjorn et al. 2003. Delegation and accountability in parliamentary democracies. Oxford University Press.

[28]

Best, H. and Vogel, L. 2014. The Sociology of Legislators and Legislatures. The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies. S. Martin et al., eds. Oxford University Press.

[29]

Bevan, S. and John, P. 2015. Policy Representation by Party Leaders and Followers: What Drives UK Prime Minister's Questions. *Government and Opposition*. (2015), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1017/gov.2015.16>.

[30]

van Biezen, I. 2000. On the Internal Balance of Party Power: Party Organizations in New Democracies. *Party Politics*. 6, 4 (2000), 395–417. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068800006004001>.

[31]

Blidook, K. 2010. Exploring the Role of 'Legislators' in Canada: Do Members of Parliament Influence Policy? *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 16, 1 (2010), 32–56. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572330903541979>.

[32]

Bloemraad, I. and Schonwalder, K. 2013. Immigrant and Ethnic Minority Representation in Europe: Conceptual Challenges and Theoretical Approaches. *West European Politics*. 36, 3 (2013), 564–579. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402382.2013.773724>.

[33]

Blomgren, M. and Rozenberg, O. 2012. *Legislative Roles and Legislative Studies*.

Parliamentary roles in modern legislatures. Routledge. 8–36.

[34]

Blondel, J. 1995. Representation: legislatures and parliaments. *Comparative government: an introduction*. Pearson Education. 248–266.

[35]

Blondel, J. and Nousianen, J. 2000. Governments, Supporting Parties and Policy-making. The nature of party government: a comparative European perspective. Palgrave. 161–195.

[36]

Blondel, Jean and Cotta, Maurizio 2000. The nature of party government: a comparative European perspective. Palgrave.

[37]

Bochel, J. and Denver, D. 1983. Candidate Selection in the Labour Party: What the Selectors Seek. *British Journal of Political Science*. 13, 01 (1983).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123400003136>.

[38]

Bogdanor, V. 1985. Introduction. Representatives of the people? Parliamentarians and constituents in Western democracies. Gower. 1–12.

[39]

Bolton, A. and Thrower, S. 2015. Legislative Capacity and Executive Unilateralism. *American Journal of Political Science*. (2015), n/a-n/a. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajps.12190>.

[40]

Bowen, D.C. and Clark, C.J. 2014. Revisiting Descriptive Representation in Congress: Assessing the Effect of Race on the Constituent-Legislator Relationship. *Political Research Quarterly*. 67, 3 (Sept. 2014), 695–707. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1065912914531658>.

[41]

Bowler, S. 2010. Private Members' Bills in the UK Parliament: Is there an 'Electoral Connection'? *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 16, 4 (2010), 476–494. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572334.2010.519457>.

[42]

Brauninger, T. and Debus, M. 2009. Legislative agenda-setting in parliamentary democracies. *European Journal of Political Research*. 48, 6 (2009), 804–839. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6765.2009.00850.x>.

[43]

Broockman, D.E. 2013. Black Politicians Are More Intrinsically Motivated to Advance Blacks' Interests: A Field Experiment Manipulating Political Incentives. *American Journal of Political Science*. 57, 3 (2013), 521–536. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajps.12018>.

[44]

Bruhn, K. 2013. Electing Extremists? Party Primaries and Legislative Candidates in Mexico. *Comparative Politics*. 45, 4 (2013), 398–417.

[45]

Buckley, F. 2013. How Ireland legislated for candidate sex quotas to increase women's representation. *Democratic Audit UK*. (Nov. 2013).

[46]

Burnham, P. et al. 2004. *Comparative Methodology. Research methods in politics*. Palgrave. 58–79.

[47]

Cain, Bruce E. et al. 1987. *The personal vote: constituency service and electoral independence*. Harvard University Press.

[48]

Cairney, P. 2006. The analysis of Scottish Parliament committee influence: Beyond capacity and structure in comparing West European legislatures. *European Journal of Political Research*. 45, 2 (2006), 181–208.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6765.2006.00295.x>.

[49]

Canon, David T. 1999. Electoral Systems and the Representation of Minority Interests in Legislatures . *Legislative Studies Quarterly* . 24, 3 (1999), 331–385.

[50]

Carey, J. and Reynolds, A. 2007. Parties and Accountable Government in New Democracies. *Party Politics*. 13, 2 (2007), 255–274.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068807073866>.

[51]

Carey, J. and Shugart, M. 1995. Incentives to cultivate a personal vote: A rank ordering of electoral formulas. *Electoral Studies*. 14, 4 (1995), 417–439.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0261-3794\(94\)00035-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0261-3794(94)00035-2).

[52]

Carey, J.M. 2007. Competing Principals, Political Institutions, and Party Unity in Legislative Voting. *American Journal of Political Science*. 51, 1 (2007), 92–107.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2007.00239.x>.

[53]

Carey, John M. 2003. Discipline, Accountability, and Legislative Voting in Latin America. *Comparative Politics*. 35, 2 (2003), 191–211.

[54]

Carey, John M. et al. 1999. Legislative Autonomy in New Regimes: The Czech and Polish Cases. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 24, 4 (1999), 569–603.

[55]

Carey, John M. 2009. *Legislative voting and accountability*. Cambridge University Press.

[56]

Carman, C.J. 2006. Public Preferences for Parliamentary Representation in the UK: An Overlooked Link? *Political Studies*. 54, 1 (2006), 103–122.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.2006.00568.x>.

[57]

Carnes, N. 2012. Does the Numerical Underrepresentation of the Working Class in Congress Matter? *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 37, 1 (2012), 5–34.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1939-9162.2011.00033.x>.

[58]

Carroll, R. and Poole, K.T. 2014. Roll-Call Analysis and the Study of Legislatures. *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. S. Martin et al., eds. Oxford University Press.

[59]

Carson, J.L. et al. 2010. The Electoral Costs of Party Loyalty in Congress. *American Journal of Political Science*. 54, 3 (2010), 598–616.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2010.00449.x>.

[60]

Carty, R.K. and Cross, W. 2006. Can Stratarchically Organized Parties be Democratic? The Canadian Case. *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion & Parties*. 16, 2 (2006), 93–114.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13689880600715912>.

[61]

Caul, M. 2008. Political Parties and the Adoption of Candidate Gender Quotas: A Cross-National Analysis. *The Journal of Politics*. 63, 04 (2008).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/0022-3816.00107>.

[62]

Caul, M. 1999. Women's Representation in Parliament: The Role of Political Parties. *Party Politics*. 5, 1 (1999), 79-98. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068899005001005>.

[63]

Celis, K. and Childs, S. 2012. The Substantive Representation of Women: What to Do with Conservative Claims? *Political Studies*. 60, 1 (2012), 213-225.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.2011.00904.x>.

[64]

Ceron, A. 2015. Brave rebels stay home: Assessing the effect of intra-party ideological heterogeneity and party whip on roll-call votes. *Party Politics*. 21, 2 (2015), 246-258.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068812472581>.

[65]

Cheibub, J.A. et al. 2014. Beyond Presidentialism and Parliamentarism. *British Journal of Political Science*. 44, 03 (2014), 515-544. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S000712341300032X>.

[66]

Cheibub, J.A. and Limongi, F. 2002. Democratic Institutions and Regime Survival: Parliamentary and Presidential Democracies Reconsidered. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 5, 1 (2002), 151-179. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.5.102301.084508>.

[67]

Cheibub, Jose Antonio 2007. *Presidentialism, parliamentarism, and democracy*. Cambridge University Press.

[68]

Childs, S. 2004. A Feminised Style of Politics? Women MPs in the House of Commons. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*. 6, 1 (2004), 3-19.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-856X.2004.00124.x>.

[69]

Childs, S. and Krook, M.L. 2009. Analysing Women's Substantive Representation: From Critical Mass to Critical Actors. *Government and Opposition*. 44, 2 (2009), 125-145.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1477-7053.2009.01279.x>.

[70]

Chiou, F.-Y. and Rothenberg, L.S. 2014. The Elusive Search for Presidential Power. *American Journal of Political Science*. 58, 3 (2014), 653-668.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/ajps.12057>.

[71]

Chiva, C. 2007. The Institutionalisation of Post-Communist Parliaments: Hungary and Romania in Comparative Perspective. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 60, 2 (2007), 187-211.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gsm012>.

[72]

Clark Wilson, W. and Curtis Ellis, W. 2014. Surrogates Beyond Borders: Black Members of the United States Congress and the Representation of African Interests on the Congressional Foreign-Policy Agenda. *Polity*. 46, 2 (2014), 255-273.
<https://doi.org/10.1057/pol.2014.3>.

[73]

Clinton, J.D. et al. 2014. Influencing the Bureaucracy: The Irony of Congressional Oversight. *American Journal of Political Science*. 58, 2 (2014), 387-401.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/ajps.12066>.

[74]

Clinton, J.D. 2012. Using Roll Call Estimates to Test Models of Politics. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 15, 1 (2012), 79–99.
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-043010-095836>.

[75]

Close, David 1995. *Legislatures and the new democracies in Latin America*. L. Rienner Publishers.

[76]

Close, David 1995. *Legislatures and the new democracies in Latin America*. L. Rienner Publishers.

[77]

Coakley, J. 2014. The Strange Revival of Bicameralism. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 20, 4 (2014), 542–572. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572334.2014.926168>.

[78]

Coman, E.E. 2015. Institutions and Vote Unity in Parliaments: Evidence from 33 National Chambers. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 21, 3 (2015), 360–389.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13572334.2015.1040246>.

[79]

Commonwealth Parliamentary Association: <https://www.cpahq.org/>.

[80]

Congleton, R.D. 2006. *On the merits of bicameral legislatures: intragovernmental bargaining and policy stability*. Democratic constitutional design and public policy: analysis and evidence. MIT Press.

[81]

Constitutional and parliamentary information | ASGP:
<http://asgp.co/constitutional-and-parliamentary-information>.

[82]

Copeland, G. and Patterson, S.C. 1998. Parliaments and Legislatures. World encyclopedia of parliaments and legislatures. Congressional Quarterly.

[83]

Cowley , P. 2005. It's a bit more complicated than that. The rebels: how Blair mislaid his majority. *Politico's*. 21–48.

[84]

Cowley, P. 2013. Why not ask the audience? Understanding the public's representational priorities. *British Politics*. 8, 2 (2013), 138–163. <https://doi.org/10.1057/bp.2012.28>.

[85]

Cowley, Philip 1998. *Conscience and parliament*. F. Cass.

[86]

Cowley, Philip 2002. Revolts and rebellions: parliamentary voting under Blair. *Politico's*.

[87]

Cross, W. 2008. Democratic Norms and Party Candidate Selection: Taking Contextual Factors into Account. *Party Politics*. 14, 5 (2008), 596–619.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068808093392>.

[88]

Cross, W.P. et al. 2013. The challenges of intra-party democracy. Oxford University Press.

[89]

Curini, L. and Zucchini, F. 2013. The institutional foundations of committee cohesion in a (changing) parliamentary democracy. *European Political Science Review*. (2013), 1-21.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1755773913000222>.

[90]

Dahlerup, D. 1988. From a Small to a Large Minority: Women in Scandinavian Politics. *Scandinavian Political Studies*. 11, 4 (Dec. 1988), 275-298.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9477.1988.tb00372.x>.

[91]

Damgaard, Erik 1992. *Parliamentary change in the Nordic countries*. Scandinavian University Press.

[92]

David Samuels and Matthew Shugart 2010. Presidents, parties, and prime ministers: how the separation of powers affects party organization and behavior.

[93]

Davidson, Roger H. 1992. *The postreform Congress*. St. Martin's Press.

[94]

Davidson, Roger H. and Longley, Lawrence D. 1998. *The new roles of parliamentary committees*. Frank Cass.

[95]

De Giorgi, E. and Marangoni, F. 2015. Government laws and the opposition parties'

behaviour in parliament. *Acta Politica*. 50, 1 (2015), 64–81.
<https://doi.org/10.1057/ap.2013.34>.

[96]

Devlin, C. and Elgie, R. 2008. The Effect of Increased Women's Representation in Parliament: The Case of Rwanda. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 61, 2 (2008), 237–254.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gsn007>.

[97]

Dodd, Lawrence C. 2012. *Thinking about Congress: essays on Congressional change*. Routledge.

[98]

Dogan, Mattei and Pelassy, Dominique 1990. *How to compare nations: strategies in comparative politics*. Chatham House.

[99]

Doring, H. 1995. *Time as a Scarce Resource: Government Control of the Agenda. Parliaments and Majority Rule in Western Europe*. St. Martin's Press.

[100]

Dovi, S. 2003. Preferable Descriptive Representatives: Will Just Any Woman, Black, or Latino Do? *American Political Science Review*. 96, 04 (2003).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055402000412>.

[101]

Druckman, J. et al. 2005. Influence without Confidence: Upper Chambers and Government Formation. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 30, 4 (2005), 529–548.
<https://doi.org/10.3162/036298005X201662>.

[102]

Druckman, J.N. and Thies, M.F. 2002. The Importance of Concurrence: The Impact of Bicameralism on Government Formation and Duration. *American Journal of Political Science*. 46, 4 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.2307/3088432>.

[103]

Elgie, R. 2005. From Linz to Tsebelis: three waves of presidential/parliamentary studies? *Democratization*. 12, 1 (2005), 106–122. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1351034042000317989>.

[104]

Elgie, R. 2008. The Perils of Semi-Presidentialism. Are They Exaggerated? *Democratization*. 15, 1 (2008), 49–66. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13510340701768125>.

[105]

Elgie, R. 2001. What is divided government? Divided government in comparative perspective. *Oxford Scholarship Online*.

[106]

Elling, Richard C. 1979. The Utility of State Legislative Casework as a Means of Oversight. *Legislative Studies Quarterly* . 4, 3 (1979), 353–379.

[107]

Esaiasson, Peter and Heidar, Knut 2000. *Beyond Westminster and Congress: the Nordic experience*. Ohio State University Press.

[108]

Fenno, Richard F. 2003. *Going home: Black representatives and their constituents*. University of Chicago Press.

[109]

Fenno, Richard F. 1978. Home style: House members in their districts. Scott, Foresman and Co.

[110]

Fenno, Richard F. and University of California, Berkeley 1973. Congressmen in committees. Little, Brown and Company.

[111]

Field, B.N. and Siavelis, P.M. 2008. Candidate Selection Procedures in Transitional Polities: A Research Note. *Party Politics*. 14, 5 (2008), 620–639.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068808093393>.

[112]

Fiorina, Morris P. and Mayhew, David R. 2003. Divided government. Longman.

[113]

Fish, M. Steven and Kroenig, Matthew 2009. The handbook of national legislatures: a global survey. Cambridge University Press.

[114]

Fish, M.S. 2006. Stronger Legislatures, Stronger Democracies. *Journal of Democracy*. 17, 1 (2006), 5–20. <https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.2006.0008>.

[115]

Fortin-Rittberger, J. and Rittberger, B. 2014. Do electoral rules matter? Explaining national differences in women's representation in the European Parliament. *European Union Politics*. 15, 4 (Dec. 2014), 496–520. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1465116514527179>.

[116]

Fujimura, N. 2015. The influence of electoral institutions on legislative representation:

Evidence from Japan's single non-transferable vote and single-member district systems. *Party Politics*. 21, 2 (2015), 209–221. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068812472578>.

[117]

Fukuyama, Francis et al. 2005. Facing the Perils of Presidentialism? *Journal of Democracy*. 16, 2 (2005), 102–116. <https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.2005.0026>.

[118]

Gallagher, M. 1988. Conclusion. Candidate selection in comparative perspective: the secret garden of politics. Sage. 236–283.

[119]

Gallagher, Michael and Marsh, Michael 1988. Candidate selection in comparative perspective: the secret garden of politics. Sage.

[120]

Gamm, G. and Huber, J. 2002. Legislatures as Political Institutions: Beyond the Contemporary Congress. *Political science: the state of the discipline*. W.W. Norton.

[121]

Ganghof, S. 2014. Is the 'Constitution of Equality' Parliamentary, Presidential or Hybrid? *Political Studies*. (2014), n/a-n/a. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9248.12124>.

[122]

Garner, Christopher and Letki, Natalia 2005. Party Structure and Backbench Dissent in the Canadian and British Parliaments. *Canadian Journal of Political Science* . 38, 2 (2005), 463–482.

[123]

Gauja, A. 2015. The construction of party membership. *European Journal of Political*

Research. 54, 2 (2015), 232–248. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6765.12078>.

[124]

Gauja, A. 2014. The Individualisation of Party Politics: The Impact of Changing Internal Decision-Making Processes on Policy Development and Citizen Engagement. *The British Journal of Politics & International Relations*. (2014), n/a-n/a. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-856X.12035>.

[125]

Gay, O. 2005. MPs Go Back to Their Constituencies. *The Political Quarterly*. 76, 1 (2005), 57–66. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-923X.2005.00656.x>.

[126]

Gherghina, S. 2013. One-Shot Party Primaries: The Case of the Romanian Social Democrats. *Politics*. 33, 3 (2013), 185–195. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9256.12007>.

[127]

Goot, M. 1999. Whose Mandate? Policy Promises, Strong Bicameralism and Polled Opinion. *Australian Journal of Political Science*. 34, 3 (1999), 327–352. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10361149950263>.

[128]

Grey, S. 2002. Does Size Matter? Critical Mass and New Zealand's Women MPs. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 55, 1 (2002), 19–29. <https://doi.org/10.1093/parlij/55.1.19>.

[129]

Griffin, J.D. 2014. When and Why Minority Legislators Matter. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 17, 1 (2014), 327–336. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-033011-205028>.

[130]

Griffith, J. A. G. et al. 1974. Parliamentary scrutiny of Government bills. Allen and Unwin [for] PEP and the Study of Parliament Group.

[131]

Gunther, Richard et al. 2002. Political parties: old concepts and new challenges. Oxford University Press.

[132]

Hage, F.M. and Kaeding, M. 2007. Reconsidering the European Parliament's Legislative Influence: Formal vs. Informal Procedures. *Journal of European Integration*. 29, 3 (2007), 341-361. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07036330701442356>.

[133]

Hagopian, F. et al. 2008. From Patronage to Program: The Emergence of Party-Oriented Legislators in Brazil. *Comparative Political Studies*. 42, 3 (2008), 360-391. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414008325572>.

[134]

Hague, R. and Harrop, M. 2004. Legislatures. *Comparative government and politics: an introduction*. Palgrave Macmillan. 247-267.

[135]

Haider-Markel, D. 2007. Representation and Backlash: The Positive and Negative Influence of Descriptive Representation. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 32, 1 (2007), 107-133. <https://doi.org/10.3162/036298007X202001>.

[136]

Hazan, R.Y. 2014. Candidate Selection: Implications and Challenges for Legislative Behaviour. *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*.

[137]

Hazan, R.Y. and Rahaç, G. 2010. Democracy within parties: candidate selection methods and their political consequences. Oxford University Press.

[138]

Heller, William B. 1997. Bicameralism and Budget Deficits: The Effect of Parliamentary Structure on Government Spending. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 22, 4 (1997), 485–516.

[139]

Hellmann, O. 2014. Outsourcing candidate selection: The fight against clientelism in East Asian parties. *Party Politics*. 20, 1 (2014), 52–62.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068811436039>.

[140]

Hibbing, John R. 1988. Legislative Institutionalization with Illustrations from the British House of Commons. *American Journal of Political Science*. 32, 3 (1988), 681–712.

[141]

Hindmoor, A. et al. 2009. Assessing the Influence of Select Committees in the UK: The Education and Skills Committee, 1997–2005. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 15, 1 (2009), 71–89. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572330802666844>.

[142]

Hiroi, T. 2008. The Dynamics of Lawmaking in a Bicameral Legislature: The Case of Brazil. *Comparative Political Studies*. 41, 12 (2008), 1583–1606.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414007308536>.

[143]

Hiroi, T. and Omori, S. 2009. Perils of parliamentarism? Political systems and the stability of democracy revisited. *Democratization*. 16, 3 (2009), 485–507.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13510340902884598>.

[144]

Hofnung, M. 2008. Unaccounted Competition: The Finance of Intra-Party Elections. *Party Politics*. 14, 6 (2008), 726–744. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068808093408>.

[145]

Holliday, Ian et al. 2002. *Political parties in advanced industrial democracies*. Oxford University Press.

[146]

Huber, John D. 1996. The Vote of Confidence in Parliamentary Democracies. *The American Political Science Review*. 90, 2 (1996), 269–282.

[147]

Hug, S. 2009. Selection Effects in Roll Call Votes. *British Journal of Political Science*. 40, 01 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123409990160>.

[148]

Hughes, M. 2011. Intersectionality, Quotas, and Minority Women's Political Representation Worldwide. *American Political Science Review*. 105, 03 (2011), 604–620. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055411000293>.

[149]

Ichino, N. and Nathan, N.L. 2013. Do Primaries Improve Electoral Performance? Clientelism and Intra-Party Conflict in Ghana. *American Journal of Political Science*. 57, 2 (2013), 428–441. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2012.00624.x>.

[150]

Indrithason, I.H. and Kristinsson, G.H. 2015. Primary consequences: The effects of candidate selection through party primaries in Iceland. *Party Politics*. 21, 4 (2015), 565–576. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068813487117>.

[151]

Ingall, Rachael E. and Crisp, Brian F. 2001. Determinants of Home Style: The Many Incentives for Going Home in Colombia. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 26, 3 (2001), 487-512.

[152]

International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (IDEA): .

[153]

Inter-Parliamentary Union 2003. Ten Years of Strengthening Parliaments in Africa 1991-2000: Lessons Learnt and the Way Forward. Inter-Parliamentary Union.

[154]

Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU): .

[155]

Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU) materials on women in parliament: .

[156]

Jenkins, J.A. and Monroe, N.W. 2015. On Measuring Legislative Agenda-Setting Power. *American Journal of Political Science*. (2015), n/a-n/a. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajps.12191>.

[157]

Johannes, J. 1979. Casework as a Technique of U. S. Congressional Oversight of the Executive. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 4, 3 (1979), 325-351.

[158]

Johnson, J. and Nakamura, R. 1999. A Concept Paper on Legislatures and Good

Governance. UNDP.

[159]

Johnson, J.K. 2005. The Role of Parliament in Government. World Bank.

[160]

Judge, D. 2003. Legislative Institutionalization: A Bent Analytical Arrow? Government and Opposition. 38, 4 (2003), 497–516. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1477-7053.t01-1-00026>.

[161]

Judge, D. 1999. Trustee theory and practice. Representation: theory and practice in Britain. Routledge. 47–69.

[162]

Juenke, E.G. and Preuhs, R.R. 2012. Irreplaceable Legislators? Rethinking Minority Representatives in the New Century. American Journal of Political Science. 56, 3 (2012), 705–715. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2012.00584.x>.

[163]

Kalitowski, S. 2008. Rubber Stamp or Cockpit? The Impact of Parliament on Government Legislation. Parliamentary Affairs. 61, 4 (2008), 694–708. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gsn030>.

[164]

Kam, C. 2001. Do Ideological Preferences Explain Parliamentary Behaviour? Evidence from Great Britain and Canada. The Journal of Legislative Studies. 7, 4 (2001), 89–126. <https://doi.org/10.1080/714003894>.

[165]

Kam, C. 2014. Party Discipline. The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies. S. Martin et

al., eds. Oxford University Press.

[166]

Kam, Christopher J. 2009. Party discipline and parliamentary politics. Cambridge University Press.

[167]

Katz, Richard S. 1997. Democracy and elections. Oxford University Press.

[168]

Katz, Richard S. 1997. Democracy and elections. Oxford University Press.

[169]

Katz, Richard S. et al. 1999. Party discipline and parliamentary government. Ohio State University Press.

[170]

Katz, R.S. 1987. Party Government and its Alternatives. Party governments: European and American experiences. W. de Gruyter.

[171]

Katz, R.S. and Mair, P. 1995. Changing Models of Party Organization and Party Democracy: The Emergence of the Cartel Party. *Party Politics*. 1, 1 (1995), 5-28.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068895001001001>.

[172]

Katz, R.S. and Mair, P. 2009. The Cartel Party Thesis: A Restatement. *Perspectives on Politics*. 7, 04 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592709991782>.

[173]

Kennedy, F. et al. 2006. Pragmatists, Ideologues and the General Law of Curvilinear Disparity: The Case of the Irish Labour Party. *Political Studies*. 54, 4 (2006), 786–805. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.2006.00630.x>.

[174]

Kenworthy, Lane and Malami, Melissa 1999. Gender Inequality in Political Representation: A Worldwide Comparative Analysis. *Social Forces*. 78, 1 (1999), 235–268.

[175]

Khmelko, I.S. et al. 2007. Assessing Committee Roles in a Developing Legislature: The Case of the Ukrainian Parliament. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 13, 2 (2007), 210–234. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572330701334312>.

[176]

Kim, D.H. and Loewenberg, G. 2005. The Role of Parliamentary Committees in Coalition Governments: Keeping Tabs on Coalition Partners in the German Bundestag. *Comparative Political Studies*. 38, 9 (2005), 1104–1129. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414005276307>.

[177]

King, Anthony 1976. Modes of Executive-Legislative Relations: Great Britain, France, and West Germany. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 1, 1 (1976).

[178]

King JR., Marvin P. 2011. Bill Preference: The Continued Relevance of the Congressional Black Caucus. *Politics & Policy*. 39, 3 (2011), 421–439. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1346.2011.00297.x>.

[179]

Kinyondo, A. and Pelizzo, R. 2013. Strengthening Legislatures: Some Lessons from the Pacific Region. *Politics & Policy*. 41, 3 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1111/polp.12020>.

[180]

Kitschelt, H. 2000. Linkages between Citizens and Politicians in Democratic Polities. *Comparative Political Studies*. 33, 6–7 (Sept. 2000), 845–879. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001041400003300607>.

[181]

Kittilson, Miki Caul 2006. *Challenging parties, changing parliaments: women and elected office in contemporary Western Europe*. Ohio State University Press.

[182]

Knut Heidar and R. A Koole 2000. Approaches to the study of parliamentary party groups. *Parliamentary party groups in European democracies: political parties behind closed doors*. Routledge. 4–22.

[183]

Kölln, A.-K. 2014. The value of political parties to representative democracy. *European Political Science Review*. (2014), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1755773914000344>.

[184]

Kopecky, P. 2001. Introduction: transition, consolidation and parliamentary institutionalisation. *Parliaments in the Czech and Slovak republics: party competition and parliamentary institutionalization*. Ashgate. 1–21.

[185]

Kopecky, Petr 2001. *Parliaments in the Czech and Slovak republics: party competition and parliamentary institutionalization*. Ashgate.

[186]

Krehbiel, Keith 1999. Paradoxes of Parties in Congress. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 24, 1 (1999), 31–64.

[187]

Kreppel, A. 2014. Legislatures. *Comparative politics*. Oxford University Press. 113–130.

[188]

Kreppel, A. 2002. Moving Beyond Procedure: An Empirical Analysis of European Parliament Legislative Influence. *Comparative Political Studies*. 35, 7 (2002), 784–813.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414002035007002>.

[189]

Kreppel, A. 2014. Typologies and Classifications. *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. S. Martin et al., eds. Oxford University Press.

[190]

Krook, M.L. 2007. Candidate gender quotas: A framework for analysis. *European Journal of Political Research*. 46, 3 (2007), 367–394.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6765.2007.00704.x>.

[191]

Krook, M.L. 2014. Electoral Gender Quotas: A Conceptual Analysis. *Comparative Political Studies*. 47, 9 (2014), 1268–1293. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414013495359>.

[192]

Krook, M.L. and Norris, P. 2014. Beyond Quotas: Strategies to Promote Gender Equality in Elected Office. *Political Studies*. 62, 1 (2014), 2–20.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9248.12116>.

[193]

Kunicova, J. and Remington, T.F. 2008. Mandates, Parties and Dissent: Effect of Electoral Rules on Parliamentary Party Cohesion in the Russian State Duma, 1994–2003. *Party Politics*. 14, 5 (2008), 555–574. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068808093390>.

[194]

Landman, T. 2003. Comparing Many Countries. Issues and methods in comparative politics: an introduction. Routledge. 51–65.

[195]

Landman, T. 2017. Issues and methods in comparative politics: an introduction. Routledge.

[196]

Laver, M. 2006. Legislatures and Parliaments in Comparative Context. The Oxford handbook of political institutions. Oxford University Press.

[197]

Laver, Michael 1999. Divided Parties, Divided Government. Legislative Studies Quarterly. 24, 1 (1999), 5–29.

[198]

Laver, Michael and Shepsle, Kenneth A. 1999. Making and breaking governments: cabinets and legislatures in parliamentary democracies. Cambridge University Press.

[199]

Lawrence, E. et al. 2013. The adoption of direct primaries in the United States. Party Politics. 19, 1 (2013), 3–18. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068810393264>.

[200]

Legislative Studies Section | The American Political Science Association:
<http://legislativestudies.org/>.

[201]

Leston-Bandeira, C. 2009. Dissent in a Party-Based Parliament: The Portuguese Case. *Party Politics*. 15, 6 (2009), 695–713. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068809334556>.

[202]

Leston-Bandeira, C. 2001. The Portuguese parliament during the first two decades of democracy. *West European Politics*. 24, 1 (2001), 137–156. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402380108425421>.

[203]

Levmore, S. 1992. Bicameralism: When are two decisions better than one? *International Review of Law and Economics*. 12, 2 (1992), 145–162. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0144-8188\(92\)90031-L](https://doi.org/10.1016/0144-8188(92)90031-L).

[204]

Liebert, U. and Cotta, M. 1990. Parliament as a central site in democratic consolidation. *Parliament and democratic consolidation in Southern Europe: Greece, Italy, Portugal, Spain and Turkey*. Pinter. 3–30.

[205]

Lijphart, A. 1999. *Executive-legislative relations. Patterns of democracy: government forms and performance in thirty-six countries*. Yale University Press.

[206]

Lijphart, A. 2012. *Patterns of democracy: government forms and performance in thirty-six countries*. Yale University Press.

[207]

Lijphart, A. 1994. *Presidentialism and majoritarian democracy. The failure of presidential democracy: Vol. 1: Comparative perspectives*. Johns Hopkins University Press.

[208]

Lijphart, Arend 1971. Comparative Politics and the Comparative Method. *The American Political Science Review*. 65, 3 (1971), 682–693.

[209]

Lijphart, Arend 1992. Introduction . *Parliamentary versus presidential government*. Oxford University Press. 1–27.

[210]

Lijphart, Arendt 1975. The Comparable-Cases Strategy in Comparative Research. *Comparative political studies*. 8, 2 (1975), 158–177.

[211]

Linz, J. J. 1990. The Virtues of Parliamentarism. *Journal of Democracy*. 1, 4 (1990), 84–91.

[212]

Linz, J.J. 1990. The Perils of Presidentialism. *Journal of Democracy*. 1, 1 (1990), 51–69.

[213]

Llanos, M. and Nolte, D. 2003. Bicameralism in the Americas: around the extremes of symmetry and incongruence. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 9, 3 (2003), 54–86.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1357233042000246864>.

[214]

Loewenberg, G. 2003. Agenda-setting in the German Bundestag: origins and consequences of party dominance. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 9, 3 (2003), 17–31.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1357233042000246846>.

[215]

Loewenberg, G. 2011. *On legislatures: the puzzle of representation*. Paradigm Publishers.

[216]

Loewenberg, G. and Patterson, S.C. 1979. Linkage. Comparing legislatures. University Press of America.

[217]

Loewenberg, Gerhard and Patterson, Samuel Charles 1979. Comparing legislatures. University Press of America.

[218]

Loewenberg, Gerhard and Patterson, Samuel Charles 1979. Comparing legislatures. University Press of America.

[219]

Loewenberg, Gerhard and Patterson, Samuel Charles 1979. Functions of Legislatures. Comparing legislatures. University Press of America.

[220]

Lovenduski, Joni 2005. Feminizing politics. Polity Press.

[221]

Loxbo, K. 2013. The fate of intra-party democracy: Leadership autonomy and activist influence in the mass party and the cartel party. Party Politics. 19, 4 (2013), 537-554. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068811407583>.

[222]

Luciano Bardi Special issue of Party Politics on political party change. 20, 151-159.

[223]

Lukes, S. 2005. *Power: a radical view*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[224]

Lundell, K. 2004. Determinants of Candidate Selection: The Degree of Centralization in Comparative Perspective. *Party Politics*. 10, 1 (2004), 25–47.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068804039119>.

[225]

Luther, Kurt Richard and Muller-Rommel, Ferdinand 2002. *Political parties in the new Europe: political and analytical challenges*. Oxford University Press.

[226]

Lyne, M.M. 2008. Proffering Pork: How Party Leaders Build Party Reputations in Brazil. *American Journal of Political Science*. 52, 2 (2008), 290–303.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2008.00313.x>.

[227]

M. Brito Vieira and D. Runciman 2008. Representing Individuals. *Representation*. Polity Press. 66–83.

[228]

M. S., S. 2006. Comparative Executive-Legislative Relations. *The Oxford handbook of political institutions*. Oxford University Press.

[229]

Maddison, S. 2010. White Parliament, Black Politics: The Dilemmas of Indigenous Parliamentary Representation. *Australian Journal of Political Science*. 45, 4 (2010), 663–680. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10361146.2010.517180>.

[230]

Mainwaring, S. 1997. Presidentialism and democracy in Latin America : rethinking the terms of the debate. *Presidentialism and democracy in Latin America*. Cambridge University Press. 12–54.

[231]

Mainwaring, S. 1993. Presidentialism, Multipartyism, and Democracy: The Difficult Combination. *Comparative Political Studies*. 26, 2 (1993), 198–228.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414093026002003>.

[232]

Mainwaring, S. and Shugart, M.S. eds 1997. *Presidentialism and Democracy in Latin America*. Cambridge University Press.

[233]

Mainwaring, Scott 1995. Presidentialism in Latin America. *Latin American Research Review* . 25, 1 (1995), 157–179.

[234]

Mair, P. 2008. The Challenge to Party Government. *West European Politics*. 31, 1–2 (2008), 211–234. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402380701835033>.

[235]

Mair, P. and Katz, R. 1994. Party organizations: from civil society to the state. How parties organize: change and adaptation in party organizations in Western democracies. Sage Publications. 1–22.

[236]

Mair, Peter 1990. *The West European party system*. Oxford University Press.

[237]

Making an Impact: Effective Parliamentary Committees | Global Partners Governance: 2014.

<http://www.gpgovernance.net/publication/making-an-impact-effective-parliamentary-committees/>.

[238]

Manning, N. and Stapenhurst, R. 2002. Strengthening Oversight by Legislatures.

[239]

Manow, P. and Burkhart, S. 2007. Legislative Self-Restraint Under Divided Government In Germany, 1976-2002. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 32, 2 (2007), 167–191.

<https://doi.org/10.3162/036298007780907941>.

[240]

Mansbridge, J. 1999. Should Blacks Represent Blacks and Women Represent Women? A Contingent 'Yes'. *The Journal of Politics*. 61, 03 (1999).

[241]

Martin, L.W. and Vanberg, G. 2011. *Parliaments and Coalitions: The Role of Legislative Institutions in Multiparty Governance*. Oxford Scholarship.

[242]

Martin, S. 2014. Committees. *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. S. Martin et al., eds. Oxford University Press.

[243]

Martin, S. et al. 2014. Introduction. *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. S. Martin et al., eds. Oxford University Press.

[244]

Martin, S. et al. eds 2014. *The Oxford handbook of legislative studies*. Oxford University Press.

[245]

Martin, Shane 2011. Using Parliamentary Questions to Measure Constituency Focus: An Application to the Irish Case. *Political Studies*. 59, 2 (2011), 472–488.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.2011.00885.x>.

[246]

Mateo Diaz, Mercedes 2005. *Representing women?: female legislators in West European parliaments*. ECPR Press.

[247]

Matland, Richard E. 1998. Women's Representation in National Legislatures: Developed and Developing Countries. *Legislative Studies Quarterly* . 23, 1 (1998), 109–125.

[248]

Matland, Richard E. and Montgomery, Kathleen A. 2003. *Women's access to political power in post-communist Europe*. Oxford University Press.

[249]

Matthews, Donald R. and Valen, Henry 1999. *Parliamentary representation: the case of the Norwegian Storting*. Ohio State University Press.

[250]

Mattson, I. and Strom, K. 2004. Committee effects on legislation. *Patterns of parliamentary behavior: passage of legislation across Western Europe*. Ashgate. 91–111.

[251]

May, J.D. 1973. Opinion structure of political parties: the special law of curvilinear disparity. *Political Studies*. 21, 2 (1973), 135–151.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.1973.tb01423.x>.

[252]

Mayhew, David R. 1974. *Congress: the electoral connection*. Yale University Press.

[253]

McCubbins, Mathew D. and Sullivan, Terry 1987. *Congress: structure and policy*. Cambridge University Press.

[254]

McGhee, E. et al. 2014. A Primary Cause of Partisanship? Nomination Systems and Legislator Ideology. *American Journal of Political Science*. 58, 2 (2014), 337–351.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/ajps.12070>.

[255]

McKenzie, R. 1982. Power in the Labour Party: The Issue of Intra-Party Democracy. *The politics of the Labour Party*. Allen & Unwin. 191–201.

[256]

Mendelberg, T. et al. 2014. Does Descriptive Representation Facilitate Women's Distinctive Voice? How Gender Composition and Decision Rules Affect Deliberation. *American Journal of Political Science*. 58, 2 (2014), 291–306. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajps.12077>.

[257]

Messick, R. 2002. *Strengthening Legislatures: Implications from Industrial Countries*.

[258]

Mezey, M. 1979. Classifying legislatures. *Legislatures*. Oxford University Press. 149–176.

[259]

Mezey, M. 1979. Classifying Legislatures. *Comparative legislatures*. Duke University Press. 149-176.

[260]

Mezey, M. 1979. Defining the Legislature. *Comparative legislatures*. Duke University Press. 3-20.

[261]

Mezey, M. L. 1998. Executive-legislative relations. *World encyclopedia of parliaments and legislatures*. Congressional Quarterly.

[262]

Mezey, M. L. 2002. *Legislatures: Individual Purpose and Institutional Performance*. Political science: the state of the discipline. W.W. Norton.

[263]

Michels, Robert 1962. *Political parties: a sociological study of the oligarchical tendencies of modern democracy*. Free Press.

[264]

Mikulska, A.B. and Scarrow, S.E. 2010. Assessing the Political Impact of Candidate Selection Rules: Britain in the 1990s. *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion & Parties*. 20, 3 (2010), 311-333. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17457289.2010.490712>.

[265]

Minta, M. 2009. Legislative Oversight and the Substantive Representation of Black and Latino Interests in Congress. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 34, 2 (2009), 193-218.

<https://doi.org/10.3162/036298009788314336>.

[266]

Mishler, William and Rose, Richard 1994. Support for Parliaments and Regimes in the Transition toward Democracy in Eastern Europe. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 19, 1 (1994), 5–32.

[267]

Mona Lena Krook *International Political Science Review*. 35, 3–11.

[268]

Money, Jeannette and Tsebelis, George 1992. Cicero's Puzzle: Upper House Power in Comparative Perspective . *International Political Science Review / Revue internationale de science politique*. 13, 1 (1992), 25–43.

[269]

Monk, D. 2010. A Framework for Evaluating the Performance of Committees in Westminster Parliaments. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 16, 1 (2010), 1–13.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13572330903541904>.

[270]

Morgenstern, Scott and Nacif, Benito 2002. *Legislative politics in Latin America*. Cambridge University Press.

[271]

Mughan, Anthony and Patterson, Samuel Charles 1999. *Senates: bicameralism in the contemporary world*. Ohio State University Press.

[272]

Mughan, Anthony and Patterson, Samuel Charles 1999. *Senates: bicameralism in the*

contemporary world. Ohio State University Press.

[273]

Müller, W.C. and Sieberer, U. 2014. Procedure and Rules in Legislatures. The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies. S. Martin et al., eds. Oxford University Press.

[274]

Muller, Wolfgang C. and Saalfeld, Thomas 1997. Members of parliament in Western Europe: roles and behaviour. F. Cass.

[275]

Murray, R. 2007. How Parties Evaluate Compulsory Quotas: A Study of the Implementation of the 'Parity' Law in France. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 60, 4 (2007), 568–584.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gsm039>.

[276]

Murray, R. 2014. Quotas for Men: Reframing Gender Quotas as a Means of Improving Representation for All. *American Political Science Review*. 108, 03 (2014), 520–532.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055414000239>.

[277]

Musolf, Lloyd D. et al. 1970. Legislatures in developmental perspective. Duke University Press.

[278]

Narud, Hanne Marthe et al. 2002. Party sovereignty and citizen control: selecting candidates for parliamentary elections in Denmark, Finland, Iceland and Norway. University Press of Southern Denmark.

[279]

Nassmacher, H. 2008. The Dilemma of Depth Versus Breadth in Comparing Political Systems Empirically...and How to Overcome It. *European Political Science*. 7, 1 (2008), 113–125. <https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210179>.

[280]

Nijzink, L. et al. 2006. Parliaments and the enhancement of democracy on the African continent: An analysis of institutional capacity and public perceptions. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 12, 3–4 (2006), 311–335. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572330600875563>.

[281]

Norris, P. 1997. Introduction: theories of recruitment. *Passages to power: legislative recruitment in advanced democracies*. Cambridge University Press. 1–14.

[282]

Norris, P. 1996. Legislative recruitment. *Comparing democracies: elections and voting in global perspectives*. Sage Publications. 184–215.

[283]

Norris, P. 2004. Constituency Service. *Electoral engineering: voting rules and political behaviour*. Cambridge University Press. 230–246.

[284]

Norris, P. 1995. May's Law of Curvilinear Disparity Revisited: Leaders, Officers, Members and Voters in British Political Parties. *Party Politics*. 1, 1 (1995), 29–47. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068895001001002>.

[285]

Norris, P. 1997. The puzzle of constituency service. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 3, 2 (1997), 29–49. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572339708420508>.

[286]

Norris, P. and Lovenduski, J. 1995. Comparative candidate recruitment. Political recruitment: gender, race, and class in the British parliament. Cambridge University Press. 183-205.

[287]

Norris, P. and Lovenduski, J. 1994. Political Recruitment: Gender, Race and Class in the British Parliament. Cambridge University Press.

[288]

Norris, Pippa 2004. Electoral engineering: voting rules and political behaviour. Cambridge University Press.

[289]

Norton, P. 2004. How many bicameral legislatures are there? The Journal of Legislative Studies. 10, 4 (2004), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1357233042000322436>.

[290]

Norton, P. 2002. Introduction: Linking Parliaments and Citizens. Parliaments and citizens in Western Europe. Frank Cass. 1-18.

[291]

Norton, P. 1998. Introduction: The institution of parliaments. Parliaments and governments in Western Europe. Frank Cass. 1-15.

[292]

Norton, P. 2013. Parliament in British politics. Palgrave Macmillan.

[293]

Norton, P. 1990. Parliaments: A Framework for Analysis. Parliaments in Western Europe. Cass.

[294]

Norton, P. 2001. Playing by the Rules: The Constraining Hand of Parliamentary Procedure. The Journal of Legislative Studies. 7, 3 (2001), 13–33. <https://doi.org/10.1080/714003882>.

[295]

Norton, P. and Leston-Bandeira, C. 2003. The impact of democratic practice on the parliaments of Southern Europe. The Journal of Legislative Studies. 9, 2 (2003), 177–185. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1357233032000250680>.

[296]

Norton, P. and Olson, D.M. 2007. Post-Communist and Post-Soviet Legislatures: Beyond Transition. The Journal of Legislative Studies. 13, 1 (2007), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572330601165154>.

[297]

Norton, Philip 1990. Legislatures. Oxford University Press.

[298]

Norton, Philip 1998. Legislatures and legislators. Ashgate.

[299]

Norton, Philip 2002. Parliaments and citizens in Western Europe. Frank Cass.

[300]

Norton, Philip 1990. Parliaments in Western Europe. Cass.

[301]

Norton, Philip and Ahmed, Nizam U. 1999. Parliaments in Asia. Frank Cass.

[302]

Olivella, S. and Tavits, M. 2014. Legislative Effects of Electoral Mandates. *British Journal of Political Science*. 44, 02 (Apr. 2014), 301–321.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123412000828>.

[303]

Olson, D. 1994. Legislatures in the Policy Process. *Democratic legislative institutions: a comparative view*. M.E. Sharpe.

[304]

Olson, D. 1994. Legislatures in Today's Democracies. *Democratic legislative institutions: a comparative view*. M.E. Sharpe.

[305]

Olson, David M. 1994. *Democratic legislative institutions: a comparative view*. M.E. Sharpe.

[306]

Olson, David M. and Crowther, William E. 2002. *Committees in post-Communist democratic parliaments: comparative institutionalization*. The Ohio State University Press.

[307]

Olson, David M. and Norton, Philip 1996. *The new parliaments of Central and Eastern Europe*. Frank Cass.

[308]

Olson, D.M. 1998. The Parliaments of New Democracies: The Experience of Central Europe. World encyclopedia of parliaments and legislatures. Congressional Quarterly.

[309]

Olson, D.M. and Longley, L.D. 1991. Two into One: The Politics and Processes of National Legislative Cameral Change. Westview Press.

[310]

Olson, D.M. and Mezey, M.L. eds 1991. Legislatures in the Policy Process: The Dilemmas of Economic Policy. Cambridge University Press.

[311]

Ostrow, Joel M. 2000. Comparing post-Soviet legislatures: a theory of institutional design and political conflict. Ohio State University Press.

[312]

Ostrow, Joel M. 2000. Comparing post-Soviet legislatures: a theory of institutional design and political conflict. Ohio State University Press.

[313]

Overseas Development Institute - Parliamentary Strengthening and the Paris Principles: 2007. .

[314]

Owens, J.E. and Loomis, B.A. 2006. Qualified exceptionalism: The US Congress in comparative perspective. The Journal of Legislative Studies. 12, 3-4 (2006), 258-290. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572330600875464>.

[315]

Ozbudun, Ergun 1970. Party cohesion in western democracies: a causal analysis. Sage Publications.

[316]

Pakenham, R. A. 1970. Legislatures and political development. Legislatures. Oxford University Press.

[317]

Panbianco, Angelo 1988. Political parties: organization and power. Cambridge University Press.

[318]

Paper 1. Why Engage With Parliaments? International assistance and parliamentary strengthening - an overview. | Global Partners Governance: 2013.
<https://www.google.com/url?sa=i&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web∓cd=&cad=rja&uact=8&ved=0CDgQw7AJahcKEwjw2uOtmDKAAxUAAA AHQAAAAAQAw&url=https%3A%2F%2Fgpgovernance.net%2Fwp-content%2Fuploads%2F2021%2F02%2FGuide-to-Parliaments.-Paper-1.pdf&psig=AOvVaw3qh0yqgQDD4CcEMTpN4yDy&ust=1691760564108513&opi=89978449>.

[319]

Paper 2. How Parliaments Work: Parliamentary processes and procedures. | Global Partners Governance:
<https://gpgovernance.net/publications/guide-to-parliaments-paper-2-how-parliaments-work-parliamentary-processes-and-procedures/>.

[320]

Paper 4. Show Me the Money. Improving financial oversight. | Global Partners Governance: 2014. <http://www.gpgovernance.net/publication/paper-4-show-me-the-money/>.

[321]

Parliamentary Strengthening: Government Response to the International Development Committee's Ninth Report of Session 2014-15: 2015.

<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201415/cmselect/cmintdev/1125/112504.htm#a2>.

[322]

Parliaments specialist group | The Political Studies Association (PSA):
<https://psaparliaments.org/>.

[323]

Patapan, Haig et al. 2005. Westminster legacies: democracy and responsible government in Asia and the Pacific. UNSW Press.

[324]

Patterson, Samuel Charles and Copeland, Gary W. 1994. Parliaments in the modern world: changing institutions. University of Michigan Press.

[325]

Patzelt, Werner J. 1999. Recruitment and Retention in Western European Parliaments. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 24, 2 (1999), 239–279.

[326]

Paxton, P. and Hughes, M.M. 2015. The Increasing Effectiveness of National Gender Quotas, 1990-2010. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 40, 3 (2015), 331–362.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/lsq.12079>.

[327]

Pearson, K. and Dancey, L. 2011. Speaking for the Underrepresented in the House of Representatives: Voicing Women's Interests in a Partisan Era. *Politics & Gender*. 7, 04 (2011), 493–519. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1743923X1100033X>.

[328]

Pedersen, H.H. 2010. Differences and Changes in Danish Party Organisations: Central Party Organisation versus Parliamentary Party Group Power. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 16, 2 (2010), 233–250. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572331003740131>.

[329]

Pelizzo, R. and Kinyondo, A. 2014. Public Accounts Committees in Eastern and Southern Africa: A Comparative Analysis. *Politics & Policy*. 42, 1 (2014), 77–102. <https://doi.org/10.1111/polp.12062>.

[330]

Pelizzo, Riccardo and Stapenhurst, Frederick 2012. Legislative oversight tools. *Parliamentary oversight tools: a comparative analysis*. Routledge. 28-56-157–159.

[331]

Pettitt, R.T. 2014. *Contemporary party politics*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[332]

Phillips, A. 1998. *Democracy and Representation: Or, Why Should it Matter Who our Representatives Are? Feminism and politics*. Oxford University Press. 224–240.

[333]

Phillips, A. 1998. *The Politics of Presence*. Oxford University Press.

[334]

Piscopo, J.M. 2011. Rethinking Descriptive Representation: Rendering Women in Legislative Debates. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 64, 3 (2011), 448–472. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gsq061>.

[335]

Pitkin, H. 1972. *The Mandate-Independence Controversy. The concept of representation*.

University of California Press.

[336]

Pitkin, Hanna Fenichel 1972. The concept of representation. University of California Press.

[337]

Polsby, N. 1975. Legislatures. Handbook of Political Science. Oxford University Press.

[338]

Polsby, N. 1998. The Institutionalization of the US House of Representatives. Legislatures and legislators. Ashgate. 76–101.

[339]

Power, G. Annex to the IPU's Global Parliamentary Report. United Nations Development Programme.

[340]

Power, G. 2012. Global Parliamentary Report: The changing nature of parliamentary representation.

[341]

Power, G. 2011. The Politics of Parliamentary Strengthening: Understanding political incentives and institutional behaviour in parliamentary support strategies.

[342]

Power, Timothy J. and Rae, Nicol C. 2006. Exporting Congress?: the influence of the U.S. Congress on world legislatures. University of Pittsburgh Press.

[343]

Przeworski, A. et al. 2012. The origins of parliamentary responsibility. Comparative constitutional design. Cambridge University Press.

[344]

R. Dalton et al. 2011. Party policies and policy outputs. Political parties and democratic linkage: how parties organize democracy. Oxford University Press. 193–212.

[345]

Rahat, G. 2007. Determinants of Party Cohesion: Evidence from the Case of the Israeli Parliament. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 60, 2 (2007), 279–296.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gsm003>.

[346]

Rahat, G. 2009. Which Candidate Selection Method is the Most Democratic? *Government and Opposition*. 44, 1 (2009), 68–90. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1477-7053.2008.01276.x>.

[347]

Rahman, Taiabur 2008. *Parliamentary control and government accountability in South Asia: a comparative analysis of Bangladesh, India and Sri Lanka*. Routledge.

[348]

Rahman, Taiabur 2008. *Parliamentary control and government accountability in South Asia: a comparative analysis of Bangladesh, India and Sri Lanka*. Routledge.

[349]

Rai, S.M. 2002. Political representation, democratic institutions and women's empowerment: the quota. *Rethinking empowerment: gender and development in a global/local world*. Routledge. 133–146.

[350]

Rasch, B.E. 2014. Institutional Foundations of Legislative Agenda-Setting. The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies. S. Martin et al., eds. Oxford University Press.

[351]

Rasch, Bjorn Erik 2000. Parliamentary Floor Voting Procedures and Agenda Setting in Europe. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 25, 1 (2000), 3-23.

[352]

Remington, Thomas F. 1994. *Parliaments in transition: the new legislative politics in the former USSR and Eastern Europe*. Westview Press.

[353]

Report from the UK House of Commons International Development committee on parliamentary strengthening: 2015.
<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201415/cmselect/cmintdev/704/704.pdf#>.

[354]

Research and Education – Parliament of Australia:
http://www.aph.gov.au/About_Parliament/Senate/Research_and_Education.

[355]

REYNOLDS, A. 2013. Representation and Rights: The Impact of LGBT Legislators in Comparative Perspective. *American Political Science Review*. 107, 02 (2013), 259-274.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055413000051>.

[356]

Reynolds, A. 2005. Reserved Seats in National Legislatures: A Research Note. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 30, 2 (2005), 301-310.

[357]

Rhodes, R.A.W. et al. 2008. The Oxford handbook of political institutions. Oxford University Press.

[358]

Rich, T.S. 2014. Party Voting Cohesion in Mixed Member Legislative Systems: Evidence from Korea and Taiwan. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 39, 1 (2014), 113-135. <https://doi.org/10.1111/lsq.12035>.

[359]

Richard L. Hall 1992. Measuring Legislative Influence. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 17, 2 (1992), 205-231.

[360]

Riggs, Fred W. 1997. Presidentialism versus Parliamentarism: Implications for Representativeness and Legitimacy . *International Political Science Review / Revue internationale de science politique*. 18, 3 (1997), 253-278.

[361]

Riker, William H. 1992. The Justification of Bicameralism. *International Political Science Review / Revue internationale de science politique*. 13, 1 (1992), 101-116.

[362]

Roberts, A. et al. 2013. Do Electoral Laws Affect Women's Representation? *Comparative Political Studies*. 46, 12 (2013), 1555-1581. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414012463906>.

[363]

Roberts, J. 2007. The Statistical Analysis Of Roll-Call Data: A Cautionary Tale. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 32, 3 (2007), 341-360. <https://doi.org/10.3162/036298007781699636>.

[364]

Rogers, J.R. 2001. An Informational Rationale for Congruent Bicameralism. *Journal of Theoretical Politics*. 13, 2 (2001), 123–151.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0951692801013002001>.

[365]

Rolef, S.H. 2014. Defining the Job of the Member of the Knesset. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 20, 4 (2014), 588–607. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17411912.2014.910338>.

[366]

Roller, E. 2002. Reforming the Spanish Senate: Mission Impossible? *West European Politics*. 25, 4 (2002), 69–92. <https://doi.org/10.1080/713601643>.

[367]

Rose, Richard 1984. *Do parties make a difference?*. Macmillan.

[368]

Rosenblum, Nancy L. 2008. *On the side of the angels: an appreciation of parties and partisanship*. Princeton University Press.

[369]

Ruedin, D. 2013. *Why aren't they there?: the political representation of women, ethnic groups and issue positions in legislatures*. Ecpr Press.

[370]

Ruland, Jurgen and Institute of Southeast Asian Studies 2005. *Parliaments and political change in Asia*. Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.

[371]

Rush, Michael 2005. *Parliament today*. Manchester University Press.

[372]

Russell, M. 2013. *Bicameralism in Theory & Comparative Perspective*. Oxford University Press.

[373]

Russell, M. et al. 2015. Does the Executive Dominate the Westminster Legislative Process?: Six Reasons for Doubt. *Parliamentary Affairs*. (2015).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gsv016>.

[374]

Russell, M. 2012. Elected Second Chambers and Their Powers: An International Survey. *The Political Quarterly*. 83, 1 (2012), 117–129.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-923X.2012.02275.x>.

[375]

Russell, M. 2014. Parliamentary party cohesion: Some explanations from psychology. *Party Politics*. 20, 5 (2014), 712–723. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068812453367>.

[376]

Russell, M. 2013. Rethinking Bicameral Strength: A Three-Dimensional Approach. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 19, 3 (2013), 370–391.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13572334.2013.773639>.

[377]

Russell, M. 2005. Selection under Blair. *Building new Labour: the politics of party organisation*. Palgrave Macmillan. 67–95.

[378]

Russell, M. 2001. What are Second Chambers for? *Parliamentary Affairs*. 54, 3 (2001), 442–458.

[379]

Russell, M. and Benton, M. 2009. Assessing the Policy Impact of Parliament: Methodological Challenges and Possible Future Approaches.

[380]

Russell, M. and Benton, M. 2010. (Re)assessing Parliamentary Policy Impact: The Case of the Australian Senate. *Australian Journal of Political Science*. 45, 2 (2010), 159–174. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10361141003736117>.

[381]

Russell, M. and Sandford, M. 2002. Why are Second Chambers so Difficult to Reform? *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 8, 3 (2002), 79–89. <https://doi.org/10.1080/714003926>.

[382]

Russell, Meg et al. 2007. The House rules? International lessons for enhancing the autonomy of the House of Commons. Constitution Unit, Department of Political Science, UCL.

[383]

Russo, F. and Wiberg, M. 2010. Parliamentary Questioning in 17 European Parliaments: Some Steps towards Comparison. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 16, 2 (2010), 215–232. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572331003740115>.

[384]

Saalfeld, T. 1998. Legislative Voting Behaviour. *World encyclopedia of parliaments and legislatures*. Congressional Quarterly.

[385]

Saalfeld, T. 1995. Rational-choice theory in legislative studies: Models of politics without romanticism. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 1, 1 (1995), 32–64. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572339508420414>.

[386]

Saalfeld, T. and Strøm, K.W. 2014. Political Parties and Legislators. *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. S. Martin et al., eds. Oxford University Press.

[387]

Saiegh, S.M. 2014. LawMaking. *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. S. Martin et al., eds. Oxford University Press.

[388]

Salih, Mohamed Abdel Rahim M. 2005. African parliaments: between governance and government. Palgrave Macmillan.

[389]

Salih, Mohamed Abdel Rahim M. 2005. African parliaments: between governance and government. Palgrave Macmillan.

[390]

Salmond, R. 2014. Parliamentary Question Times: How Legislative Accountability Mechanisms Affect Mass Political Engagement. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 20, 3 (2014), 321–341. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572334.2014.895121>.

[391]

Salmond, R. 2006. Proportional Representation and Female Parliamentarians. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 31, 2 (2006), 175–204. <https://doi.org/10.3162/036298006X201779>.

[392]

Santiso, C. 2004. Legislatures and Budget Oversight in Latin America: Strengthening Public Finance Accountability in Emerging Economies. *Journal on Budgeting*. 4, 2 (2004).

[393]

Sartori, G. 1997. *Presidentialism. Comparative constitutional engineering: an inquiry into structures, incentives and outcomes*. Macmillan Press. 83–100.

[394]

Sartori, G. 1994. *Compare why and how. Comparing nations: concepts, strategies, substance*. Blackwell. 14–34.

[395]

Sartori, G. 1994. *Compare why and how. Comparing nations: concepts, strategies, substance*. Blackwell.

[396]

Sartori, Giovanni 1970. Concept Misformation in Comparative Politics. *The American Political Science Review*. 64, 4 (1970), 1033–1053.

[397]

Sartori, Giovanni 1997. *Problems with Parliamentary Systems. Comparative constitutional engineering: an inquiry into structures, incentives and outcomes*. New York University Press.

[398]

Sater, J.N. 2007. Changing Politics from Below? Women Parliamentarians in Morocco. *Democratization*. 14, 4 (2007), 723–742. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13510340701398352>.

[399]

Scarrow, S. E. et al. 2002. From Social Integration to Electoral Contestation: The Changing Distribution of Power within Political Parties. *Parties without partisans: political change in*

advanced industrial democracies. Oxford Scholarship Online.

[400]

Scarrow, S.E. 2015. *Beyond party members: changing approaches to partisan mobilization*. Oxford University Press.

[401]

Scarrow, S.E. 2003. *Parties and Their Members : Organising for Victory in Britain and Germany*. Oxford University Press.

[402]

Schick, A. 2002. Can National Legislatures Regain an Effective Voice in Budget Policy? *Journal on Budgeting*. 1, 3 (2002).

[403]

Schleiter, P. and Morgan-Jones, E. 2009. Review Article: Citizens, Presidents and Assemblies: The Study of Semi-Presidentialism beyond Duverger and Linz. *British Journal of Political Science*. 39, 04 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123409990159>.

[404]

Schneider, M.C. and Bos, A.L. 2014. Measuring Stereotypes of Female Politicians. *Political Psychology*. 35, 2 (2014), 245–266. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12040>.

[405]

Schuler, P. and Malesky, E. 2014. Authoritarian Legislatures. *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*.

[406]

Schwindt-Bayer, L. 2009. Making Quotas Work: The Effect of Gender Quota Laws On the Election of Women. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 34, 1 (2009), 5–28.

<https://doi.org/10.3162/036298009787500330>.

[407]

Schwindt-Bayer, L.A. 2006. Still Supermadres? Gender and the Policy Priorities of Latin American Legislators. *American Journal of Political Science*. 50, 3 (2006), 570–585. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2006.00202.x>.

[408]

Searing, D. 1995. Backbench and Leadership Roles in The House of Commons. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 48, 3 (1995), 418–437.

[409]

Searing, D.D. 1994. Introduction: Roles, Rules and Rationality. *Westminster's world: understanding political roles*. Harvard University Press. 1–30.

[410]

Shankar, B. L. and Rodrigues, Valerian 2011. *The Indian Parliament: a democracy at work*. Oxford University Press.

[411]

Shaw, E. 2001. New Labour: new pathways to parliament. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 54, 1 (2001), 35–53. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/54.1.35>.

[412]

Shaw, M. 1998. Legislative Committees. *World encyclopedia of parliaments and legislatures*. Congressional Quarterly.

[413]

Shaw, M. 1998. Parliamentary committees: a global perspective. *The new roles of parliamentary committees*. Frank Cass. 225–251.

[414]

Shaw, Malcolm N. and Lees, J. D. 1979. Committees in Legislatures.

[415]

Shaw, Malcolm N. and Lees, John D. 1979. Committees in legislatures: a comparative analysis. Duke University Press.

[416]

Shell, D. 1998. Second chambers. World encyclopedia of parliaments and legislatures. Congressional Quarterly.

[417]

Shephard, M. and Cairney, P. 2005. The Impact of the Scottish Parliament in Amending Executive Legislation. *Political Studies*. 53, 2 (2005), 303–319.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.2005.00530.x>.

[418]

Shepsle, Kenneth A. and Weingast, Barry R. 1994. Positive Theories of Congressional Institutions. *Legislative Studies Quarterly* . 19, 2 (1994), 149–179.

[419]

Shomer, Y. 2009. Candidate Selection Procedures, Seniority, and Vote-Seeking Behavior. *Comparative Political Studies*. 42, 7 (2009), 945–970.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414008330600>.

[420]

Shomer, Y. 2014. What affects candidate selection processes? A cross-national examination. *Party Politics*. 20, 4 (2014), 533–546.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068811436060>.

[421]

Shugart, M.S. and Carey, J.M. 1992. *Presidents and Assemblies: Constitutional Design and Electoral Dynamics*. Cambridge University Press.

[422]

Siaroff, A. 2003. Varieties of Parliamentarianism in the Advanced Industrial Democracies. *International Political Science Review*. 24, 4 (2003), 445–464. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01925121030244003>.

[423]

Sieberer, U. 2006. Party unity in parliamentary democracies: A comparative analysis. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 12, 2 (2006), 150–178. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572330600739413>.

[424]

Sieberer, U. 2015. Using MP statements to explain voting behaviour in the German Bundestag: An individual level test of the Competing Principals Theory. *Party Politics*. 21, 2 (2015), 284–294. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068812472584>.

[425]

Skjaeveland, A. 1999. A Danish Party Cohesion Cycle. *Scandinavian Political Studies*. 22, 2 (1999), 121–136. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9477.00008>.

[426]

Skjaeveland, A. 2001. Party Cohesion in the Danish Parliament. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 7, 2 (2001), 35–56. <https://doi.org/10.1080/714003872>.

[427]

Soroka, S. et al. 2009. Constituency Influence in Parliament. *Canadian Journal of Political Science*. 42, 03 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008423909990059>.

[428]

Soroka, S. et al. 2009. Constituency Influence in Parliament. *Canadian Journal of Political Science*. 42, 03 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008423909990059>.

[429]

Spies, D.C. and Kaiser, A. 2012. Does the mode of candidate selection affect the representativeness of parties? *Party Politics*. (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068811436066>.

[430]

Stapenhurst, et al., R. 2008. *Legislative Oversight and Budgeting: A World Perspective*.

[431]

Stepan, A. and Skach, C. 1993. Constitutional Frameworks and Democratic Consolidation: Parliamentarism versus Presidentialism. *World Politics*. 46, 1 (1993). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2950664>.

[432]

Stockemer, D. 2011. Women's Parliamentary Representation in Africa: The Impact of Democracy and Corruption on the Number of Female Deputies in National Parliaments. *Political Studies*. 59, 3 (2011), 693–712. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.2011.00897.x>.

[433]

Stokes, S.C. 1999. Political parties and democracy. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2, 1 (1999), 243–267. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.2.1.243>.

[434]

Stratmann, Thomas and Baur, Martin 2002. Plurality Rule, Proportional Representation, and the German Bundestag: How Incentives to Pork-Barrel Differ across Electoral Systems.

American Journal of Political Science. 46, 3 (2002), 506–514.

[435]

Strøm, K. et al. 2003. Delegation and accountability in parliamentary democracies. Oxford University Press.

[436]

Strom, K. 1984. Minority Governments in Parliamentary Democracies: The Rationality of Nonwinning Cabinet Solutions. *Comparative Political Studies*. 17, 2 (1984), 199–227.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414084017002004>.

[437]

Strom, K. 1997. Rules, reasons and routines: Legislative roles in parliamentary democracies. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 3, 1 (1997), 155–174.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13572339708420504>.

[438]

Swenden, Wilfried 2004. Federalism and second chambers: regional representation in parliamentary federations: the Australian Senate and German Bundesrat compared. P.I.E.-Peter Lang.

[439]

Swers, Michele L. 1998. Are Women More Likely to Vote for Women's Issue Bills than Their Male Colleagues? *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 23, 3 (1998).

[440]

Swers, Michele L. 2002. The difference women make: the policy impact of women in Congress. University of Chicago Press.

[441]

Tate, K. 2001. The Political Representation of Blacks in Congress: Does Race Matter? *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 26, 4 (2001).

[442]

Tavits, M. 2009. Effect of Local Ties On Electoral Success and Parliamentary Behaviour: The Case of Estonia. *Party Politics*. 16, 2 (2009), 215–235.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068809341053>.

[443]

Tavits, M. 2011. Power within Parties: The Strength of the Local Party and MP Independence in Postcommunist Europe. *American Journal of Political Science*. 55, 4 (2011), 923–936. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2011.00520.x>.

[444]

Tavits, M. 2009. The Making of Mavericks: Local Loyalties and Party Defection. *Comparative Political Studies*. 42, 6 (2009), 793–815.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414008329900>.

[445]

Taylor-Robinson, M.M. 2014. Gender and Legislatures. *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. S. Martin et al., eds. Oxford University Press.

[446]

The difficult development of parliamentary politics in the Gulf: Parliaments and the process of managed reform in Kuwait, Bahrain and Oman | *Global Partners Governance*: 2012.
<http://www.gpgovernance.net/publication/politics-in-gulf/>.

[447]

The Journal of Legislative Studies - Special Issue on Second Chambers: 2001. .

[448]

Thomassen, J. and Esaiasson, P. 2006. Role Orientations of Members of Parliament. *Acta Politica*. 41, 3 (2006), 217–231. <https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.ap.5500157>.

[449]

Thompson, L. 2013. More of the Same or a Period of Change? The Impact of Bill Committees in the Twenty-First Century House of Commons. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 66, 3 (July 2013), 459–479. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gss016>.

[450]

Tobin Grant, J. and Rudolph, T.J. 2004. The Job of Representation in Congress: Public Expectations and Representative Approval. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 29, 3 (2004), 431–445.

[451]

Tremblay, M. 2007. Democracy, Representation, and Women: A Comparative Analysis. *Democratization*. 14, 4 (2007), 533–553. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13510340701398261>.

[452]

Tremblay, Manon et al. 2006. *Representing women in parliament: a comparative study*. Routledge.

[453]

Tsebelis, G. 1995. Decision Making in Political Systems: Veto Players in Presidentialism, Parliamentarism, Multicameralism and Multipartyism. *British Journal of Political Science*. 25, 03 (1995). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123400007225>.

[454]

Tsebelis, G. 2002. *Governments and Parliaments. Veto players: how political institutions work*. Princeton University Press.

[455]

Tsebelis, G. and Money, J. 1997. *Bicameralism*. Cambridge University Press.

[456]

Tsebelis, George and Russell Sage Foundation 2002. *Veto players: how political institutions work*. Princeton University Press.

[457]

Uhr, John 1998. *Deliberative democracy in Australia: the changing place of Parliament*. Cambridge University Press.

[458]

Uhr, John 2005. *How Democratic is Parliament? Democratic Audit of Australia*.

[459]

UK Parliament: <http://www.parliament.uk/>.

[460]

Urbinati, Nadia 2006. *Representative democracy: principles and genealogy*. University of Chicago Press.

[461]

Vatter, A. 2005. Bicameralism and policy performance: The effects of cameral structure in Comparative Perspective. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 11, 2 (2005), 194-215.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13572330500158607>.

[462]

Vivyan, N. and Wagner, M. 2012. Do voters reward rebellion? The electoral accountability of MPs in Britain. *European Journal of Political Research*. 51, 2 (2012), 235-264.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6765.2011.01998.x>.

[463]

Vliegthart, R. and Walgrave, S. 2011. Content Matters: The Dynamics of Parliamentary Questioning in Belgium and Denmark. *Comparative Political Studies*. 44, 8 (2011), 1031–1059. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414011405168>.

[464]

Wangnerud, L. 2000. Testing the Politics of Presence: Women's Representation in the Swedish Riksdag. *Scandinavian Political Studies*. 23, 1 (2000), 67–91. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9477.00031>.

[465]

Wangnerud, L. 2009. Women in Parliaments: Descriptive and Substantive Representation. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 12, 1 (2009), 51–69.

[466]

Ward, H. 2010. *Rational Choice. Theory and methods in political science*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[467]

Ware, A. 1996. Party organizations. *Political parties and party systems*. Oxford University Press. 93–123.

[468]

Ware, A. 1996. The Selection of Candidates and Leaders. *Political parties and party systems*. Oxford University Press.

[469]

Wehner, J. 2006. *Back from the Sidelines? Redefining the Contribution of Legislatures to the Budget Cycle*. World Bank Institute.

[470]

Wehner, J. 2014. Legislatures and Public Finance. *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. S. Martin et al., eds. Oxford University Press.

[471]

Weldon, S.L. 2008. Beyond Bodies: Institutional Sources of Representation for Women in Democratic Policymaking. *The Journal of Politics*. 64, 04 (2008).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2508.00167>.

[472]

Whiteley, P.F. 2010. Is the party over? The decline of party activism and membership across the democratic world. *Party Politics*. 17, 1 (2010), 21-44.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068810365505>.

[473]

Whitmore, S. 2006. Challenges and constraints for post-Soviet committees: exploring the impact of parties on committees in Ukraine. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 12, 1 (2006), 32-53. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572330500484953>.

[474]

Wiberg, M. 1995. Chapter 6 Parliamentary Questioning: Control by Communication? *Parliaments and Majority Rule in Western Europe*. H. Doring, ed. Mannheim Centre for European Social Research (MZES).

[475]

Williams, Melissa S. 1998. *Voice, trust, and memory: marginalized groups and the failings of liberal representation*. Princeton University Press.

[476]

Wood, D.M. 1998. Legislators and Constituents. *World encyclopedia of parliaments and*

legislatures. Congressional Quarterly.

[477]

World Bank Parliamentary Strengthening Programme:
<http://siteresources.worldbank.org/PSGLP/Resources/parliament.pdf>.

[478]

Wüst, A.M. 2014. A Lasting Impact? On the Legislative Activities of Immigrant-origin Parliamentarians in Germany. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 20, 4 (2014), 495–515.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13572334.2014.907601>.

[479]

Young, Iris Marion 2000. Inclusion and democracy.

[480]

Zucchini, F. 2011. Government alternation and legislative agenda setting. *European Journal of Political Research*. 50, 6 (2011), 749–774.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6765.2010.01983.x>.

[481]

Engaging and Supporting Parliaments Worldwide: Strategies and Methodologies for EC Action in Support to Parliaments.

[482]

Legislative Studies Quarterly .

[483]

Legislative Studies Quarterly - Special Issue on Representative Roles. 4, 4, 485–655.

[484]

Parliamentary Affairs .

[485]

2011. Parliamentary Questions. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 17, 3 (2011), 259–270.

[486]

Party Politics.

[487]

2001. Party Politics - Special Issue on Candidate Selection. 7, 3 (2001).

[488]

2007. *Regional & Federal Studies* (Special Issue: Constituency Representation, Devolution and MMP Electoral Systems: Comparative and UK Perspectives). 17, 1 (2007).

[489]

The Journal of Legislative Studies.

[490]

2002. *The Journal of Legislative Studies* . 8, 3 (2002).

[491]

2004. *The Journal of Legislative Studies* - Special Issue on Legislatures and executives: an investigation into the relationship at the heart of government. 10, 2–3 (2004).

[492]

2011. The Journal of Legislative Studies - Special Issue on Post-Communist Parliaments: Change and Stability in the Second Decade. 17, 2 (2011).

[493]

2003. The Journal of Legislative Studies - Special Issue on Southern European Parliaments in Democracy. 9, 2 (2003).

[494]

1999. The Journal of Legislative Studies - Special Issue on The Uneasy Relationship Between Parliamentary Members and Leaders. 5, 3/4 (1999), 1-332.

[495]

2003. The Journal of Legislative Studies (Special Issue: Cohesion and Discipline in Legislatures: Political Parties, Party Leadership, Parliamentary Committees and Governance). 9, 4 (2003).

[496]

2006. The Journal of Legislative Studies (Special Issue: Comparing and Classifying Legislatures). 12, 3-4 (2006).

[497]

The Parliamentarian - The Journal of Commonwealth Parliamentarians and Legislatures. Commonwealth Parliamentary Association.