

PUBLG057: Parliaments, Political Parties and Policy Making

Professor Meg Russell

View Online



-
1.
Loewenberg, Gerhard, Patterson, Samuel Charles. Comparing Legislatures. University Press of America; 1979.
 2.
Olson, David M. Democratic Legislative Institutions: A Comparative View. Vol Comparative politics series. M.E. Sharpe; 1994.
 3.
Norton, Philip. Legislatures and Legislators. Vol The international library of politics and comparative government. Ashgate; 1998.
 4.
Norton, Philip. Legislatures. Vol Oxford readings in politics and government. Oxford University Press; 1990.
 5.
Norton, Philip. Parliaments in Western Europe. Cass; 1990.
 6.
Patterson, Samuel Charles, Copeland, Gary W. Parliaments in the Modern World: Changing Institutions. University of Michigan Press; 1994.

7.

Loewenberg G. On Legislatures: The Puzzle of Representation. Vol On politics. Paradigm Publishers; 2011.

8.

Fish, M. Steven, Kroenig, Matthew. The Handbook of National Legislatures: A Global Survey . Cambridge University Press; 2009.

9.

Martin S, Saalfeld T, Strøm K, eds. The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies. Vol Oxford handbooks in politics&international relations. Oxford University Press; 2014.

10.

Davidson, Roger H. The Postreform Congress. St. Martin's Press; 1992.

11.

McCubbins, Mathew D., Sullivan, Terry. Congress: Structure and Policy. Vol Political economy of institutions and decisions. Cambridge University Press; 1987.

12.

Dodd, Lawrence C. Thinking about Congress: Essays on Congressional Change. Routledge; 2012.

13.

Power, Timothy J., Rae, Nicol C. Exporting Congress?: The Influence of the U.S. Congress on World Legislatures. University of Pittsburgh Press; 2006.

14.

Norton P. Parliament in British Politics. Vol Contemporary political studies series. 2nd ed. Palgrave Macmillan; 2013. <https://read.kortext.com/reader/pdf/616520/Cover>

15.

Rush, Michael. Parliament Today. Vol Politics today. Manchester University Press; 2005.

16.

Kopecky, Petr. Parliaments in the Czech and Slovak Republics: Party Competition and Parliamentary Institutionalization. Ashgate; 2001.

17.

Olson, David M., Norton, Philip. The New Parliaments of Central and Eastern Europe. Frank Cass; 1996.

18.

Ostrow, Joel M. Comparing Post-Soviet Legislatures: A Theory of Institutional Design and Political Conflict. Vol Parliaments and legislatures series. Ohio State University Press; 2000.

19.

Uhr, John. Deliberative Democracy in Australia: The Changing Place of Parliament. Vol Reshaping Australian institutions. Cambridge University Press; 1998.

20.

Bach S. Platypus and Parliament: The Australian Senate in Theory and Practice. Department of the Senate, Canberra; 2003.
https://www.aph.gov.au/About_Parliament/Senate/Powers_practice_n_procedures/platparl

21.

Patapan, Haig, Wanna, John, Weller, Patrick Moray. Westminster Legacies: Democracy and

Responsible Government in Asia and the Pacific. Vol ANZOG program on government, politics and public management. UNSW Press; 2005.

22.

Norton, Philip, Ahmed, Nizam U. Parliaments in Asia. Vol The library of legislative studies. Frank Cass; 1999.

23.

B. L. Shankar, Valerian Rodrigues. The Indian Parliament: A Democracy at Work.; 2011.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198067726.001.0001>

24.

Rahman, Taiabur. Parliamentary Control and Government Accountability in South Asia: A Comparative Analysis of Bangladesh, India and Sri Lanka. Vol Routledge advances in South Asian studies series. Routledge; 2008.

25.

Ruland, Jurgen, Institute of Southeast Asian Studies. Parliaments and Political Change in Asia. Institute of Southeast Asian Studies; 2005.

26.

Shankar, B. L., Rodrigues, Valerian. The Indian Parliament: A Democracy at Work. Oxford University Press; 2011.

27.

Barkan, Joel D. Legislative Power in Emerging African Democracies. Lynne Rienner; 2009.

28.

Salih, Mohamed Abdel Rahim M. African Parliaments: Between Governance and

Government. Palgrave Macmillan; 2005.

29.

Close, David. Legislatures and the New Democracies in Latin America. L. Rienner Publishers; 1995.

30.

Morgenstern, Scott, Nacif, Benito. Legislative Politics in Latin America. Vol Cambridge studies in comparative politics. Cambridge University Press; 2002.

31.

Esaiasson, Peter, Heidar, Knut. Beyond Westminster and Congress: The Nordic Experience. Vol Parliaments and legislatures series. Ohio State University Press; 2000.

32.

Matthews, Donald R., Valen, Henry. Parliamentary Representation: The Case of the Norwegian Storting. Vol Parliaments and legislatures series. Ohio State University Press; 1999.

33.

Arter, David. The Nordic Parliaments: A Comparative Analysis. Hurst; 1984.

34.

Damgaard, Erik. Parliamentary Change in the Nordic Countries. Vol Scandinavian library. Scandinavian University Press; 1992.

35.

Landman T. Comparing Many Countries. In: Issues and Methods in Comparative Politics: An Introduction. 2nd ed. Routledge; 2003:51-65.

36.

Landman T. *Issues and Methods in Comparative Politics: An Introduction*. Fourth edition. Routledge; 2017. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/ucl/detail.action?docID=4756274>

37.

Dogan, Mattei, Pelassy, Dominique. *How to Compare Nations: Strategies in Comparative Politics*. 2nd ed. Chatham House; 1990.

38.

Burnham P, Gilland K, Grant W, Layton-Henry Z. *Comparative Methodology*. In: *Research Methods in Politics*. Vol Political analysis. Palgrave; 2004:58-79.

39.

Sartori G. *Compare why and how*. In: *Comparing Nations: Concepts, Strategies, Substance*. Blackwell; 1994:14-34.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=2938f567-5e36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

40.

Sartori G. *Compare why and how*. In: *Comparing Nations: Concepts, Strategies, Substance*. Blackwell; 1994.

41.

Sartori, Giovanni. *Concept Misformation in Comparative Politics*. *The American Political Science Review*. 1970;64(4):1033-1053.

42.

Lijphart, Arendt. *The Comparable-Cases Strategy in Comparative Research*. *Comparative political studies*. 1975;8(2):158-177.
<http://cps.sagepub.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/content/8/2/158.full.pdf.html>

43.

Lijphart, Arend. Comparative Politics and the Comparative Method. *The American Political Science Review*. 1971;65(3):682-693.

44.

Nassmacher H. The Dilemma of Depth Versus Breadth in Comparing Political Systems Empirically...and How to Overcome It. *European Political Science*. 2008;7(1):113-125. doi:10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210179

45.

Legislative Studies Quarterly .

46.

The Journal of Legislative Studies.

47.

Parliamentary Affairs .

48.

Party Politics.

49.

The Parliamentarian - The Journal of Commonwealth Parliamentarians and Legislatures.
Commonwealth Parliamentary Association.
<https://www.cpahq.org/knowledge-centre/the-parliamentarian/>

50.

Constitutional and parliamentary information | ASGP.
<http://asgp.co/constitutional-and-parliamentary-information>

51.

Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU).

52.

International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (IDEA).

53.

Research and Education – Parliament of Australia.
http://www.aph.gov.au/About_Parliament/Senate/Research_and_Education

54.

Legislative Studies Section | The American Political Science Association.
<http://legislativestudies.org/>

55.

Parliaments specialist group | The Political Studies Association (PSA).
<https://psaparliaments.org/>

56.

Commonwealth Parliamentary Association. <https://www.cpahq.org/>

57.

UK Parliament. <http://www.parliament.uk/>

58.

Mezey, M. Defining the Legislature. In: Comparative Legislatures. Vol Publications of the Consortium for Comparative Legislative Studies. Duke University Press; 1979:3-20.

59.

Mezey M. Classifying legislatures. In: Legislatures. Vol Oxford readings in politics and government. Oxford University Press; 1979:149-176.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=2cdbbe02-4d36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

60.

Mezey, M. Classifying Legislatures. In: Comparative Legislatures. Vol Publications of the Consortium for Comparative Legislative Studies. Duke University Press; 1979:149-176.

61.

Norton P. Introduction: The institution of parliaments. In: Parliaments and Governments in Western Europe. Vol Parliaments in contemporary Western Europe. Frank Cass; 1998:1-15.

62.

Loewenberg, Gerhard, Patterson, Samuel Charles. Functions of Legislatures. In: Comparing Legislatures. University Press of America; 1979.

63.

Olson D. Legislatures in Today's Democracies. In: Democratic Legislative Institutions: A Comparative View. Vol Comparative politics series. M.E. Sharpe; 1994.

64.

Hague R, Harrop M. Legislatures. In: Comparative Government and Politics: An Introduction . Vol Comparative government and politics. 6th ed. Palgrave Macmillan; 2004:247-267.

65.

Blondel J. Representation: legislatures and parliaments. In: Comparative Government: An Introduction. 2nd ed. Pearson Education; 1995:248-266.

66.

Paper 2. How Parliaments Work: Parliamentary processes and procedures. | Global Partners Governance.
<https://gpgovernance.net/publications/guide-to-parliaments-paper-2-how-parliaments-work-parliamentary-processes-and-procedures/>

67.

Kreppel A. Legislatures. In: Comparative Politics. 3rd ed. Oxford University Press; 2014:113-130.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=595f1cd2-4d36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

68.

Martin S, Saalfeld T, Strøm KW. Introduction. In: Martin S, Saalfeld T, Strøm KW, eds The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies. Oxford University Press; 2014.
doi:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.013.0001

69.

Kreppel A. Typologies and Classifications. In: Martin S, Saalfeld T, Strøm KW, eds The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies. Oxford University Press; 2014.
doi:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.013.0032

70.

Copeland G, Patterson SC. Parliaments and Legislatures. In: World Encyclopedia of Parliaments and Legislatures. Congressional Quarterly; 1998.

71.

Judge D. Legislative Institutionalization: A Bent Analytical Arrow? Government and Opposition. 2003;38(4):497-516. doi:10.1111/1477-7053.t01-1-00026

72.

Rhodes RAW, Binder SA, Rockman BA. The Oxford Handbook of Political Institutions. Vol The Oxford handbooks of political science. Oxford University Press; 2008.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199548460.001.0001>

73.

Laver M. Legislatures and Parliaments in Comparative Context. In: The Oxford Handbook of Political Institutions. Vol The Oxford handbooks of political science. Oxford University Press; 2006.

74.

Mezey, M. L. Legislatures: Individual Purpose and Institutional Performance. In: Political Science: The State of the Discipline. Centennial ed. W.W. Norton; 2002.

75.

Polsby N. Legislatures. In: Handbook of Political Science. Vol Oxford readings in politics and government. Oxford University Press; 1975.

76.

Power G. Annex to the IPU's Global Parliamentary Report.
<http://www.ipu.org/pdf/publications/gpr2012-full-e.pdf>

77.

Hibbing, John R. Legislative Institutionalization with Illustrations from the British House of Commons. *American Journal of Political Science*. 1988;32(3):681-712.

78.

Owens JE, Loomis BA. Qualified exceptionalism: The US Congress in comparative perspective. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2006;12(3-4):258-290.
[doi:10.1080/13572330600875464](https://doi.org/10.1080/13572330600875464)

79.

Polsby, N. The Institutionalization of the US House of Representatives. In: Legislatures and Legislators. Vol The international library of politics and comparative government. Ashgate; 1998:76-101.

80.

Norton P. Parliaments: A Framework for Analysis. In: Parliaments in Western Europe. Cass; 1990.

81.

Gamm G, Huber J. Legislatures as Political Institutions: Beyond the Contemporary Congress. In: Political Science: The State of the Discipline. Centennial ed. W.W. Norton; 2002.

82.

Przeworski A, Asadurian T, Thomas Bohlken A. The origins of parliamentary responsibility. In: Comparative Constitutional Design. Cambridge University Press; 2012.
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/comparative-constitutional-design/origins-of-parliamentary-responsibility/6E5F94D8066519887516B9F5E1B075F3>

83.

Lijphart, Arend. Introduction . In: Parliamentary versus Presidential Government. Oxford University Press; 1992:1-27.

84.

Elgie R. From Linz to Tsebelis: three waves of presidential/parliamentary studies? Democratization. 2005;12(1):106-122. doi:10.1080/1351034042000317989

85.

King, Anthony. Modes of Executive-Legislative Relations: Great Britain, France, and West Germany. Legislative Studies Quarterly. 1976;1(1).

86.

M. S. S. Comparative Executive-Legislative Relations. In: The Oxford Handbook of Political Institutions. Vol The Oxford handbooks of political science. Oxford University Press; 2006.

87.

Sartori G. Presidentialism. In: Comparative Constitutional Engineering: An Inquiry into Structures, Incentives and Outcomes. 2nd ed. Macmillan Press; 1997:83-100.

88.

Lijphart A. Presidentialism and majoritarian democracy. In: The Failure of Presidential Democracy: Vol. 1: Comparative Perspectives. Johns Hopkins University Press; 1994.

89.

Linz, J. J. The Virtues of Parliamentarism. Journal of Democracy. 1990;1(4):84-91.

90.

Linz, J.J. The Perils of Presidentialism. Journal of Democracy. 1990;1(1):51-69.

91.

Stepan A, Skach C. Constitutional Frameworks and Democratic Consolidation: Parliamentarianism versus Presidentialism. World Politics. 1993;46(1).
doi:10.2307/2950664

92.

Shugart MS, Carey JM. Presidents and Assemblies: Constitutional Design and Electoral Dynamics. Cambridge University Press; 1992.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139173988>

93.

Hiroi T, Omori S. Perils of parliamentarism? Political systems and the stability of democracy revisited. *Democratization*. 2009;16(3):485-507.
doi:10.1080/13510340902884598

94.

Bergman, Torbjorn, Muller, Wolfgang C., Strom, Kaare. *Delegation and Accountability in Parliamentary Democracies*. Vol Comparative politics. Oxford University Press; 2003.

95.

Elgie R. What is divided government? In: *Divided Government in Comparative Perspective*. Vol Oxford scholarship online. Oxford Scholarship Online; 2001.

96.

Mezey, M. L. Executive-legislative relations. In: *World Encyclopedia of Parliaments and Legislatures*. Congressional Quarterly; 1998.

97.

Cheibub JA, Elkins Z, Ginsburg T. Beyond Presidentialism and Parliamentarism. *British Journal of Political Science*. 2014;44(03):515-544. doi:10.1017/S000712341300032X

98.

David Samuels, Matthew Shugart. *Presidents, Parties, and Prime Ministers: How the Separation of Powers Affects Party Organization and Behavior*.; 2010.
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/presidents-parties-and-prime-ministers/2CB12EF33F51236B06BC1B4E0485BD8B>

99.

Ganghof S. Is the 'Constitution of Equality' Parliamentary, Presidential or Hybrid? *Political Studies*. Published online 2014:n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/1467-9248.12124

100.

The Journal of Legislative Studies - Special Issue on Legislatures and executives: an investigation into the relationship at the heart of government. 2004;10(2-3).

101.

Lijphart A. Executive-legislative relations. In: Patterns of Democracy: Government Forms and Performance in Thirty-Six Countries. Yale University Press; 1999.

102.

Tsebelis, George, Russell Sage Foundation. Veto Players: How Political Institutions Work. Princeton University Press; 2002.

103.

Fiorina, Morris P., Mayhew, David R. Divided Government. Vol Longman classics in political science. 2nd ed. Longman; 2003.

104.

Riggs, Fred W. Presidentialism versus Parliamentarism: Implications for Representativeness and Legitimacy . International Political Science Review / Revue internationale de science politique. 1997;18(3):253-278.

105.

Mainwaring S. Presidentialism, Multipartyism, and Democracy: The Difficult Combination. Comparative Political Studies. 1993;26(2):198-228. doi:10.1177/0010414093026002003

106.

Cheibub JA, Limongi F. Democratic Institutions and Regime Survival: Parliamentary and Presidential Democracies Reconsidered. Annual Review of Political Science. 2002;5(1):151-179. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.5.102301.084508

107.

Cheibub, Jose Antonio. *Presidentialism, Parliamentarism, and Democracy*. Vol Cambridge studies in comparative politics. Cambridge University Press; 2007.

108.

Mainwaring, Scott. *Presidentialism in Latin America*. *Latin American Research Review*. 1995;25(1):157-179.

109.

Mainwaring S, Shugart MS, eds. *Presidentialism and Democracy in Latin America*. Vol Cambridge Studies in Comparative Politics. Cambridge University Press; 1997.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139174800>

110.

Mainwaring S. *Presidentialism and democracy in Latin America : rethinking the terms of the debate*. In: *Presidentialism and Democracy in Latin America*. Vol Cambridge studies in comparative politics. Cambridge University Press; 1997:12-54.

111.

Siaroff A. *Varieties of Parliamentarianism in the Advanced Industrial Democracies*. *International Political Science Review*. 2003;24(4):445-464.
doi:10.1177/01925121030244003

112.

Elgie R. *The Perils of Semi-Presidentialism. Are They Exaggerated?* *Democratization*. 2008;15(1):49-66. doi:10.1080/13510340701768125

113.

Schleiter P, Morgan-Jones E. *Review Article: Citizens, Presidents and Assemblies: The Study of Semi-Presidentialism beyond Duverger and Linz*. *British Journal of Political Science*. 2009;39(04). doi:10.1017/S0007123409990159

114.

Fukuyama, Francis, Dressel, Bjorn, Chang, Boo-Seung. Facing the Perils of Presidentialism? *Journal of Democracy*. 2005;16(2):102-116. doi:10.1353/jod.2005.0026

115.

Huber, John D. The Vote of Confidence in Parliamentary Democracies. *The American Political Science Review*. 1996;90(2):269-282.

116.

Strom K. Minority Governments in Parliamentary Democracies: The Rationality of Nonwinning Cabinet Solutions. *Comparative Political Studies*. 1984;17(2):199-227. doi:10.1177/0010414084017002004

117.

Laver, Michael, Shepsle, Kenneth A. Making and Breaking Governments: Cabinets and Legislatures in Parliamentary Democracies. Vol Political economy of institutions and decisions. Cambridge University Press; 1999.

118.

Lijphart A. Patterns of Democracy: Government Forms and Performance in Thirty-Six Countries. 2nd ed. Yale University Press; 2012.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/j.ctt32bg23>

119.

Russell M. Bicameralism in Theory & Comparative Perspective. Oxford University Press; 2013. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199671564.001.0001>

120.

Russell M. What are Second Chambers for? *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2001;54(3):442-458.
<https://academic.oup.com/pa/article-abstract/54/3/442/1461945?redirectedFrom=PDF>

121.

Mughan, Anthony, Patterson, Samuel Charles. *Senates: Bicameralism in the Contemporary World*. Vol Parliaments and legislatures series. Ohio State University Press; 1999.

122.

Tsebelis G, Money J. *Bicameralism*. Vol Political Economy of Institutions and Decisions. Cambridge University Press; 1997. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511609350>

123.

The Journal of Legislative Studies - Special Issue on Second Chambers.

124.

Russell M, Sandford M. Why are Second Chambers so Difficult to Reform? *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2002;8(3):79-89. doi:10.1080/714003926

125.

Levmore S. Bicameralism: When are two decisions better than one? *International Review of Law and Economics*. 1992;12(2):145-162. doi:10.1016/0144-8188(92)90031-L

126.

Tsebelis G. Decision Making in Political Systems: Veto Players in Presidentialism, Parliamentarism, Multicameralism and Multipartyism. *British Journal of Political Science*. 1995;25(03). doi:10.1017/S0007123400007225

127.

Druckman JN, Thies MF. The Importance of Concurrence: The Impact of Bicameralism on Government Formation and Duration. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2002;46(4). doi:10.2307/3088432

128.

Shell, D. Second chambers. In: World Encyclopedia of Parliaments and Legislatures. Congressional Quarterly; 1998.

129.

Norton P. How many bicameral legislatures are there? The Journal of Legislative Studies. 2004;10(4):1-9. doi:10.1080/1357233042000322436

130.

Mughan, Anthony, Patterson, Samuel Charles. Senates: Bicameralism in the Contemporary World. Vol Parliaments and legislatures series. Ohio State University Press; 1999.

131.

Russell M. Rethinking Bicameral Strength: A Three-Dimensional Approach. The Journal of Legislative Studies. 2013;19(3):370-391. doi:10.1080/13572334.2013.773639

132.

Russell M. Elected Second Chambers and Their Powers: An International Survey. The Political Quarterly. 2012;83(1):117-129. doi:10.1111/j.1467-923X.2012.02275.x

133.

Coakley J. The Strange Revival of Bicameralism. The Journal of Legislative Studies. 2014;20(4):542-572. doi:10.1080/13572334.2014.926168

134.

The Journal of Legislative Studies . 2002;8(3).

135.

Llanos M, Nolte D. Bicameralism in the Americas: around the extremes of symmetry and

incongruence. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2003;9(3):54-86.
doi:10.1080/1357233042000246864

136.

Roller E. Reforming the Spanish Senate: Mission Impossible? *West European Politics*. 2002;25(4):69-92. doi:10.1080/713601643

137.

Hiroi T. The Dynamics of Lawmaking in a Bicameral Legislature: The Case of Brazil. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2008;41(12):1583-1606. doi:10.1177/0010414007308536

138.

Bean C, Wattenberg M. Attitudes Towards Divided Government and Ticket-splitting in Australia and the United States. *Australian Journal of Political Science*. 1998;33(1):25-36. doi:10.1080/10361149850705

139.

Goot M. Whose Mandate? Policy Promises, Strong Bicameralism and Polled Opinion. *Australian Journal of Political Science*. 1999;34(3):327-352. doi:10.1080/10361149950263

140.

Sartori, Giovanni. Problems with Parliamentary Systems. In: *Comparative Constitutional Engineering: An Inquiry into Structures, Incentives and Outcomes*. 2nd ed. New York University Press; 1997.

141.

Swenden, Wilfried. Federalism and Second Chambers: Regional Representation in Parliamentary Federations : The Australian Senate and German Bundesrat Compared. Vol Regionalism&federalism. P.I.E.-Peter Lang; 2004.

142.

Olson DM, Longley LD. *Two into One: The Politics and Processes of National Legislative Cameral Change*. Westview Press; 1991.

143.

Congleton RD. On the merits of bicameral legislatures: intragovernmental bargaining and policy stability. In: *Democratic Constitutional Design and Public Policy: Analysis and Evidence*. MIT Press; 2006.

144.

Riker, William H. The Justification of Bicameralism. *International Political Science Review / Revue internationale de science politique*. 1992;13(1):101-116.

145.

Money, Jeannette, Tsebelis, George. Cicero's Puzzle: Upper House Power in Comparative Perspective . *International Political Science Review / Revue internationale de science politique*. 1992;13(1):25-43.

146.

Rogers JR. An Informational Rationale for Congruent Bicameralism. *Journal of Theoretical Politics*. 2001;13(2):123-151. doi:10.1177/0951692801013002001

147.

Druckman J, Martin L, Thies M. Influence without Confidence: Upper Chambers and Government Formation. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 2005;30(4):529-548. doi:10.3162/036298005X201662

148.

Vatter A. Bicameralism and policy performance: The effects of cameral structure in Comparative Perspective. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2005;11(2):194-215. doi:10.1080/13572330500158607

149.

Heller, William B. Bicameralism and Budget Deficits: The Effect of Parliamentary Structure on Government Spending. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 1997;22(4):485-516.

150.

Norris P. Legislative recruitment. In: *Comparing Democracies: Elections and Voting in Global Perspectives*. Sage Publications; 1996:184-215.

151.

Hazan RY, Rahaç G. Democracy within Parties: Candidate Selection Methods and Their Political Consequences. *Vol Comparative politics*. Oxford University Press; 2010.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199572540.001.0001>

152.

Cross W. Democratic Norms and Party Candidate Selection: Taking Contextual Factors into Account. *Party Politics*. 2008;14(5):596-619. doi:10.1177/1354068808093392

153.

Party Politics - Special Issue on Candidate Selection. 2001;7(3).

154.

Gallagher M. Conclusion. In: *Candidate Selection in Comparative Perspective: The Secret Garden of Politics*. Vol Sage modern politics series. Sage; 1988:236-283.

155.

Field BN, Siavelis PM. Candidate Selection Procedures in Transitional Polities: A Research Note. *Party Politics*. 2008;14(5):620-639. doi:10.1177/1354068808093393

156.

Norris P, Lovenduski J. Political Recruitment: Gender, Race and Class in the British Parliament. Cambridge University Press; 1994.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511598609>

157.

Norris P, Lovenduski J. Comparative candidate recruitment. In: Political Recruitment: Gender, Race, and Class in the British Parliament. Cambridge University Press; 1995:183-205.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=cfb0a7c-5b36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

158.

Shomer Y. What affects candidate selection processes? A cross-national examination. Party Politics. 2014;20(4):533-546. doi:10.1177/1354068811436060

159.

Norris P. Introduction: theories of recruitment. In: Passages to Power: Legislative Recruitment in Advanced Democracies. Cambridge University Press; 1997:1-14.

160.

Scarrow, S. E., Webb, P., Farrell, D. M. From Social Integration to Electoral Contestation: The Changing Distribution of Power within Political Parties. In: Parties without Partisans: Political Change in Advanced Industrial Democracies. Vol Oxford scholarship online. Oxford Scholarship Online; 2002.

161.

Indrithason IH, Kristinsson GH. Primary consequences: The effects of candidate selection through party primaries in Iceland. Party Politics. 2015;21(4):565-576.
doi:10.1177/1354068813487117

162.

Ware A. The Selection of Candidates and Leaders. In: Political Parties and Party Systems. Oxford University Press; 1996.

163.

Alvarez RM, Sinclair B. Electoral Institutions and Legislative Behavior: The Effects of Primary Processes. *Political Research Quarterly*. 2012;65(3):544-557. doi:10.1177/1065912911411098

164.

McGhee E, Masket S, Shor B, Rogers S, McCarty N. A Primary Cause of Partisanship? Nomination Systems and Legislator Ideology. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2014;58(2):337-351. doi:10.1111/ajps.12070

165.

Gallagher, Michael, Marsh, Michael. *Candidate Selection in Comparative Perspective: The Secret Garden of Politics*. Vol Sage modern politics series. Sage; 1988.

166.

Lundell K. Determinants of Candidate Selection: The Degree of Centralization in Comparative Perspective. *Party Politics*. 2004;10(1):25-47. doi:10.1177/1354068804039119

167.

Akirav O. Candidate Selection and a Crowded Parliament: The Israeli Knesset, 1988–2006. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2010;16(1):96-120. doi:10.1080/13572330903542142

168.

Barnea S, Rahat G. Reforming Candidate Selection Methods: A Three-Level Approach. *Party Politics*. 2007;13(3):375-394. doi:10.1177/1354068807075942

169.

Rahat G. Which Candidate Selection Method is the Most Democratic? *Government and*

Opposition. 2009;44(1):68-90. doi:10.1111/j.1477-7053.2008.01276.x

170.

Hazan RY. Candidate Selection: Implications and Challenges for Legislative Behaviour. In: The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies. 2014.
<http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199653010-e-005>

171.

Shomer Y. Candidate Selection Procedures, Seniority, and Vote-Seeking Behavior. Comparative Political Studies. 2009;42(7):945-970. doi:10.1177/0010414008330600

172.

Hofnung M. Unaccounted Competition: The Finance of Intra-Party Elections. Party Politics. 2008;14(6):726-744. doi:10.1177/1354068808093408

173.

Bochel J, Denver D. Candidate Selection in the Labour Party: What the Selectors Seek. British Journal of Political Science. 1983;13(01). doi:10.1017/S0007123400003136

174.

Shaw E. New Labour: new pathways to parliament. Parliamentary Affairs. 2001;54(1):35-53. doi:10.1093/pa/54.1.35

175.

Russell M. Selection under Blair. In: Building New Labour: The Politics of Party Organisation. Palgrave Macmillan; 2005:67-95.

176.

Mikulska AB, Scarrow SE. Assessing the Political Impact of Candidate Selection Rules:

Britain in the 1990s. *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion & Parties*. 2010;20(3):311-333.
doi:10.1080/17457289.2010.490712

177.

Narud, Hanne Marthe, Pedersen, Mogens N., Valen, Henry. *Party Sovereignty and Citizen Control: Selecting Candidates for Parliamentary Elections in Denmark, Finland, Iceland and Norway*. Vol University of Southern Denmark studies in history and social sciences. University Press of Southern Denmark; 2002.

178.

Bruhn K. Electing Extremists? Party Primaries and Legislative Candidates in Mexico. *Comparative Politics*. 2013;45(4):398-417.
<http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/cuny/cp/2013/00000045/00000004/art00003>

179.

Gherghina S. One-Shot Party Primaries: The Case of the Romanian Social Democrats. *Politics*. 2013;33(3):185-195. doi:10.1111/1467-9256.12007

180.

Hellmann O. Outsourcing candidate selection: The fight against clientelism in East Asian parties. *Party Politics*. 2014;20(1):52-62. doi:10.1177/1354068811436039

181.

Spies DC, Kaiser A. Does the mode of candidate selection affect the representativeness of parties? *Party Politics*. Published online 2012. doi:10.1177/1354068811436066

182.

Katz, Richard S. *Democracy and Elections*. Oxford University Press; 1997.

183.

Patzelt, Werner J. Recruitment and Retention in Western European Parliaments. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 1999;24(2):239-279.

184.

Lawrence E, Donovan T, Bowler S. The adoption of direct primaries in the United States. *Party Politics*. 2013;19(1):3-18. doi:10.1177/1354068810393264

185.

Ichino N, Nathan NL. Do Primaries Improve Electoral Performance? Clientelism and Intra-Party Conflict in Ghana. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2013;57(2):428-441. doi:10.1111/j.1540-5907.2012.00624.x

186.

Mansbridge J. Should Blacks Represent Blacks and Women Represent Women? A Contingent 'Yes'. *The Journal of Politics*. 1999;61(03). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2647821>

187.

Wangnerud L. Women in Parliaments: Descriptive and Substantive Representation. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2009;12(1):51-69. <https://www.annualreviews.org/doi/10.1146/annurev.polisci.11.053106.123839>

188.

Pitkin, Hanna Fenichel. *The Concept of Representation*. University of California Press; 1972.

189.

Phillips A. *The Politics of Presence*. Oxford University Press; 1998. doi:10.1093/0198294158.001.0001

190.

M. Brito Vieira, D. Runciman. Representing Individuals. In: Representation. Vol Key concepts. Polity Press; 2008:66-83.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=c5a29a2f-6836-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

191.

Celis K, Childs S. The Substantive Representation of Women: What to Do with Conservative Claims? *Political Studies*. 2012;60(1):213-225. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9248.2011.00904.x

192.

Childs S. A Feminised Style of Politics? Women MPs in the House of Commons. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*. 2004;6(1):3-19.
doi:10.1111/j.1467-856X.2004.00124.x

193.

Wangnerud L. Testing the Politics of Presence: Women's Representation in the Swedish Riksdag. *Scandinavian Political Studies*. 2000;23(1):67-91. doi:10.1111/1467-9477.00031

194.

Swers, Michele L. Are Women More Likely to Vote for Women's Issue Bills than Their Male Colleagues? *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 1998;23(3).

195.

Schwindt-Bayer L. Making Quotas Work: The Effect of Gender Quota Laws On the Election of Women. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 2009;34(1):5-28.
doi:10.3162/036298009787500330

196.

Reynolds A. Reserved Seats in National Legislatures: A Research Note. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 2005;30(2):301-310. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3598674>

197.

Tate K. The Political Representation of Blacks in Congress: Does Race Matter? *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 2001;26(4).

198.

Broockman DE. Black Politicians Are More Intrinsically Motivated to Advance Blacks' Interests: A Field Experiment Manipulating Political Incentives. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2013;57(3):521-536. doi:10.1111/ajps.12018

199.

Ruedin D. *Why Aren't They There?: The Political Representation of Women, Ethnic Groups and Issue Positions in Legislatures*. Vol ECPR monographs. Ecpr Press; 2013.

200.

Phillips A. Democracy and Representation: Or, Why Should it Matter Who our Representatives Are? In: *Feminism and Politics*. Vol Oxford readings in feminism. Oxford University Press; 1998:224-240.

201.

Young, Iris Marion. *Inclusion and Democracy*.; 2000.

202.

Norris, Pippa. *Electoral Engineering: Voting Rules and Political Behaviour*. Cambridge University Press; 2004.

203.

Williams, Melissa S. *Voice, Trust, and Memory: Marginalized Groups and the Failings of Liberal Representation*. Princeton University Press; 1998.

204.

Urbinati, Nadia. *Representative Democracy: Principles and Genealogy*. University of

Chicago Press; 2006.

205.

Mona Lena Krook. *International Political Science Review*. 35:3-11.
<http://ips.sagepub.com/content/35/1.toc>

206.

Dovi S. Preferable Descriptive Representatives: Will Just Any Woman, Black, or Latino Do? *American Political Science Review*. 2003;96(04). doi:10.1017/S0003055402000412

207.

Cowley P. Why not ask the audience? Understanding the public's representational priorities. *British Politics*. 2013;8(2):138-163. doi:10.1057/bp.2012.28

208.

Taylor-Robinson MM. Gender and Legislatures. In: Martin S, Saalfeld T, StrÅ, m KW, eds *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. Oxford University Press; 2014.
doi:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.013.0009

209.

Childs S, Krook ML. Analysing Women's Substantive Representation: From Critical Mass to Critical Actors. *Government and Opposition*. 2009;44(2):125-145.
doi:10.1111/j.1477-7053.2009.01279.x

210.

Lovenduski, Joni. *Feminizing Politics*. Polity Press; 2005.

211.

Tremblay, Manon, Trimble, Linda J., Sawyer, Marian. *Representing Women in Parliament: A Comparative Study*. Vol Routledge research in comparative politics. Routledge; 2006.

212.

Weldon SL. Beyond Bodies: Institutional Sources of Representation for Women in Democratic Policymaking. *The Journal of Politics*. 2008;64(04). doi:10.1111/1468-2508.00167

213.

Dahlerup D. From a Small to a Large Minority: Women in Scandinavian Politics. *Scandinavian Political Studies*. 1988;11(4):275-298. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9477.1988.tb00372.x

214.

Caul M. Women's Representation in Parliament: The Role of Political Parties. *Party Politics*. 1999;5(1):79-98. doi:10.1177/1354068899005001005

215.

Salmond R. Proportional Representation and Female Parliamentarians. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 2006;31(2):175-204. doi:10.3162/036298006X201779

216.

Fortin-Rittberger J, Rittberger B. Do electoral rules matter? Explaining national differences in women's representation in the European Parliament. *European Union Politics*. 2014;15(4):496-520. doi:10.1177/1465116514527179

217.

Matland, Richard E. Women's Representation in National Legislatures: Developed and Developing Countries. *Legislative Studies Quarterly* . 1998;23(1):109-125.

218.

Tremblay M. Democracy, Representation, and Women: A Comparative Analysis. *Democratization*. 2007;14(4):533-553. doi:10.1080/13510340701398261

219.

Kenworthy , Lane, Malami, Melissa. Gender Inequality in Political Representation: A Worldwide Comparative Analysis . *Social Forces*. 1999;78(1):235-268.

220.

Kittilson, Miki Caul. Challenging Parties, Changing Parliaments: Women and Elected Office in Contemporary Western Europe. Vol Parliaments and legislatures. Ohio State University Press; 2006.

221.

Roberts A, Seawright J, Cyr J. Do Electoral Laws Affect Women's Representation? *Comparative Political Studies*. 2013;46(12):1555-1581. doi:10.1177/0010414012463906

222.

Schneider MC, Bos AL. Measuring Stereotypes of Female Politicians. *Political Psychology*. 2014;35(2):245-266. doi:10.1111/pops.12040

223.

Mendelberg T, Karpowitz CF, Goedert N. Does Descriptive Representation Facilitate Women's Distinctive Voice? How Gender Composition and Decision Rules Affect Deliberation. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2014;58(2):291-306. doi:10.1111/ajps.12077

224.

Rai SM. Political representation, democratic institutions and women's empowerment: the quota. In: *Rethinking Empowerment: Gender and Development in a Global/Local World*. Vol Routledge/Warwick studies in globalisation. Routledge; 2002:133-146.

225.

Mateo Diaz, Mercedes. Representing Women?: Female Legislators in West European Parliaments. Vol ECPR monographs. ECPR Press; 2005.

226.

Swers, Michele L. The Difference Women Make: The Policy Impact of Women in Congress. University of Chicago Press; 2002.

227.

Pearson K, Dancey L. Speaking for the Underrepresented in the House of Representatives: Voicing Women's Interests in a Partisan Era. *Politics & Gender*. 2011;7(04):493-519. doi:10.1017/S1743923X1100033X

228.

Grey S. Does Size Matter? Critical Mass and New Zealand's Women MPs. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2002;55(1):19-29. doi:10.1093/parlij/55.1.19

229.

Matland, Richard E., Montgomery, Kathleen A. Women's Access to Political Power in Post-Communist Europe. Vol *Gender and politics*. Oxford University Press; 2003.

230.

Schwindt-Bayer LA. Still Supermadres? Gender and the Policy Priorities of Latin American Legislators. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2006;50(3):570-585. doi:10.1111/j.1540-5907.2006.00202.x

231.

Piscopo JM. Rethinking Descriptive Representation: Rendering Women in Legislative Debates. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2011;64(3):448-472. doi:10.1093/pa/gsq061

232.

Bauer G. 'Let there be a Balance': Women in African Parliaments. *Political Studies Review*. 2012;10(3):370-384. doi:10.1111/j.1478-9302.2012.00272.x

233.

Devlin C, Elgie R. The Effect of Increased Women's Representation in Parliament: The Case of Rwanda. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2008;61(2):237-254. doi:10.1093/pa/gsn007

234.

Barnes TD, Burchard SM. 'Engendering' Politics: The Impact of Descriptive Representation on Women's Political Engagement in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2013;46(7):767-790. doi:10.1177/0010414012463884

235.

Stockemer D. Women's Parliamentary Representation in Africa: The Impact of Democracy and Corruption on the Number of Female Deputies in National Parliaments. *Political Studies*. 2011;59(3):693-712. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9248.2011.00897.x

236.

Sater JN. Changing Politics from Below? Women Parliamentarians in Morocco. *Democratization*. 2007;14(4):723-742. doi:10.1080/13510340701398352

237.

Griffin JD. When and Why Minority Legislators Matter. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2014;17(1):327-336. doi:10.1146/annurev-polisci-033011-205028

238.

Canon, David T. Electoral Systems and the Representation of Minority Interests in Legislatures. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 1999;24(3):331-385.

239.

Maddison S. White Parliament, Black Politics: The Dilemmas of Indigenous Parliamentary Representation. *Australian Journal of Political Science*. 2010;45(4):663-680.
doi:10.1080/10361146.2010.517180

240.

Bloemraad I, Schonwalder K. Immigrant and Ethnic Minority Representation in Europe: Conceptual Challenges and Theoretical Approaches. *West European Politics*. 2013;36(3):564-579. doi:10.1080/01402382.2013.773724

241.

Wüst AM. A Lasting Impact? On the Legislative Activities of Immigrant-origin Parliamentarians in Germany. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2014;20(4):495-515.
doi:10.1080/13572334.2014.907601

242.

King JR., Marvin P. Bill Preference: The Continued Relevance of the Congressional Black Caucus. *Politics & Policy*. 2011;39(3):421-439. doi:10.1111/j.1747-1346.2011.00297.x

243.

Minta M. Legislative Oversight and the Substantive Representation of Black and Latino Interests in Congress. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 2009;34(2):193-218.
doi:10.3162/036298009788314336

244.

Fenno, Richard F. *Going Home: Black Representatives and Their Constituents*. University of Chicago Press; 2003.

245.

Bowen DC, Clark CJ. Revisiting Descriptive Representation in Congress: Assessing the Effect of Race on the Constituent-Legislator Relationship. *Political Research Quarterly*. 2014;67(3):695-707. doi:10.1177/1065912914531658

246.

Clark Wilson W, Curtis Ellis W. Surrogates Beyond Borders: Black Members of the United States Congress and the Representation of African Interests on the Congressional Foreign-Policy Agenda. *Polity*. 2014;46(2):255-273. doi:10.1057/pol.2014.3

247.

Juenke EG, Preuhs RR. Irreplaceable Legislators? Rethinking Minority Representatives in the New Century. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2012;56(3):705-715. doi:10.1111/j.1540-5907.2012.00584.x

248.

REYNOLDS A. Representation and Rights: The Impact of LGBT Legislators in Comparative Perspective. *American Political Science Review*. 2013;107(02):259-274. doi:10.1017/S0003055413000051

249.

Haider-Markel D. Representation and Backlash: The Positive and Negative Influence of Descriptive Representation. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 2007;32(1):107-133. doi:10.3162/036298007X202001

250.

Carnes N. Does the Numerical Underrepresentation of the Working Class in Congress Matter? *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 2012;37(1):5-34. doi:10.1111/j.1939-9162.2011.00033.x

251.

Murray R. Quotas for Men: Reframing Gender Quotas as a Means of Improving Representation for All. *American Political Science Review*. 2014;108(03):520-532. doi:10.1017/S0003055414000239

252.

Paxton P, Hughes MM. The Increasing Effectiveness of National Gender Quotas, 1990-2010.

Legislative Studies Quarterly. 2015;40(3):331-362. doi:10.1111/lsq.12079

253.

Bacchi C. Arguing for and against quotas: theoretical issues. In: Dahlerup D, ed. Women, Quotas and Politics. 2006:32-51.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=3b18dcc9-5436-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

254.

Krook ML. Candidate gender quotas: A framework for analysis. European Journal of Political Research. 2007;46(3):367-394. doi:10.1111/j.1475-6765.2007.00704.x

255.

Hughes M. Intersectionality, Quotas, and Minority Women's Political Representation Worldwide. American Political Science Review. 2011;105(03):604-620.
doi:10.1017/S0003055411000293

256.

Krook ML. Electoral Gender Quotas: A Conceptual Analysis. Comparative Political Studies. 2014;47(9):1268-1293. doi:10.1177/0010414013495359

257.

Krook ML, Norris P. Beyond Quotas: Strategies to Promote Gender Equality in Elected Office. Political Studies. 2014;62(1):2-20. doi:10.1111/1467-9248.12116

258.

Murray R. How Parties Evaluate Compulsory Quotas: A Study of the Implementation of the 'Parity' Law in France. Parliamentary Affairs. 2007;60(4):568-584. doi:10.1093/pa/gsm039

259.

Caul M. Political Parties and the Adoption of Candidate Gender Quotas: A Cross-National Analysis. *The Journal of Politics*. 2008;63(04). doi:10.1111/0022-3816.00107

260.

Buckley F. How Ireland legislated for candidate sex quotas to increase women's representation. Democratic Audit UK. Published online 27 November 2013. <http://www.democraticaudit.com/?p=1848>

261.

Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU) materials on women in parliament.

262.

Strom K. Rules, reasons and routines: Legislative roles in parliamentary democracies. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 1997;3(1):155-174. doi:10.1080/13572339708420504

263.

Norris P. Constituency Service. In: *Electoral Engineering: Voting Rules and Political Behaviour*. Cambridge University Press; 2004:230-246. <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780511313615>

264.

Andeweg RB. Roles in Legislatures. In: Martin S, Saalfeld T, StrÅm KW, eds *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. Oxford University Press; 2014. doi:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.013.0025

265.

Loewenberg G, Patterson SC. Linkage. In: *Comparing Legislatures*. University Press of America; 1979.

266.

Norris P. The puzzle of constituency service. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 1997;3(2):29-49. doi:10.1080/13572339708420508

267.

Carey J, Shugart M. Incentives to cultivate a personal vote: A rank ordering of electoral formulas. *Electoral Studies*. 1995;14(4):417-439. doi:10.1016/0261-3794(94)00035-2

268.

Norton P. Introduction: Linking Parliaments and Citizens. In: *Parliaments and Citizens in Western Europe*. Vol *Parliaments in contemporary Western Europe*. Frank Cass; 2002:1-18.

269.

Searing DD. Introduction: Roles, Rules and Rationality. In: *Westminster's World: Understanding Political Roles*. Harvard University Press; 1994:1-30.

270.

Thomassen J, Esaiasson P. Role Orientations of Members of Parliament. *Acta Politica*. 2006;41(3):217-231. doi:10.1057/palgrave.ap.5500157

271.

Johannes J. Casework as a Technique of U. S. Congressional Oversight of the Executive. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 1979;4(3):325-351.

272.

Power G. *Global Parliamentary Report: The changing nature of parliamentary representation*. Published online 2012.
<http://www.ipu.org/pdf/publications/gpr2012-full-e.pdf>

273.

Rolef SH. Defining the Job of the Member of the Knesset. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2014;20(4):588-607. doi:10.1080/17411912.2014.910338

274.

Best H, Vogel L. The Sociology of Legislators and Legislatures. In: Martin S, Saalfeld T, StrÅ, m KW, eds *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. Oxford University Press; 2014. doi:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.013.0003

275.

Saalfeld T. Rational-choice theory in legislative studies: Models of politics without romanticism. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 1995;1(1):32-64. doi:10.1080/13572339508420414

276.

Blomgren, M. and Rozenberg, O. Legislative Roles and Legislative Studies. In: *Parliamentary Roles in Modern Legislatures*. Vol Routledge/ECPR studies in European political science. Routledge; 2012:8-36. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=6735baae-5536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

277.

Ward H. Rational Choice. In: *Theory and Methods in Political Science*. Vol Political analysis. 3rd ed. Palgrave Macmillan; 2010.

278.

Pitkin H. The Mandate-Independence Controversy. In: *The Concept of Representation*. University of California Press; 1972.

279.

Judge D. Trustee theory and practice. In: *Representation: Theory and Practice in Britain*. Vol Theory and practice in British politics. Routledge; 1999:47-69.

280.

Wood DM. Legislators and Constituents. In: World Encyclopedia of Parliaments and Legislatures. Congressional Quarterly; 1998.

281.

Norton, Philip. Parliaments and Citizens in Western Europe. Vol Parliaments in contemporary Western Europe. Frank Cass; 2002.

282.

Muller, Wolfgang C., Saalfeld, Thomas. Members of Parliament in Western Europe: Roles and Behaviour. Vol Library of legislative studies. F. Cass; 1997.

283.

Kitschelt H. Linkages between Citizens and Politicians in Democratic Polities. Comparative Political Studies. 2000;33(6-7):845-879. doi:10.1177/001041400003300607

284.

Andre A, Depauw S, Martin S. Electoral Systems and Legislators' Constituency Effort: The Mediating Effect of Electoral Vulnerability. Comparative Political Studies. 2015;48(4):464-496. doi:10.1177/0010414014545512

285.

Regional & Federal Studies (Special Issue: Constituency Representation, Devolution and MMP Electoral Systems: Comparative and UK Perspectives). 2007;17(1).

286.

Tobin Grant J, Rudolph TJ. The Job of Representation in Congress: Public Expectations and Representative Approval. Legislative Studies Quarterly. 2004;29(3):431-445.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3598561>

287.

Legislative Studies Quarterly - Special Issue on Representative Roles. 4(4):485-655.

288.

Bogdanor V. Introduction. In: Representatives of the People? Parliamentarians and Constituents in Western Democracies. Gower; 1985:1-12.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=4fa6d32d-5d36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

289.

Mayhew, David R. Congress: The Electoral Connection. Vol Yale studies in political science. Yale University Press; 1974.

290.

Cain, Bruce E., Ferejohn, John A., Fiorina, Morris P. The Personal Vote: Constituency Service and Electoral Independence. Harvard University Press; 1987.

291.

Elling, Richard C. The Utility of State Legislative Casework as a Means of Oversight. Legislative Studies Quarterly . 1979;4(3):353-379.

292.

Fenno, Richard F. Home Style: House Members in Their Districts. Scott, Foresman and Co; 1978.

293.

Searing, D. Backbench and Leadership Roles in The House of Commons. Parliamentary Affairs. 1995;48(3):418-437.

294.

Gay O. MPs Go Back to Their Constituencies. The Political Quarterly. 2005;76(1):57-66.

doi:10.1111/j.1467-923X.2005.00656.x

295.

Carman CJ. Public Preferences for Parliamentary Representation in the UK: An Overlooked Link? *Political Studies*. 2006;54(1):103-122. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9248.2006.00568.x

296.

Bengtsson A, Wass H. Styles of Political Representation: What Do Voters Expect? *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion & Parties*. 2010;20(1):55-81. doi:10.1080/17457280903450724

297.

Tavits M. Effect of Local Ties On Electoral Success and Parliamentary Behaviour: The Case of Estonia. *Party Politics*. 2009;16(2):215-235. doi:10.1177/1354068809341053

298.

Stratmann , Thomas, Baur , Martin. Plurality Rule, Proportional Representation, and the German Bundestag: How Incentives to Pork-Barrel Differ across Electoral Systems. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2002;46(3):506-514.

299.

Fujimura N. The influence of electoral institutions on legislative representation: Evidence from Japan's single non-transferable vote and single-member district systems. *Party Politics*. 2015;21(2):209-221. doi:10.1177/1354068812472578

300.

Ingall, Rachael E., Crisp, Brian F. Determinants of Home Style: The Many Incentives for Going Home in Colombia. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 2001;26(3):487-512.

301.

Soroka S, Penner E, Blidook K. Constituency Influence in Parliament. *Canadian Journal of Political Science*. 2009;42(03). doi:10.1017/S0008423909990059

302.

Martin, Shane. Using Parliamentary Questions to Measure Constituency Focus: An Application to the Irish Case. *Political Studies*. 2011;59(2):472-488.
doi:10.1111/j.1467-9248.2011.00885.x

303.

Bowler S. Private Members' Bills in the UK Parliament: Is there an 'Electoral Connection'? *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2010;16(4):476-494.
doi:10.1080/13572334.2010.519457

304.

The Journal of Legislative Studies (Special Issue: Cohesion and Discipline in Legislatures: Political Parties, Party Leadership, Parliamentary Committees and Governance). 2003;9(4).

305.

Cowley P. It's a bit more complicated than that. In: *The Rebels: How Blair Mislaid His Majority*. *Politico's*; 2005:21-48.

306.

Gunther, Richard, Montero, José R., Linz, Juan J. *Political Parties: Old Concepts and New Challenges*. Vol Comparative politics. Oxford University Press; 2002.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/0199246742.001.0001>

307.

Sieberer U. Party unity in parliamentary democracies: A comparative analysis. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2006;12(2):150-178. doi:10.1080/13572330600739413

308.

Saalfeld T, Strøm KW. Political Parties and Legislators. In: Martin S, Saalfeld T, Strøm KW, eds *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. Oxford University Press; 2014. doi:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.013.0022

309.

Russell M. Parliamentary party cohesion: Some explanations from psychology. *Party Politics*. 2014;20(5):712-723. doi:10.1177/1354068812453367

310.

Carey J, Reynolds A. Parties and Accountable Government in New Democracies. *Party Politics*. 2007;13(2):255-274. doi:10.1177/1354068807073866

311.

Kölln AK. The value of political parties to representative democracy. *European Political Science Review*. Published online 2014:1-21. doi:10.1017/S1755773914000344

312.

Stokes SC. Political parties and democracy. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 1999;2(1):243-267. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.2.1.243

313.

Cross WP, Katz RS, European Consortium for Political Research. *The Challenges of Intra-Party Democracy*. Vol *Comparative politics*. Oxford University Press; 2013.

314.

Loxbo K. The fate of intra-party democracy: Leadership autonomy and activist influence in the mass party and the cartel party. *Party Politics*. 2013;19(4):537-554. doi:10.1177/1354068811407583

315.

van Biezen I. On the Internal Balance of Party Power: Party Organizations in New Democracies. *Party Politics*. 2000;6(4):395-417. doi:10.1177/135406880006004001

316.

Blondel J, Nousianen J. Governments, Supporting Parties and Policy-making. In: *The Nature of Party Government: A Comparative European Perspective*. Palgrave; 2000:161-195.

317.

McKenzie R. Power in the Labour Party: The Issue of Intra-Party Democracy. In: *The Politics of the Labour Party*. Allen & Unwin; 1982:191-201.

318.

May JD. Opinion structure of political parties: the special law of curvilinear disparity. *Political Studies*. 1973;21(2):135-151. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9248.1973.tb01423.x

319.

Luciano Bardi. Special issue of *Party Politics* on political party change. 20:151-159. <http://ppq.sagepub.com/content/20/2.toc>

320.

Knut Heidar, R. A Koole. Approaches to the study of parliamentary party groups. In: *Parliamentary Party Groups in European Democracies: Political Parties behind Closed Doors*. Vol Routledge/ECPR studies in European political science. Routledge; 2000:4-22. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=62059f7c-5336-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

321.

The Journal of Legislative Studies - Special Issue on The Uneasy Relationship Between Parliamentary Members and Leaders. 1999;5(3/4):1-332.

322.

Blondel, Jean, Cotta, Maurizio. *The Nature of Party Government: A Comparative European Perspective*. Palgrave; 2000.

323.

Krehbiel, Keith. Paradoxes of Parties in Congress. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 1999;24(1):31-64.

324.

Laver, Michael. Divided Parties, Divided Government. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 1999;24(1):5-29.

325.

Katz, Richard S., Bowler, Shaun, Farrell, David M., European Consortium for Political Research. *Party Discipline and Parliamentary Government*. Vol Parliaments and legislatures series. Ohio State University Press; 1999.

326.

Saalfeld T. Legislative Voting Behaviour. In: *World Encyclopedia of Parliaments and Legislatures*. Congressional Quarterly; 1998.

327.

Kam C. Party Discipline. In: Martin S, Saalfeld T, StrÅ, m KW, eds *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. Oxford University Press; 2014.
doi:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.013.0020

328.

Katz, Richard S. *Democracy and Elections*. Oxford University Press; 1997.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195044294.001.0001>

329.

Ozbudun, Ergun. Party Cohesion in Western Democracies: A Causal Analysis. Vol Sage professional papers in comparative politics. Sage Publications; 1970.

330.

Kam, Christopher J. Party Discipline and Parliamentary Politics. Cambridge University Press; 2009.

331.

Coman EE. Institutions and Vote Unity in Parliaments: Evidence from 33 National Chambers. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2015;21(3):360-389.
doi:10.1080/13572334.2015.1040246

332.

Kam C. Do Ideological Preferences Explain Parliamentary Behaviour? Evidence from Great Britain and Canada. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2001;7(4):89-126.
doi:10.1080/714003894

333.

Carey JM. Competing Principals, Political Institutions, and Party Unity in Legislative Voting. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2007;51(1):92-107.
doi:10.1111/j.1540-5907.2007.00239.x

334.

Carey, John M. Legislative Voting and Accountability. Vol Cambridge studies in comparative politics. Cambridge University Press; 2009.

335.

Leston-Bandeira C. Dissent in a Party-Based Parliament: The Portuguese Case. *Party Politics*. 2009;15(6):695-713. doi:10.1177/1354068809334556

336.

Kunicova J, Remington TF. Mandates, Parties and Dissent: Effect of Electoral Rules on Parliamentary Party Cohesion in the Russian State Duma, 1994-2003. *Party Politics*. 2008;14(5):555-574. doi:10.1177/1354068808093390

337.

Rich TS. Party Voting Cohesion in Mixed Member Legislative Systems: Evidence from Korea and Taiwan. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 2014;39(1):113-135. doi:10.1111/lsq.12035

338.

Olivella S, Tavits M. Legislative Effects of Electoral Mandates. *British Journal of Political Science*. 2014;44(02):301-321. doi:10.1017/S0007123412000828

339.

Tavits M. The Making of Mavericks: Local Loyalties and Party Defection. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2009;42(6):793-815. doi:10.1177/0010414008329900

340.

Cowley, Philip. *Revolts and Rebellions: Parliamentary Voting under Blair*. Politico's; 2002.

341.

Cowley, Philip. *Conscience and Parliament*. Vol The library of legislative studies. F. Cass; 1998.

342.

Vivyan N, Wagner M. Do voters reward rebellion? The electoral accountability of MPs in Britain. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2012;51(2):235-264. doi:10.1111/j.1475-6765.2011.01998.x

343.

Sieberer U. Using MP statements to explain voting behaviour in the German Bundestag: An individual level test of the Competing Principals Theory. *Party Politics*. 2015;21(2):284-294. doi:10.1177/1354068812472584

344.

Ceron A. Brave rebels stay home: Assessing the effect of intra-party ideological heterogeneity and party whip on roll-call votes. *Party Politics*. 2015;21(2):246-258. doi:10.1177/1354068812472581

345.

Ansolabehere S, Jones PE. Constituents' Responses to Congressional Roll-Call Voting. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2010;54(3):583-597. doi:10.1111/j.1540-5907.2010.00448.x

346.

Carson JL, Koger G, Lebo MJ, Young E. The Electoral Costs of Party Loyalty in Congress. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2010;54(3):598-616. doi:10.1111/j.1540-5907.2010.00449.x

347.

Garner, Christopher, Letki, Natalia. Party Structure and Backbench Dissent in the Canadian and British Parliaments. *Canadian Journal of Political Science* . 2005;38(2):463-482.

348.

Skjaeveland A. Party Cohesion in the Danish Parliament. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2001;7(2):35-56. doi:10.1080/714003872

349.

Skjaeveland A. A Danish Party Cohesion Cycle. *Scandinavian Political Studies*. 1999;22(2):121-136. doi:10.1111/1467-9477.00008

350.

Carey, John M. Discipline, Accountability, and Legislative Voting in Latin America. *Comparative Politics*. 2003;35(2):191-211.

351.

Hagopian F, Gervasoni C, Moraes JA. From Patronage to Program: The Emergence of Party-Oriented Legislators in Brazil. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2008;42(3):360-391. doi:10.1177/0010414008325572

352.

Lyne MM. Proffering Pork: How Party Leaders Build Party Reputations in Brazil. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2008;52(2):290-303. doi:10.1111/j.1540-5907.2008.00313.x

353.

Rahat G. Determinants of Party Cohesion: Evidence from the Case of the Israeli Parliament. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2007;60(2):279-296. doi:10.1093/pa/gsm003

354.

Clinton JD. Using Roll Call Estimates to Test Models of Politics. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2012;15(1):79-99. doi:10.1146/annurev-polisci-043010-095836

355.

Hug S. Selection Effects in Roll Call Votes. *British Journal of Political Science*. 2009;40(01). doi:10.1017/S0007123409990160

356.

Roberts J. The Statistical Analysis Of Roll-Call Data: A Cautionary Tale. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 2007;32(3):341-360. doi:10.3162/036298007781699636

357.

Carroll R, Poole KT. Roll-Call Analysis and the Study of Legislatures. In: Martin S, Saalfeld T, Str m KW, eds The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies. Oxford University Press; 2014. doi:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.013.0026

358.

Scarrow SE. Beyond Party Members: Changing Approaches to Partisan Mobilization. Vol Comparative politics. Oxford University Press; 2015.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199661862.001.0001>

359.

Rosenblum, Nancy L. On the Side of the Angels: An Appreciation of Parties and Partisanship. Princeton University Press; 2008.

360.

Pettitt RT. Contemporary Party Politics. Palgrave Macmillan; 2014.

361.

Mair P. The Challenge to Party Government. West European Politics. 2008;31(1-2):211-234.
doi:10.1080/01402380701835033

362.

Mair P, Katz R. Party organizations: from civil society to the state. In: How Parties Organize: Change and Adaptation in Party Organizations in Western Democracies. Sage Publications; 1994:1-22.

363.

Ware A. Party organizations. In: Political Parties and Party Systems. Oxford University Press; 1996:93-123.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=9ffbe153-4d36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

364.

R. Dalton, D. Farrell, I. McAllister. Party policies and policy outputs. In: *Political Parties and Democratic Linkage: How Parties Organize Democracy*. Oxford University Press; 2011:193-212.
<http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:osobl/9780199599356.001.0001/acprof-9780199599356>

365.

Whiteley PF. Is the party over? The decline of party activism and membership across the democratic world. *Party Politics*. 2010;17(1):21-44. doi:10.1177/1354068810365505

366.

Allern EH, Pedersen K. The impact of party organisational changes on democracy. *West European Politics*. 2007;30(1):68-92. doi:10.1080/01402380601019688

367.

Katz RS. Party Government and its Alternatives. In: *Party Governments: European and American Experiences*. Vol European University Institute = Institut Universitaire Europeen = Europaisches Hochschulinstitut = Istituto Universitario Europeo : Series C--Political and social sciences = Sciences politiques et sociales = Politik-und Sozialwissenschaften = Scienze politiche e sociali. W. de Gruyter; 1987.

368.

Katz RS, Mair P. Changing Models of Party Organization and Party Democracy: The Emergence of the Cartel Party. *Party Politics*. 1995;1(1):5-28.
doi:10.1177/1354068895001001001

369.

Katz RS, Mair P. The Cartel Party Thesis: A Restatement. *Perspectives on Politics*. 2009;7(04). doi:10.1017/S1537592709991782

370.

Scarrow SE. Parties and Their Members : Organising for Victory in Britain and Germany. Oxford University Press; 2003.

371.

Panbianco, Angelo. Political Parties: Organization and Power. Vol Cambridge studies in modern political economies. Cambridge University Press; 1988.

372.

Michels, Robert. Political Parties: A Sociological Study of the Oligarchical Tendencies of Modern Democracy. Free Press; 1962.

373.

Mair, Peter. The West European Party System. Vol Oxford readings in politics and government. Oxford University Press; 1990.

374.

Norris P. May's Law of Curvilinear Disparity Revisited: Leaders, Officers, Members and Voters in British Political Parties. Party Politics. 1995;1(1):29-47.
doi:10.1177/1354068895001001002

375.

Kennedy F, Lyons P, Fitzgerald P. Pragmatists, Ideologues and the General Law of Curvilinear Disparity: The Case of the Irish Labour Party. Political Studies. 2006;54(4):786-805. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9248.2006.00630.x

376.

Carty RK, Cross W. Can Stratarchically Organized Parties be Democratic? The Canadian Case. Journal of Elections, Public Opinion & Parties. 2006;16(2):93-114.
doi:10.1080/13689880600715912

377.

Luther, Kurt Richard, Muller-Rommel, Ferdinand. Political Parties in the New Europe: Political and Analytical Challenges. Vol Comparative politics. Oxford University Press; 2002.

378.

Tavits M. Power within Parties: The Strength of the Local Party and MP Independence in Postcommunist Europe. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2011;55(4):923-936. doi:10.1111/j.1540-5907.2011.00520.x

379.

Gauja A. The construction of party membership. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2015;54(2):232-248. doi:10.1111/1475-6765.12078

380.

Gauja A. The Individualisation of Party Politics: The Impact of Changing Internal Decision-Making Processes on Policy Development and Citizen Engagement. *The British Journal of Politics & International Relations*. Published online 2014:n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/1467-856X.12035

381.

Pedersen HH. Differences and Changes in Danish Party Organisations: Central Party Organisation versus Parliamentary Party Group Power. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2010;16(2):233-250. doi:10.1080/13572331003740131

382.

Holliday, Ian, Webb, Paul, Farrell, David M. Political Parties in Advanced Industrial Democracies. Vol Comparative politics. Oxford University Press; 2002.

383.

Rose, Richard. Do Parties Make a Difference? Expanded 2nd ed. Macmillan; 1984.

384.

Shaw M. Parliamentary committees: a global perspective. In: *The New Roles of Parliamentary Committees*. Vol The library of legislative studies. Frank Cass; 1998:225-251.

385.

Russo F, Wiberg M. Parliamentary Questioning in 17 European Parliaments: Some Steps towards Comparison. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2010;16(2):215-232.
doi:10.1080/13572331003740115

386.

Müller WC, Sieberer U. Procedure and Rules in Legislatures. In: Martin S, Saalfeld T, Strøm KW, eds *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. Oxford University Press; 2014.
doi:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.013.0023

387.

Wehner J. Legislatures and Public Finance. In: Martin S, Saalfeld T, Strøm KW, eds *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. Oxford University Press; 2014.
doi:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.013.0017

388.

Shaw, Malcolm N., Lees, J. D. *Committees in Legislatures.*; 1979.

389.

Arter D. Committee cohesion and the 'corporate dimension' of parliamentary committees: A comparative analysis. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2003;9(4):73-87.
doi:10.1080/1357233042000306263

390.

Pelizzo, Riccardo and Stapenhurst, Frederick. Legislative oversight tools. In: *Parliamentary Oversight Tools: A Comparative Analysis*. Vol Routledge research in comparative politics. Routledge; 2012:28-56-157-159.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=78bb01cd-5536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

391.

Parliamentary Questions. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2011;17(3):259-270.
<https://www.tandfonline.com/toc/fjls20/17/3?nav=tocList>

392.

Martin S. Committees. In: Martin S, Saalfeld T, Ström KW, eds *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. Oxford University Press; 2014.
doi:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.013.0006

393.

Shaw M. Legislative Committees. In: *World Encyclopedia of Parliaments and Legislatures*. Congressional Quarterly; 1998.

394.

Mattson I, Strom K. Committee effects on legislation. In: *Patterns of Parliamentary Behavior: Passage of Legislation across Western Europe*. Ashgate; 2004:91-111.

395.

Making an Impact: Effective Parliamentary Committees | Global Partners Governance. 2014.
<http://www.gpgovernance.net/publication/making-an-impact-effective-parliamentary-committees/>

396.

Davidson, Roger H., Longley, Lawrence D. *The New Roles of Parliamentary Committees*. Vol The library of legislative studies. Frank Cass; 1998.

397.

Shaw, Malcolm N., Lees, John D. *Committees in Legislatures: A Comparative Analysis*. Duke University Press; 1979.

398.

Shepsle, Kenneth A., Weingast, Barry R. *Positive Theories of Congressional Institutions*. *Legislative Studies Quarterly* . 1994;19(2):149-179.

399.

Olson, David M., Crowther, William E. *Committees in Post-Communist Democratic Parliaments: Comparative Institutionalization*. Vol Parliaments and legislatures series. The Ohio State University Press; 2002.

400.

Khmelko IS, Pigenko And VA, Wise CR. *Assessing Committee Roles in a Developing Legislature: The Case of the Ukrainian Parliament*. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2007;13(2):210-234. doi:10.1080/13572330701334312

401.

Monk D. *A Framework for Evaluating the Performance of Committees in Westminster Parliaments*. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2010;16(1):1-13. doi:10.1080/13572330903541904

402.

Arter D. *From 'Parliamentary Control' to 'Accountable Government'? The Role of Public Committee Hearings in the Swedish Riksdag*. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2008;61(1):122-143. doi:10.1093/pa/gsm058

403.

Cairney P. *The analysis of Scottish Parliament committee influence: Beyond capacity and structure in comparing West European legislatures*. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2006;45(2):181-208. doi:10.1111/j.1475-6765.2006.00295.x

404.

Kim DH, Loewenberg G. The Role of Parliamentary Committees in Coalition Governments: Keeping Tabs on Coalition Partners in the German Bundestag. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2005;38(9):1104-1129. doi:10.1177/0010414005276307

405.

Curini L, Zucchini F. The institutional foundations of committee cohesion in a (changing) parliamentary democracy. *European Political Science Review*. Published online 2013:1-21. doi:10.1017/S1755773913000222

406.

Rahman, Taiabur. *Parliamentary Control and Government Accountability in South Asia: A Comparative Analysis of Bangladesh, India and Sri Lanka*. Vol Routledge advances in South Asian studies series. Routledge; 2008.

407.

Fenno, Richard F., University of California, Berkeley. *Congressmen in Committees*. Vol The Study of Congress series. Little, Brown and Company; 1973.

408.

Paper 4. Show Me the Money. Improving financial oversight. | Global Partners Governance. 2014. <http://www.gpgovernance.net/publication/paper-4-show-me-the-money/>

409.

Manning N, Staphenurst R. Strengthening Oversight by Legislatures. Published online 2002. <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/11336/267480premnote74.pdf?sequence=1>

410.

Schick A. Can National Legislatures Regain an Effective Voice in Budget Policy? *Journal on Budgeting*. 2002;1(3). <http://www.oecd.org/gov/budgeting/43514045.pdf>

411.

Wehner J. Back from the Sidelines? Redefining the Contribution of Legislatures to the Budget Cycle. World Bank Institute. Published online 2006.
http://www-wds.worldbank.org/external/default/WDSContentServer/WDSP/IB/2004/06/23/00009486_20040623161800/Rendered/PDF/286150Sidelines0WBI0WP.pdf

412.

Stapenhurst, et al. R. Legislative Oversight and Budgeting: A World Perspective.; 2008.
<https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/6547/456270PUB0Box3101OFFICIAL0USE0ONLY1.pdf?sequence=1>

413.

Messick R. Strengthening Legislatures: Implications from Industrial Countries. Published online 2002.
<https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/11354/multi0page.pdf?sequence=1>

414.

Pelizzo R, Kinyondo A. Public Accounts Committees in Eastern and Southern Africa: A Comparative Analysis. *Politics & Policy*. 2014;42(1):77-102. doi:10.1111/polp.12062

415.

Santiso C. Legislatures and Budget Oversight in Latin America: Strengthening Public Finance Accountability in Emerging Economies. *Journal on Budgeting*. 2004;4(2).
<http://www.oecd.org/gov/budgeting/43488676.pdf>

416.

Soroka S, Penner E, Blidook K. Constituency Influence in Parliament. *Canadian Journal of Political Science*. 2009;42(03). doi:10.1017/S0008423909990059

417.

Vliegenthart R, Walgrave S. Content Matters: The Dynamics of Parliamentary Questioning in Belgium and Denmark. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2011;44(8):1031-1059.
doi:10.1177/0010414011405168

418.

Bates SR, Kerr P, Byrne C, Stanley L. Questions to the Prime Minister: A Comparative Study of PMQs from Thatcher to Cameron. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2014;67(2):253-280.
doi:10.1093/pa/gss044

419.

Bevan S, John P. Policy Representation by Party Leaders and Followers: What Drives UK Prime Minister's Questions. *Government and Opposition*. Published online 2015:1-25.
doi:10.1017/gov.2015.16

420.

Wiberg M. Chapter 6 Parliamentary Questioning: Control by Communication? In: Doring H, ed. *Parliaments and Majority Rule in Western Europe*. Mannheim Centre for European Social Research (MZES); 1995.
<https://www.uni-potsdam.de/fileadmin01/projects/vergleichende-politikwissenschaft/D%C3%B6ring/PMR-W-Europe.pdf>

421.

Salmond R. Parliamentary Question Times: How Legislative Accountability Mechanisms Affect Mass Political Engagement. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2014;20(3):321-341.
doi:10.1080/13572334.2014.895121

422.

Rasch BE. Institutional Foundations of Legislative Agenda-Setting. In: Martin S, Saalfeld T, StrÅ, m KW, eds *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. Oxford University Press; 2014. doi:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.013.0010

423.

Doring H. Time as a Scarce Resource: Government Control of the Agenda. In: *Parliaments*

and Majority Rule in Western Europe. St. Martin's Press; 1995.

<https://www.uni-potsdam.de/fileadmin01/projects/vergleichende-politikwissenschaft/D%C3%B6ring/PMR-W-Europe.pdf>

424.

Rasch, Bjorn Erik. Parliamentary Floor Voting Procedures and Agenda Setting in Europe. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 2000;25(1):3-23.

425.

Loewenberg G. Agenda-setting in the German Bundestag: origins and consequences of party dominance. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2003;9(3):17-31.
doi:10.1080/1357233042000246846

426.

Brauninger T, Debus M. Legislative agenda-setting in parliamentary democracies. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2009;48(6):804-839.
doi:10.1111/j.1475-6765.2009.00850.x

427.

Zucchini F. Government alternation and legislative agenda setting. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2011;50(6):749-774. doi:10.1111/j.1475-6765.2010.01983.x

428.

Russell, Meg, Paun, Akash, University College, London. The House rules? International lessons for enhancing the autonomy of the House of Commons. Published online 2007.
<http://www.ucl.ac.uk/spp/publications/unit-publications/142.pdf>

429.

Uhr, John. How Democratic is Parliament? Published online 2005.

430.

Norton P. Playing by the Rules: The Constraining Hand of Parliamentary Procedure. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2001;7(3):13-33. doi:10.1080/714003882

431.

The Journal of Legislative Studies (Special Issue: Comparing and Classifying Legislatures). 2006;12(3-4).

432.

Lukes S. *Power: A Radical View*. 2nd ed. Palgrave Macmillan; 2005.
<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=197447&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s8454451>

433.

Strøm K, Bergman T, Müller WC. *Delegation and Accountability in Parliamentary Democracies*. Vol Comparative politics. Oxford University Press; 2003.

434.

Olson DM, Mezey ML, eds. *Legislatures in the Policy Process: The Dilemmas of Economic Policy*. Vol Advances in Political Science. Cambridge University Press; 1991.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511521621>

435.

Saiegh SM. LawMaking. In: Martin S, Saalfeld T, Strøm KW, eds *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. Oxford University Press; 2014.
doi:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.013.0012

436.

Russell M, Benton M. *Assessing the Policy Impact of Parliament: Methodological Challenges and Possible Future Approaches*. Published online 2009.
<https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/b191/3bce50cbc3ed8780ac51a8f13b9d1b89d585.pdf>

437.

Hage FM, Kaeding M. Reconsidering the European Parliament's Legislative Influence: Formal vs. Informal Procedures. *Journal of European Integration*. 2007;29(3):341-361. doi:10.1080/07036330701442356

438.

Benton M, Russell M. Assessing the Impact of Parliamentary Oversight Committees: The Select Committees in the British House of Commons. *Parliamentary Affairs*. Published online 2012. doi:10.1093/pa/gss009

439.

Russell M, Gover D, Wollter K. Does the Executive Dominate the Westminster Legislative Process?: Six Reasons for Doubt. *Parliamentary Affairs*. Published online 2015. doi:10.1093/pa/gsv016

440.

Shephard M, Cairney P. The Impact of the Scottish Parliament in Amending Executive Legislation. *Political Studies*. 2005;53(2):303-319. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9248.2005.00530.x

441.

Russell M, Benton M. (Re)assessing Parliamentary Policy Impact: The Case of the Australian Senate. *Australian Journal of Political Science*. 2010;45(2):159-174. doi:10.1080/10361141003736117

442.

Clinton JD, Lewis DE, Selin JL. Influencing the Bureaucracy: The Irony of Congressional Oversight. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2014;58(2):387-401. doi:10.1111/ajps.12066

443.

Manow P, Burkhart S. Legislative Self-Restraint Under Divided Government In Germany,

1976-2002. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 2007;32(2):167-191.
doi:10.3162/036298007780907941

444.

Martin LW, Vanberg G. *Parliaments and Coalitions: The Role of Legislative Institutions in Multiparty Governance*. Oxford Scholarship; 2011.
doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199607884.001.0001

445.

Blidook K. Exploring the Role of 'Legislators' in Canada: Do Members of Parliament Influence Policy? *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2010;16(1):32-56.
doi:10.1080/13572330903541979

446.

Kreppel A. Moving Beyond Procedure: An Empirical Analysis of European Parliament Legislative Influence. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2002;35(7):784-813.
doi:10.1177/0010414002035007002

447.

Kalitowski S. Rubber Stamp or Cockpit? The Impact of Parliament on Government Legislation. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2008;61(4):694-708. doi:10.1093/pa/gsn030

448.

Griffith, J. A. G., *Political and Economic Planning, Study of Parliament Group. Parliamentary Scrutiny of Government Bills*. Allen and Unwin [for] PEP and the Study of Parliament Group; 1974.

449.

Thompson L. More of the Same or a Period of Change? The Impact of Bill Committees in the Twenty-First Century House of Commons. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2013;66(3):459-479.
doi:10.1093/pa/gss016

450.

Tsebelis G. Governments and Parliaments. In: Veto Players: How Political Institutions Work. Princeton University Press; 2002.

451.

Olson D. Legislatures in the Policy Process. In: Democratic Legislative Institutions: A Comparative View. Vol Comparative politics series. M.E. Sharpe; 1994.

452.

Loewenberg, Gerhard, Patterson, Samuel Charles. Comparing Legislatures. University Press of America; 1979.

453.

Hindmoor A, Larkin P, Kennon A. Assessing the Influence of Select Committees in the UK: The Education and Skills Committee, 1997-2005. The Journal of Legislative Studies. 2009;15(1):71-89. doi:10.1080/13572330802666844

454.

Richard L. Hall. Measuring Legislative Influence. Legislative Studies Quarterly. 1992;17(2):205-231. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/info/440058>

455.

Whitmore S. Challenges and constraints for post-Soviet committees: exploring the impact of parties on committees in Ukraine. The Journal of Legislative Studies. 2006;12(1):32-53. doi:10.1080/13572330500484953

456.

De Giorgi E, Marangoni F. Government laws and the opposition parties' behaviour in parliament. Acta Politica. 2015;50(1):64-81. doi:10.1057/ap.2013.34

457.

Bolton A, Thrower S. Legislative Capacity and Executive Unilateralism. *American Journal of Political Science*. Published online 2015:n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/ajps.12190

458.

Jenkins JA, Monroe NW. On Measuring Legislative Agenda-Setting Power. *American Journal of Political Science*. Published online 2015:n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/ajps.12191

459.

Chiou FY, Rothenberg LS. The Elusive Search for Presidential Power. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2014;58(3):653-668. doi:10.1111/ajps.12057

460.

Liebert U, Cotta M. Parliament as a central site in democratic consolidation. In: *Parliament and Democratic Consolidation in Southern Europe: Greece, Italy, Portugal, Spain and Turkey*. Pinter; 1990:3-30.

461.

Fish MS. Stronger Legislatures, Stronger Democracies. *Journal of Democracy*. 2006;17(1):5-20. doi:10.1353/jod.2006.0008

462.

Overseas Development Institute - Parliamentary Strengthening and the Paris Principles.

463.

Paper 1. Why Engage With Parliaments? International assistance and parliamentary strengthening - an overview. | Global Partners Governance. 2013.
<https://www.google.com/url?sa=i&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web∓cd=&cad=rja&uact=8&ved=0CDgQw7AJahcKEwjw2uOtmDKAAxUAAAAAHQAAAAAQAw&url=https%3A%2F%2Fgpgovernance.net%2Fwp-content%2Fuploads%2F2021%2F02%2FGuide-to-Parliaments.-Paper-1.pdf&psig=AOvVaw3qh0yqgQDD4CcEMTpN4yDy&ust=1691760564108513&opi=89978449>

464.

Kopecky P. Introduction: transition, consolidation and parliamentary institutionalisation. In: Parliaments in the Czech and Slovak Republics: Party Competition and Parliamentary Institutionalization. Ashgate; 2001:1-21.

465.

Norton P, Leston-Bandeira C. The impact of democratic practice on the parliaments of Southern Europe. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2003;9(2):177-185.
doi:10.1080/1357233032000250680

466.

Mishler, William, Rose, Richard. Support for Parliaments and Regimes in the Transition toward Democracy in Eastern Europe. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 1994;19(1):5-32.

467.

Kinyondo A, Pelizzo R. Strengthening Legislatures: Some Lessons from the Pacific Region. *Politics & Policy*. 2013;41(3). doi:10.1111/polp.12020

468.

Schuler P, Malesky E. Authoritarian Legislatures. In: *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. 2014.
<http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199653010-e-004>

469.

Power G. The Politics of Parliamentary Strengthening: Understanding political incentives and institutional behaviour in parliamentary support strategies. Published online 2011.
<http://www.gpgovernance.net/publication/the-politics-of-parliamentary-strengthening/>

470.

Musolf, Lloyd D., Kornberg, Allan, American Society for Public Administration. Legislatures in Developmental Perspective. Vol Comparative Administration Group series. Duke University Press; 1970.

471.

Pakenham, R. A. Legislatures and political development. In: Legislatures. Vol Oxford readings in politics and government. Oxford University Press; 1970.

472.

World Bank Parliamentary Strengthening Programme.
<http://siteresources.worldbank.org/PSGLP/Resources/parliament.pdf>

473.

Engaging and Supporting Parliaments Worldwide: Strategies and Methodologies for EC Action in Support to Parliaments.
<https://www.agora-parl.org/resources/library/engaging-and-supporting-parliaments-worldwide-strategies-and-methodologies-ec>

474.

Report from the UK House of Commons International Development committee on parliamentary strengthening. 2015.
<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201415/cmselect/cmintdev/704/704.pdf#>

475.

Parliamentary Strengthening: Government Response to the International Development Committee's Ninth Report of Session 2014-15. 2015.
<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201415/cmselect/cmintdev/1125/112504.htm#a2>

476.

Bergman, Torbjorn, Muller, Wolfgang C., Strom, Kaare. Challenges to Parliamentary Democracy. In: Delegation and Accountability in Parliamentary Democracies. Vol Comparative politics. Oxford University Press; 2003. doi:10.1093/019829784X.001.0001

477.

Andrews JT. Legislatures in Central and Eastern Europe. In: Martin S, Saalfeld T, Ström
KW, eds *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. Oxford University Press; 2014.
doi:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.013.0018

478.

Olson DM. The Parliaments of New Democracies: The Experience of Central Europe. In:
World Encyclopedia of Parliaments and Legislatures. Congressional Quarterly; 1998.

479.

Norton P, Olson DM. Post-Communist and Post-Soviet Legislatures: Beyond Transition. *The
Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2007;13(1):1-11. doi:10.1080/13572330601165154

480.

*The Journal of Legislative Studies - Special Issue on Post-Communist Parliaments: Change
and Stability in the Second Decade*. 2011;17(2).

481.

Carey, John M., Formanek, Frantisek, Karpowicz, Ewa. Legislative Autonomy in New
Regimes: The Czech and Polish Cases. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 1999;24(4):569-603.

482.

Remington, Thomas F. *Parliaments in Transition: The New Legislative Politics in the Former
USSR and Eastern Europe*. Westview Press; 1994.

483.

Ostrow, Joel M. *Comparing Post-Soviet Legislatures: A Theory of Institutional Design and*

Political Conflict. Vol Parliaments and legislatures series. Ohio State University Press; 2000.

484.

Chiva C. The Institutionalisation of Post-Communist Parliaments: Hungary and Romania in Comparative Perspective. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2007;60(2):187-211.
doi:10.1093/pa/gsm012

485.

Barkan JD. Legislatures on the Rise? *Journal of Democracy*. 2008;19(2):124-137.
doi:10.1353/jod.2008.0020

486.

Barkan JD. African Legislatures and the 'third wave' of democratization. In: *Legislative Power in Emerging African Democracies*. Lynne Rienner; 2009:1-31.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=e330302f-7f36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

487.

Africa All Party Parliamentary Group. *Strengthening Parliaments in Africa: Improving Support*. Published online 2008.

488.

Inter-Parliamentary Union. *Ten Years of Strengthening Parliaments in Africa 1991-2000: Lessons Learnt and the Way Forward*. Published online 2003.

489.

Johnson J, Nakamura R. *A Concept Paper on Legislatures and Good Governance*. Published online 1999.

490.

Johnson JK. The Role of Parliament in Government. Published online 2005.

491.

Nijzink L, Mozaffar S, Azevedo E. Parliaments and the enhancement of democracy on the African continent: An analysis of institutional capacity and public perceptions. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2006;12(3-4):311-335. doi:10.1080/13572330600875563

492.

Azevedo-Harman E. Parliaments in Africa: Representative Institutions in the Land of the 'Big Man'. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2011;17(1):65-85. doi:10.1080/13572334.2011.545180

493.

Salih, Mohamed Abdel Rahim M. *African Parliaments: Between Governance and Government*. Palgrave Macmillan; 2005.

494.

The difficult development of parliamentary politics in the Gulf: Parliaments and the process of managed reform in Kuwait, Bahrain and Oman | *Global Partners Governance*. 2012. <http://www.gpgovernance.net/publication/politics-in-gulf/>

495.

The Journal of Legislative Studies - Special Issue on Southern European Parliaments in Democracy. 2003;9(2).

496.

Leston-Bandeira C. The Portuguese parliament during the first two decades of democracy. *West European Politics*. 2001;24(1):137-156. doi:10.1080/01402380108425421

497.

Close, David. Legislatures and the New Democracies in Latin America. L. Rienner Publishers; 1995.