

# PUBLG057: Parliaments, Political Parties and Policy Making

Professor Meg Russell

View Online



---

1.

Loewenberg, Gerhard, Patterson, Samuel Charles. Comparing legislatures. Lanham, MD: University Press of America; 1979.

2.

Olson, David M. Democratic legislative institutions: a comparative view. Armonk, N.Y.: M.E. Sharpe; 1994.

3.

Norton, Philip. Legislatures and legislators. Aldershot: Ashgate; 1998.

4.

Norton, Philip. Legislatures. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1990.

5.

Norton, Philip. Parliaments in Western Europe. London: Cass; 1990.

6.

Patterson, Samuel Charles, Copeland, Gary W. Parliaments in the modern world: changing institutions. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press; 1994.

7.

Loewenberg G. On legislatures: the puzzle of representation. Boulder, CO: Paradigm Publishers; 2011.

8.

Fish, M. Steven, Kroenig, Matthew. The handbook of national legislatures: a global survey. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2009.

9.

Martin S, Saalfeld T, Strøm K, editors. The Oxford handbook of legislative studies. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014.

10.

Davidson, Roger H. The postreform Congress. New York: St. Martin's Press; 1992.

11.

McCubbins, Mathew D., Sullivan, Terry. Congress: structure and policy. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1987.

12.

Dodd, Lawrence C. Thinking about Congress: essays on Congressional change. London: Routledge; 2012.

13.

Power, Timothy J., Rae, Nicol C. Exporting Congress?: the influence of the U.S. Congress on world legislatures. Pittsburgh, PA: University of Pittsburgh Press; 2006.

14.

Norton P. Parliament in British politics [Internet]. 2nd ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan;

2013. Available from: <https://read.kortext.com/reader/pdf/616520/Cover>

15.

Rush, Michael. *Parliament today*. Manchester: Manchester University Press; 2005.

16.

Kopecky, Petr. *Parliaments in the Czech and Slovak republics: party competition and parliamentary institutionalization*. Aldershot: Ashgate; 2001.

17.

Olson, David M., Norton, Philip. *The new parliaments of Central and Eastern Europe*. London: Frank Cass; 1996.

18.

Ostrow, Joel M. *Comparing post-Soviet legislatures: a theory of institutional design and political conflict*. Columbus, OH: Ohio State University Press; 2000.

19.

Uhr, John. *Deliberative democracy in Australia: the changing place of Parliament*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1998.

20.

Bach S. *Platypus and Parliament: The Australian Senate in Theory and Practice* [Internet]. Parliament of Australia. Department of the Senate, Canberra; 2003. Available from: [https://www.aph.gov.au/About\\_Parliament/Senate/Powers\\_practice\\_n\\_procedures/platparl](https://www.aph.gov.au/About_Parliament/Senate/Powers_practice_n_procedures/platparl)

21.

Patapan, Haig, Wanna, John, Weller, Patrick Moray. *Westminster legacies: democracy and responsible government in Asia and the Pacific*. Sydney: UNSW Press; 2005.

22.

Norton, Philip, Ahmed, Nizam U. Parliaments in Asia. London: Frank Cass; 1999.

23.

B. L. Shankar, Valerian Rodrigues. The Indian Parliament : a democracy at work [Internet]. 2011. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198067726.001.0001>

24.

Rahman, Taiabur. Parliamentary control and government accountability in South Asia: a comparative analysis of Bangladesh, India and Sri Lanka. London: Routledge; 2008.

25.

Ruland, Jurgen, Institute of Southeast Asian Studies. Parliaments and political change in Asia. Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies; 2005.

26.

Shankar, B. L., Rodrigues, Valerian. The Indian Parliament: a democracy at work. New Delhi: Oxford University Press; 2011.

27.

Barkan, Joel D. Legislative power in emerging African democracies. Boulder: Lynne Rienner; 2009.

28.

Salih, Mohamed Abdel Rahim M. African parliaments: between governance and government. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2005.

29.

Close, David. Legislatures and the new democracies in Latin America. Boulder: L. Rienner Publishers; 1995.

30.

Morgenstern, Scott, Nacif, Benito. Legislative politics in Latin America. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2002.

31.

Esaiasson, Peter, Heidar, Knut. Beyond Westminster and Congress: the Nordic experience. Columbus, OH: Ohio State University Press; 2000.

32.

Matthews, Donald R., Valen, Henry. Parliamentary representation: the case of the Norwegian Storting. Columbus [Ohio]: Ohio State University Press; 1999.

33.

Arter, David. The Nordic parliaments: a comparative analysis. London: Hurst; 1984.

34.

Damgaard, Erik. Parliamentary change in the Nordic countries. New York: Scandinavian University Press; 1992.

35.

Landman T. Comparing Many Countries. Issues and methods in comparative politics: an introduction. 2nd ed. London: Routledge; 2003. p. 51-65.

36.

Landman T. Issues and methods in comparative politics: an introduction [Internet]. Fourth edition. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2017. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/ucl/detail.action?docID=4756274>

37.

Dogan, Mattei, Pelassy, Dominique. How to compare nations: strategies in comparative politics. 2nd ed. Chatham, N.J: Chatham House; 1990.

38.

Burnham P, Gilland K, Grant W, Layton-Henry Z. Comparative Methodology. Research methods in politics. Basingstoke: Palgrave; 2004. p. 58-79.

39.

Sartori G. Compare why and how. Comparing nations: concepts, strategies, substance [Internet]. Oxford: Blackwell; 1994. p. 14-34. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=2938f567-5e36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

40.

Sartori G. Compare why and how. Comparing nations: concepts, strategies, substance. Oxford: Blackwell; 1994.

41.

Sartori, Giovanni. Concept Misformation in Comparative Politics. The American Political Science Review. American Political Science Association; 1970;64(4):1033-1053.

42.

Lijphart, Arendt. The Comparable-Cases Strategy in Comparative Research. Comparative political studies [Internet]. SAGE Publications; 1975;8(2):158-177. Available from: <http://cps.sagepub.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/content/8/2/158.full.pdf.html>

43.

Lijphart, Arend. Comparative Politics and the Comparative Method. The American Political Science Review. American Political Science Association; 1971;65(3):682-693.

44.

Nassmacher H. The Dilemma of Depth Versus Breadth in Comparing Political Systems Empirically...and How to Overcome It. *European Political Science*. 2008;7(1):113-125.

45.

*Legislative Studies Quarterly* .

46.

*The Journal of Legislative Studies*.

47.

*Parliamentary Affairs* .

48.

*Party Politics*.

49.

*The Parliamentarian - The Journal of Commonwealth Parliamentarians and Legislatures*.  
Commonwealth Parliamentary Association [Internet]. Available from:  
<https://www.cpahq.org/knowledge-centre/the-parliamentarian/>

50.

Constitutional and parliamentary information | ASGP [Internet]. Available from:  
<http://asgp.co/constitutional-and-parliamentary-information>

51.

Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU).

52.

International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (IDEA).

53.

Research and Education – Parliament of Australia [Internet]. Available from:  
[http://www.aph.gov.au/About\\_Parliament/Senate/Research\\_and\\_Education](http://www.aph.gov.au/About_Parliament/Senate/Research_and_Education)

54.

Legislative Studies Section | The American Political Science Association [Internet].  
Available from: <http://legislativestudies.org/>

55.

Parliaments specialist group | The Political Studies Association (PSA) [Internet]. The  
Political Studies Association (PSA); Available from: <https://psaparliaments.org/>

56.

Commonwealth Parliamentary Association [Internet]. Available from:  
<https://www.cpahq.org/>

57.

UK Parliament [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.parliament.uk/>

58.

Mezey, M. Defining the Legislature. Comparative legislatures. Durham, N.C.: Duke  
University Press; 1979. p. 3–20.

59.

Mezey M. Classifying legislatures. Legislatures [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press;  
1979. p. 149–176. Available from:

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=2cdbbe02-4d36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

60.

Mezey, M. *Classifying Legislatures. Comparative legislatures.* Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press; 1979. p. 149–176.

61.

Norton P. *Introduction: The institution of parliaments. Parliaments and governments in Western Europe.* London: Frank Cass; 1998. p. 1–15.

62.

Loewenberg, Gerhard, Patterson, Samuel Charles. *Functions of Legislatures. Comparing legislatures.* Lanham, MD: University Press of America; 1979.

63.

Olson D. *Legislatures in Today's Democracies. Democratic legislative institutions: a comparative view.* Armonk, N.Y.: M.E. Sharpe; 1994.

64.

Hague R, Harrop M. *Legislatures. Comparative government and politics: an introduction.* 6th ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2004. p. 247–267.

65.

Blondel J. *Representation: legislatures and parliaments. Comparative government: an introduction.* 2nd ed. Harlow: Pearson Education; 1995. p. 248–266.

66.

Paper 2. *How Parliaments Work: Parliamentary processes and procedures.* | Global Partners Governance [Internet]. Available from:

<https://gpgovernance.net/publications/guide-to-parliaments-paper-2-how-parliaments-work-parliamentary-processes-and-procedures/>

67.

Kreppel A. Legislatures. Comparative politics [Internet]. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014. p. 113–130. Available from:  
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=595f1cd2-4d36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

68.

Martin S, Saalfeld T, Strøm KW. Introduction. In: Martin S, Saalfeld T, Strøm KW, editors. The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2014. Available from:  
<http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199653010-e-001>

69.

Kreppel A. Typologies and Classifications. In: Martin S, Saalfeld T, Strøm KW, editors. The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2014. Available from:  
<http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199653010-e-032>

70.

Copeland G, Patterson SC. Parliaments and Legislatures. World encyclopedia of parliaments and legislatures. Washington, D.C.: Congressional Quarterly; 1998.

71.

Judge D. Legislative Institutionalization: A Bent Analytical Arrow? Government and Opposition. 2003;38(4):497–516.

72.

Rhodes RAW, Binder SA, Rockman BA. The Oxford handbook of political institutions [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2008. Available from:  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199548460.001.0001>

73.

Laver M. Legislatures and Parliaments in Comparative Context. The Oxford handbook of political institutions. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2006.

74.

Mezey, M. L. Legislatures: Individual Purpose and Institutional Performance. Political science: the state of the discipline. Centennial ed. London: W.W. Norton; 2002.

75.

Polsby N. Legislatures. Handbook of Political Science. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1975.

76.

Power G. Annex to the IPU's Global Parliamentary Report [Internet]. United Nations Development Programme; Available from:  
<http://www.ipu.org/pdf/publications/gpr2012-full-e.pdf>

77.

Hibbing, John R. Legislative Institutionalization with Illustrations from the British House of Commons. American Journal of Political Science. Midwest Political Science Association; 1988;32(3):681-712.

78.

Owens JE, Loomis BA. Qualified exceptionalism: The US Congress in comparative perspective. The Journal of Legislative Studies. 2006;12(3-4):258-290.

79.

Polsby, N. The Institutionalization of the US House of Representatives. Legislatures and legislators. Aldershot: Ashgate; 1998. p. 76-101.

80.

Norton P. Parliaments: A Framework for Analysis. Parliaments in Western Europe. London: Cass; 1990.

81.

Gamm G, Huber J. Legislatures as Political Institutions: Beyond the Contemporary Congress. Political science: the state of the discipline. Centennial ed. London: W.W. Norton; 2002.

82.

Przeworski A, Asadurian T, Thomas Bohlken A. The origins of parliamentary responsibility. Comparative constitutional design [Internet]. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2012. Available from: <https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/comparative-constitutional-design/origins-of-parliamentary-responsibility/6E5F94D8066519887516B9F5E1B075F3>

83.

Lijphart, Arend. Introduction . Parliamentary versus presidential government. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1992. p. 1-27.

84.

Elgie R. From Linz to Tsebelis: three waves of presidential/parliamentary studies? Democratization. 2005;12(1):106-122.

85.

King, Anthony. Modes of Executive-Legislative Relations: Great Britain, France, and West Germany. Legislative Studies Quarterly. 1976;1(1).

86.

M. S. S. Comparative Executive-Legislative Relations. The Oxford handbook of political

institutions. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2006.

87.

Sartori G. Presidentialism. Comparative constitutional engineering: an inquiry into structures, incentives and outcomes. 2nd ed. Basingstoke: Macmillan Press; 1997. p. 83-100.

88.

Lijphart A. Presidentialism and majoritarian democracy. The failure of presidential democracy: Vol 1: Comparative perspectives. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press; 1994.

89.

Linz, J. J. The Virtues of Parliamentarism. Journal of Democracy. The Johns Hopkins University Press; 1990;1(4):84-91.

90.

Linz, J.J. The Perils of Presidentialism. Journal of Democracy. The Johns Hopkins University Press; 1990;1(1):51-69.

91.

Stepan A, Skach C. Constitutional Frameworks and Democratic Consolidation: Parliamentarism versus Presidentialism. World Politics. 1993;46(1).

92.

Shugart MS, Carey JM. Presidents and Assemblies: Constitutional Design and Electoral Dynamics [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1992. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139173988>

93.

Hiroi T, Omori S. Perils of parliamentarism? Political systems and the stability of democracy revisited. *Democratization*. 2009;16(3):485–507.

94.

Bergman, Torbjorn, Muller, Wolfgang C., Strom, Kaare. *Delegation and accountability in parliamentary democracies*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.

95.

Elgie R. *What is divided government? Divided government in comparative perspective*. [Oxford]: Oxford Scholarship Online; 2001.

96.

Mezey, M. L. *Executive-legislative relations*. *World encyclopedia of parliaments and legislatures*. Washington, D.C.: Congressional Quarterly; 1998.

97.

Cheibub JA, Elkins Z, Ginsburg T. Beyond Presidentialism and Parliamentarism. *British Journal of Political Science*. 2014;44(03):515–544.

98.

David Samuels, Matthew Shugart. *Presidents, parties, and prime ministers: how the separation of powers affects party organization and behavior* [Internet]. 2010. Available from:  
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/presidents-parties-and-prime-ministers/2CB12EF33F51236B06BC1B4E0485BD8B>

99.

Ganghof S. Is the 'Constitution of Equality' Parliamentary, Presidential or Hybrid? *Political Studies*. 2014;n/a-n/a.

100.

The Journal of Legislative Studies - Special Issue on Legislatures and executives: an investigation into the relationship at the heart of government. 2004;10(2-3).

101.

Lijphart A. Executive-legislative relations. Patterns of democracy: government forms and performance in thirty-six countries. London: Yale University Press; 1999.

102.

Tsebelis, George, Russell Sage Foundation. Veto players: how political institutions work. New York: Princeton University Press; 2002.

103.

Fiorina, Morris P., Mayhew, David R. Divided government. 2nd ed. London: Longman; 2003.

104.

Riggs, Fred W. Presidentialism versus Parliamentarism: Implications for Representativeness and Legitimacy . International Political Science Review / Revue internationale de science politique. Sage Publications, Ltd.; 1997;18(3):253-278.

105.

Mainwaring S. Presidentialism, Multipartyism, and Democracy: The Difficult Combination. Comparative Political Studies. 1993;26(2):198-228.

106.

Cheibub JA, Limongi F. Democratic Institutions and Regime Survival: Parliamentary and Presidential Democracies Reconsidered. Annual Review of Political Science. 2002;5(1):151-179.

107.

Cheibub, Jose Antonio. *Presidentialism, parliamentarism, and democracy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2007.

108.

Mainwaring, Scott. *Presidentialism in Latin America*. *Latin American Research Review*. The Latin American Studies Association; 1995;25(1):157–179.

109.

Mainwaring S, Shugart MS, editors. *Presidentialism and Democracy in Latin America* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1997. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139174800>

110.

Mainwaring S. *Presidentialism and democracy in Latin America : rethinking the terms of the debate*. *Presidentialism and democracy in Latin America*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1997. p. 12–54.

111.

Siaroff A. *Varieties of Parliamentarianism in the Advanced Industrial Democracies*. *International Political Science Review*. 2003;24(4):445–464.

112.

Elgie R. *The Perils of Semi-Presidentialism. Are They Exaggerated?* *Democratization*. 2008;15(1):49–66.

113.

Schleiter P, Morgan-Jones E. *Review Article: Citizens, Presidents and Assemblies: The Study of Semi-Presidentialism beyond Duverger and Linz*. *British Journal of Political Science*. 2009;39(04).

114.

Fukuyama, Francis, Dressel, Bjorn, Chang, Boo-Seung. Facing the Perils of Presidentialism? *Journal of Democracy*. 2005;16(2):102–116.

115.

Huber, John D. The Vote of Confidence in Parliamentary Democracies. *The American Political Science Review*. American Political Science Association; 1996;90(2):269–282.

116.

Strom K. Minority Governments in Parliamentary Democracies: The Rationality of Nonwinning Cabinet Solutions. *Comparative Political Studies*. 1984;17(2):199–227.

117.

Laver, Michael, Shepsle, Kenneth A. Making and breaking governments: cabinets and legislatures in parliamentary democracies. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1999.

118.

Lijphart A. Patterns of democracy: government forms and performance in thirty-six countries [Internet]. 2nd ed. New Haven [Conn.]: Yale University Press; 2012. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/j.ctt32bg23>

119.

Russell M. Bicameralism in Theory & Comparative Perspective [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199671564.001.0001>

120.

Russell M. What are Second Chambers for? *Parliamentary Affairs* [Internet]. 2001;54(3):442–458. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/pa/article-abstract/54/3/442/1461945?redirectedFrom=PDF>

121.

Mughan, Anthony, Patterson, Samuel Charles. *Senates: bicameralism in the contemporary world*. Columbus: Ohio State University Press; 1999.

122.

Tsebelis G, Money J. *Bicameralism* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1997. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511609350>

123.

*The Journal of Legislative Studies - Special Issue on Second Chambers*. 2001.

124.

Russell M, Sandford M. Why are Second Chambers so Difficult to Reform? *The Journal of Legislative Studies* [Internet]. 2002;8(3):79–89. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/714003926>

125.

Levmore S. Bicameralism: When are two decisions better than one? *International Review of Law and Economics*. 1992;12(2):145–162.

126.

Tsebelis G. Decision Making in Political Systems: Veto Players in Presidentialism, Parliamentarism, Multicameralism and Multipartyism. *British Journal of Political Science*. 1995;25(03).

127.

Druckman JN, Thies MF. The Importance of Concurrence: The Impact of Bicameralism on Government Formation and Duration. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2002;46(4).

128.

Shell, D. Second chambers. World encyclopedia of parliaments and legislatures. Washington, D.C.: Congressional Quarterly; 1998.

129.

Norton P. How many bicameral legislatures are there? The Journal of Legislative Studies. 2004;10(4):1-9.

130.

Mughan, Anthony, Patterson, Samuel Charles. Senates: bicameralism in the contemporary world. Columbus: Ohio State University Press; 1999.

131.

Russell M. Rethinking Bicameral Strength: A Three-Dimensional Approach. The Journal of Legislative Studies. 2013;19(3):370-391.

132.

Russell M. Elected Second Chambers and Their Powers: An International Survey. The Political Quarterly. 2012;83(1):117-129.

133.

Coakley J. The Strange Revival of Bicameralism. The Journal of Legislative Studies. 2014;20(4):542-572.

134.

The Journal of Legislative Studies . 2002;8(3).

135.

Llanos M, Nolte D. Bicameralism in the Americas: around the extremes of symmetry and

incongruence. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2003;9(3):54–86.

136.

Roller E. Reforming the Spanish Senate: Mission Impossible? *West European Politics*. 2002;25(4):69–92.

137.

Hiroi T. The Dynamics of Lawmaking in a Bicameral Legislature: The Case of Brazil. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2008;41(12):1583–1606.

138.

Bean C, Wattenberg M. Attitudes Towards Divided Government and Ticket-splitting in Australia and the United States. *Australian Journal of Political Science*. 1998;33(1):25–36.

139.

Goot M. Whose Mandate? Policy Promises, Strong Bicameralism and Polled Opinion. *Australian Journal of Political Science*. 1999;34(3):327–352.

140.

Sartori, Giovanni. *Problems with Parliamentary Systems. Comparative constitutional engineering: an inquiry into structures, incentives and outcomes*. 2nd ed. Washington Square, N.Y.: New York University Press; 1997.

141.

Swenden, Wilfried. *Federalism and second chambers: regional representation in parliamentary federations: the Australian Senate and German Bundesrat compared*. Brussels: P.I.E.-Peter Lang; 2004.

142.

Olson DM, Longley LD. Two into One: The Politics and Processes of National Legislative Cameral Change. San Francisco: Westview Press; 1991.

143.

Congleton RD. On the merits of bicameral legislatures: intragovernmental bargaining and policy stability. Democratic constitutional design and public policy: analysis and evidence. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press; 2006.

144.

Riker, William H. The Justification of Bicameralism. *International Political Science Review / Revue internationale de science politique*. Sage Publications, Ltd.; 1992;13(1):101-116.

145.

Money, Jeannette, Tsebelis, George. Cicero's Puzzle: Upper House Power in Comparative Perspective . *International Political Science Review / Revue internationale de science politique*. Sage Publications, Ltd.; 1992;13(1):25-43.

146.

Rogers JR. An Informational Rationale for Congruent Bicameralism. *Journal of Theoretical Politics*. 2001;13(2):123-151.

147.

Druckman J, Martin L, Thies M. Influence without Confidence: Upper Chambers and Government Formation. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 2005;30(4):529-548.

148.

Vatter A. Bicameralism and policy performance: The effects of cameral structure in Comparative Perspective. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2005;11(2):194-215.

149.

Heller, William B. Bicameralism and Budget Deficits: The Effect of Parliamentary Structure on Government Spending. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 1997;22(4):485–516.

150.

Norris P. Legislative recruitment. *Comparing democracies: elections and voting in global perspectives*. London: Sage Publications; 1996. p. 184–215.

151.

Hazan RY, Rahaç G. Democracy within parties: candidate selection methods and their political consequences [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2010. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199572540.001.0001>

152.

Cross W. Democratic Norms and Party Candidate Selection: Taking Contextual Factors into Account. *Party Politics*. 2008;14(5):596–619.

153.

Party Politics - Special Issue on Candidate Selection. London, England: Sage Publications; 2001;7(3).

154.

Gallagher M. Conclusion. Candidate selection in comparative perspective: the secret garden of politics. London: Sage; 1988. p. 236–283.

155.

Field BN, Siavelis PM. Candidate Selection Procedures in Transitional Polities: A Research Note. *Party Politics*. 2008;14(5):620–639.

156.

Norris P, Lovenduski J. Political Recruitment: Gender, Race and Class in the British

Parliament [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1994. Available from:  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511598609>

157.

Norris P, Lovenduski J. Comparative candidate recruitment. Political recruitment: gender, race, and class in the British parliament [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1995. p. 183–205. Available from:  
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=cfb0a7c-5b36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

158.

Shomer Y. What affects candidate selection processes? A cross-national examination. *Party Politics*. 2014;20(4):533–546.

159.

Norris P. Introduction: theories of recruitment. *Passages to power: legislative recruitment in advanced democracies*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1997. p. 1–14.

160.

Scarrow, S. E., Webb, P., Farrell, D. M. *From Social Integration to Electoral Contestation: The Changing Distribution of Power within Political Parties*. *Parties without partisans: political change in advanced industrial democracies*. [Oxford]: Oxford Scholarship Online; 2002.

161.

Indrithason IH, Kristinsson GH. Primary consequences: The effects of candidate selection through party primaries in Iceland. *Party Politics*. 2015;21(4):565–576.

162.

Ware A. *The Selection of Candidates and Leaders*. *Political parties and party systems*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1996.

163.

Alvarez RM, Sinclair B. Electoral Institutions and Legislative Behavior: The Effects of Primary Processes. *Political Research Quarterly*. 2012;65(3):544–557.

164.

McGhee E, Masket S, Shor B, Rogers S, McCarty N. A Primary Cause of Partisanship? Nomination Systems and Legislator Ideology. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2014;58(2):337–351.

165.

Gallagher, Michael, Marsh, Michael. *Candidate selection in comparative perspective: the secret garden of politics*. London: Sage; 1988.

166.

Lundell K. Determinants of Candidate Selection: The Degree of Centralization in Comparative Perspective. *Party Politics*. 2004;10(1):25–47.

167.

Akirav O. Candidate Selection and a Crowded Parliament: The Israeli Knesset, 1988–2006. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2010;16(1):96–120.

168.

Barnea S, Rahat G. Reforming Candidate Selection Methods: A Three-Level Approach. *Party Politics*. 2007;13(3):375–394.

169.

Rahat G. Which Candidate Selection Method is the Most Democratic? Government and Opposition. 2009;44(1):68–90.

170.

Hazan RY. Candidate Selection: Implications and Challenges for Legislative Behaviour. *The*

Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies [Internet]. 2014. Available from:  
<http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199653010-e-005>

171.

Shomer Y. Candidate Selection Procedures, Seniority, and Vote-Seeking Behavior. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2009;42(7):945–970.

172.

Hofnung M. Unaccounted Competition: The Finance of Intra-Party Elections. *Party Politics*. 2008;14(6):726–744.

173.

Bochel J, Denver D. Candidate Selection in the Labour Party: What the Selectors Seek. *British Journal of Political Science*. 1983;13(01).

174.

Shaw E. New Labour: new pathways to parliament. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2001;54(1):35–53.

175.

Russell M. Selection under Blair. *Building new Labour: the politics of party organisation*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan; 2005. p. 67–95.

176.

Mikulska AB, Scarrow SE. Assessing the Political Impact of Candidate Selection Rules: Britain in the 1990s. *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion & Parties*. 2010;20(3):311–333.

177.

Narud, Hanne Marthe, Pedersen, Mogens N., Valen, Henry. Party sovereignty and citizen control: selecting candidates for parliamentary elections in Denmark, Finland, Iceland and Norway. Odense: University Press of Southern Denmark; 2002.

178.

Bruhn K. Electing Extremists? Party Primaries and Legislative Candidates in Mexico. Comparative Politics [Internet]. City University of New York; 2013;45(4):398-417. Available from:  
<http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/cuny/cp/2013/00000045/00000004/art00003>

179.

Gherghina S. One-Shot Party Primaries: The Case of the Romanian Social Democrats. Politics. 2013;33(3):185-195.

180.

Hellmann O. Outsourcing candidate selection: The fight against clientelism in East Asian parties. Party Politics. 2014;20(1):52-62.

181.

Spies DC, Kaiser A. Does the mode of candidate selection affect the representativeness of parties? Party Politics. 2012;

182.

Katz, Richard S. Democracy and elections. New York: Oxford University Press; 1997.

183.

Patzelt, Werner J. Recruitment and Retention in Western European Parliaments. Legislative Studies Quarterly. Comparative Legislative Research Center; 1999;24(2):239-279.

184.

Lawrence E, Donovan T, Bowler S. The adoption of direct primaries in the United States. *Party Politics*. 2013;19(1):3–18.

185.

Ichino N, Nathan NL. Do Primaries Improve Electoral Performance? Clientelism and Intra-Party Conflict in Ghana. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2013;57(2):428–441.

186.

Mansbridge J. Should Blacks Represent Blacks and Women Represent Women? A Contingent 'Yes'. *The Journal of Politics* [Internet]. 1999;61(03). Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2647821>

187.

Wangnerud L. Women in Parliaments: Descriptive and Substantive Representation. *Annual Review of Political Science* [Internet]. 2009;12(1):51–69. Available from: <https://www.annualreviews.org/doi/10.1146/annurev.polisci.11.053106.123839>

188.

Pitkin, Hanna Fenichel. *The concept of representation*. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1972.

189.

Phillips A. *The Politics of Presence* [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 1998. Available from: <http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/0198294158.001.0001/acprof-9780198294153>

190.

M. Brito Vieira, D. Runciman. *Representing Individuals*. Representation [Internet]. Cambridge: Polity Press; 2008. p. 66–83. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=c5a29a2f-6836-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

191.

Celis K, Childs S. The Substantive Representation of Women: What to Do with Conservative Claims? *Political Studies*. 2012;60(1):213–225.

192.

Childs S. A Feminised Style of Politics? Women MPs in the House of Commons. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*. 2004;6(1):3–19.

193.

Wangnerud L. Testing the Politics of Presence: Women's Representation in the Swedish Riksdag. *Scandinavian Political Studies*. 2000;23(1):67–91.

194.

Swers, Michele L. Are Women More Likely to Vote for Women's Issue Bills than Their Male Colleagues? *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 1998;23(3).

195.

Schwindt-Bayer L. Making Quotas Work: The Effect of Gender Quota Laws On the Election of Women. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 2009;34(1):5–28.

196.

Reynolds A. Reserved Seats in National Legislatures: A Research Note. *Legislative Studies Quarterly* [Internet]. Comparative Legislative Research Center; 2005;30(2):301–310. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3598674>

197.

Tate K. The Political Representation of Blacks in Congress: Does Race Matter? *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 2001;26(4).

198.

Broockman DE. Black Politicians Are More Intrinsically Motivated to Advance Blacks' Interests: A Field Experiment Manipulating Political Incentives. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2013;57(3):521–536.

199.

Ruedin D. *Why aren't they there?: the political representation of women, ethnic groups and issue positions in legislatures*. Colchester: Ecpr Press; 2013.

200.

Phillips A. *Democracy and Representation: Or, Why Should it Matter Who our Representatives Are? Feminism and politics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1998. p. 224–240.

201.

Young, Iris Marion. *Inclusion and democracy*. 2000.

202.

Norris, Pippa. *Electoral engineering: voting rules and political behaviour*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2004.

203.

Williams, Melissa S. *Voice, trust, and memory: marginalized groups and the failings of liberal representation*. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 1998.

204.

Urbinati, Nadia. *Representative democracy: principles and genealogy*. Chicago, Ill: University of Chicago Press; 2006.

205.

Mona Lena Krook. *International Political Science Review*. 35:3–11. Available from:

<http://ips.sagepub.com/content/35/1.toc>

206.

Dovi S. Preferable Descriptive Representatives: Will Just Any Woman, Black, or Latino Do? *American Political Science Review*. 2003;96(04).

207.

Cowley P. Why not ask the audience? Understanding the public's representational priorities. *British Politics*. 2013;8(2):138-163.

208.

Taylor-Robinson MM. Gender and Legislatures. In: Martin S, Saalfeld T, StrÅ, m KW, editors. *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies* [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2014. Available from:  
<http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199653010-e-009>

209.

Childs S, Krook ML. Analysing Women's Substantive Representation: From Critical Mass to Critical Actors. *Government and Opposition*. 2009;44(2):125-145.

210.

Lovenduski, Joni. *Feminizing politics*. Cambridge: Polity Press; 2005.

211.

Tremblay, Manon, Trimble, Linda J., Sawer, Marian. *Representing women in parliament: a comparative study*. London: Routledge; 2006.

212.

Weldon SL. *Beyond Bodies: Institutional Sources of Representation for Women in*

Democratic Policymaking. *The Journal of Politics*. 2008;64(04).

213.

Dahlerup D. From a Small to a Large Minority: Women in Scandinavian Politics. *Scandinavian Political Studies*. 1988 Dec;11(4):275-298.

214.

Caul M. Women's Representation in Parliament: The Role of Political Parties. *Party Politics*. 1999;5(1):79-98.

215.

Salmond R. Proportional Representation and Female Parliamentarians. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 2006;31(2):175-204.

216.

Fortin-Rittberger J, Rittberger B. Do electoral rules matter? Explaining national differences in women's representation in the European Parliament. *European Union Politics*. 2014 Dec 1;15(4):496-520.

217.

Matland, Richard E. Women's Representation in National Legislatures: Developed and Developing Countries. *Legislative Studies Quarterly* . Comparative Legislative Research Center; 1998;23(1):109-125.

218.

Tremblay M. Democracy, Representation, and Women: A Comparative Analysis. *Democratization*. 2007;14(4):533-553.

219.

Kenworthy , Lane, Malami, Melissa. Gender Inequality in Political Representation: A

Worldwide Comparative Analysis . Social Forces. Oxford University Press;  
1999;78(1):235–268.

220.

Kittilson, Miki Caul. Challenging parties, changing parliaments: women and elected office in contemporary Western Europe. Columbus: Ohio State University Press; 2006.

221.

Roberts A, Seawright J, Cyr J. Do Electoral Laws Affect Women's Representation?  
Comparative Political Studies. 2013;46(12):1555–1581.

222.

Schneider MC, Bos AL. Measuring Stereotypes of Female Politicians. Political Psychology.  
2014;35(2):245–266.

223.

Mendelberg T, Karpowitz CF, Goedert N. Does Descriptive Representation Facilitate  
Women's Distinctive Voice? How Gender Composition and Decision Rules Affect  
Deliberation. American Journal of Political Science. 2014;58(2):291–306.

224.

Rai SM. Political representation, democratic institutions and women's empowerment: the  
quota. Rethinking empowerment: gender and development in a global/local world. London:  
Routledge; 2002. p. 133–146.

225.

Mateo Diaz, Mercedes. Representing women?: female legislators in West European  
parliaments. Colchester: ECPR Press; 2005.

226.

Swers, Michele L. *The difference women make: the policy impact of women in Congress*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 2002.

227.

Pearson K, Dancey L. *Speaking for the Underrepresented in the House of Representatives: Voicing Women's Interests in a Partisan Era*. *Politics & Gender* [Internet]. 2011;7(04):493-519. Available from: <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/politics-and-gender/article/speaking-for-the-under-represented-in-the-house-of-representatives-voicing-womens-interests-in-a-partisan-era/C4DFA00F057A8DCEBDE3579147132CD7>

228.

Grey S. *Does Size Matter? Critical Mass and New Zealand's Women MPs*. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2002;55(1):19-29.

229.

Matland, Richard E., Montgomery, Kathleen A. *Women's access to political power in post-communist Europe*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.

230.

Schwindt-Bayer LA. *Still Supermadres? Gender and the Policy Priorities of Latin American Legislators*. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2006;50(3):570-585.

231.

Piscopo JM. *Rethinking Descriptive Representation: Rendering Women in Legislative Debates*. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2011;64(3):448-472.

232.

Bauer G. *'Let there be a Balance': Women in African Parliaments*. *Political Studies Review*. 2012;10(3):370-384.

233.

Devlin C, Elgie R. The Effect of Increased Women's Representation in Parliament: The Case of Rwanda. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2008;61(2):237-254.

234.

Barnes TD, Burchard SM. 'Engendering' Politics: The Impact of Descriptive Representation on Women's Political Engagement in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2013;46(7):767-790.

235.

Stockemer D. Women's Parliamentary Representation in Africa: The Impact of Democracy and Corruption on the Number of Female Deputies in National Parliaments. *Political Studies*. 2011;59(3):693-712.

236.

Sater JN. Changing Politics from Below? Women Parliamentarians in Morocco. *Democratization*. 2007;14(4):723-742.

237.

Griffin JD. When and Why Minority Legislators Matter. *Annual Review of Political Science* [Internet]. 2014;17(1):327-336. Available from: <http://www.annualreviews.org/doi/abs/10.1146/annurev-polisci-033011-205028>

238.

Canon, David T. Electoral Systems and the Representation of Minority Interests in Legislatures . *Legislative Studies Quarterly* . Comparative Legislative Research Center; 1999;24(3):331-385.

239.

Maddison S. White Parliament, Black Politics: The Dilemmas of Indigenous Parliamentary Representation. *Australian Journal of Political Science*. 2010;45(4):663-680.

240.

Bloemraad I, Schonwalder K. Immigrant and Ethnic Minority Representation in Europe: Conceptual Challenges and Theoretical Approaches. *West European Politics*. 2013;36(3):564-579.

241.

Wüst AM. A Lasting Impact? On the Legislative Activities of Immigrant-origin Parliamentarians in Germany. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2014;20(4):495-515.

242.

King JR., Marvin P. Bill Preference: The Continued Relevance of the Congressional Black Caucus. *Politics & Policy*. Wiley Periodicals; 2011;39(3):421-439.

243.

Minta M. Legislative Oversight and the Substantive Representation of Black and Latino Interests in Congress. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 2009;34(2):193-218.

244.

Fenno, Richard F. *Going home: Black representatives and their constituents*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 2003.

245.

Bowen DC, Clark CJ. Revisiting Descriptive Representation in Congress: Assessing the Effect of Race on the Constituent-Legislator Relationship. *Political Research Quarterly*. 2014 Sept 1;67(3):695-707.

246.

Clark Wilson W, Curtis Ellis W. Surrogates Beyond Borders: Black Members of the United States Congress and the Representation of African Interests on the Congressional Foreign-Policy Agenda. *Polity*. 2014;46(2):255-273.

247.

Juenke EG, Preuhs RR. Irreplaceable Legislators? Rethinking Minority Representatives in the New Century. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2012;56(3):705–715.

248.

REYNOLDS A. Representation and Rights: The Impact of LGBT Legislators in Comparative Perspective. *American Political Science Review*. 2013;107(02):259–274.

249.

Haider-Markel D. Representation and Backlash: The Positive and Negative Influence of Descriptive Representation. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 2007;32(1):107–133.

250.

Carnes N. Does the Numerical Underrepresentation of the Working Class in Congress Matter? *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 2012;37(1):5–34.

251.

Murray R. Quotas for Men: Reframing Gender Quotas as a Means of Improving Representation for All. *American Political Science Review*. 2014;108(03):520–532.

252.

Paxton P, Hughes MM. The Increasing Effectiveness of National Gender Quotas, 1990-2010. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 2015;40(3):331–362.

253.

Bacchi C. Arguing for and against quotas: theoretical issues. In: Dahlerup D, editor. *Women, quotas and politics* [Internet]. 2006. p. 32–51. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=3b18dcc9-5436-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

254.

Krook ML. Candidate gender quotas: A framework for analysis. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2007;46(3):367–394.

255.

Hughes M. Intersectionality, Quotas, and Minority Women's Political Representation Worldwide. *American Political Science Review*. 2011;105(03):604–620.

256.

Krook ML. Electoral Gender Quotas: A Conceptual Analysis. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2014;47(9):1268–1293.

257.

Krook ML, Norris P. Beyond Quotas: Strategies to Promote Gender Equality in Elected Office. *Political Studies*. 2014;62(1):2–20.

258.

Murray R. How Parties Evaluate Compulsory Quotas: A Study of the Implementation of the 'Parity' Law in France. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2007;60(4):568–584.

259.

Caul M. Political Parties and the Adoption of Candidate Gender Quotas: A Cross-National Analysis. *The Journal of Politics*. 2008;63(04).

260.

Buckley F. How Ireland legislated for candidate sex quotas to increase women's representation. *Democratic Audit UK* [Internet]. 2013 Nov 27; Available from: <http://www.democraticaudit.com/?p=1848>

261.

Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU) materials on women in parliament.

262.

Strom K. Rules, reasons and routines: Legislative roles in parliamentary democracies. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 1997;3(1):155–174.

263.

Norris P. Constituency Service. Electoral engineering: voting rules and political behaviour [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2004. p. 230–246. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780511313615>

264.

Andeweg RB. Roles in Legislatures. In: Martin S, Saalfeld T, StrÅ, m KW, editors. *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies* [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2014. Available from: <http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199653010-e-025>

265.

Loewenberg G, Patterson SC. *Linkage. Comparing legislatures*. Lanham, MD: University Press of America; 1979.

266.

Norris P. The puzzle of constituency service. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 1997;3(2):29–49.

267.

Carey J, Shugart M. Incentives to cultivate a personal vote: A rank ordering of electoral formulas. *Electoral Studies*. 1995;14(4):417–439.

268.

Norton P. Introduction: Linking Parliaments and Citizens. *Parliaments and citizens in Western Europe*. London: Frank Cass; 2002. p. 1–18.

269.

Searing DD. Introduction: Roles, Rules and Rationality. *Westminster's world: understanding political roles*. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 1994. p. 1–30.

270.

Thomassen J, Esaiasson P. Role Orientations of Members of Parliament. *Acta Politica*. 2006;41(3):217–231.

271.

Johannes J. Casework as a Technique of U. S. Congressional Oversight of the Executive. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. Comparative Legislative Research Center; 1979;4(3):325–351.

272.

Power G. *Global Parliamentary Report: The changing nature of parliamentary representation* [Internet]. 2012. Available from: <http://www.ipu.org/pdf/publications/gpr2012-full-e.pdf>

273.

Rolef SH. Defining the Job of the Member of the Knesset. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2014;20(4):588–607.

274.

Best H, Vogel L. The Sociology of Legislators and Legislatures. In: Martin S, Saalfeld T, StrÅ, m KW, editors. *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies* [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2014. Available from: <http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199653010-e-003>

275.

Saalfeld T. Rational-choice theory in legislative studies: Models of politics without romanticism. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 1995;1(1):32-64.

276.

Blomgren, M. and Rozenberg, O. *Legislative Roles and Legislative Studies*. Parliamentary roles in modern legislatures [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2012. p. 8-36. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=6735baae-5536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

277.

Ward H. *Rational Choice. Theory and methods in political science*. 3rd ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2010.

278.

Pitkin H. *The Mandate-Independence Controversy. The concept of representation*. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1972.

279.

Judge D. *Trustee theory and practice. Representation: theory and practice in Britain*. London: Routledge; 1999. p. 47-69.

280.

Wood DM. *Legislators and Constituents. World encyclopedia of parliaments and legislatures*. Washington, D.C.: Congressional Quarterly; 1998.

281.

Norton, Philip. *Parliaments and citizens in Western Europe*. London: Frank Cass; 2002.

282.

Muller, Wolfgang C., Saalfeld, Thomas. *Members of parliament in Western Europe: roles and behaviour*. London: F. Cass; 1997.

283.

Kitschelt H. Linkages between Citizens and Politicians in Democratic Polities. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2000 Sept 1;33(6-7):845-879.

284.

Andre A, Depauw S, Martin S. Electoral Systems and Legislators' Constituency Effort: The Mediating Effect of Electoral Vulnerability. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2015;48(4):464-496.

285.

*Regional & Federal Studies (Special Issue: Constituency Representation, Devolution and MMP Electoral Systems: Comparative and UK Perspectives)*. 2007;17(1).

286.

Tobin Grant J, Rudolph TJ. The Job of Representation in Congress: Public Expectations and Representative Approval. *Legislative Studies Quarterly* [Internet]. Comparative Legislative Research Center; 2004;29(3):431-445. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3598561>

287.

*Legislative Studies Quarterly - Special Issue on Representative Roles*. 4(4):485-655.

288.

Bogdanor V. Introduction. Representatives of the people? Parliamentarians and constituents in Western democracies [Internet]. Aldershot: Gower; 1985. p. 1-12. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=4fa6d32d-5d36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

289.

Mayhew, David R. Congress: the electoral connection. London: Yale University Press; 1974.

290.

Cain, Bruce E., Ferejohn, John A., Fiorina, Morris P. The personal vote: constituency service and electoral independence. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 1987.

291.

Elling, Richard C. The Utility of State Legislative Casework as a Means of Oversight. Legislative Studies Quarterly . Comparative Legislative Research Center; 1979;4(3):353-379.

292.

Fenno, Richard F. Home style: House members in their districts. Glenview, Ill: Scott, Foresman and Co; 1978.

293.

Searing, D. Backbench and Leadership Roles in The House of Commons. Parliamentary Affairs. 1995;48(3):418-437.

294.

Gay O. MPs Go Back to Their Constituencies. The Political Quarterly. 2005;76(1):57-66.

295.

Carman CJ. Public Preferences for Parliamentary Representation in the UK: An Overlooked Link? Political Studies. 2006;54(1):103-122.

296.

Bengtsson A, Wass H. Styles of Political Representation: What Do Voters Expect? *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion & Parties*. 2010;20(1):55–81.

297.

Tavits M. Effect of Local Ties On Electoral Success and Parliamentary Behaviour: The Case of Estonia. *Party Politics*. 2009;16(2):215–235.

298.

Stratmann , Thomas, Baur , Martin. Plurality Rule, Proportional Representation, and the German Bundestag: How Incentives to Pork-Barrel Differ across Electoral Systems. *American Journal of Political Science*. Midwest Political Science Association; 2002;46(3):506–514.

299.

Fujimura N. The influence of electoral institutions on legislative representation: Evidence from Japan's single non-transferable vote and single-member district systems. *Party Politics*. 2015;21(2):209–221.

300.

Ingall, Rachael E., Crisp, Brian F. Determinants of Home Style: The Many Incentives for Going Home in Colombia. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. Comparative Legislative Research Center; 2001;26(3):487–512.

301.

Soroka S, Penner E, Blidook K. Constituency Influence in Parliament. *Canadian Journal of Political Science*. 2009;42(03).

302.

Martin, Shane. Using Parliamentary Questions to Measure Constituency Focus: An Application to the Irish Case. *Political Studies*. 2011;59(2):472–488.

303.

Bowler S. Private Members' Bills in the UK Parliament: Is there an 'Electoral Connection'? *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2010;16(4):476-494.

304.

*The Journal of Legislative Studies* (Special Issue: Cohesion and Discipline in Legislatures: Political Parties, Party Leadership, Parliamentary Committees and Governance). 2003;9(4).

305.

Cowley P. It's a bit more complicated than that. *The rebels: how Blair mislaid his majority*. London: Politico's; 2005. p. 21-48.

306.

Gunther, Richard, Montero, José R., Linz, Juan J. Political parties: old concepts and new challenges [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2002. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/0199246742.001.0001>

307.

Sieberer U. Party unity in parliamentary democracies: A comparative analysis. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2006;12(2):150-178.

308.

Saalfeld T, Strøm KW. Political Parties and Legislators. In: Martin S, Saalfeld T, Strøm KW, editors. *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies* [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2014. Available from: <http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199653010-e-022>

309.

Russell M. Parliamentary party cohesion: Some explanations from psychology. *Party Politics*. 2014;20(5):712-723.

310.

Carey J, Reynolds A. Parties and Accountable Government in New Democracies. *Party Politics*. 2007;13(2):255–274.

311.

Kölln AK. The value of political parties to representative democracy. *European Political Science Review*. 2014;1–21.

312.

Stokes SC. Political parties and democracy. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 1999;2(1):243–267.

313.

Cross WP, Katz RS, European Consortium for Political Research. *The challenges of intra-party democracy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013.

314.

Loxbo K. The fate of intra-party democracy: Leadership autonomy and activist influence in the mass party and the cartel party. *Party Politics*. 2013;19(4):537–554.

315.

van Biezen I. On the Internal Balance of Party Power: Party Organizations in New Democracies. *Party Politics*. 2000;6(4):395–417.

316.

Blondel J, Nousianen J. *Governments, Supporting Parties and Policy-making. The nature of party government: a comparative European perspective*. New York: Palgrave; 2000. p. 161–195.

317.

McKenzie R. Power in the Labour Party: The Issue of Intra-Party Democracy. The politics of the Labour Party. London: Allen & Unwin; 1982. p. 191-201.

318.

May JD. Opinion structure of political parties: the special law of curvilinear disparity. Political Studies. 1973;21(2):135-151.

319.

Luciano Bardi. Special issue of Party Politics on political party change. 20:151-159. Available from: <http://ppq.sagepub.com/content/20/2.toc>

320.

Knut Heidar, R. A Koole. Approaches to the study of parliamentary party groups. Parliamentary party groups in European democracies: political parties behind closed doors [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2000. p. 4-22. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=62059f7c-5336-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

321.

The Journal of Legislative Studies - Special Issue on The Uneasy Relationship Between Parliamentary Members and Leaders. 1999;5(3/4):1-332.

322.

Blondel, Jean, Cotta, Maurizio. The nature of party government: a comparative European perspective. New York: Palgrave; 2000.

323.

Krehbiel, Keith. Paradoxes of Parties in Congress. Legislative Studies Quarterly. Comparative Legislative Research Center; 1999;24(1):31-64.

324.

Laver, Michael. Divided Parties, Divided Government. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. Comparative Legislative Research Center; 1999;24(1):5-29.

325.

Katz, Richard S., Bowler, Shaun, Farrell, David M., European Consortium for Political Research. Party discipline and parliamentary government. Columbus: Ohio State University Press; 1999.

326.

Saalfeld T. Legislative Voting Behaviour. *World encyclopedia of parliaments and legislatures*. Washington, D.C.: Congressional Quarterly; 1998.

327.

Kam C. Party Discipline. In: Martin S, Saalfeld T, StrÅ, m KW, editors. *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies* [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2014. Available from: <http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199653010-e-020>

328.

Katz, Richard S. Democracy and elections [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press; 1997. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195044294.001.0001>

329.

Ozbudun, Ergun. Party cohesion in western democracies: a causal analysis. Beverly Hills, Calif: Sage Publications; 1970.

330.

Kam, Christopher J. Party discipline and parliamentary politics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2009.

331.

Coman EE. Institutions and Vote Unity in Parliaments: Evidence from 33 National Chambers. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2015;21(3):360–389.

332.

Kam C. Do Ideological Preferences Explain Parliamentary Behaviour? Evidence from Great Britain and Canada. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2001;7(4):89–126.

333.

Carey JM. Competing Principals, Political Institutions, and Party Unity in Legislative Voting. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2007;51(1):92–107.

334.

Carey, John M. *Legislative voting and accountability*. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2009.

335.

Leston-Bandeira C. Dissent in a Party-Based Parliament: The Portuguese Case. *Party Politics*. 2009;15(6):695–713.

336.

Kunicova J, Remington TF. Mandates, Parties and Dissent: Effect of Electoral Rules on Parliamentary Party Cohesion in the Russian State Duma, 1994-2003. *Party Politics*. 2008;14(5):555–574.

337.

Rich TS. Party Voting Cohesion in Mixed Member Legislative Systems: Evidence from Korea and Taiwan. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 2014;39(1):113–135.

338.

Olivella S, Tavits M. Legislative Effects of Electoral Mandates. *British Journal of Political*

Science. 2014 Apr;44(02):301–321.

339.

Tavits M. The Making of Mavericks: Local Loyalties and Party Defection. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2009;42(6):793–815.

340.

Cowley, Philip. *Revolts and rebellions: parliamentary voting under Blair*. London: Politico's; 2002.

341.

Cowley, Philip. *Conscience and parliament*. London: F. Cass; 1998.

342.

Vivyan N, Wagner M. Do voters reward rebellion? The electoral accountability of MPs in Britain. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2012;51(2):235–264.

343.

Sieberer U. Using MP statements to explain voting behaviour in the German Bundestag: An individual level test of the Competing Principals Theory. *Party Politics*. 2015;21(2):284–294.

344.

Ceron A. Brave rebels stay home: Assessing the effect of intra-party ideological heterogeneity and party whip on roll-call votes. *Party Politics*. 2015;21(2):246–258.

345.

Ansolabehere S, Jones PE. Constituents' Responses to Congressional Roll-Call Voting. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2010;54(3):583–597.

346.

Carson JL, Koger G, Lebo MJ, Young E. The Electoral Costs of Party Loyalty in Congress. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2010;54(3):598–616.

347.

Garner, Christopher, Letki, Natalia. Party Structure and Backbench Dissent in the Canadian and British Parliaments. *Canadian Journal of Political Science*. Canadian Political Science Association; 2005;38(2):463–482.

348.

Skjaeveland A. Party Cohesion in the Danish Parliament. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2001;7(2):35–56.

349.

Skjaeveland A. A Danish Party Cohesion Cycle. *Scandinavian Political Studies*. 1999;22(2):121–136.

350.

Carey, John M. Discipline, Accountability, and Legislative Voting in Latin America. *Comparative Politics*. Ph.D. Program in Political Science of the City University of New York; 2003;35(2):191–211.

351.

Hagopian F, Gervasoni C, Moraes JA. From Patronage to Program: The Emergence of Party-Oriented Legislators in Brazil. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2008;42(3):360–391.

352.

Lyne MM. Proffering Pork: How Party Leaders Build Party Reputations in Brazil. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2008;52(2):290–303.

353.

Rahat G. Determinants of Party Cohesion: Evidence from the Case of the Israeli Parliament. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2007;60(2):279–296.

354.

Clinton JD. Using Roll Call Estimates to Test Models of Politics. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2012;15(1):79–99.

355.

Hug S. Selection Effects in Roll Call Votes. *British Journal of Political Science*. 2009;40(01).

356.

Roberts J. The Statistical Analysis Of Roll-Call Data: A Cautionary Tale. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 2007;32(3):341–360.

357.

Carroll R, Poole KT. Roll-Call Analysis and the Study of Legislatures. In: Martin S, Saalfeld T, StrÅ, m KW, editors. *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies* [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2014. Available from: <http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199653010-e-026>

358.

Scarrow SE. Beyond party members: changing approaches to partisan mobilization [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2015. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199661862.001.0001>

359.

Rosenblum, Nancy L. On the side of the angels: an appreciation of parties and

partisanship. Oxford: Princeton University Press; 2008.

360.

Pettitt RT. Contemporary party politics. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2014.

361.

Mair P. The Challenge to Party Government. West European Politics. 2008;31(1-2):211-234.

362.

Mair P, Katz R. Party organizations: from civil society to the state. How parties organize: change and adaptation in party organizations in Western democracies. London: Sage Publications; 1994. p. 1-22.

363.

Ware A. Party organizations. Political parties and party systems [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1996. p. 93-123. Available from:  
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=9ffbe153-4d36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

364.

R. Dalton, D. Farrell, I. McAllister. Party policies and policy outputs. Political parties and democratic linkage: how parties organize democracy [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011. p. 193-212. Available from:  
<http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:osobl/9780199599356.001.0001/acprof-9780199599356>

365.

Whiteley PF. Is the party over? The decline of party activism and membership across the democratic world. Party Politics. 2010;17(1):21-44.

366.

Allern EH, Pedersen K. The impact of party organisational changes on democracy. *West European Politics*. 2007;30(1):68–92.

367.

Katz RS. *Party Government and its Alternatives*. Party governments: European and American experiences. Berlin: W. de Gruyter; 1987.

368.

Katz RS, Mair P. Changing Models of Party Organization and Party Democracy: The Emergence of the Cartel Party. *Party Politics*. 1995;1(1):5–28.

369.

Katz RS, Mair P. The Cartel Party Thesis: A Restatement. *Perspectives on Politics*. 2009;7(04).

370.

Scarrow SE. *Parties and Their Members : Organising for Victory in Britain and Germany*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.

371.

Panbianco, Angelo. *Political parties: organization and power*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1988.

372.

Michels, Robert. *Political parties: a sociological study of the oligarchical tendencies of modern democracy*. New York: Free Press; 1962.

373.

Mair, Peter. *The West European party system*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1990.

374.

Norris P. May's Law of Curvilinear Disparity Revisited: Leaders, Officers, Members and Voters in British Political Parties. *Party Politics*. 1995;1(1):29-47.

375.

Kennedy F, Lyons P, Fitzgerald P. Pragmatists, Ideologues and the General Law of Curvilinear Disparity: The Case of the Irish Labour Party. *Political Studies*. 2006;54(4):786-805.

376.

Carty RK, Cross W. Can Stratarchically Organized Parties be Democratic? The Canadian Case. *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion & Parties*. 2006;16(2):93-114.

377.

Luther, Kurt Richard, Muller-Rommel, Ferdinand. *Political parties in the new Europe: political and analytical challenges*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2002.

378.

Tavits M. Power within Parties: The Strength of the Local Party and MP Independence in Postcommunist Europe. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2011;55(4):923-936.

379.

Gauja A. The construction of party membership. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2015;54(2):232-248.

380.

Gauja A. The Individualisation of Party Politics: The Impact of Changing Internal Decision-Making Processes on Policy Development and Citizen Engagement. *The British Journal of Politics & International Relations*. 2014;n/a-n/a.

381.

Pedersen HH. Differences and Changes in Danish Party Organisations: Central Party Organisation versus Parliamentary Party Group Power. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2010;16(2):233-250.

382.

Holliday, Ian, Webb, Paul, Farrell, David M. *Political parties in advanced industrial democracies*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2002.

383.

Rose, Richard. *Do parties make a difference? Expanded 2nd ed*. London: Macmillan; 1984.

384.

Shaw M. *Parliamentary committees: a global perspective. The new roles of parliamentary committees*. London: Frank Cass; 1998. p. 225-251.

385.

Russo F, Wiberg M. *Parliamentary Questioning in 17 European Parliaments: Some Steps towards Comparison*. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2010;16(2):215-232.

386.

Müller WC, Sieberer U. *Procedure and Rules in Legislatures*. In: Martin S, Saalfeld T, Strøm KW, editors. *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies* [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2014. Available from: <http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199653010-e-023>

387.

Wehner J. *Legislatures and Public Finance*. In: Martin S, Saalfeld T, Strøm KW, editors. *The*

Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2014. Available from:  
<http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199653010-e-017>

388.

Shaw, Malcolm N., Lees, J. D. Committees in Legislatures. 1979.

389.

Arter D. Committee cohesion and the 'corporate dimension' of parliamentary committees: A comparative analysis. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2003;9(4):73-87.

390.

Pelizzo, Riccardo and Stapenhurst, Frederick. Legislative oversight tools. *Parliamentary oversight tools: a comparative analysis* [Internet]. Abingdon: Routledge; 2012. p. 28-56-157-159. Available from:  
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=78bb01cd-5536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

391.

Parliamentary Questions. *The Journal of Legislative Studies* [Internet]. 2011;17(3):259-270. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/toc/fjls20/17/3?nav=toCList>

392.

Martin S. Committees. In: Martin S, Saalfeld T, StrÄm KW, editors. *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies* [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2014. Available from:  
<http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199653010-e-006>

393.

Shaw M. *Legislative Committees*. World encyclopedia of parliaments and legislatures. Washington, D.C.: Congressional Quarterly; 1998.

394.

Mattson I, Strom K. Committee effects on legislation. Patterns of parliamentary behavior: passage of legislation across Western Europe. Aldershot, Hants: Ashgate; 2004. p. 91–111.

395.

Making an Impact: Effective Parliamentary Committees | Global Partners Governance [Internet]. 2014. Available from: <http://www.gpgovernance.net/publication/making-an-impact-effective-parliamentary-committees/>

396.

Davidson, Roger H., Longley, Lawrence D. The new roles of parliamentary committees. London: Frank Cass; 1998.

397.

Shaw, Malcolm N., Lees, John D. Committees in legislatures: a comparative analysis. [Durham, N.C.]: Duke University Press; 1979.

398.

Shepsle, Kenneth A., Weingast, Barry R. Positive Theories of Congressional Institutions. Legislative Studies Quarterly . Comparative Legislative Research Center; 1994;19(2):149–179.

399.

Olson, David M., Crowther, William E. Committees in post-Communist democratic parliaments: comparative institutionalization. Columbus, Ohio: The Ohio State University Press; 2002.

400.

Khmelko IS, Pigenko And VA, Wise CR. Assessing Committee Roles in a Developing Legislature: The Case of the Ukrainian Parliament. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2007;13(2):210-234.

401.

Monk D. A Framework for Evaluating the Performance of Committees in Westminster Parliaments. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2010;16(1):1-13.

402.

Arter D. From 'Parliamentary Control' to 'Accountable Government'? The Role of Public Committee Hearings in the Swedish Riksdag. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2008;61(1):122-143.

403.

Cairney P. The analysis of Scottish Parliament committee influence: Beyond capacity and structure in comparing West European legislatures. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2006;45(2):181-208.

404.

Kim DH, Loewenberg G. The Role of Parliamentary Committees in Coalition Governments: Keeping Tabs on Coalition Partners in the German Bundestag. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2005;38(9):1104-1129.

405.

Curini L, Zucchini F. The institutional foundations of committee cohesion in a (changing) parliamentary democracy. *European Political Science Review*. 2013;1-21.

406.

Rahman, Taiabur. *Parliamentary control and government accountability in South Asia: a comparative analysis of Bangladesh, India and Sri Lanka*. London: Routledge; 2008.

407.

Fenno, Richard F., University of California, Berkeley. *Congressmen in committees*. Boston: Little, Brown and Company; 1973.

408.

Paper 4. Show Me the Money. Improving financial oversight. | Global Partners Governance [Internet]. 2014. Available from:  
<http://www.gpgovernance.net/publication/paper-4-show-me-the-money/>

409.

Manning N, Stapenhurst R. *Strengthening Oversight by Legislatures* [Internet]. 2002. Available from:  
<https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/11336/267480premnote74.pdf?sequence=1>

410.

Schick A. *Can National Legislatures Regain an Effective Voice in Budget Policy?* *Journal on Budgeting* [Internet]. 2002;1(3). Available from:  
<http://www.oecd.org/gov/budgeting/43514045.pdf>

411.

Wehner J. *Back from the Sidelines? Redefining the Contribution of Legislatures to the Budget Cycle* [Internet]. World Bank Institute. 2006. Available from:  
[http://www-wds.worldbank.org/external/default/WDSContentServer/WDSP/IB/2004/06/23/00009486\\_20040623161800/Rendered/PDF/286150Sidelines0WBI0WP.pdf](http://www-wds.worldbank.org/external/default/WDSContentServer/WDSP/IB/2004/06/23/00009486_20040623161800/Rendered/PDF/286150Sidelines0WBI0WP.pdf)

412.

Stapenhurst, et al. R. *Legislative Oversight and Budgeting: A World Perspective* [Internet]. 2008. Available from:  
<https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/6547/456270PUB0Box3101OFFICIAL0USE0ONLY1.pdf?sequence=1>

413.

Messick R. Strengthening Legislatures: Implications from Industrial Countries [Internet]. 2002. Available from: <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/11354/multi0page.pdf?sequence=1>

414.

Pelizzo R, Kinyondo A. Public Accounts Committees in Eastern and Southern Africa: A Comparative Analysis. *Politics & Policy*. 2014;42(1):77–102.

415.

Santiso C. Legislatures and Budget Oversight in Latin America: Strengthening Public Finance Accountability in Emerging Economies. *Journal on Budgeting* [Internet]. 2004;4(2). Available from: <http://www.oecd.org/gov/budgeting/43488676.pdf>

416.

Soroka S, Penner E, Blidook K. Constituency Influence in Parliament. *Canadian Journal of Political Science*. 2009;42(03).

417.

Vliegenthart R, Walgrave S. Content Matters: The Dynamics of Parliamentary Questioning in Belgium and Denmark. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2011;44(8):1031–1059.

418.

Bates SR, Kerr P, Byrne C, Stanley L. Questions to the Prime Minister: A Comparative Study of PMQs from Thatcher to Cameron. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2014;67(2):253–280.

419.

Bevan S, John P. Policy Representation by Party Leaders and Followers: What Drives UK Prime Minister's Questions. *Government and Opposition*. 2015;1–25.

420.

Wiberg M. Chapter 6 Parliamentary Questioning: Control by Communication? In: Doring H, editor. Parliaments and Majority Rule in Western Europe [Internet]. University of Mannheim: Mannheim Centre for European Social Research (MZES); 1995. Available from: <https://www.uni-potsdam.de/fileadmin01/projects/vergleichende-politikwissenschaft/D%C3%B6ring/PMR-W-Europe.pdf>

421.

Salmond R. Parliamentary Question Times: How Legislative Accountability Mechanisms Affect Mass Political Engagement. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2014;20(3):321-341.

422.

Rasch BE. Institutional Foundations of Legislative Agenda-Setting. In: Martin S, Saalfeld T, StrÅ, m KW, editors. *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies* [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2014. Available from: <http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199653010-e-010>

423.

Doring H. Time as a Scarce Resource: Government Control of the Agenda. *Parliaments and Majority Rule in Western Europe* [Internet]. New York: St. Martin's Press; 1995. Available from: <https://www.uni-potsdam.de/fileadmin01/projects/vergleichende-politikwissenschaft/D%C3%B6ring/PMR-W-Europe.pdf>

424.

Rasch, Bjorn Erik. Parliamentary Floor Voting Procedures and Agenda Setting in Europe. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. Comparative Legislative Research Center; 2000;25(1):3-23.

425.

Loewenberg G. Agenda-setting in the German Bundestag: origins and consequences of party dominance. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2003;9(3):17-31.

426.

Brauninger T, Debus M. Legislative agenda-setting in parliamentary democracies. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2009;48(6):804–839.

427.

Zucchini F. Government alternation and legislative agenda setting. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2011;50(6):749–774.

428.

Russell, Meg, Paun, Akash, University College, London. The House rules? International lessons for enhancing the autonomy of the House of Commons [Internet]. London: Constitution Unit, Department of Political Science, UCL; 2007. Available from: <http://www.ucl.ac.uk/spp/publications/unit-publications/142.pdf>

429.

Uhr, John. How Democratic is Parliament? *Democratic Audit of Australia*; 2005.

430.

Norton P. Playing by the Rules: The Constraining Hand of Parliamentary Procedure. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2001;7(3):13–33.

431.

*The Journal of Legislative Studies* (Special Issue: Comparing and Classifying Legislatures). 2006;12(3–4).

432.

Lukes S. Power: a radical view [Internet]. 2nd ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2005. Available from: <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=197447&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s8454451>

433.

Strøm K, Bergman T, Müller WC. Delegation and accountability in parliamentary democracies. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.

434.

Olson DM, Mezey ML, editors. Legislatures in the Policy Process: The Dilemmas of Economic Policy [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1991. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511521621>

435.

Saiegh SM. LawMaking. In: Martin S, Saalfeld T, StrÅ, m KW, editors. The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2014. Available from: <http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199653010-e-012>

436.

Russell M, Benton M. Assessing the Policy Impact of Parliament: Methodological Challenges and Possible Future Approaches [Internet]. 2009. Available from: <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/b191/3bce50cbc3ed8780ac51a8f13b9d1b89d585.pdf>

437.

Hage FM, Kaeding M. Reconsidering the European Parliament's Legislative Influence: Formal vs. Informal Procedures. *Journal of European Integration*. 2007;29(3):341–361.

438.

Benton M, Russell M. Assessing the Impact of Parliamentary Oversight Committees: The Select Committees in the British House of Commons. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2012;

439.

Russell M, Gover D, Wollter K. Does the Executive Dominate the Westminster Legislative Process?: Six Reasons for Doubt. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2015;

440.

Shephard M, Cairney P. The Impact of the Scottish Parliament in Amending Executive Legislation. *Political Studies*. 2005;53(2):303-319.

441.

Russell M, Benton M. (Re)assessing Parliamentary Policy Impact: The Case of the Australian Senate. *Australian Journal of Political Science*. 2010;45(2):159-174.

442.

Clinton JD, Lewis DE, Selin JL. Influencing the Bureaucracy: The Irony of Congressional Oversight. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2014;58(2):387-401.

443.

Manow P, Burkhart S. Legislative Self-Restraint Under Divided Government In Germany, 1976-2002. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 2007;32(2):167-191.

444.

Martin LW, Vanberg G. Parliaments and Coalitions: The Role of Legislative Institutions in Multiparty Governance. *Oxford Scholarship Online*. Oxford Scholarship; 2011.

445.

Blidook K. Exploring the Role of 'Legislators' in Canada: Do Members of Parliament Influence Policy? *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2010;16(1):32-56.

446.

Kreppel A. Moving Beyond Procedure: An Empirical Analysis of European Parliament Legislative Influence. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2002;35(7):784-813.

447.

Kalitowski S. Rubber Stamp or Cockpit? The Impact of Parliament on Government Legislation. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2008;61(4):694–708.

448.

Griffith, J. A. G., *Political and Economic Planning, Study of Parliament Group. Parliamentary scrutiny of Government bills*. London: Allen and Unwin [for] PEP and the Study of Parliament Group; 1974.

449.

Thompson L. More of the Same or a Period of Change? The Impact of Bill Committees in the Twenty-First Century House of Commons. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2013 July 1;66(3):459–479.

450.

Tsebelis G. *Governments and Parliaments. Veto players: how political institutions work*. New York: Princeton University Press; 2002.

451.

Olson D. *Legislatures in the Policy Process. Democratic legislative institutions: a comparative view*. Armonk, N.Y.: M.E. Sharpe; 1994.

452.

Loewenberg, Gerhard, Patterson, Samuel Charles. *Comparing legislatures*. Lanham, MD: University Press of America; 1979.

453.

Hindmoor A, Larkin P, Kennon A. Assessing the Influence of Select Committees in the UK: The Education and Skills Committee, 1997-2005. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2009;15(1):71–89.

454.

Richard L. Hall. Measuring Legislative Influence. *Legislative Studies Quarterly* [Internet]. Comparative Legislative Research Center; 1992;17(2):205–231. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/info/440058>

455.

Whitmore S. Challenges and constraints for post-Soviet committees: exploring the impact of parties on committees in Ukraine. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2006;12(1):32–53.

456.

De Giorgi E, Marangoni F. Government laws and the opposition parties' behaviour in parliament. *Acta Politica*. 2015;50(1):64–81.

457.

Bolton A, Thrower S. Legislative Capacity and Executive Unilateralism. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2015;n/a-n/a.

458.

Jenkins JA, Monroe NW. On Measuring Legislative Agenda-Setting Power. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2015;n/a-n/a.

459.

Chiou FY, Rothenberg LS. The Elusive Search for Presidential Power. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2014;58(3):653–668.

460.

Liebert U, Cotta M. Parliament as a central site in democratic consolidation. *Parliament and democratic consolidation in Southern Europe: Greece, Italy, Portugal, Spain and Turkey*. London: Pinter; 1990. p. 3–30.

461.

Fish MS. Stronger Legislatures, Stronger Democracies. *Journal of Democracy*. 2006;17(1):5–20.

462.

Overseas Development Institute - Parliamentary Strengthening and the Paris Principles. 2007.

463.

Paper 1. Why Engage With Parliaments? International assistance and parliamentary strengthening - an overview. | Global Partners Governance [Internet]. 2013. Available from:

<https://www.google.com/url?sa=i&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&mp;cd=&cad=rja&uact=8&ved=0CDgQw7AJahcKEwjw2uOtmDKAAxUAAAAAHQAAAAQAw&url=https%3A%2F%2Fgpgovernance.net%2Fwp-content%2Fuploads%2F2021%2F02%2FGuide-to-Parliaments.-Paper-1.pdf&psig=AOvVaw3qh0yqgQDD4CcEMTpN4yDy&ust=1691760564108513&opi=89978449>

464.

Kopecky P. Introduction: transition, consolidation and parliamentary institutionalisation. *Parliaments in the Czech and Slovak republics: party competition and parliamentary institutionalization*. Aldershot: Ashgate; 2001. p. 1–21.

465.

Norton P, Leston-Bandeira C. The impact of democratic practice on the parliaments of Southern Europe. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2003;9(2):177–185.

466.

Mishler, William, Rose, Richard. Support for Parliaments and Regimes in the Transition toward Democracy in Eastern Europe. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. Comparative Legislative Research Center; 1994;19(1):5–32.

467.

Kinyondo A, Pelizzo R. Strengthening Legislatures: Some Lessons from the Pacific Region. *Politics & Policy* [Internet]. 2013;41(3). Available from: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/polp.12020/abstract>

468.

Schuler P, Malesky E. Authoritarian Legislatures. *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies* [Internet]. 2014. Available from: <http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199653010-e-004>

469.

Power G. The Politics of Parliamentary Strengthening: Understanding political incentives and institutional behaviour in parliamentary support strategies [Internet]. 2011. Available from: <http://www.gpgovernance.net/publication/the-politics-of-parliamentary-strengthening/>

470.

Musolf, Lloyd D., Kornberg, Allan, American Society for Public Administration. *Legislatures in developmental perspective*. Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press; 1970.

471.

Pakenham, R. A. *Legislatures and political development*. Legislatures. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1970.

472.

World Bank Parliamentary Strengthening Programme [Internet]. Available from: <http://siteresources.worldbank.org/PSGLP/Resources/parliament.pdf>

473.

Engaging and Supporting Parliaments Worldwide: Strategies and Methodologies for EC Action in Support to Parliaments [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.agora-parl.org/resources/library/engaging-and-supporting-parliaments-worldwide-strategies-and-methodologies-ec>

474.

Report from the UK House of Commons International Development committee on parliamentary strengthening [Internet]. 2015. Available from: <http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201415/cmselect/cmintdev/704/704.pdf#>

475.

Parliamentary Strengthening: Government Response to the International Development Committee's Ninth Report of Session 2014-15 [Internet]. 2015. Available from: <http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201415/cmselect/cmintdev/1125/112504.htm#a2>

476.

Bergman, Torbjorn, Muller, Wolfgang C., Strom, Kaare. Challenges to Parliamentary Democracy. Delegation and accountability in parliamentary democracies [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1093/019829784X.001.0001>

477.

Andrews JT. Legislatures in Central and Eastern Europe. In: Martin S, Saalfeld T, StrÅ, m KW, editors. The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2014. Available from: <http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199653010-e-018>

478.

Olson DM. The Parliaments of New Democracies: The Experience of Central Europe. World encyclopedia of parliaments and legislatures. Washington, D.C.: Congressional Quarterly; 1998.

479.

Norton P, Olson DM. Post-Communist and Post-Soviet Legislatures: Beyond Transition. The Journal of Legislative Studies. 2007;13(1):1-11.

480.

The Journal of Legislative Studies - Special Issue on Post-Communist Parliaments: Change and Stability in the Second Decade. 2011;17(2).

481.

Carey, John M., Formanek, Frantisek, Karpowicz, Ewa. Legislative Autonomy in New Regimes: The Czech and Polish Cases. Legislative Studies Quarterly. Comparative Legislative Research Center; 1999;24(4):569-603.

482.

Remington, Thomas F. Parliaments in transition: the new legislative politics in the former USSR and Eastern Europe. Boulder, Colo: Westview Press;1994.

483.

Ostrow, Joel M. Comparing post-Soviet legislatures: a theory of institutional design and political conflict. Columbus, OH: Ohio State University Press; 2000.

484.

Chiva C. The Institutionalisation of Post-Communist Parliaments: Hungary and Romania in Comparative Perspective. Parliamentary Affairs. 2007;60(2):187-211.

485.

Barkan JD. Legislatures on the Rise? Journal of Democracy. 2008;19(2):124-137.

486.

Barkan JD. African Legislatures and the 'third wave' of democratization. Legislative power in emerging African democracies [Internet]. Boulder: Lynne Rienner; 2009. p. 1-31.

Available from:

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=e330302f-7f36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

487.

Africa All Party Parliamentary Group. Strengthening Parliaments in Africa: Improving Support. London: Africa All Party Parliamentary Group; 2008.

488.

Inter-Parliamentary Union. Ten Years of Strengthening Parliaments in Africa 1991-2000: Lessons Learnt and the Way Forward. Geneva: Inter-Parliamentary Union; 2003.

489.

Johnson J, Nakamura R. A Concept Paper on Legislatures and Good Governance. UNDP; 1999.

490.

Johnson JK. The Role of Parliament in Government. Washington, D.C.: World Bank; 2005.

491.

Nijzink L, Mozaffar S, Azevedo E. Parliaments and the enhancement of democracy on the African continent: An analysis of institutional capacity and public perceptions. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2006;12(3-4):311-335.

492.

Azevedo-Harman E. Parliaments in Africa: Representative Institutions in the Land of the 'Big Man'. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2011;17(1):65-85.

493.

Salih, Mohamed Abdel Rahim M. African parliaments: between governance and government. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2005.

494.

The difficult development of parliamentary politics in the Gulf: Parliaments and the process of managed reform in Kuwait, Bahrain and Oman | Global Partners Governance [Internet]. 2012. Available from: <http://www.gpggovernance.net/publication/politics-in-gulf/>

495.

The Journal of Legislative Studies - Special Issue on Southern European Parliaments in Democracy. 2003;9(2).

496.

Leston-Bandeira C. The Portuguese parliament during the first two decades of democracy. West European Politics. 2001;24(1):137-156.

497.

Close, David. Legislatures and the new democracies in Latin America. Boulder: L. Rienner Publishers; 1995.