

# PUBLG057: Parliaments, Political Parties and Policy Making

Professor Meg Russell

View Online



1.

Loewenberg, Gerhard, Patterson, Samuel Charles: Comparing legislatures. University Press of America, Lanham, MD (1979).

2.

Olson, David M.: Democratic legislative institutions: a comparative view. M.E. Sharpe, Armonk, N.Y. (1994).

3.

Norton, Philip: Legislatures and legislators. Ashgate, Aldershot (1998).

4.

Norton, Philip: Legislatures. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1990).

5.

Norton, Philip: Parliaments in Western Europe. Cass, London (1990).

6.

Patterson, Samuel Charles, Copeland, Gary W.: Parliaments in the modern world: changing institutions. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor (1994).

7.

Loewenberg, G.: On legislatures: the puzzle of representation. Paradigm Publishers, Boulder, CO (2011).

8.

Fish, M. Steven, Kroenig, Matthew: The handbook of national legislatures: a global survey. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2009).

9.

Martin, S., Saalfeld, T., Strøm, K. eds: The Oxford handbook of legislative studies. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

10.

Davidson, Roger H.: The postreform Congress. St. Martin's Press, New York (1992).

11.

McCubbins, Mathew D., Sullivan, Terry: Congress: structure and policy. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1987).

12.

Dodd, Lawrence C.: Thinking about Congress: essays on Congressional change. Routledge, London (2012).

13.

Power, Timothy J., Rae, Nicol C.: Exporting Congress?: the influence of the U.S. Congress on world legislatures. University of Pittsburgh Press, Pittsburgh, PA (2006).

14.

Norton, P.: Parliament in British politics. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2013).

15.

Rush, Michael: Parliament today. Manchester University Press, Manchester (2005).

16.

Kopecky, Petr: Parliaments in the Czech and Slovak republics: party competition and parliamentary institutionalization. Ashgate, Aldershot (2001).

17.

Olson, David M., Norton, Philip: The new parliaments of Central and Eastern Europe. Frank Cass, London (1996).

18.

Ostrow, Joel M.: Comparing post-Soviet legislatures: a theory of institutional design and political conflict. Ohio State University Press, Columbus, OH (2000).

19.

Uhr, John: Deliberative democracy in Australia: the changing place of Parliament. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1998).

20.

Bach, S.: Platypus and Parliament: The Australian Senate in Theory and Practice. Department of the Senate, Canberra (2003).

21.

Patapan, Haig, Wanna, John, Weller, Patrick Moray: Westminster legacies: democracy and responsible government in Asia and the Pacific. UNSW Press, Sydney (2005).

22.

Norton, Philip, Ahmed, Nizam U.: Parliaments in Asia. Frank Cass, London (1999).

23.

B. L. Shankar, Valerian Rodrigues: The Indian Parliament : a democracy at work. (2011).

24.

Rahman, Taiabur: Parliamentary control and government accountability in South Asia: a comparative analysis of Bangladesh, India and Sri Lanka. Routledge, London (2008).

25.

Ruland, Jurgen, Institute of Southeast Asian Studies: Parliaments and political change in Asia. Institute of Southeast Asian Studies, Singapore (2005).

26.

Shankar, B. L., Rodrigues, Valerian: The Indian Parliament: a democracy at work. Oxford University Press, New Delhi (2011).

27.

Barkan, Joel D.: Legislative power in emerging African democracies. Lynne Rienner, Boulder (2009).

28.

Salih, Mohamed Abdel Rahim M.: African parliaments: between governance and government. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2005).

29.

Close, David: Legislatures and the new democracies in Latin America. L. Rienner Publishers, Boulder (1995).

30.

Morgenstern, Scott, Nacif, Benito: Legislative politics in Latin America. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2002).

31.

Esaiasson, Peter, Heidar, Knut: Beyond Westminster and Congress: the Nordic experience. Ohio State University Press, Columbus, OH (2000).

32.

Matthews, Donald R., Valen, Henry: Parliamentary representation: the case of the Norwegian Storting. Ohio State University Press, Columbus [Ohio] (1999).

33.

Arter, David: The Nordic parliaments: a comparative analysis. Hurst, London (1984).

34.

Damgaard, Erik: Parliamentary change in the Nordic countries. Scandinavian University Press, New York (1992).

35.

Landman, T.: Comparing Many Countries. In: Issues and methods in comparative politics: an introduction. pp. 51-65. Routledge, London (2003).

36.

Landman, T.: Issues and methods in comparative politics: an introduction. Routledge, Abingdon, Oxon (2017).

37.

Dogan, Mattei, Pelassy, Dominique: How to compare nations: strategies in comparative politics. Chatham House, Chatham, N.J (1990).

38.

Burnham, P., Gilland, K., Grant, W., Layton-Henry, Z.: Comparative Methodology. In: Research methods in politics. pp. 58–79. Palgrave, Basingstoke (2004).

39.

Sartori, G.: Compare why and how. In: Comparing nations: concepts, strategies, substance. pp. 14–34. Blackwell, Oxford (1994).

40.

Sartori, G.: Compare why and how. In: Comparing nations: concepts, strategies, substance. Blackwell, Oxford (1994).

41.

Sartori, Giovanni: Concept Misformation in Comparative Politics. The American Political Science Review. 64, 1033–1053 (1970).

42.

Lijphart, Arendt: The Comparable-Cases Strategy in Comparative Research. Comparative political studies. 8, 158–177 (1975).

43.

Lijphart, Arend: Comparative Politics and the Comparative Method. The American Political Science Review. 65, 682–693 (1971).

44.

Nassmacher, H.: The Dilemma of Depth Versus Breadth in Comparing Political Systems Empirically...and How to Overcome It. European Political Science. 7, 113–125 (2008).

<https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210179>.

45.

Legislative Studies Quarterly .

46.

The Journal of Legislative Studies.

47.

Parliamentary Affairs .

48.

Party Politics.

49.

The Parliamentarian - The Journal of Commonwealth Parliamentarians and Legislatures.  
Commonwealth Parliamentary Association.

50.

Constitutional and parliamentary information | ASGP,  
<http://asgp.co/constitutional-and-parliamentary-information>.

51.

Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU).

52.

International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (IDEA).

53.

Research and Education – Parliament of Australia,  
[http://www.aph.gov.au/About\\_Parliament/Senate/Research\\_and\\_Education](http://www.aph.gov.au/About_Parliament/Senate/Research_and_Education).

54.

Legislative Studies Section | The American Political Science Association,  
<http://legislativestudies.org/>.

55.

Parliaments specialist group | The Political Studies Association (PSA),  
<https://psaparliaments.org/>.

56.

Commonwealth Parliamentary Association, <https://www.cpahq.org/>.

57.

UK Parliament, <http://www.parliament.uk/>.

58.

Mezey, M.: Defining the Legislature. In: Comparative legislatures. pp. 3–20. Duke University Press, Durham, N.C. (1979).

59.

Mezey, M.: Classifying legislatures. In: Legislatures. pp. 149–176. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1979).

60.

Mezey, M.: Classifying Legislatures. In: Comparative legislatures. pp. 149–176. Duke University Press, Durham, N.C. (1979).

61.

Norton, P.: Introduction: The institution of parliaments. In: Parliaments and governments in Western Europe. pp. 1–15. Frank Cass, London (1998).

62.

Loewenberg, Gerhard, Patterson, Samuel Charles: Functions of Legislatures. In: Comparing legislatures. University Press of America, Lanham, MD (1979).

63.

Olson, D.: Legislatures in Today's Democracies. In: Democratic legislative institutions: a comparative view. M.E. Sharpe, Armonk, N.Y. (1994).

64.

Hague, R., Harrop, M.: Legislatures. In: Comparative government and politics: an introduction. pp. 247–267. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2004).

65.

Blondel, J.: Representation: legislatures and parliaments. In: Comparative government: an introduction. pp. 248–266. Pearson Education, Harlow (1995).

66.

Paper 2. How Parliaments Work: Parliamentary processes and procedures. | Global Partners Governance,  
<https://gpgovernance.net/publications/guide-to-parliaments-paper-2-how-parliaments-work-parliamentary-processes-and-procedures/>.

67.

Kreppel, A.: Legislatures. In: Comparative politics. pp. 113–130. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

68.

Martin, S., Saalfeld, T., Strøm, K.W.: Introduction. In: Martin, S., Saalfeld, T., and Strøm, K.W. (eds) The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies. Oxford University Press (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.013.0001>.

69.

Kreppel, A.: Typologies and Classifications. In: Martin, S., Saalfeld, T., and Strøm, K.W. (eds) The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies. Oxford University Press (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.013.0032>.

70.

Copeland, G., Patterson, S.C.: Parliaments and Legislatures. In: World encyclopedia of parliaments and legislatures. Congressional Quarterly, Washington, D.C. (1998).

71.

Judge, D.: Legislative Institutionalization: A Bent Analytical Arrow? Government and Opposition. 38, 497–516 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1477-7053.t01-1-00026>.

72.

Rhodes, R.A.W., Binder, S.A., Rockman, B.A.: The Oxford handbook of political institutions. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2008).

73.

Laver, M.: Legislatures and Parliaments in Comparative Context. In: The Oxford handbook of political institutions. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2006).

74.

Mezey, M. L.: Legislatures: Individual Purpose and Institutional Performance. In: Political science: the state of the discipline. W.W. Norton, London (2002).

75.

Polsby, N.: Legislatures. In: Handbook of Political Science. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1975).

76.

Power, G.: Annex to the IPU's Global Parliamentary Report,  
<http://www.ipu.org/pdf/publications/gpr2012-full-e.pdf>.

77.

Hibbing, John R.: Legislative Institutionalization with Illustrations from the British House of Commons. *American Journal of Political Science*. 32, 681–712 (1988).

78.

Owens, J.E., Loomis, B.A.: Qualified exceptionalism: The US Congress in comparative perspective. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 12, 258–290 (2006).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13572330600875464>.

79.

Polsby, N.: The Institutionalization of the US House of Representatives. In: *Legislatures and legislators*. pp. 76–101. Ashgate, Aldershot (1998).

80.

Norton, P.: *Parliaments: A Framework for Analysis*. In: *Parliaments in Western Europe*. Cass, London (1990).

81.

Gamm, G., Huber, J.: *Legislatures as Political Institutions: Beyond the Contemporary*

Congress. In: Political science: the state of the discipline. W.W. Norton, London (2002).

82.

Przeworski, A., Asadurian, T., Thomas Bohlken, A.: The origins of parliamentary responsibility. In: Comparative constitutional design. Cambridge University Press, New York (2012).

83.

Lijphart, Arend: Introduction . In: Parliamentary versus presidential government. pp. 1-27. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1992).

84.

Elgie, R.: From Linz to Tsebelis: three waves of presidential/parliamentary studies? Democratization. 12, 106-122 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1080/1351034042000317989>.

85.

King, Anthony: Modes of Executive-Legislative Relations: Great Britain, France, and West Germany. Legislative Studies Quarterly. 1, (1976).

86.

M. S., S.: Comparative Executive-Legislative Relations. In: The Oxford handbook of political institutions. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2006).

87.

Sartori , G.: Presidentialism. In: Comparative constitutional engineering: an inquiry into structures, incentives and outcomes. pp. 83-100. Macmillan Press, Basingstoke (1997).

88.

Lijphart, A.: Presidentialism and majoritarian democracy. In: The failure of presidential democracy: Vol. 1: Comparative perspectives. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore (1994).

89.

Linz, J. J.: The Virtues of Parliamentarism. *Journal of Democracy*. 1, 84–91 (1990).

90.

Linz, J.J.: The Perils of Presidentialism. *Journal of Democracy*. 1, 51–69 (1990).

91.

Stepan, A., Skach, C.: Constitutional Frameworks and Democratic Consolidation: Parliamentarianism versus Presidentialism. *World Politics*. 46, (1993).  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/2950664>.

92.

Shugart, M.S., Carey, J.M.: *Presidents and Assemblies: Constitutional Design and Electoral Dynamics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1992).

93.

Hiroi, T., Omori, S.: Perils of parliamentarism? Political systems and the stability of democracy revisited. *Democratization*. 16, 485–507 (2009).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13510340902884598>.

94.

Bergman, Torbjorn, Muller, Wolfgang C., Strom, Kaare: *Delegation and accountability in parliamentary democracies*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2003).

95.

Elgie, R.: What is divided government? In: *Divided government in comparative perspective*. Oxford Scholarship Online, [Oxford] (2001).

96.

Mezey, M. L.: Executive-legislative relations. In: World encyclopedia of parliaments and legislatures. Congressional Quarterly, Washington, D.C. (1998).

97.

Cheibub, J.A., Elkins, Z., Ginsburg, T.: Beyond Presidentialism and Parliamentarism. British Journal of Political Science. 44, 515–544 (2014).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S000712341300032X>.

98.

David Samuels, Matthew Shugart: Presidents, parties, and prime ministers: how the separation of powers affects party organization and behavior. (2010).

99.

Ganghof, S.: Is the 'Constitution of Equality' Parliamentary, Presidential or Hybrid? Political Studies. n/a-n/a (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9248.12124>.

100.

The Journal of Legislative Studies - Special Issue on Legislatures and executives: an investigation into the relationship at the heart of government. 10, (2004).

101.

Lijphart, A.: Executive-legislative relations. In: Patterns of democracy: government forms and performance in thirty-six countries. Yale University Press, London (1999).

102.

Tsebelis, George, Russell Sage Foundation: Veto players: how political institutions work. Princeton University Press, New York (2002).

103.

Fiorina, Morris P., Mayhew, David R.: *Divided government*. Longman, London (2003).

104.

Riggs, Fred W.: *Presidentialism versus Parliamentarism: Implications for Representativeness and Legitimacy*. *International Political Science Review / Revue internationale de science politique*. 18, 253–278 (1997).

105.

Mainwaring, S.: *Presidentialism, Multipartyism, and Democracy: The Difficult Combination*. *Comparative Political Studies*. 26, 198–228 (1993).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414093026002003>.

106.

Cheibub, J.A., Limongi, F.: *Democratic Institutions and Regime Survival: Parliamentary and Presidential Democracies Reconsidered*. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 5, 151–179 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.5.102301.084508>.

107.

Cheibub, Jose Antonio: *Presidentialism, parliamentarism, and democracy*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2007).

108.

Mainwaring, Scott: *Presidentialism in Latin America*. *Latin American Research Review*. 25, 157–179 (1995).

109.

Mainwaring, S., Shugart, M.S. eds: *Presidentialism and Democracy in Latin America*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1997).

110.

Mainwaring, S.: Presidentialism and democracy in Latin America : rethinking the terms of the debate. In: Presidentialism and democracy in Latin America. pp. 12–54. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1997).

111.

Siaroff, A.: Varieties of Parliamentarianism in the Advanced Industrial Democracies. *International Political Science Review*. 24, 445–464 (2003).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/01925121030244003>.

112.

Elgie, R.: The Perils of Semi-Presidentialism. Are They Exaggerated? *Democratization*. 15, 49–66 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13510340701768125>.

113.

Schleiter, P., Morgan-Jones, E.: Review Article: Citizens, Presidents and Assemblies: The Study of Semi-Presidentialism beyond Duverger and Linz. *British Journal of Political Science*. 39, (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123409990159>.

114.

Fukuyama, Francis, Dressel, Bjorn, Chang, Boo-Seung: Facing the Perils of Presidentialism? *Journal of Democracy*. 16, 102–116 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.2005.0026>.

115.

Huber, John D.: The Vote of Confidence in Parliamentary Democracies. *The American Political Science Review*. 90, 269–282 (1996).

116.

Strom, K.: Minority Governments in Parliamentary Democracies: The Rationality of Nonwinning Cabinet Solutions. *Comparative Political Studies*. 17, 199–227 (1984).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414084017002004>.

117.

Laver, Michael, Shepsle, Kenneth A.: Making and breaking governments: cabinets and legislatures in parliamentary democracies. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1999).

118.

Lijphart, A.: Patterns of democracy: government forms and performance in thirty-six countries. Yale University Press, New Haven [Conn.] (2012).

119.

Russell, M.: Bicameralism in Theory & Comparative Perspective. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2013).

120.

Russell, M.: What are Second Chambers for? Parliamentary Affairs. 54, 442-458 (2001).

121.

Mughan, Anthony, Patterson, Samuel Charles: Senates: bicameralism in the contemporary world. Ohio State University Press, Columbus (1999).

122.

Tsebelis, G., Money, J.: Bicameralism. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1997).

123.

The Journal of Legislative Studies - Special Issue on Second Chambers.

124.

Russell, M., Sandford, M.: Why are Second Chambers so Difficult to Reform? The Journal of Legislative Studies. 8, 79-89 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1080/714003926>.

125.

Levmore, S.: Bicameralism: When are two decisions better than one? *International Review of Law and Economics*. 12, 145–162 (1992).  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0144-8188\(92\)90031-L](https://doi.org/10.1016/0144-8188(92)90031-L).

126.

Tsebelis, G.: Decision Making in Political Systems: Veto Players in Presidentialism, Parliamentarism, Multicameralism and Multipartyism. *British Journal of Political Science*. 25, (1995). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123400007225>.

127.

Druckman, J.N., Thies, M.F.: The Importance of Concurrence: The Impact of Bicameralism on Government Formation and Duration. *American Journal of Political Science*. 46, (2002).  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/3088432>.

128.

Shell, D.: Second chambers. In: *World encyclopedia of parliaments and legislatures*. Congressional Quarterly, Washington, D.C. (1998).

129.

Norton, P.: How many bicameral legislatures are there? *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 10, 1–9 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1080/1357233042000322436>.

130.

Mughan, Anthony, Patterson, Samuel Charles: *Senates: bicameralism in the contemporary world*. Ohio State University Press, Columbus (1999).

131.

Russell, M.: Rethinking Bicameral Strength: A Three-Dimensional Approach. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 19, 370–391 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572334.2013.773639>.

132.

Russell, M.: Elected Second Chambers and Their Powers: An International Survey. *The Political Quarterly*. 83, 117–129 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-923X.2012.02275.x>.

133.

Coakley, J.: The Strange Revival of Bicameralism. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 20, 542–572 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572334.2014.926168>.

134.

*The Journal of Legislative Studies* . 8, (2002).

135.

Llanos, M., Nolte, D.: Bicameralism in the Americas: around the extremes of symmetry and incongruence. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 9, 54–86 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1080/1357233042000246864>.

136.

Roller, E.: Reforming the Spanish Senate: Mission Impossible? *West European Politics*. 25, 69–92 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1080/713601643>.

137.

Hiroi, T.: The Dynamics of Lawmaking in a Bicameral Legislature: The Case of Brazil. *Comparative Political Studies*. 41, 1583–1606 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414007308536>.

138.

Bean, C., Wattenberg, M.: Attitudes Towards Divided Government and Ticket-splitting in

Australia and the United States. *Australian Journal of Political Science*. 33, 25–36 (1998).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10361149850705>.

139.

Goot, M.: Whose Mandate? Policy Promises, Strong Bicameralism and Polled Opinion. *Australian Journal of Political Science*. 34, 327–352 (1999).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10361149950263>.

140.

Sartori, Giovanni: Problems with Parliamentary Systems. In: *Comparative constitutional engineering: an inquiry into structures, incentives and outcomes*. New York University Press, Washington Square, N.Y. (1997).

141.

Swenden, Wilfried: Federalism and second chambers: regional representation in parliamentary federations: the Australian Senate and German Bundesrat compared. P.I.E.-Peter Lang, Brussels (2004).

142.

Olson, D.M., Longley, L.D.: *Two into One: The Politics and Processes of National Legislative Cameral Change*. Westview Press, San Francisco (1991).

143.

Congleton, R.D.: On the merits of bicameral legislatures: intragovernmental bargaining and policy stability. In: *Democratic constitutional design and public policy: analysis and evidence*. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass (2006).

144.

Riker, William H.: The Justification of Bicameralism. *International Political Science Review / Revue internationale de science politique*. 13, 101–116 (1992).

145.

Money, Jeannette, Tsebelis, George: Cicero's Puzzle: Upper House Power in Comparative Perspective . *International Political Science Review / Revue internationale de science politique*. 13, 25–43 (1992).

146.

Rogers, J.R.: An Informational Rationale for Congruent Bicameralism. *Journal of Theoretical Politics*. 13, 123–151 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0951692801013002001>.

147.

Druckman, J., Martin, L., Thies, M.: Influence without Confidence: Upper Chambers and Government Formation. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 30, 529–548 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.3162/036298005X201662>.

148.

Vatter, A.: Bicameralism and policy performance: The effects of cameral structure in Comparative Perspective. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 11, 194–215 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572330500158607>.

149.

Heller, William B.: Bicameralism and Budget Deficits: The Effect of Parliamentary Structure on Government Spending. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 22, 485–516 (1997).

150.

Norris , P.: Legislative recruitment. In: *Comparing democracies: elections and voting in global perspectives*. pp. 184–215. Sage Publications, London (1996).

151.

Hazan, R.Y., Rahaç, G.: *Democracy within parties: candidate selection methods and their political consequences*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2010).

152.

Cross, W.: Democratic Norms and Party Candidate Selection: Taking Contextual Factors into Account. *Party Politics*. 14, 596–619 (2008).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068808093392>.

153.

*Party Politics - Special Issue on Candidate Selection*. 7, (2001).

154.

Gallagher, M.: Conclusion. In: *Candidate selection in comparative perspective: the secret garden of politics*. pp. 236–283. Sage, London (1988).

155.

Field, B.N., Siavelis, P.M.: Candidate Selection Procedures in Transitional Polities: A Research Note. *Party Politics*. 14, 620–639 (2008).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068808093393>.

156.

Norris, P., Lovenduski, J.: *Political Recruitment: Gender, Race and Class in the British Parliament*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1994).

157.

Norris, P., Lovenduski, J.: Comparative candidate recruitment. In: *Political recruitment: gender, race, and class in the British parliament*. pp. 183–205. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995).

158.

Shomer, Y.: What affects candidate selection processes? A cross-national examination. *Party Politics*. 20, 533–546 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068811436060>.

159.

Norris, P.: Introduction: theories of recruitment. In: *Passages to power: legislative recruitment in advanced democracies*. pp. 1–14. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1997).

160.

Scarrow, S. E., Webb, P., Farrell, D. M.: From Social Integration to Electoral Contestation: The Changing Distribution of Power within Political Parties. In: *Parties without partisans: political change in advanced industrial democracies*. Oxford Scholarship Online, [Oxford] (2002).

161.

Indrithason, I.H., Kristinsson, G.H.: Primary consequences: The effects of candidate selection through party primaries in Iceland. *Party Politics*. 21, 565–576 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068813487117>.

162.

Ware, A.: The Selection of Candidates and Leaders. In: *Political parties and party systems*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1996).

163.

Alvarez, R.M., Sinclair, B.: Electoral Institutions and Legislative Behavior: The Effects of Primary Processes. *Political Research Quarterly*. 65, 544–557 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1065912911411098>.

164.

McGhee, E., Masket, S., Shor, B., Rogers, S., McCarty, N.: A Primary Cause of Partisanship? Nomination Systems and Legislator Ideology. *American Journal of Political Science*. 58, 337–351 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajps.12070>.

165.

Gallagher, Michael, Marsh, Michael: Candidate selection in comparative perspective: the

secret garden of politics. Sage, London (1988).

166.

Lundell, K.: Determinants of Candidate Selection: The Degree of Centralization in Comparative Perspective. *Party Politics*. 10, 25–47 (2004).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068804039119>.

167.

Akirav, O.: Candidate Selection and a Crowded Parliament: The Israeli Knesset, 1988–2006. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 16, 96–120 (2010).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13572330903542142>.

168.

Barnea, S., Rahat, G.: Reforming Candidate Selection Methods: A Three-Level Approach. *Party Politics*. 13, 375–394 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068807075942>.

169.

Rahat, G.: Which Candidate Selection Method is the Most Democratic? *Government and Opposition*. 44, 68–90 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1477-7053.2008.01276.x>.

170.

Hazan, R.Y.: Candidate Selection: Implications and Challenges for Legislative Behaviour. In: *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies* (2014).

171.

Shomer, Y.: Candidate Selection Procedures, Seniority, and Vote-Seeking Behavior. *Comparative Political Studies*. 42, 945–970 (2009).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414008330600>.

172.

Hofnung, M.: Unaccounted Competition: The Finance of Intra-Party Elections. *Party Politics*. 14, 726–744 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068808093408>.

173.

Bochel, J., Denver, D.: Candidate Selection in the Labour Party: What the Selectors Seek. *British Journal of Political Science*. 13, (1983). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123400003136>.

174.

Shaw, E.: New Labour: new pathways to parliament. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 54, 35–53 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/54.1.35>.

175.

Russell, M.: Selection under Blair. In: *Building new Labour: the politics of party organisation*. pp. 67–95. Palgrave Macmillan, New York (2005).

176.

Mikulska, A.B., Scarrow, S.E.: Assessing the Political Impact of Candidate Selection Rules: Britain in the 1990s. *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion & Parties*. 20, 311–333 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1080/17457289.2010.490712>.

177.

Narud, Hanne Marthe, Pedersen, Mogens N., Valen, Henry: *Party sovereignty and citizen control: selecting candidates for parliamentary elections in Denmark, Finland, Iceland and Norway*. University Press of Southern Denmark, Odense (2002).

178.

Bruhn, K.: Electing Extremists? Party Primaries and Legislative Candidates in Mexico. *Comparative Politics*. 45, 398–417 (2013).

179.

Gherghina, S.: One-Shot Party Primaries: The Case of the Romanian Social Democrats. *Politics*. 33, 185–195 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9256.12007>.

180.

Hellmann, O.: Outsourcing candidate selection: The fight against clientelism in East Asian parties. *Party Politics*. 20, 52–62 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068811436039>.

181.

Spies, D.C., Kaiser, A.: Does the mode of candidate selection affect the representativeness of parties? *Party Politics*. (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068811436066>.

182.

Katz, Richard S.: *Democracy and elections*. Oxford University Press, New York (1997).

183.

Patzelt, Werner J.: Recruitment and Retention in Western European Parliaments. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 24, 239–279 (1999).

184.

Lawrence, E., Donovan, T., Bowler, S.: The adoption of direct primaries in the United States. *Party Politics*. 19, 3–18 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068810393264>.

185.

Ichino, N., Nathan, N.L.: Do Primaries Improve Electoral Performance? Clientelism and Intra-Party Conflict in Ghana. *American Journal of Political Science*. 57, 428–441 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2012.00624.x>.

186.

Mansbridge, J.: Should Blacks Represent Blacks and Women Represent Women? A Contingent 'Yes'. *The Journal of Politics*. 61, (1999).

187.

Wangnerud, L.: Women in Parliaments: Descriptive and Substantive Representation. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 12, 51–69 (2009).

188.

Pitkin, Hanna Fenichel: *The concept of representation*. University of California Press, Berkeley (1972).

189.

Phillips, A.: *The Politics of Presence*. Oxford University Press (1998).  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/0198294158.001.0001>.

190.

M. Brito Vieira, D. Runciman: Representing Individuals. In: *Representation*. pp. 66–83. Polity Press, Cambridge (2008).

191.

Celis, K., Childs, S.: The Substantive Representation of Women: What to Do with Conservative Claims? *Political Studies*. 60, 213–225 (2012).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.2011.00904.x>.

192.

Childs, S.: A Feminised Style of Politics? Women MPs in the House of Commons. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*. 6, 3–19 (2004).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-856X.2004.00124.x>.

193.

Wangnerud, L.: Testing the Politics of Presence: Women's Representation in the Swedish Riksdag. *Scandinavian Political Studies*. 23, 67–91 (2000).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9477.00031>.

194.

Swers, Michele L.: Are Women More Likely to Vote for Women's Issue Bills than Their Male Colleagues? *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 23, (1998).

195.

Schwindt-Bayer, L.: Making Quotas Work: The Effect of Gender Quota Laws On the Election of Women. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 34, 5–28 (2009).  
<https://doi.org/10.3162/036298009787500330>.

196.

Reynolds, A.: Reserved Seats in National Legislatures: A Research Note. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 30, 301–310 (2005).

197.

Tate, K.: The Political Representation of Blacks in Congress: Does Race Matter? *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 26, (2001).

198.

Broockman, D.E.: Black Politicians Are More Intrinsically Motivated to Advance Blacks' Interests: A Field Experiment Manipulating Political Incentives. *American Journal of Political Science*. 57, 521–536 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajps.12018>.

199.

Ruedin, D.: *Why aren't they there?: the political representation of women, ethnic groups and issue positions in legislatures*. Ecpr Press, Colchester (2013).

200.

Phillips, A.: Democracy and Representation: Or, Why Should it Matter Who our Representatives Are? In: *Feminism and politics*. pp. 224–240. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1998).

201.

Young, Iris Marion: Inclusion and democracy. (2000).

202.

Norris, Pippa: Electoral engineering: voting rules and political behaviour. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2004).

203.

Williams, Melissa S.: Voice, trust, and memory: marginalized groups and the failings of liberal representation. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (1998).

204.

Urbinati, Nadia: Representative democracy: principles and genealogy. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill (2006).

205.

Mona Lena Krook: International Political Science Review. 35, 3-11.

206.

Dovi, S.: Preferable Descriptive Representatives: Will Just Any Woman, Black, or Latino Do? American Political Science Review. 96, (2003).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055402000412>.

207.

Cowley, P.: Why not ask the audience? Understanding the public's representational priorities. British Politics. 8, 138-163 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1057/bp.2012.28>.

208.

Taylor-Robinson, M.M.: Gender and Legislatures. In: Martin, S., Saalfeld, T., and StrÅ, m, K.W. (eds) *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. Oxford University Press (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.013.0009>.

209.

Childs, S., Krook, M.L.: *Analysing Women's Substantive Representation: From Critical Mass to Critical Actors*. *Government and Opposition*. 44, 125–145 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1477-7053.2009.01279.x>.

210.

Lovenduski, Joni: *Feminizing politics*. Polity Press, Cambridge (2005).

211.

Tremblay, Manon, Trimble, Linda J., Sawyer, Marian: *Representing women in parliament: a comparative study*. Routledge, London (2006).

212.

Weldon, S.L.: *Beyond Bodies: Institutional Sources of Representation for Women in Democratic Policymaking*. *The Journal of Politics*. 64, (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2508.00167>.

213.

Dahlerup, D.: *From a Small to a Large Minority: Women in Scandinavian Politics*. *Scandinavian Political Studies*. 11, 275–298 (1988). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9477.1988.tb00372.x>.

214.

Caul, M.: *Women's Representation in Parliament: The Role of Political Parties*. *Party Politics*. 5, 79–98 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068899005001005>.

215.

Salmond, R.: Proportional Representation and Female Parliamentarians. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 31, 175–204 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.3162/036298006X201779>.

216.

Fortin-Rittberger, J., Rittberger, B.: Do electoral rules matter? Explaining national differences in women's representation in the European Parliament. *European Union Politics*. 15, 496–520 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1465116514527179>.

217.

Matland, Richard E.: Women's Representation in National Legislatures: Developed and Developing Countries. *Legislative Studies Quarterly* . 23, 109–125 (1998).

218.

Tremblay, M.: Democracy, Representation, and Women: A Comparative Analysis. *Democratization*. 14, 533–553 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13510340701398261>.

219.

Kenworthy , Lane, Malami, Melissa: Gender Inequality in Political Representation: A Worldwide Comparative Analysis . *Social Forces*. 78, 235–268 (1999).

220.

Kittilson, Miki Caul: *Challenging parties, changing parliaments: women and elected office in contemporary Western Europe*. Ohio State University Press, Columbus (2006).

221.

Roberts, A., Seawright, J., Cyr, J.: Do Electoral Laws Affect Women's Representation? *Comparative Political Studies*. 46, 1555–1581 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414012463906>.

222.

Schneider, M.C., Bos, A.L.: Measuring Stereotypes of Female Politicians. *Political Psychology*. 35, 245–266 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12040>.

223.

Mendelberg, T., Karpowitz, C.F., Goedert, N.: Does Descriptive Representation Facilitate Women's Distinctive Voice? How Gender Composition and Decision Rules Affect Deliberation. *American Journal of Political Science*. 58, 291–306 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajps.12077>.

224.

Rai, S.M.: Political representation, democratic institutions and women's empowerment: the quota. In: *Rethinking empowerment: gender and development in a global/local world*. pp. 133–146. Routledge, London (2002).

225.

Mateo Diaz, Mercedes: *Representing women?: female legislators in West European parliaments*. ECPR Press, Colchester (2005).

226.

Swers, Michele L.: *The difference women make: the policy impact of women in Congress*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago (2002).

227.

Pearson, K., Dancey, L.: Speaking for the Underrepresented in the House of Representatives: Voicing Women's Interests in a Partisan Era. *Politics & Gender*. 7, 493–519 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1743923X1100033X>.

228.

Grey, S.: Does Size Matter? Critical Mass and New Zealand's Women MPs. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 55, 19–29 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1093/parlij/55.1.19>.

229.

Matland, Richard E., Montgomery, Kathleen A.: Women's access to political power in post-communist Europe. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2003).

230.

Schwindt-Bayer, L.A.: Still Supermadres? Gender and the Policy Priorities of Latin American Legislators. *American Journal of Political Science*. 50, 570–585 (2006).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2006.00202.x>.

231.

Piscopo, J.M.: Rethinking Descriptive Representation: Rendering Women in Legislative Debates. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 64, 448–472 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gsq061>.

232.

Bauer, G.: 'Let there be a Balance': Women in African Parliaments. *Political Studies Review*. 10, 370–384 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1478-9302.2012.00272.x>.

233.

Devlin, C., Elgie, R.: The Effect of Increased Women's Representation in Parliament: The Case of Rwanda. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 61, 237–254 (2008).  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gsn007>.

234.

Barnes, T.D., Burchard, S.M.: 'Engendering' Politics: The Impact of Descriptive Representation on Women's Political Engagement in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Comparative Political Studies*. 46, 767–790 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414012463884>.

235.

Stockemer, D.: Women's Parliamentary Representation in Africa: The Impact of Democracy and Corruption on the Number of Female Deputies in National Parliaments. *Political Studies*. 59, 693–712 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.2011.00897.x>.

236.

Sater, J.N.: Changing Politics from Below? Women Parliamentarians in Morocco. *Democratization*. 14, 723–742 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13510340701398352>.

237.

Griffin, J.D.: When and Why Minority Legislators Matter. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 17, 327–336 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-033011-205028>.

238.

Canon, David T.: Electoral Systems and the Representation of Minority Interests in Legislatures. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 24, 331–385 (1999).

239.

Maddison, S.: White Parliament, Black Politics: The Dilemmas of Indigenous Parliamentary Representation. *Australian Journal of Political Science*. 45, 663–680 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1080/10361146.2010.517180>.

240.

Bloemraad, I., Schonwalder, K.: Immigrant and Ethnic Minority Representation in Europe: Conceptual Challenges and Theoretical Approaches. *West European Politics*. 36, 564–579 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402382.2013.773724>.

241.

Wüst, A.M.: A Lasting Impact? On the Legislative Activities of Immigrant-origin Parliamentarians in Germany. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 20, 495–515 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572334.2014.907601>.

242.

King JR., Marvin P.: Bill Preference: The Continued Relevance of the Congressional Black Caucus. *Politics & Policy*. 39, 421–439 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1346.2011.00297.x>.

243.

Minta, M.: Legislative Oversight and the Substantive Representation of Black and Latino Interests in Congress. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 34, 193–218 (2009).  
<https://doi.org/10.3162/036298009788314336>.

244.

Fenno, Richard F.: *Going home: Black representatives and their constituents*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago (2003).

245.

Bowen, D.C., Clark, C.J.: Revisiting Descriptive Representation in Congress: Assessing the Effect of Race on the Constituent-Legislator Relationship. *Political Research Quarterly*. 67, 695–707 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1065912914531658>.

246.

Clark Wilson, W., Curtis Ellis, W.: Surrogates Beyond Borders: Black Members of the United States Congress and the Representation of African Interests on the Congressional Foreign-Policy Agenda. *Polity*. 46, 255–273 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1057/pol.2014.3>.

247.

Juenke, E.G., Preuhs, R.R.: Irreplaceable Legislators? Rethinking Minority Representatives in the New Century. *American Journal of Political Science*. 56, 705–715 (2012).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2012.00584.x>.

248.

REYNOLDS, A.: Representation and Rights: The Impact of LGBT Legislators in Comparative Perspective. *American Political Science Review*. 107, 259–274 (2013).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055413000051>.

249.

Haider-Markel, D.: Representation and Backlash: The Positive and Negative Influence of Descriptive Representation. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 32, 107–133 (2007).  
<https://doi.org/10.3162/036298007X202001>.

250.

Carnes, N.: Does the Numerical Underrepresentation of the Working Class in Congress Matter? *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 37, 5–34 (2012).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1939-9162.2011.00033.x>.

251.

Murray, R.: Quotas for Men: Reframing Gender Quotas as a Means of Improving Representation for All. *American Political Science Review*. 108, 520–532 (2014).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055414000239>.

252.

Paxton, P., Hughes, M.M.: The Increasing Effectiveness of National Gender Quotas, 1990-2010. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 40, 331–362 (2015).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/lisq.12079>.

253.

Bacchi, C.: Arguing for and against quotas: theoretical issues. In: Dahlerup, D. (ed.) *Women, quotas and politics*. pp. 32–51 (2006).

254.

Krook, M.L.: Candidate gender quotas: A framework for analysis. *European Journal of Political Research*. 46, 367–394 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6765.2007.00704.x>.

255.

Hughes, M.: Intersectionality, Quotas, and Minority Women's Political Representation Worldwide. *American Political Science Review*. 105, 604–620 (2011).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055411000293>.

256.

Krook, M.L.: Electoral Gender Quotas: A Conceptual Analysis. *Comparative Political Studies*. 47, 1268–1293 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414013495359>.

257.

Krook, M.L., Norris, P.: Beyond Quotas: Strategies to Promote Gender Equality in Elected Office. *Political Studies*. 62, 2–20 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9248.12116>.

258.

Murray, R.: How Parties Evaluate Compulsory Quotas: A Study of the Implementation of the 'Parity' Law in France. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 60, 568–584 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gsm039>.

259.

Caul, M.: Political Parties and the Adoption of Candidate Gender Quotas: A Cross-National Analysis. *The Journal of Politics*. 63, (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1111/0022-3816.00107>.

260.

Buckley, F.: How Ireland legislated for candidate sex quotas to increase women's representation. *Democratic Audit UK*. (2013).

261.

Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU) materials on women in parliament.

262.

Strom, K.: Rules, reasons and routines: Legislative roles in parliamentary democracies. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 3, 155–174 (1997). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572339708420504>.

263.

Norris, P.: Constituency Service. In: Electoral engineering: voting rules and political behaviour. pp. 230–246. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2004).

264.

Andeweg, R.B.: Roles in Legislatures. In: Martin, S., Saalfeld, T., and StrÅ, m, K.W. (eds) The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies. Oxford University Press (2014).  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.013.0025>.

265.

Loewenberg, G., Patterson, S.C.: Linkage. In: Comparing legislatures. University Press of America, Lanham, MD (1979).

266.

Norris, P.: The puzzle of constituency service. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 3, 29–49 (1997). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572339708420508>.

267.

Carey, J., Shugart, M.: Incentives to cultivate a personal vote: A rank ordering of electoral formulas. *Electoral Studies*. 14, 417–439 (1995).  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0261-3794\(94\)00035-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0261-3794(94)00035-2).

268.

Norton, P.: Introduction: Linking Parliaments and Citizens. In: Parliaments and citizens in Western Europe. pp. 1–18. Frank Cass, London (2002).

269.

Searing, D.D.: Introduction: Roles, Rules and Rationality. In: Westminster's world: understanding political roles. pp. 1–30. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass (1994).

270.

Thomassen, J., Esaiasson, P.: Role Orientations of Members of Parliament. *Acta Politica*. 41, 217–231 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.ap.5500157>.

271.

Johannes, J.: Casework as a Technique of U. S. Congressional Oversight of the Executive. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 4, 325–351 (1979).

272.

Power, G.: Global Parliamentary Report: The changing nature of parliamentary representation, <http://www.ipu.org/pdf/publications/gpr2012-full-e.pdf>, (2012).

273.

Rolef, S.H.: Defining the Job of the Member of the Knesset. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 20, 588–607 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1080/17411912.2014.910338>.

274.

Best, H., Vogel, L.: The Sociology of Legislators and Legislatures. In: Martin, S., Saalfeld, T., and Ström, K.W. (eds) *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. Oxford University Press (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.013.0003>.

275.

Saalfeld, T.: Rational-choice theory in legislative studies: Models of politics without romanticism. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 1, 32–64 (1995). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572339508420414>.

276.

Blomgren, M. and Rozenberg, O.: Legislative Roles and Legislative Studies. In: *Parliamentary roles in modern legislatures*. pp. 8–36. Routledge, London (2012).

277.

Ward, H.: Rational Choice. In: Theory and methods in political science. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2010).

278.

Pitkin, H.: The Mandate-Independence Controversy. In: The concept of representation. University of California Press, Berkeley (1972).

279.

Judge, D.: Trustee theory and practice. In: Representation: theory and practice in Britain. pp. 47–69. Routledge, London (1999).

280.

Wood, D.M.: Legislators and Constituents. In: World encyclopedia of parliaments and legislatures. Congressional Quarterly, Washington, D.C. (1998).

281.

Norton, Philip: Parliaments and citizens in Western Europe. Frank Cass, London (2002).

282.

Muller, Wolfgang C., Saalfeld, Thomas: Members of parliament in Western Europe: roles and behaviour. F. Cass, London (1997).

283.

Kitschelt, H.: Linkages between Citizens and Politicians in Democratic Polities. Comparative Political Studies. 33, 845–879 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1177/001041400003300607>.

284.

Andre, A., Depauw, S., Martin, S.: Electoral Systems and Legislators' Constituency Effort:

- The Mediating Effect of Electoral Vulnerability. *Comparative Political Studies*. 48, 464–496 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414014545512>.
- 285.
- Regional & Federal Studies* (Special Issue: Constituency Representation, Devolution and MMP Electoral Systems: Comparative and UK Perspectives). 17, (2007).
- 286.
- Tobin Grant, J., Rudolph, T.J.: The Job of Representation in Congress: Public Expectations and Representative Approval. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 29, 431–445 (2004).
- 287.
- Legislative Studies Quarterly - Special Issue on Representative Roles*. 4, 485–655.
- 288.
- Bogdanor, V.: Introduction. In: *Representatives of the people? Parliamentarians and constituents in Western democracies*. pp. 1–12. Gower, Aldershot (1985).
- 289.
- Mayhew, David R.: *Congress: the electoral connection*. Yale University Press, London (1974).
- 290.
- Cain, Bruce E., Ferejohn, John A., Fiorina, Morris P.: *The personal vote: constituency service and electoral independence*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass (1987).
- 291.
- Elling, Richard C.: The Utility of State Legislative Casework as a Means of Oversight. *Legislative Studies Quarterly* . 4, 353–379 (1979).

292.

Fenno, Richard F.: Home style: House members in their districts. Scott, Foresman and Co, Glenview, Ill (1978).

293.

Searing, D.: Backbench and Leadership Roles in The House of Commons. Parliamentary Affairs. 48, 418–437 (1995).

294.

Gay, O.: MPs Go Back to Their Constituencies. The Political Quarterly. 76, 57–66 (2005).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-923X.2005.00656.x>.

295.

Carman, C.J.: Public Preferences for Parliamentary Representation in the UK: An Overlooked Link? Political Studies. 54, 103–122 (2006).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.2006.00568.x>.

296.

Bengtsson, A., Wass, H.: Styles of Political Representation: What Do Voters Expect? Journal of Elections, Public Opinion & Parties. 20, 55–81 (2010).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/17457280903450724>.

297.

Tavits, M.: Effect of Local Ties On Electoral Success and Parliamentary Behaviour: The Case of Estonia. Party Politics. 16, 215–235 (2009).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068809341053>.

298.

Stratmann, Thomas, Baur, Martin: Plurality Rule, Proportional Representation, and the German Bundestag: How Incentives to Pork-Barrel Differ across Electoral Systems.

American Journal of Political Science. 46, 506–514 (2002).

299.

Fujimura, N.: The influence of electoral institutions on legislative representation: Evidence from Japan's single non-transferable vote and single-member district systems. *Party Politics*. 21, 209–221 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068812472578>.

300.

Ingall, Rachael E., Crisp, Brian F.: Determinants of Home Style: The Many Incentives for Going Home in Colombia. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 26, 487–512 (2001).

301.

Soroka, S., Penner, E., Blidook, K.: Constituency Influence in Parliament. *Canadian Journal of Political Science*. 42, (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008423909990059>.

302.

Martin, Shane: Using Parliamentary Questions to Measure Constituency Focus: An Application to the Irish Case. *Political Studies*. 59, 472–488 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.2011.00885.x>.

303.

Bowler, S.: Private Members' Bills in the UK Parliament: Is there an 'Electoral Connection'? *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 16, 476–494 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572334.2010.519457>.

304.

*The Journal of Legislative Studies* (Special Issue: Cohesion and Discipline in Legislatures: Political Parties, Party Leadership, Parliamentary Committees and Governance). 9, (2003).

305.

Cowley , P.: It's a bit more complicated than that. In: *The rebels: how Blair mislaid his majority*. pp. 21–48. Politico's, London (2005).

306.

Gunther, Richard, Montero, José R., Linz, Juan J.: *Political parties: old concepts and new challenges*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2002).

307.

Sieberer, U.: Party unity in parliamentary democracies: A comparative analysis. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 12, 150–178 (2006).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13572330600739413>.

308.

Saalfeld, T., Strøm, K.W.: *Political Parties and Legislators*. In: Martin, S., Saalfeld, T., and Strøm, K.W. (eds) *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. Oxford University Press (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.013.0022>.

309.

Russell, M.: Parliamentary party cohesion: Some explanations from psychology. *Party Politics*. 20, 712–723 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068812453367>.

310.

Carey, J., Reynolds, A.: Parties and Accountable Government in New Democracies. *Party Politics*. 13, 255–274 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068807073866>.

311.

Kölln, A.-K.: The value of political parties to representative democracy. *European Political Science Review*. 1–21 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1755773914000344>.

312.

Stokes, S.C.: Political parties and democracy. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2, 243–267 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.2.1.243>.

313.

Cross, W.P., Katz, R.S., European Consortium for Political Research: The challenges of intra-party democracy. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2013).

314.

Loxbo, K.: The fate of intra-party democracy: Leadership autonomy and activist influence in the mass party and the cartel party. *Party Politics*. 19, 537–554 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068811407583>.

315.

van Biezen, I.: On the Internal Balance of Party Power: Party Organizations in New Democracies. *Party Politics*. 6, 395–417 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1177/135406880006004001>.

316.

Blondel, J., Nousianen, J.: Governments, Supporting Parties and Policy-making. In: *The nature of party government: a comparative European perspective*. pp. 161–195. Palgrave, New York (2000).

317.

McKenzie, R.: Power in the Labour Party: The Issue of Intra-Party Democracy. In: *The politics of the Labour Party*. pp. 191–201. Allen & Unwin, London (1982).

318.

May, J.D.: Opinion structure of political parties: the special law of curvilinear disparity. *Political Studies*. 21, 135–151 (1973). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.1973.tb01423.x>.

319.

Luciano Bardi: Special issue of Party Politics on political party change. 20, 151-159.

320.

Knut Heidar, R. A Koole: Approaches to the study of parliamentary party groups. In: Parliamentary party groups in European democracies: political parties behind closed doors. pp. 4-22. Routledge, London (2000).

321.

The Journal of Legislative Studies - Special Issue on The Uneasy Relationship Between Parliamentary Members and Leaders. 5, 1-332 (1999).

322.

Blondel, Jean, Cotta, Maurizio: The nature of party government: a comparative European perspective. Palgrave, New York (2000).

323.

Krehbiel, Keith: Paradoxes of Parties in Congress. Legislative Studies Quarterly. 24, 31-64 (1999).

324.

Laver, Michael: Divided Parties, Divided Government. Legislative Studies Quarterly. 24, 5-29 (1999).

325.

Katz, Richard S., Bowler, Shaun, Farrell, David M., European Consortium for Political Research: Party discipline and parliamentary government. Ohio State University Press, Columbus (1999).

326.

Saalfeld, T.: Legislative Voting Behaviour. In: World encyclopedia of parliaments and legislatures. Congressional Quarterly, Washington, D.C. (1998).

327.

Kam, C.: Party Discipline. In: Martin, S., Saalfeld, T., and StrÅm, K.W. (eds) The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies. Oxford University Press (2014).  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.013.0020>.

328.

Katz, Richard S.: Democracy and elections. Oxford University Press, New York (1997).

329.

Ozbudun, Ergun: Party cohesion in western democracies: a causal analysis. Sage Publications, Beverly Hills, Calif (1970).

330.

Kam, Christopher J.: Party discipline and parliamentary politics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2009).

331.

Coman, E.E.: Institutions and Vote Unity in Parliaments: Evidence from 33 National Chambers. The Journal of Legislative Studies. 21, 360–389 (2015).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13572334.2015.1040246>.

332.

Kam, C.: Do Ideological Preferences Explain Parliamentary Behaviour? Evidence from Great Britain and Canada. The Journal of Legislative Studies. 7, 89–126 (2001).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/714003894>.

333.

Carey, J.M.: Competing Principals, Political Institutions, and Party Unity in Legislative Voting. *American Journal of Political Science*. 51, 92–107 (2007).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2007.00239.x>.

334.

Carey, John M.: *Legislative voting and accountability*. Cambridge University Press, New York (2009).

335.

Leston-Bandeira, C.: Dissent in a Party-Based Parliament: The Portuguese Case. *Party Politics*. 15, 695–713 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068809334556>.

336.

Kunicova, J., Remington, T.F.: Mandates, Parties and Dissent: Effect of Electoral Rules on Parliamentary Party Cohesion in the Russian State Duma, 1994-2003. *Party Politics*. 14, 555–574 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068808093390>.

337.

Rich, T.S.: Party Voting Cohesion in Mixed Member Legislative Systems: Evidence from Korea and Taiwan. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 39, 113–135 (2014).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/lsq.12035>.

338.

Olivella, S., Tavits, M.: Legislative Effects of Electoral Mandates. *British Journal of Political Science*. 44, 301–321 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123412000828>.

339.

Tavits, M.: The Making of Mavericks: Local Loyalties and Party Defection. *Comparative Political Studies*. 42, 793–815 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414008329900>.

340.

Cowley, Philip: Revolts and rebellions: parliamentary voting under Blair. Politico's, London (2002).

341.

Cowley, Philip: Conscience and parliament. F. Cass, London (1998).

342.

Vivyan, N., Wagner, M.: Do voters reward rebellion? The electoral accountability of MPs in Britain. *European Journal of Political Research*. 51, 235–264 (2012).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6765.2011.01998.x>.

343.

Sieberer, U.: Using MP statements to explain voting behaviour in the German Bundestag: An individual level test of the Competing Principals Theory. *Party Politics*. 21, 284–294 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068812472584>.

344.

Ceron, A.: Brave rebels stay home: Assessing the effect of intra-party ideological heterogeneity and party whip on roll-call votes. *Party Politics*. 21, 246–258 (2015).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068812472581>.

345.

Ansolabehere, S., Jones, P.E.: Constituents' Responses to Congressional Roll-Call Voting. *American Journal of Political Science*. 54, 583–597 (2010).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2010.00448.x>.

346.

Carson, J.L., Koger, G., Lebo, M.J., Young, E.: The Electoral Costs of Party Loyalty in Congress. *American Journal of Political Science*. 54, 598–616 (2010).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2010.00449.x>.

347.

Garner, Christopher, Letki, Natalia: Party Structure and Backbench Dissent in the Canadian and British Parliaments. *Canadian Journal of Political Science* . 38, 463–482 (2005).

348.

Skjaeveland, A.: Party Cohesion in the Danish Parliament. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 7, 35–56 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1080/714003872>.

349.

Skjaeveland, A.: A Danish Party Cohesion Cycle. *Scandinavian Political Studies*. 22, 121–136 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9477.00008>.

350.

Carey, John M.: Discipline, Accountability, and Legislative Voting in Latin America. *Comparative Politics*. 35, 191–211 (2003).

351.

Hagopian, F., Gervasoni, C., Moraes, J.A.: From Patronage to Program: The Emergence of Party-Oriented Legislators in Brazil. *Comparative Political Studies*. 42, 360–391 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414008325572>.

352.

Lyne, M.M.: Proffering Pork: How Party Leaders Build Party Reputations in Brazil. *American Journal of Political Science*. 52, 290–303 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2008.00313.x>.

353.

Rahat, G.: Determinants of Party Cohesion: Evidence from the Case of the Israeli Parliament. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 60, 279–296 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gsm003>.

354.

Clinton, J.D.: Using Roll Call Estimates to Test Models of Politics. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 15, 79–99 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-043010-095836>.

355.

Hug, S.: Selection Effects in Roll Call Votes. *British Journal of Political Science*. 40, (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123409990160>.

356.

Roberts, J.: The Statistical Analysis Of Roll-Call Data: A Cautionary Tale. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 32, 341–360 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.3162/036298007781699636>.

357.

Carroll, R., Poole, K.T.: Roll-Call Analysis and the Study of Legislatures. In: Martin, S., Saalfeld, T., and StrÅ, m, K.W. (eds) *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. Oxford University Press (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.013.0026>.

358.

Scarrow, S.E.: *Beyond party members: changing approaches to partisan mobilization*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2015).

359.

Rosenblum, Nancy L.: *On the side of the angels: an appreciation of parties and partisanship*. Princeton University Press, Oxford (2008).

360.

Pettitt, R.T.: *Contemporary party politics*. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2014).

361.

Mair, P.: The Challenge to Party Government. *West European Politics*. 31, 211–234 (2008).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01402380701835033>.

362.

Mair, P., Katz, R.: Party organizations: from civil society to the state. In: *How parties organize: change and adaptation in party organizations in Western democracies*. pp. 1–22. Sage Publications, London (1994).

363.

Ware, A.: Party organizations. In: *Political parties and party systems*. pp. 93–123. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1996).

364.

R. Dalton, D. Farrell, I. McAllister: Party policies and policy outputs. In: *Political parties and democratic linkage: how parties organize democracy*. pp. 193–212. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

365.

Whiteley, P.F.: Is the party over? The decline of party activism and membership across the democratic world. *Party Politics*. 17, 21–44 (2010).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068810365505>.

366.

Allern, E.H., Pedersen, K.: The impact of party organisational changes on democracy. *West European Politics*. 30, 68–92 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402380601019688>.

367.

Katz, R.S.: Party Government and its Alternatives. In: *Party governments: European and American experiences*. W. de Gruyter, Berlin (1987).

368.

Katz, R.S., Mair, P.: Changing Models of Party Organization and Party Democracy: The Emergence of the Cartel Party. *Party Politics*. 1, 5–28 (1995).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068895001001001>.

369.

Katz, R.S., Mair, P.: The Cartel Party Thesis: A Restatement. *Perspectives on Politics*. 7, (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592709991782>.

370.

Scarrow, S.E.: *Parties and Their Members : Organising for Victory in Britain and Germany*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2003).

371.

Panebianco, Angelo: *Political parties: organization and power*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1988).

372.

Michels, Robert: *Political parties: a sociological study of the oligarchical tendencies of modern democracy*. Free Press, New York (1962).

373.

Mair, Peter: *The West European party system*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1990).

374.

Norris, P.: May's Law of Curvilinear Disparity Revisited: Leaders, Officers, Members and Voters in British Political Parties. *Party Politics*. 1, 29–47 (1995).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068895001001002>.

375.

Kennedy, F., Lyons, P., Fitzgerald, P.: *Pragmatists, Ideologues and the General Law of*

Curvilinear Disparity: The Case of the Irish Labour Party. *Political Studies*. 54, 786–805 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.2006.00630.x>.

376.

Carty, R.K., Cross, W.: Can Stratarchically Organized Parties be Democratic? The Canadian Case. *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion & Parties*. 16, 93–114 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13689880600715912>.

377.

Luther, Kurt Richard, Muller-Rommel, Ferdinand: *Political parties in the new Europe: political and analytical challenges*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2002).

378.

Tavits, M.: Power within Parties: The Strength of the Local Party and MP Independence in Postcommunist Europe. *American Journal of Political Science*. 55, 923–936 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2011.00520.x>.

379.

Gauja, A.: The construction of party membership. *European Journal of Political Research*. 54, 232–248 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6765.12078>.

380.

Gauja, A.: The Individualisation of Party Politics: The Impact of Changing Internal Decision-Making Processes on Policy Development and Citizen Engagement. *The British Journal of Politics & International Relations*. n/a-n/a (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-856X.12035>.

381.

Pedersen, H.H.: Differences and Changes in Danish Party Organisations: Central Party Organisation versus Parliamentary Party Group Power. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 16, 233–250 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572331003740131>.

382.

Holliday, Ian, Webb, Paul, Farrell, David M.: Political parties in advanced industrial democracies. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2002).

383.

Rose, Richard: Do parties make a difference? Macmillan, London (1984).

384.

Shaw, M.: Parliamentary committees: a global perspective. In: The new roles of parliamentary committees. pp. 225–251. Frank Cass, London (1998).

385.

Russo, F., Wiberg, M.: Parliamentary Questioning in 17 European Parliaments: Some Steps towards Comparison. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 16, 215–232 (2010).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13572331003740115>.

386.

Müller, W.C., Sieberer, U.: Procedure and Rules in Legislatures. In: Martin, S., Saalfeld, T., and Strøm, K.W. (eds) *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. Oxford University Press (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.013.0023>.

387.

Wehner, J.: Legislatures and Public Finance. In: Martin, S., Saalfeld, T., and Strøm, K.W. (eds) *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. Oxford University Press (2014).  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.013.0017>.

388.

Shaw, Malcolm N., Lees, J. D.: *Committees in Legislatures*. (1979).

389.

Arter, D.: Committee cohesion and the 'corporate dimension' of parliamentary committees: A comparative analysis. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 9, 73–87 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1080/1357233042000306263>.

390.

Pelizzo, Riccardo and Stapenhurst, Frederick: Legislative oversight tools. In: *Parliamentary oversight tools: a comparative analysis*. pp. 28-56-157–159. Routledge, Abingdon (2012).

391.

Parliamentary Questions. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 17, 259–270 (2011).

392.

Martin, S.: Committees. In: Martin, S., Saalfeld, T., and Ström, K.W. (eds) *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. Oxford University Press (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.013.0006>.

393.

Shaw, M.: Legislative Committees. In: *World encyclopedia of parliaments and legislatures*. Congressional Quarterly, Washington, D.C. (1998).

394.

Mattson, I., Strom, K.: Committee effects on legislation. In: *Patterns of parliamentary behavior: passage of legislation across Western Europe*. pp. 91–111. Ashgate, Aldershot, Hants (2004).

395.

Making an Impact: Effective Parliamentary Committees | Global Partners Governance, <http://www.gpgovernance.net/publication/making-an-impact-effective-parliamentary-committees/>.

396.

Davidson, Roger H., Longley, Lawrence D.: The new roles of parliamentary committees. Frank Cass, London (1998).

397.

Shaw, Malcolm N., Lees, John D.: Committees in legislatures: a comparative analysis. Duke University Press, [Durham, N.C.] (1979).

398.

Shepsle, Kenneth A., Weingast, Barry R.: Positive Theories of Congressional Institutions. *Legislative Studies Quarterly* . 19, 149-179 (1994).

399.

Olson, David M., Crowther, William E.: Committees in post-Communist democratic parliaments: comparative institutionalization. The Ohio State University Press, Columbus, Ohio (2002).

400.

Khmelko, I.S., Pigenko And, V.A., Wise, C.R.: Assessing Committee Roles in a Developing Legislature: The Case of the Ukrainian Parliament. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 13, 210-234 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572330701334312>.

401.

Monk, D.: A Framework for Evaluating the Performance of Committees in Westminster Parliaments. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 16, 1-13 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572330903541904>.

402.

Arter, D.: From 'Parliamentary Control' to 'Accountable Government'? The Role of Public Committee Hearings in the Swedish Riksdag. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 61, 122-143 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gsm058>.

403.

Cairney, P.: The analysis of Scottish Parliament committee influence: Beyond capacity and structure in comparing West European legislatures. *European Journal of Political Research*. 45, 181–208 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6765.2006.00295.x>.

404.

Kim, D.H., Loewenberg, G.: The Role of Parliamentary Committees in Coalition Governments: Keeping Tabs on Coalition Partners in the German Bundestag. *Comparative Political Studies*. 38, 1104–1129 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414005276307>.

405.

Curini, L., Zucchini, F.: The institutional foundations of committee cohesion in a (changing) parliamentary democracy. *European Political Science Review*. 1–21 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1755773913000222>.

406.

Rahman, Taiabur: *Parliamentary control and government accountability in South Asia: a comparative analysis of Bangladesh, India and Sri Lanka*. Routledge, London (2008).

407.

Fenno, Richard F., University of California, Berkeley: *Congressmen in committees*. Little, Brown and Company, Boston (1973).

408.

Paper 4. Show Me the Money. Improving financial oversight. | Global Partners Governance, <http://www.gpgovernance.net/publication/paper-4-show-me-the-money/>.

409.

Manning, N., Stapenhurst, R.: *Strengthening Oversight by Legislatures*, <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/11336/267480premnote74>.

pdf?sequence=1, (2002).

410.

Schick, A.: Can National Legislatures Regain an Effective Voice in Budget Policy? *Journal on Budgeting*. 1, (2002).

411.

Wehner, J.: Back from the Sidelines? Redefining the Contribution of Legislatures to the Budget Cycle,  
[http://www-wds.worldbank.org/external/default/WDSContentServer/WDSP/IB/2004/06/23/00009486\\_20040623161800/Rendered/PDF/286150Sidelines0WBI0WP.pdf](http://www-wds.worldbank.org/external/default/WDSContentServer/WDSP/IB/2004/06/23/00009486_20040623161800/Rendered/PDF/286150Sidelines0WBI0WP.pdf), (2006).

412.

Stapenhurst, et al., R.: *Legislative Oversight and Budgeting: A World Perspective*. (2008).

413.

Messick, R.: Strengthening Legislatures: Implications from Industrial Countries,  
<https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/11354/multi0page.pdf?sequence=1>, (2002).

414.

Pelizzo, R., Kinyondo, A.: Public Accounts Committees in Eastern and Southern Africa: A Comparative Analysis. *Politics & Policy*. 42, 77-102 (2014).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/polp.12062>.

415.

Santiso, C.: Legislatures and Budget Oversight in Latin America: Strengthening Public Finance Accountability in Emerging Economies. *Journal on Budgeting*. 4, (2004).

416.

Soroka, S., Penner, E., Blidook, K.: Constituency Influence in Parliament. *Canadian Journal of Political Science*. 42, (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008423909990059>.

417.

Vliegthart, R., Walgrave, S.: Content Matters: The Dynamics of Parliamentary Questioning in Belgium and Denmark. *Comparative Political Studies*. 44, 1031–1059 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414011405168>.

418.

Bates, S.R., Kerr, P., Byrne, C., Stanley, L.: Questions to the Prime Minister: A Comparative Study of PMQs from Thatcher to Cameron. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 67, 253–280 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gss044>.

419.

Bevan, S., John, P.: Policy Representation by Party Leaders and Followers: What Drives UK Prime Minister's Questions. *Government and Opposition*. 1–25 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1017/gov.2015.16>.

420.

Wiberg, M.: Chapter 6 Parliamentary Questioning: Control by Communication? In: Doring, H. (ed.) *Parliaments and Majority Rule in Western Europe*. Mannheim Centre for European Social Research (MZES), University of Mannheim (1995).

421.

Salmond, R.: Parliamentary Question Times: How Legislative Accountability Mechanisms Affect Mass Political Engagement. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 20, 321–341 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572334.2014.895121>.

422.

Rasch, B.E.: Institutional Foundations of Legislative Agenda-Setting. In: Martin, S., Saalfeld, T., and Ström, K.W. (eds) *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. Oxford University Press (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.013.0010>.

423.

Doring, H.: Time as a Scarce Resource: Government Control of the Agenda. In: Parliaments and Majority Rule in Western Europe. St. Martin's Press, New York (1995).

424.

Rasch, Bjorn Erik: Parliamentary Floor Voting Procedures and Agenda Setting in Europe. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 25, 3-23 (2000).

425.

Loewenberg, G.: Agenda-setting in the German Bundestag: origins and consequences of party dominance. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 9, 17-31 (2003).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1357233042000246846>.

426.

Brauninger, T., Debus, M.: Legislative agenda-setting in parliamentary democracies. *European Journal of Political Research*. 48, 804-839 (2009).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6765.2009.00850.x>.

427.

Zucchini, F.: Government alternation and legislative agenda setting. *European Journal of Political Research*. 50, 749-774 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6765.2010.01983.x>.

428.

Russell, Meg, Paun, Akash, University College, London: The House rules? International lessons for enhancing the autonomy of the House of Commons, <http://www.ucl.ac.uk/spp/publications/unit-publications/142.pdf>, (2007).

429.

Uhr, John: How Democratic is Parliament?, (2005).

430.

Norton, P.: Playing by the Rules: The Constraining Hand of Parliamentary Procedure. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 7, 13–33 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1080/714003882>.

431.

*The Journal of Legislative Studies* (Special Issue: Comparing and Classifying Legislatures). 12, (2006).

432.

Lukes, S.: *Power: a radical view*. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2005).

433.

Strøm, K., Bergman, T., Müller, W.C.: *Delegation and accountability in parliamentary democracies*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2003).

434.

Olson, D.M., Mezey, M.L. eds: *Legislatures in the Policy Process: The Dilemmas of Economic Policy*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1991).

435.

Saiegh, S.M.: LawMaking. In: Martin, S., Saalfeld, T., and Strøm, K.W. (eds) *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. Oxford University Press (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.013.0012>.

436.

Russell, M., Benton, M.: *Assessing the Policy Impact of Parliament: Methodological Challenges and Possible Future Approaches*, <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/b191/3bce50cbc3ed8780ac51a8f13b9d1b89d585.pdf>, (2009).

437.

Hage, F.M., Kaeding, M.: Reconsidering the European Parliament's Legislative Influence: Formal vs. Informal Procedures. *Journal of European Integration*. 29, 341–361 (2007).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/07036330701442356>.

438.

Benton, M., Russell, M.: Assessing the Impact of Parliamentary Oversight Committees: The Select Committees in the British House of Commons. *Parliamentary Affairs*. (2012).  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gss009>.

439.

Russell, M., Gover, D., Wollter, K.: Does the Executive Dominate the Westminster Legislative Process?: Six Reasons for Doubt. *Parliamentary Affairs*. (2015).  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gsv016>.

440.

Shephard, M., Cairney, P.: The Impact of the Scottish Parliament in Amending Executive Legislation. *Political Studies*. 53, 303–319 (2005).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.2005.00530.x>.

441.

Russell, M., Benton, M.: (Re)assessing Parliamentary Policy Impact: The Case of the Australian Senate. *Australian Journal of Political Science*. 45, 159–174 (2010).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10361141003736117>.

442.

Clinton, J.D., Lewis, D.E., Selin, J.L.: Influencing the Bureaucracy: The Irony of Congressional Oversight. *American Journal of Political Science*. 58, 387–401 (2014).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/ajps.12066>.

443.

Manow, P., Burkhart, S.: Legislative Self-Restraint Under Divided Government In Germany, 1976-2002. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 32, 167–191 (2007).  
<https://doi.org/10.3162/036298007780907941>.

444.

Martin, L.W., Vanberg, G.: *Parliaments and Coalitions: The Role of Legislative Institutions in Multiparty Governance*. Oxford Scholarship (2011).  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199607884.001.0001>.

445.

Blidook, K.: Exploring the Role of 'Legislators' in Canada: Do Members of Parliament Influence Policy? *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 16, 32–56 (2010).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13572330903541979>.

446.

Kreppel, A.: Moving Beyond Procedure: An Empirical Analysis of European Parliament Legislative Influence. *Comparative Political Studies*. 35, 784–813 (2002).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414002035007002>.

447.

Kalitowski, S.: Rubber Stamp or Cockpit? The Impact of Parliament on Government Legislation. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 61, 694–708 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gsn030>.

448.

Griffith, J. A. G., *Political and Economic Planning, Study of Parliament Group: Parliamentary scrutiny of Government bills*. Allen and Unwin [for] PEP and the Study of Parliament Group, London (1974).

449.

Thompson, L.: More of the Same or a Period of Change? The Impact of Bill Committees in the Twenty-First Century House of Commons. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 66, 459–479 (2013).  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gss016>.

450.

Tsebelis, G.: Governments and Parliaments. In: Veto players: how political institutions work. Princeton University Press, New York (2002).

451.

Olson, D.: Legislatures in the Policy Process. In: Democratic legislative institutions: a comparative view. M.E. Sharpe, Armonk, N.Y. (1994).

452.

Loewenberg, Gerhard, Patterson, Samuel Charles: Comparing legislatures. University Press of America, Lanham, MD (1979).

453.

Hindmoor, A., Larkin, P., Kennon, A.: Assessing the Influence of Select Committees in the UK: The Education and Skills Committee, 1997-2005. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 15, 71-89 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572330802666844>.

454.

Richard L. Hall: Measuring Legislative Influence. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 17, 205-231 (1992).

455.

Whitmore, S.: Challenges and constraints for post-Soviet committees: exploring the impact of parties on committees in Ukraine. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 12, 32-53 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572330500484953>.

456.

De Giorgi, E., Marangoni, F.: Government laws and the opposition parties' behaviour in parliament. *Acta Politica*. 50, 64-81 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1057/ap.2013.34>.

457.

Bolton, A., Thrower, S.: Legislative Capacity and Executive Unilateralism. *American Journal of Political Science*. n/a-n/a (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajps.12190>.

458.

Jenkins, J.A., Monroe, N.W.: On Measuring Legislative Agenda-Setting Power. *American Journal of Political Science*. n/a-n/a (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajps.12191>.

459.

Chiou, F.-Y., Rothenberg, L.S.: The Elusive Search for Presidential Power. *American Journal of Political Science*. 58, 653–668 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajps.12057>.

460.

Liebert, U., Cotta, M.: Parliament as a central site in democratic consolidation. In: *Parliament and democratic consolidation in Southern Europe: Greece, Italy, Portugal, Spain and Turkey*. pp. 3–30. Pinter, London (1990).

461.

Fish, M.S.: Stronger Legislatures, Stronger Democracies. *Journal of Democracy*. 17, 5–20 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.2006.0008>.

462.

Overseas Development Institute - Parliamentary Strengthening and the Paris Principles.

463.

Paper 1. Why Engage With Parliaments? International assistance and parliamentary strengthening - an overview. | Global Partners Governance, <https://www.google.com/url?sa=i&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&mp;cd=&cad=rja&uact=8&ved=0CDgQw7AJahcKEwjw2uOtmDKAAxUAAAAAHQAAAAAQAw&url=https%3A%2F%2Fgpgovernance.net%2Fwp-content%2Fuploads%2F2021%2F02%2FGuide-to-Parliaments.-Paper-1.pdf&psig=AOvVaw3qh0yqgQDD4C>

cEMTpN4yDy&ust=1691760564108513&opi=89978449.

464.

Kopecky, P.: Introduction: transition, consolidation and parliamentary institutionalisation. In: Parliaments in the Czech and Slovak republics: party competition and parliamentary institutionalization. pp. 1–21. Ashgate, Aldershot (2001).

465.

Norton, P., Leston-Bandeira, C.: The impact of democratic practice on the parliaments of Southern Europe. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 9, 177–185 (2003).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1357233032000250680>.

466.

Mishler, William, Rose, Richard: Support for Parliaments and Regimes in the Transition toward Democracy in Eastern Europe. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 19, 5–32 (1994).

467.

Kinyondo, A., Pelizzo, R.: Strengthening Legislatures: Some Lessons from the Pacific Region. *Politics & Policy*. 41, (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1111/polp.12020>.

468.

Schuler, P., Malesky, E.: Authoritarian Legislatures. In: *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies* (2014).

469.

Power, G.: The Politics of Parliamentary Strengthening: Understanding political incentives and institutional behaviour in parliamentary support strategies, <http://www.gpgovernance.net/publication/the-politics-of-parliamentary-strengthening/>, (2011).

470.

Musolf, Lloyd D., Kornberg, Allan, American Society for Public Administration: Legislatures in developmental perspective. Duke University Press, Durham, N.C. (1970).

471.

Pakenham, R. A.: Legislatures and political development. In: Legislatures. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1970).

472.

World Bank Parliamentary Strengthening Programme,  
<http://siteresources.worldbank.org/PSGLP/Resources/parliament.pdf>.

473.

Engaging and Supporting Parliaments Worldwide: Strategies and Methodologies for EC Action in Support to Parliaments,  
<https://www.agora-parl.org/resources/library/engaging-and-supporting-parliaments-worldwide-strategies-and-methodologies-ec>.

474.

Report from the UK House of Commons International Development committee on parliamentary strengthening,  
<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201415/cmselect/cmintdev/704/704.pdf#>.

475.

Parliamentary Strengthening: Government Response to the International Development Committee's Ninth Report of Session 2014-15,  
<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201415/cmselect/cmintdev/1125/112504.htm#a2>.

476.

Bergman, Torbjorn, Muller, Wolfgang C., Strom, Kaare: Challenges to Parliamentary Democracy. In: Delegation and accountability in parliamentary democracies. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1093/019829784X.001.0001>.

477.

Andrews, J.T.: Legislatures in Central and Eastern Europe. In: Martin, S., Saalfeld, T., and StrÅ, m, K.W. (eds) *The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*. Oxford University Press (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199653010.013.0018>.

478.

Olson, D.M.: *The Parliaments of New Democracies: The Experience of Central Europe*. In: *World encyclopedia of parliaments and legislatures*. Congressional Quarterly, Washington, D.C. (1998).

479.

Norton, P., Olson, D.M.: Post-Communist and Post-Soviet Legislatures: Beyond Transition. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 13, 1–11 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572330601165154>.

480.

*The Journal of Legislative Studies - Special Issue on Post-Communist Parliaments: Change and Stability in the Second Decade*. 17, (2011).

481.

Carey, John M., Formanek, Frantisek, Karpowicz, Ewa: Legislative Autonomy in New Regimes: The Czech and Polish Cases. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 24, 569–603 (1999).

482.

Remington, Thomas F.: *Parliaments in transition: the new legislative politics in the former USSR and Eastern Europe*. Westview Press, Boulder, Colo (1994).

483.

Ostrow, Joel M.: *Comparing post-Soviet legislatures: a theory of institutional design and political conflict*. Ohio State University Press, Columbus, OH (2000).

484.

Chiva, C.: The Institutionalisation of Post-Communist Parliaments: Hungary and Romania in Comparative Perspective. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 60, 187–211 (2007).  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gsm012>.

485.

Barkan, J.D.: Legislatures on the Rise? *Journal of Democracy*. 19, 124–137 (2008).  
<https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.2008.0020>.

486.

Barkan, J.D.: African Legislatures and the 'third wave' of democratization. In: *Legislative power in emerging African democracies*. pp. 1–31. Lynne Rienner, Boulder (2009).

487.

Africa All Party Parliamentary Group: *Strengthening Parliaments in Africa: Improving Support*, (2008).

488.

Inter-Parliamentary Union: *Ten Years of Strengthening Parliaments in Africa 1991-2000: Lessons Learnt and the Way Forward*, (2003).

489.

Johnson, J., Nakamura, R.: *A Concept Paper on Legislatures and Good Governance*, (1999).

490.

Johnson, J.K.: *The Role of Parliament in Government*, (2005).

491.

Nijzink, L., Mozaffar, S., Azevedo, E.: Parliaments and the enhancement of democracy on the African continent: An analysis of institutional capacity and public perceptions. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 12, 311–335 (2006).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13572330600875563>.

492.

Azevedo-Harman, E.: Parliaments in Africa: Representative Institutions in the Land of the 'Big Man'. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 17, 65–85 (2011).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13572334.2011.545180>.

493.

Salih, Mohamed Abdel Rahim M.: *African parliaments: between governance and government*. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2005).

494.

The difficult development of parliamentary politics in the Gulf: Parliaments and the process of managed reform in Kuwait, Bahrain and Oman | Global Partners Governance,  
<http://www.gpgovernance.net/publication/politics-in-gulf/>.

495.

*The Journal of Legislative Studies - Special Issue on Southern European Parliaments in Democracy*. 9, (2003).

496.

Leston-Bandeira, C.: The Portuguese parliament during the first two decades of democracy. *West European Politics*. 24, 137–156 (2001).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01402380108425421>.

497.

Close, David: *Legislatures and the new democracies in Latin America*. L. Rienner Publishers, Boulder (1995).