

# LAWSG103: Corporate Finance:

View Online



---

1.

Justis: the online legal library of UK, EU and Irish law. <http://www.justis.com/>

2.

LexisNexis: Business Solutions & Software for Legal, Education and Government.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/en-us/home.page>

3.

High Court of Australia. <http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/cases/cth/HCA/>

4.

UK Statute law database. <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/>

5.

Ferran, Eilís. Principles of Corporate Finance Law. Oxford University Press; 2008.

6.

Davies, P. L. Introduction to Company Law. Vol Clarendon law series. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2010.

7.

Kraakman, Reinier H. *The Anatomy of Corporate Law: A Comparative and Functional Approach*. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2009.

8.

Ferran, Eilís. *Principles of Corporate Finance Law*. Oxford University Press; 2008.

9.

Lowry, John P., Reisberg, Arad, Pettet, B. G. *Pettet's Company Law: Company Law and Corporate Finance*. Vol Longman law series. 4th ed. Pearson; 2012.

10.

Prentice, Daniel D., Reisberg, Arad. *Corporate Finance Law in the UK and EU*. Oxford University Press; 2011.

11.

Gullifer, Louise, Payne, Jennifer. *Corporate Finance Law: Principles and Policy*. Hart; 2011.

12.

French, Derek. *Blackstone's Statutes on Company Law, 2012-2013*. Oxford University Press; 2012.

<http://copac.jisc.ac.uk/id/12342801?style=html&title=Blackstone's%20statutes%20on%20company%20law%2C%202012-2013>

13.

Lowry, John P., Reisberg, Arad, Pettet, B. G. *Pettet's Company Law: Company Law and Corporate Finance*. Vol Longman law series. 4th ed. Pearson; 2012.

14.

Dignam, Alan J., Lowry, John P. *Company Law*. Vol Core text series. 7th ed. Oxford University Press; 2012.

15.

Davies, P. L., Worthington, Sarah, Gower, L. C. B. Gower and Davies' Principles of Modern Company Law. 9th ed. Sweet & Maxwell; 2012.

16.

Hannigan, Brenda. Company Law. 3rd ed. Oxford University Press; 2012.

17.

Parkinson, J. E. Corporate Power and Responsibility: Issues in the Theory of Company Law. Clarendon; 1993. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198259893.001.0001>

18.

Cheffins, Brian R. Company Law: Theory, Structure, and Operation. Clarendon Press; 1996.

19.

Ferran, Eilís. \*Principles of Corporate Finance Law. Oxford University Press; 2008.

20.

Kraakman, Reinier H. The Anatomy of Corporate Law: A Comparative and Functional Approach. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2009.

21.

McGee, Andrew. Share Capital. Tottel; 2007.

22.

Department of Trade & Industry. Modern company law for a competitive economy: completing the structure. Published online 2000.

<http://copac.jisc.ac.uk/id/16915560?style=html&title=Modern%20company%20law%20for%20a%20competitive%20economycompleting>

23.

Department of Trade & Industry. Modernising Company Law, White Paper (URN 02/1088). Vol Cm. TSO; 2002.

24.

Great Britain. Company Law Reform. Vol Cm. Stationery Office; 2005.

25.

Ferran, Eilis. Company Law Reform in the UK: A Progress Report.; 2005.  
<http://copac.jisc.ac.uk/id/32099948?style=html&title=Company%20Law%20Reform%20in%20the%20UK%3A%20A%20Progress%20Report>.

26.

Court of Appeal. Colonial Bank v Whinney: 30 Ch. D 261.(1885).  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=I8BE30760E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

27.

Chancery Division. Borland's Bank v Steel Brothers & Co. Ltd: 1 Ch 279 at 288.; 1901.  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=I76C06A30E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

28.

\*Salomon v A Salomon & Co Ltd: AC 22. 1897.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXM-YHW0-TWW4-21F8&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

29.

Short v Treasury Commissioners 1 KB 116. 1948.

[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T18552647146&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T18552647154&backKey=20\\_T18552647155&csi=296982&docNo=4&scrollToPosition=798](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T18552647146&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T18552647154&backKey=20_T18552647155&csi=296982&docNo=4&scrollToPosition=798)

30.

Fidelis Oditah. Takeovers, share exchanges and the meaning of loss. *Law Quarterly Review* . 1996;112(Jul):424-445.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=ICCE8D940E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

31.

H.W. Higginson. Takeovers, share exchanges and the meaning of loss. *Law Quarterly Review*. 1997;113(Jan):51-53.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=ICCE8D940E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

32.

Pilmer v Duke Group Ltd 2 BCLC 773. 2001.

[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T18553034885&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T18553034887&backKey=20\\_T18553034888&csi=280378&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=456](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T18553034885&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T18553034887&backKey=20_T18553034888&csi=280378&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=456)

33.

Ross Grantham. \*The Doctrinal Basis of the Rights of Company Shareholders. *The Cambridge Law Journal*. 1998;57(3):554-588. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4508493>

34.

\*Gambotto v WCP Ltd HCA 12, 182 CLR 432 at 447. 1995.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXR-FHS0-TWW4-21NH&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

35.

House of Lords. *Birch v Cropper* 14 App Cas 525.(1889).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=I74AD8FC0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

36.

UK Government. Companies Act 2006. 2006.  
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

37.

Murray A. Pickering. The Problem of the Preference Share. *The Modern Law Review*. 1963;26(5):499-519. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1092526>

38.

House of Lords. \*Birch v Cropper 14 App Cas 525.(1889).  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=I74AD8FC0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

39.

Court of Chancery. Webb v Earle L.R. 20 Eq 556.; 1875.  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IF73129B0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

40.

Chancery Division. \*Evling v Israel & Oppenheimer 1 Ch 101.(1918).  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IA2B59E80E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

41.

Court of Appeal. Re Wakley 2 Ch 205.; 1920.  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IEF44CFE0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

42.

Re Crichtons Oil 2 Ch 86. 1902.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXW-1T00-TWW4-219T&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

43.

Chancery Division. Re Roberts & Cooper Ltd 2 Ch 383.(1929).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I88D73180E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

44.

Chancery Division. Re Wharfedale Brewery Ltd Ch 913.; 1952.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IF8B18820E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

45.

Chancery Division. Dimbula Valley (Ceylon) Tea Co Ltd v Laurie.(1961).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=I991F8C50E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

46.

House of Lords. \*House of Fraser v ACGE BCLC 478.(1987).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IC3D87010E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

47.

UK Government. Companies Act 2006. 2006.

<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

48.

Court of Appeal. White v Bristol Aeroplane Co Ltd Ch 65, 2 WLR 144.; 1953.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IF8EC9550E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

49.

\*Re Northern Engineering Industries plc 2 BCLC 704. 1994.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FWK-NV60-TWW8-0097&csi=280378&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

50.

UK Government. Companies Act 2006. 2006.

<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

51.

Centros Ltd v Erhervs-og Selskabsstyrelsen (C212/97) Ch 446. 2000.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JT8-6CY0-TXD6-Y1N6&csi=296988&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

52.

High Level Group of Company Law Experts. A Modern Regulatory Framework for Company Law in Europe.

[http://ec.europa.eu/internal\\_market/search\\_en.htm?hl=en&as\\_sitesearch=ec.europa.eu%2Finternal\\_market%2F&cx=010624300341678344703%3A\\_u-3r0niuzu&cof=FORID%3A9&q=A+Modern+Regulatory+Framework+for+Company+Law+in+Europe&sa.x=0&sa.y=0](http://ec.europa.eu/internal_market/search_en.htm?hl=en&as_sitesearch=ec.europa.eu%2Finternal_market%2F&cx=010624300341678344703%3A_u-3r0niuzu&cof=FORID%3A9&q=A+Modern+Regulatory+Framework+for+Company+Law+in+Europe&sa.x=0&sa.y=0)

53.

Rickford J. \*Reforming Capital. European Business Law Review. 2004;15(4):919-1027.

[http://www.kluwerlawonline.com/toc.php?area=Journals&mode=bypub&level=5&values=Journals%7E%7EEuropean Business Law Review%7EVolume 15 %282004%29](http://www.kluwerlawonline.com/toc.php?area=Journals&mode=bypub&level=5&values=Journals%7E%7EEuropean%20Business%20Law%20Review%7EVolume%2015%202004%29)

54.

Armour J. \*Legal Capital: an Outdated Concept? European Business Organization Law Review (EBOR). 2006;7(01). doi:10.1017/S156675290600005X

55.

Rickford J. Reforming Capital. *European Business Law Review*. 2004;15(4):919-1027.  
[http://www.kluwerlawonline.com/toc.php?area=Journals&mode=bypub&level=5&values=Journals%7E%7EEuropean Business Law Review%7EVolume 15 %282004%29](http://www.kluwerlawonline.com/toc.php?area=Journals&mode=bypub&level=5&values=Journals%7E%7EEuropean%20Business%20Law%20Review%7EVolume%2015%202004%29)

56.

Grantham R, Rickett CEF. Corporate personality, limited liability and the protection of creditors. In: *Corporate Personality in the 20th Century*. Hart Publishing; 1998.

57.

Enriques L, Macey JR. \*Creditors versus capital formation: The case against the European legal capital rules. *Cornell law review*. 86(6):1165-1166.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/api/version1/sr?date=2001&sr=Creditors+versus+capital+formation%3A+The+case+against+the+European+legal+capital+rules&csi=7333&seCondRedirectIndicator=true>

58.

Armour J. Legal Capital: an Outdated Concept? *European Business Organization Law Review (EBOR)*. 2006;7(01). doi:10.1017/S156675290600005X

59.

Gedge, Montagu L., Great Britain. Report of the Committee on Shares of No Par Value. Vol Command papers. H.M.S.O.; 1954.

60.

UK Government. \*Companies Act 2006. 2006.  
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

61.

House of Lords. \*Ooregum Gold Mining Co v Roper AC 125.(1892).  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I1438EA30E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

62.

UK Government. Companies Act 2006. 2006.  
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

63.

\*Mosely v Koffyfontein Mines Ltd 2 Ch 108. 1904.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JWD-4KM0-TXD6-Y05T&csi=296988&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

64.

UK Government. \*Companies Act 2006. 2006.  
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

65.

\*Re Wragge Ltd 1 Ch 796, 836. 1897.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXM-YJ60-TWW4-202W&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

66.

\*Re Bradford Investments plc (No 2) BCC 379. 1991.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FWK-NV50-TWW8-00FF&csi=280378&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

67.

Gedge, Montagu L., Great Britain. Report of the Committee on Shares of No Par Value. Vol Command papers. H.M.S.O.; 1954.

68.

Great Britain. Report of the Company Law Committee. Vol Cmnd 1749. HMSO; 1962.

69.

House of Lords. Ooregum Gold Mining Co v Roper AC 125.(1892).  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I1438EA30E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

70.

Department of Trade & Industry. Modern company law for a competitive economy: completing the structure (URN 00/1335), Final Report (URN 01/942).

71.

Department of Trade & Industry. Modernising Company Law, Cm 5553-I (URN 02/1088). Vol Cm 5553-I. TSO; 2002.

72.

Department of Trade & Industry. Company Law Reform (URN 05/928). Vol Cm 6456. Stationery Office; 2005.

73.

Rickford J. \*Reforming Capital. European Business Law Review. 2004;15(4):919-1027.  
[http://www.kluwerlawonline.com/toc.php?area=Journals&mode=bypub&level=5&values=Journals%7E%7EEuropean Business Law Review%7EVolume 15 %282004%29](http://www.kluwerlawonline.com/toc.php?area=Journals&mode=bypub&level=5&values=Journals%7E%7EEuropean%20Business%20Law%20Review%7EVolume%2015%202004)

74.

Gedge, Montagu L., Great Britain. Report of the Committee on Shares of No Par Value. Vol Command papers. H.M.S.O.; 1954.

75.

Department of Trade & Industry. Modernising Company Law, White Paper (URN 02/1088). Vol Cm 5553-I. TSO; 2002.

76.

Department of Trade & Industry. Company Law Reform (URN 05/928). Vol Cm. Stationery Office; 2005.

77.

Rickford J. Reforming Capital. *European Business Law Review*. 2004;15(4):919-1027.  
[http://www.kluwerlawonline.com/toc.php?area=Journals&mode=bypub&level=5&values=Journals%7E%7EEuropean Business Law Review%7EVolume 15 %282004%29](http://www.kluwerlawonline.com/toc.php?area=Journals&mode=bypub&level=5&values=Journals%7E%7EEuropean%20Business%20Law%20Review%7EVolume%2015%202004%29)

78.

UK Government. \*Companies Act 2006. 2006.  
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

79.

Mutual Life Insurance of New York v Rank Organisation BCLC 11. 1985.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FWK-NV50-TWW8-00CD&csi=280378&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

80.

Chancery Division. *Clemens v Clemens Bros Ltd* 2 All ER 268.(1976).  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=I564AA3B0E43611DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

81.

Privy Council (Australia). *Howard Smith Ltd v Ampol Ltd* AC 821.(1974).  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IC4043B00E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

82.

UK Government. Companies Act 2006. 2006.  
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

83.

UK Government. Companies Act 2006. 2006.  
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

84.

Court of Appeal. White v Bristol Aeroplane Co Ltd Ch 65, 2 WLR 144.; 1953.  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IF8EC9550E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

85.

House of Lords. \*Hilder v Dexter AC 474.(1902).  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IC04166A0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

86.

Chancery Division. \*Shearer v Bercaim Ltd 3 All ER 295.(1980).  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=I9F5B5220E43611DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

87.

UK Government. \*Companies Act 2006. 2006.  
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

88.

\*Re Joseph Holt plc 2 BCLC 604. 2001.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FWK-NV50-TWW8-016F&csi=280378&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

89.

Ferran E. Legal Capital and the Pressure of the Securities Markets. In: Capital Markets and Company Law. Oxford University Press; 2003.

90.

Hopt, Klaus J., Wymeersch, E. Capital Markets and Company Law. Oxford University Press; 2003.

91.

Mayer C, Franks J. Governance as a source of managerial discipline. 2002.  
[http://www.nbb.be/pub/06\\_00\\_00\\_00\\_00/06\\_03\\_00\\_00\\_00/06\\_03\\_05\\_00\\_00.htm?l=en](http://www.nbb.be/pub/06_00_00_00_00/06_03_00_00_00/06_03_05_00_00.htm?l=en)

92.

UK Government. \*Companies Act 2006. 2006.  
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

93.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Precision Dippings Ltd v Precision Dippings Marketing Ltd Ch 447.(1986).  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I2877B711E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

94.

Re Cleveland Trust plc BCLC 424. 1991.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FWK-NV60-TWW8-00BG&csi=280378&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

95.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Bairstow v Queens Moat Houses Plc 2 BCLC 531.(2001).  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=I6DCFBFC0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

96.

Jennifer Payne. Unjust enrichment, trusts and recipient liability for unlawful dividends. Law Quarterly Review. 2003;119(Oct):583-607.  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid>

=I7E6DC5C1E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth

97.

Enriques L, Macey JR. \*Creditors versus capital formation: The case against the European legal capital rules. Cornell law review. 86(6):1165-1166.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/api/version1/sr?date=2001&sr=Creditors+versus+capital+formation%3A+The+case+against+the+European+legal+capital+rules&csi=7333&secondRedirectIndicator=true>

98.

Associated British Engineering Ltd v IRC 1 KB 15. 1941.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXP-6730-TWW4-20KD&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

99.

Chancery Division. Topham v Charles Topham Gp Ltd 1 BCLC 123.; 2003.  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=ID6AB3460E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

100.

Chancery Division. EIC Services v Phipps 3 All ER 804.(2003).  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IA03DE7C0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

101.

Capital Maintenance: Other Issues: A Consultation Document from the Company Law Review Steering Group (URN 00/880). DTI; 2000.

102.

Department of Trade & Industry. Company Law Reform (URN 05/928). Vol Cm 6456. Stationery Office; 2005.

103.

Rickford J. \*Reforming Capital. *European Business Law Review*. 2004;15(4):919-1027.  
[http://www.kluwerlawonline.com/toc.php?area=Journals&mode=bypub&level=5&values=Journals%7E%7EEuropean Business Law Review%7EVolume 15 %282004%29](http://www.kluwerlawonline.com/toc.php?area=Journals&mode=bypub&level=5&values=Journals%7E%7EEuropean%20Business%20Law%20Review%7EVolume%2015%282004%29)

104.

Tham, Chee Ho. Unjust enrichment and unlawful dividends: a step too far? *The Cambridge law journal*. 2005;64(1):177-211. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25166349>

105.

Enriques L, Macey JR. Creditors versus capital formation: The case against the European legal capital rules. *Cornell law review*. 86(6):1165-1166.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/api/version1/sr?date=2001&sr=Creditors+versus+capital+formation%3A+The+case+against+the+European+legal+capital+rules&csi=7333&secondRedirectIndicator=true>

106.

\**Trevor v Whitworth* 12 App Cas 409. 1887.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXM-YK10-TWW4-2108&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

107.

*Barclays Bank plc v British & Commonwealth Holdings plc* BCC 19 (affirmed [1995] BCC 1059). 1995.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXN-S790-TWW4-20B3&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

108.

UK Government. \*Companies Act 2006. 2006.  
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

109.

Chancery Division. *Acatos & Hutcheson v Watson* 1 BCLC 218.(1994).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I315BD600E43611DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

110.

*Re R W Peak Ltd* BCC 596. 1998.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXR-J4J0-TWW4-21BT&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

111.

Geoffrey Morse. The introduction of treasury shares into English law and practice. *Journal of business law*. 2004;(May):303-331.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=I7E5D23F0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

112.

UK Government. *\*Companies Act 2006*. 2006.

<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

113.

*Re Jupiter House Investments Ltd* BCLC 222. 1985.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FWK-NV50-TWW8-00FH&csi=280378&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

114.

*Re Grosvenor Press plc* BCLC 286. 1985.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FWK-NV50-TWW8-00PP&csi=280378&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

115.

*\*Re Chatterley-Whitfield Collieries Ltd* 2 All ER 593. 1948.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CRN-PP70-TWP1-60B1&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

116.

Re Saltdean Estate Co Ltd 3 All ER 829. 1968.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-35T0-TWP1-613K&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

117.

Re Northern Engineering Industries plc 2 BCLC 704. 1994.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FWK-NV60-TWW8-0097&csi=280378&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

118.

CLR, Company Formation and Capital Maintenance URN 99/1145. 1999.

<http://www.berr.gov.uk/files/file23277.pdf>

119.

Department of Trade & Industry. Company Law Reform. Vol Cm. Stationery Office; 2005.

120.

Ferran, Eilís. \*Principles of Corporate Finance Law. Oxford University Press; 2008.

121.

UK Government. \*Companies Act 2006. 2006.

<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

122.

Eilís Ferran. \*Corporate Transactions and Financial Assistance: Shifting Policy Perceptions but Static Law. The Cambridge Law Journal. 2004;63(1):225-243.

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/4509081>

123.

Ferran E. Regulation of Private Equity - Backed Leveraged Buyout Activity in Europe. SSRN Electronic Journal. Published online 2007. doi:10.2139/ssrn.989748

124.

Hans C. Hirt. The scope of prohibited financial assistance after MT Realisations Ltd (in liquidation) v Digital Equipment Co Ltd. Company Lawyer. 2004;25(1):9-19.  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=I7E5DE740E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

125.

\*Brady v Brady AC 755. 1989.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXT-SRW0-TWW4-2172&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

126.

Barclays Bank v British & Commonwealth Holdings plc BCC 1059. 1995.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXN-S790-TWW4-20B3&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

127.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). MT Realisations v Digital Equipment Co Ltd 2 BCLC 688 (Ch D); 2 BCLC 117 (CA).(2003).  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=I065A31D0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

128.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). \*Chaston v SWP Group Plc 1 BCLC 675.(2003).  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=I863B9480E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

129.

Re Hill & Tyler Ltd EWHC 1261. 2004.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=59MP-VFS1-DYBP-P0BR&csi=274662&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

130.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). \*Dyment v Boyden 1 WLR 792.(2004).  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=I9C8FFAF0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

131.

CLR, Company Formation and Capital Maintenance URN 99/1145. 1999.  
<http://www.berr.gov.uk/files/file23277.pdf>

132.

CLR, Developing the Framework, URN 00/656. 2000.  
<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20121029131934/http://www.berr.gov.uk/whatwedo/businesslaw/co-act-2006/clr-review/page25086.html>

133.

High Level Group of Company Law Experts. A Modern Regulatory Framework for Company Law in Europe.  
[http://ec.europa.eu/internal\\_market/search\\_en.htm?hl=en&as\\_sitesearch=ec.europa.eu%2Finternal\\_market%2F&cx=010624300341678344703%3A\\_u-3r0niuзу&cof=FORID%3A9&q=A+Modern+Regulatory+Framework+for+Company+Law+in+Europe&sa.x=0&sa.y=0](http://ec.europa.eu/internal_market/search_en.htm?hl=en&as_sitesearch=ec.europa.eu%2Finternal_market%2F&cx=010624300341678344703%3A_u-3r0niuзу&cof=FORID%3A9&q=A+Modern+Regulatory+Framework+for+Company+Law+in+Europe&sa.x=0&sa.y=0)

134.

Department of Trade & Industry. Company Law Reform. Vol Cm. Stationery Office; 2005.

135.

Eilís Ferran. Corporate Transactions and Financial Assistance: Shifting Policy Perceptions but Static Law. The Cambridge Law Journal. 2004;63(1):225-243.  
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/4509081>