

BASC0019: Science, Art and Co-Design

View Online



-
1.
David Rieff: *The reproach of hunger: food, justice, and money in the twenty-first century*. Simon & Schuster, New York (2015).

 2.
Guthman, J., ProQuest (Firm): *Weighing in: obesity, food justice, and the limits of capitalism*. University of California Press, Berkeley (2011).

 3.
Goody, J.: *Food and love: a cultural history of East and West*. Verso, London (1998).

 4.
Ball, K., Timperio, A.F., Crawford, D.A.: Understanding environmental influences on nutrition and physical activity behaviors: where should we look and what should we count? *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*. 3, (2006).
<https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-3-33>.

 5.
The Centre for Genomic Gastronomy: *Food Phreaking (Issue 01): A culinary compendium of curious botanical fruit cultivars*.

 6.
The Centre for Genomic Gastronomy: *Food Phreaking (Issue 00)*.

7.

Marina Chang, Lukas Meusburger eds: Food Junctions Cookbook. , University College London (2011).

8.

Rieff, D.: The reproach of hunger: food, justice, and money in the twenty-first century. Simon & Schuster, New York (2015).

9.

Guthman, J., ProQuest (Firm): Weighing in: obesity, food justice, and the limits of capitalism. University of California Press, Berkeley (2011).

10.

Austen, K.: Environmental science: Pollution patrol. *Nature*. 517, 136–138 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1038/517136a>.

11.

Andrea Wiggins, Kevin Crowston: From Conservation to Crowdsourcing: A Typology of Citizen Science. In: 2011 44th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences. pp. 1–10. IEEE (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1109/HICSS.2011.207>.

12.

Barton, K.S.: Colorado's Millennial Generation: Youth Perceptions and Experiences of Nature. *Journal of Geography*. 111, 213–223 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1080/00221341.2011.652648>.

13.

Delgado, A., Callen, B.: Do-it-yourself biology and electronic waste hacking: A politics of demonstration in precarious times. *Public Understanding of Science*. 179–194 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662516647348>.

14.

G.A. Sanchez: We Are Biohackers: Exploring the Collective Identity of the DIYbio Movement | TU Delft Repositories,
<http://repository.tudelft.nl/islandora/object/uuid:2996be4c-8614-4014-8d3a-6b8ff63c8ee6/?collection=research>, (2014).

15.

Keulartz, J., van den Belt, H.: DIY-Bio – economic, epistemological and ethical implications and ambivalences. *Life Sciences, Society and Policy*. 12, (2016).
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s40504-016-0039-1>.

16.

Sara Ann Wylie, Kirk Jalbert, Shannon Dosemagen, Matt Ratto: Institutions for Civic Technoscience: How Critical Making is Transforming Environmental Research. *The Information Society*. 30, 116–126 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01972243.2014.875783>.

17.

Ottinger, G.: Buckets of Resistance: Standards and the Effectiveness of Citizen Science. *Science, Technology & Human Values*. 35, 244–270 (2010).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0162243909337121>.

18.

Bäckstrand, K.: Civic Science for Sustainability: Reframing the Role of Experts, Policy-Makers and Citizens in Environmental Governance. *Global Environmental Politics*. 3, 24–41 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1162/152638003322757916>.

19.

Austen, K.: Out of the lab and onto the streets. *New Scientist*. 218, 48–51 (2013).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0262-4079\(13\)61628-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0262-4079(13)61628-0).

20.

Ferretti, F.: Mapping do-it-yourself science. *Life Sciences, Society and Policy*. 15, (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40504-018-0090-1>.

21.

Alastair Fuad-Luke: *Design activism: beautiful strangeness for a sustainable world*. Earthscan, Abingdon, Oxon (2009).

22.

Sanders, E.B.-N., Stappers, P.J.: Co-creation and the new landscapes of design. *CoDesign*. 4, 5–18 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1080/15710880701875068>.

23.

DiSalvo, C., Lodato, T., Fries, L., Schechter, B., Barnwell, T.: The collective articulation of issues as design practice. *CoDesign*. 7, 185–197 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1080/15710882.2011.630475>.

24.

Iversen, O.S., Halskov, K., Leong, T.W.: Rekindling values in participatory design. In: *Proceedings of the 11th Biennial Participatory Design Conference on - PDC '10*. ACM Press (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1145/1900441.1900455>.

25.

Asaro, P.M.: Transforming society by transforming technology: the science and politics of participatory design. *Accounting, Management and Information Technologies*. 10, 257–290 (2000). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-8022\(00\)00004-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-8022(00)00004-7).

26.

Salonen, E.: *Designing Collaboration*, http://www.designingcollaboration.com/Essi_Salonen_DesigningCollaboration.pdf, (2012).

27.

Give up Activism (Do or Die), <http://www.eco-action.org/dod/no9/activism.htm>.

28.

Duncombe, S., Lambert, S.: Activist Art: Does it Work?, <http://www.onlineopen.org/activist-art-does-it-work>.

29.

Groys, B.: On Art Activism, <http://www.e-flux.com/journal/56/60343/on-art-activism/>.

30.

Delwiche, J.F.: You eat with your eyes first. *Physiology & Behavior*. 107, 502–504 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2012.07.007>.

31.

Perullo, N.: *Taste as Experience: The Philosophy and Aesthetics of Food*. Columbia University Press (2016).

32.

Powell, M.: Which knowledge? Whose reality? An overview of knowledge used in the development sector. *Development in Practice*. 16,.

33.

Proposing a fifth generation of knowledge management for development: investigating convergence between knowledge management for development and transdisciplinary research. *Knowledge Management for Development Journal*.

34.

Hale, J., Knapp, C., Bardwell, L., Buchenau, M., Marshall, J., Sancar, F., Litt, J.S.: Connecting food environments and health through the relational nature of aesthetics: Gaining insight through the community gardening experience. *Social Science & Medicine*. 72, 1853–1863 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2011.03.044>.

35.

Rensten, J.: *The edible city: a year of wild food*. Boxtree, London (2016).

36.

Poe, M.R., McLain, R.J., Emery, M., Hurley, P.T.: Urban Forest Justice and the Rights to Wild Foods, Medicines, and Materials in the City. *Human Ecology*. 41, 409–422 (2013).
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10745-013-9572-1>.

37.

Goldstone, R.L., Ashpole, B.C., Roberts, M.: Knowledge of Resources and Competitors in Human Foraging, <http://cognitn.psych.indiana.edu/rgoldsto/pdfs/foragerknowledge.pdf>.

38.

Ball, K., Timperio, A.F., Crawford, D.A.: Understanding environmental influences on nutrition and physical activity behaviors: where should we look and what should we count? *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*. 3, (2006).
<https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-3-33>.

39.

Adhitya, S., Davis, B., Frankjaer, R., Flanagan, P., Mahony, Z.: The BIODress. In: *Proceedings of the TEI '16: Tenth International Conference on Tangible, Embedded, and Embodied Interaction - TEI '16*. pp. 627–634. ACM Press (2016).
<https://doi.org/10.1145/2839462.2856345>.

40.

Barad, K.: On Touching--The Inhuman That Therefore I Am. *differences*. 23, 206–223 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1215/10407391-1892943>.

41.

Crossley, N.: Researching embodiment by way of 'body techniques'. *The Sociological*

Review. 55, 80–94 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-954X.2007.00694.x>.

42.

Davidson, J., Milligan, C.: Embodying emotion sensing space: introducing emotional geographies. *Social & Cultural Geography*. 5, 523–532 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1080/1464936042000317677>.

43.

Fourcade, M.: The Problem of Embodiment in the Sociology of Knowledge: Afterword to the Special Issue on Knowledge in Practice. *Qualitative Sociology*. 33, 569–574 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11133-010-9173-x>.

44.

Tanaka, S.: Intercorporeality as a theory of social cognition. *Theory & Psychology*. 25, 455–472 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0959354315583035>.

45.

Edwards, E., Gosden, C., Phillips, R.B.: *Sensible objects: colonialism, museums, and material culture*. Berg, Oxford (2006).

46.

Perullo, N.: *Taste as experience: the philosophy and aesthetics of food*. Columbia University Press, New York (2016).

47.

Carless David, Douglas Kitrina: Arts-based research – radical or conventional? | *The Psychologist*. 29, 354–357.