

BASC0019: Science, Art and Co-Design

View Online



1.

David Rieff. The reproach of hunger: food, justice, and money in the twenty-first century [Internet]. New York: Simon & Schuster; 2015. Available from: <https://www.amazon.co.uk/Reproach-Hunger-Justice-Twenty-First-Century/dp/1439123888>

2.

Guthman J, ProQuest (Firm). Weighing in: obesity, food justice, and the limits of capitalism. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2011.

3.

Goody J. Food and love: a cultural history of East and West [Internet]. London: Verso; 1998. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/248654102_Food_and_Love_A_Cultural_History_of_East_and_West

4.

Ball K, Timperio AF, Crawford DA. Understanding environmental influences on nutrition and physical activity behaviors: where should we look and what should we count? International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity. 2006;3(1).

5.

The Centre for Genomic Gastronomy. Food Phreaking (Issue 01): A culinary compendium of curious botanical fruit cultivars [Internet]. Available from: http://www.foodphreaking.com/Issue01pdf/FoodPhreaking_01.pdf

6.

The Centre for Genomic Gastronomy. Food Phreaking (Issue 00) [Internet]. Available from: http://www.foodphreaking.com/issue0pdf/Food_Phreaking.pdf

7.

Marina Chang, Lukas Meusbürger, editors. Food Junctions Cookbook [Internet]. University College London; 2011. Available from: <https://www.ucl.ac.uk/news/2011/nov/radically-different-food-junctions-cookbook-now-available>

8.

Rieff D. The reproach of hunger: food, justice, and money in the twenty-first century [Internet]. New York: Simon & Schuster; 2015. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/303831098_The_reproach_of_hunger_food_justice_and_money_in_the_twenty-first_century_by_David_Rieff

9.

Guthman J, ProQuest (Firm). Weighing in: obesity, food justice, and the limits of capitalism. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2011.

10.

Austen K. Environmental science: Pollution patrol. Nature. 2015 Jan 7;517(7533):136–8.

11.

Andrea Wiggins, Kevin Crowston. From Conservation to Crowdsourcing: A Typology of Citizen Science. In: 2011 44th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences [Internet]. IEEE; 2011. p. 1–10. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5718708/>

12.

Barton KS. Colorado's Millennial Generation: Youth Perceptions and Experiences of Nature. *Journal of Geography*. 2012 Nov;111(6):213–23.

13.

Delgado A, Callen B. Do-it-yourself biology and electronic waste hacking: A politics of demonstration in precarious times. *Public Understanding of Science*. 2016 May 27;179–94.

14.

G.A. Sanchez. We Are Biohackers: Exploring the Collective Identity of the DIYbio Movement | TU Delft Repositories [Internet]. 2014. Available from: <http://repository.tudelft.nl/islandora/object/uuid:2996be4c-8614-4014-8d3a-6b8ff63c8ee6/?collection=research>

15.

Keulartz J, van den Belt H. DIY-Bio – economic, epistemological and ethical implications and ambivalences. *Life Sciences, Society and Policy*. 2016 May 30;12(1).

16.

Sara Ann Wylie, Kirk Jalbert, Shannon Dosemagen, Matt Ratto. Institutions for Civic Technoscience: How Critical Making is Transforming Environmental Research. *The Information Society*. 2014 Mar;30(2):116–26.

17.

Ottinger G. Buckets of Resistance: Standards and the Effectiveness of Citizen Science. *Science, Technology & Human Values*. 2010 Mar 1;35(2):244–70.

18.

Bäckstrand K. Civic Science for Sustainability: Reframing the Role of Experts, Policy-Makers and Citizens in Environmental Governance. *Global Environmental Politics*. 2003 Nov;3(4):24–41.

19.

Austen K. Out of the lab and onto the streets. *New Scientist* [Internet]. 2013 Jun;218(2923):48-51. Available from: <https://institutions.newscientist.com/article/mg21829236-300-citizen-scientist-out-of-the-lab-and-onto-the-streets/>

20.

Ferretti F. Mapping do-it-yourself science. *Life Sciences, Society and Policy*. 2019 Dec;15(1).

21.

Alastair Fuad-Luke. Design activism: beautiful strangeness for a sustainable world [Internet]. Abingdon, Oxon: Earthscan; 2009. Available from: https://designopendata.files.wordpress.com/2014/05/designactivism-beautifulstrangenessforasustainableworld_alastairfuadluke.pdf

22.

Sanders EBN, Stappers PJ. Co-creation and the new landscapes of design. *CoDesign*. 2008 Mar;4(1):5-18.

23.

DiSalvo C, Lodato T, Fries L, Schechter B, Barnwell T. The collective articulation of issues as design practice. *CoDesign*. 2011 Sep;7(3-4):185-97.

24.

Iversen OS, Halskov K, Leong TW. Rekindling values in participatory design. In: *Proceedings of the 11th Biennial Participatory Design Conference on - PDC '10* [Internet]. ACM Press; 2010. Available from: <http://portal.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=1900441.1900455>

25.

Asaro PM. Transforming society by transforming technology: the science and politics of participatory design. *Accounting, Management and Information Technologies*. 2000 Oct;10(4):257-90.

26.

Salonen E. Designing Collaboration [Internet]. 2012. Available from:
http://www.designingcollaboration.com/Essi_Salonen_DesigningCollaboration.pdf

27.

Give up Activism (Do or Die) [Internet]. Do or Die; p. 160–6. Available from:
<http://www.eco-action.org/dod/no9/activism.htm>

28.

Duncombe S, Lambert S. Activist Art: Does it Work? [Internet]. open! Platform for Art, Culture and the Public Domain. 2013. Available from:
<http://www.onlineopen.org/activist-art-does-it-work>

29.

Groys B. On Art Activism [Internet]. e-flux. Available from:
<http://www.e-flux.com/journal/56/60343/on-art-activism/>

30.

Delwiche JF. You eat with your eyes first. Physiology & Behavior. 2012 Nov;107(4):502–4.

31.

Perullo N. Taste as Experience: The Philosophy and Aesthetics of Food [Internet]. Columbia University Press; 2016. Available from:
<http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/10.7312/peru17348>

32.

Powell M. Which knowledge? Whose reality? An overview of knowledge used in the development sector. Development in Practice [Internet]. 16(6). Available from:
<http://www.ascleiden.nl/pdf/elecpublconfpowell.pdf>

33.

Proposing a fifth generation of knowledge management for development: investigating convergence between knowledge management for development and transdisciplinary research. Knowledge Management for Development Journal [Internet]. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/267928428_Proposing_a_fifth_generation_of_knowledge_management_for_development_investigating_convergence_between_knowledge_management_for_development_and_transdisciplinary_research

34.

Hale J, Knapp C, Bardwell L, Buchenau M, Marshall J, Sancar F, et al. Connecting food environments and health through the relational nature of aesthetics: Gaining insight through the community gardening experience. Social Science & Medicine. 2011 Jun;72(11):1853–63.

35.

Rensten J. The edible city: a year of wild food. London: Boxtree; 2016.

36.

Poe MR, McLain RJ, Emery M, Hurley PT. Urban Forest Justice and the Rights to Wild Foods, Medicines, and Materials in the City. Human Ecology. 2013 Jun;41(3):409–22.

37.

Goldstone RL, Ashpole BC, Roberts M. Knowledge of Resources and Competitors in Human Foraging [Internet]. Available from: <http://cognitrn.psych.indiana.edu/rgoldsto/pdfs/foragerknowledge.pdf>

38.

Ball K, Timperio AF, Crawford DA. Understanding environmental influences on nutrition and physical activity behaviors: where should we look and what should we count? International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity. 2006;3(1).

39.

Adhitya S, Davis B, Frankjaer R, Flanagan P, Mahony Z. The BODress. In: Proceedings of the TEI '16: Tenth International Conference on Tangible, Embedded, and Embodied Interaction - TEI '16 [Internet]. ACM Press; 2016. p. 627–34. Available from: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=2839462.2856345>

40.

Barad K. On Touching--The Inhuman That Therefore I Am. *differences*. 2012 Jan 1;23(3):206–23.

41.

Crossley N. Researching embodiment by way of 'body techniques'. *The Sociological Review*. 2007 Mar 20;55:80–94.

42.

Davidson J, Milligan C. Embodying emotion sensing space: introducing emotional geographies. *Social & Cultural Geography*. 2004 Dec;5(4):523–32.

43.

Fourcade M. The Problem of Embodiment in the Sociology of Knowledge: Afterword to the Special Issue on Knowledge in Practice. *Qualitative Sociology*. 2010 Dec;33(4):569–74.

44.

Tanaka S. Intercorporeality as a theory of social cognition. *Theory & Psychology*. 2015 Aug 1;25(4):455–72.

45.

Edwards E, Gosden C, Phillips RB. Sensible objects: colonialism, museums, and material culture [Internet]. English ed. Oxford: Berg; 2006. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5040/9781474215466>

46.

Perullo N. Taste as experience: the philosophy and aesthetics of food [Internet]. *American*

edition. New York: Columbia University Press; 2016. Available from:
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.7312/peru17348>

47.

Carless David, Douglas Kitrina. Arts-based research – radical or conventional? | The Psychologist. 29(5):354–7. Available from:
<https://thepsychologist.bps.org.uk/volume-29/may-2016/arts-based-research-radical-or-conventional>