

LAWS3006: Law of Evidence

Jonathan Rogers (Term 1) :
Ian Dennis (Term 2)

View Online



A and others v Secretary of State for the Home Department (No 2) - [2006] 1 All ER 575. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4J84-TMG0-TWP1-61D2&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Adverse inference. (1999). Criminal Law Review.

A-G's Reference (No 1 of 2004), R v Edwards [2004] EWCA Crim 1025, [2005] 4 All ER 457. (n.d.-a). In Court: Court of Appeal.

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/enhRunRemoteLink.do?lexisReco=true&A=0.8295584090903456&bct=A&service=citation&linkInfo=C%23GB%23EWCA CRIM%23PAGE%251025%25YEAR%252004%25&langcountry=GB&ersKey=23_T21053213177&backKey=null&recommendsType=LexisRecoCitationSuggestions&lexisReco=true

A-G's Reference (No 1 of 2004), R v Edwards [2004] EWCA Crim 1025, [2005] 4 All ER 457. (n.d.-b). In Court: Court of Appeal.

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/enhRunRemoteLink.do?lexisReco=true&A=0.8295584090903456&bct=A&service=citation&linkInfo=C%23GB%23EWCA CRIM%23PAGE%251025%25YEAR%252004%25&langcountry=GB&ersKey=23_T21053213177&backKey=null&recommendsType=LexisRecoCitationSuggestions&lexisReco=true

ALFRED CROMPTON AMUSEMENT MACHINES LTD. APPELLANTS AND CUSTOMS AND EXCISE COMMISSIONERS (No. 2) RESPONDENTS - [1974] A.C. 405. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K4W-PD40-TXD8-6168&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Andrew Roberts. (2004). The problem of mistaken identification: some observations on process. International Journal of Evidence & Proof.

Ashworth, A., & Redmayne, M. (2010a). The criminal process (4th ed). Oxford University Press.

Ashworth, A., & Redmayne, M. (2010b). The criminal process (4th ed). Oxford University Press.

Attorney General's Reference (No 3 of 1999) - [2001] 1 All ER 577. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J70-TWP1-61J5&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Balabel and another v Air-India - [1988] 2 All ER 246. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-49D0-TWP1-61NN>

&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t

Barclays Bank plc and others v Eustice and others - [1995] 4 All ER 511. (n.d.).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-618B&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Barnes v Chief Constable of Durham. (n.d.).
http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008480967&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008480969&backKey=20_T21008480970&csi=316617&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0

Barnfather v Islington Education Authority [2003] EWHC 418 (Admin), [2003] 1 WLR 2318. (n.d.). In Court: Divisional Court.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/casessubmitForm.do>

Blackburn, R. v [2005] EWCA Crim 1349 (25 May 2005). (n.d.).
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Crim/2005/1349.html>

BRATTY APPELLANT; AND ATTORNEY-GENERAL FOR NORTHERN IRELAND RESPONDENT. - [1963] A.C. 386. (n.d.).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K4W-PD40-TXD8-6199&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

BRENNAN v. THE UNITED KINGDOM - 39846/98 [2001] ECHR 596 (16 October 2001). (n.d.).
<http://www.bailii.org/eu/cases/ECHR/2001/596.html>

Brown v Stott (Procurator Fiscal, Dunfermline) and another - [2001] 2 All ER 97. (n.d.).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-6129&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

BUTLER v. BOARD OF TRADE [1970 B. No. 1479] - [1971] Ch. 680. (n.d.).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JT8-6CY0-TXD6-Y17B&csi=296988&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Butler v Board of Trade [1971] Ch 680, [1970] 3 All ER 593. (n.d.). In Court: Chancery Division.
http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21040153841&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21040153843&backKey=20_T21040153844&csi=279841&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0

Cadder v Her Majesty's Advocate. (n.d.).
http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008213565&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008213567&backKey=20_T21008213568&csi=316762&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=228

CALCRAFT v. GUEST. - [1898] 1 Q.B. 759. (n.d.).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K4F-RWM0-TXD5-X1CM&csi=296982&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

CASE OF AL-KHAWAJA AND TAHERY v. THE UNITED KINGDOM (Applications nos. 26766/05 and 22228/06). (n.d.).
[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-108072#{%22itemid%22:\[%22](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-108072#{%22itemid%22:[%22)

22001-108072%22]]}

Chinn, R. v [2012] EWCA Crim 501 (15 March 2012). (n.d.).
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Crim/2012/501.html>

Choo, A. L.-T. (2012). Evidence (3rd ed). Oxford University Press.

Christina McAlhone. (2013). Core statutes on evidence (Fifth Edition). Palgrave Macmillan.
<http://copac.jisc.ac.uk/id/7370507?style=html&title=Core%20statutes%20on%20evidence>

Claire McGourlay. (2013). Evidence statutes 2012-2013. Routledge.
<http://copac.ac.uk/search?title=Evidence%20Statutes%202012-2013&rn=2>

CPS v F (Rev 2) [2011] EWCA Crim 1844 (21 July 2011). (n.d.).
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Crim/2011/1844.html>

Criminal Justice Act 2003 (2003 c 44). (n.d.).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-61N0-TWPY-Y1DV&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Criminal Justice and Police Act 2001. (n.d.).
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2001/16/section/82>

Criminal Procedure and Investigations Act 1996. (n.d.).
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1996/25/contents>

Daley v R - [1993] 4 All ER 86. (n.d.).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-61JR&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

David Ormerod and Diane Birch. (2004a). The evolution of the discretionary exclusion of evidence. Criminal Law Review.

David Ormerod and Diane Birch. (2004b). The evolution of the discretionary exclusion of evidence. Criminal Law Review.

Dennis, I. H. (2013a). The law of evidence (5th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Dennis, I. H. (2013b). The law of evidence (5th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Dennis, I. H. (2013c). The law of evidence (5th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Dennis, I. H. (2013d). The law of evidence (5th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Dennis, I. H. (2013e). The law of evidence (5th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Dennis, I. H. (2013f). The law of evidence (5th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Dennis, I. H. (2013g). The law of evidence (5th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Dennis, I. H. (2013h). The law of evidence (5th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Dennis, I. H. (2013i). The law of evidence (5th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Dennis, I. H. (2013j). The law of evidence (5th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Dennis, I. H. (2013k). The law of evidence (5th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Dennis, I. H. (2013l). The law of evidence (5th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Devlin, P. (1979). The judge. Oxford University Press.

Director of Public Prosecutions (Crown Prosecution Service CCU South West) v Wright; R (on the application of Scott and others v Taunton Deane Magistrates' Court. (n.d.).
http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhnonsearch.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21040708707&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21040708708&backKey=20_T21040708709&bct=A&csi=316762&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0

Director of Public Prosecutions v Kilbourne - [1973] 1 All ER 440. (n.d.).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-3KP0-TWP1-60P5&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Director of Public Prosecutions v Wright; R (on the application of Scott and others) v Taunton Deane Magistrates' Court - [2009] 3 All ER 726. (n.d.).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7WB6-C160-Y96Y-G26S&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Duff, A. (2004a). The trial on trial. Hart.

Duff, A. (2004b). The trial on trial. Hart.

Duff, R. A. (2005). Strict Liability, Legal Presumptions, and the Presumption of Innocence. In A. Simester (Ed.), Appraising Strict Liability (pp. 125–150). Oxford University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199278510.003.0006>

Dworkin. (1981). Principle, Policy, Procedure. In Crime, proof and punishment: essays in memory of Sir Rupert Cross. Butterworths.

European Convention on Human Rights and its Five Protocols. (n.d.).
<http://www.hri.org/docs/ECHR50.html>

Evidence - whether a suggested motive for making a false allegation is a fact for the purposes of section 34. (2000). Criminal Law Review.

Francis & Francis (a firm) v Central Criminal Court - [1988] 3 All ER 775. (n.d.).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-49G0-TWP1-60D2&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Funke v France (Application 10828/84) [1993] 1 CMLR 897, 16 EHRR 297. (n.d.). In Court: European Court of Human Rights.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/homesubmitForm.do>

Gafgen v Germany (Application 22978/05) (2010) 52 EHRR 1, [2010] Crim LR 865. (n.d.-a). In Court: European Court of Human Rights.

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21026147269&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0_T21026162818&backKey=20_T21026162819&csi=279841&docNo=3&scrollToPosition=228

Gafgen v Germany (Application 22978/05) (2010) 52 EHRR 1, [2010] Crim LR 865. (n.d.-b). In Court: European Court of Human Rights.

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21026497279&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21026497281&backKey=20_T21026497282&csi=279841&docNo=3&scrollToPosition=228

General Accident Fire and Life Assurance Corp'n Ltd v Tanter, The Zephyr [1984] 1 All ER 35, [1984] 1 WLR 100. (n.d.). In Court: Queen's Bench Division.

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21040226521&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0_T21040230412&backKey=20_T21040230413&csi=279841&docNo=3&hitNo=ORIG HIT_1&scrollToPosition=570

Goddard and another v Nationwide Building Society - [1986] 3 All ER 264. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-3KV0-TWP1-60P5&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Grant v R [2005] EWCA Crim 1089. (n.d.).

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhnonsearch.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21026905936&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21026905937&backKey=20_T21026905938&bct=A&csi=274662&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=222

Great Atlantic Insurance Co v Home Insurance Co and Others - [1981] 2 All ER 485. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-3KV0-TWP1-60T9&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Guinness Peat Properties Ltd and others v Fitzroy Robinson Partnership (a firm) - [1987] 2 All ER 716. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-49F0-TWP1-60FC&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Harmony Shipping Co SA v Davis and others - [1979] 3 All ER 177. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-3KT0-TWP1-612D&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Heaney and McGuinness v Ireland (Application No 34720/97) (2000) 33 EHRR 264, [2000] ECHR 34720/97. (n.d.). In Court: European Court of Human Rights.

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20987345757&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20987345759&backKey=20_T20987345760&csi=279841&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0

Her Majesty's Advocate v P (Scotland). (n.d.).

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21026512848&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21026512850&backKey=20_T21026512851&csi=316762&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0

Ho, H. L. (2011). State entrapment. Legal Studies, 31(1), 71-95.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-121X.2010.00176.x>

Hollingham v Head (1858) 4 CBNS 388, 27 LJCP 241. (n.d.). Court: English Court Pre-Dating November1874.

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20980279300&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0_T20980292983&backKey=20_T20980292984&csi=279841&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=0

Homicide Act 1957. (n.d.). <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Eliz2/5-6/11/section/1>

Human Rights Act 1998. (n.d.). <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1998/42/contents>

Human rights: right to respect for private life Khan v United Kingdom (35394/97) (2001) 31 E.H.R.R. 45 (ECHR). (2000). Criminal Law Review.

Huxley, P. (2014). Blackstone's statutes on evidence: Vol. Blackstone's statutes (13th ed). Oxford University Press.

Istil Group Inc and another v Zahoor and another - [2003] 2 All ER 252. (n.d.). <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J90-TWP1-6017&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

ITC Film Distributors v Video Exchange Ltd and others - [1982] 2 All ER 241. (n.d.). <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-3KT0-TWP1-60PM&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Jalloh v Germany (Application 54810/00) (2006) 44 EHRR 667, [2007] Crim LR 717. (n.d.). In Court: European Court of Human Rights. http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20987323214&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20987323216&backKey=20_T20987323217&csi=279841&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=114

J.D. Jackson. (1986). The insufficiency of identification evidence based on personal impression. Criminal Law Review.

Jones v University of Warwick - [2003] 3 All ER 760. (n.d.). <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-610V&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

JUNIOR REID APPELLANT AND THE QUEEN RESPONDENT ROY DENNIS APPELLANT AND THE QUEEN RESPONDENT OLIVER WHYLIE APPELLANT AND THE QUEEN RESPONDENT ERROL REECE AND OTHERS APPELLANTS AND THE QUEEN RESPONDENT [APPEALS FROM THE COURT OF APPEAL OF JAMAICA] - [1990] 1 A.C. 363. (n.d.). <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JT8-8WR0-TXD8-61P8&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Khan v United Kingdom (Application 35394/97) (2000) 31 EHRR 45, 8 BHRC 310. (n.d.). In Court: European Court of Human Rights. http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20986573662&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20986573665&backKey=20_T20986573666&csi=279841&docNo=8&scrollToPosition=1482

KURUMA, SON OF KANIU APPELLANT; AND THE QUEEN RESPONDENT. ON APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF APPEAL FOR EASTERN AFRICA. - [1955] A.C. 197. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K4W-PD30-TXD8-613J&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Lon L. Fuller and Kenneth I. Winston. (1978). The Forms and Limits of Adjudication. Harvard Law Review, 92(2), 353-409. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1340368>

Lord Ashburton v Pape - [1911-13] All ER Rep 708. (n.d.).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FPP-FDK0-TWXJ-20YW&csi=279846&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

M`Naghten`s Case (1843) 10 Cl. & Fin. 200. (n.d.).
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/docview/attachRetrieve.do?csi=338869&A=0.17910976543836576&ersKey=23_T21040640278&urlEnc=ISO-8859-1&inline=y&smi=60414&componentseq=1&key=7YV4-G0V0-YC0H-X35H-00000-00&type=pdf&displayType=full_pdf&Ini=7YV4-G0V0-YC0H-X35H&docTitle=Daniel%20M|Naghten|s%20Case%20-%20\(1843\)%208%20ER%20718](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/docview/attachRetrieve.do?csi=338869&A=0.17910976543836576&ersKey=23_T21040640278&urlEnc=ISO-8859-1&inline=y&smi=60414&componentseq=1&key=7YV4-G0V0-YC0H-X35H-00000-00&type=pdf&displayType=full_pdf&Ini=7YV4-G0V0-YC0H-X35H&docTitle=Daniel%20M|Naghten|s%20Case%20-%20(1843)%208%20ER%20718)

Minet v Morgan (1873) 8 Ch App 361, 42 LJ Ch 627. (n.d.). In Court: English court pre-dating November 1874. <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/casessubmitForm.do>

Mohammad, R. v [2009] EWCA Crim 1871 (12 June 2009). (n.d.).
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Crim/2009/1871.html>

Munday, R. J. C. (2013). Evidence: Vol. Core text series (Seventh edition). Oxford University Press.

Murray v United Kingdom (Application 18731/91) (1996) 22 EHRR 29, (1996) Times, 9 February. (n.d.). In Court: European Court of Human Rights.
http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20987277155&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20987277157&backKey=20_T20987277158&csi=279841&docNo=17&scrollToPosition=3420

O, R v [2005] EWCA Crim 3082 (2 November 2005). (n.d.).
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Crim/2005/3082.html>

O'Halloran and Francis v United Kingdom (App nos 15809/02 and 25624/02) - [2007] ECHR 15809/02. (n.d.).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4P3V-80X0-TWP1-G1DB&csi=274793&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Parkes v R [1976] 3 All ER 380, [1976] 1 WLR 1251. (n.d.). In Court: Privy Council.
http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/returnTo.do?returnToKey=20_T20987485970

PART 32 - EVIDENCE - Civil Procedure Rules. (n.d.).
<http://www.justice.gov.uk/courts/procedure-rules/civil/rules/part32>

Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984. (n.d.).
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1984/60/section/78>

R (on the Application of Kelly) v Warley Magistrates Court and another. (n.d.).
http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T

21040517053&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21040517055&backKey=20_T21040517056&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=114

R (on the application of Prudential plc and another) v Special Commissioner of Income Tax and another. (n.d.).

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21027018113&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21027018117&backKey=20_T21027018119&csi=316762&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0

R v Acott [1997] 1 All ER 706, [1997] 1 WLR 306. (n.d.). In Court: House of Lords.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/casessubmitForm.do>

R v Alladice (1988) 87 Cr App Rep 380, [1988] Crim LR 608. (n.d.). In Court: Court of Appeal. <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/casessubmitForm.do>

R v Argent. (n.d.-a).

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20995071401&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0_T20995072699&backKey=20_T20995075000&csi=316617&docNo=2&hitNo=ORIGHIT_2&scrollToPosition=114

R v Argent. (n.d.-b).

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20995071401&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0_T20995072699&backKey=20_T20995075000&csi=316617&docNo=2&hitNo=ORIGHIT_2&scrollToPosition=114

R v Aujla [1998] 2 Cr App Rep 16. (n.d.). In Court: Court of Appeal.

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/enhRunRemoteLink.do?lexisReco=true&A=0.9873944799276771&bct=A&service=citation&linkInfo=C%23GB%23CRAPPREP%23YEAR%251998%25VOL%252%25PAGE%2516%25&langcountry=GB&ersKey=23_T21026845671&backKey=null&recommendsType=LexisRecoCitationSuggestions&lexisReco=true

R v Beckles - [2005] 1 All ER 705. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FJF-DXM0-TWP1-61K1&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Bentley (1991) 99 Cr App Rep 342. (n.d.). In Court: Court of Appeal.

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/enhRunRemoteLink.do?lexisReco=true&A=0.021423386029904457&bct=A&service=citation&linkInfo=C%23GB%23CRAPPREP%23VOL%2599%25PAGE%25342%25&langcountry=GB&ersKey=23_T21025695448&backKey=null&recommendsType=LexisRecoCitationSuggestions&lexisReco=true

R v Betts [2001] EWCA Crim 224, [2001] 2 Cr App Rep 257. (n.d.). Court: Court of Appeal.

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/enhRunRemoteLink.do?service=citator&csi=279841&remotekey2=%5B2001%5D+All+ER+%28D%29+108+%28Feb%29&remotekey1=REPORT-CITATION&ersKey=23_T20994647075&backKey=20_T20994647080&citatorCC=GB&docViewState=default

R v Betts and another - [2001] All ER (D) 108 (Feb). (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4SPK-6M40-TWP1-70XD&csi=274665&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Blastland - [1985] 2 All ER 1095. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-49F0-TWP1-615T&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Canale [1990] 2 All ER 187, 91 Cr App Rep 1. (n.d.). In Court: Court of Appeal.

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008243655&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008243657&backKey=20_T21008243658&csi=279841&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=114

R v Chalkley; R v Jeffries - [1998] 2 All ER 155. (n.d.-a).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-60RP&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Chalkley; R v Jeffries - [1998] 2 All ER 155. (n.d.-b).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-60RP&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Chargot Ltd (t/a Contract Services) and others. (n.d.).

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21053050782&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21053050784&backKey=20_T21053050785&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0

R v Christie [1914] AC 545, 10 Cr App Rep 141. (n.d.). In Court: House of Lords.

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20978998189&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20978998191&backKey=20_T20978998192&csi=279841&docNo=23&scrollToPosition=3306

R v Collins; R v Keep [2004] EWCA Crim 33, [2004] 1 WLR 1705. (n.d.). In Court: Court of Appeal. <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/homesubmitForm.do>

R v Compton and others - [2002] All ER (D) 149 (Dec). (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=55Y7-JTV1-DYBP-N08B&csi=274665&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Condrón and Condrón [1997] 1 WLR 827, [1997] 1 Cr App Rep 185. (n.d.). In Court: Court of Appeal.

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20995052851&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20995052853&backKey=20_T20995052854&csi=279841&docNo=6&scrollToPosition=684

R v Crampton (1990) 92 Cr App Rep 369, [1991] Crim LR 277. (n.d.). In Court: Court of Appeal.

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21007956791&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21007956793&backKey=20_T21007956794&csi=279841&docNo=6&scrollToPosition=798

R v Delaney (1988) 88 Cr App Rep 338, 153 JP 103. (n.d.). Court: Court of Appeal.

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008048352&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008048360&backKey=20_T21008048361&csi=279841&docNo=22&scrollToPosition=2622

R v Derby Magistrates' Court, ex parte B; and another appeal - [1995] 4 All ER 526. (n.d.-a).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-61HH&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Derby Magistrates' Court, ex parte B; and another appeal - [1995] 4 All ER 526. (n.d.-b).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-61HH&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Fergus (1993) 98 Cr App Rep 313, 158 JP 49. (n.d.). In Court: Court of Appeal.

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21025816914&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21025816916&backKey=20_T21025816917&csi=279841&docNo=8&scrollToPosition=1127

R v Folan. (n.d.).

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008393644&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008393647&backKey=20_T21008393648&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=114

R v Forbes - [2001] 1 All ER 686. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J90-TWP1-602T&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Foster. (n.d.).

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21007912817&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0_T21007926003&backKey=20_T21007926004&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0

R v Fulling [1987] QB 426, [1987] 2 All ER 65. (n.d.). In Court: Court of Appeal.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/homesubmitForm.do>

R v G. (n.d.).

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21053415028&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21053415030&backKey=20_T21053415031&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0

R v Galbraith - [1981] 2 All ER 1060. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-3KT0-TWP1-614Y&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v George [2002] EWCA Crim 1923, [2003] Crim LR 282. (n.d.). In Court: Court of Appeal.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/homesubmitForm.do>

R v Gill - [1963] 2 All ER 688. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CRN-PP80-TWP1-61NW&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Goldenberg (1988) 88 Cr App Rep 285, 152 JP 557. (n.d.). In Court: Court of Appeal.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/homesubmitForm.do>

*R v Grant - [2005] All ER (D) 44 (May). (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=55Y7-JVY1-DYBP-N226&csi=274665&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Greenwood - [2004] All ER (D) 17 (Jun). (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=55Y7-JW31-DYBP-N4RK&csi=274665&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Hasan - [2005] 4 All ER 685. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4HH7-PSG0-TWP1-60JW&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Hoare; R v Pierce. (n.d.).

http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20995114321&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20995114326&backKey=20_T20995114327&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0

R v Horncastle and others (Appellants) (on appeal from the Court of Appeal Criminal Division) - UKSC_2009_0073_Judgment.pdf. (n.d.).

<https://www.supremecourt.uk/cases/docs/uksc-2009-0073-judgment.pdf>

R v Hunt - [1987] 1 All ER 1. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4S32-G3C0-TWP1-61MM&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v I [2007] EWCA Crim 923, [2007] 2 Cr App Rep 316. (n.d.). In Court: Court of Appeal.

http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/returnTo.do?returnToKey=20_T21025781192

R v Johnstone - [2003] 3 All ER 884. (n.d.-a).

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-7P90-TWP1-61K2&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Johnstone - [2003] 3 All ER 884. (n.d.-b).

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-7P90-TWP1-61K2&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Keenan - [1989] 3 All ER 598. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-49G0-TWP1-603Y&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Keenan [1990] 2 QB 54, [1989] 3 All ER 598. (n.d.). In Court: Court of Appeal.

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/search/homesubmitForm.do>

*R v Keogh - [2007] All ER (D) 105 (Mar). (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=55Y7-JST1-DYBP-N3G4&csi=274665&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Khan (Sultan) - [1996] 3 All ER 289. (n.d.-a).

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J70-TWP1-61K0&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Khan (Sultan) - [1996] 3 All ER 289. (n.d.-b).

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J70-TWP1-61K0&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Khan (Sultan) - [1996] 3 All ER 289. (n.d.-c).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J70-TWP1-61K0&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v King [1983] 1 All ER 929, [1983] 1 WLR 411. (n.d.). In Court: Court of Appeal.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/casessubmitForm.do>

R v King's Lynn Magistrates' Court, ex parte Holland - [1993] 2 All ER 377. (n.d.-a).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-6085&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v King's Lynn Magistrates' Court, ex parte Holland - [1993] 2 All ER 377. (n.d.-b).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-6085&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v King's Lynn Magistrates' Court, ex parte Holland - [1993] 2 All ER 377. (n.d.-c).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-6085&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Lambert - [2001] 3 All ER 577. (n.d.-a).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4HV7-56D0-TWP1-60CD&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Lambert - [2001] 3 All ER 577. (n.d.-b).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4HV7-56D0-TWP1-60CD&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Lambert - [2001] 3 All ER 577. (n.d.-c).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4HV7-56D0-TWP1-60CD&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Lambert - [2001] 3 All ER 577. (n.d.-d).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4HV7-56D0-TWP1-60CD&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Lambert - [2001] 3 All ER 577. (n.d.-e).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4HV7-56D0-TWP1-60CD&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Loizou. (n.d.).
http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20995133865&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20995133867&backKey=20_T20995133868&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0

R v Looseley, A-G's Reference (No 3 of 2000) [2001] UKHL 53, [2001] 4 All ER 897. (n.d.). In Court: House of Lords.
http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21026826239&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21026826241&backKey=20_T21026826242&csi=279841&docNo=3&scrollToPosition=228

R v Looseley; Attorney General's Reference (No 3 of 2000) - [2001] 4 All ER 897. (n.d.).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J90-TWP1-60F8&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Lynch [2007] EWCA Crim 3035, [2008] 1 Cr App Rep 337. (n.d.). In Court: Court of Appeal.

http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008575480&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008575481&backKey=20_T21008575482&csi=279841&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=0

R v Makuwa [2006] EWCA Crim 175, [2006] 1 WLR 2755. (n.d.). In Court: Court of Appeal.

http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21053234878&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0_T21053243664&backKey=20_T21053243665&csi=279841&docNo=3&scrollToPosition=0

R v Marcus [2004] EWCA Crim 3387, [2005] Crim LR 384. (n.d.). In Court: Court of Appeal.

http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008440507&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008450171&backKey=20_T21008450172&csi=279841&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0

R v Mason - [1987] 3 All ER 481. (n.d.-a).

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-49D0-TWP1-61MX&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Mason - [1987] 3 All ER 481. (n.d.-b).

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-49D0-TWP1-61MX&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v McCay - [1991] 1 All ER 232. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4D74-5KD0-TWP1-61F0&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v McGovern - 92 Cr App Rep 228. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4G0V-17T0-TWW8-20J1&csi=280158&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Mushtaq [2005] UKHL 25, [2005] 3 All ER 885. (n.d.). In Court: House of Lords.

http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21007680248&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21007680252&backKey=20_T21007680253&csi=279841&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=114

R v Nagah - (1990) 155 JP 229. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4S1B-CH50-TWW8-S083&csi=280389&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Nathaniel [1995] 2 Cr App Rep 565. (n.d.). In Court: Court of Appeal.

http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/results/enhnonsearch.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21026316349&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21026316350&backKey=20_T21026316351&bct=A&csi=279841&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0

R v O'Leary (1988) 87 Cr App Rep 387, 153 JP 69. (n.d.-a). In Court: Court of Appeal.

http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008351991&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008351996&backKey=20_T21008351997&csi=279841&docNo=31&scrollToPosition=4332

R v O'Leary (1988) 87 Cr App Rep 387, 153 JP 69. (n.d.-b). In Court: Court of Appeal.

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/enhRunRemoteLink.do?lexisReco=true&A=0.8541600682658985&bct=A&service=citation&linkInfo=C%23GB%23CRAPPREP%23VOL%2587%25PAGE%25387%25&langcountry=GB&ersKey=23_T21026189759&backKey=null&recommendsType=LexisRecoCitationSuggestions&lexisReco=true

R v Osborne; R v Virtue - [1973] 1 All ER 649. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-3KN0-TWP1-61CY&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v P and others - [2001] 2 All ER 58. (n.d.-a).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-601M&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v P and others - [2001] 2 All ER 58. (n.d.-b).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-601M&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v P and others - [2001] 2 All ER 58. (n.d.-c).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-601M&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Pace and another. (n.d.-a).

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20986330216&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0_T20986415502&backKey=20_T20986415503&csi=316762&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=114

R v Pace and another. (n.d.-b).

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20986330216&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0_T20986415502&backKey=20_T20986415503&csi=316762&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=114

R v Popat [1998] 2 Cr App Rep 208, 162 JP 369. (n.d.). In Court: Court of Appeal.

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008366337&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008366339&backKey=20_T21008366340&csi=279841&docNo=9&scrollToPosition=1026

R v Quinn. (n.d.).

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008407545&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008408953&backKey=20_T21008408954&csi=316617&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0

R v Ryan. (n.d.).

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008460054&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008460056&backKey=20_T21008460057&csi=316617&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=0

R v S and another - [2009] 1 All ER 716. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7V2C-XHX0-Y96Y-G391&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Samuel [1988] QB 615, [1988] 2 All ER 135. (n.d.). In Court: Court of Appeal.

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T

21008186513&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008186515&backKey=20_T21008186516&csi=279841&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0

R v Sang [1980] AC 402, [1979] 2 All ER 1222. (n.d.-a). In Court: House of Lords.
http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20986427504&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0_T20986430194&backKey=20_T20986430195&csi=279841&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=114

R v Sang [1980] AC 402, [1979] 2 All ER 1222. (n.d.-b). In Court: House of Lords.
http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21025971263&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21025971266&backKey=20_T21025971267&csi=279841&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=0

R v Sawoniuk - [2000] All ER (D) 154. (n.d.).
<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4DXH-MH00-TWP1-713B&csi=274665&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Seaton - [2011] 1 All ER 932. (n.d.).
<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=529J-YKP1-DYBP-M202&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Shannon [2001] 1 WLR 51, [2001] 1 Cr App Rep 168. (n.d.). In Court: Court of Appeal.
http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/results/enhnonsearch.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20986709180&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20986736946&backKey=20_T20986736947&bct=A&csi=279841&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=37

R v Sharp [1988] 1 All ER 65, [1988] 1 WLR 7. (n.d.). In Court: House of Lords.
http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20995187495&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20995187497&backKey=20_T20995187498&csi=279841&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0

R v Sherif and others. (n.d.).
http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008008452&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008018930&backKey=20_T21008018935&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=114

R v Shillibier. (n.d.).
http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008153683&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008153686&backKey=20_T21008153687&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0

R v Slater [1995] 1 Cr App Rep 584, [1995] Crim LR 244. (n.d.). In Court: Court of Appeal.
http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/search/enhRunRemoteLink.do?lexisReco=true&A=0.09429681638724541&bct=A&service=citation&linkInfo=C%23GB%23CRAPPREP%23YEAR%251995%25PAGE%25584%25VOL%251%25&langcountry=GB&ersKey=23_T21025763682&backKey=null&recommendsType=LexisRecoCitationSuggestions&lexisReco=true

R v Smurthwaite; R v Gill - [1994] 1 All ER 898. (n.d.-a).
<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4D74-5KD0-TWP1-6150&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Smurthwaite; R v Gill - [1994] 1 All ER 898. (n.d.-b).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4D74-5KD0-TWP1-6150&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v Stephens and another. (n.d.).

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008163717&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008163719&backKey=20_T21008163720&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0

R v Stone [2005] EWCA Crim 105, [2005] Crim LR 569. (n.d.). In Court: Court of Appeal.

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008257198&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008261500&backKey=20_T21008261501&csi=279841&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=0

R v Thornton [1995] 1 Cr App Rep 578, 158 JP 1155. (n.d.). In Court: Court of Appeal.

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21025748537&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21025748539&backKey=20_T21025748540&csi=279841&docNo=17&scrollToPosition=2052

R v Tompkins (1977) 67 Cr App Rep 181, [1978] Crim LR 290. (n.d.). In Court: Court of Appeal.

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21040260199&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21040262801&backKey=20_T21040262802&csi=279841&docNo=8&scrollToPosition=1140

R v Wahab [2002] EWCA Crim 1570, [2003] 1 Cr App Rep 232. (n.d.). In Court: Court of Appeal.

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21007972525&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0_T21007977013&backKey=20_T21007977014&csi=279841&docNo=3&scrollToPosition=228

R v Walsh (1989) 91 Cr App Rep 161, [1989] Crim LR 822. (n.d.). In Court: Court of Appeal.

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/enhRunRemoteLink.do?lexisReco=true&A=0.09645694219097811&bct=A&service=citation&linkInfo=C%23GB%23CRAPPREP%23PAGE%25161%25VOL%2591%25&langcountry=GB&ersKey=23_T21026259022&backKey=null&recommendsType=LexisRecoCitationSuggestions&lexisReco=true

R v Wang. (n.d.).

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21040610802&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21040610804&backKey=20_T21040610805&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0

R v Webber - [2004] 1 All ER 770. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-7PC0-TWP1-60CC&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Re Barings plc and others; Secretary of State for Trade and Industry v Baker and others - [1998] 1 All ER 673. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-60MS&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Re L (a minor) (police investigation: privilege) - [1996] 2 All ER 78. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-60S4&>

csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t

Re Proulx; R v Bow Street Magistrates' Court and another, ex parte Proulx - [2001] 1 All ER 57. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J90-TWP1-60VD&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

REGINA v. CHRISTOU REGINA v. WRIGHT (CHRISTOPHER) - [1992] Q.B. 979. (n.d.-a).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K3D-RRG0-TXD5-X1CP&csi=296982&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

REGINA v. CHRISTOU REGINA v. WRIGHT (CHRISTOPHER) - [1992] Q.B. 979. (n.d.-b).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K3D-RRG0-TXD5-X1CP&csi=296982&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Regina v Lambert - [2002] 2 AC 545. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JT8-8WT0-TXD8-617R&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

REGINA v. TURNBULL AND ANOTHER REGINA v. WHITBY REGINA v. ROBERTS - [1977] Q.B. 224. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K3D-RRF0-TXD5-X1NY&csi=296982&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Review by: D. J. Galligan. (1988). More Scepticism about Scepticism. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies, 8(2), 249–265. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/764313>

Roberts, P. (2005). Strict Liability and the Presumption of Innocence: An Exposé of Functionalist Assumptions. In A. Simester (Ed.), *Appraising Strict Liability* (pp. 151–194). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199278510.003.0007>

Roberts, P., & Zuckerman, A. A. S. (2010a). *Criminal evidence* (2nd ed). Oxford University Press.

Roberts, P., & Zuckerman, A. A. S. (2010b). *Criminal evidence* (2nd ed). Oxford University Press.

Roberts, P., & Zuckerman, A. A. S. (2010c). *Criminal evidence* (2nd ed). Oxford University Press.

Salabiaku v France (Application 10589/83) (1988) 13 EHRR 379. (n.d.). In Court: European Court of Human Rights. <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/homesubmitForm.do>

Saunders v United Kingdom (Application 19187/91) (1996) 23 EHRR 313, [1998] 1 BCLC 362. (n.d.). In Court: European Court of Human Rights.

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/returnTo.do?returnToKey=20_T20987259613

Scott and another v R; Barnes and others v R - [1989] 2 All ER 305. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-49F0-TWP1-61B1&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Sheldrake v Director of Public Prosecutions. (n.d.).

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21052981419&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21052981421&backKey=20_T21052981422&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0

Sheldrake v Director of Public Prosecutions [2005] 1 All ER 237. (n.d.).

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21052981419&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21052981421&backKey=20_T21052981422&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0

Sheldrake v DPP; A-G's Reference (No 4 of 2002) [2004] UKHL 43, [2005] 1 AC 264.

(n.d.-a). In Court: House of Lords.

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21052999223&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21052999225&backKey=20_T21052999226&csi=279841&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=0

Sheldrake v DPP; A-G's Reference (No 4 of 2002) [2004] UKHL 43, [2005] 1 AC 264.

(n.d.-b). In Court: House of Lords.

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21052999223&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21052999225&backKey=20_T21052999226&csi=279841&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=0

Simester, A. (Ed.). (2005). *Appraising Strict Liability*. Oxford University Press.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199278510.001.0001>

Susan Nash. (1999). What's the matter with section 78? *Criminal Law Review*.

Teixeira de Castro v Portugal (1998) 28 EHRR 101, [1998] Crim LR 751. (n.d.). In Court: European Court of Human Rights.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/casessubmitForm.do>

THE KING APPELLANT; AND CHRISTIE RESPONDENT. - [1914] A.C. 545. (n.d.-a).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K4W-PD60-TXD8-6174&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

THE KING APPELLANT; AND CHRISTIE RESPONDENT. - [1914] A.C. 545. (n.d.-b).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K4W-PD60-TXD8-6174&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

THE QUEEN v. COX AND RAILTON. - (1884) 14 Q.B.D. 153. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K3D-4RX0-TXD5-X1KJ&csi=296982&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Three Rivers District Council and others v Governor and Company of the Bank of England. (n.d.).

http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21040113664&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21040113666&backKey=20_T21040113667&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=115

Tido v R - [2012] 2 LRC 203. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=55J0-H501-DYJ0-81BM&csi=280207&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Twining, W. (2006a). Rethinking evidence: exploratory essays: Vol. Law in context (2nd ed). Cambridge University Press.

Twining, W. (2006b). Rethinking evidence: exploratory essays: Vol. Law in context (2nd ed). Cambridge University Press.

Warren v Attorney General for Jersey - [2011] 2 All ER 513. (n.d.).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=52VH-9P71-DYBP-M0N5&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

WAUGH APPELLANT AND BRITISH RAILWAYS BOARD RESPONDENTS - [1980] A.C. 521. (n.d.).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K4W-PD40-TXD8-61FF&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

WHEELER v. LE MARCHANT. [1880 W. 1793] - (1881) 17 Ch.D. 675. (n.d.).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JT8-6CV0-TXD6-Y1K2&csi=296988&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Williams and another v Director of Public Prosecutions - [1993] 3 All ER 365. (n.d.).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-49F0-TWP1-619X&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Williams, G. (1988). The Logic of "Exceptions". The Cambridge Law Journal, 47(02).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197300118021>

WOOLMINGTON APPELLANT; AND THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC PROSECUTIONS RESPONDENT. - [1935] A.C. 462. (n.d.).
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K4W-PD30-TXD8-60BS&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>