

# LAWS3006: Law of Evidence

Jonathan Rogers (Term 1) :  
Ian Dennis (Term 2)

[View Online](#)



- 
1. Huxley, P.: Blackstone's statutes on evidence. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).
  2. Christina McAlhone: Core statutes on evidence. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2013).
  3. Claire McGourlay.: Evidence statutes 2012-2013. Routledge, London (2013).
  4. Dennis, I.H.: The law of evidence. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2013).
  5. Munday, R.J.C.: Evidence. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom (2013).
  6. Choo, A.L.-T.: Evidence. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).
  - 7.

Ashworth, A., Redmayne, M.: The criminal process. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2010).

8.

Duff, A.: The trial on trial. Hart, Oxford (2004).

9.

Roberts, P., Zuckerman, A.A.S.: Criminal evidence. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2010).

10.

Twining, W.: Rethinking evidence: exploratory essays. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2006).

11.

Ashworth, A., Redmayne, M.: The criminal process. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2010).

12.

Criminal Procedure and Investigations Act 1996,  
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1996/25/contents>.

13.

CPS v F (Rev 2) [2011] EWCA Crim 1844 (21 July 2011),  
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Crim/2011/1844.html>.

14.

Dennis, I.H.: The law of evidence. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2013).

15.

Roberts, P., Zuckerman, A.A.S.: Criminal evidence. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2010).

16.

Lon L. Fuller and Kenneth I. Winston: The Forms and Limits of Adjudication. Harvard Law Review. 92, 353–409 (1978).

17.

Twining, W.: Rethinking evidence: exploratory essays. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2006).

18.

Review by: D. J. Galligan: More Scepticism about Scepticism. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies. 8, 249–265 (1988).

19.

Dworkin: Principle, Policy, Procedure. In: Crime, proof and punishment: essays in memory of Sir Rupert Cross. Butterworths, London (1981).

20.

Duff, A.: The trial on trial. Hart, Oxford (2004).

21.

Human Rights Act 1998, <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1998/42/contents>.

22.

European Convention on Human Rights and its Five Protocols,  
<http://www.hri.org/docs/ECHR50.html>.

23.

R v Horncastle and others (Appellants) (on appeal from the Court of Appeal Criminal Division) - UKSC\_2009\_0073\_Judgment.pdf,  
<https://www.supremecourt.uk/cases/docs/uksc-2009-0073-judgment.pdf>.

24.

CASE OF AL-KHAWAJA AND TAHERY v. THE UNITED KINGDOM (Applications nos. 26766/05 and 22228/06),  
[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-108072#{%22itemid%22:\[%22001-108072%22\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-108072#{%22itemid%22:[%22001-108072%22]}).

25.

R v Christie [1914] AC 545, 10 Cr App Rep 141,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T20978998189&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T20978998191&backKey=20\\_T20978998192&csi=279841&docNo=23&scrollToPosition=3306](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20978998189&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20978998191&backKey=20_T20978998192&csi=279841&docNo=23&scrollToPosition=3306).

26.

Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984,  
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1984/60/section/78>.

27.

R v P and others - [2001] 2 All ER 58,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-601M&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

28.

Brown v Stott (Procurator Fiscal, Dunfermline) and another - [2001] 2 All ER 97,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-6129&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

29.

Dennis, I.H.: The law of evidence. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2013).

30.

Roberts, P., Zuckerman, A.A.S.: Criminal evidence. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2010).

31.

Director of Public Prosecutions v Kilbourne - [1973] 1 All ER 440,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-3KP0-TWP1-60P5&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

32.

Hollingham v Head (1858) 4 CBNS 388, 27 LJCP 241. Court: English court pre-dating November 1874.

33.

R v Blastland - [1985] 2 All ER 1095.

34.

R v Sawoniuk - [2000] All ER (D) 154,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4DXH-MH00-TWP1-713B&csi=274665&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

35.

R v Greenwood - [2004] All ER (D) 17 (Jun),  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=55Y7-JW31-DYBP-N4RK&csi=274665&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

36.

R v Pace and another,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T)

20986330216&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0\_T20986415502&backKey=20\_T20986415503&csi=316762&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=114.

37.

R v Sang [1980] AC 402, [1979] 2 All ER 1222,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T20986427504&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T20986430194&backKey=20\\_T20986430195&csi=279841&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=114](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20986427504&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0_T20986430194&backKey=20_T20986430195&csi=279841&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=114).

38.

R v King's Lynn Magistrates' Court, ex parte Holland - [1993] 2 All ER 377.

39.

R v Smurthwaite; R v Gill - [1994] 1 All ER 898,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4D74-5KD0-TWP1-6150&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

40.

R v Khan (Sultan) - [1996] 3 All ER 289.

41.

Khan v United Kingdom (Application 35394/97) (2000) 31 EHRR 45, 8 BHRC 310,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T20986573662&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T20986573665&backKey=20\\_T20986573666&csi=279841&docNo=8&scrollToPosition=1482](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20986573662&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20986573665&backKey=20_T20986573666&csi=279841&docNo=8&scrollToPosition=1482).

42.

R v Shannon [2001] 1 WLR 51, [2001] 1 Cr App Rep 168,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhnonssearch.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T20986709180&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T20986736946&backKey=20\\_T20986736947&bct=A&csi=279841&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=37](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhnonssearch.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20986709180&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20986736946&backKey=20_T20986736947&bct=A&csi=279841&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=37).

43.

R v P and others - [2001] 2 All ER 58,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-601M&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

44.

David Ormerod and Diane Birch: The evolution of the discretionary exclusion of evidence. Criminal Law Review. (2004).

45.

PART 32 - EVIDENCE - Civil Procedure Rules,  
<http://www.justice.gov.uk/courts/procedure-rules/civil/rules/part32>.

46.

Jones v University of Warwick - [2003] 3 All ER 760,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-610V&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

47.

Dennis, I.H.: The law of evidence. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2013).

48.

Funke v France (Application 10828/84) [1993] 1 CMLR 897, 16 EHRR 297,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/homesubmitForm.do>.

49.

Saunders v United Kingdom (Application 19187/91) (1996) 23 EHRR 313, [1998] 1 BCLC 362, [http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/returnTo.do?returnToKey=20\\_T20987259613](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/returnTo.do?returnToKey=20_T20987259613).

50.

Murray v United Kingdom (Application 18731/91) (1996) 22 EHRR 29, (1996) Times, 9 February,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T20987277155&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T20987277157&backKey=20\\_T20987277158&csi=279841&docNo=17&scrollToPosition=3420](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20987277155&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20987277157&backKey=20_T20987277158&csi=279841&docNo=17&scrollToPosition=3420).

51.

R v S and another - [2009] 1 All ER 716,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=7V2C-XHX0-Y96Y-G391&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

52.

Jalloh v Germany (Application 54810/00) (2006) 44 EHRR 667, [2007] Crim LR 717,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T20987323214&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T20987323216&backKey=20\\_T20987323217&csi=279841&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=114](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20987323214&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20987323216&backKey=20_T20987323217&csi=279841&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=114).

53.

Heaney and McGuinness v Ireland (Application No 34720/97) (2000) 33 EHRR 264, [2000] ECHR 34720/97,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T20987345757&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T20987345759&backKey=20\\_T20987345760&csi=279841&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20987345757&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20987345759&backKey=20_T20987345760&csi=279841&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0).

54.

O'Halloran and Francis v United Kingdom (App nos 15809/02 and 25624/02) - [2007] ECHR 15809/02,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4P3V-80X0-TWP1-G1DB&csi=274793&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

55.

Dennis, I.H.: The law of evidence. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2013).

56.

THE KING APPELLANT; AND CHRISTIE RESPONDENT. - [1914] A.C. 545,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4K4W-PD60-TXD8-6174&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

57.

Parkes v R [1976] 3 All ER 380, [1976] 1 WLR 1251,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/returnTo.do?returnToKey=20\\_T20987485970](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/returnTo.do?returnToKey=20_T20987485970).

58.

O, R v [2005] EWCA Crim 3082 (2 November 2005),  
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Crim/2005/3082.html>.

59.

R v Collins; R v Keep [2004] EWCA Crim 33, [2004] 1 WLR 1705,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/homesubmitForm.do>.

60.

Dennis, I.H.: The law of evidence. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2013).

61.

R v Betts [2001] EWCA Crim 224, [2001] 2 Cr App Rep 257. Court: Court of Appeal.

62.

Evidence - whether a suggested motive for making a false allegation is a fact for the purposes of section 34. Criminal Law Review. (2000).

63.

Adverse inference. Criminal Law Review. (1999).

64.

R v Webber - [2004] 1 All ER 770.

65.

Mohammad, R. v [2009] EWCA Crim 1871 (12 June 2009),  
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Crim/2009/1871.html>.

66.

R v Condron and Condron [1997] 1 WLR 827, [1997] 1 Cr App Rep 185,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T20995052851&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T20995052853&backKey=20\\_T20995052854&csi=279841&docNo=6&scrollToPosition=684](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20995052851&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20995052853&backKey=20_T20995052854&csi=279841&docNo=6&scrollToPosition=684).

67.

R v Argent,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T20995071401&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T20995072699&backKey=20\\_T20995075000&csi=316617&docNo=2&hitNo=ORIGHIT\\_2&scrollToPosition=114](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20995071401&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0_T20995072699&backKey=20_T20995075000&csi=316617&docNo=2&hitNo=ORIGHIT_2&scrollToPosition=114).

68.

R v Argent,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T20995071401&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T20995072699&backKey=20\\_T20995075000&csi=316617&docNo=2&hitNo=ORIGHIT\\_2&scrollToPosition=114](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20995071401&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0_T20995072699&backKey=20_T20995075000&csi=316617&docNo=2&hitNo=ORIGHIT_2&scrollToPosition=114).

69.

R v Betts and another - [2001] All ER (D) 108 (Feb),  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?ini=4SPK-6M40-TWP1-70XD&csi=274665&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

70.

R v Hoare; R v Pierce,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T20995114321&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T20995114326&backKey=20\\_T20995114327&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20995114321&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20995114326&backKey=20_T20995114327&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0).

71.

R v Beckles - [2005] 1 All ER 705,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4FJF-DXM0-TWP1-61K1&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

72.

R v Loizou,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T20995133865&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T20995133867&backKey=20\\_T20995133868&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20995133865&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20995133867&backKey=20_T20995133868&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0).

73.

R v Compton and others - [2002] All ER (D) 149 (Dec).

74.

Dennis, I.H.: The law of evidence. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2013).

75.

R v Sharp [1988] 1 All ER 65, [1988] 1 WLR 7,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T20995187495&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T20995187497&backKey=20\\_T20995187498&csi=279841&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20995187495&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20995187497&backKey=20_T20995187498&csi=279841&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0).

76.

R v Hasan - [2005] 4 All ER 685,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4HH7-PSG0-TWP1-60JW&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

77.

R v Mushtaq [2005] UKHL 25, [2005] 3 All ER 885,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21007680248&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21007680252&backKey=20\\_T21007680253&csi=279841&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=114](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21007680248&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21007680252&backKey=20_T21007680253&csi=279841&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=114).

78.

R v Pace and another,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T20986330216&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T20986415502&backKey=20\\_T20986415503&csi=316762&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=114](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20986330216&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0_T20986415502&backKey=20_T20986415503&csi=316762&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=114).

79.

R v Fulling [1987] QB 426, [1987] 2 All ER 65,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/homesubmitForm.do>.

80.

R v Foster,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21007912817&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21007926003&backKey=20\\_T21007926004&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21007912817&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0_T21007926003&backKey=20_T21007926004&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0).

81.

R v Goldenberg (1988) 88 Cr App Rep 285, 152 JP 557,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/homesubmitForm.do>.

82.

R v Crampton (1990) 92 Cr App Rep 369, [1991] Crim LR 277,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21007956791&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21007956793&backKey=20\\_T21007956794&csi=279841&docNo=6&scrollToPosition=798](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21007956791&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21007956793&backKey=20_T21007956794&csi=279841&docNo=6&scrollToPosition=798).

83.

R v Wahab [2002] EWCA Crim 1570, [2003] 1 Cr App Rep 232,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21007972525&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21007977013&backKey=20\\_T21007977014&csi=279841&docNo=3&scrollToPosition=228](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21007972525&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0_T21007977013&backKey=20_T21007977014&csi=279841&docNo=3&scrollToPosition=228).

84.

Re Proulx; R v Bow Street Magistrates' Court and another, ex parte Proulx - [2001] 1 All ER 57,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-4J90-TWP1-60VD&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

85.

R v Sherif and others,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21008008452&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21008018930&backKey=20\\_T21008018935&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=114](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008008452&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008018930&backKey=20_T21008018935&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=114).

86.

R v Delaney (1988) 88 Cr App Rep 338, 153 JP 103. Court: Court of Appeal.

87.

R v McGovern - 92 Cr App Rep 228,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4G0V-17T0-TWW8-20J1&csi=280158&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

88.

Blackburn, R. v [2005] EWCA Crim 1349 (25 May 2005),  
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Crim/2005/1349.html>.

89.

R v Keenan [1990] 2 QB 54, [1989] 3 All ER 598,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/homesubmitForm.do>.

90.

R v Shillibier,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21008153683&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21008153686&backKey=20\\_T21008153687&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008153683&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008153686&backKey=20_T21008153687&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0).

91.

R v Stephens and another,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21008163717&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21008163719&backKey=20\\_T21008163720&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008163717&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008163719&backKey=20_T21008163720&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0).

92.

R v Samuel [1988] QB 615, [1988] 2 All ER 135,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21008186513&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21008186515&backKey=20\\_T21008186516&csi=279841&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008186513&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008186515&backKey=20_T21008186516&csi=279841&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0).

93.

R v Alladice (1988) 87 Cr App Rep 380, [1988] Crim LR 608,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/casessubmitForm.do>.

94.

Cadder v Her Majesty's Advocate,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21008213565&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21008213567&backKey=20\\_T21008213568&csi=316762&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=228](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008213565&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008213567&backKey=20_T21008213568&csi=316762&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=228).

95.

R v Mason - [1987] 3 All ER 481.

96.

R v Keenan - [1989] 3 All ER 598,

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?ini=4CSP-49G0-TWP1-603Y&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

97.

R v Canale [1990] 2 All ER 187, 91 Cr App Rep 1,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21008243655&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21008243657&backKey=20\\_T21008243658&csi=279841&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=114](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008243655&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008243657&backKey=20_T21008243658&csi=279841&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=114).

98.

R v Stone [2005] EWCA Crim 105, [2005] Crim LR 569,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21008257198&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21008261500&backKey=20\\_T21008261501&csi=279841&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=0](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008257198&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008261500&backKey=20_T21008261501&csi=279841&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=0).

99.

Dennis, I.H.: The law of evidence. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2013).

100.

J.D. Jackson: The insufficiency of identification evidence based on personal impression. Criminal Law Review. (1986).

101.

Andrew Roberts: The problem of mistaken identification: some observations on process. International Journal of Evidence & Proof. (2004).

102.

R v O'Leary (1988) 87 Cr App Rep 387, 153 JP 69,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21008351991&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21008351996&backKey=20\\_T21008351997&csi=279841&docNo=31&scrollToPosition=4332](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008351991&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008351996&backKey=20_T21008351997&csi=279841&docNo=31&scrollToPosition=4332).

103.

R v Popat [1998] 2 Cr App Rep 208, 162 JP 369,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21008366337&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21008366339&backKey=20\\_T21008366340&csi=279841&docNo=9&scrollToPosition=1026](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008366337&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008366339&backKey=20_T21008366340&csi=279841&docNo=9&scrollToPosition=1026).

104.

R v Forbes - [2001] 1 All ER 686,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-4J90-TWP1-602T&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

105.

R v Folan,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21008393644&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21008393647&backKey=20\\_T21008393648&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=114](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008393644&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008393647&backKey=20_T21008393648&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=114).

106.

R v Quinn.

107.

R v Nagah - (1990) 155 JP 229,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4S1B-CH50-TWW8-S083&csi=280389&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

108.

R v Marcus [2004] EWCA Crim 3387, [2005] Crim LR 384,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21008440507&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21008450171&backKey=20\\_T21008450172&csi=279841&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008440507&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008450171&backKey=20_T21008450172&csi=279841&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0).

109.

R v Ryan,

[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21008460054&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21008460056&backKey=20\\_T21008460057&csi=316617&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=0](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008460054&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008460056&backKey=20_T21008460057&csi=316617&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=0).

110.

Barnes v Chief Constable of Durham,

[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21008480967&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21008480969&backKey=20\\_T21008480970&csi=316617&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008480967&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008480969&backKey=20_T21008480970&csi=316617&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0).

111.

Tido v R - [2012] 2 LRC 203,

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=55J0-H501-DYJ0-81BM&csi=280207&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

112.

THE KING APPELLANT; AND CHRISTIE RESPONDENT. - [1914] A.C. 545,

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4K4W-PD60-TXD8-6174&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

113.

R v Osborne; R v Virtue - [1973] 1 All ER 649,

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-3KN0-TWP1-61CY&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

114.

R v McCay - [1991] 1 All ER 232,

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4D74-5KD0-TWP1-61F0&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

115.

Criminal Justice Act 2003 (2003 c 44),

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4ST8-61N0-TWPY-Y1DV&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

116.

R v Lynch [2007] EWCA Crim 3035, [2008] 1 Cr App Rep 337,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21008575480&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21008575481&backKey=20\\_T21008575482&csi=279841&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=0](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21008575480&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21008575481&backKey=20_T21008575482&csi=279841&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=0).

117.

Chinn, R. v [2012] EWCA Crim 501 (15 March 2012),  
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Crim/2012/501.html>.

118.

R v George [2002] EWCA Crim 1923, [2003] Crim LR 282,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/homesubmitForm.do>.

119.

REGINA v. TURNBULL AND ANOTHER REGINA v. WHITBY REGINA v. ROBERTS - [1977] Q.B. 224,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4K3D-RRF0-TXD5-X1NY&csi=296982&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

120.

R v Bentley (1991) 99 Cr App Rep 342,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/enhRunRemoteLink.do?lexisReco=true&A=0.021423386029904457&bct=A&service=citation&linkInfo=C%23GB%23CRAPPREP%23VOL%2599%25PAGE%25342%25&langcountry=GB&ersKey=23\\_T21025695448&backKey=null&recommendsType=LexisRecoCitationSuggestions&lexisReco=true](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/enhRunRemoteLink.do?lexisReco=true&A=0.021423386029904457&bct=A&service=citation&linkInfo=C%23GB%23CRAPPREP%23VOL%2599%25PAGE%25342%25&langcountry=GB&ersKey=23_T21025695448&backKey=null&recommendsType=LexisRecoCitationSuggestions&lexisReco=true).

121.

JUNIOR REID APPELLANT AND THE QUEEN RESPONDENT ROY DENNIS APPELLANT AND THE QUEEN RESPONDENT OLIVER WHYLIE APPELLANT AND THE QUEEN RESPONDENT ERROL REECE AND OTHERS APPELLANTS AND THE QUEEN RESPONDENT [APPEALS FROM THE COURT OF APPEAL OF JAMAICA] - [1990] 1 A.C. 363.

122.

Daley v R - [1993] 4 All ER 86,

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-61JR&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

123.

R v Thornton [1995] 1 Cr App Rep 578, 158 JP 1155,

[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21025748537&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21025748539&backKey=20\\_T21025748540&csi=279841&docNo=17&scrollToPosition=2052](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21025748537&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21025748539&backKey=20_T21025748540&csi=279841&docNo=17&scrollToPosition=2052).

124.

R v Slater [1995] 1 Cr App Rep 584, [1995] Crim LR 244,

[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/enhRunRemoteLink.do?lexisReco=true&A=0.09429681638724541&bct=A&service=citation&linkInfo=C%23GB%23CRAPPREP%23YEAR%251995%25PAGE%25584%25VOL%251%25&langcountry=GB&ersKey=23\\_T21025763682&backKey=null&recommendsType=LexisRecoCitationSuggestions&lexisReco=true](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/enhRunRemoteLink.do?lexisReco=true&A=0.09429681638724541&bct=A&service=citation&linkInfo=C%23GB%23CRAPPREP%23YEAR%251995%25PAGE%25584%25VOL%251%25&langcountry=GB&ersKey=23_T21025763682&backKey=null&recommendsType=LexisRecoCitationSuggestions&lexisReco=true).

125.

R v I [2007] EWCA Crim 923, [2007] 2 Cr App Rep 316,

[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/returnTo.do?returnToKey=20\\_T21025781192](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/returnTo.do?returnToKey=20_T21025781192).

126.

R v Fergus (1993) 98 Cr App Rep 313, 158 JP 49,

[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21025816914&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21025816916&backKey=20\\_T21025816917&csi=279841&docNo=8&scrollToPosition=1127](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21025816914&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21025816916&backKey=20_T21025816917&csi=279841&docNo=8&scrollToPosition=1127).

127.

Devlin, P.: *The judge*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1979).

128.

Dennis, I.H.: *The law of evidence*. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2013).

129.

KURUMA, SON OF KANIU APPELLANT; AND THE QUEEN RESPONDENT. ON APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF APPEAL FOR EASTERN AFRICA. - [1955] A.C. 197,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4K4W-PD30-TXD8-613J&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

130.

R v Sang [1980] AC 402, [1979] 2 All ER 1222,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21025971263&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21025971266&backKey=20\\_T21025971267&csi=279841&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=0](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21025971263&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21025971266&backKey=20_T21025971267&csi=279841&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=0).

131.

Scott and another v R; Barnes and others v R - [1989] 2 All ER 305,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-49F0-TWP1-61B1&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

132.

A and others v Secretary of State for the Home Department (No 2) - [2006] 1 All ER 575.

133.

R v King's Lynn Magistrates' Court, ex parte Holland - [1993] 2 All ER 377,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-6085&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

134.

R v Smurthwaite; R v Gill - [1994] 1 All ER 898,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4D74-5KD0-TWP1-6150&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

135.

R v Khan (Sultan) - [1996] 3 All ER 289,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-4J70-TWP1-61K0&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

136.

Human rights: right to respect for private life Khan v United Kingdom (35394/97) (2001) 31 E.H.R.R. 45 (ECHR). Criminal Law Review. (2000).

137.

R v Chalkley; R v Jeffries - [1998] 2 All ER 155,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-60RP&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

138.

Gafgen v Germany (Application 22978/05) (2010) 52 EHRR 1, [2010] Crim LR 865,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21026147269&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21026162818&backKey=20\\_T21026162819&csi=279841&docNo=3&scrollToPosition=228](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21026147269&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0_T21026162818&backKey=20_T21026162819&csi=279841&docNo=3&scrollToPosition=228).

139.

R v O'Leary (1988) 87 Cr App Rep 387, 153 JP 69,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/enhRunRemoteLink.do?lexisReco=true&A=0.8541600682658985&bct=A&service=citation&linkInfo=C%23GB%23CRAPPREP%23VOL%2587%25PAGE%25387%25&langcountry=GB&ersKey=23\\_T21026189759&backKey=null&recommendsType=LexisRecoCitationSuggestions&lexisReco=true](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/enhRunRemoteLink.do?lexisReco=true&A=0.8541600682658985&bct=A&service=citation&linkInfo=C%23GB%23CRAPPREP%23VOL%2587%25PAGE%25387%25&langcountry=GB&ersKey=23_T21026189759&backKey=null&recommendsType=LexisRecoCitationSuggestions&lexisReco=true).

140.

REGINA v. CHRISTOU REGINA v. WRIGHT (CHRISTOPHER) - [1992] Q.B. 979,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4K3D-RRG0-TXD5-X1CP&csi=296982&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

141.

R v King's Lynn Magistrates' Court, ex parte Holland - [1993] 2 All ER 377,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-6085&>

csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t.

142.

R v Chalkley; R v Jeffries - [1998] 2 All ER 155,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-60RP&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

143.

R v Walsh (1989) 91 Cr App Rep 161, [1989] Crim LR 822,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/enhRunRemoteLink.do?lexisReco=true&A=0.09645694219097811&bct=A&service=citation&linkInfo=C%23GB%23CRAPPREP%23PAGE%25161%25VOL%2591%25&langcountry=GB&ersKey=23\\_T21026259022&backKey=null&recommendsType=LexisRecoCitationSuggestions&lexisReco=true](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/enhRunRemoteLink.do?lexisReco=true&A=0.09645694219097811&bct=A&service=citation&linkInfo=C%23GB%23CRAPPREP%23PAGE%25161%25VOL%2591%25&langcountry=GB&ersKey=23_T21026259022&backKey=null&recommendsType=LexisRecoCitationSuggestions&lexisReco=true).

144.

Susan Nash: What's the matter with section 78? Criminal Law Review. (1999).

145.

David Ormerod and Diane Birch: The evolution of the discretionary exclusion of evidence. Criminal Law Review. (2004).

146.

R v Nathaniel [1995] 2 Cr App Rep 565,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhnonssearch.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21026316349&format=GFBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21026316350&backKey=20\\_T21026316351&bct=A&csi=279841&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhnonssearch.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21026316349&format=GFBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21026316350&backKey=20_T21026316351&bct=A&csi=279841&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0).

147.

Attorney General's Reference (No 3 of 1999) - [2001] 1 All ER 577,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-4J70-TWP1-61J5&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

148.

Criminal Justice and Police Act 2001,  
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2001/16/section/82>.

149.

R v Khan (Sultan) - [1996] 3 All ER 289,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-4J70-TWP1-61K0&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

150.

R v P and others - [2001] 2 All ER 58,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-601M&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

151.

Gafgen v Germany (Application 22978/05) (2010) 52 EHRR 1, [2010] Crim LR 865,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21026497279&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21026497281&backKey=20\\_T21026497282&csi=279841&docNo=3&scrollToPosition=228](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21026497279&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21026497281&backKey=20_T21026497282&csi=279841&docNo=3&scrollToPosition=228).

152.

Her Majesty's Advocate v P (Scotland),  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21026512848&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21026512850&backKey=20\\_T21026512851&csi=316762&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21026512848&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21026512850&backKey=20_T21026512851&csi=316762&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0).

153.

R v Mason - [1987] 3 All ER 481,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-49D0-TWP1-61MX&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

154.

REGINA v. CHRISTOU REGINA v. WRIGHT (CHRISTOPHER) - [1992] Q.B. 979,

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4K3D-RRG0-TXD5-X1CP&csi=296982&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

155.

Williams and another v Director of Public Prosecutions - [1993] 3 All ER 365,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-49F0-TWP1-619X&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

156.

R v Looseley; Attorney General's Reference (No 3 of 2000) - [2001] 4 All ER 897,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-4J90-TWP1-60F8&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

157.

Teixeira de Castro v Portugal (1998) 28 EHRR 101, [1998] Crim LR 751,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/casessubmitForm.do>.

158.

Ho, H.L.: State entrapment. Legal Studies. 31, 71–95 (2011).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-121X.2010.00176.x>.

159.

R v Looseley, A-G's Reference (No 3 of 2000) [2001] UKHL 53, [2001] 4 All ER 897,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21026826239&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21026826241&backKey=20\\_T21026826242&csi=279841&docNo=3&scrollToPosition=228](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21026826239&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21026826241&backKey=20_T21026826242&csi=279841&docNo=3&scrollToPosition=228).

160.

R v Aujla [1998] 2 Cr App Rep 16,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/enhRunRemoteLink.do?lexisReco=true&A=0.9873944799276771&bct=A&service=citation&linkInfo=C%23GB%23CRAPPREP%23YEAR%251998%25VOL%252%25PAGE%2516%25&langcountry=GB&ersKey=23\\_T21026845671&backKey=null&recommendsType=LexisRecoCitationSuggestions&lexisReco=true](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/enhRunRemoteLink.do?lexisReco=true&A=0.9873944799276771&bct=A&service=citation&linkInfo=C%23GB%23CRAPPREP%23YEAR%251998%25VOL%252%25PAGE%2516%25&langcountry=GB&ersKey=23_T21026845671&backKey=null&recommendsType=LexisRecoCitationSuggestions&lexisReco=true).

161.

Grant v R [2005] EWCA Crim 1089,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhnnonsearch.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21026905936&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21026905937&backKey=20\\_T21026905938&bct=A&csi=274662&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=222](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhnnonsearch.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21026905936&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21026905937&backKey=20_T21026905938&bct=A&csi=274662&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=222).

162.

Warren v Attorney General for Jersey - [2011] 2 All ER 513.

163.

Dennis, I.H.: The law of evidence. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2013).

164.

Minet v Morgan (1873) 8 Ch App 361, 42 LJ Ch 627,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/casessubmitForm.do>.

165.

R v Derby Magistrates' Court, ex parte B; and another appeal - [1995] 4 All ER 526,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-61HH&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

166.

R (on the application of Prudential plc and another) v Special Commissioner of Income Tax and another,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21027018113&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21027018117&backKey=20\\_T21027018119&csi=316762&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21027018113&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21027018117&backKey=20_T21027018119&csi=316762&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0).

167.

BRENNAN v. THE UNITED KINGDOM - 39846/98 [2001] ECHR 596 (16 October 2001),  
<http://www.bailii.org/eu/cases/ECHR/2001/596.html>.

168.

\*R v Grant - [2005] All ER (D) 44 (May),  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=55Y7-JVY1-DYBP-N226&csi=274665&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

169.

Balabel and another v Air-India - [1988] 2 All ER 246,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-49D0-TWP1-61NN&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

170.

R v King [1983] 1 All ER 929, [1983] 1 WLR 411,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/casessubmitForm.do>.

171.

Three Rivers District Council and others v Governor and Company of the Bank of England,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21040113664&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21040113666&backKey=20\\_T21040113667&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=115](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21040113664&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21040113666&backKey=20_T21040113667&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=115).

172.

THE QUEEN v. COX AND RAILTON. - (1884) 14 Q.B.D. 153,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4K3D-4RX0-TXD5-X1KJ&csi=296982&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

173.

Barclays Bank plc and others v Eustice and others - [1995] 4 All ER 511,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-618B&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

174.

Butler v Board of Trade [1971] Ch 680, [1970] 3 All ER 593,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21040153841&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21040153843&backKey=20\\_T21040153844&csi=279841&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21040153841&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21040153843&backKey=20_T21040153844&csi=279841&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0).

175.

Francis & Francis (a firm) v Central Criminal Court - [1988] 3 All ER 775,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-49G0-TWP1-60D2&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

176.

R v Seaton - [2011] 1 All ER 932,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=529J-YKP1-DYBP-M202&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

177.

Great Atlantic Insurance Co v Home Insurance Co and Others - [1981] 2 All ER 485,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-3KV0-TWP1-60T9&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

178.

General Accident Fire and Life Assurance Corp Ltd v Tanter, The Zephyr [1984] 1 All ER 35, [1984] 1 WLR 100,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21040226521&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21040230412&backKey=20\\_T21040230413&csi=279841&docNo=3&hitNo=ORIGHIT\\_1&scrollToPosition=570](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21040226521&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0_T21040230412&backKey=20_T21040230413&csi=279841&docNo=3&hitNo=ORIGHIT_1&scrollToPosition=570).

179.

CALCRAFT v. GUEST. - [1898] 1 Q.B. 759,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4K4F-RWM0-TXD5-X1CM&csi=296982&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

180.

R v Tompkins (1977) 67 Cr App Rep 181, [1978] Crim LR 290,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21040260199&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21040262801&backKey=20\\_T21040262802&csi=279841&docNo=8&scrollToPosition=1140](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21040260199&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21040262801&backKey=20_T21040262802&csi=279841&docNo=8&scrollToPosition=1140).

181.

ITC Film Distributors v Video Exchange Ltd and others - [1982] 2 All ER 241,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-3KT0-TWP1-60PM&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

182.

Lord Ashburton v Pape - [1911-13] All ER Rep 708,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4FPP-FDK0-TWXJ-20YW&csi=279846&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

183.

Goddard and another v Nationwide Building Society - [1986] 3 All ER 264,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-3KV0-TWP1-60P5&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

184.

BUTLER v. BOARD OF TRADE [1970 B. No. 1479] - [1971] Ch. 680,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4JT8-6CY0-TXD6-Y17B&csi=296988&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

185.

Istil Group Inc and another v Zahoor and another - [2003] 2 All ER 252,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-4J90-TWP1-6017&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

186.

R v Derby Magistrates' Court, ex parte B; and another appeal - [1995] 4 All ER 526,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-61HH&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

187.

WHEELER v. LE MARCHANT. [1880 W. 1793] - (1881) 17 Ch.D. 675,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4JT8-6CV0-TXD6-Y1K2&csi=296988&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

188.

WAUGH APPELLANT AND BRITISH RAILWAYS BOARD RESPONDENTS - [1980] A.C. 521,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4K4W-PD40-TXD8-61FF&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

189.

ALFRED CROMPTON AMUSEMENT MACHINES LTD. APPELLANTS AND CUSTOMS AND EXCISE COMMISSIONERS (No. 2) RESPONDENTS - [1974] A.C. 405,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4K4W-PD40-TXD8-6168&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

190.

Guinness Peat Properties Ltd and others v Fitzroy Robinson Partnership (a firm) - [1987] 2 All ER 716,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-49F0-TWP1-60FC&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

191.

Re Barings plc and others; Secretary of State for Trade and Industry v Baker and others - [1998] 1 All ER 673,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-60MS&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

192.

Harmony Shipping Co SA v Davis and others - [1979] 3 All ER 177,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-3KT0-TWP1-612D&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

193.

R (on the Application of Kelly) v Warley Magistrates Court and another,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21040517053&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21040517055&backKey=20\\_T21040517056&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=114](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21040517053&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21040517055&backKey=20_T21040517056&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=114).

194.

Re L (a minor) (police investigation: privilege) - [1996] 2 All ER 78,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-60S4&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

195.

Dennis, I.H.: The law of evidence. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2013).

196.

WOOLMINGTON APPELLANT; AND THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC PROSECUTIONS RESPONDENT.  
- [1935] A.C. 462,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4K4W-PD30-TXD8-60BS&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

197.

R v Galbraith - [1981] 2 All ER 1060,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-3KT0-TWP1-614Y&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

198.

R v Gill - [1963] 2 All ER 688,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CRN-PP80-TWP1-61NW&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

199.

R v Acott [1997] 1 All ER 706, [1997] 1 WLR 306,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/casessubmitForm.do>.

200.

BRATTY APPELLANT; AND ATTORNEY-GENERAL FOR NORTHERN IRELAND RESPONDENT. - [1963] A.C. 386,

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4K4W-PD40-TXD8-6199&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

201.

R v Wang,

[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21040610802&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21040610804&backKey=20\\_T21040610805&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21040610802&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21040610804&backKey=20_T21040610805&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0).

202.

Regina v Lambert - [2002] 2 AC 545,

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4JT8-8WT0-TXD8-617R&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

203.

Dennis, I.H.: The law of evidence. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2013).

204.

M`Naghten`s Case (1843) 10 Cl. & Fin. 200,

[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/docview/attachRetrieve.do?csi=338869&A=0.17910976543836576&ersKey=23\\_T21040640278&urlEnc=ISO-8859-1&inline=y&smi=60414&componentseq=1&key=7YV4-G0V0-YC0H-X35H-00000-00&type=pdf&displayType=full\\_pdf&lni=7YV4-G0V0-YC0H-X35H&docTitle=Daniel%20M|Naghten|s%20Case%20-%20\(1843\)%208%20ER%20718](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/docview/attachRetrieve.do?csi=338869&A=0.17910976543836576&ersKey=23_T21040640278&urlEnc=ISO-8859-1&inline=y&smi=60414&componentseq=1&key=7YV4-G0V0-YC0H-X35H-00000-00&type=pdf&displayType=full_pdf&lni=7YV4-G0V0-YC0H-X35H&docTitle=Daniel%20M|Naghten|s%20Case%20-%20(1843)%208%20ER%20718).

205.

Homicide Act 1957, <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Eliz2/5-6/11/section/1>.

206.

R v Hunt - [1987] 1 All ER 1,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4S32-G3C0-TWP1-61MM&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

207.

Director of Public Prosecutions (Crown Prosecution Service CCU South West) v Wright; R (on the application of Scott and others v Taunton Deane Magistrates' Court,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhnnonsearch.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21040708707&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21040708708&backKey=20\\_T21040708709&bct=A&csi=316762&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhnnonsearch.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21040708707&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21040708708&backKey=20_T21040708709&bct=A&csi=316762&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0).

208.

Williams, G.: The Logic of "Exceptions". The Cambridge Law Journal. 47, (1988).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197300118021>.

209.

Salabiaku v France (Application 10589/83) (1988) 13 EHRR 379,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/homesubmitForm.do>.

210.

R v Lambert - [2001] 3 All ER 577,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4HV7-56D0-TWP1-60CD&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

211.

Sheldrake v Director of Public Prosecutions,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21052981419&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21052981421&backKey=20\\_T21052981422&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21052981419&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21052981421&backKey=20_T21052981422&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0).

212.

Sheldrake v DPP; A-G's Reference (No 4 of 2002) [2004] UKHL 43, [2005] 1 AC 264,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21052999223&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21052999225&backKey=20\\_T21052999226&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21052999223&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21052999225&backKey=20_T21052999226&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0).

ey=20\_T21052999226&csi=279841&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=0.

213.

R v Lambert - [2001] 3 All ER 577,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4HV7-56D0-TWP1-60CD&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

214.

R v Johnstone - [2003] 3 All ER 884,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-7P90-TWP1-61K2&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

215.

R v Chargot Ltd (t/a Contract Services) and others,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21053050782&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21053050784&backKey=20\\_T21053050785&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21053050782&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21053050784&backKey=20_T21053050785&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0).

216.

R v Lambert - [2001] 3 All ER 577,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4HV7-56D0-TWP1-60CD&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

217.

R v Johnstone - [2003] 3 All ER 884,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-7P90-TWP1-61K2&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

218.

\*R v Keogh - [2007] All ER (D) 105 (Mar).

219.

R v Lambert - [2001] 3 All ER 577,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4HV7-56D0-TWP1-60CD&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

220.

Sheldrake v Director of Public Prosecutions [2005] 1 All ER 237,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21052981419&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21052981421&backKey=20\\_T21052981422&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21052981419&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21052981421&backKey=20_T21052981422&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0).

221.

A-G's Reference (No 1 of 2004), R v Edwards [2004] EWCA Crim 1025, [2005] 4 All ER 457,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/enhRunRemoteLink.do?lexisReco=true&A=0.8295584090903456&bct=A&service=citation&linkInfo=C%23GB%23EWCACRIM%23PAGE%251025%25YEAR%252004%25&langcountry=GB&ersKey=23\\_T21053213177&backKey=nul&recommendsType=LexisRecoCitationSuggestions&lexisReco=true](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/enhRunRemoteLink.do?lexisReco=true&A=0.8295584090903456&bct=A&service=citation&linkInfo=C%23GB%23EWCACRIM%23PAGE%251025%25YEAR%252004%25&langcountry=GB&ersKey=23_T21053213177&backKey=nul&recommendsType=LexisRecoCitationSuggestions&lexisReco=true).

222.

R v Makuwa [2006] EWCA Crim 175, [2006] 1 WLR 2755,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21053234878&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21053243664&backKey=20\\_T21053243665&csi=279841&docNo=3&scrollToPosition=0](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21053234878&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=1&resultsUrlKey=0_T21053243664&backKey=20_T21053243665&csi=279841&docNo=3&scrollToPosition=0).

223.

Director of Public Prosecutions v Wright; R (on the application of Scott and others) v Taunton Deane Magistrates' Court - [2009] 3 All ER 726,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=7WB6-C160-Y96Y-G26S&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

224.

A-G's Reference (No 1 of 2004), R v Edwards [2004] EWCA Crim 1025, [2005] 4 All ER 457,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/enhRunRemoteLink.do?lexisReco=true&A=0.8295584090903456&bct=A&service=citation&linkInfo=C%23GB%23EWCACRIM%23PAGE%251025%25YEAR%252004%25&langcountry=GB&ersKey=23\\_T21053213177&backKey=nul&recommendsType=LexisRecoCitationSuggestions&lexisReco=true](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/enhRunRemoteLink.do?lexisReco=true&A=0.8295584090903456&bct=A&service=citation&linkInfo=C%23GB%23EWCACRIM%23PAGE%251025%25YEAR%252004%25&langcountry=GB&ersKey=23_T21053213177&backKey=nul&recommendsType=LexisRecoCitationSuggestions&lexisReco=true).

225.

Sheldrake v DPP; A-G's Reference (No 4 of 2002) [2004] UKHL 43, [2005] 1 AC 264,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21052999223&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21052999225&backKey=20\\_T21052999226&csi=279841&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=0](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21052999223&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21052999225&backKey=20_T21052999226&csi=279841&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=0).

226.

R v Lambert - [2001] 3 All ER 577,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4HV7-56D0-TWP1-60CD&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

227.

Barnfather v Islington Education Authority [2003] EWHC 418 (Admin), [2003] 1 WLR 2318,  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/search/casessubmitForm.do>.

228.

R v G,  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T21053415028&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T21053415030&backKey=20\\_T21053415031&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T21053415028&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T21053415030&backKey=20_T21053415031&csi=274662&docNo=1&scrollToPosition=0).

229.

Simester, A. ed: Appraising Strict Liability. Oxford University Press (2005).  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199278510.001.0001>.

230.

Roberts, P.: Strict Liability and the Presumption of Innocence: An Exposé of Functionalist Assumptions. In: Simester, A. (ed.) Appraising Strict Liability. pp. 151–194. Oxford University Press (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199278510.003.0007>.

231.

Duff, R.A.: Strict Liability, Legal Presumptions, and the Presumption of Innocence. In: Simester, A. (ed.) Appraising Strict Liability. pp. 125–150. Oxford University Press (2005).

<https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199278510.003.0006>.