

PUBLG105: Advanced Qualitative Methods: Alexandra Hartman

[View Online](#)

1.

Halperin, Sandra, Heath, Oliver. Political Research: Methods and Practical Skills. Oxford University Press; 2012.

2.

Yanow D, Schwartz-Shea P. Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Processes.

3.

Andrew Bennett, Jeffrey T. Checkel, eds. Process Tracing. Cambridge University Press; 2014. doi:10.1017/CBO9781139858472

4.

Gladwell, Malcolm. Starting Over. The New Yorker. (24).
<http://search.proquest.com/docview/1710005035/5DF956BAF60842A3PQ/8?accountid=14511>

5.

Halperin, Sandra, Heath, Oliver. Political Research: Methods and Practical Skills. Oxford University Press; 2012.

6.

Schatz E. Introduction to Political ethnography: what immersion contributes to the study of

power. In: Political Ethnography: What Immersion Contributes to the Study of Power. The University of Chicago Press; 2009.
<http://UCL.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=3038320>

7.

Yanow D, Schwartz-Shea P. Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Processes.

8.

James Mahoney and Gary Goertz. A Tale of Two Cultures: Contrasting Quantitative and Qualitative Research. Political Analysis. 2006;14(3):227-249.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/25791851?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

9.

Collier, David, Brady, Henry E. Rethinking Social Inquiry: Diverse Tools, Shared Standards. 2nd ed. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers; 2010.

10.

Popper KR. The Logic of Scientific Discovery. Vol Routledge classics. Routledge; 2002.
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203994627>

11.

Lisa Wedeen. Ideology and Humor in Dark Times: Notes from Syria. Critical Inquiry. 2013;39(4):841-873. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/671358>

12.

Lakatos I. Falsification and the Methodology of Scientific Research Programs in Criticism and the Growth of Knowledge. In: Lakatos I, Musgrave A, eds. Criticism and the Growth of Knowledge. Cambridge University Press; 1970. doi:10.1017/CBO9781139171434

13.

Freedman D. Statistical models and shoe leather. *Mathematical Social Sciences*. 1989;18(2). doi:10.1016/0165-4896(89)90047-4

14.

McKeown TJ. Case Studies and the Statistical Worldview: Review of King, Keohane, and Verba's *Designing Social Inquiry: Scientific Inference in Qualitative Research*. *International Organization*. 1999;53(01):161-190. doi:10.1162/002081899550841

15.

Gabriel A. Almond and Stephen J. Genco. Clouds, Clocks, and the Study of Politics. *World Politics*. 1977;29(4):489-522.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/2010037?origin=crossref&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

16.

Posner, Daniel N. The Political Salience of Cultural Difference: Why Chewas and Tumbukas Are Allies in Zambia and Adversaries in Malawi. *American Political Science Review*. (4):529-545.
http://search.proquest.com/docview/60734132?rfr_id=info%3Axri%2Fsid%3Aprimo

17.

Hansen L. 'Ontologies, Epistemologies, Methodologies' in *Gender Matters in Global Politics: a Feminist Introduction to International Relations*. In: *Gender Matters in Global Politics*. Routledge; 2009. doi:10.4324/9780203864944

18.

Halperin, Sandra, Heath, Oliver. *Political Research: Methods and Practical Skills*. Oxford University Press; 2012.

19.

Geddes B. Big Questions, Little Answers: How the Questions You Choose Affect the Answers You Get, Chapter 2. In: *Paradigms and Sand Castles: Theory Building and*

Research Design in Comparative Politics. Vol Analytical perspectives on politics. University of Michigan Press; 2003.

20.

Ian Shapiro. Problems, Methods, and Theories in the Study of Politics, or What's Wrong with Political Science and What to Do about It. *Political Theory*. 2002;30(4):596-619.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/3072623?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

21.

Giovanni Sartori. Concept Misformation in Comparative Politics. *The American Political Science Review*. 1970;64(4):1033-1053.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/1958356?origin=crossref&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

22.

John Gerring. What Makes a Concept Good? A Criterial Framework for Understanding Concept Formation in the Social Sciences. *Polity*. 1999;31(3):357-393.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/3235246?origin=crossref&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

23.

Robert Adcock and David Collier. Measurement Validity: A Shared Standard for Qualitative and Quantitative Research. *The American Political Science Review*. 2001;95(3):529-546.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/3118231?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

24.

Schatz E. Chapters 1 & 3. In: *Political Ethnography: What Immersion Contributes to the Study of Power*. The University of Chicago Press; 2009.
<http://UCL.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=3038320>

25.

Schatz, Edward. Chapters 1 & 3. In: *Political Ethnography: What Immersion Contributes to the Study of Power*. University of Chicago Press; 2009.

26.

Yanow D, Schwartz-Shea P. Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Processes.

27.

Dunne C. The place of the literature review in grounded theory research. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*. 2011;14(2):111-124.
doi:10.1080/13645579.2010.494930

28.

Mason J. Mixing methods in a qualitatively driven way. *Qualitative Research*. 2006;6(1):9-25. doi:10.1177/1468794106058866

29.

'Symposium: Peregrine Schwartz-Shea and Dvora Yanow's Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Processes'. (Fall 2012).
http://www.maxwell.syr.edu/uploadedFiles/moynihan/cqrm/Newsletter10_2.pdf

30.

BLATTMAN C, HARTMAN AC, BLAIR RA. How to Promote Order and Property Rights under Weak Rule of Law? An Experiment in Changing Dispute Resolution Behavior through Community Education. *American Political Science Review*. 2014;108(01):100-120.
doi:10.1017/S0003055413000543

31.

Halperin, Sandra, Heath, Oliver. *Political Research: Methods and Practical Skills*. Oxford University Press; 2012.

32.

Wood E. 'Field Research' in *Oxford Handbook of Comparative Politics*. In: *The Oxford Handbook of Comparative Politics* Less...
More states authoritarianism parties voters collective Action institutions electoral Systems federalism judiciary bureaucracy. ; 2008.

<http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199566020.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199566020>

33.

Hollander JA. The Social Contexts of Focus Groups. *Journal of Contemporary Ethnography*. 2004;33(5):602-637. doi:10.1177/0891241604266988

34.

Lofland J. *Analyzing Social Settings: A Guide to Qualitative Observation and Analysis*. 4th ed. Wadsworth/Thomson Learning; 2006.

35.

ELIZABETH LEVY PALUCK and DONALD P. GREEN. Deference, Dissent, and Dispute Resolution: An Experimental Intervention Using Mass Media to Change Norms and Behavior in Rwanda. *The American Political Science Review*. 2009;103(4):622-644.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/27798529?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

36.

Shapiro M. 'Textualising Global Politics' in *Discourse theory and practice: a reader*. In: *Discourse Theory and Practice: A Reader*. Sage; 2001:318-322.
http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/PUBLG105_78669.pdf

37.

Amoore L. Vigilant Visualities: The Watchful Politics of the War on Terror. *Security Dialogue*. 2007;38(2):215-232. doi:10.1177/0967010607078526

38.

Andrew Bennett, Jeffrey T. Checkel, eds. *Process Tracing*. Cambridge University Press; 2014. doi:10.1017/CBO9781139858472

39.

David Collier. Understanding Process Tracing. PS: Political Science and Politics. 2011;44(4):823-830. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41319974>

40.

Andrew Bennett, Jeffrey T. Checkel, eds. Process Tracing. Cambridge University Press; 2014. doi:10.1017/CBO9781139858472

41.

Acemoglu D, Johnson S, James A. Robinson. An African Success Story: Botswana. <http://economics.mit.edu/files/284>

42.

Renwick A. DO 'WRONG WINNER' ELECTIONS TRIGGER ELECTORAL REFORM? LESSONS FROM NEW ZEALAND. Representation. 2009;45(4):357-367. doi:10.1080/00344890903235256

43.

Nicholas Sambanis. Using Case Studies to Expand Economic Models of Civil War. Perspectives on Politics. 2004;2(2):259-279. http://www.jstor.org/stable/3688439?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

44.

George, Alexander L., Bennett, Andrew. Case Studies and Theory Development in the Social Sciences. Vol BCSIA studies in international security. MIT Press; 2005.

45.

George AL, Bennett A. A Pedagogical note to parts II and III. In: Case Studies and Theory Development in the Social Sciences. Vol BCSIA studies in international security. MIT Press; 2005. http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/PUBLG105_79382.pdf

46.

George AL, Bennett A. Chapter 3: The method of structured, focused comparison. In: Case Studies and Theory Development in the Social Sciences. Vol BCSIA studies in international security. MIT Press; 2005. http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/PUBLG105_79376.pdf

47.

Jason Seawright and John Gerring. Case Selection Techniques in Case Study Research: A Menu of Qualitative and Quantitative Options. *Political Research Quarterly*. 2008;61(2):294-308.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/20299733?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

48.

Wood EJ. Armed Groups and Sexual Violence: When Is Wartime Rape Rare? *Politics & Society*. 2009;37(1):131-161. doi:10.1177/0032329208329755

49.

Deborah J. Yashar. Contesting Citizenship: Indigenous Movements and Democracy in Latin America. *Comparative Politics*. 1998;31(1):23-42.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/422104?origin=crossref&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

50.

Lawrence A. Triggering Nationalist Violence: Competition and Conflict in Uprisings against Colonial Rule. *International Security*. 35(2):88-122.
https://muse.jhu.edu/journals/international_security/v035/35.2.lawrence.html

51.

Bakke, Kristin M. Bakke. The turn to violence in self- determination struggles in Chechnya and Punjab in *Rethinking Violence*. In: Lawrence A, Chenoweth E, eds. ; 2010.
[http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_scopus2-s2.0-84895088005&indx=2&reclds=TN_scopus2-s2.0-84895088005&recldxs=1&elementId=1&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&pscscps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&tb=t&vid=UCL_VU1&mode=Basic&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl\(freeText0\)=bakke%20chechnya&dstmp=1448463208978](http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_scopus2-s2.0-84895088005&indx=2&reclds=TN_scopus2-s2.0-84895088005&recldxs=1&elementId=1&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&pscscps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&tb=t&vid=UCL_VU1&mode=Basic&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl(freeText0)=bakke%20chechnya&dstmp=1448463208978)

52.

Schatz E. Chapters 6, 9 and Conclusion. In: Political Ethnography: What Immersion Contributes to the Study of Power. The University of Chicago Press; 2009.
<http://UCL.eblib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=3038320>

53.

Halperin, Sandra, Heath, Oliver. Political Research: Methods and Practical Skills. Oxford University Press; 2012.

54.

Yanow D, Schwartz-Shea P. Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Processes.

55.

Hammersley M, Atkinson P. Ethnography: Principles in Practice. 3rd ed. Routledge; 2007.
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203944769>

56.

Scott, James C. Weapons of the Weak: Everyday Forms of Peasant Resistance.; 1985.
<http://quod.lib.umich.edu/cgi/t/text/text-idx?c=acls;idno=heb02471.0001.001>

57.

Multi-Author Symposium 'Fieldwork, identities and intersectionality: negotiating gender, race, class, religion, nationality and age in the research field abroad' in Vol. 42, No. 2, April 2009 of PS: Political Science and Politics on JSTOR. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/i40028026>

58.

Lisa Wedeen. Conceptualizing Culture: Possibilities for Political Science. The American Political Science Review. 2002;96(4):713-728.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/3117506?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

59.

John D. Brewer. THE ETHNOGRAPHIC CRITIQUE OF ETHNOGRAPHY: SECTARIANISM IN THE RUC. *Sociology*. 1994;28(1):231-244.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/42855325?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

60.

Emerson RM, Fretz RI, Shaw LL. *Writing Ethnographic Fieldnotes*. Vol Chicago guides to writing, editing, and publishing. University of Chicago Press; 1995.

61.

Lorraine Bayard de Volo and Edward Schatz. From the Inside out: Ethnographic Methods in Political Research. *PS: Political Science and Politics*. 2004;37(2):267-271.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/4488818?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

62.

Okley J. 'Thinking through fieldwork' in *Analyzing qualitative data*. In: *Analyzing Qualitative Data*. Routledge; 1994.

63.

Foucault M. *Nietzsche, Genealogy, History*.
<http://www.ucdenver.edu/academics/colleges/CLAS/Departments/philosophy/Students/Documents/'Nietzsche,%20Genealogy,%20History'%20by%20Michel%20Foucault.pdf>

64.

Jackson PT. *Civilizing the Enemy: German Reconstruction and the Invention of the West*. University of Michigan Press; 2006. <http://muse.jhu.edu/books/9780472022281/>

65.

Campbell D. Introduction to *Writing security: United States foreign policy and the politics of identity*. In: *Writing Security: United States Foreign Policy and the Politics of Identity*.

Rev. ed. Manchester University Press; 1998:1-13.
http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/PUBLG105_78420.pdf

66.

VUCETIC S. Genealogy as a research tool in International Relations. *Review of International Studies*. 2011;37(03):1295-1312. doi:10.1017/S0260210510000938

67.

Kendall G, Wickham G. *Using Foucault's Methods*. SAGE Publications Ltd; 1999.
doi:10.4135/9780857020239

68.

Carabine J. 'Unmarried Motherhood 1830 - 1990: A Genealogical Analysis' in *Discourse as Data: A Guide for Analysis*. (Wetherell M, Taylor S, Yates S, eds.). Sage; 2001.

69.

Hutchison E. A Global Politics of Pity? Disaster Imagery and the Emotional Construction of Solidarity after the 2004 Asian Tsunami. *International Political Sociology*. 2014;8(1):1-19.
doi:10.1111/ips.12037

70.

Hall S. 'The Spectacle of "The Other"' in *Discourse theory and practice: a reader*. In: *Discourse Theory and Practice: A Reader*. Sage; 2001.

71.

Schreier M. *Qualitative Content Analysis in Practice*. SAGE; 2012.

72.

Stritzel H. Securitization, power, intertextuality: Discourse theory and the translations of organized crime. *Security Dialogue*. 2012;43(6):549-567. doi:10.1177/0967010612463953

73.

Hansen L. The Little Mermaid's Silent Security Dilemma and the Absence of Gender in the Copenhagen School. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 2000;29(2):285-306.
doi:10.1177/03058298000290020501

74.

Hudson H. 'Doing' Security As Though Humans Matter: A Feminist Perspective on Gender and the Politics of Human Security. *Security Dialogue*. 2005;36(2):155-174.
doi:10.1177/0967010605054642

75.

Hansen L. *Security as Practice: Discourse Analysis and the Bosnian War*. Vol The new international relations. Routledge; 2006.

76.

Silverman D. *Qualitative Research: Issues of Theory, Method and Practice*. 3rd ed. SAGE; 2011.

77.

Taylor S. *What Is Discourse Analysis? Vol 'What is?' research methods series*. Bloomsbury; 2013.

78.

Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*. 2008;3(2):77-101.
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1191/1478088706qp0630a#.VlhoytjhCUk>

79.

Agius C. Performing identity: The Danish cartoon crisis and discourses of identity and security. *Security Dialogue*. 2013;44(3):241-258. doi:10.1177/0967010613485871

80.

MILLIKEN J. The Study of Discourse in International Relations:: A Critique of Research and Methods. *European Journal of International Relations*. 1999;5(2):225-254.
doi:10.1177/1354066199005002003

81.

Richards L. Teach-yourself NVivo 7: the introductory tutorials.
http://download.qsrinternational.com/Document/NVivo7/NVivo7_Tutorials_Lyn_Richards.pdf

82.

'Symposium: Peregrine Schwartz-Shea and Dvora Yanow's Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Processes'. (Fall 2012).
http://www.maxwell.syr.edu/uploadedFiles/moynihan/cqrm/Newsletter10_2.pdf

83.

Goffman A. *On the Run: Fugitive Life in an American City*. Vol Fieldwork encounters and discoveries. The University of Chicago Press; 2014.
http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/PUBLG105_79379.pdf

84.

Lubet S. *Ethics On The Run* - New Rambler Review.
<http://newramblerreview.com/book-reviews/law/ethics-on-the-run>

85.

Singal J. I Fact-checked Alice Goffman With Her Subjects -- Science of Us.
<http://nymag.com/scienceofus/2015/06/i-fact-checked-alice-goffman-with-her-subjects.html>
#

86.

Benson M. On Goffman: Ethnography and the Ethics of Care | Blog | The Sociological Review.

<http://www.thesociologicalreview.com/information/blog/on-goffman-ethnography-and-the-ethics-of-care.html>

87.

Wiles R. What Are Qualitative Research Ethics? Vol 'What is?' research methods series. Bloomsbury; 2013.

88.

Clark T. On 'being researched': why do people engage with qualitative research? Qualitative Research. 2010;10(4):399-419. doi:10.1177/1468794110366796

89.

Hammersley M. On the ethics of interviewing for discourse analysis. Qualitative Research. 2014;14(5):529-541. doi:10.1177/1468794113495039

90.

Fujii LA. Research Ethics 101: Dilemmas and Responsibilities. PS: Political Science & Politics. 2012;45(04):717-723. doi:10.1017/S1049096512000819

91.

Lundy P. The ethics of silence: Action research, community 'truth-telling' and post-conflict transition in the North of Ireland. Action Research. 2006;4(1):49-64. doi:10.1177/1476750306060542

92.

Jackson PT. The Conduct of Inquiry in International Relations: Philosophy of Science and Its Implications for the Study of World Politics. Vol The new international relations. Routledge; 2011.

93.

Belsey C. Poststructuralism: A Very Short Introduction. Vol Very short introductions. Oxford University Press; 2002.

94.

Michel Foucault. 'Truth and Power'. In: The Foucault Reader. Vol Penguin social sciences. Penguin; 1991.

95.

Hacking I. The Social Construction of What? Harvard University Press; 1999.

96.

Kratochwil F. Constructivism: What is Not and How it Matters in Approaches and Methodologies in the Social Sciences. In: Della Porta D, Keating M, eds. Approaches and Methodologies in the Social Sciences. Cambridge University Press; 2008.
doi:10.1017/CBO9780511801938

97.

Rabinow P, Sullivan WM. Interpretive Social Science: A Second Look. University of California Press; 1987.

98.

King G, Verba S, Keohane RO. Designing Social Inquiry: Scientific Inference in Qualitative Research. Princeton University Press; 1994.

99.

Rogers M. Smith. Should We Make Political Science More of a Science or More about Politics? PS: Political Science and Politics. 2002;35(2):199-201.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/1554718?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

100.

Terence Ball. From Paradigms to Research Programs: Toward a Post-Kuhnian Political Science. *American Journal of Political Science*. 1976;20(1):151-177.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/2110515?origin=crossref&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

101.

Laitin DD. The Perestroika Challenge to Social Science. *Politics & Society*. 2003;31(1):163-184. doi:10.1177/0032329202250167

102.

King N, Horrocks C. *Interviews in Qualitative Research*. SAGE; 2010.

103.

Brady HE, Collier D. Rethinking social inquiry: diverse tools, shared standards. In: *Rethinking Social Inquiry: Diverse Tools, Shared Standards*. Rowman & Littlefield; 2004.

104.

George AL, Bennett A. *Case Studies and Theory Development in the Social Sciences*. Vol BCSIA studies in international security. MIT Press; 2005.

105.

Henry E. Brady, David Collier and Jason Seawright. Toward a Pluralistic Vision of Methodology. *Political Analysis*. 2006;14(3):353-368.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/25791861?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

106.

King G, Verba S, Keohane RO. *Designing Social Inquiry: Scientific Inference in Qualitative Research*. Princeton University Press; 1994.

107.

Goodwin J, Horowitz R. Introduction: The Methodological Strengths and Dilemmas. *Qualitative Sociology*. 2002;25(1):33-47. doi:10.1023/A:1014300123105

108.

Robert Auger. On Ethnography: Storytelling or Science? *Current Anthropology*. 1995;36(1):97-130. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2744226?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

109.

David A. Snow, Calvin Morrill and Leon Anderson. Elaborating analytic ethnography: Linking fieldwork and theory. *Ethnography*. 2003;4(2):181-200. http://www.jstor.org/stable/24047808?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

110.

Glaser BG, Strauss AL. *The Discovery of Grounded Theory: Strategies for Qualitative Research*. Aldine de Gruyter; 1967.

111.

Charmaz K. *Constructing Grounded Theory*. Vol *Introducing qualitative methods*. 2nd ed. SAGE; 2014.

112.

Christina Goulding. *Grounded Theory*. SAGE Publications Ltd; 2002. doi:10.4135/9781849209236

113.

Snyder R. Scaling Down: The Subnational Comparative Method. *Studies in Comparative International Development*. 2001;36(1):93-110. doi:10.1007/BF02687586

114.

Jason Seawright and John Gerring. Case Selection Techniques in Case Study Research: A Menu of Qualitative and Quantitative Options. *Political Research Quarterly*. 2008;61(2):294-308.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/20299733?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

115.

Bennett A, Elman C. QUALITATIVE RESEARCH: Recent Developments in Case Study Methods. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2006;9(1):455-476.
doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.8.082103.104918

116.

Andrew Bennett and Colin Elman. Complex Causal Relations and Case Study Methods: The Example of Path Dependence. *Political Analysis*. 2006;14(3):250-267.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/25791852?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

117.

Michael Burawoy. The Extended Case Method. *Sociological Theory*. 1998;16(1):4-33.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/202212?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

118.

Mahoney, James. Strategies of causal inference in small-N analysis.
[http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?frbrVersion=7&tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_proquest208057218&indx=1&reclds=TN_proquest208057218&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=7&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl\(freeText0\)=mahoney%20strategies%20of%20causal%20inference&dsmtp=1448981918842](http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?frbrVersion=7&tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_proquest208057218&indx=1&reclds=TN_proquest208057218&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=7&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl(freeText0)=mahoney%20strategies%20of%20causal%20inference&dsmtp=1448981918842)

119.

Bullock, John G.1. Yes, But What's the Mechanism? (Don't Expect an Easy Answer). *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 98(4).

[http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext
&AN=00005205-201004000-00002&LSLINK=80&D=ovft](http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN=00005205-201004000-00002&LSLINK=80&D=ovft)

120.

Taylor S, Open University. *Ethnographic Research: A Reader*. SAGE; 2001.

121.

Okley J. 'Thinking through fieldwork' in *Analyzing qualitative data*. In: *Analyzing Qualitative Data*. Routledge; 1994.

122.

Lisa Wedeen. *Conceptualizing Culture: Possibilities for Political Science*. *The American Political Science Review*. 2002;96(4):713-728.

http://www.jstor.org/stable/3117506?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

123.

John D. Brewer. *THE ETHNOGRAPHIC CRITIQUE OF ETHNOGRAPHY: SECTARIANISM IN THE RUC*. *Sociology*. 1994;28(1):231-244.

http://www.jstor.org/stable/42855325?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

124.

Emerson RM, Fretz RI, Shaw LL. *Writing Ethnographic Fieldnotes*. Vol *Chicago guides to writing, editing, and publishing*. University of Chicago Press; 1995.

125.

Lorraine Bayard de Volo and Edward Schatz. *From the Inside out: Ethnographic Methods in Political Research*. *PS: Political Science and Politics*. 2004;37(2):267-271.

http://www.jstor.org/stable/4488818?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

126.

Lareau A, Shultz J. Journeys through Ethnography: Realistic Accounts of Fieldwork. Westview; 1996.

127.

Bourgois P. In Search of Respect: Selling Crack in El Barrio. Vol Structural Analysis in the Social Sciences. 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press; 2002.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511808562>

128.

Scott, James C. Weapons of the Weak: Everyday Forms of Peasant Resistance.; 1985.
<http://quod.lib.umich.edu/cgi/t/text/text-idx?c=acls;idno=heb02471.0001.001>

129.

Barrett CB, Cason JW. Overseas Research: A Practical Guide. 2nd ed. Routledge; 2010.

130.

Rubin HJ, Rubin I. Qualitative Interviewing: The Art of Hearing Data. 2nd ed. Sage Publications; 2005.

131.

King N, Horrocks C. Interviews in Qualitative Research. SAGE; 2010.

132.

Guest G, Bunce A, Johnson L. How Many Interviews Are Enough?: An Experiment with Data Saturation and Variability. Field Methods. 2006;18(1):59-82.
[doi:10.1177/1525822X05279903](https://doi.org/10.1177/1525822X05279903)

133.

Ribbens J. Interviewing—An "unnatural situation"? Women's Studies International Forum. 1989;12(6):579-592. [doi:10.1016/0277-5395\(89\)90002-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-5395(89)90002-2)

134.

Miri Song and David Parker. COMMONALITY, DIFFERENCE AND THE DYNAMICS OF DISCLOSURE IN IN-DEPTH INTERVIEWING. *Sociology*. 1995;29(2):241-256.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/42855549?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

135.

McLellan E, MacQueen KM, Neidig JL. Beyond the Qualitative Interview: Data Preparation and Transcription. *Field Methods*. 2003;15(1):63-84. doi:10.1177/1525822X02239573

136.

Kvale S. *Interviews: An Introduction to Qualitative Research Interviewing*. Sage Publications; 1996.

137.

Puwar, Nirmal. Reflections on interviewing women MPs.
[http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?frbrVersion=5&tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_proquest839041637&indx=1&reclds=TN_proquest839041637&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=5&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl\(freeText0\)=puwar%20interviewing%20women%20MPs&dstmp=1448984676167](http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?frbrVersion=5&tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_proquest839041637&indx=1&reclds=TN_proquest839041637&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=5&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl(freeText0)=puwar%20interviewing%20women%20MPs&dstmp=1448984676167)

138.

ROTH WD, MEHTA JD. The Rashomon Effect: Combining Positivist and Interpretivist Approaches in the Analysis of Contested Events. *Sociological Methods & Research*. 2002;31(2):131-173. doi:10.1177/0049124102031002002

139.

Wickham-Crowley TP. Understanding Failed Revolution in El Salvador: A Comparative Analysis of Regime Types and Social Structures. *Politics & Society*. 1989;17(4):511-530. doi:10.1177/003232928901700404

140.

David Smilde. A Qualitative Comparative Analysis of Conversion to Venezuelan Evangelicalism: How Networks Matter¹. *American Journal of Sociology*. 2005;111(3):757-796.

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/497306?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=no:3&searchText=AND&searchText=sn:00029602&searchText=AND&searchText=sp:757&searchText=AND&searchText=vo:111&searchText=AND&searchText=year:2005&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3Dno%253A3%2BAND%2Bsn%253A00029602%2BAND%2Bsp%253A757%2BAND%2Bvo%253A111%2BAND%2Byear%253A2005%26amp%3Bmod%3DYour%2Binbound%2Blink%2Bdid%2Bnot%2Bhave%2Ban%2Bexact%2Bmatch%2Bin%2Bour%2Bdatabase.%2BBut%2Bbased%2Bon%2Bthe%2Belements%2Bwe%2Bcould%2Bmatch%252C%2Bwe%2Bhave%2Breturned%2Bthe%2Bfollowing%2Bresults>.

141.

Evan S. Lieberman. Nested Analysis as a Mixed-Method Strategy for Comparative Research. *The American Political Science Review*. 2005;99(3):435-452.

http://www.jstor.org/stable/30038950?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

142.

Neuendorf KA. *The Content Analysis Guidebook*. Sage Publications; 2002.

143.

Krippendorff K. *Content Analysis: An Introduction to Its Methodology*. 3rd ed. SAGE; 2013.

144.

Riffe D, Lacy S, Fico F. *Analyzing Media Messages: Using Quantitative Content Analysis in Research*. Vol LEA communication series. 2nd ed. Lawrence Erlbaum; 2005.

145.

Bletsas , Angelique ; Beasley , Chris. *Engaging with Carol Bacchi. Strategic Interventions and Exchanges*.

http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?frbrVersion=3&tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_oopen560097&indx=1&recIds=TN

_oopen560097&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=3&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multipl
e_fe&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl(freeText0)=bl
etsas%20beasley&dstmp=1448976206058

146.

Hansen L. Security as Practice: Discourse Analysis and the Bosnian War. Vol The new international relations. Routledge; 2006.

147.

Dean M. Critical and Effective Histories: Foucault's Methods and Historical Sociology. Routledge; 1994.

148.

Wetherell M, Taylor S, Yates S. Discourse as Data: A Guide for Analysis. Sage; 2001.

149.

Wetherell M, Taylor S, Yates S, Open University. Discourse Theory and Practice: A Reader. Sage; 2001.

150.

Howarth DR. Discourse. Vol Concepts in the social sciences. Open University Press; 2000.

151.

Fairclough I, Fairclough N. Political Discourse Analysis: A Method for Advanced Students. Routledge; 2012.

152.

Wetherell M, Taylor S, Yates S. Discourse as Data: A Guide for Analysis. Sage; 2001.

153.

Dijk TA van. Discourse Studies: A Multidisciplinary Introduction. SAGE; 1997.

154.

van Dijk T. What is Political Discourse Analysis?

<http://wiki.zirve.edu.tr/sandbox/groups/economicsandadministrativesciences/wiki/4952c/attachments/47c40/What%20is%20Political%20Discourse%20Analysis.pdf>

155.

Schiffrin D, Tannen D, Hamilton HE, eds. The Handbook of Discourse Analysis. Blackwell Publishers Ltd; 2005. doi:10.1002/9780470753460

156.

Wetherell M, Taylor S, Yates S, Open University. Discourse Theory and Practice: A Reader. Sage; 2001.

157.

Fairclough N. Language and Power. Vol Language in social life series. 2nd ed. Longman; 2001.

158.

Symposium: Discourse and Content Analysis.

<http://www1.maxwell.syr.edu/uploadedFiles/moynihan/cqrm/Newsletter2.1.pdf>

159.

Seale C. 'Using Computers to Analyse Qualitative Data'. In: Doing Qualitative Research. 4th ed. SAGE; 2013.

160.

Dohan D, Sánchez-Jankowski M. Using Computers to Analyze Ethnographic Field Data: Theoretical and Practical Considerations. *Annual Review of Sociology*. 1998;24(1):477-498. doi:10.1146/annurev.soc.24.1.477

161.

Cousins K, McIntosh W. More than Typewriters, More than Adding Machines: Integrating Information Technology into Political Research. *Quality & Quantity*. 2005;39(5):581-614. doi:10.1007/s11135-005-3149-z

162.

Fielding NG, Lee RM. New Patterns in the Adoption and Use of Qualitative Software. *Field Methods*. 2002;14(2):197-216. doi:10.1177/1525822X02014002005

163.

Johnston L. Software and Method: Reflections on Teaching and Using QSR NVivo in Doctoral Research. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*. 2006;9(5):379-391. doi:10.1080/13645570600659433

164.

Kelle U. 'Computer-assisted qualitative data analysis' in *Qualitative Research Practice*. In: *Qualitative Research Practice*. SAGE Publications Ltd; 2004. doi:10.4135/9781848608191

165.

MacMillan, Katie. More than Just Coding? Evaluating CAQDAS in a Discourse Analysis of News Texts. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung/Forum: Qualitative Social Research*. 6(3). http://search.proquest.com/docview/60027767?rfr_id=info%3Axri%2Fsid%3Aprimo

166.

Ryan, Mary. Making visible the coding process: Using qualitative data software in a post-structural study.

[http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do;jsessionid=2883011B94E250DD8CDAFF1DB8C97E61?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_scopus2-s2.0-70349292675&indx=1&reclids=TN_scopus2-s2.0-70349292675&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&query=any%2Ccontains%2Cryan+making+visible+the+coding+process&dscnt=0&search_scope=CS COP_UCL&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&vid=UCL_VU1&onCampus=false&highlight=true&institution=UCL&bulkSize=10&tab=local&displayField=title&dym=true&vl\(2235343UI0\)=any&vl\(freeText0\)=ryan%20making%20visible%20the%20coding%20process&dstmp=1449238647850](http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do;jsessionid=2883011B94E250DD8CDAFF1DB8C97E61?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_scopus2-s2.0-70349292675&indx=1&reclids=TN_scopus2-s2.0-70349292675&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&query=any%2Ccontains%2Cryan+making+visible+the+coding+process&dscnt=0&search_scope=CS COP_UCL&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&vid=UCL_VU1&onCampus=false&highlight=true&institution=UCL&bulkSize=10&tab=local&displayField=title&dym=true&vl(2235343UI0)=any&vl(freeText0)=ryan%20making%20visible%20the%20coding%20process&dstmp=1449238647850)

167.

Kelle U, Prein G, Bird K. Computer-Aided Qualitative Data Analysis: Theory, Methods and Practice. Sage Publications; 1995.

168.

LIEBERMAN ES. Nested Analysis as a Mixed-Method Strategy for Comparative Research. American Political Science Review. 2005;99(03). doi:10.1017/S0003055405051762

169.

Symposium: Multi-Method Work, Dispatches from the Front Lines.
<http://www.maxwell.syr.edu/uploadedFiles/moynihan/cqrm/Newsletter5.1.pdf>

170.

Symposium: Multi-Method Work, Dispatches from the Front Lines.
<http://www.maxwell.syr.edu/uploadedFiles/moynihan/cqrm/Newsletter5.1.pdf>

171.

Mason J. Mixing methods in a qualitatively driven way. Qualitative Research. 2006;6(1):9-25. doi:10.1177/1468794106058866

172.

Ahmed A, Sil R. When Multi-Method Research Subverts Methodological Pluralism—or, Why We Still Need Single-Method Research. Perspectives on Politics. 2012;10(04):935-953.

doi:10.1017/S1537592712002836

173.

Symposium: Reports from the Multi-Method Research Frontier.

http://www.maxwell.syr.edu/uploadedFiles/moynihan/cqrm/Newsletter10_2.pdf

174.

Kimmel A. Ethics and Values in Applied Social Research. SAGE Publications, Inc.; 1988.

doi:10.4135/9781412984096

175.

Oliver P. The Student's Guide to Research Ethics. Open University Press; 2003.

176.

Pimple KD. Six domains of research ethics: A heuristic framework for the responsible conduct of research. <http://poynter.indiana.edu/files/3113/4858/3992/see-kdp1.pdf>

177.

The Belmont Report ('Ethical Principles and Guidelines for the Protection of Human Subjects of Research'). <http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/humansubjects/guidance/belmont.html>

178.

Punch M. 'Politics and Ethics in Qualitative Research' in The landscape of qualitative research: theories and issues. In: The Landscape of Qualitative Research: Theories and Issues. Sage Publications; 1998.

179.

Wood EJ. The Ethical Challenges of Field Research in Conflict Zones. Qualitative Sociology. 2006;29(3):373-386. doi:10.1007/s11133-006-9027-8

180.

Deloria V. 'Custer Died for Your Sins' in Ethnographic fieldwork: an anthropological reader. In: Ethnographic Fieldwork: An Anthropological Reader. Vol Blackwell anthologies in social&cultural anthropology. Blackwell; 2007.

181.

Kelman HC. The rights of the subject in social research: An analysis in terms of relative power and legitimacy. American Psychologist. 1972;27(11):989-1016.
doi:10.1037/h0033995

182.

Fontana A, Frey J. 'The Interview. From Structured Questions to Negotiated Text' in The landscape of qualitative research: theories and issues. In: The Landscape of Qualitative Research: Theories and Issues. Sage Publications; 1998.

183.

Sluka J. Reflections on Managing Danger in Fieldwork: Dangerous Anthropology in Belfast in Ethnographic fieldwork: an anthropological reader. In: Ethnographic Fieldwork: An Anthropological Reader. Vol Blackwell anthologies in social&cultural anthropology. Blackwell; 2007.

184.

Hutchings K. 'Ethics' in Gender Matters in Global Politics. In: Gender Matters in Global Politics. Routledge; 2009. doi:10.4324/9780203864944

185.

Multi-Author Symposium. Fieldwork, Identities, and Intersectionality: Negotiating Gender, Race, Class, Religion, Nationality, and Age in the Research Field Abroad. PS: Political Science and Politics. 2009;42(2):287-328.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/40647527?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

186.

Mona Lena Krook, Joni Lovenduski and Judith Squires. Gender Quotas and Models of Political Citizenship. *British Journal of Political Science*. 2009;39(4):781-803.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/27742772?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

187.

Ramazanoglu C, Holland J. *Feminist Methodology*. SAGE Publications Ltd.; 2002.
doi:10.4135/9781849209144

188.

Gilligan C. *In a Different Voice: Psychological Theory and Women's Development*. Harvard University Press; 1982.

189.

Ackerly BA, Stern M, True J, eds. *Feminist Methodologies for International Relations*. Cambridge University Press; 2006. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511617690

190.

Reason P, Rowan J, eds. *Human Inquiry: A Sourcebook of New Paradigm Research*. John Wiley & Sons; 1981.

191.

Huxham, Chris Huxham, Chris (correspondence author). *Action Research as a Methodology for Theory Development*.
[http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?frbrVersion=2&tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_proquest60690569&indx=2&reclId=TN_proquest60690569&recldxs=1&elementId=1&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=2&query=any%2Ccontains%2Chuxham+action+research+as+a+methodology&dscnt=0&search_scope=CSCOP_UCL&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&vid=UCL_VU1&onCampus=false&highlight=true&institution=UCL&bulkSize=10&tab=local&displayField=title&dym=true&vl\(2235343UI0\)=any&vl\(freeText0\)=huxham%20action%20research%20as%20a%20methodology&dsmtp=1449227513401](http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?frbrVersion=2&tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_proquest60690569&indx=2&reclId=TN_proquest60690569&recldxs=1&elementId=1&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=2&query=any%2Ccontains%2Chuxham+action+research+as+a+methodology&dscnt=0&search_scope=CSCOP_UCL&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&vid=UCL_VU1&onCampus=false&highlight=true&institution=UCL&bulkSize=10&tab=local&displayField=title&dym=true&vl(2235343UI0)=any&vl(freeText0)=huxham%20action%20research%20as%20a%20methodology&dsmtp=1449227513401)