

DRDPDMAT - DRDP: Applied Clinical Dental Materials

[View Online](#)

1

Botelho MG. Inhibitory Effects on Selected Oral Bacteria of Antibacterial Agents Incorporated in a Glass Ionomer Cement. *Caries Research* 2003;37:108–14.
doi:10.1159/000069019

2

Brännström M, Nyborg H. Points in the experiential study of pulpal response to restorative materials. *Odontologisk tidskrift* 1969;77:421–6.

3

Buonocore MG. A simple method of increasing the adhesion of acrylic filling materials to enamel surfaces. *Journal of Dental Research* 1955;34:849–53.
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=ddh&AN=36487705&site=ehost-live&scope=site>

4

De Munck J, Van Landuyt K, Peumans M, et al. A Critical Review of the Durability of Adhesion to Tooth Tissue: Methods and Results. *Journal of Dental Research* 2005;84:118–32. doi:10.1177/154405910508400204

5

Kramer I, McLean J. Alterations in the staining reactions of dentine resulting from a constituent of a new self-polymerising resin. *British Dental Journal* 1952;93:150–3.

6

Matharu S, Spratt DA, Pratten J, et al. A new in vitro model for the study of microbial microleakage around dental restorations: a preliminary qualitative evaluation. International Endodontic Journal 2001;34:547-53. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2591.2001.00475.x

7

Peumans M, et.al. Clinical effectiveness of contemporary adhesives: A systematic review of current clinical trials. Dental Materials 2005;21:864-81. doi:10.1016/j.dental.2005.02.003

8

Splieth C, et.al. Anaerobic microflora under Class I and Class II composite and amalgam restorations. Quintessence international 2003;34:497-503. <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=ddh&AN=37298503&site=ehost-live&scope=site>

9

Van Landuyt KL, et.al. Systematic review of the chemical composition of contemporary dental adhesives. Biomaterials 2007;28:3757-85. doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2007.04.044

10

Eick G, Gwinnett A, Pashley D, et al. Current concepts on adhesion to dentin. Critical reviews in oral biology and medicine 1997;8:306-35.
doi:10.1177/10454411970080030501

11

Hannig M, Reinhardt K, Bott B. Self-etching primer vs phosphoric acid: an alternative concept for composite-to-enamel bonding. Operative dentistry 1999;24:172-80.

12

Hashimoto M, Ohno H, Kaga M, et al. In vivo Degradation of Resin-Dentin Bonds in Humans Over 1 to 3 Years. *Journal of Dental Research* 2000; **79**:1385-91.
doi:10.1177/00220345000790060601

13

Sano H, et.al. Nanoleakage: leakage within the hybrid layer. *Operative Dentistry* 1995; **20**:18-25.

14

BRAGA R, BALLESTER R, FERRACANE J. Factors involved in the development of polymerization shrinkage stress in resin-composites: A systematic review. *Dental Materials* 2005; **21**:962-70. doi:10.1016/j.dental.2005.04.018

15

Burke F, Palin W, James A, et al. The current status of materials for posterior composite restorations: the advent of low shrink. *Dental Update* 2009; **36**:401-9.

16

CHEN M, CHEN C, HSU S, et al. Low shrinkage light curable nanocomposite for dental restorative material. *Dental Materials* 2006; **22**:138-45. doi:10.1016/j.dental.2005.02.012

17

Combe E, Burke F. Contemporary Resin-based Composite Materials for Direct Placement Restorations: Packables, Flowables and Others. *Dental Update Leading Dental Journal for CPD* 2000; **27**:326-36.

18

Davidson CL, Feilzer AJ. Polymerization shrinkage and polymerization shrinkage stress in polymer-based restoratives. *Journal of Dentistry* 1997; **25**:435-40.
doi:10.1016/S0300-5712(96)00063-2

19

Feilzer AJ, De Gee AJ, Davidson CL. Setting stress in composite resin in relation to configuration of the restoration. *Journal of Dental Research* 1987; **66**:1636-9. <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=ddh&AN=36543133&site=ehost-live&scope=site>

20

Leinfelder K. New developments in resin restorative systems. *The Journal of the American Dental Association* 1997; **128**:573-81. doi:10.14219/jada.archive.1997.0256

21

Liebenberg WH. Assuring restorative integrity in extensive posterior resin composite restorations: Pushing the envelope . *Quintessence International* 2000; **31**:153-64.

22

Millar BJ, Abider F, Nicholson JW. In vitro caries inhibition by polyacid-modified composite resins ('compomers'). *Journal of Dentistry* 1998; **26**:133-6.
doi:10.1016/S0300-5712(96)00091-7

23

Palin W, Fleming G. Low-shrink monomers for dental restorations. . *Dental Update* 2003; **30**:118-22.

24

Shaw AJ, Carrick T, McCabe JF. Fluoride release from glass-ionomer and compomer restorative materials: 6-month data. *Journal of Dentistry* 1998; **26**:355-9.
doi:10.1016/S0300-5712(97)00016-X

25

Gladys S. Comparative physico-mechanical characterisation of new hybrid restorative materials with contemporary glass-ionomer and resin composite restorative materials. *Journal of Dental Research*; **76**:883-94.

26

Versluis, A. Do Dental Composites Always Shrink Toward the Light? *Journal of Dental Research*; **77**:1435–45.

27

ANUSAVICE, K. J. Strengthening of Feldspathic Porcelain by Ion Exchange and Tempering. *Journal of Dental Research*; **71**:1134–8.

28

Burke F. Fracture resistance of teeth restored with dentin-bonded crowns: the effect of increased tooth preparation. *Quintessence international* 1996; **27**:115–21.

29

Burke F. Trends in Indirect Dentistry: 3. Luting Materials . *Dental Update Leading Dental Journal for CPD* 2005; **32**:251–60.

30

Burke F. Trends in indirect Dentistry: 4. Performance of Adhesive Restoratives. *Dental Update Leading Dental Journal for CPD* 2005; **32**:312–25.

31

Burke FJT, Qualtrough AJE. Follow-up Evaluation of a Series of Dentin-Bonded Ceramic Restorations. *Journal of Esthetic and Restorative Dentistry* 2000; **12**:16–22.
doi:10.1111/j.1708-8240.2000.tb00194.x

32

Chris, Ellakwa AE. DENTAL MATERIALS Fibre-reinforced Composites in Restorative Dentistry . *Dental Update Leading Dental Journal for CPD* 2003; **30**:300–6.

33

CHO L-R, YI Y-J, HEO S-J. Effect of tooth brushing and thermal cycling on a surface change of ceromers finished with different methods. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation* 2002; **29**:816-22. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2842.2002.00877.x

34

Cook WD, Johannson M. The influence of postcuring on the fracture properties of photo-cured dimethacrylate based dental composite resin. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research* 1987; **21**:979-89. doi:10.1002/jbm.820210804

35

Etman MK, Woolford MJ. Three-year clinical evaluation of two ceramic crown systems: A preliminary study. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry* 2010; **103**:80-90. doi:10.1016/S0022-3913(10)60010-8

36

Fissore B, Nicholls JI, Yuodelis RA. Load fatigue of teeth restored by a dentin bonding agent and a posterior composite resin. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry* 1991; **65**:80-5. doi:10.1016/0022-3913(91)90054-Z

37

Giordano RA, Pelletier L, Campbell S, et al. Flexural strength of an infused ceramic, glass ceramic, and feldspathic porcelain. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry* 1995; **73**:411-8. doi:10.1016/S0022-3913(05)80067-8

38

Krämer N, Frankenberger R, Pelka M, et al. IPS Empress inlays and onlays after four years — a clinical study. *Journal of Dentistry* 1999; **27**:325-31. doi:10.1016/S0300-5712(98)00059-1

39

Leinfelder K. New developments in resin restorative systems. *New developments in resin*

restorative systems 1997;128:573-81. doi:10.14219/jada.archive.1997.0256

40

Rosenstiel SF, Gupta PK, Van Der Sluys RA, et al. Strength of a dental glass-ceramic after surface coating. *Dental Materials* 1993;9:274-9. doi:10.1016/0109-5641(93)90074-Z

41

Magne P, Douglas WH. Porcelain veneers: dentin bonding optimization and biomimetic recovery of the crown. *The International journal of prosthodontics* 1999;12:111-21.

42

Zeng K, Oden A, Rowcliffe D. Evaluation of Mechanical Properties of Dental Ceramic Core Materials in Combination With Porcelains . *The International journal of prosthodontics* 1998;11:183-9.

43

Nakamura T, Ohyama T, Imanishi A, et al. Fracture resistance of pressable glass-ceramic fixed partial dentures. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation* 2002;29:951-5.
doi:10.1046/j.1365-2842.2002.00929.x

44

Stokes AN, Hood JAA. Impact fracture characteristics of intact and crowned human central incisors. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation* 1993;20:89-95.
doi:10.1111/j.1365-2842.1993.tb01518.x

45

Amalgam alternatives - micro-leakage evaluation of clinical procedures. Part I: direct composite/composite inlay/ceramic inlay. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation* 1998;25:443-7.
doi:10.1046/j.1365-2842.1998.00257.x

46

Valenti M, Valenti A. Retrospective survival analysis of 261 lithium disilicate crowns in a private general practice . Quintessence International 2009;**40**:573-9.

47

Denry, I. L. Effect of Ion Exchange on the Microstructure, Strength, and Thermal Expansion Behavior of a Leucite-reinforced Porcelain. Effect of Ion Exchange on the Microstructure, Strength, and Thermal Expansion Behavior of a Leucite-reinforced Porcelain. Journal of Dental Research; **77**:583-8.

48

Andersson M, Razzoog ME, Oden A, et al. PROCERA: A new way to achieve an all-ceramic crown . Quintessence International 1998;**29**:185-96.

49

Bergman MA. The Clinical performance of ceramic inlays: A review. Australian Dental Journal 1999; **44**:157-68. doi:10.1111/j.1834-7819.1999.tb00217.x

50

Conrad HJ, Seong W-J, Pesun IJ. Current ceramic materials and systems with clinical recommendations: A systematic review. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry 2007; **98**:389-404. doi:10.1016/S0022-3913(07)60124-3

51

Donly KJ, Jensen ME, Triolo P, et al. A clinical comparison of resin composite inlay and onlay posterior restorations and cast-gold restorations at 7 year . Quintessence International 1999; **30**:163-8.

52

Giordano R. Materials for chairside CAD/CAM-produced restorations . Journal of the American Dental Association 2006; **137**:S14-21. doi:10.14219/jada.archive.2006.0397

53

Guazzato M, Albakry M, Ringer SP, et al. Strength, fracture toughness and microstructure of a selection of all-ceramic materials. Part II. Zirconia-based dental ceramics. *Dental Materials* 2004;20:449-56. doi:10.1016/j.dental.2003.05.002

54

Lutz F, Göhring TN. Masters of Esthetic Dentistry. *Journal of Esthetic and Restorative Dentistry* 2000;12:164-71. doi:10.1111/j.1708-8240.2000.tb00216.x

55

Martin N, Jedynakiewicz NM. Clinical performance of CEREC ceramic inlays: a systematic review. *Dental Materials* 1999;15:54-61. doi:10.1016/S0109-5641(99)00014-7

56

Otto T, De Nisco S. Computer--aided direct ceramic restorations: A 10-year prospective clinical study of cerec CAD/CAM inlays and onlays. *The International Journal of Prosthodontics* 2002;15:122-8.

57

Palin W, Burke F. Article. *Dental Update Leading Dental Journal for CPD* 2005;32:566-72.

58

Sadowsky SJ. An overview of treatment considerations for esthetic restorations: A review of the literature. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry* 2006;96:433-42. doi:10.1016/j.prosdent.2006.09.018

59

Touati, Bernard, Miara, Paul, Nathanson, Dan. *Esthetic dentistry and ceramic restorations.* London: : Martin Dunitz 1999. doi:10.1201/9781003040002

60

Ab-Ghani Z, Ngo H, McIntyre J. Effect of remineralization/demineralization cycles on mineral profiles of Fuji IX Fast in vitro using electron probe microanalysis. Australian Dental Journal 2007;52:276-81. doi:10.1111/j.1834-7819.2007.tb00502.x

61

Botelho MG. Inhibitory Effects on Selected Oral Bacteria of Antibacterial Agents Incorporated in a Glass Ionomer Cement. Caries Research 2003;37:108-14. doi:10.1159/000069019

62

Burke F, Fleming G, Owen F, et al. Materials for Restoration of Primary Teeth: 2. Glass Ionomer Derivatives and Compomers . Dental Update Leading Dental Journal for CPD 2002; 29:10-7.

63

Culbertson BM. New polymeric materials for use in glass-ionomer cements. Journal of Dentistry 2006;34:556-65. doi:10.1016/j.jdent.2005.08.008

64

Exterkate RAM, Damen JJM, ten Cate JM. Effect of Fluoride-Releasing Filling Materials on Underlying Dentinal Lesions in vitro. Caries Research 2005;39:509-13. doi:10.1159/000088188

65

Frencken JE, Makoni F, Sithole WD. ART restorations and glass ionomer sealants in Zimbabwe: survival after 3 years. Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology 1998;26 :372-81. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0528.1998.tb01975.x

66

Hu J-Y, Li Y-Q, Smales RJ, et al. Restoration of teeth with more-viscous glass ionomer cements following radiation-induced caries. International Dental Journal 2002;52:445-8.

doi:10.1111/j.1875-595X.2002.tb00640.x

67

Kakaboura A, Eliades G, Palaghias G. An FTIR study on the setting mechanism of resin-modified glass ionomer restoratives. *Dental Materials* 1996; **12**:173-8.
doi:10.1016/S0109-5641(96)80017-0

68

Kent BE, Lewis BG, Wilson AD. The properties of a glass ionomer cement. *British Dental Journal* 1973; **135**:322-6.

69

Krämer N, Frankenberger R. Dental Materials: Clinical performance of a condensable metal-reinforced glass ionomer cement in primary molars. *British Dental Journal* 2001; **190**:317-21. doi:10.1038/sj.bdj.4800960

70

Lee S-Y, Dong D-R, Huang H-M, et al. Fluoride ion diffusion from a glass-ionomer cement. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation* 2000; **27**:576-86. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2842.2000.00554.x

71

McCabe JF. Resin-modified glass-ionomers. *Biomaterials* 1998; **19**:521-7.
doi:10.1016/S0142-9612(98)00132-X

72

Moshaverinia A, Ansari S, Movasaghi Z, et al. Modification of conventional glass-ionomer cements with N-vinylpyrrolidone containing polyacids, nano-hydroxy and fluoroapatite to improve mechanical properties. *Dental Materials* 2008; **24**:1381-90.
doi:10.1016/j.dental.2008.03.008

73

Moshaverinia A, Ansari S, Moshaverinia M, et al. Effects of incorporation of hydroxyapatite and fluoroapatite nanobioceramics into conventional glass ionomer cements (GIC). *Acta Biomaterialia* 2008;4:432–40. doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2007.07.011

74

Moshaverinia A, Roohpour N, Ansari S, et al. Effects of N-vinylpyrrolidone (NVP) containing polyelectrolytes on surface properties of conventional glass-ionomer cements (GIC). *Dental Materials* 2009;25:1240–7. doi:10.1016/j.dental.2009.05.006

75

MOSHAVERINIA A, ROOHPOUR N, REHMAN I. Synthesis and characterization of a novel fast-set proline-derivative-containing glass ionomer cement with enhanced mechanical properties. *Acta Biomaterialia* 2009;5:498–507. doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2008.06.011

76

Nakajo K, Imazato S, Takahashi Y, et al. Fluoride released from glass-ionomer cement is responsible to inhibit the acid production of caries-related oral streptococci. *Dental Materials* 2009;25:703–8. doi:10.1016/j.dental.2008.10.014

77

Rothwell M, Anstice HM, Pearson GJ. The uptake and release of fluoride by ion-leaching cements after exposure to toothpaste. *Journal of Dentistry* 1998;26:591–7. doi:10.1016/S0300-5712(97)00035-3

78

Sidhu SK, Watson TF. Resin-modified glass ionomer materials. A status report for the American Journal of Dentistry. *American journal of dentistry* 1995;8:59–67.

79

Small ICB, Watson TF, Chadwick AV, et al. Water sorption in resin-modified glass-ionomer cements: An in vitro comparison with other materials. *Biomaterials* 1998;19:545–50. doi:10.1016/S0142-9612(97)00135-X

80

Smith DC. Development of glass-ionomer cement systems. *Biomaterials* 1998; **19**:467-78.
doi:10.1016/S0142-9612(97)00126-9

81

TAKAHASHI Y, IMAZATO S, KANESHIRO A, et al. Antibacterial effects and physical properties of glass-ionomer cements containing chlorhexidine for the ART approach. *Dental Materials* 2006; **22**:647-52. doi:10.1016/j.dental.2005.08.003

82

Tay WM, Braden M. Fluoride ion diffusion from polyalkenoate (glass-ionomer) cements. *Biomaterials* 1988; **9**:454-6. doi:10.1016/0142-9612(88)90012-9

83

TÜRKÜN LS, TÜRKÜN M, ERTUGRUL F, et al. Long-Term Antibacterial Effects and Physical Properties of a Chlorhexidine-Containing Glass Ionomer Cement. *Journal of Esthetic and Restorative Dentistry* 2008; **20**:29-44. doi:10.1111/j.1708-8240.2008.00146.x

84

Tyas MJ. Clinical studies related to glass ionomers. *Operative dentistry* 1992; :191-8.

85

Wiegand A, Buchalla W, Attin T. Review on fluoride-releasing restorative materials—Fluoride release and uptake characteristics, antibacterial activity and influence on caries formation. *Dental Materials* 2007; **23**:343-62. doi:10.1016/j.dental.2006.01.022

86

Xie D, Brantley WA, Culbertson BM, et al. Mechanical properties and microstructures of glass-ionomer cements. *Dental Materials* 2000; **16**:129-38.

doi:10.1016/S0109-5641(99)00093-7

87

Xu X, Burgess JO. Compressive strength, fluoride release and recharge of fluoride-releasing materials. *Biomaterials* 2003;24:2451-61. doi:10.1016/S0142-9612(02)00638-5

88

Yap AUJ, Pek YS, Cheang P. Physico-mechanical properties of a fast-set highly viscous GIC restorative. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation* 2003;30:1-8.
doi:10.1046/j.1365-2842.2003.01006.x

89

Young A. FTIR investigation of monomer polymerisation and polyacid neutralisation kinetics and mechanisms in various aesthetic dental restorative materials. *Biomaterials* 2004;25:823-33. doi:10.1016/S0142-9612(03)00599-4

90

Akerboom HBM, Advokaat JGA, Amerongen WE, et al. Long-term evaluation and reresoration of amalgam restorations. *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology* 1993;21:45-8. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0528.1993.tb00718.x

91

Collins CJ, Bryant RW. Finishing of amalgam restorations: a three-year clinical study. *Journal of Dentistry* 1992;20:202-6. doi:10.1016/0300-5712(92)90074-M

92

Schmalz G. Use of cell cultures for toxicity testing of dental materials—advantages and limitations. *Journal of Dentistry* 1994;22:S6-11. doi:10.1016/0300-5712(94)90032-9

93

Sune Larsson K. Screening tests for systemic effects of dental materials. *Journal of Dentistry* 1994;22:S12-5. doi:10.1016/0300-5712(94)90033-7

94

Warfvinge G. Screening tests for sensitization potential of dental materials. *Journal of Dentistry* 1994;22:S16-20. doi:10.1016/0300-5712(94)90034-5

95

Browne RM. Animal tests for biocompatibility of dental materials—relevance, advantages and limitations. *Journal of Dentistry* 1994;22:S21-4. doi:10.1016/0300-5712(94)90035-3

96

Goldberg M, Lasfargues JJ, Legrand JM. Clinical testing of dental materials—histological considerations. *Journal of Dentistry* 1994;22:S25-8. doi:10.1016/0300-5712(94)90036-1

97

Hørsted-Bindslev P. Clinical testing of dental materials—general clinical aspects. *Journal of Dentistry* 1994;22:S29-32. doi:10.1016/0300-5712(94)90037-X

98

Eley BM. The future of dental amalgam: a review of the literature. Part 1: Dental amalgam structure and corrosion. *British Dental Journal* 1997;182:247-9.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=6bde52ce-e26f-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

99

Eley BM. The future of dental amalgam: a review of the literature. Part 2: Mercury exposure in dental practice. *British Dental Journal* 1997;182:293-7.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=0b90535a-e06f-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

100

Eley BM. The future of dental amalgam: a review of the literature. Part 3: Mercury exposure from amalgam restorations in dental patients. British Dental Journal 1997; **182**:333-8. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=bc870e94-b470-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

101

Eley BM. The future of dental amalgam: a review of the literature. Part 4: Mercury exposure hazards and risk assessment. British Dental Journal 1997; **182**:373-81. doi:10.1038/sj.bdj.4809393

102

Eley BM. The future of dental amalgam: a review of the literature. Part 5: Mercury in the urine, blood and body organs from amalgam fillings. British Dental Journal 1997; **182**:413-7.

103

Eley BM. The future of dental amalgam: a review of the literature. Part 6: Possible harmful effects of mercury from dental amalgam. British Dental Journal 1997; **182**:455-9. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=5bcaee4-b370-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

104

Eley BM. The future of dental amalgam: a review of the literature. Part 7: Possible alternative materials to amalgam for the restoration of posterior teeth. British Dental Journal 1997; **183**:11-4. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=36aac76f-b370-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

105

Ritchie KA, Burke FJT, Gilmour WH, et al. Mercury vapour levels in dental practices and body mercury levels of dentists and controls. British Dental Journal 2004; **197**:625-32. doi:10.1038/sj.bdj.4811831

106

Gruythuysen RJM, Kreulen CM, Tobi H, et al. 15-year evaluation of Class II amalgam restorations. *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology* 1996; **24**:207-10.
doi:10.1111/j.1600-0528.1996.tb00843.x

107

Jones DW. The enigma of amalgam in dentistry. *Journal of the Canadian Dental Association* 1993; **59**:155-66.

108

Jones DW. Has Dental Amalgam Been Torpedoed and Sunk? *Journal of Dental Research* 2008; **87**:101-2. doi:10.1177/154405910808700203

109

Osborne J. Amalgam: dead or alive? *Dental Update Leading Dental Journal for CPD* 2008; **33**:94-8.

110

Wahl M. Dental materials: A Resin Alternative for Posterior Teeth:Questions and Answers on Dental Amalgam. *Dental Update Leading Dental Journal for CPD* 2003; **30**:256-62.

111

Sfikas P. Can a dentist ethically remove serviceable amalgam restorations? *Journal of the American Dental Association* 1996; **127**:685-7. doi:10.14219/jada.archive.1996.0282

112

Wataha JC. Principles of biocompatibility for dental practitioners. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry* 2001; **86**:203-9. doi:10.1067/mpr.2001.117056

113

Adabo GL, Zanarotti E, Fonseca RG, et al. Effect of disinfectant agents on dimensional stability of elastomeric impression materials. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry* 1999; **81**:621-4. doi:10.1016/S0022-3913(99)70219-2

114

Beier US, Grunert I, Kulmer S, et al. Quality of impressions using hydrophilic polyvinyl siloxane in a clinical study of 249 patients. *The International Journal of Prosthodontics* 2007; **20**:270-4.

115

Brown D. Materials for impressions. *Dental Update Leading Dental Journal for CPD* 2004; **31**:40-5.

116

Ceyhan JA, Johnson GH, Lepe X. The effect of tray selection, viscosity of impression material, and sequence of pour on the accuracy of dies made from dual-arch impressions. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry* 2003; **90**:143-9. doi:10.1016/S0022-3913(03)00276-2

117

Hondrum SO. Changes in properties of nonaqueous elastomeric impression materials after storage of components. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry* 2001; **85**:73-81. doi:10.1067/mpr.2001.112407

118

Johnson GH, Lepe X, Aw TC. The effect of surface moisture on detail reproduction of elastomeric impressions. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry* 2003; **90**:354-64. doi:10.1016/S0022-3913(03)00429-3

119

Millar BJ, Dunne SM, Robinson PB. The effect of a surface wetting agent on void formation

in impressions. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry* 1997; **77**:54–6.
doi:10.1016/S0022-3913(97)70207-5

120

Nissan J, Laufer B-Z, Brosh T, et al. Accuracy of three polyvinyl siloxane putty-wash impression techniques. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry* 2000; **83**:161–5.
doi:10.1016/S0022-3913(00)80007-4

121

Wadhwani CPK, Johnson GH, Lepe X, et al. Accuracy of newly formulated fast-setting elastomeric impression materials. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry* 2005; **93**:530–9.
doi:10.1016/j.prosdent.2005.03.007

122

Chai J, Takahashi Y, Lautenschlager EP. Clinically relevant mechanical properties of elastomeric impression materials. *The International Journal of Prosthodontics* 1998; **11**:219–23.

123

Federick D, Caputo A. Comparing the accuracy of reversible hydrocolloid and elastomeric impression materials. *Journal of the American Dental Association* 1997; **128**:183–8.
doi:10.14219/jada.archive.1997.0162

124

Christensen G. Laboratories want better impressions. *Journal of the American Dental Association* 2007; **138**:527–9. doi:10.14219/jada.archive.2007.0207

125

McCullagh A, Sweet C, Ashley M. Making a Good Impression (A 'How to' Paper on Dental Alginate). *Dental Update Leading Dental Journal for CPD* 2005; **32**:169–75.

126

Stewardson DA. Trends in Indirect Dentistry: 5. Impression Materials and Techniques. Dental Update Leading Dental Journal for CPD 2005;32:374-93.

127

Donovan TE, Chee WW. A review of contemporary impression materials and techniques. The dental clinics of North America 2004;48:445-70.

128

Martinez JE, Combe EC, Pesun IJ. Rheological properties of vinyl polysiloxane impression pastes. Dental Materials 2001;17:471-6. doi:10.1016/S0109-5641(00)00100-7

129

Taylor RL, Wright PS, Maryan C. Disinfection procedures: their effect on the dimensional accuracy and surface quality of irreversible hydrocolloid impression materials and gypsum casts. Dental Materials 2002;18:103-10. doi:10.1016/S0109-5641(01)00027-6

130

Pamenius M, Ohlson NG. Influence of dimensional stability of impression materials on the probability of acceptance of a prosthetic restoration. Biomaterials 1995;16:1193-7. doi:10.1016/0142-9612(95)93586-3

131

Walker MP, Rondeau M, Petrie C, et al. Surface Quality and Long-term Dimensional Stability of Current Elastomeric Impression Materials after Disinfection. Journal of Prosthodontics 2007;16:343-51. doi:10.1111/j.1532-849X.2007.00206.x

132

Giordano R. Impression materials: basic properties. General dentistry 2000;48:510-6.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=fdd2082b-0773-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

133

Kanehira M, Finger W, Komatsu Masashi . Surface detail reproduction with new elastomeric dental impression materials . Quintessence International 2007;**38**:479-88.

134

Jagger DC, et.al. The effect of a range of disinfectants on the dimensional accuracy and stability of some impression materials. Journal of prosthodontics and restorative dentistry 2007;**15**:23-8.

135

Chee W, Jivraj S. Impression techniques for implant dentistry. British Dental Journal 2006; **201**:429-32. doi:10.1038/sj.bdj.4814118

136

Wassell RW, Barker D, Walls AWG. Crowns and other extra-coronal restorations: Impression materials and technique. British Dental Journal 2002; **192**:679-90.
doi:10.1038/sj.bdj.4801456

137

Brown D. Article. Dental Update Leading Dental Journal for CPD 2005; **32**:583-6.

138

Carrotte P. Endodontics: Part 7 Preparing the root canal. British Dental Journal 2004; **197**:603-13. doi:10.1038/sj.bdj.4811823

139

Noble J, Ahing SI, Karaiskos NE, et al. Nickel allergy and orthodontics, a review and report of two cases. BDJ 2008; **204**:297-300. doi:10.1038/bdj.2008.198

140

Scott A, Egner W, Gawkrodger DJ, et al. The national survey of adverse reactions to dental materials in the UK: a preliminary study by the UK Adverse Reactions Reporting Project. British Dental Journal 2004; **196**:471–7. doi:10.1038/sj.bdj.4811176

141

Wassell RW, Walls AWG, Steele JG. Crowns and extra-coronal restorations: Materials selection. British Dental Journal 2002; **192**:199–211. doi:10.1038/sj.bdj.4801334

142

Walls AWG, Nohl FSA, Wassell RW. Crowns and other extra-coronal restorations: Resin-bonded metal restorations. British Dental Journal 2002; **193**:135–42. doi:10.1038/sj.bdj.4801506

143

Schäfer E, Lau R. Comparison of cutting efficiency and instrumentation of curved canals with nickel-titanium and stainless-steel instruments. Journal of Endodontics 1999; **25**:427–30. doi:10.1016/S0099-2399(99)80272-6

144

Thompson SA. An overview of nickel-titanium alloys used in dentistry. International Endodontic Journal 2000; **33**:297–310. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2591.2000.00339.x

145

Wiltshire W, Ferreira M, Ligthelm A. Article. Quintessence International 1996; **27**:513–20. http://www.quintpub.com/journals/qi/abstract.php?iss2_id=474&article_id=5776&article=2&title>Allergies to dental materials

146

Wataha JC, Hanks CT. Biological effects of palladium and risk of using palladium in dental casting alloys. Journal of Oral Rehabilitation 1996; **23**:309–20. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2842.1996.tb00858.x

147

McCabe JF, Walls A. Applied dental materials. 9th ed. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons 2008.
<https://www.123library.org/ebook/id/3663>

148

Branemark PI, Breine U, Adell R, et al. Intra-Osseous Anchorage of Dental Prostheses:I. Experimental Studies, Scandinavian Journal of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery and Hand Surgery, Informa Healthcare. Scandinavian Journal of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery and Hand Surgery 1969;3:81-100. doi:9036699