

DRDPDMAT - DRDP: Applied Clinical Dental Materials

[View Online](#)

1.

Botelho MG. Inhibitory Effects on Selected Oral Bacteria of Antibacterial Agents Incorporated in a Glass Ionomer Cement. *Caries Research*. 2003;37(2):108-114.

2.

Brännström M, Nyborg H. Points in the experiential study of pulpal response to restorative materials. *Odontologisk tidskrift*. 1969;77:421-426.

3.

Buonocore MG. A simple method of increasing the adhesion of acrylic filling materials to enamel surfaces. *Journal of Dental Research* [Internet]. 1955;34(6):849-853. Available from:
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=ddh&AN=36487705&site=ehost-live&scope=site>

4.

De Munck J, Van Landuyt K, Peumans M, Poitevin A, Lambrechts P, Braem M, Van Meerbeek B. A Critical Review of the Durability of Adhesion to Tooth Tissue: Methods and Results. *Journal of Dental Research*. 2005 Feb 1;84(2):118-132.

5.

Kramer I, McLean J. Alterations in the staining reactions of dentine resulting from a constituent of a new self-polymerising resin. *British Dental Journal*. 1952;93:150-153.

6.

Matharu S, Spratt DA, Pratten J, Ng YL, Mordan N, Wilson M, Gulabivala K. A new in vitro model for the study of microbial microleakage around dental restorations: a preliminary qualitative evaluation. *International Endodontic Journal*. 2001 Oct;34(7):547-553.

7.

Peumans M, et.al. Clinical effectiveness of contemporary adhesives: A systematic review of current clinical trials. *Dental Materials*. 2005 Sep;21(9):864-881.

8.

Splieth C, et.al. Anaerobic microflora under Class I and Class II composite and amalgam restorations. *Quintessence international* [Internet]. 2003;34(7):497-503. Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=ddh&AN=37298503&site=ehost-live&scope=site>

9.

Van Landuyt KL, et.al. Systematic review of the chemical composition of contemporary dental adhesives. *Biomaterials*. 2007 Sep;28(26):3757-3785.

10.

Eick G, Gwinnett A, Pashley D, Robinson S. Current concepts on adhesion to dentin. *Critical reviews in oral biology and medicine*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Pr; 1997;8(3):306-335.

11.

Hannig M, Reinhardt K, Bott B. Self-etching primer vs phosphoric acid: an alternative concept for composite-to-enamel bonding. *Operative dentistry*. 1999;24(3):172-180.

12.

Hashimoto M, Ohno H, Kaga M, Endo K, Sano H, Oguchi H. In vivo Degradation of Resin-Dentin Bonds in Humans Over 1 to 3 Years. *Journal of Dental Research*. 2000 Jun 1;79(6):1385-1391.

13.

Sano H, et.al. Nanoleakage: leakage within the hybrid layer. Operative Dentistry. 1995;20(1):18-25.

14.

BRAGA R, BALLESTER R, FERRACANE J. Factors involved in the development of polymerization shrinkage stress in resin-composites: A systematic review. Dental Materials. 2005 Oct;21(10):962-970.

15.

Burke F, Palin W, James A, Mackenzie L, Sands P. The current status of materials for posterior composite restorations: the advent of low shrink. Dental Update. 2009;36(7):401-409.

16.

CHEN M, CHEN C, HSU S, SUN S, SU W. Low shrinkage light curable nanocomposite for dental restorative material. Dental Materials. 2006 Feb;22(2):138-145.

17.

Combe E, Burke F. Contemporary Resin-based Composite Materials for Direct Placement Restorations: Packables, Flowables and Others. Dental Update Leading Dental Journal for CPD. 2000;27(7):326-336.

18.

Davidson CL, Feilzer AJ. Polymerization shrinkage and polymerization shrinkage stress in polymer-based restoratives. Journal of Dentistry. 1997 Nov;25(6):435-440.

19.

Feilzer AJ, De Gee AJ, Davidson CL. Setting stress in composite resin in relation to configuration of the restoration. Journal of Dental Research [Internet].

1987;66(11):1636-1639. Available from:

[http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=ddh
&AN=36543133&site=ehost-live&scope=site](http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=ddh&AN=36543133&site=ehost-live&scope=site)

20.

Leinfelder K. New developments in resin restorative systems. The Journal of the American Dental Association. 1997;128(5):573-581.

21.

Liebenberg WH. Assuring restorative integrity in extensive posterior resin composite restorations: Pushing the envelope . Quintessence International. 2000;31(3):153-164.

22.

Millar BJ, Abider F, Nicholson JW. In vitro caries inhibition by polyacid-modified composite resins ('compomers'). Journal of Dentistry. 1998 Mar;26(2):133-136.

23.

Palin W, Fleming G. Low-shrink monomers for dental restorations. . Dental Update. 2003;30(3):118-122.

24.

Shaw AJ, Carrick T, McCabe JF. Fluoride release from glass-ionomer and compomer restorative materials: 6-month data. Journal of Dentistry. 1998 May;26(4):355-359.

25.

Gladys S. Comparative physico-mechanical characterisation of new hybrid restorative materials with contemporary glass-ionomer and resin composite restorative materials. Journal of Dental Research. 76(4):883-894.

26.

Versluis, A. Do Dental Composites Always Shrink Toward the Light? Journal of Dental Research. 77(6):1435–1445.

27.

ANUSAVICE, K. J. Strengthening of Feldspathic Porcelain by Ion Exchange and Tempering. Journal of Dental Research. 71(71):1134–1138.

28.

Burke F. Fracture resistance of teeth restored with dentin-bonded crowns: the effect of increased tooth preparation. Quintessence international. 1996;27(2):115–121.

29.

Burke F. Trends in Indirect Dentistry: 3. Luting Materials . Dental Update Leading Dental Journal for CPD. 2005;32(5):251–260.

30.

Burke F. Trends in indirect Dentistry: 4. Performance of Adhesive Restoratives. Dental Update Leading Dental Journal for CPD. 2005;32(6):312–325.

31.

Burke FJT, Qualtrough AJE. Follow-up Evaluation of a Series of Dentin-Bonded Ceramic Restorations. Journal of Esthetic and Restorative Dentistry. 2000 Jan;12(1):16–22.

32.

Chris, Ellakwa AE. DENTAL MATERIALS Fibre-reinforced Composites in Restorative Dentistry . Dental Update Leading Dental Journal for CPD. 2003;30(6):300–306.

33.

CHO LR, YI YJ, HEO SJ. Effect of tooth brushing and thermal cycling on a surface change of ceromers finished with different methods. Journal of Oral Rehabilitation. 2002

Sep;29(9):816-822.

34.

Cook WD, Johannson M. The influence of postcuring on the fracture properties of photo-cured dimethacrylate based dental composite resin. Journal of Biomedical Materials Research. 1987 Aug;21(8):979-989.

35.

Etman MK, Woolford MJ. Three-year clinical evaluation of two ceramic crown systems: A preliminary study. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry. 2010 Feb;103(2):80-90.

36.

Fissore B, Nicholls JI, Yuodelis RA. Load fatigue of teeth restored by a dentin bonding agent and a posterior composite resin. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry. 1991 Jan;65(1):80-85.

37.

Giordano RA, Pelletier L, Campbell S, Pober R. Flexural strength of an infused ceramic, glass ceramic, and feldspathic porcelain. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry. 1995 May;73(5):411-418.

38.

Krämer N, Frankenberger R, Pelka M, Petschelt A. IPS Empress inlays and onlays after four years — a clinical study. Journal of Dentistry. 1999 Jul;27(5):325-331.

39.

Leinfelder K. New developments in resin restorative systems. New developments in resin restorative systems. 1997;128(5):573-581.

40.

Rosenstiel SF, Gupta PK, Van Der Sluys RA, Zimmerman MH. Strength of a dental glass-ceramic after surface coating. *Dental Materials*. 1993 Jul;9(4):274-279.

41.

Magne P, Douglas WH. Porcelain veneers: dentin bonding optimization and biomimetic recovery of the crown. *The International journal of prosthodontics*. 1999;12(2):111-121.

42.

Zeng K, Oden A, Rowcliffe D. Evaluation of Mechanical Properties of Dental Ceramic Core Materials in Combination With Porcelains . *The International journal of prosthodontics*. 1998;11(2):183-189.

43.

Nakamura T, Ohyama T, Imanishi A, Nakamura T, Ishigaki S. Fracture resistance of pressable glass-ceramic fixed partial dentures. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*. 2002 Oct;29(10):951-955.

44.

Stokes AN, Hood JAA. Impact fracture characteristics of intact and crowned human central incisors. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*. 1993 Jan;20(1):89-95.

45.

Amalgam alternatives - micro-leakage evaluation of clinical procedures. Part I: direct composite/composite inlay/ceramic inlay. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*. 1998 Jun;25(6):443-447.

46.

Valenti M, Valenti A. Retrospective survival analysis of 261 lithium disilicate crowns in a private general practice . *Quintessence International*. 2009;40(7):573-579.

47.

Denry, I. L. Effect of Ion Exchange on the Microstructure, Strength, and Thermal Expansion Behavior of a Leucite-reinforced Porcelain. Effect of Ion Exchange on the Microstructure, Strength, and Thermal Expansion Behavior of a Leucite-reinforced Porcelain. Journal of Dental Research. 77(4):583-588.

48.

Andersson M, Razzoog ME, Oden A, Hegenbarth EA, Lang BR. PROCERA: A new way to achieve an all-ceramic crown . Quintessence International. 1998;29(5):185-196.

49.

Bergman MA. The Clinical performance of ceramic inlays: A review. Australian Dental Journal. 1999 Sep;44(3):157-168.

50.

Conrad HJ, Seong WJ, Pesun IJ. Current ceramic materials and systems with clinical recommendations: A systematic review. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry. 2007 Nov;98(5):389-404.

51.

Donly KJ, Jensen ME, Triolo P, Chan D. A clinical comparison of resin composite inlay and onlay posterior restorations and cast-gold restorations at 7 year . Quintessence International. 1999;30(3):163-168.

52.

Giordano R. Materials for chairside CAD/CAM-produced restorations . Journal of the American Dental Association. 2006;137(9 Supp 1):S14-S21.

53.

Guazzato M, Albakry M, Ringer SP, Swain MV. Strength, fracture toughness and microstructure of a selection of all-ceramic materials. Part II. Zirconia-based dental ceramics. Dental Materials. 2004 Jun;20(5):449-456.

54.

Lutz F, Göhring TN. Masters of Esthetic Dentistry. Journal of Esthetic and Restorative Dentistry. 2000 May;12(3):164–171.

55.

Martin N, Jedynakiewicz NM. Clinical performance of CEREC ceramic inlays: a systematic review. Dental Materials. 1999 Jan;15(1):54–61.

56.

Otto T, De Nisco S. Computer--aided direct ceramic restorations: A 10-year prospective clinical study of cerec CAD/CAM inlays and onlays. The International Journal of Prosthodontics . 2002;15(2):122–128.

57.

Palin W, Burke F. Article. Dental Update Leading Dental Journal for CPD. 2005;32(10):566–572.

58.

Sadowsky SJ. An overview of treatment considerations for esthetic restorations: A review of the literature. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry. 2006 Dec;96(6):433–442.

59.

Touati, Bernard, Miara, Paul, Nathanson, Dan. Esthetic dentistry and ceramic restorations. London: Martin Dunitz; 1999.

60.

Ab-Ghani Z, Ngo H, McIntyre J. Effect of remineralization/demineralization cycles on mineral profiles of Fuji IX Fast in vitro using electron probe microanalysis. Australian Dental Journal. 2007 Dec;52(4):276–281.

61.

Botelho MG. Inhibitory Effects on Selected Oral Bacteria of Antibacterial Agents Incorporated in a Glass Ionomer Cement. *Caries Research*. 2003;37(2):108–114.

62.

Burke F, Fleming G, Owen F, Watson D. Materials for Restoration of Primary Teeth: 2. Glass Ionomer Derivatives and Compomers . *Dental Update Leading Dental Journal for CPD*. 2002;29(1):10–17.

63.

Culbertson BM. New polymeric materials for use in glass-ionomer cements. *Journal of Dentistry*. 2006 Sep;34(8):556–565.

64.

Exterkate RAM, Damen JJM, ten Cate JM. Effect of Fluoride-Releasing Filling Materials on Underlying Dentinal Lesions in vitro. *Caries Research*. 2005;39(6):509–513.

65.

Frencken JE, Makoni F, Sithole WD. ART restorations and glass ionomer sealants in Zimbabwe: survival after 3 years. *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology*. 1998 Dec;26(6):372–381.

66.

Hu JY, Li YQ, Smales RJ, Yip KHK. Restoration of teeth with more-viscous glass ionomer cements following radiation-induced caries. *International Dental Journal*. 2002;52(6):445–448.

67.

Kakaboura A, Eliades G, Palaghias G. An FTIR study on the setting mechanism of resin-modified glass ionomer restoratives. *Dental Materials*. 1996;12(3):173–178.

68.

Kent BE, Lewis BG, Wilson AD. The properties of a glass ionomer cement. *British Dental Journal*. 1973 Oct 2;135(7):322–326.

69.

Krämer N, Frankenberger R. Dental Materials: Clinical performance of a condensable metal-reinforced glass ionomer cement in primary molars. *British Dental Journal*. 2001 Mar 24;190(6):317–321.

70.

Lee SY, Dong DR, Huang HM, Shih YH. Fluoride ion diffusion from a glass-ionomer cement. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*. 2000 Jul;27(7):576–586.

71.

McCabe JF. Resin-modified glass-ionomers. *Biomaterials*. 1998 Apr;19(6):521–527.

72.

Moshaverinia A, Ansari S, Movasaghi Z, Billington RW, Darr JA, Rehman IU. Modification of conventional glass-ionomer cements with N-vinylpyrrolidone containing polyacids, nano-hydroxy and fluoroapatite to improve mechanical properties. *Dental Materials*. 2008 Oct;24(10):1381–1390.

73.

Moshaverinia A, Ansari S, Moshaverinia M, Roohpour N, Darr JA, Rehman I. Effects of incorporation of hydroxyapatite and fluoroapatite nanobioceramics into conventional glass ionomer cements (GIC). *Acta Biomaterialia*. 2008 Mar;4(2):432–440.

74.

Moshaverinia A, Roohpour N, Ansari S, Moshaverinia M, Schricker S, Darr JA, Rehman IU. Effects of N-vinylpyrrolidone (NVP) containing polyelectrolytes on surface properties of

conventional glass-ionomer cements (GIC). *Dental Materials*. 2009 Oct;25(10):1240-1247.

75.

MOSHAVERINIA A, ROOHPOUR N, REHMAN I. Synthesis and characterization of a novel fast-set proline-derivative-containing glass ionomer cement with enhanced mechanical properties. *Acta Biomaterialia*. 2009 Jan;5(1):498-507.

76.

Nakajo K, Imazato S, Takahashi Y, Kiba W, Ebisu S, Takahashi N. Fluoride released from glass-ionomer cement is responsible to inhibit the acid production of caries-related oral streptococci. *Dental Materials*. 2009 Jun;25(6):703-708.

77.

Rothwell M, Anstice HM, Pearson GJ. The uptake and release of fluoride by ion-leaching cements after exposure to toothpaste. *Journal of Dentistry*. 1998 Sep;26(7):591-597.

78.

Sidhu SK, Watson TF. Resin-modified glass ionomer materials. A status report for the American Journal of Dentistry. *American journal of dentistry*. San Antonio, TX: Mosher & Linder; 1995;8(1):59-67.

79.

Small ICB, Watson TF, Chadwick AV, Sidhu SK. Water sorption in resin-modified glass-ionomer cements: An in vitro comparison with other materials. *Biomaterials*. 1998 Apr;19(6):545-550.

80.

Smith DC. Development of glass-ionomer cement systems. *Biomaterials*. 1998 Apr;19(6):467-478.

81.

TAKAHASHI Y, IMAZATO S, KANESHIRO A, EBISU S, FRENCKEN J, TAY F. Antibacterial effects and physical properties of glass-ionomer cements containing chlorhexidine for the ART approach. *Dental Materials*. 2006 Jul;22(7):647–652.

82.

Tay WM, Braden M. Fluoride ion diffusion from polyalkenoate (glass-ionomer) cements. *Biomaterials*. 1988 Sep;9(5):454–456.

83.

TÜRKÜN LS, TÜRKÜN M, ERTUG˘RUL F, ATES, M, BRUGGER S. Long-Term Antibacterial Effects and Physical Properties of a Chlorhexidine-Containing Glass Ionomer Cement. *Journal of Esthetic and Restorative Dentistry*. 2008 Feb;20(1):29–44.

84.

Tyas MJ. Clinical studies related to glass ionomers. *Operative dentistry*. Seattle: University of Washington, School of Dentistry; 1992;(Supp 5):191–198.

85.

Wiegand A, Buchalla W, Attin T. Review on fluoride-releasing restorative materials—Fluoride release and uptake characteristics, antibacterial activity and influence on caries formation. *Dental Materials*. 2007 Mar;23(3):343–362.

86.

Xie D, Brantley WA, Culbertson BM, Wang G. Mechanical properties and microstructures of glass-ionomer cements. *Dental Materials*. 2000 Mar;16(2):129–138.

87.

Xu X, Burgess JO. Compressive strength, fluoride release and recharge of fluoride-releasing materials. *Biomaterials*. 2003 Jun;24(14):2451–2461.

88.

Yap AUJ, Pek YS, Cheang P. Physico-mechanical properties of a fast-set highly viscous GIC restorative. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*. 2003 Jan;30(1):1-8.

89.

Young A. FTIR investigation of monomer polymerisation and polyacid neutralisation kinetics and mechanisms in various aesthetic dental restorative materials. *Biomaterials*. 2004 Feb;25(5):823-833.

90.

Akerboom HBM, Advokaat JGA, Amerongen WE, Borgmeijer PJ. Long-term evaluation and reresoration of amalgam restorations. *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology*. 1993 Feb;21(1):45-48.

91.

Collins CJ, Bryant RW. Finishing of amalgam restorations: a three-year clinical study. *Journal of Dentistry*. 1992 Aug;20(4):202-206.

92.

Schmalz G. Use of cell cultures for toxicity testing of dental materials—advantages and limitations. *Journal of Dentistry*. 1994 Jan;22:S6-S11.

93.

Sune Larsson K. Screening tests for systemic effects of dental materials. *Journal of Dentistry*. 1994 Jan;22:S12-S15.

94.

Warfvinge G. Screening tests for sensitization potential of dental materials. *Journal of Dentistry*. 1994 Jan;22:S16-S20.

95.

Browne RM. Animal tests for biocompatibility of dental materials—relevance, advantages and limitations. *Journal of Dentistry*. 1994 Jan;22:S21–S24.

96.

Goldberg M, Lasfargues JJ, Legrand JM. Clinical testing of dental materials— histological considerations. *Journal of Dentistry*. 1994 Jan;22:S25–S28.

97.

Hørsted-Bindslev P. Clinical testing of dental materials— general clinical aspects. *Journal of Dentistry*. 1994 Jan;22:S29–S32.

98.

Eley BM. The future of dental amalgam: a review of the literature. Part 1: Dental amalgam structure and corrosion. *British Dental Journal* [Internet]. 1997 Apr 12;182(7):247–249. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=6bde52ce-e26f-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

99.

Eley BM. The future of dental amalgam: a review of the literature. Part 2: Mercury exposure in dental practice. *British Dental Journal* [Internet]. 1997 Apr 26;182(8):293–297. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=0b90535a-e06f-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

100.

Eley BM. The future of dental amalgam: a review of the literature. Part 3: Mercury exposure from amalgam restorations in dental patients. *British Dental Journal* [Internet]. 1997 May 10;182(9):333–338. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=bc870e94-b470-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

101.

Eley BM. The future of dental amalgam: a review of the literature. Part 4: Mercury exposure hazards and risk assessment. *British Dental Journal*. 1997 May

24;182(10):373-381.

102.

Eley BM. The future of dental amalgam: a review of the literature. Part 5: Mercury in the urine, blood and body organs from amalgam fillings. British Dental Journal. 1997 Jun 14;182(11):413-417.

103.

Eley BM. The future of dental amalgam: a review of the literature. Part 6: Possible harmful effects of mercury from dental amalgam. British Dental Journal [Internet]. 1997 Jun 28;182(12):455-459. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=5bcaeea4-b370-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

104.

Eley BM. The future of dental amalgam: a review of the literature. Part 7: Possible alternative materials to amalgam for the restoration of posterior teeth. British Dental Journal [Internet]. 1997 Jul 12;183(1):11-14. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=36aac76f-b370-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

105.

Ritchie KA, Burke FJT, Gilmour WH, Macdonald EB, Dale IM, Hamilton RM, McGowan DA, Binnie V, Collington D, Hammersley R. Mercury vapour levels in dental practices and body mercury levels of dentists and controls. British Dental Journal. 2004 Nov 27;197(10):625-632.

106.

Gruythuysen RJM, Kreulen CM, Tobi H, Amerongen E, Akerboom HBM. 15-year evaluation of Class II amalgam restorations. Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology. 1996 Jun;24(3):207-210.

107.

Jones DW. The enigma of amalgam in dentistry. Journal of the Canadian Dental Association. 1993;59(2):155-166.

108.

Jones DW. Has Dental Amalgam Been Torpedoed and Sunk? Journal of Dental Research. 2008 Feb 1;87(2):101–102.

109.

Osborne J. Amalgam: dead or alive? Dental Update Leading Dental Journal for CPD. 2008;33(2):94–98.

110.

Wahl M. Dental materials: A Resin Alternative for Posterior Teeth: Questions and Answers on Dental Amalgam. Dental Update Leading Dental Journal for CPD. 2003;30(5):256–262.

111.

Sfikas P. Can a dentist ethically remove serviceable amalgam restorations? Journal of the American Dental Association. 1996;127(5):685–687.

112.

Wataha JC. Principles of biocompatibility for dental practitioners. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry. 2001 Aug;86(2):203–209.

113.

Adabo GL, Zanarotti E, Fonseca RG, Cruz CA dos S. Effect of disinfectant agents on dimensional stability of elastomeric impression materials. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry. 1999 May;81(5):621–624.

114.

Beier US, Grunert I, Kulmer S, Dumfahrt H. Quality of impressions using hydrophilic polyvinyl siloxane in a clinical study of 249 patients. The International Journal of Prosthodontics . 2007;20(3):270–274.

115.

Brown D. Materials for impressions. Dental Update Leading Dental Journal for CPD. 2004;31(1):40-45.

116.

Ceyhan JA, Johnson GH, Lepe X. The effect of tray selection, viscosity of impression material, and sequence of pour on the accuracy of dies made from dual-arch impressions. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry. 2003 Aug;90(2):143-149.

117.

Hondrum SO. Changes in properties of nonaqueous elastomeric impression materials after storage of components. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry. 2001 Jan;85(1):73-81.

118.

Johnson GH, Lepe X, Aw TC. The effect of surface moisture on detail reproduction of elastomeric impressions. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry. 2003 Oct;90(4):354-364.

119.

Millar BJ, Dunne SM, Robinson PB. The effect of a surface wetting agent on void formation in impressions. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry. 1997 Jan;77(1):54-56.

120.

Nissan J, Laufer BZ, Brosh T, Assif D. Accuracy of three polyvinyl siloxane putty-wash impression techniques. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry. 2000 Feb;83(2):161-165.

121.

Wadhwani CPK, Johnson GH, Lepe X, Raigrodski AJ. Accuracy of newly formulated fast-setting elastomeric impression materials. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry. 2005 Jun;93(6):530-539.

122.

Chai J, Takahashi Y, Lautenschlager EP. Clinically relevant mechanical properties of elastomeric impression materials. The International Journal of Prosthodontics . 1998;11(3):219-223.

123.

Federick D, Caputo A. Comparing the accuracy of reversible hydrocolloid and elastomeric impression materials. Journal of the American Dental Association. 1997;128(2):183-188.

124.

Christensen G. Laboratories want better impressions. Journal of the American Dental Association. 2007;138(4):527-529.

125.

McCullagh A, Sweet C, Ashley M. Making a Good Impression (A 'How to' Paper on Dental Alginate) . Dental Update Leading Dental Journal for CPD. 2005;32(3):169-175.

126.

Stewardson DA. Trends in Indirect Dentistry: 5. Impression Materials and Techniques. Dental Update Leading Dental Journal for CPD. 2005;32(7):374-393.

127.

Donovan TE, Chee WW. A review of contemporary impression materials and techniques. The dental clinics of North America. 2004;48(2):445-470.

128.

Martinez JE, Combe EC, Pesun IJ. Rheological properties of vinyl polysiloxane impression pastes. Dental Materials. 2001 Nov;17(6):471-476.

129.

Taylor RL, Wright PS, Maryan C. Disinfection procedures: their effect on the dimensional accuracy and surface quality of irreversible hydrocolloid impression materials and gypsum casts. *Dental Materials*. 2002 Mar;18(2):103-110.

130.

Pamenius M, Ohlson NG. Influence of dimensional stability of impression materials on the probability of acceptance of a prosthetic restoration. *Biomaterials*. 1995 Oct;16(15):1193-1197.

131.

Walker MP, Rondeau M, Petrie C, Tasca A, Williams K. Surface Quality and Long-term Dimensional Stability of Current Elastomeric Impression Materials after Disinfection. *Journal of Prosthodontics*. 2007 Sep;16(5):343-351.

132.

Giordano R. Impression materials: basic properties. *General dentistry* [Internet]. 2000;48(5):510-516. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=fdd2082b-0773-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

133.

Kanehira M, Finger W, Komatsu Masashi . Surface detail reproduction with new elastomeric dental impression materials . *Quintessence International* . 2007;38(6):479-488.

134.

Jagger DC, et.al. The effect of a range of disinfectants on the dimensional accuracy and stability of some impression materials. *Journal of prosthodontics and restorative dentistry*. 2007;15(1):23-28.

135.

Chee W, Jivraj S. Impression techniques for implant dentistry. British Dental Journal. 2006 Oct 7;201(7):429-432.

136.

Wassell RW, Barker D, Walls AWG. Crowns and other extra-coronal restorations: Impression materials and technique. British Dental Journal. 2002 Jun 29;192(12):679-690.

137.

Brown D. Article. Dental Update Leading Dental Journal for CPD. 2005;32(10):583-586.

138.

Carrotte P. Endodontics: Part 7 Preparing the root canal. British Dental Journal. 2004 Nov 27;197(10):603-613.

139.

Noble J, Ahing SI, Karaiskos NE, Wiltshire WA. Nickel allergy and orthodontics, a review and report of two cases. BDJ. 2008 Mar 22;204(6):297-300.

140.

Scott A, Egner W, Gawkrödger DJ, Hatton PV, Sherriff M, van Noort R, Yeoman C, Grummitt J. The national survey of adverse reactions to dental materials in the UK: a preliminary study by the UK Adverse Reactions Reporting Project. British Dental Journal. 2004 Apr 24;196(8):471-477.

141.

Wassell RW, Walls AWG, Steele JG. Crowns and extra-coronal restorations: Materials selection. British Dental Journal. 2002 Feb 23;192(4):199-211.

142.

Walls AWG, Nohl FSA, Wassell RW. Crowns and other extra-coronal restorations:

Resin-bonded metal restorations. British Dental Journal. 2002 Aug 10;193(3):135-142.

143.

Schäfer E, Lau R. Comparison of cutting efficiency and instrumentation of curved canals with nickel-titanium and stainless-steel instruments. Journal of Endodontics. 1999 Jun;25(6):427-430.

144.

Thompson SA. An overview of nickel-titanium alloys used in dentistry. International Endodontic Journal. 2000 Jul;33(4):297-310.

145.

Wiltshire W, Ferreira M, Ligthelm A. Article. Quintessence International [Internet]. 1996;27(8):513-520. Available from: [http://www.quintpub.com/journals/qi/abstract.php?iss2_id=474&article_id=5776&article=2&title=Allergies to dental materials](http://www.quintpub.com/journals/qi/abstract.php?iss2_id=474&article_id=5776&article=2&title=Allergies%20to%20dental%20materials)

146.

Wataha JC, Hanks CT. Biological effects of palladium and risk of using palladium in dental casting alloys. Journal of Oral Rehabilitation. 1996;23(5):309-320.

147.

McCabe JF, Walls A. Applied dental materials [Internet]. 9th ed. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons; 2008. Available from: <https://www.123library.org/ebook/id/3663>

148.

Branemark PI, Breine U, Adell R, Hansson O, Lindstrom J, Ahlsson A. Intra-Osseous Anchorage of Dental Prostheses:I. Experimental Studies, Scandinavian Journal of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery and Hand Surgery, Informa Healthcare. Scandinavian Journal of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery and Hand Surgery. 1969;3(2):81-100.