

DRDPDMAT - DRDP: Applied Clinical Dental Materials

[View Online](#)

1.

Botelho, M. G. Inhibitory Effects on Selected Oral Bacteria of Antibacterial Agents Incorporated in a Glass Ionomer Cement. *Caries Research* **37**, 108–114 (2003).

2.

Brännström, M. & Nyborg, H. Points in the experiential study of pulpal response to restorative materials. *Odontologisk tidskrift* **77**, 421–426 (1969).

3.

Buonocore, M. G. A simple method of increasing the adhesion of acrylic filling materials to enamel surfaces. *Journal of Dental Research* **34**, 849–853 (1955).

4.

De Munck, J. et al. A Critical Review of the Durability of Adhesion to Tooth Tissue: Methods and Results. *Journal of Dental Research* **84**, 118–132 (2005).

5.

Kramer, I. & McLean, J. Alterations in the staining reactions of dentine resulting from a constituent of a new self-polymerising resin. *British Dental Journal* **93**, 150–153 (1952).

6.

Matharu, S. et al. A new in vitro model for the study of microbial microleakage around dental restorations: a preliminary qualitative evaluation. *International Endodontic Journal*

34, 547-553 (2001).

7.

Peumans, M. & et.al. Clinical effectiveness of contemporary adhesives: A systematic review of current clinical trials. *Dental Materials* **21**, 864-881 (2005).

8.

Splieth, C. & et.al. Anaerobic microflora under Class I and Class II composite and amalgam restorations. *Quintessence international* **34**, 497-503 (2003).

9.

Van Landuyt, K. L. & et.al. Systematic review of the chemical composition of contemporary dental adhesives. *Biomaterials* **28**, 3757-3785 (2007).

10.

Eick, G., Gwinnett, A., Pashley, D. & Robinson, S. Current concepts on adhesion to dentin. *Critical reviews in oral biology and medicine* **8**, 306-335 (1997).

11.

Hannig, M., Reinhardt, K. & Bott, B. Self-etching primer vs phosphoric acid: an alternative concept for composite-to-enamel bonding. *Operative dentistry* **24**, 172-180 (1999).

12.

Hashimoto, M. et al. In vivo Degradation of Resin-Dentin Bonds in Humans Over 1 to 3 Years. *Journal of Dental Research* **79**, 1385-1391 (2000).

13.

Sano, H. & et.al. Nanoleakage: leakage within the hybrid layer. *Operative Dentistry* **20**, 18-25 (1995).

14.

BRAGA, R., BALLESTER, R. & FERRACANE, J. Factors involved in the development of polymerization shrinkage stress in resin-composites: A systematic review. *Dental Materials* **21**, 962–970 (2005).

15.

Burke, F., Palin, W., James, A., Mackenzie, L. & Sands, P. The current status of materials for posterior composite restorations: the advent of low shrink. *Dental Update* **36**, 401–409 (2009).

16.

CHEN, M., CHEN, C., HSU, S., SUN, S. & SU, W. Low shrinkage light curable nanocomposite for dental restorative material. *Dental Materials* **22**, 138–145 (2006).

17.

Combe, E. & Burke, F. Contemporary Resin-based Composite Materials for Direct Placement Restorations: Packables, Flowables and Others. *Dental Update. Leading Dental Journal for CPD* **27**, 326–336 (2000).

18.

Davidson, C. L. & Feilzer, A. J. Polymerization shrinkage and polymerization shrinkage stress in polymer-based restoratives. *Journal of Dentistry* **25**, 435–440 (1997).

19.

Feilzer, A. J., De Gee, A. J. & Davidson, C. L. Setting stress in composite resin in relation to configuration of the restoration. *Journal of Dental Research* **66**, 1636–1639 (1987).

20.

Leinfelder, K. New developments in resin restorative systems. *The Journal of the American Dental Association* **128**, 573–581 (1997).

21.

Liebenberg, W. H. Assuring restorative integrity in extensive posterior resin composite restorations: Pushing the envelope . Quintessence International **31**, 153–164 (2000).

22.

Millar, B. J., Abider, F. & Nicholson, J. W. In vitro caries inhibition by polyacid-modified composite resins ('compomers'). Journal of Dentistry **26**, 133–136 (1998).

23.

Palin, W. & Fleming, G. Low-shrink monomers for dental restorations. . Dental Update **30**, 118–122 (2003).

24.

Shaw, A. J., Carrick, T. & McCabe, J. F. Fluoride release from glass-ionomer and compomer restorative materials: 6-month data. Journal of Dentistry **26**, 355–359 (1998).

25.

Gladys, S. Comparative physico-mechanical characterisation of new hybrid restorative materials with contemporary glass-ionomer and resin composite restorative materials. Journal of Dental Research **76**, 883–894.

26.

Versluis, A. Do Dental Composites Always Shrink Toward the Light? Journal of Dental Research **77**, 1435–1445.

27.

ANUSAVICE, K. J. Strengthening of Feldspathic Porcelain by Ion Exchange and Tempering. Journal of Dental Research **71**, 1134–1138.

28.

Burke, F. Fracture resistance of teeth restored with dentin-bonded crowns: the effect of increased tooth preparation. Quintessence international **27**, 115–121 (1996).

29.

Burke, F. Trends in Indirect Dentistry: 3. Luting Materials . Dental Update. Leading Dental Journal for CPD **32**, 251–260 (2005).

30.

Burke, F. Trends in indirect Dentistry: 4. Performance of Adhesive Restoratives. Dental Update. Leading Dental Journal for CPD **32**, 312–325 (2005).

31.

Burke, F. J. T. & Qualtrough, A. J. E. Follow-up Evaluation of a Series of Dentin-Bonded Ceramic Restorations. Journal of Esthetic and Restorative Dentistry **12**, 16–22 (2000).

32.

Chris & Ellakwa, A. E. DENTAL MATERIALS Fibre-reinforced Composites in Restorative Dentistry . Dental Update. Leading Dental Journal for CPD **30**, 300–306 (2003).

33.

CHO, L.-R., YI, Y.-J. & HEO, S.-J. Effect of tooth brushing and thermal cycling on a surface change of ceromers finished with different methods. Journal of Oral Rehabilitation **29**, 816–822 (2002).

34.

Cook, W. D. & Johansson, M. The influence of postcuring on the fracture properties of photo-cured dimethacrylate based dental composite resin. Journal of Biomedical Materials Research **21**, 979–989 (1987).

35.

Etman, M. K. & Woolford, M. J. Three-year clinical evaluation of two ceramic crown systems: A preliminary study. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry* **103**, 80–90 (2010).

36.

Fissore, B., Nicholls, J. I. & Yuodelis, R. A. Load fatigue of teeth restored by a dentin bonding agent and a posterior composite resin. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry* **65**, 80–85 (1991).

37.

Giordano, R. A., Pelletier, L., Campbell, S. & Pober, R. Flexural strength of an infused ceramic, glass ceramic, and feldspathic porcelain. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry* **73**, 411–418 (1995).

38.

Krämer, N., Frankenberger, R., Pelka, M. & Petschelt, A. IPS Empress inlays and onlays after four years — a clinical study. *Journal of Dentistry* **27**, 325–331 (1999).

39.

Leinfelder, K. New developments in resin restorative systems. *New developments in resin restorative systems* **128**, 573–581 (1997).

40.

Rosenstiel, S. F., Gupta, P. K., Van Der Sluys, R. A. & Zimmerman, M. H. Strength of a dental glass-ceramic after surface coating. *Dental Materials* **9**, 274–279 (1993).

41.

Magne, P. & Douglas, W. H. Porcelain veneers: dentin bonding optimization and biomimetic recovery of the crown. *The International journal of prosthodontics* **12**, 111–121 (1999).

42.

Zeng, K., Oden, A. & Rowcliffe, D. Evaluation of Mechanical Properties of Dental Ceramic Core Materials in Combination With Porcelains . The International journal of prosthodontics **11**, 183–189 (1998).

43.

Nakamura, T., Ohyama, T., Imanishi, A., Nakamura, T. & Ishigaki, S. Fracture resistance of pressable glass-ceramic fixed partial dentures. Journal of Oral Rehabilitation **29**, 951–955 (2002).

44.

Stokes, A. N. & Hood, J. A. A. Impact fracture characteristics of intact and crowned human central incisors. Journal of Oral Rehabilitation **20**, 89–95 (1993).

45.

Amalgam alternatives - micro-leakage evaluation of clinical procedures. Part I: direct composite/composite inlay/ceramic inlay. Journal of Oral Rehabilitation **25**, 443–447 (1998).

46.

Valenti, M. & Valenti, A. Retrospective survival analysis of 261 lithium disilicate crowns in a private general practice . Quintessence International **40**, 573–579 (2009).

47.

Denry, I. L. Effect of Ion Exchange on the Microstructure, Strength, and Thermal Expansion Behavior of a Leucite-reinforced Porcelain. Effect of Ion Exchange on the Microstructure, Strength, and Thermal Expansion Behavior of a Leucite-reinforced Porcelain. Journal of Dental Research **77**, 583–588.

48.

Andersson, M., Razzoog, M. E., Oden, A., Hegenbarth, E. A. & Lang, B. R. PROCERA: A new way to achieve an all-ceramic crown . Quintessence International **29**, 185–196 (1998).

49.

Bergman, M. A. The Clinical performance of ceramic inlays: A review. Australian Dental Journal **44**, 157–168 (1999).

50.

Conrad, H. J., Seong, W.-J. & Pesun, I. J. Current ceramic materials and systems with clinical recommendations: A systematic review. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry **98**, 389–404 (2007).

51.

Donly, K. J., Jensen, M. E., Triolo, P. & Chan, D. A clinical comparison of resin composite inlay and onlay posterior restorations and cast-gold restorations at 7 year . Quintessence International **30**, 163–168 (1999).

52.

Giordano, R. Materials for chairside CAD/CAM-produced restorations . Journal of the American Dental Association **137**, S14–S21 (2006).

53.

Guazzato, M., Albakry, M., Ringer, S. P. & Swain, M. V. Strength, fracture toughness and microstructure of a selection of all-ceramic materials. Part II. Zirconia-based dental ceramics. Dental Materials **20**, 449–456 (2004).

54.

Lutz, F. & Göhring, T. N. Masters of Esthetic Dentistry. Journal of Esthetic and Restorative Dentistry **12**, 164–171 (2000).

55.

Martin, N. & Jedynekiewicz, N. M. Clinical performance of CEREC ceramic inlays: a systematic review. Dental Materials **15**, 54–61 (1999).

56.

Otto, T. & De Nisco, S. Computer--aided direct ceramic restorations: A 10-year prospective clinical study of cerec CAD/CAM inlays and onlays. *The International Journal of Prosthodontics* **15**, 122–128 (2002).

57.

Palin, W. & Burke, F. Article. *Dental Update. Leading Dental Journal for CPD* **32**, 566–572 (2005).

58.

Sadowsky, S. J. An overview of treatment considerations for esthetic restorations: A review of the literature. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry* **96**, 433–442 (2006).

59.

Touati, Bernard, Miara, Paul, & Nathanson, Dan. *Esthetic dentistry and ceramic restorations*. (Martin Dunitz, 1999). doi:10.1201/9781003040002.

60.

Ab-Ghani, Z., Ngo, H. & McIntyre, J. Effect of remineralization/demineralization cycles on mineral profiles of Fuji IX Fast in vitro using electron probe microanalysis. *Australian Dental Journal* **52**, 276–281 (2007).

61.

Botelho, M. G. Inhibitory Effects on Selected Oral Bacteria of Antibacterial Agents Incorporated in a Glass Ionomer Cement. *Caries Research* **37**, 108–114 (2003).

62.

Burke, F., Fleming, G., Owen, F. & Watson, D. Materials for Restoration of Primary Teeth: 2. Glass Ionomer Derivatives and Compomers . *Dental Update. Leading Dental Journal for CPD* **29**, 10–17 (2002).

63.

Culbertson, B. M. New polymeric materials for use in glass-ionomer cements. *Journal of Dentistry* **34**, 556–565 (2006).

64.

Exterkate, R. A. M., Damen, J. J. M. & ten Cate, J. M. Effect of Fluoride-Releasing Filling Materials on Underlying Dentinal Lesions in vitro. *Caries Research* **39**, 509–513 (2005).

65.

Frencken, J. E., Makoni, F. & Sithole, W. D. ART restorations and glass ionomer sealants in Zimbabwe: survival after 3 years. *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology* **26**, 372–381 (1998).

66.

Hu, J.-Y., Li, Y.-Q., Smales, R. J. & Yip, K. H.-K. Restoration of teeth with more-viscous glass ionomer cements following radiation-induced caries. *International Dental Journal* **52**, 445–448 (2002).

67.

Kakaboura, A., Eliades, G. & Palaghias, G. An FTIR study on the setting mechanism of resin-modified glass ionomer restoratives. *Dental Materials* **12**, 173–178 (1996).

68.

Kent, B. E., Lewis, B. G. & Wilson, A. D. The properties of a glass ionomer cement. *British Dental Journal* **135**, 322–326 (1973).

69.

Krämer, N. & Frankenberger, R. Dental Materials: Clinical performance of a condensable metal-reinforced glass ionomer cement in primary molars. *British Dental Journal* **190**, 317–321 (2001).

70.

Lee, S.-Y., Dong, D.-R., Huang, H.-M. & Shih, Y.-H. Fluoride ion diffusion from a glass-ionomer cement. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation* **27**, 576–586 (2000).

71.

McCabe, J. F. Resin-modified glass-ionomers. *Biomaterials* **19**, 521–527 (1998).

72.

Moshaverinia, A. et al. Modification of conventional glass-ionomer cements with N-vinylpyrrolidone containing polyacids, nano-hydroxy and fluoroapatite to improve mechanical properties. *Dental Materials* **24**, 1381–1390 (2008).

73.

Moshaverinia, A. et al. Effects of incorporation of hydroxyapatite and fluoroapatite nanobioceramics into conventional glass ionomer cements (GIC). *Acta Biomaterialia* **4**, 432–440 (2008).

74.

Moshaverinia, A. et al. Effects of N-vinylpyrrolidone (NVP) containing polyelectrolytes on surface properties of conventional glass-ionomer cements (GIC). *Dental Materials* **25**, 1240–1247 (2009).

75.

MOSHAVERINIA, A., ROOHPOUR, N. & REHMAN, I. Synthesis and characterization of a novel fast-set proline-derivative-containing glass ionomer cement with enhanced mechanical properties. *Acta Biomaterialia* **5**, 498–507 (2009).

76.

Nakajo, K. et al. Fluoride released from glass-ionomer cement is responsible to inhibit the acid production of caries-related oral streptococci. *Dental Materials* **25**, 703–708 (2009).

77.

Rothwell, M., Anstice, H. M. & Pearson, G. J. The uptake and release of fluoride by ion-leaching cements after exposure to toothpaste. *Journal of Dentistry* **26**, 591–597 (1998).

78.

Sidhu, S. K. & Watson, T. F. Resin-modified glass ionomer materials. A status report for the *American Journal of Dentistry*. *American journal of dentistry* **8**, 59–67 (1995).

79.

Small, I. C. B., Watson, T. F., Chadwick, A. V. & Sidhu, S. K. Water sorption in resin-modified glass-ionomer cements: An in vitro comparison with other materials. *Biomaterials* **19**, 545–550 (1998).

80.

Smith, D. C. Development of glass-ionomer cement systems. *Biomaterials* **19**, 467–478 (1998).

81.

TAKAHASHI, Y. et al. Antibacterial effects and physical properties of glass-ionomer cements containing chlorhexidine for the ART approach. *Dental Materials* **22**, 647–652 (2006).

82.

Tay, W. M. & Braden, M. Fluoride ion diffusion from polyalkenoate (glass-ionomer) cements. *Biomaterials* **9**, 454–456 (1988).

83.

TÜRKÜN, L. S., TÜRKÜN, M., ERTUG˘RUL, F., ATES˘, M. & BRUGGER, S. Long-Term Antibacterial Effects and Physical Properties of a Chlorhexidine-Containing Glass Ionomer Cement. *Journal of Esthetic and Restorative Dentistry* **20**, 29–44 (2008).

84.

Tyas , M. J. Clinical studies related to glass ionomers. *Operative dentistry* 191–198 (1992).

85.

Wiegand, A., Buchalla, W. & Attin, T. Review on fluoride-releasing restorative materials—Fluoride release and uptake characteristics, antibacterial activity and influence on caries formation. *Dental Materials* **23**, 343–362 (2007).

86.

Xie, D., Brantley, W. A., Culbertson, B. M. & Wang, G. Mechanical properties and microstructures of glass-ionomer cements. *Dental Materials* **16**, 129–138 (2000).

87.

Xu, X. & Burgess, J. O. Compressive strength, fluoride release and recharge of fluoride-releasing materials. *Biomaterials* **24**, 2451–2461 (2003).

88.

Yap, A. U. J., Pek, Y. S. & Cheang, P. Physico-mechanical properties of a fast-set highly viscous GIC restorative. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation* **30**, 1–8 (2003).

89.

Young, A. FTIR investigation of monomer polymerisation and polyacid neutralisation kinetics and mechanisms in various aesthetic dental restorative materials. *Biomaterials* **25**, 823–833 (2004).

90.

Akerboom, H. B. M., Advokaat, J. G. A., Amerongen, W. E. & Borgmeijer, P. J. Long-term evaluation and reresoration of amalgam restorations. *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology* **21**, 45–48 (1993).

91.

Collins, C. J. & Bryant, R. W. Finishing of amalgam restorations: a three-year clinical study. *Journal of Dentistry* **20**, 202–206 (1992).

92.

Schmalz, G. Use of cell cultures for toxicity testing of dental materials—advantages and limitations. *Journal of Dentistry* **22**, S6–S11 (1994).

93.

Sune Larsson, K. Screening tests for systemic effects of dental materials. *Journal of Dentistry* **22**, S12–S15 (1994).

94.

Warfvinge, G. Screening tests for sensitization potential of dental materials. *Journal of Dentistry* **22**, S16–S20 (1994).

95.

Browne, R. M. Animal tests for biocompatibility of dental materials—relevance, advantages and limitations. *Journal of Dentistry* **22**, S21–S24 (1994).

96.

Goldberg, M., Lasfargues, J. J. & Legrand, J. M. Clinical testing of dental materials—histological considerations. *Journal of Dentistry* **22**, S25–S28 (1994).

97.

Hørsted-Bindslev, P. Clinical testing of dental materials— general clinical aspects. *Journal*

of Dentistry **22**, S29–S32 (1994).

98.

Eley, B. M. The future of dental amalgam: a review of the literature. Part 1: Dental amalgam structure and corrosion. British Dental Journal **182**, 247–249 (1997).

99.

Eley, B. M. The future of dental amalgam: a review of the literature. Part 2: Mercury exposure in dental practice. British Dental Journal **182**, 293–297 (1997).

100.

Eley, B. M. The future of dental amalgam: a review of the literature. Part 3: Mercury exposure from amalgam restorations in dental patients. British Dental Journal **182**, 333–338 (1997).

101.

Eley, B. M. The future of dental amalgam: a review of the literature. Part 4: Mercury exposure hazards and risk assessment. British Dental Journal **182**, 373–381 (1997).

102.

Eley, B. M. The future of dental amalgam: a review of the literature. Part 5: Mercury in the urine, blood and body organs from amalgam fillings. British Dental Journal **182**, 413–417 (1997).

103.

Eley, B. M. The future of dental amalgam: a review of the literature. Part 6: Possible harmful effects of mercury from dental amalgam. British Dental Journal **182**, 455–459 (1997).

104.

Eley, B. M. The future of dental amalgam: a review of the literature. Part 7: Possible alternative materials to amalgam for the restoration of posterior teeth. British Dental Journal **183**, 11–14 (1997).

105.

Ritchie, K. A. et al. Mercury vapour levels in dental practices and body mercury levels of dentists and controls. British Dental Journal **197**, 625–632 (2004).

106.

Gruythuysen, R. J. M., Kreulen, C. M., Tobi, H., Amerongen, E. & Akerboom, H. B. M. 15-year evaluation of Class II amalgam restorations. Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology **24**, 207–210 (1996).

107.

Jones, D. W. The enigma of amalgam in dentistry. Journal of the Canadian Dental Association **59**, 155–166 (1993).

108.

Jones, D. W. Has Dental Amalgam Been Torpedoed and Sunk? Journal of Dental Research **87**, 101–102 (2008).

109.

Osborne, J. Amalgam: dead or alive? Dental Update. Leading Dental Journal for CPD **33**, 94–98 (2008).

110.

Wahl, M. Dental materials: A Resin Alternative for Posterior Teeth: Questions and Answers on Dental Amalgam. Dental Update. Leading Dental Journal for CPD **30**, 256–262 (2003).

111.

Sfikas, P. Can a dentist ethically remove serviceable amalgam restorations? Journal of the American Dental Association **127**, 685–687 (1996).

112.

Wataha, J. C. Principles of biocompatibility for dental practitioners. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry **86**, 203–209 (2001).

113.

Adabo, G. L., Zonarotti, E., Fonseca, R. G. & Cruz, C. A. dos S. Effect of disinfectant agents on dimensional stability of elastomeric impression materials. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry **81**, 621–624 (1999).

114.

Beier, U. S., Grunert, I., Kulmer, S. & Dumfahrt, H. Quality of impressions using hydrophilic polyvinyl siloxane in a clinical study of 249 patients. The International Journal of Prosthodontics **20**, 270–274 (2007).

115.

Brown, D. Materials for impressions. Dental Update. Leading Dental Journal for CPD **31**, 40–45 (2004).

116.

Ceyhan, J. A., Johnson, G. H. & Lepe, X. The effect of tray selection, viscosity of impression material, and sequence of pour on the accuracy of dies made from dual-arch impressions. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry **90**, 143–149 (2003).

117.

Hondrum, S. O. Changes in properties of nonaqueous elastomeric impression materials after storage of components. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry **85**, 73–81 (2001).

118.

Johnson, G. H., Lepe, X. & Aw, T. C. The effect of surface moisture on detail reproduction of elastomeric impressions. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry **90**, 354–364 (2003).

119.

Millar, B. J., Dunne, S. M. & Robinson, P. B. The effect of a surface wetting agent on void formation in impressions. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry **77**, 54–56 (1997).

120.

Nissan, J., Laufer, B.-Z., Brosh, T. & Assif, D. Accuracy of three polyvinyl siloxane putty-wash impression techniques. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry **83**, 161–165 (2000).

121.

Wadhwani, C. P. K., Johnson, G. H., Lepe, X. & Raigrodski, A. J. Accuracy of newly formulated fast-setting elastomeric impression materials. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry **93**, 530–539 (2005).

122.

Chai, J., Takahashi, Y. & Lautenschlager, E. P. Clinically relevant mechanical properties of elastomeric impression materials. The International Journal of Prosthodontics **11**, 219–223 (1998).

123.

Federick, D. & Caputo, A. Comparing the accuracy of reversible hydrocolloid and elastomeric impression materials. Journal of the American Dental Association **128**, 183–188 (1997).

124.

Christensen, G. Laboratories want better impressions. Journal of the American Dental Association **138**, 527–529 (2007).

125.

McCullagh, A., Sweet, C. & Ashley, M. Making a Good Impression (A 'How to' Paper on Dental Alginate) . Dental Update. Leading Dental Journal for CPD **32**, 169–175 (2005).

126.

Stewardson, D. A. Trends in Indirect Dentistry: 5. Impression Materials and Techniques. Dental Update. Leading Dental Journal for CPD **32**, 374–393 (2005).

127.

Donovan, T. E. & Chee, W. W. A review of contemporary impression materials and techniques. The dental clinics of North America **48**, 445–470 (2004).

128.

Martinez, J. E., Combe, E. C. & Pesun, I. J. Rheological properties of vinyl polysiloxane impression pastes. Dental Materials **17**, 471–476 (2001).

129.

Taylor, R. L., Wright, P. S. & Maryan, C. Disinfection procedures: their effect on the dimensional accuracy and surface quality of irreversible hydrocolloid impression materials and gypsum casts. Dental Materials **18**, 103–110 (2002).

130.

Pamenius, M. & Ohlson, N. G. Influence of dimensional stability of impression materials on the probability of acceptance of a prosthetic restoration. Biomaterials **16**, 1193–1197 (1995).

131.

Walker, M. P., Rondeau, M., Petrie, C., Tasca, A. & Williams, K. Surface Quality and Long-term Dimensional Stability of Current Elastomeric Impression Materials after Disinfection. Journal of Prosthodontics **16**, 343–351 (2007).

132.

Giordano, R. Impression materials: basic properties. General dentistry **48**, 510–516 (2000).

133.

Kanehira, M., Finger, W. & Komatsu, Masashi . Surface detail reproduction with new elastomeric dental impression materials . Quintessence International **38**, 479–488 (2007).

134.

Jagger, D. C. & et.al. The effect of a range of disinfectants on the dimensional accuracy and stability of some impression materials. Journal of prosthodontics and restorative dentistry **15**, 23–28 (2007).

135.

Chee, W. & Jivraj, S. Impression techniques for implant dentistry. British Dental Journal **201**, 429–432 (2006).

136.

Wassell, R. W., Barker, D. & Walls, A. W. G. Crowns and other extra-coronal restorations: Impression materials and technique. British Dental Journal **192**, 679–690 (2002).

137.

Brown, D. Article. Dental Update. Leading Dental Journal for CPD **32**, 583–586 (2005).

138.

Carrotte, P. Endodontics: Part 7 Preparing the root canal. British Dental Journal **197**, 603–613 (2004).

139.

Noble, J., Ahing, S. I., Karaiskos, N. E. & Wiltshire, W. A. Nickel allergy and orthodontics, a review and report of two cases. *BDJ* **204**, 297–300 (2008).

140.

Scott, A. et al. The national survey of adverse reactions to dental materials in the UK: a preliminary study by the UK Adverse Reactions Reporting Project. *British Dental Journal* **196**, 471–477 (2004).

141.

Wassell, R. W., Walls, A. W. G. & Steele, J. G. Crowns and extra-coronal restorations: Materials selection. *British Dental Journal* **192**, 199–211 (2002).

142.

Walls, A. W. G., Nohl, F. S. A. & Wassell, R. W. Crowns and other extra-coronal restorations: Resin-bonded metal restorations. *British Dental Journal* **193**, 135–142 (2002).

143.

Schäfer, E. & Lau, R. Comparison of cutting efficiency and instrumentation of curved canals with nickel-titanium and stainless-steel instruments. *Journal of Endodontics* **25**, 427–430 (1999).

144.

Thompson, S. A. An overview of nickel-titanium alloys used in dentistry. *International Endodontic Journal* **33**, 297–310 (2000).

145.

Wiltshire, W., Ferreira, M. & Ligthelm, A. Article. *Quintessence International* **27**, 513–520 (1996).

146.

Wataha, J. C. & Hanks, C. T. Biological effects of palladium and risk of using palladium in dental casting alloys. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation* **23**, 309–320 (1996).

147.

McCabe, J. F. & Walls, A. *Applied dental materials*. (John Wiley & Sons, 2008).

148.

Branemark, P. I. et al. Intra-Osseous Anchorage of Dental Prostheses:I. Experimental Studies, *Scandinavian Journal of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery and Hand Surgery*, Informa Healthcare. *Scandinavian Journal of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery and Hand Surgery* **3**, 81–100 (1969).