

DRDPDMAT - DRDP: Applied Clinical Dental Materials

[View Online](#)

1.

Botelho, M.G.: Inhibitory Effects on Selected Oral Bacteria of Antibacterial Agents Incorporated in a Glass Ionomer Cement. *Caries Research*. 37, 108-114 (2003).
<https://doi.org/10.1159/000069019>.

2.

Brännström, M., Nyborg, H.: Points in the experiential study of pulpal response to restorative materials. *Odontologisk tidskrift*. 77, 421-426 (1969).

3.

Buonocore, M.G.: A simple method of increasing the adhesion of acrylic filling materials to enamel surfaces. *Journal of Dental Research*. 34, 849-853 (1955).

4.

De Munck, J., Van Landuyt, K., Peumans, M., Poitevin, A., Lambrechts, P., Braem, M., Van Meerbeek, B.: A Critical Review of the Durability of Adhesion to Tooth Tissue: Methods and Results. *Journal of Dental Research*. 84, 118-132 (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/154405910508400204>.

5.

Kramer, I., McLean, J.: Alterations in the staining reactions of dentine resulting from a constituent of a new self-polymerising resin. *British Dental Journal*. 93, 150-153 (1952).

6.

Matharu, S., Spratt, D.A., Pratten, J., Ng, Y.-L., Mordan, N., Wilson, M., Gulabivala, K.: A new in vitro model for the study of microbial microleakage around dental restorations: a preliminary qualitative evaluation. *International Endodontic Journal*. 34, 547–553 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2591.2001.00475.x>.

7.

Peumans, M., et.al.: Clinical effectiveness of contemporary adhesives: A systematic review of current clinical trials. *Dental Materials*. 21, 864–881 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2005.02.003>.

8.

Splieth, C., et.al.: Anaerobic microflora under Class I and Class II composite and amalgam restorations. *Quintessence international*. 34, 497–503 (2003).

9.

Van Landuyt, K.L., et.al.: Systematic review of the chemical composition of contemporary dental adhesives. *Biomaterials*. 28, 3757–3785 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2007.04.044>.

10.

Eick, G., Gwinnett, A., Pashley, D., Robinson, S.: Current concepts on adhesion to dentin. *Critical reviews in oral biology and medicine*. 8, 306–335 (1997). <https://doi.org/10.1177/10454411970080030501>.

11.

Hannig, M., Reinhardt, K., Bott, B.: Self-etching primer vs phosphoric acid: an alternative concept for composite-to-enamel bonding. *Operative dentistry*. 24, 172–180 (1999).

12.

Hashimoto, M., Ohno, H., Kaga, M., Endo, K., Sano, H., Oguchi, H.: In vivo Degradation of Resin-Dentin Bonds in Humans Over 1 to 3 Years. *Journal of Dental Research*. 79,

1385–1391 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1177/00220345000790060601>.

13.

Sano, H., et.al.: Nanoleakage: leakage within the hybrid layer. *Operative Dentistry*. 20, 18–25 (1995).

14.

BRAGA, R., BALLESTER, R., FERRACANE, J.: Factors involved in the development of polymerization shrinkage stress in resin-composites: A systematic review. *Dental Materials*. 21, 962–970 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2005.04.018>.

15.

Burke, F., Palin, W., James, A., Mackenzie, L., Sands, P.: The current status of materials for posterior composite restorations: the advent of low shrink. *Dental Update*. 36, 401–409 (2009).

16.

CHEN, M., CHEN, C., HSU, S., SUN, S., SU, W.: Low shrinkage light curable nanocomposite for dental restorative material. *Dental Materials*. 22, 138–145 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2005.02.012>.

17.

Combe, E., Burke, F.: Contemporary Resin-based Composite Materials for Direct Placement Restorations: Packables, Flowables and Others. *Dental Update*. *Leading Dental Journal for CPD*. 27, 326–336 (2000).

18.

Davidson, C.L., Feilzer, A.J.: Polymerization shrinkage and polymerization shrinkage stress in polymer-based restoratives. *Journal of Dentistry*. 25, 435–440 (1997). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0300-5712\(96\)00063-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0300-5712(96)00063-2).

19.

Feilzer, A.J., De Gee, A.J., Davidson, C.L.: Setting stress in composite resin in relation to configuration of the restoration. *Journal of Dental Research*. 66, 1636–1639 (1987).

20.

Leinfelder, K.: New developments in resin restorative systems. *The Journal of the American Dental Association*. 128, 573–581 (1997). <https://doi.org/10.14219/jada.archive.1997.0256>.

21.

Liebenberg, W.H.: Assuring restorative integrity in extensive posterior resin composite restorations: Pushing the envelope . *Quintessence International*. 31, 153–164 (2000).

22.

Millar, B.J., Abider, F., Nicholson, J.W.: In vitro caries inhibition by polyacid-modified composite resins ('compomers'). *Journal of Dentistry*. 26, 133–136 (1998). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0300-5712\(96\)00091-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0300-5712(96)00091-7).

23.

Palin, W., Fleming, G.: Low-shrink monomers for dental restorations. . *Dental Update*. 30, 118–122 (2003).

24.

Shaw, A.J., Carrick, T., McCabe, J.F.: Fluoride release from glass-ionomer and compomer restorative materials: 6-month data. *Journal of Dentistry*. 26, 355–359 (1998). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0300-5712\(97\)00016-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0300-5712(97)00016-X).

25.

Gladys, S.: Comparative physico-mechanical characterisation of new hybrid restorative materials with contemporary glass-ionomer and resin composite restorative materials. *Journal of Dental Research*. 76, 883–894.

26.

Versluis, A.: Do Dental Composites Always Shrink Toward the Light? Journal of Dental Research. 77, 1435–1445.

27.

ANUSAVICE, K. J.: Strengthening of Feldspathic Porcelain by Ion Exchange and Tempering. Journal of Dental Research. 71, 1134–1138.

28.

Burke, F.: Fracture resistance of teeth restored with dentin-bonded crowns: the effect of increased tooth preparation. Quintessence international. 27, 115–121 (1996).

29.

Burke, F.: Trends in Indirect Dentistry: 3. Luting Materials . Dental Update. Leading Dental Journal for CPD. 32, 251–260 (2005).

30.

Burke, F.: Trends in indirect Dentistry: 4. Performance of Adhesive Restoratives. Dental Update. Leading Dental Journal for CPD. 32, 312–325 (2005).

31.

Burke, F.J.T., Qualtrough, A.J.E.: Follow-up Evaluation of a Series of Dentin-Bonded Ceramic Restorations. Journal of Esthetic and Restorative Dentistry. 12, 16–22 (2000).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1708-8240.2000.tb00194.x>.

32.

Chris, Ellakwa, A.E.: DENTAL MATERIALS Fibre-reinforced Composites in Restorative Dentistry . Dental Update. Leading Dental Journal for CPD. 30, 300–306 (2003).

33.

CHO, L.-R., YI, Y.-J., HEO, S.-J.: Effect of tooth brushing and thermal cycling on a surface change of ceromers finished with different methods. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*. 29, 816–822 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2842.2002.00877.x>.

34.

Cook, W.D., Johannson, M.: The influence of postcuring on the fracture properties of photo-cured dimethacrylate based dental composite resin. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research*. 21, 979–989 (1987). <https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm.820210804>.

35.

Etman, M.K., Woolford, M.J.: Three-year clinical evaluation of two ceramic crown systems: A preliminary study. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 103, 80–90 (2010). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3913\(10\)60010-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3913(10)60010-8).

36.

Fissore, B., Nicholls, J.I., Yuodelis, R.A.: Load fatigue of teeth restored by a dentin bonding agent and a posterior composite resin. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 65, 80–85 (1991). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3913\(91\)90054-Z](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3913(91)90054-Z).

37.

Giordano, R.A., Pelletier, L., Campbell, S., Pober, R.: Flexural strength of an infused ceramic, glass ceramic, and feldspathic porcelain. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 73, 411–418 (1995). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3913\(05\)80067-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3913(05)80067-8).

38.

Krämer, N., Frankenberger, R., Pelka, M., Petschelt, A.: IPS Empress inlays and onlays after four years — a clinical study. *Journal of Dentistry*. 27, 325–331 (1999). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0300-5712\(98\)00059-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0300-5712(98)00059-1).

39.

Leinfelder, K.: New developments in resin restorative systems. *New developments in resin*

restorative systems. 128, 573-581 (1997).
<https://doi.org/10.14219/jada.archive.1997.0256>.

40.

Rosenstiel, S.F., Gupta, P.K., Van Der Sluys, R.A., Zimmerman, M.H.: Strength of a dental glass-ceramic after surface coating. *Dental Materials*. 9, 274-279 (1993).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0109-5641\(93\)90074-Z](https://doi.org/10.1016/0109-5641(93)90074-Z).

41.

Magne, P., Douglas, W.H.: Porcelain veneers: dentin bonding optimization and biomimetic recovery of the crown. *The International journal of prosthodontics*. 12, 111-121 (1999).

42.

Zeng, K., Oden, A., Rowcliffe, D.: Evaluation of Mechanical Properties of Dental Ceramic Core Materials in Combination With Porcelains . *The International journal of prosthodontics*. 11, 183-189 (1998).

43.

Nakamura, T., Ohyama, T., Imanishi, A., Nakamura, T., Ishigaki, S.: Fracture resistance of pressable glass-ceramic fixed partial dentures. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*. 29, 951-955 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2842.2002.00929.x>.

44.

Stokes, A.N., Hood, J.A.A.: Impact fracture characteristics of intact and crowned human central incisors. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*. 20, 89-95 (1993).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2842.1993.tb01518.x>.

45.

Amalgam alternatives - micro-leakage evaluation of clinical procedures. Part I: direct composite/composite inlay/ceramic inlay. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*. 25, 443-447 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2842.1998.00257.x>.

46.

Valenti, M., Valenti, A.: Retrospective survival analysis of 261 lithium disilicate crowns in a private general practice. Quintessence International. 40, 573–579 (2009).

47.

Denry, I. L.: Effect of Ion Exchange on the Microstructure, Strength, and Thermal Expansion Behavior of a Leucite-reinforced Porcelain. Effect of Ion Exchange on the Microstructure, Strength, and Thermal Expansion Behavior of a Leucite-reinforced Porcelain. Journal of Dental Research. 77, 583–588.

48.

Andersson, M., Razzoog, M.E., Oden, A., Hegenbarth, E.A., Lang, B.R.: PROCERA: A new way to achieve an all-ceramic crown. Quintessence International. 29, 185–196 (1998).

49.

Bergman, M.A.: The Clinical performance of ceramic inlays: A review. Australian Dental Journal. 44, 157–168 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1834-7819.1999.tb00217.x>.

50.

Conrad, H.J., Seong, W.-J., Pesun, I.J.: Current ceramic materials and systems with clinical recommendations: A systematic review. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry. 98, 389–404 (2007). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3913\(07\)60124-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3913(07)60124-3).

51.

Donly, K.J., Jensen, M.E., Triolo, P., Chan, D.: A clinical comparison of resin composite inlay and onlay posterior restorations and cast-gold restorations at 7 year. Quintessence International. 30, 163–168 (1999).

52.

Giordano, R.: Materials for chairside CAD/CAM-produced restorations. Journal of the American Dental Association. 137, S14–S21 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.14219/jada.archive.2006.0397>.

53.

Guazzato, M., Albakry, M., Ringer, S.P., Swain, M.V.: Strength, fracture toughness and microstructure of a selection of all-ceramic materials. Part II. Zirconia-based dental ceramics. *Dental Materials*. 20, 449–456 (2004).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2003.05.002>.

54.

Lutz, F., Göhring, T.N.: Masters of Esthetic Dentistry. *Journal of Esthetic and Restorative Dentistry*. 12, 164–171 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1708-8240.2000.tb00216.x>.

55.

Martin, N., Jedyakiewicz, N.M.: Clinical performance of CEREC ceramic inlays: a systematic review. *Dental Materials*. 15, 54–61 (1999).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0109-5641\(99\)00014-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0109-5641(99)00014-7).

56.

Otto, T., De Nisco, S.: Computer--aided direct ceramic restorations: A 10-year prospective clinical study of cerec CAD/CAM inlays and onlays. *The International Journal of Prosthodontics* . 15, 122–128 (2002).

57.

Palin, W., Burke, F.: Article. *Dental Update. Leading Dental Journal for CPD*. 32, 566–572 (2005).

58.

Sadowsky, S.J.: An overview of treatment considerations for esthetic restorations: A review of the literature. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 96, 433–442 (2006).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent.2006.09.018>.

59.

Touati, Bernard, Miara, Paul, Nathanson, Dan: Esthetic dentistry and ceramic restorations. Martin Dunitz, London (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003040002>.

60.

Ab-Ghani, Z., Ngo, H., McIntyre, J.: Effect of remineralization/demineralization cycles on mineral profiles of Fuji IX Fast in vitro using electron probe microanalysis. Australian Dental Journal. 52, 276–281 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1834-7819.2007.tb00502.x>.

61.

Botelho, M.G.: Inhibitory Effects on Selected Oral Bacteria of Antibacterial Agents Incorporated in a Glass Ionomer Cement. Caries Research. 37, 108–114 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1159/000069019>.

62.

Burke, F., Fleming, G., Owen, F., Watson, D.: Materials for Restoration of Primary Teeth: 2. Glass Ionomer Derivatives and Compomers . Dental Update. Leading Dental Journal for CPD. 29, 10–17 (2002).

63.

Culbertson, B.M.: New polymeric materials for use in glass-ionomer cements. Journal of Dentistry. 34, 556–565 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2005.08.008>.

64.

Exterkate, R.A.M., Damen, J.J.M., ten Cate, J.M.: Effect of Fluoride-Releasing Filling Materials on Underlying Dentinal Lesions in vitro. Caries Research. 39, 509–513 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1159/000088188>.

65.

Frencken, J.E., Makoni, F., Sithole, W.D.: ART restorations and glass ionomer sealants in Zimbabwe: survival after 3 years. Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology. 26, 372–381 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0528.1998.tb01975.x>.

66.

Hu, J.-Y., Li, Y.-Q., Smales, R.J., Yip, K.H.-K.: Restoration of teeth with more-viscous glass ionomer cements following radiation-induced caries. *International Dental Journal*. 52, 445–448 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1875-595X.2002.tb00640.x>.

67.

Kakaboura, A., Eliades, G., Palaghias, G.: An FTIR study on the setting mechanism of resin-modified glass ionomer restoratives. *Dental Materials*. 12, 173–178 (1996). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0109-5641\(96\)80017-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0109-5641(96)80017-0).

68.

Kent, B.E., Lewis, B.G., Wilson, A.D.: The properties of a glass ionomer cement. *British Dental Journal*. 135, 322–326 (1973).

69.

Krämer, N., Frankenberger, R.: Dental Materials: Clinical performance of a condensable metal-reinforced glass ionomer cement in primary molars. *British Dental Journal*. 190, 317–321 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.4800960>.

70.

Lee, S.-Y., Dong, D.-R., Huang, H.-M., Shih, Y.-H.: Fluoride ion diffusion from a glass-ionomer cement. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*. 27, 576–586 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2842.2000.00554.x>.

71.

McCabe, J.F.: Resin-modified glass-ionomers. *Biomaterials*. 19, 521–527 (1998). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-9612\(98\)00132-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-9612(98)00132-X).

72.

Moshaverinia, A., Ansari, S., Movasaghi, Z., Billington, R.W., Darr, J.A., Rehman, I.U.:

Modification of conventional glass-ionomer cements with N-vinylpyrrolidone containing polyacids, nano-hydroxy and fluoroapatite to improve mechanical properties. *Dental Materials*. 24, 1381–1390 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2008.03.008>.

73.

Moshaverinia, A., Ansari, S., Moshaverinia, M., Roohpour, N., Darr, J.A., Rehman, I.: Effects of incorporation of hydroxyapatite and fluoroapatite nanobioceramics into conventional glass ionomer cements (GIC). *Acta Biomaterialia*. 4, 432–440 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2007.07.011>.

74.

Moshaverinia, A., Roohpour, N., Ansari, S., Moshaverinia, M., Schricker, S., Darr, J.A., Rehman, I.U.: Effects of N-vinylpyrrolidone (NVP) containing polyelectrolytes on surface properties of conventional glass-ionomer cements (GIC). *Dental Materials*. 25, 1240–1247 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2009.05.006>.

75.

MOSHAVERINIA, A., ROOHPOUR, N., REHMAN, I.: Synthesis and characterization of a novel fast-set proline-derivative-containing glass ionomer cement with enhanced mechanical properties. *Acta Biomaterialia*. 5, 498–507 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2008.06.011>.

76.

Nakajo, K., Imazato, S., Takahashi, Y., Kiba, W., Ebisu, S., Takahashi, N.: Fluoride released from glass-ionomer cement is responsible to inhibit the acid production of caries-related oral streptococci. *Dental Materials*. 25, 703–708 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2008.10.014>.

77.

Rothwell, M., Anstice, H.M., Pearson, G.J.: The uptake and release of fluoride by ion-leaching cements after exposure to toothpaste. *Journal of Dentistry*. 26, 591–597 (1998). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0300-5712\(97\)00035-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0300-5712(97)00035-3).

78.

Sidhu, S.K., Watson, T.F.: Resin-modified glass ionomer materials. A status report for the American Journal of Dentistry. American journal of dentistry. 8, 59–67 (1995).

79.

Small, I.C.B., Watson, T.F., Chadwick, A.V., Sidhu, S.K.: Water sorption in resin-modified glass-ionomer cements: An in vitro comparison with other materials. Biomaterials. 19, 545–550 (1998). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-9612\(97\)00135-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-9612(97)00135-X).

80.

Smith, D.C.: Development of glass-ionomer cement systems. Biomaterials. 19, 467–478 (1998). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-9612\(97\)00126-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-9612(97)00126-9).

81.

TAKAHASHI, Y., IMAZATO, S., KANESHIRO, A., EBISU, S., FRENCKEN, J., TAY, F.: Antibacterial effects and physical properties of glass-ionomer cements containing chlorhexidine for the ART approach. Dental Materials. 22, 647–652 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2005.08.003>.

82.

Tay, W.M., Braden, M.: Fluoride ion diffusion from polyalkenoate (glass-ionomer) cements. Biomaterials. 9, 454–456 (1988). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0142-9612\(88\)90012-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0142-9612(88)90012-9).

83.

TÜRKÜN, L.S., TÜRKÜN, M., ERTUG̃RUL, F., ATES, M., BRUGGER, S.: Long-Term Antibacterial Effects and Physical Properties of a Chlorhexidine-Containing Glass Ionomer Cement. Journal of Esthetic and Restorative Dentistry. 20, 29–44 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1708-8240.2008.00146.x>.

84.

Tyas, M.J.: Clinical studies related to glass ionomers. Operative dentistry. 191–198 (1992).

85.

Wiegand, A., Buchalla, W., Attin, T.: Review on fluoride-releasing restorative materials—Fluoride release and uptake characteristics, antibacterial activity and influence on caries formation. *Dental Materials*. 23, 343–362 (2007).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2006.01.022>.

86.

Xie, D., Brantley, W.A., Culbertson, B.M., Wang, G.: Mechanical properties and microstructures of glass-ionomer cements. *Dental Materials*. 16, 129–138 (2000).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0109-5641\(99\)00093-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0109-5641(99)00093-7).

87.

Xu, X., Burgess, J.O.: Compressive strength, fluoride release and recharge of fluoride-releasing materials. *Biomaterials*. 24, 2451–2461 (2003).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-9612\(02\)00638-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-9612(02)00638-5).

88.

Yap, A.U.J., Pek, Y.S., Cheang, P.: Physico-mechanical properties of a fast-set highly viscous GIC restorative. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*. 30, 1–8 (2003).
<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2842.2003.01006.x>.

89.

Young, A.: FTIR investigation of monomer polymerisation and polyacid neutralisation kinetics and mechanisms in various aesthetic dental restorative materials. *Biomaterials*. 25, 823–833 (2004). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-9612\(03\)00599-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-9612(03)00599-4).

90.

Akerboom, H.B.M., Advokaat, J.G.A., Amerongen, W.E., Borgmeijer, P.J.: Long-term evaluation and rerestitution of amalgam restorations. *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology*. 21, 45–48 (1993). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0528.1993.tb00718.x>.

91.

Collins, C.J., Bryant, R.W.: Finishing of amalgam restorations: a three-year clinical study. *Journal of Dentistry*. 20, 202–206 (1992). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-5712\(92\)90074-M](https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-5712(92)90074-M).

92.

Schmalz, G.: Use of cell cultures for toxicity testing of dental materials—advantages and limitations. *Journal of Dentistry*. 22, S6–S11 (1994). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-5712\(94\)90032-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-5712(94)90032-9).

93.

Sune Larsson, K.: Screening tests for systemic effects of dental materials. *Journal of Dentistry*. 22, S12–S15 (1994). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-5712\(94\)90033-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-5712(94)90033-7).

94.

Warfvinge, G.: Screening tests for sensitization potential of dental materials. *Journal of Dentistry*. 22, S16–S20 (1994). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-5712\(94\)90034-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-5712(94)90034-5).

95.

Browne, R.M.: Animal tests for biocompatibility of dental materials—relevance, advantages and limitations. *Journal of Dentistry*. 22, S21–S24 (1994). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-5712\(94\)90035-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-5712(94)90035-3).

96.

Goldberg, M., Lasfargues, J.J., Legrand, J.M.: Clinical testing of dental materials—histological considerations. *Journal of Dentistry*. 22, S25–S28 (1994). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-5712\(94\)90036-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-5712(94)90036-1).

97.

Hørsted-Bindslev, P.: Clinical testing of dental materials— general clinical aspects. *Journal of Dentistry*. 22, S29–S32 (1994). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-5712\(94\)90037-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-5712(94)90037-X).

98.

Eley, B.M.: The future of dental amalgam: a review of the literature. Part 1: Dental amalgam structure and corrosion. *British Dental Journal*. 182, 247–249 (1997).

99.

Eley, B.M.: The future of dental amalgam: a review of the literature. Part 2: Mercury exposure in dental practice. *British Dental Journal*. 182, 293–297 (1997).

100.

Eley, B.M.: The future of dental amalgam: a review of the literature. Part 3: Mercury exposure from amalgam restorations in dental patients. *British Dental Journal*. 182, 333–338 (1997).

101.

Eley, B.M.: The future of dental amalgam: a review of the literature. Part 4: Mercury exposure hazards and risk assessment. *British Dental Journal*. 182, 373–381 (1997).
<https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.4809393>.

102.

Eley, B.M.: The future of dental amalgam: a review of the literature. Part 5: Mercury in the urine, blood and body organs from amalgam fillings. *British Dental Journal*. 182, 413–417 (1997).

103.

Eley, B.M.: The future of dental amalgam: a review of the literature. Part 6: Possible harmful effects of mercury from dental amalgam. *British Dental Journal*. 182, 455–459 (1997).

104.

Eley, B.M.: The future of dental amalgam: a review of the literature. Part 7: Possible alternative materials to amalgam for the restoration of posterior teeth. *British Dental Journal*. 183, 11–14 (1997).

105.

Ritchie, K.A., Burke, F.J.T., Gilmour, W.H., Macdonald, E.B., Dale, I.M., Hamilton, R.M., McGowan, D.A., Binnie, V., Collington, D., Hammersley, R.: Mercury vapour levels in dental practices and body mercury levels of dentists and controls. *British Dental Journal*. 197, 625–632 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.4811831>.

106.

Gruythuysen, R.J.M., Kreulen, C.M., Tobi, H., Amerongen, E., Akerboom, H.B.M.: 15-year evaluation of Class II amalgam restorations. *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology*. 24, 207–210 (1996). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0528.1996.tb00843.x>.

107.

Jones, D.W.: The enigma of amalgam in dentistry. *Journal of the Canadian Dental Association*. 59, 155–166 (1993).

108.

Jones, D.W.: Has Dental Amalgam Been Torpedoed and Sunk? *Journal of Dental Research*. 87, 101–102 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1177/154405910808700203>.

109.

Osborne, J.: Amalgam: dead or alive? *Dental Update. Leading Dental Journal for CPD*. 33, 94–98 (2008).

110.

Wahl, M.: Dental materials: A Resin Alternative for Posterior Teeth: Questions and Answers on Dental Amalgam. *Dental Update. Leading Dental Journal for CPD*. 30, 256–262 (2003).

111.

Sfikas, P.: Can a dentist ethically remove serviceable amalgam restorations? *Journal of the*

American Dental Association. 127, 685–687 (1996).
<https://doi.org/10.14219/jada.archive.1996.0282>.

112.

Wataha, J.C.: Principles of biocompatibility for dental practitioners. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry. 86, 203–209 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1067/mpr.2001.117056>.

113.

Adabo, G.L., Zanarotti, E., Fonseca, R.G., Cruz, C.A. dos S.: Effect of disinfectant agents on dimensional stability of elastomeric impression materials. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry. 81, 621–624 (1999). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3913\(99\)70219-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3913(99)70219-2).

114.

Beier, U.S., Grunert, I., Kulmer, S., Dumfahrt, H.: Quality of impressions using hydrophilic polyvinyl siloxane in a clinical study of 249 patients. The International Journal of Prosthodontics . 20, 270–274 (2007).

115.

Brown, D.: Materials for impressions. Dental Update. Leading Dental Journal for CPD. 31, 40–45 (2004).

116.

Ceyhan, J.A., Johnson, G.H., Lepe, X.: The effect of tray selection, viscosity of impression material, and sequence of pour on the accuracy of dies made from dual-arch impressions. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry. 90, 143–149 (2003).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3913\(03\)00276-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3913(03)00276-2).

117.

Hondrum, S.O.: Changes in properties of nonaqueous elastomeric impression materials after storage of components. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry. 85, 73–81 (2001).
<https://doi.org/10.1067/mpr.2001.112407>.

118.

Johnson, G.H., Lepe, X., Aw, T.C.: The effect of surface moisture on detail reproduction of elastomeric impressions. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 90, 354–364 (2003).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3913\(03\)00429-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3913(03)00429-3).

119.

Millar, B.J., Dunne, S.M., Robinson, P.B.: The effect of a surface wetting agent on void formation in impressions. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 77, 54–56 (1997).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3913\(97\)70207-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3913(97)70207-5).

120.

Nissan, J., Laufer, B.-Z., Brosh, T., Assif, D.: Accuracy of three polyvinyl siloxane putty-wash impression techniques. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 83, 161–165 (2000).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3913\(00\)80007-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3913(00)80007-4).

121.

Wadhwani, C.P.K., Johnson, G.H., Lepe, X., Raigrodski, A.J.: Accuracy of newly formulated fast-setting elastomeric impression materials. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 93, 530–539 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent.2005.03.007>.

122.

Chai, J., Takahashi, Y., Lautenschlager, E.P.: Clinically relevant mechanical properties of elastomeric impression materials. *The International Journal of Prosthodontics*. 11, 219–223 (1998).

123.

Federick, D., Caputo, A.: Comparing the accuracy of reversible hydrocolloid and elastomeric impression materials. *Journal of the American Dental Association*. 128, 183–188 (1997). <https://doi.org/10.14219/jada.archive.1997.0162>.

124.

Christensen, G.: Laboratories want better impressions. *Journal of the American Dental Association*.

Association. 138, 527–529 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.14219/jada.archive.2007.0207>.

125.

McCullagh, A., Sweet, C., Ashley, M.: Making a Good Impression (A 'How to' Paper on Dental Alginate) . Dental Update. Leading Dental Journal for CPD. 32, 169–175 (2005).

126.

Stewardson, D.A.: Trends in Indirect Dentistry: 5. Impression Materials and Techniques. Dental Update. Leading Dental Journal for CPD. 32, 374–393 (2005).

127.

Donovan, T.E., Chee, W.W.: A review of contemporary impression materials and techniques. The dental clinics of North America. 48, 445–470 (2004).

128.

Martinez, J.E., Combe, E.C., Pesun, I.J.: Rheological properties of vinyl polysiloxane impression pastes. Dental Materials. 17, 471–476 (2001).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0109-5641\(00\)00100-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0109-5641(00)00100-7).

129.

Taylor, R.L., Wright, P.S., Maryan, C.: Disinfection procedures: their effect on the dimensional accuracy and surface quality of irreversible hydrocolloid impression materials and gypsum casts. Dental Materials. 18, 103–110 (2002).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0109-5641\(01\)00027-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0109-5641(01)00027-6).

130.

Pamenius, M., Ohlson, N.G.: Influence of dimensional stability of impression materials on the probability of acceptance of a prosthetic restoration. Biomaterials. 16, 1193–1197 (1995). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0142-9612\(95\)93586-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0142-9612(95)93586-3).

131.

Walker, M.P., Rondeau, M., Petrie, C., Tasca, A., Williams, K.: Surface Quality and Long-term Dimensional Stability of Current Elastomeric Impression Materials after Disinfection. *Journal of Prosthodontics*. 16, 343–351 (2007).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-849X.2007.00206.x>.

132.

Giordano, R.: Impression materials: basic properties. *General dentistry*. 48, 510–516 (2000).

133.

Kanehira, M., Finger, W., Komatsu, Masashi : Surface detail reproduction with new elastomeric dental impression materials . *Quintessence International* . 38, 479–488 (2007).

134.

Jagger, D.C., et.al.: The effect of a range of disinfectants on the dimensional accuracy and stability of some impression materials. *Journal of prosthodontics and restorative dentistry*. 15, 23–28 (2007).

135.

Chee, W., Jivraj, S.: Impression techniques for implant dentistry. *British Dental Journal*. 201, 429–432 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.4814118>.

136.

Wassell, R.W., Barker, D., Walls, A.W.G.: Crowns and other extra-coronal restorations: Impression materials and technique. *British Dental Journal*. 192, 679–690 (2002).
<https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.4801456>.

137.

Brown, D.: Article. *Dental Update. Leading Dental Journal for CPD*. 32, 583–586 (2005).

138.

Carrotte, P.: Endodontics: Part 7 Preparing the root canal. *British Dental Journal*. 197, 603–613 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.4811823>.

139.

Noble, J., Ahing, S.I., Karaiskos, N.E., Wiltshire, W.A.: Nickel allergy and orthodontics, a review and report of two cases. *BDJ*. 204, 297–300 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1038/bdj.2008.198>.

140.

Scott, A., Egner, W., Gawkrödger, D.J., Hatton, P.V., Sherriff, M., van Noort, R., Yeoman, C., Grummitt, J.: The national survey of adverse reactions to dental materials in the UK: a preliminary study by the UK Adverse Reactions Reporting Project. *British Dental Journal*. 196, 471–477 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.4811176>.

141.

Wassell, R.W., Walls, A.W.G., Steele, J.G.: Crowns and extra-coronal restorations: Materials selection. *British Dental Journal*. 192, 199–211 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.4801334>.

142.

Walls, A.W.G., Nohl, F.S.A., Wassell, R.W.: Crowns and other extra-coronal restorations: Resin-bonded metal restorations. *British Dental Journal*. 193, 135–142 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.4801506>.

143.

Schäfer, E., Lau, R.: Comparison of cutting efficiency and instrumentation of curved canals with nickel-titanium and stainless-steel instruments. *Journal of Endodontics*. 25, 427–430 (1999). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399\(99\)80272-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399(99)80272-6).

144.

Thompson, S.A.: An overview of nickel-titanium alloys used in dentistry. *International*

Endodontic Journal. 33, 297–310 (2000).
<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2591.2000.00339.x>.

145.

Wiltshire, W., Ferreira, M., Ligthelm, A.: Article. Quintessence International. 27, 513–520 (1996).

146.

Wataha, J.C., Hanks, C.T.: Biological effects of palladium and risk of using palladium in dental casting alloys. Journal of Oral Rehabilitation. 23, 309–320 (1996).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2842.1996.tb00858.x>.

147.

McCabe, J.F., Walls, A.: Applied dental materials. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester (2008).

148.

Branemark, P.I., Breine, U., Adell, R., Hansson, O., Lindstrom, J., Ahlsson, A.: Intra-Osseous Anchorage of Dental Prostheses:I. Experimental Studies, Scandinavian Journal of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery and Hand Surgery, Informa Healthcare. Scandinavian Journal of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery and Hand Surgery. 3, 81–100 (1969).
<https://doi.org/9036699>.