

HPSCGA41: STS Perspectives on Security and War: Brian Balmer

[View Online](#)

[1]

Balmer, A. 2015. Telling tales: some episodes from the multiple lives of the Polygraph machine. *Knowledge technology and law*. 104-118.

[2]

Cole, S.A. and Lynch, M. The Social and Legal Construction of Suspects. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*. 2, 1, 39-60.

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.lawsocsci.2.081805.110001>.

[3]

Cole, S.A. and Lynch, M. The Social and Legal Construction of Suspects. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*. 2, 1, 39-60.

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.lawsocsci.2.081805.110001>.

[4]

Collins, H. and Pinch, T. 2014. A clean kill? The role of Patriot in the Gulf War. *The Golem at Large*. Cambridge University Press. 7-29.

[5]

Edgerton, D. 2008. War. The shock of the old: technology and global history since 1900. *Profile*. 138-159.

[6]

Edwards, P.N. 1996. We defend every place: building the Cold War world. The closed world: computers and the politics of discourse in Cold War America. MIT Press.

[7]

Grint, K. and Woolgar, S. 1997. What's social about being shot. The machine at work: technology, work, and organization. Polity Press. 140–168.

[8]

McLeish, C. and Nightingale, P. Biosecurity, bioterrorism and the governance of science: The increasing convergence of science and security policy. Research Policy. 36, 10, 1635–1654. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2007.10.003>.

[9]

McLeish, C. and Nightingale, P. Biosecurity, bioterrorism and the governance of science: The increasing convergence of science and security policy. Research Policy. 36, 10, 1635–1654. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2007.10.003>.

[10]

Neyland, D. 2009. Mundane terror and the threat of everyday objects. Technologies of inSecurity: the surveillance of everyday life. Routledge-Cavendish. 21–41.

[11]

Paglen, T. 2010. Goatsucker: toward a spatial theory of state secrecy. Environment and Planning D: Society and Space. 28, 5 (2010), 759–771. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1068/d5308>.

[12]

Paglen, T. 2010. Goatsucker: toward a spatial theory of state secrecy. Environment and Planning D: Society and Space. 28, 5 (2010), 759–771. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1068/d5308>.

[13]

Rappert, B. 2012. Disabling discourses: international law, legitimacy and the politics of balance. *How to look good in a war: justifying and challenging state violence*. Pluto.

[14]

Rappert, B. States of ignorance: the unmaking and remaking of death tolls. *Economy and Society*. 41, 1, 42–63. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/03085147.2011.637334>.

[15]

Rappert, B. States of ignorance: the unmaking and remaking of death tolls. *Economy and Society*. 41, 1, 42–63. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/03085147.2011.637334>.

[16]

Revill, J. and Edwards, B. 2015. What counts as the hostile use of chemicals? Absence in science, security and policy: from research agendas to global strategy. B. Rappert and B. Balmer, eds. Palgrave Macmillan. 157–179.

[17]

Roland, A. 2002. Science, Technology, and War. *The Cambridge History of Science*. M.J. Nye, ed. Cambridge University Press. 559–578.

[18]

Schmidt, K. The Trouble with 'Tacit Knowledge'. *Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW)*. 21, 2–3, 163–225. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10606-012-9160-8>.

[19]

Schmidt, K. The Trouble with 'Tacit Knowledge'. *Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW)*. 21, 2–3, 163–225. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10606-012-9160-8>.

[20]

Stone, J. 2007. Technology and the problem of civilian casualties in war. *Technology and security: governing threats in the new millennium*. Palgrave Macmillan. 133–151.

[21]

Thorpe, C. 2006. Power and vocation. *Oppenheimer: the tragic intellect*. University of Chicago Press. 160–199.

[22]

Thorpe, C. Violence and the Scientific Vocation. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 21, 3, 59–84. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276404043620>.

[23]

Thorpe, C. Violence and the Scientific Vocation. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 21, 3, 59–84. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276404043620>.

[24]

Vogel, K.M. Framing biosecurity: an alternative to the biotech revolution model? *Science and Public Policy*. 35, 1, 45–54. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.3152/030234208X270513>.

[25]

Vogel, K.M. Framing biosecurity: an alternative to the biotech revolution model? *Science and Public Policy*. 35, 1, 45–54. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.3152/030234208X270513>.

[26]

Wenger, A. and Wollenmann, R. 2007. *Bioterrorism: Assessing a Complex Threat*. Lynne Rienner Publishers.

[27]

Wenger, A. and Wollenmann, R. 2007. *Bioterrorism: Assessing a Complex Threat*. Lynne Rienner Publishers.