

HPSCGA41: STS Perspectives on Security and War: Brian Balmer

[View Online](#)

Balmer, A. (2015). Telling tales: some episodes from the multiple lives of the Polygraph machine. In *Knowledge technology and law* (pp. 104–118).
<http://www.tandfebooks.com/ISBN/9780203797600>

Cole, S. A., & Lynch, M. (n.d.-a). The Social and Legal Construction of Suspects. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*, 2(1), 39–60.
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.lawsocsci.2.081805.110001>

Cole, S. A., & Lynch, M. (n.d.-b). The Social and Legal Construction of Suspects. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*, 2(1), 39–60.
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.lawsocsci.2.081805.110001>

Collins, H., & Pinch, T. (2014). A clean kill? The role of Patriot in the Gulf War. In *The Golem at Large* (pp. 7–29). Cambridge University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107589049>

Edgerton, D. (2008). War. In *The shock of the old: technology and global history since 1900* (pp. 138–159). Profile.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=d34ed39b-8d36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

Edwards, P. N. (1996). We defend every place: building the Cold War world. In *The closed world: computers and the politics of discourse in Cold War America*. MIT Press.
<http://quod.lib.umich.edu/cgi/t/text/pageviewer-idx?c=acls;cc=acls;rgn=full%20text;idno=heb01135.0001.001;didno=heb01135.0001.001;view=image;seq=00000027;node=heb01135.0001.001%3A4>

Grint, K., & Woolgar, S. (1997). What's social about being shot. In *The machine at work: technology, work, and organization* (pp. 140–168). Polity Press.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=be24b342-6736-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

McLeish, C., & Nightingale, P. (n.d.-a). Biosecurity, bioterrorism and the governance of science: The increasing convergence of science and security policy. *Research Policy*, 36 (10), 1635–1654. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2007.10.003>

McLeish, C., & Nightingale, P. (n.d.-b). Biosecurity, bioterrorism and the governance of science: The increasing convergence of science and security policy. *Research Policy*, 36 (10), 1635–1654. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2007.10.003>

Neyland, D. (2009). Mundane terror and the threat of everyday objects. In *Technologies of inSecurity: the surveillance of everyday life* (pp. 21–41). Routledge-Cavendish.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=6ed597bd-5536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

Paglen, T. (2010a). Goatsucker: toward a spatial theory of state secrecy. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 28(5), 759–771. <https://doi.org/10.1068/d5308>

Paglen, T. (2010b). Goatsucker: toward a spatial theory of state secrecy. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 28(5), 759–771. <https://doi.org/10.1068/d5308>

Rappert, B. (n.d.-a). States of ignorance: the unmaking and remaking of death tolls. *Economy and Society*, 41(1), 42–63. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03085147.2011.637334>

Rappert, B. (n.d.-b). States of ignorance: the unmaking and remaking of death tolls. *Economy and Society*, 41(1), 42–63. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03085147.2011.637334>

Rappert, B. (2012). Disabling discourses: international law, legitimacy and the politics of balance. In *How to look good in a war: justifying and challenging state violence*. Pluto. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=ad4050cb-6636-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

Revill, J., & Edwards, B. (2015). What counts as the hostile use of chemicals? In B. Rappert & B. Balmer (Eds.), *Absence in science, security and policy: from research agendas to global strategy: Vol. Global issues series* (pp. 157–179). Palgrave Macmillan. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=20c62936-73eb-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

Roland, A. (2002). Science, Technology, and War. In M. J. Nye (Ed.), *The Cambridge History of Science* (pp. 559–578). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CHOL9780521571999.031>

Schmidt, K. (n.d.-a). The Trouble with 'Tacit Knowledge'. *Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW)*, 21(2–3), 163–225. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10606-012-9160-8>

Schmidt, K. (n.d.-b). The Trouble with 'Tacit Knowledge'. *Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW)*, 21(2–3), 163–225. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10606-012-9160-8>

Stone, J. (2007). Technology and the problem of civilian casualties in war. In *Technology and security: governing threats in the new millennium: Vol. New security challenges* (pp. 133–151). Palgrave Macmillan. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=9ad92166-4e36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

Thorpe, C. (n.d.-a). Violence and the Scientific Vocation. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 21(3), 59–84. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276404043620>

Thorpe, C. (n.d.-b). Violence and the Scientific Vocation. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 21(3), 59–84. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276404043620>

Thorpe, C. (2006). Power and vocation. In *Oppenheimer: the tragic intellect* (pp. 160–199). University of Chicago Press.

Vogel, K. M. (n.d.-a). Framing biosecurity: an alternative to the biotech revolution model? *Science and Public Policy*, 35(1), 45–54. <https://doi.org/10.3152/030234208X270513>

Vogel, K. M. (n.d.-b). Framing biosecurity: an alternative to the biotech revolution model?

Science and Public Policy, 35(1), 45–54. <https://doi.org/10.3152/030234208X270513>

Wenger, A., & Wollenmann, R. (2007a). Bioterrorism: Assessing a Complex Threat [Electronic resource]. Lynne Rienner Publishers.
<http://UCL.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=3433704>

Wenger, A., & Wollenmann, R. (2007b). Bioterrorism: Assessing a Complex Threat [Electronic resource]. Lynne Rienner Publishers.
<http://UCL.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=3433704>