

HPSCGA41: STS Perspectives on Security and War: Brian Balmer

[View Online](#)

1

Wenger A, Wollenmann R. Bioterrorism: Assessing a Complex Threat. Boulder: : Lynne Rienner Publishers 2007. <http://UCL.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=3433704>

2

Rappert B. States of ignorance: the unmaking and remaking of death tolls. *Economy and Society*; **41**:42–63. doi:10.1080/03085147.2011.637334

3

Cole SA, Lynch M. The Social and Legal Construction of Suspects. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*; **2**:39–60. doi:10.1146/annurev.lawsocsci.2.081805.110001

4

Schmidt K. The Trouble with 'Tacit Knowledge'. *Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW)*; **21**:163–225. doi:10.1007/s10606-012-9160-8

5

Rappert B. Disabling discourses: international law, legitimacy and the politics of balance. In: *How to look good in a war: justifying and challenging state violence*. London: : Pluto 2012.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=ad4050cb-6636-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

6

Vogel KM. Framing biosecurity: an alternative to the biotech revolution model? *Science and Public Policy*; **35**:45–54. doi:10.3152/030234208X270513

7

Paglen T. Goatsucker: toward a spatial theory of state secrecy. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* 2010; **28**:759–71. doi:10.1068/d5308

8

Thorpe C. Violence and the Scientific Vocation. *Theory, Culture & Society*; **21**:59–84. doi:10.1177/0263276404043620

9

McLeish C, Nightingale P. Biosecurity, bioterrorism and the governance of science: The increasing convergence of science and security policy. *Research Policy*; **36**:1635–54. doi:10.1016/j.respol.2007.10.003

10

Edwards PN. We defend every place: building the Cold War world. In: *The closed world: computers and the politics of discourse in Cold War America*. Cambridge, Mass.: : MIT Press 1996.
<http://quod.lib.umich.edu/cgi/t/text/pageviewer-idx?c=acls;cc=acls;rgn=full%20text;idno=heb01135.0001.001;didno=heb01135.0001.001;view=image;seq=00000027;node=heb01135.0001.001%3A4>

11

Roland A. Science, Technology, and War. In: Nye MJ, ed. *The Cambridge History of Science*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2002. 559–78. doi:10.1017/CHOL9780521571999.031

12

Stone J. Technology and the problem of civilian casualties in war. In: *Technology and*

security: governing threats in the new millennium. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2007.
133–51.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=9ad92166-4e36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

13

Grint K, Woolgar S. What's social about being shot. In: The machine at work: technology, work, and organization. Cambridge: : Polity Press 1997.
140–68.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=be24b342-6736-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

14

Thorpe C. Power and vocation. In: Oppenheimer: the tragic intellect. Chicago: : University of Chicago Press 2006. 160–99.

15

Neyland D. Mundane terror and the threat of everyday objects. In: Technologies of inSecurity: the surveillance of everyday life. Abingdon: : Routledge-Cavendish 2009.
21–41.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=6ed597bd-5536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

16

Edgerton D. War. In: The shock of the old: technology and global history since 1900. London: : Profile 2008.
138–59.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=d34ed39b-8d36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

17

Revill J, Edwards B. What counts as the hostile use of chemicals? In: Rappert B, Balmer B, eds. Absence in science, security and policy: from research agendas to global strategy. Basingstoke, Hampshire: : Palgrave Macmillan 2015.
157–79.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=20c62936-73eb-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

18

Collins H, Pinch T. A clean kill? The role of Patriot in the Gulf War. In: *The Golem at Large*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2014. 7–29. doi:10.1017/CBO9781107589049

19

Thorpe C. Violence and the Scientific Vocation. *Theory, Culture & Society*; **21**:59–84. doi:10.1177/0263276404043620

20

McLeish C, Nightingale P. Biosecurity, bioterrorism and the governance of science: The increasing convergence of science and security policy. *Research Policy*; **36**:1635–54. doi:10.1016/j.respol.2007.10.003

21

Paglen T. Goatsucker: toward a spatial theory of state secrecy. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* 2010; **28**:759–71. doi:10.1068/d5308

22

Vogel KM. Framing biosecurity: an alternative to the biotech revolution model? *Science and Public Policy*; **35**:45–54. doi:10.3152/030234208X270513

23

Schmidt K. The Trouble with 'Tacit Knowledge'. *Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW)*; **21**:163–225. doi:10.1007/s10606-012-9160-8

24

Cole SA, Lynch M. The Social and Legal Construction of Suspects. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*; **2**:39–60. doi:10.1146/annurev.lawsocsci.2.081805.110001

25

Rappert B. States of ignorance: the unmaking and remaking of death tolls. *Economy and Society*; **41**:42–63. doi:10.1080/03085147.2011.637334

26

Balmer A. Telling tales: some episodes from the multiple lives of the Polygraph machine. In: Knowledge technology and law. 2015. 104-18.<http://www.tandfebooks.com/ISBN/9780203797600>

27

Wenger A, Wollenmann R. Bioterrorism: Assessing a Complex Threat. Boulder: : Lynne Rienner Publishers 2007. <http://UCL.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=3433704>