

# HPSCGA41: STS Perspectives on Security and War: Brian Balmer

[View Online](#)

---

[1]

A. Wenger and R. Wollenmann, *Bioterrorism: Assessing a Complex Threat*. Boulder: Lynne Rienner Publishers, 2007 [Online]. Available:  
<http://UCL.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=3433704>

[2]

B. Rappert, 'States of ignorance: the unmaking and remaking of death tolls', *Economy and Society*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 42–63, doi: 10.1080/03085147.2011.637334.

[3]

S. A. Cole and M. Lynch, 'The Social and Legal Construction of Suspects', *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 39–60, doi:  
10.1146/annurev.lawsocsci.2.081805.110001.

[4]

K. Schmidt, 'The Trouble with "Tacit Knowledge"', *Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW)*, vol. 21, no. 2–3, pp. 163–225, doi: 10.1007/s10606-012-9160-8.

[5]

B. Rappert, 'Disabling discourses: international law, legitimacy and the politics of balance', in *How to look good in a war: justifying and challenging state violence*, London: Pluto, 2012 [Online]. Available:  
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=ad4050cb-6636-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

[6]

K. M. Vogel, 'Framing biosecurity: an alternative to the biotech revolution model?', *Science and Public Policy*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 45–54, doi: 10.3152/030234208X270513.

[7]

T. Paglen, 'Goatsucker: toward a spatial theory of state secrecy', *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 759–771, 2010, doi: 10.1068/d5308.

[8]

C. Thorpe, 'Violence and the Scientific Vocation', *Theory, Culture & Society*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 59–84, doi: 10.1177/0263276404043620.

[9]

C. McLeish and P. Nightingale, 'Biosecurity, bioterrorism and the governance of science: The increasing convergence of science and security policy', *Research Policy*, vol. 36, no. 10, pp. 1635–1654, doi: 10.1016/j.respol.2007.10.003.

[10]

P. N. Edwards, 'We defend every place: building the Cold War world', in *The closed world: computers and the politics of discourse in Cold War America*, Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press, 1996 [Online]. Available:  
<http://quod.lib.umich.edu/cgi/t/text/pageviewer-idx?c=acls;cc=acls;rgn=full%20text;idno=heb01135.0001.001;didno=heb01135.0001.001;view=image;seq=00000027;node=heb01135.0001.001%3A4>

[11]

A. Roland, 'Science, Technology, and War', in *The Cambridge History of Science*, M. J. Nye, Ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2002, pp. 559–578 [Online]. Available:  
<http://universitypublishingonline.org/ref/id/histories/CBO9781139053525A040>

[12]

J. Stone, 'Technology and the problem of civilian casualties in war', in Technology and security: governing threats in the new millennium, vol. New security challenges, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2007, pp. 133–151 [Online]. Available: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=9ad92166-4e36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

[13]

K. Grint and S. Woolgar, 'What's social about being shot', in The machine at work: technology, work, and organization, Cambridge: Polity Press, 1997, pp. 140–168 [Online]. Available: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=be24b342-6736-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

[14]

C. Thorpe, 'Power and vocation', in Oppenheimer: the tragic intellect, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2006, pp. 160–199.

[15]

D. Neyland, 'Mundane terror and the threat of everyday objects', in Technologies of inSecurity: the surveillance of everyday life, Abingdon: Routledge-Cavendish, 2009, pp. 21–41 [Online]. Available: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=6ed597bd-5536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

[16]

D. Edgerton, 'War', in The shock of the old: technology and global history since 1900, London: Profile, 2008, pp. 138–159 [Online]. Available: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=d34ed39b-8d36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

[17]

J. Revill and B. Edwards, 'What counts as the hostile use of chemicals?', in Absence in science, security and policy: from research agendas to global strategy, vol. Global issues series, B. Rappert and B. Balmer, Eds. Basingstoke, Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan, 2015, pp. 157–179 [Online]. Available: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=20c62936-73eb-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

[18]

H. Collins and T. Pinch, 'A clean kill? The role of Patriot in the Gulf War', in *The Golem at Large*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2014, pp. 7–29 [Online]. Available: <http://ebooks.cambridge.org/ref/id/CBO9781107589049>

[19]

C. Thorpe, 'Violence and the Scientific Vocation', *Theory, Culture & Society*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 59–84, doi: 10.1177/0263276404043620.

[20]

C. McLeish and P. Nightingale, 'Biosecurity, bioterrorism and the governance of science: The increasing convergence of science and security policy', *Research Policy*, vol. 36, no. 10, pp. 1635–1654, doi: 10.1016/j.respol.2007.10.003.

[21]

T. Paglen, 'Goatsucker: toward a spatial theory of state secrecy', *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 759–771, 2010, doi: 10.1068/d5308.

[22]

K. M. Vogel, 'Framing biosecurity: an alternative to the biotech revolution model?', *Science and Public Policy*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 45–54, doi: 10.3152/030234208X270513.

[23]

K. Schmidt, 'The Trouble with "Tacit Knowledge"', *Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW)*, vol. 21, no. 2–3, pp. 163–225, doi: 10.1007/s10606-012-9160-8.

[24]

S. A. Cole and M. Lynch, 'The Social and Legal Construction of Suspects', *Annual Review of*

Law and Social Science, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 39–60, doi:  
10.1146/annurev.lawsocsci.2.081805.110001.

[25]

B. Rappert, 'States of ignorance: the unmaking and remaking of death tolls', *Economy and Society*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 42–63, doi: 10.1080/03085147.2011.637334.

[26]

A. Balmer, 'Telling tales: some episodes from the multiple lives of the Polygraph machine', in *Knowledge technology and law*, 2015, pp. 104–118 [Online]. Available:  
<http://www.tandfebooks.com/ISBN/9780203797600>

[27]

A. Wenger and R. Wollenmann, *Bioterrorism: Assessing a Complex Threat*. Boulder: Lynne Rienner Publishers, 2007 [Online]. Available:  
<http://UCL.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=3433704>