

HPSCGA41: STS Perspectives on Security and War: Brian Balmer

[View Online](#)

1.

Wenger A, Wollenmann R. Bioterrorism: Assessing a Complex Threat [Internet]. Boulder: Lynne Rienner Publishers; 2007. Available from: <http://UCL.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=3433704>

2.

Rappert B. States of ignorance: the unmaking and remaking of death tolls. *Economy and Society*. 41(1):42–63.

3.

Cole SA, Lynch M. The Social and Legal Construction of Suspects. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*. 2(1):39–60.

4.

Schmidt K. The Trouble with 'Tacit Knowledge'. *Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW)*. 21(2–3):163–225.

5.

Rappert B. Disabling discourses: international law, legitimacy and the politics of balance. How to look good in a war: justifying and challenging state violence [Internet]. London: Pluto; 2012. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=ad4050cb-6636-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

6.

Vogel KM. Framing biosecurity: an alternative to the biotech revolution model? *Science and Public Policy*. 35(1):45–54.

7.

Paglen T. Goatsucker: toward a spatial theory of state secrecy. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*. 2010;28(5):759–771.

8.

Thorpe C. Violence and the Scientific Vocation. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 21(3):59–84.

9.

McLeish C, Nightingale P. Biosecurity, bioterrorism and the governance of science: The increasing convergence of science and security policy. *Research Policy*. 36(10):1635–1654.

10.

Edwards PN. We defend every place: building the Cold War world. *The closed world: computers and the politics of discourse in Cold War America* [Internet]. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press; 1996. Available from: <http://quod.lib.umich.edu/cgi/t/text/pageviewer-idx?c=acls;cc=acls;rgn=full%20text;idno=heb01135.0001.001;didno=heb01135.0001.001;view=image;seq=00000027;node=heb01135.0001.001%3A4>

11.

Roland A. Science, Technology, and War. In: Nye MJ, editor. *The Cambridge History of Science* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2002. p. 559–578. Available from: <http://universitypublishingonline.org/ref/id/histories/CBO9781139053525A040>

12.

Stone J. Technology and the problem of civilian casualties in war. *Technology and security*:

governing threats in the new millennium [Internet]. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2007. p. 133–151. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=9ad92166-4e36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

13.

Grint K, Woolgar S. What's social about being shot. The machine at work: technology, work, and organization [Internet]. Cambridge: Polity Press; 1997. p. 140–168. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=be24b342-6736-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

14.

Thorpe C. Power and vocation. Oppenheimer: the tragic intellect. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 2006. p. 160–199.

15.

Neyland D. Mundane terror and the threat of everyday objects. Technologies of inSecurity: the surveillance of everyday life [Internet]. Abingdon: Routledge-Cavendish; 2009. p. 21–41. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=6ed597bd-5536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

16.

Edgerton D. War. The shock of the old: technology and global history since 1900 [Internet]. London: Profile; 2008. p. 138–159. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=d34ed39b-8d36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

17.

Revill J, Edwards B. What counts as the hostile use of chemicals? In: Rappert B, Balmer B, editors. Absence in science, security and policy: from research agendas to global strategy [Internet]. Basingstoke, Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan; 2015. p. 157–179. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=20c62936-73eb-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

18.

Collins H, Pinch T. A clean kill? The role of Patriot in the Gulf War. *The Golem at Large* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2014. p. 7-29. Available from: <http://ebooks.cambridge.org/ref/id/CBO9781107589049>

19.

Thorpe C. Violence and the Scientific Vocation. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 21(3):59-84.

20.

McLeish C, Nightingale P. Biosecurity, bioterrorism and the governance of science: The increasing convergence of science and security policy. *Research Policy*. 36(10):1635-1654.

21.

Paglen T. Goatsucker: toward a spatial theory of state secrecy. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*. 2010;28(5):759-771.

22.

Vogel KM. Framing biosecurity: an alternative to the biotech revolution model? *Science and Public Policy*. 35(1):45-54.

23.

Schmidt K. The Trouble with 'Tacit Knowledge'. *Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW)*. 21(2-3):163-225.

24.

Cole SA, Lynch M. The Social and Legal Construction of Suspects. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*. 2(1):39-60.

25.

Rappert B. States of ignorance: the unmaking and remaking of death tolls. *Economy and Society*. 41(1):42–63.

26.

Balmer A. Telling tales: some episodes from the multiple lives of the Polygraph machine. *Knowledge technology and law* [Internet]. 2015. p. 104–118. Available from: <http://www.tandfebooks.com/ISBN/9780203797600>

27.

Wenger A, Wollenmann R. *Bioterrorism: Assessing a Complex Threat* [Internet]. Boulder: Lynne Rienner Publishers; 2007. Available from: <http://UCL.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=3433704>