

PSYCGN42: Evaluating Clinical Interventions.

Lecturer: Julian Edbrooke-Childs

View Online



1.

Wolpert et al. M. Drawing on the evidence: Advice for mental health professionals working with children and adolescents [Internet]. 2nd rev. ed. London: CAMHS Publications; 2006. Available from: https://www.ucl.ac.uk/ebpu/docs/publication_files/drawing_onthe_evidence

2.

Chorpita et al. BF. Evidence-based treatments for children and adolescents: An updated review of indicators of efficacy and effectiveness. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*. 2011;18(2):154-172.

3.

Kazdin AE. Evidence-based treatment and practice: New opportunities to bridge clinical research and practice, enhance the knowledge base, and improve patient care. *American Psychologist* [Internet]. 2008;63(3):146-159. Available from: <http://ovidsp.ovid.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN=00000487-200804000-00001&LSLINK=80&D=ovft>

4.

Girard A, Rochette A, Fillion B. Knowledge translation and improving practices in neurological rehabilitation: managers' viewpoint. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*. 2013;19(1):60-67.

5.

Gallo KP, Barlow DH. Factors involved in clinician adoption and nonadoption of evidence-based interventions in mental health. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*. 2012;19(1):93-106.

6.

Timulak L. Randomized control trials. *Research in psychotherapy and counselling* [Internet]. Los Angeles: SAGE; 2008. p. 30-43. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=3b67bef9-7b36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

7.

Cooper M. Meeting the demand for evidence-based practice. *Therapy Today* [Internet]. 22(4):10-16. Available from: <http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/32220/>

8.

Wolpert, M., Fugard, A. J. B., Deighton, J. Issues in evaluation of psychotherapies. In: Graham PJ, Reynolds S, editors. *Cognitive behaviour therapy for children and families* [Internet]. 3rd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013. p. 34-47. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=0ccb9e2-7836-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

9.

Weisz et al. JR. Performance of evidence-based youth psychotherapies compared with usual clinical care. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2013;70(7).

10.

Liberati et al. A. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. *BMJ*. 2009;339(jul21 1):b2700-b2700.

11.

Improving Access to Psychological Therapies (IAPT), Department of Health. IAPT 3 Year Report - The first million patients. November 2012 [Internet]. Department of Health; 2012. Available from: <http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20160302154833/http://www.iapt.nhs.uk/silo/fil>

es/iapt-3-year-report.pdf

12.

Bullivant et al. J. Clinical audit: A guide for NHS Boards and partners. [Internet]. London: : Healthcare Quality Improvement Partnership and Good Governance Institute.; 2015. Available from: <https://www.hqip.org.uk/resources/clinical-audit-a-guide-for-nhs-boards-and-partners/>

13.

Kam SE, Midgley N. Exploring clinical judgement: How do child and adolescent mental health professionals decide whether a young person needs individual psychotherapy? *Clinical Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2006;11(1):27-44.

14.

Semmelroch et al M. Retrospective audit of postictal generalized EEG suppression in telemetry. *Epilepsia*. 2012;53(2):e21-e24.

15.

Edbrooke-Childs J, Wolpert M, Deighton J. Using Patient Reported Outcome Measures to Improve Service Effectiveness (UPROMISE): Training clinicians to use outcome measures in child mental health. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*. 2014 Oct 21;Online publication.

16.

L. Bickman et al. Effects of routine feedback to clinicians on mental health outcomes of youths: Results of a randomized trial. *Psychiatric Services*. 2011;62(12):1423-1429.

17.

de Jong et al. K. Understanding the differential impact of outcome monitoring: Therapist variables that moderate feedback effects in a randomized clinical trial. *Psychotherapy Research*. 2012;22(4):464-474.

18.

P. Moran et al. What do parents and carers think about routine outcome measures and their use? A focus group study of CAMHS attenders. *Clinical Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2012;17(1):65–79.

19.

Wolpert M, Curtis-Tyler K, Edbrooke-Childs J. A qualitative exploration of patient and clinician views on Patient Reported Outcome Measures in child mental health and diabetes services. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*. 2014 Sept 2; Online publication.

20.

Scheinost et al. D. Orbitofrontal cortex neurofeedback produces lasting changes in contamination anxiety and resting-state connectivity. *Translational Psychiatry*. 2013;3(4).

21.

Young Minds. Talking about talking therapies: Thinking and planning about how to make good and accessible talking therapies available to children and young people. [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.iapt.nhs.uk/silo/files/talking-about-talking-therapies.pdf>

22.

Evans C, Margison F, Barkham M. The contribution of reliable and clinically significant change methods to evidence-based mental health. *Evidence-Based Mental Health*. 1998;1(3):70–72.

23.

T. Ford et al. Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire Added Value Scores: Evaluating effectiveness in child mental health interventions. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*. 2009;194(6):552–558.

24.

Child Outcomes Research Consortium (CORC). CORC+ Annual report: Erinsborough [Internet]. CORC; 2014. Available from: <http://www.corc.uk.net/resources/downloads/>

25.

Lambert MJ, Ogles BM. Using clinical significance in psychotherapy outcome research: The need for a common procedure and validity data. *Psychotherapy Research*. 2009;19(4-5):493-501.

26.

CAMHS Outcomes Research Consortium | Collaborating to improve quality through outcomes [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.corc.uk.net/>

27.

Fleming et al. I. Learning from a learning collaboration: The CORC approach to combining research, evaluation and practice in child mental health. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*. 2014 Sept 19; Online publication.

28.

M. J. Batty et al. Implementing routine outcome measures in child and adolescent mental health services: from present to future practice. *Child and Adolescent Mental Health*. 2013;18(2):82-87.

29.

Jones M, et al. Current view tool: Completion guide [Internet]. London: CAMHS Press; 2013. Available from: <https://www.ucl.ac.uk/ebpu/publications/professionals>

30.

Mellor-Clark et al. J. Leading horses to water: Lessons from a decade of helping psychological therapy services use routine outcome measurement to improve practice. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*. 2014 Sept 2; Online publication.

31.

Rose M, Bezjak A. Logistics of collecting patient-reported outcomes (PROs) in clinical practice: an overview and practical examples. *Quality of Life Research*. 2009;18(1):125–136.

32.

M. Wolpert et al. Routine outcomes monitoring as part of children and young people's Improving Access to Psychological Therapies (CYP IAPT) - improving care or unhelpful burden? *Child and Adolescent Mental Health*. 2012;17(3):129–130.

33.

Abrines-Jaume et al. N. A qualitative analysis of implementing shared decision making in Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services in the United Kingdom: Stages and facilitators. *Clinical Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2014 Sept 1; Advance online publication.

34.

Lindhiem et al. O. Client preferences affect treatment satisfaction, completion, and clinical outcome: A meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*. 2014;34(6):506–517.

35.

Stacey et al. D. Decision aids for people facing health treatment or screening decisions. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* [Internet]. 2014;(1, Art. CD001431). Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/14651858.CD001431.pub4>

36.

Wachtel PL. Beyond 'ESTs': Problematic assumptions in the pursuit of evidence-based practice. *Psychoanalytic Psychology*. 2010;27:251–272.

37.

Ivers et al. N. Audit and feedback: Effects on professional practice and healthcare outcomes. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* [Internet]. 2012;(6, Art. CD000259).

Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/14651858.CD000259.pub3>