

# PSYCGN42: Evaluating Clinical Interventions. Lecturer: Julian Edbrooke-Childs

View Online



1.

Wolpert et al., M.: Drawing on the evidence: Advice for mental health professionals working with children and adolescents, [https://www.ucl.ac.uk/ebpu/docs/publication\\_files/drawing\\_onthe\\_evidence](https://www.ucl.ac.uk/ebpu/docs/publication_files/drawing_onthe_evidence), (2006).

2.

Chorpita et al., B.F.: Evidence-based treatments for children and adolescents: An updated review of indicators of efficacy and effectiveness. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*. 18, 154–172 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2850.2011.01247.x>.

3.

Kazdin, A.E.: Evidence-based treatment and practice: New opportunities to bridge clinical research and practice, enhance the knowledge base, and improve patient care. *American Psychologist*. 63, 146–159 (2008).

4.

Girard, A., Rochette, A., Fillion, B.: Knowledge translation and improving practices in neurological rehabilitation: managers' viewpoint. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*. 19, 60–67 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2753.2011.01769.x>.

5.

Gallo, K.P., Barlow, D.H.: Factors involved in clinician adoption and nonadoption of evidence-based interventions in mental health. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*. 19, 93–106 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2850.2012.01276.x>.

6.

Timulak, L.: Randomized control trials. In: Research in psychotherapy and counselling. pp. 30–43. SAGE, Los Angeles (2008).

7.

Cooper, M.: Meeting the demand for evidence-based practice. *Therapy Today*. 22, 10–16.

8.

Wolpert, M., Fugard, A. J. B., Deighton, J.: Issues in evaluation of psychotherapies. In: Graham, P.J. and Reynolds, S. (eds) *Cognitive behaviour therapy for children and families*. pp. 34–47. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2013).

9.

Weisz et al., J.R.: Performance of evidence-based youth psychotherapies compared with usual clinical care. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 70, (2013).  
<https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.1176>.

10.

Liberati et al., A.: The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. *BMJ*. 339, b2700–b2700 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b2700>.

11.

Improving Access to Psychological Therapies (IAPT), Department of Health: IAPT 3 Year Report - The first million patients. November 2012,  
<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20160302154833/http://www.iapt.nhs.uk/silo/files/iapt-3-year-report.pdf>, (2012).

12.

Bullivant et al., J.: Clinical audit: A guide for NHS Boards and partners.,  
<https://www.hqip.org.uk/resources/clinical-audit-a-guide-for-nhs-boards-and-partners/>,  
(2015).

13.

Kam, S.-E., Midgley, N.: Exploring clinical judgement: How do child and adolescent mental health professionals decide whether a young person needs individual psychotherapy?  
*Clinical Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 11, 27–44 (2006).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1359104506059122>.

14.

Semmelroch et al, M.: Retrospective audit of postictal generalized EEG suppression in telemetry. *Epilepsia*. 53, e21–e24 (2012).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1528-1167.2011.03296.x>.

15.

Edbrooke-Childs, J., Wolpert, M., Deighton, J.: Using Patient Reported Outcome Measures to Improve Service Effectiveness (UPROMISE): Training clinicians to use outcome measures in child mental health. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*. Online publication, (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10488-014-0600-2>.

16.

L. Bickman et al: Effects of routine feedback to clinicians on mental health outcomes of youths: Results of a randomized trial. *Psychiatric Services*. 62, 1423–1429 (2011).  
<https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.002052011>.

17.

de Jong et al., K.: Understanding the differential impact of outcome monitoring: Therapist variables that moderate feedback effects in a randomized clinical trial. *Psychotherapy Research*. 22, 464–474 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1080/10503307.2012.673023>.

18.

P. Moran et al: What do parents and carers think about routine outcome measures and

their use? A focus group study of CAMHS attenders. *Clinical Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 17, 65–79 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1359104510391859>.

19.

Wolpert, M., Curtis-Tyler, K., Edbrooke-Childs, J.: A qualitative exploration of patient and clinician views on Patient Reported Outcome Measures in child mental health and diabetes services. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*. Online publication, (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10488-014-0586-9>.

20.

Scheinost et al., D.: Orbitofrontal cortex neurofeedback produces lasting changes in contamination anxiety and resting-state connectivity. *Translational Psychiatry*. 3, (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1038/tp.2013.24>.

21.

Young Minds: Talking about talking therapies: Thinking and planning about how to make good and accessible talking therapies available to children and young people., <http://www.iapt.nhs.uk/silo/files/talking-about-talking-therapies.pdf>.

22.

Evans, C., Margison, F., Barkham, M.: The contribution of reliable and clinically significant change methods to evidence-based mental health. *Evidence-Based Mental Health*. 1, 70–72 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1136/ebmh.1.3.70>.

23.

T. Ford et al: Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire Added Value Scores: Evaluating effectiveness in child mental health interventions. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*. 194, 552–558 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.108.052373>.

24.

Child Outcomes Research Consortium (CORC): CORC+ Annual report: Erinsborough, <http://www.corc.uk.net/resources/downloads/>, (2014).

25.

Lambert, M.J., Ogles, B.M.: Using clinical significance in psychotherapy outcome research: The need for a common procedure and validity data. *Psychotherapy Research*. 19, 493–501 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1080/10503300902849483>.

26.

CAMHS Outcomes Research Consortium | Collaborating to improve quality through outcomes, <http://www.corc.uk.net/>.

27.

Fleming et al., I.: Learning from a learning collaboration: The CORC approach to combining research, evaluation and practice in child mental health. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*. Online publication, (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10488-014-0592-y>.

28.

M. J. Batty et al: Implementing routine outcome measures in child and adolescent mental health services: from present to future practice. *Child and Adolescent Mental Health*. 18, 82–87 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-3588.2012.00658.x>.

29.

Jones, M., et al: Current view tool: Completion guide, <https://www.ucl.ac.uk/ebpu/publications/professionals>, (2013).

30.

Mellor-Clark et al., J.: Leading horses to water: Lessons from a decade of helping psychological therapy services use routine outcome measurement to improve practice. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*. Online publication, (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10488-014-0587-8>.

31.

Rose, M., Beznak, A.: Logistics of collecting patient-reported outcomes (PROs) in clinical practice: an overview and practical examples. *Quality of Life Research*. 18, 125–136 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-008-9436-0>.

32.

M. Wolpert et al: Routine outcomes monitoring as part of children and young people's Improving Access to Psychological Therapies (CYP IAPT) - improving care or unhelpful burden? *Child and Adolescent Mental Health*. 17, 129–130 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-3588.2012.00676.x>.

33.

Abrines-Jaume et al., N.: A qualitative analysis of implementing shared decision making in Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services in the United Kingdom: Stages and facilitators. *Clinical Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. Advance online publication, (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1359104514547596>.

34.

Lindhiem et al., O.: Client preferences affect treatment satisfaction, completion, and clinical outcome: A meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*. 34, 506–517 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2014.06.002>.

35.

Stacey et al., D.: Decision aids for people facing health treatment or screening decisions. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD001431.pub4>.

36.

Wachtel, P.L.: Beyond 'ESTs': Problematic assumptions in the pursuit of evidence-based practice. *Psychoanalytic Psychology*. 27, 251–272 (2010).

37.

Ivers et al., N.: Audit and feedback: Effects on professional practice and healthcare outcomes. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. (2012).

<https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD000259.pub3>.