

ARCLG107: Technology and Analysis of Archaeological Material: Marcos Martinon-Torres

View Online



1.

STRAND A - TECHNOLOGY WITHIN SOCIETY .

2.

Appadurai, Arjun. *The social life of things: commodities in cultural perspective*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1986.

3.

Caple, Chris. *Objects: reluctant witnesses to the past* [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2006. Available from:
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203409060>

4.

Ewen, Charles Robin. *Artifacts*. Walnut Creek, CA: AltaMira Press; 2003.

5.

Hurcombe, L. M. *Archaeological artefacts as material culture*. London: Routledge; 2007.

6.

Jones A. Archaeometry and materiality: materials-based analysis in theory and practice. *Archaeometry*. 2004;46(3):327–338.

7.

Kingery, W. D. *Learning from things: method and theory of material culture studies*. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press; 1996.

8.

Latour, Bruno. *Pandora's hope: essays on the reality of science studies*. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 1999.

9.

Lemonnier P. The study of material culture today: Toward an anthropology of technical systems. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* [Internet]. 1986; Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/science/article/pii/0278416586900127>

10.

Miller, Heather Margaret-Louise. *Archaeological approaches to technology*. Amsterdam: Elsevier/Academic Press; 2007.

11.

Nanoglou S. Qualities of Humanness: Material Aspects of Greek Neolithic Anthropomorphic Imagery. *Journal of Material Culture*. 2008;13(3):311–334.

12.

Olsen, Bjørnar. *In defense of things: archaeology and the ontology of objects*. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers; 2010.

13.

Schiffer, Michael B., Miller, Andrea R. *The material life of human beings: artifacts, behavior, and communication*. London: Routledge; 1999.

14.

Sigaut F. Technology. Companion encyclopedia of anthropology [Internet]. [New ed.]. London: Routledge; 2002. p. 420–459. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG192_51976

15.

Tilley, Christopher Y. Handbook of material culture [Internet]. London: SAGE; 2006. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781446206430>

16.

Thornton C. Archaeometallurgy. Metals and societies: studies in honour of Barbara S Ottaway. Bonn: R. Habelt; 2009. p. 25–33.

17.

Boivin, Nicole. Material cultures, material minds: the impact of things on human thought, society, and evolution. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2008.

18.

Dant, Tim. Material culture in the social world: values, activities, lifestyles. Buckingham: Open Univeristy Press; 1999.

19.

Henare, Amiria J. M., Holbraad, Martin, Wastell, Sari. Thinking through things: theorising artefacts ethnographically [Internet]. Abingdon: Routledge; 2007. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203088791>

20.

Lubar, Steven D., Kingery, W. D. History from things: essays on material culture. Washington: Smithsonian Institution Press; 1993.

21.

Meskell, Lynn. Archaeologies of materiality. Malden, Mass: Blackwell; 2006.

22.

Miller, Daniel. Materiality. Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press; 2005.

23.

Andrefsky, William. Lithics: macroscopic approaches to analysis. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2005.

24.

Henderson, Julian. The science and archaeology of materials: an investigation of inorganic materials. London: Routledge; 2000.

25.

Orton, Clive, Hughes, Mike. Pottery in archaeology. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013.

26.

Rice, Prudence M. Pottery analysis: a sourcebook. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1987.

27.

Schick, Kathy Diane, Toth, Nicholas Patrick. Making silent stones speak: human evolution and the dawn of technology. London: Phoenix; 1993.

28.

Ingold T. Materials against materiality. *Archaeological Dialogues*. 2007;14(01).

29.

Kopytoff I. The cultural biology of things: commoditization as a process. *The social life of things: commodities in cultural perspective* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1986. p. 64–94. Available from:
<http://quod.lib.umich.edu/cgi/t/text/pageviewer-idx?c=acls;cc=acls;rgn=full%20text;idno=heb32141.0001.001;didno=heb32141.0001.001;node=heb32141.0001.001%3A5.2;view=image;seq=00000079>

30.

Meskell, Lynn. *Object worlds in ancient Egypt: material biographies past and present*. Oxford: Berg; 2004.

31.

Meskell L. Introduction, object orientations. *Archaeologies of materiality*. Malden, Mass: Blackwell; 2006. p. 1–17.

32.

Olsen, Bjørnar. *In defense of things: archaeology and the ontology of objects*. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers; 2010.

33.

Adams WY. Archaeological classification: theory versus practice. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 1988;56(234):40–56. Available from:
<http://search.proquest.com/docview/1293842480?accountid=14511>

34.

Michael S. Bisson. *Nineteenth Century Tools for Twenty-First Century Archaeology? Why*

the Middle Paleolithic Typology of François Bordes Must Be Replaced. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. Springer; 2000;7(1):1–48. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/20177411>

35.

Brian Hayden. Are Emic Types Relevant to Archaeology? *Ethnohistory* [Internet]. Duke University Press; 1984;31(2):79–92. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/482057>

36.

Adams, William Yewdale, Adams, Ernest W. *Archaeological typology and practical reality: a dialectical approach to artifact classification and sorting*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1991.

37.

Barrett JC. Bronze Age pottery and the problem of classification. *Papers on the prehistoric archaeology of Cranborne Chase* [Internet]. Oxford: Oxbow; 1991. p. 201–230. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=57e50199-1364-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

38.

Biers, William R. *Art, artefacts, and chronology in classical archaeology*. London: Routledge; 1992.

39.

Lewis R. Binford. Archaeology as Anthropology. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 1962;28(2):217–225. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/278380>

40.

Binford LR. *Archaeological perspectives. New perspectives in archaeology* [Internet]. Chicago: Aldine; 1968. p. 155–186. Available from:

http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2028_47136.pdf

41.

Buck, Caitlin E., Millard, Andrew. Tools for constructing chronologies: crossing disciplinary boundaries. London: Springer; 2004.

42.

Caple, Chris. Objects: reluctant witnesses to the past [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2006. Available from:
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203409060>

43.

Carver MOH. Theory and practice in urban pottery seriation. Journal of Archaeological Science [Internet]. 1985;12:353–366. Available from:
<http://www.sciencedirect.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/science/article/pii/0305440385900640>

44.

Chapman WR. Arranging ethnology: A.H.L.F. Pitt Rivers and the typological tradition. Objects and others : essays on museums and material culture [Internet]. 1985. p. 15–48. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG120_47361.pdf

45.

Clarke, David L. Analytical archaeologist: collected papers of David L. Clarke. London: Academic Press; 1979.

46.

Cumberpatch CG. Towards a phenomenological approach to medieval pottery. Not so much a pot, more a way of life : current approaches to artefact analysis in archaeology [Internet]. 1997. p. 125–152. Available from:
http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45078.pdf

47.

Dunnell RC. Methodological issues in Americanist artifact classification. *Advances in archaeological method and theory* [Internet]. New York: Academic Press; 1986;9:149–207. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20210077>

48.

Fish PR. Consistency in Archaeological Measurement and Classification: A Pilot Study. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 1978;43(1):86–89. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/279635>

49.

Gräslund, Bo. *The birth of prehistoric chronology: dating methods and dating systems in nineteenth-century Scandinavian archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1987.

50.

Ian Hodder. *The Narrative and Rhetoric of Material Culture Sequences*. *World Archaeology* [Internet]. Taylor & Francis, Ltd.; 1993;25(2):268–282. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/124819?&Search=yes&searchText=narrative&searchText=sequences&searchText=rhetoric&searchText=culture&searchText=material&list=hide&searchUri=%252Faction%252FdoBasicSearch%253FQuery%253DThe%252Bnarrative%252Band%252Brhetoric%252Bof%252Bmaterial%252Bculture%252Bsequences%2526Search%253DSearch%2526wc%253Don%2526fc%253Doff%2526globalSearch%253D%2526sbbBox%253D%2526sbjBox%253D%2526sbpBox%253D&prevSearch=&item=3&ttl=3322&returnArticleService=showFullText>

51.

Kempton, Willett. *The folk classification of ceramics: a study of cognitive prototypes*. New York: Academic Press; 1981.

52.

Margolis, Eric, Laurence, Stephen. *Creations of the mind: theories of artifacts and their representation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2007.

53.

Miller D. Artefacts as products of human categorisation processes. Symbolic and structural archaeology [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 1982. p. 17–25. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45077.pdf

54.

Miller, Daniel. Artefacts as categories: a study of ceramic variability in central India. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1985.

55.

Stephen Plog. Analysis of Style in Artifacts. Annual Review of Anthropology [Internet]. Annual Reviews; 1983;12:125–142. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/2155643>

56.

Stephen Plog and Jeffrey L. Hantman. Chronology Construction and the Study of Prehistoric Culture Change. Journal of Field Archaeology [Internet]. Maney Publishing; 1990;17(4):439–456. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/530005>

57.

Read, Dwight W. Artifact classification: a conceptual and methodological approach. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2007.

58.

Prudence M. Rice. Rethinking the Ware Concept. American Antiquity [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 1976;41(4):538–543. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/279024>

59.

Sackett J. Approaches to style in lithic archaeology. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology [Internet]. 1982;59–112. Available from:

<http://www.sciencedirect.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/science/article/pii/0278416582900083>

60.

Schnapp A. Between antiquarians and archaeologists--continuities and ruptures. *Antiquity* [Internet]. Antiquity Publications, Ltd.; 2002;76(291):134–140. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/217579824?accountid=14511>

61.

Wendrich W. *The World According to Basketry* [Internet]. 1999. Available from: <http://escholarship.org/uc/item/6n42w0rg>

62.

Wheat JB. *Ceramic classification: Bradfield and Shepard, types and varieties. The ceramic legacy of Anna O Shepard*. Niwot, Colo: University Press of Colorado; 1991. p. 121–131.

63.

White JP, Thomas DH. What mean these stones? Ethnotaxonomic models and archaeological interpretations in the New Guinea Highlands. In: Clarke DL, editor. *Models in archaeology* [Internet]. 1972. p. 275–308. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG113_44842.pdf

64.

Widemann F. Why is archaeometry so boring for archaeologists? Archaeological ceramics : papers presented at a seminar on Ceramics as Archaeological Material held at the Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC and the National Bureau of Standards, Gaithersburg, Maryland, 29 September-1 October 1980, one of the Smithsonian Institution/National Bureau of Standards seminars on the Application of the Materials and Measurement Sciences to Archaeology and Museum Conservation [Internet]. Smithsonian Institution Press; 1982. p. 29–36. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_47251.pdf

65.

Wylie A. *The typology debate. Thinking from things: essays in the philosophy of*

archaeology. Berkeley, Calif: University of California Press; 2002. p. 42–56.

66.

Benco NL. Worked bone tools: Linking metal artisans and animal processors in medieval Islamic Morocco. *Antiquity* [Internet]. Antiquity Publications, Ltd.; 2002;76(292):447–457. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/217562124?accountid=14511>

67.

Schlanger N. The chaîne opératoire. *Archaeology : the key concepts* [Internet]. Routledge; 2005. p. 25–31. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45057.pdf

68.

SILLAR B, TITE MS. THE CHALLENGE OF 'TECHNOLOGICAL CHOICES' FOR MATERIALS SCIENCE APPROACHES IN ARCHAEOLOGY. *Archaeometry*. 2000;42(1):2–20.

69.

Françoise Audouze. Leroi-Gourhan, a Philosopher of Technique and Evolution. *Journal of Archaeological Research* [Internet]. Springer; 2002;10(4):277–306. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/41053189>

70.

Bar-Yosef O, Van Peer P. The Chaîne Opératoire Approach in Middle Paleolithic Archaeology. *Current Anthropology*. 2009 Feb;50(1):103–131.

71.

Dobres MA. Technology's links and chaînes : the processual unfolding of technique and technician. *The social dynamics of technology : practice, politics, and world views* [Internet]. Smithsonian Institution Press; 1999. p. 124–146. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_47269.pdf

72.

Binford LR. Hunters in a landscape. In pursuit of the past: decoding the archaeological record [Internet]. Thames and Hudson; 1983. p. 109–143. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1006_43800.pdf

73.

Chanteller C. Lithic technology and the Chaîne Opératoire. Prehistoric Britain. Malden, Mass: Blackwell; 2008. p. 160–176.

74.

Collins MB. Lithic technology as a means of processual inference. Lithic technology: making and using stone tools. The Hague: Mouton; 1975. p. 15–34.

75.

Crabtree DE. Comments of lithic technology and experimental archaeology. Lithic technology: making and using stone tools. The Hague: Mouton; 1975. p. 105–113.

76.

David N, Kramer C. Studying artifacts: functions, operating sequences, taxonomy. Ethnoarchaeology in action. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2001. p. 138–167.

77.

Elizabeth DeMarrais, Luis Jaime Castillo and Timothy Earle. Ideology, Materialization, and Power Strategies. Current Anthropology [Internet]. The University of Chicago Press; 1996;37(1):15–31. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/2744153>

78.

Chris Gosden and Yvonne Marshall. The Cultural Biography of Objects. World Archaeology [Internet]. Taylor & Francis, Ltd.; 1999;31(2):169–178. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/125055>

79.

Holtorf C. Notes on the Life History of a Pot Sherd. *Journal of Material Culture*. 2002;7(1):49–71.

80.

Hoskins, Janet. *Biographical objects: how things tell the stories of people's lives*. New York: Routledge; 1998.

81.

Hurcombe L. Plant processing for cordage and textiles using serrated flint edges: new chaînes opératoires suggested by ethnographic, archaeological and experimental evidence for bast fibre processing. *Plant processing form a prehistoric and ethnographic perspective =: Préhistoire et ethnographie du travail des plantes : proceedings of a workshop at Ghent University (Belgium) November 28, 2006*. Oxford: John & Erica Hedges; 2007. p. 41–66.

82.

Ingold T. 'Tools for the Hand, Language for the Face': An Appreciation of Leroi-Gourhan's Gesture and Speech. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences*. 1999;30(4):411–453.

83.

Jennings J, Antrobus KL, Atencio SJ, Glavich E, Johnson R, Loffler G, Luu C. "Drinking Beer in a Blissful Mood" Alcohol Production, Operational Chains, and Feasting in the Ancient World. *Current Anthropology*. 2005;46(2):275–303.

84.

Lemonnier, Pierre. *Elements for an anthropology of technology*. Ann Arbor, Mich: Museum of Anthropology, University of Michigan; 1992.

85.

Lucas G. Case study: the life and times of a Roman jar. *The archaeology of time* [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2005. p. 95–113. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45056.pdf

86.

Meskell, Lynn. *Object worlds in ancient Egypt: material biographies past and present*. Oxford: Berg; 2004.

87.

Rye, Owen S. *Pottery technology: principles and reconstruction*. Washington, D.C.: Taraxacum; 1981.

88.

Shanks M. *The life of an artefact in an interpretive archaeology* [Internet]. Available from: <http://documents.stanford.edu/michaelshanks/229>

89.

Schiffer MB. *Behavioral chain analysis: activities, organization and the use of space. Behavioral archaeology: first principles* [Internet]. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 1995. p. 103–174. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_47408.pdf

90.

Schlanger N. *Mindful technology: unleashing the chaîne opératoire for an archaeology of mind. The ancient mind: elements of cognitive archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1994. p. 143–151.

91.

Schlanger N, Silclair A. *Technology in the Humanities. Archaeological review from Cambridge*. Cambridge: University of Cambridge; 1990;9(1):3–167.

92.

Skibo JM, Schiffer MB. Understanding artifact variability and change: a behavioral framework. *Anthropological perspectives on technology*. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press; 2001. p. 139–149.

93.

Vidale M. Operational sequences beyond linearity. *Papers from the EAA Third Annual Meeting at Ravenna 1997* [Internet]. Oxford: Archaeopress; 1998. p. 179–184. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45067.pdf

94.

Rapp, George Robert. *Archaeomineralogy*. 2nd ed. Berlin: Springer; 2009.

95.

Ashurst, John, Dimes, Francis G., Honeyborne, D. B. *Conservation of building and decorative stone: Vol. 1*. London: Butterworths; 1990.

96.

Bachmann, H. G. *The identification of slags from archaeological sites*. London: Institute of Archaeology; 1982.

97.

Bayley J, Dungworth D, Paynter S. *Centre for Archaeology Guidelines: Archaeometallurgy* [Internet]. English Heritage; 2001. Available from: <http://www.english-heritage.org.uk/publications>

98.

Leo Biek and Justine Bayley. *Glass and other Vitreous Materials*. *World Archaeology* [Internet]. Taylor & Francis, Ltd.; 1979;11(1):1–25. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/124331>

99.

Freestone, Ian, Gaimster, David R. M. Pottery in the making: world ceramic traditions. London: British Museum Press; 1997.

100.

Gleba, Margarita. Textile production in pre-Roman Italy. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2008.

101.

Hurcombe, L. M. Archaeological artefacts as material culture. London: Routledge; 2007.

102.

Orton, Clive, Hughes, Mike. Pottery in archaeology. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013.

103.

Paynter S, Dungworth D. Archaeological Evidence for Glassworking [Internet]. English Heritage; 2011. Available from:
<http://www.english-heritage.org.uk/publications/glassworkingguidelines/glassworking-guidelines.pdf>

104.

Thomson, Roy, Mould, Quita. Leather tanneries: the archaeological evidence. London: Archetype; 2011.

105.

Tomber, R., Dore, John, English Heritage, British Museum, National Roman Fabric Reference Collection, Museum of London. The National Roman Fabric Reference Collection: a handbook. London: Museum of London Archaeology Service; 1998.

106.

BGS Rock Classification Scheme - British Geological Survey [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.bgs.ac.uk/bgsrsc/>

107.

Archaeological Geology of Ancient Egypt [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.eeescience.utoledo.edu/Faculty/Harrell/Egypt/AGRHome.html>

108.

Utilitarian Stones [Internet]. 2012. Available from:
<http://escholarship.org/uc/item/77t294df#page-1>

109.

Building Stones [Internet]. 2012. Available from:
<http://escholarship.org/uc/item/3fd124g0?query=building%20stones#page-1>

110.

Pigments through the Ages - detailed pigment histories, recipes [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.webexhibits.org/pigments/>

111.

Mineralogy Database - Mineral Collecting, Localities, Mineral Photos and Data [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.mindat.org/>

112.

Freestone I. Chapter 4: Pliny on Roman glassmaking. Archaeology, history and science: integrating approaches to ancient materials [Internet]. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press; 2008. p. 77-100. Available from:
<http://www.UCL.ebib.com/patron/Read.aspx?p=677776&pg=1>

113.

Freestone I, Huges M, Stapleton C. The composition and production of Anglo-Saxon glass. Catalogue of Anglo-Saxon glass in the British Museum [Internet]. London: British Museum; 2008. p. 29–46. Available from: http://www.britishmuseum.org/research/publications/research_publications_series/2008/catalogue_of_anglo_saxon_glass.aspx

114.

Paynter S, Dungworth D. Archaeological Evidence for Glassworking [Internet]. English Heritage; 2011. Available from: <http://www.english-heritage.org.uk/publications/glassworkingguidelines/glassworking-guidelines.pdf>

115.

Price, J., Cottam, Sally. Romano-British glass vessels: a handbook. York: Council for British Archaeology; 1998.

116.

Strand EA, Frei KM, Gleba M, Mannering U, Nosch ML, Skals I. Old Textiles -- New Possibilities. *European Journal of Archaeology*. 2010;13(2):149–173.

117.

Barber, E. J. W. Prehistoric textiles: the development of cloth in the Neolithic and Bronze Ages with special reference to the Aegean. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 1991.

118.

Jørgensen, Lise Bender. North European textiles until AD 1000. Aarhus: Aarhus University Press; 1992.

119.

Gleba, Margarita, Mannering, Ulla. Textiles & textile production in Europe: from prehistory

to AD 400. Oxford: Oxbow; 2012.

120.

Harris S. Smooth and cool or warm and soft, investigating the properties of cloth in prehistory. | Susanna Harris - Academia.edu. In: Strand A, editor. In North European Symposium for Archaeological Textiles X [Internet]. Oxbow Books; 2010. Available from: http://www.academia.edu/203730/Smooth_and_cool_or_warm_and_soft_investigating_the_properties_of_cloth_in_prehistory

121.

Technological examination of Neolithic-Bronze Age pottery from central and southeast Europe and from the Near East. Journal of Archaeological Science [Internet]. 1981; Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/science/article/pii/0305440381900121>

122.

Orton, Clive, Hughes, Mike. Pottery in archaeology. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013.

123.

Quinn, Patrick S. Ceramic petrography: the interpretation of archaeological pottery & related artefacts in thin section. Oxford: Archaeopress; 2013.

124.

Radivojević M, Rehren T, Pernicka E, Šljivar D, Brauns M, Borić D. On the origins of extractive metallurgy: new evidence from Europe. Journal of Archaeological Science. 2010 Nov;37(11):2775-2787.

125.

Beckmann H. Sophisticated Roman recovery techniques for gold. IAMS Newsletter [Internet]. 1995;19:7-9. Available from: http://www.ucl.ac.uk/iams/newsletter/accordion/journals/iams_19/iams_19_1995_bachman
n

126.

Bachmann, H. G. The identification of slags from archaeological sites. London: Institute of Archaeology; 1982.

127.

Bayley J, Dungworth D, Paynter S. Centre for Archaeology Guidelines: Archaeometallurgy [Internet]. English Heritage; 2001. Available from: <http://www.english-heritage.org.uk/publications>

128.

Rehren T, Schneider J, Bartels C. Medieval lead-silver smelting in the Siegerland, West Germany. *Historical metallurgy: journal of the Historical Metallurgy Society* [Internet]. Sheffield: Historical Metallurgy Society; 1999;33. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=922db862-ce64-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

129.

Wagner D. Introductory orientations: the traditional Chinese iron industry in recent centuries. *Science and civilisation in China: Volume 5: Chemistry and chemical technology*. London: Cambridge University Press; 2008. p. 5–72.

130.

Killick D, Fenn T. Archaeometallurgy: The Study of Preindustrial Mining and Metallurgy. *Annual Review of Anthropology* [Internet]. 2012;41:559–575. Available from: <http://www.annualreviews.org/doi/full/10.1146/annurev-anthro-092611-145719>

131.

Andrefsky, William. *Lithics: macroscopic approaches to analysis*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2005.

132.

Mesoudi A. Cultural Evolution: How Darwinian Theory Can Explain Human Culture and Synthesize the Social Sciences. University of Chicago Press; 2011.

133.

Schick, Kathy Diane, Toth, Nicholas Patrick. Making silent stones speak: human evolution and the dawn of technology. London: Phoenix; 1993.

134.

Whittaker, John C. Flintknapping: making and understanding stone tools. Austin: University of Texas Press; 1994.

135.

Benito-Calvo A, de la Torre I. Analysis of orientation patterns in Olduvai Bed I assemblages using GIS techniques: Implications for site formation processes. Journal of Human Evolution. 2011;61(1):50–60.

136.

Bevan A. Spatial methods for analysing large-scale artefact inventories. Antiquity [Internet]. 2012;86(332):492–506. Available from:
<http://search.proquest.com/docview/1021249050?accountid=14511>

137.

Martinón-Torres M, Li XJ, Bevan A, Xia Y, Kun Z, Rehren T. Making Weapons for the Terracotta Army. Archaeology International. 2011;13.

138.

Tilley C, Hamilton S, Harrison S, Anderson E. Nature, Culture, Clitter: Distinguishing Between Cultural and Geomorphological Landscapes; The Case of Hilltop Tors in South-West England. Journal of Material Culture. 2000;5(2):197–224.

139.

Blankholm, H. P. *Intrasite spatial analysis in theory and practice*. Aarhus: Aarhus University Press; 1991.

140.

BRUGHMANS T. CONNECTING THE DOTS: TOWARDS ARCHAEOLOGICAL NETWORK ANALYSIS. *Oxford Journal of Archaeology*. 2010;29(3):277–303.

141.

Hietala, Harold J. *Intrasite spatial analysis in archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1984.

142.

Hodder, Ian, Orton, Clive. *Spatial analysis in archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1976.

143.

Katsianis M, Tshipidis S, Kotsakis K, Kousoulakou A. A 3D digital workflow for archaeological intra-site research using GIS. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2008;35(3):655–667.

144.

Kroll, Ellen M., Price, T. Douglas, Society for American Archaeology. *The interpretation of archaeological spatial patterning*. New York: Plenum Press; 1991.

145.

Livingood PC, Cordell AS. Point/counter point: the accuracy and feasibility of digital image techniques in the analysis of ceramic thin sections. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2009;36(3):867–872.

146.

Vanzetti et al. A. The iceman as a burial. *Antiquity* [Internet]. Antiquity Publications, Ltd.;

2010;84(325):681–692. Available from:
<http://search.proquest.com/docview/755013317?accountid=14511>

147.

Cathy Lynne Costin. Craft Specialization: Issues in Defining, Documenting, and Explaining the Organization of Production. *Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. Springer; 1991;3:1–56. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/20170212>

148.

Peacock DP. Towards a model for Roman pottery studies. *Pottery in the Roman world: an ethnoarchaeological approach*. London: Longman; 1982. p. 6–11.

149.

Katherine A. Spielmann. Feasting, Craft Specialization, and the Ritual Mode of Production in Small-Scale Societies. *American Anthropologist* [Internet]. Wiley; 2002;104(1):195–207. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/683770>

150.

M. James Blackman, Gil J. Stein and Pamela B. Vandiver. The Standardization Hypothesis and Ceramic Mass Production: Technological, Compositional, and Metric Indexes of Craft Specialization at Tell Leilan, Syria. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 1993;58(1):60–80. Available from:
<http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/281454>

151.

Burri E. Production and use: temper as a marker of domestic production in the case of two middle Neolithic villages in Concise. *Archaeometric and archaeological approaches to ceramics: papers presented at EMAC '05, 8th European meeting on ancient ceramics, Lyon 2005*. Oxford: Archaeopress; 2007. p. 33–39.

152.

Castanzo RA. Ceramics on the Side: Pottery Making as an Augmentation of Household Economy in the Valley of Puebla during the Formative Period. *Archeological Papers of the*

American Anthropological Association. 2009;19(1):133-147.

153.

Cathy Lynne Costin. The Use of Ethnoarchaeology for the Archaeological Study of Ceramic Production. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. Springer; 2000;7(4):377-403. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/20177427>

154.

Freestone I. Glass production in Late Antiquity and the Early Islamic period: a geochemical perspective. *Geomaterials in cultural heritage* [Internet]. 2006;201-216. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_59941.pdf

155.

Freestone I. Pliny on Roman glassmaking. *Archaeology, history and science: integrating approaches to ancient materials* [Internet]. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press; 2008. p. 77-100. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_59937.pdf

156.

Freestone I, Price J, Cartwright C. The batch: its recognition and significance. *Annales du 17e Congrès de l'Association Internationale pour l'Histoire du Verre, Anvers, 2006 =: Annales of the 17th Congress of the International Association for the History of Glass, 2006, Antwerp* [Internet]. Antwerp: University Press Antwerp; 2009. p. 130-135. Available from: http://www.academia.edu/3122507/The_Batch_and_its_Significance

157.

Freestone, Ian, Gaimster, David R. M. *Pottery in the making: world ceramic traditions*. London: British Museum Press; 1997.

158.

Haines HR, Feinman GM, Nicholas LM. HOUSEHOLD ECONOMIC SPECIALIZATION AND SOCIAL DIFFERENTIATION: The stone-tool assemblage at El Palmillo, Oaxaca. *Ancient Mesoamerica*. 2004;15(02):251-266.

159.

Henderson J, McLoughlin SD, McPhail DS. Radical changes in Islamic glass technology: evidence for conservatism and experimentation with new glass recipes from early and middle Islamic Raqqa, Syria. *Archaeometry*. 2004;46(3):439-468.

160.

Henderson et al. J. Experiment and innovation: early Islamic industry at al-Raqqa, Syria. *Antiquity* [Internet]. [Gloucester, Eng.]: Antiquity Publications [etc.]; 2005;79:130-145. Available from:
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=asu&AN=505112931&site=ehost-live&scope=site>

161.

Hodder, Ian, McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research. *Changing materialities at Çatalhöyük: reports from the 1995-99 seasons*. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research; 2005.

162.

Humphris J, Martínón-Torres M, Rehren T, Reid A. Variability in single smelting episodes – a pilot study using iron slag from Uganda. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2009;36(2):359-369.

163.

Martinón-Torres M, Li XJ, Bevan A, Xia Y, Zhao K, Rehren T. Forty Thousand Arms for a Single Emperor: From Chemical Data to the Labor Organization Behind the Bronze Arrows of the Terracotta Army. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory*. 2012;

164.

Peacock, D. P. S., University of Southampton. *Rome in the desert: a symbol of power*. Southampton: University of Southampton; 1992.

165.

Poblome J, Degryse P, Viaene W, Ottenburgs R, Waelkens M, Degeest R, Naud J. The Concept of a Pottery Production Centre. An Archaeometrical Contribution from Ancient Sagalassos. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2002;29(8):873-882.

166.

Rehren T, Pusch E, Herold A. Glass coloring works within a copper-centered industrial complex in Late Bronze Age Egypt. The prehistory & history of glassmaking technology [Internet]. Westerville, OH: American Ceramic Society; 1998. p. 227-250. Available from: http://www.academia.edu/1082340/Glass_coloring_works_within_a_copper-centered_industrial_complex_in_Late_Bronze_Age_Egypt

167.

Rehren T, Pusch E, Herold A. Qantir-Piramesses and the organisation of the Egyptian glass industry. The social context of technological change: Egypt and the Near East, 1650-1550 BC : proceedings of a conference held at St Edmund Hall, Oxford, 12-14 September 2000. Oxford: Oxbow; 2001. p. 223-238.

168.

Rehren T, Martinon-Torres M. Naturam ars imitata: European brassmaking between craft and science. *Archaeology, history and science: integrating approaches to ancient materials* [Internet]. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press; 2008. p. 167-188. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG108_46356.pdf

169.

Prudence M. Rice, William Y. Adams, Joseph W. Ball, Whitney M. Davis, Timothy Earle, Robert E. Fry, Ian Hodder, L. R. V. Joesink-Mandeville, Charles C. Kolb, Masae Nishimura, Yasushi Kojo, Miguel Rivera Dorado, Barbara L. Stark and Sander E. Van Der Leeuw. Evolution of Specialized Pottery Production: A Trial Model [and Comments and Reply]. *Current Anthropology* [Internet]. The University of Chicago Press; 1981;22(3):219-240. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/2742199>

170.

Prudence M. Rice. Recent Ceramic Analysis: 2. Composition, Production, and Theory. *Journal of Archaeological Research* [Internet]. Springer; 1996;4(3):165-202. Available from:

<http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/41053131>

171.

Prudence M. Rice. Late Classic Maya Pottery Production: Review and Synthesis. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. Springer; 2009;16(2):117–156. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/25653117>

172.

Valentine Roux. Ceramic Standardization and Intensity of Production: Quantifying Degrees of Specialization. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 2003;68(4):768–782. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3557072>

173.

Shennan S. Cost, benefit and value in the organization of early European copper production. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 1999;73(280):352–363. Available from: <http://journals.cambridge.org/action/displayAbstract?fromPage=online&aid=9434845&fulltextType=RA&fileId=S0003598X0008830X>

174.

Shortland AJ. The Number, Extent and Distribution of the Vitreous Materials Workshops at Amarna. *Oxford Journal of Archaeology*. 2000;19(2):115–134.

175.

Shortland A, Nicholson P, Jackson C. Glass and faience at Amarna: different methods of both supply for production and subsequent distribution. The social context of technological change: Egypt and the Near East, 1650-1550 BC : proceedings of a conference held at St Edmund Hall, Oxford, 12-14 September 2000. Oxford: Oxbow; 2001.

176.

Beck ME. Midden Ceramic Assemblage Formation: A Case Study from Kalinga, Philippines. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 2006;71(1):27–51. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40035320>

177.

Hardy-Smith T, Edwards PC. The Garbage Crisis in prehistory: artefact discard patterns at the Early Natufian site of Wadi Hammeh 27 and the origins of household refuse disposal strategies. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2004;23(3):253–289.

178.

Schiffer MB. Chapter 1: The nature of archaeological evidence: Chapter 2: The dimensions of artifact variability. Formation processes of the archaeological record [Internet]. Albuquerque, N.M.: University of New Mexico Press; 1987. p. 3–23. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_69460.pdf

179.

Needham, Stuart, Spence, Tony, Serjeantson, D., Sørensen, Marie Louise Stig, Ambers, J., Crummy, Stephen, British Museum. Refuse and disposal at Area 16 East, Runnymede. London: Trustees of the British Museum; 1996.

180.

Lewis R. Binford. Behavioral Archaeology and the 'Pompeii Premise'. *Journal of Anthropological Research* [Internet]. University of New Mexico; 1981;37(3):195–208. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/3629723?&Search=yes&searchText=archaeology&searchText=Behavioral&list=hide&searchUri=%252Faction%252FdoBasicSearch%253FQuery%253DBehavioral%252Barchaeology%252Band%252Bthe%2526Search%253DSearch%2526wc%253Don%2526fc%253Doff%2526globalSearch%253D%2526sbbBox%253D%2526sbjBox%253D%2526sbpBox%253D&prevSearch=&item=17&ttl=9941&returnArticleService=showFullText>

181.

Richard Bradley. The Destruction of Wealth in Later Prehistory. *Man* [Internet]. Royal Anthropological Institute of Great Britain and Ireland; 1982;17(1):108–122. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2802104>

182.

Carmen J. Commodities, rubbish and treasure: valuing archaeological objects. *Archaeological review from Cambridge* [Internet]. Cambridge: University of Cambridge; 1990;9:195–207. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_47259.pdf

183.

Chapman, John. *Fragmentation in archaeology: people, places, and broken objects in the prehistory of south-eastern Europe*. London: Routledge; 2000.

184.

Chapman, John, Gaydarska, Bisserka. *Parts and wholes: fragmentation in prehistoric context*. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2007.

185.

Deal M. Household pottery disposal in the Maya highlands: An ethnoarchaeological interpretation. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* [Internet]. 1985;243–291. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/027841658590008X>

186.

Deal M, Hagstrum MB. Ceramic reuse behavior among the Maya and Wanka: implications for archaeology. *Expanding archaeology* [Internet]. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 1995. p. 111–125. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG112_45626.pdf

187.

Hayden B, Cannon A. Where the garbage goes: Refuse disposal in the Maya Highlands. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* [Internet]. 1983;117–163. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0278416583900107>

188.

Hill, J. D. *Ritual and rubbish in the Iron Age of Wessex: a study on the formation of a specific archaeological record*. Oxford: Tempus Reparatum; 1995.

189.

Hutson SR, Stanton TW. Cultural Logic and Practical Reason: the Structure of Discard in Ancient Maya Houselots. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*. 2007;17(02).

190.

Charles H. LeeDecker. Discard Behavior on Domestic Historic Sites: Evaluation of Contexts for the Interpretation of Household Consumption Patterns. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. Springer; 1994;1(4):345–375. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20177317>

191.

Martin L, Russell N. Trashing rubbish. Towards reflexive method in archaeology: the example at Çatalhöyük : by members of the Çatalhöyük team [Internet]. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research; 2000. p. 57–69. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_42230.pdf

192.

Moore, Henrietta L. Space, text, and gender: an anthropological study of the Marakwet of Kenya. New York: Guilford Press; 1996.

193.

Olivier L. The Hochdorf 'princely' grave and the question of the nature of archaeological funerary assemblages. *Time and archaeology*. London: Routledge; 1999. p. 109–138.

194.

Patrik L. Is there an archaeological record? *Advances in archaeological method and theory* [Internet]. New York: Academic Press; 1985;8:27–62. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20170186>

195.

Pounds, Norman John Greville. *Hearth & home: a history of material culture*. Bloomington, [Ind.]: Indiana University Press; 1989.

196.

Michael B. Schiffer. *Archaeological Context and Systemic Context*. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 1972;37(2):156–165. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/278203>

197.

Schiffer, Michael B. *Formation processes of the archaeological record*. Albuquerque, N.M.: University of New Mexico Press; 1987.

198.

Schofield, A. J., Theoretical Archaeology Group (England). *Interpreting artefact scatters: contributions to ploughzone archaeology*. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 1991.

199.

Michael J. Shott. *Status and Role of Formation Theory in Contemporary Archaeological Practice*. *Journal of Archaeological Research* [Internet]. Springer; 1998;6(4):299–329. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41053161>

200.

Sommer U. *Dirt theory, or archaeological sites seen as rubbish heaps*. *Journal of theoretical archaeology*. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 1990;1:47–60.

201.

Staski, Edward, Sutro, Livingston D. *The ethnoarchaeology of refuse disposal*. [Tempe, Ariz.]: Arizona State University; 1991.

202.

Thompson, M. Rubbish theory: the creation and destruction of value. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1979.

203.

Walker W. Ceremonial trash? Expanding archaeology [Internet]. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 1995. p. 67–79. Available from: http://www.academia.edu/2519493/Ceremonial_Trash

204.

Wilson DC. Identification and assessment of secondary refuse aggregates. Journal of archaeological method and theory [Internet]. New York, N.Y.: Plenum Pub. Corp; 1994;1(1):41–68. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20177304>

205.

Woodward A. Beads and beakers: Heirlooms and relics in the British Early Bronze Age. Antiquity [Internet]. Antiquity Publications, Ltd.; 2002;76(294):1040–1047. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/217553487/140B1C511961F1AEDFE/1?accountid=14511>

206.

Chris Gosden. What Do Objects Want? Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory [Internet]. Springer; 2005;12(3):193–211. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/20177516>

207.

Jones A. Archaeometry and materiality: materials-based analysis in theory and practice. Archaeometry. 2004;46(3):327–338.

208.

Renfrew C. Towards a theory of material engagement. Rethinking materiality: the engagement of mind with the material world [Internet]. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research; 2004. p. 23–31. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45081.pdf

209.

Buchli V. Material culture: current problems. A companion to social archaeology [Internet]. Malden, Mass: Blackwell; 2004. p. 179–194. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45076.pdf

210.

Chilton, Elizabeth S. Material meanings: critical approaches to the interpretation of material culture. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 1999.

211.

Cole, Michael. Cultural psychology: a once and future discipline. Cambridge, Mass: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press; 1996.

212.

DeAtley SP, Bishop RL. Toward an integrated interface for archaeology and archaeometry. The ceramic legacy of Anna O Shepard [Internet]. Niwot, Colo: University Press of Colorado; 1991. p. 358–381. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=d6a7df24-c164-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

213.

Dobres, Marcia-Anne. Technology and social agency: outlining a practice framework for archaeology. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers; 2000.

214.

Dobres MA, Hoffman CR. Social agency and the dynamics of prehistoric technology. Journal of archaeological method and theory [Internet]. New York: Plenum Press; 1994;1(3):211–258. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2028_47158.pdf

215.

Dobres, Marcia-Anne, Hoffman, Christopher R. The social dynamics of technology: practice,

politics, and world views. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press; 1999.

216.

Foucault, Michel. *Discipline and punish: the birth of the prison*. 2nd Vintage Books ed. New York: Vintage Books; 1995.

217.

Alfred Gell. *Technology and Magic*. *Anthropology Today* [Internet]. Royal Anthropological Institute of Great Britain and Ireland; 1988;4(2):6–9. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/3033230>

218.

Gell, Alfred. *Art and agency: an anthropological theory*. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1998.

219.

Olivier P. Gosselain. *Technology and Style: Potters and Pottery Among Bafia of Cameroon*. *Man* [Internet]. Royal Anthropological Institute of Great Britain and Ireland; 1992;27(3):559–586. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/2803929>

220.

Hodder I. *Neo-thingness*. *Explaining social change: studies in honour of Colin Renfrew* [Internet]. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research; 2004. p. 45–52. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45075.pdf

221.

Hosler, Dorothy. *The sounds and colors of power: the sacred metallurgical technology of ancient West Mexico*. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press; 1994.

222.

Ingold T. Materials against materiality. *Archaeological Dialogues*. 2007;14(01).

223.

Jones, Andrew. *Archaeological theory and scientific practice*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2001.

224.

Jones, Andrew. *Memory and material culture*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2007.

225.

Lechtman H. Style in technology - some early thoughts. *Material culture: styles, organization, and dynamics of technology* [Internet]. St. Paul: West Pub. Co; 1977. p. 3-20. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_47265.pdf

226.

Lemonnier P. The study of material culture today: Toward an anthropology of technical systems. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* [Internet]. 1986; Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/science/article/pii/0278416586900127>

227.

Lemonnier, Pierre. *Technological choices: transformation in material cultures since the Neolithic*. London: Routledge; 1993.

228.

Lemonnier, Pierre. *Elements for an anthropology of technology*. Ann Arbor, Mich: Museum of Anthropology, University of Michigan; 1992.

229.

Andrew Martin. Agents in Inter-Action: Bruno Latour and Agency. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. Springer; 2005;12(4):283-311. Available from:

<http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/20177522>

230.

Mauss M. Body techniques. *Sociology and psychology: essays* [Internet]. London [etc.]: Routledge and Kegan Paul; 1979. p. 97–135. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG120_47365.pdf

231.

Miller D. Artefacts and the meaning of things. *Companion encyclopedia of anthropology*. [New ed.]. London: Routledge; 2002. p. 420–459.

232.

Morphy H. Art as a mode of action. Some problems with Gell's art and agency. *Journal of material culture* [Internet]. 2009;14(5):5–27. Available from: <http://www.swetswise.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/FullTextProxy/swproxy?url=http%3A%2F%2Fmcu.sagepub.com%2Fcgi%2F reprint%2F14%2F1%2F5.pdf%3Fxdata%3D144082108120&ts=1380019139412&cs=1823710415&userName=8080038.ipdirect&emCondId=3344&articleID=39955852&yevold=2440547&titleID=113641&remoteAddr=144.82.108.120&hostType=PRO>

233.

Nanoglu S. Regional perspectives on the Neolithic anthropomorphic imagery of Northern Greece. *Journal of Mediterranean archaeology* [Internet]. Sheffield, England: Sheffield Academic Press; 2006;19(2):155–176. Available from: <https://www-equinoxpub-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/journals/index.php/JMA/article/view/3188/2071>

234.

Bryan Pfaffenberger. Fetishised Objects and Humanised Nature: Towards an Anthropology of Technology. *Man* [Internet]. Royal Anthropological Institute of Great Britain and Ireland; 1988;23(2):236–252. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/2802804>

235.

Bryan Pfaffenberger. Social Anthropology of Technology. Annual Review of Anthropology [Internet]. Annual Reviews; 1992;21:491–516. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/2155997>

236.

Schiffer, Michael B., Miller, Andrea R. The material life of human beings: artifacts, behavior, and communication. London: Routledge; 1999.

237.

Schiffer, Michael B. Anthropological perspectives on technology. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press; 2001.

238.

Sigaut F. Technology. Companion encyclopedia of anthropology [Internet]. [New ed.]. London: Routledge; 2002. p. 420–459. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG192_51976

239.

Mauss, Marcel, Schlinger, Nathan. Techniques, technology and civilisation. New York: Durkheim Press/Berghahn Books; 2006.

240.

SILLAR B, TITE MS. THE CHALLENGE OF 'TECHNOLOGICAL CHOICES' FOR MATERIALS SCIENCE APPROACHES IN ARCHAEOLOGY. Archaeometry. 2000;42(1):2–20.

241.

Sillar B. The Social Agency of Things? Animism and Materiality in the Andes. Cambridge Archaeological Journal. 2009 Oct;19(03).

242.

Appadurai A. Introduction: commodities and the politics of value. *The social life of things: commodities in cultural perspective*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1986. p. 3–63.

243.

Earle T. Exchange systems in prehistory. *Trade and exchange: archaeological studies from history and prehistory*. New York: Springer; 2010. p. 205–217.

244.

Renfrew C. Trade as action at a distance. *Ancient civilization and trade* [Internet]. 1st ed. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press; 1975. p. 3–59. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1007_49263.pdf

245.

Sahlins M. On the sociology of primitive exchange. *Stone Age economics* [Internet]. London: Routledge; 1974. p. 185–275. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_69459.pdf

246.

Hughes M. *Tracing to source. Science and the past*. London: British Museum Press; 1991.

247.

Wilson L, Pollard AM. The provenance hypothesis. *Handbook of archaeological sciences*. Chichester: John Wiley; 2001. p. 507–517.

248.

Tykot RH. Archaeological provenance studies. *Physics methods in archaeometry*. Amsterdam: IOS Press; 2004. p. 407–432.

249.

Appadurai A. Introduction: commodities and the politics of value. *The social life of things: commodities in cultural perspective* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1986. p. 3–63. Available from:
http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_47283.pdf

250.

Dillian, Carolyn D., White, Carolyn L. *Trade and exchange: archaeological studies from history and prehistory*. New York: Springer; 2010.

251.

Minc LD. Monitoring regional market systems in prehistory: Models, methods, and metrics. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2006;25(1):82–116.

252.

Perlès C. Systems of exchange and organization of production in Neolithic Greece. *Journal of mediterranean archaeology*. Sheffield, England: Sheffield Academic Press; 1988;5(2):115–164.

253.

Renfrew C. *Trade as action at a distance. Ancient civilization and trade* [Internet]. 1st ed. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press; 1975. p. 3–59. Available from:
http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1007_49263.pdf

254.

Sahlins, Marshall David. *Stone Age economics*. London: Routledge; 2004.

255.

Crump, Thomas. *The phenomenon of money*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul; 1981.

256.

George Dalton. Theoretical Issues in Economic Anthropology. *Current Anthropology* [Internet]. The University of Chicago Press; 1969;10(1):63–102. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2740685?&Search=yes&searchText=anthropology&searchText=issues&searchText=Theoretical&searchText=economic&list=hide&searchUri=%252Faction%252FdoBasicSearch%253FQuery%253DTheoretical%252Bissues%252Bin%252Beconomic%252Banthropology%2526acc%253Don%2526wc%253Don%2526fc%253Doff&prevSearch=&item=6&tll=42299&returnArticleService=showFullText>

257.

John H. Dowling. The Goodfellows vs. the Dalton Gang: The Assumptions of Economic Anthropology. *Journal of Anthropological Research* [Internet]. University of New Mexico; 1979;35(3):292–308. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3629904>

258.

Earle T. Production and exchange in prehistory. *Companion encyclopedia of archaeology* [Internet]. London: Routledge; 1999. p. 608–635. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45082.pdf

259.

C. A. Gregory. Gifts to Men and Gifts to God: Gift Exchange and Capital Accumulation in Contemporary Papua. *Man* [Internet]. Royal Anthropological Institute of Great Britain and Ireland; 1980;15(4):626–652. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/2801537>

260.

Gregory CA. Exchange and reciprocity. *Companion encyclopedia of anthropology*. [New ed.]. London: Routledge; 2002. p. 911–933.

261.

Mauss, Marcel. *The gift: the form and reason for exchange in archaic societies*. London: Routledge; 2002.

262.

Polanyi, Karl. Trade and market in the early empires: economies in history and theory. Glencoe, Ill: Free Press;

263.

Monica L. Smith. The Role of Ordinary Goods in Premodern Exchange. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. Springer; 1999;6(2):109–135. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/20177399>

264.

Weiner, Annette B. Inalienable possessions: the paradox of keeping-while-giving. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1992.

265.

Adams NK. Political affinities and economic fluctuations: the evidence from textiles. *Ancient textiles: production, craft and society : proceedings of the First International Conference on Ancient Textiles, held at Lund, Sweden, and Copenhagen, Denmark, on March 19-23, 2003* [Internet]. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2007. p. 201–207. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_47270.pdf

266.

Bamforth DB, Woodman PC. Tool hoards and Neolithic use of the landscape in north-eastern Ireland. *Oxford Journal of Archaeology*. 2004;23(1):21–44.

267.

Barrett JC, Needham SP. Production, circulation and exchange: problems in the interpretation of Bronze Age bronzework. *The Archaeology of context in the Neolithic and Bronze Age: recent trends* [Internet]. Sheffield: Department of Archaeology and Prehistory, University of Sheffield; 1988. p. 127–140. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45069.pdf

268.

Bell C. Wheels within wheels? A view of Mycenaean trade from the Levantine emporia. *Emporia: Aegeans in the central and eastern Mediterranean : proceedings of the 10th*

International Aegean Conference/10e Rencontre égéenne internationale, Athens, Italian School of Archaeology, 14-18 April 2004. Liège: Université de Liège, Histoire de l'art et archéologie de la Grèce antique; 2005. p. 363-370.

269.

Bradley, Richard, Edmonds, M. R. Interpreting the axe trade: production and exchange in Neolithic Britain. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1993.

270.

Clough, T. H. McK., Cummins, W. A. Stone axe studies: Volume 2: The petrology of prehistoric stone implements from the British Isles. London: Council for British Archaeology; 1988.

271.

Cooney, Gabriel, Mandal, Stephen, Byrnes, Emmet, O'Carroll, Finola. The Irish Stone Axe Project: Monograph I. Bray: Wordwell; 1998.

272.

Cochrane EE, Neff H. Investigating compositional diversity among Fijian ceramics with laser ablation-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS): implications for interaction studies on geologically similar islands. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2006;33(3):378-390.

273.

Crawford, Michael H. Coinage and money under the Roman Republic: Italy and the Mediterranean economy. London: Methuen; 1985.

274.

Creighton, John. Coins and power in late Iron Age Britain. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2000.

275.

Dietler M. Rituals of commensality and the politics of state formation in the 'Princely' societies of Early Iron Age Europe. *Les princes de la protohistoire et l'émergence de l'Etat: actes de la table ronde internationale organisée par le Centre Jean Bérard et l'Ecole française de Rome, Naples, 27-29 octobre 1994* [Internet]. Naples: Centre J. Bérard; 1999. p. 135–152. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_59937.pdf

276.

Dietler, Michael. *Archaeologies of colonialism: consumption, entanglement, and violence in ancient Mediterranean France*. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2010.

277.

Edmonds, M. R. *Stone tools and society: working stone in Neolithic and Bronze Age Britain*. London: Routledge; 1995.

278.

Frankenstein S, Rowlands M. *The Internal Structure and regional Context of Early Iron Age Society in South-Western Germany. Social transformations in archaeology: global and local perspectives*. London: Routledge; 1998.

279.

Philip Grierson. *Commerce in the Dark Ages: A Critique of the Evidence*. *Transactions of the Royal Historical Society* [Internet]. Royal Historical Society; 1959;9:123–140. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3678808>

280.

Kenneth G. Hirth. *Political Economy and Archaeology: Perspectives on Exchange and Production*. *Journal of Archaeological Research* [Internet]. Springer; 1996;4(3):203–239. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41053132>

281.

Ian Hodder. Regression Analysis of Some Trade and Marketing Patterns. *World Archaeology* [Internet]. Taylor & Francis, Ltd.; 1974;6(2):172–189. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/124001>

282.

Hodder, Ian, Orton, Clive. *Spatial analysis in archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1976.

283.

Christopher Howgego. The Supply and Use of Money in the Roman World 200 B.C. to A.D. 300. *The Journal of Roman Studies* [Internet]. Society for the Promotion of Roman Studies; 1992;82:1–31. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/301282>

284.

Lo Cascio E. How did the Romans view their coinage and its function? Coin finds and coin use in the Roman world: the thirteenth Oxford Symposium on Coinage and Monetary History, 25-27 1993 : a NATO advanced research workshop. Berlin: Gebr. Mann Verlag; 1996. p. 273–287.

285.

Minc LD. Monitoring regional market systems in prehistory: Models, methods, and metrics. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2006;25(1):82–116.

286.

Needham S. Displacement and exchange in archaeological methodology. Trade and exchange in prehistoric Europe: proceedings of a conference held at the University of Bristol, April 1992 [Internet]. Oxford: published by Oxbow Books in association with the Prehistoric Society and the Société préhistorique française; 1993. p. 161–169. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=d834d987-1564-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

287.

Parkinson WA. Tribal boundaries: Stylistic variability and social boundary maintenance during the transition to the Copper Age on the Great Hungarian Plain. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2006;25(1):33–58.

288.

Peacock, D. P. S., Williams, D. F. *Amphorae and the Roman economy: an introductory guide*. London: Longman; 1986.

289.

Perlés C. Systems of exchange and organization of production in Neolithic Greece. *Journal of Mediterranean archaeology* [Internet]. Sheffield, England: Sheffield Academic Press; 1992;5(2):115–164. Available from:
http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_47267.pdf

290.

Stein GJ, Hollander D, Schwartz M. Reconstructing Mesopotamian Exchange Networks in the 4th Millennium BC : Geochemical and Archaeological Analyses of Bitumen Artifacts from Hacinebi Tepe, Turkey. *Paléorient*. 1999;25(1):67–82.

291.

Shennan S. Commodities, transactions and growth in the Central European Early Bronze Age. *European journal of archaeology* [Internet]. London: Sage Publications; 1993;1(2):59–72. Available from:
http://metalib.ucl.ac.uk:9003/sfx_local?url_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx_enc=info:ofi/enc:UTF-8&rfr_id=info:sid/sfxit.com:opac_856&url_ctx_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:ctx&sfx.ignore_date_threshold=1&rft.object_id=963017837284&svc_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:sch_svc&

292.

Sherratt S. Eppur si muove: Pots, markets, and values in the second-millennium Mediterranean. The complex past of pottery: production, circulation and consumption of Mycenaean and Greek pottery (sixteenth to early fifth centuries BC) : proceedings of the ARCHON international conference, held in Amsterdam, 8-9 November 1996. Amsterdam: J.C. Gieben; 1999. p. 163–211.

293.

Sherratt AG, Sherratt ES. From luxuries to commodities: The nature of Mediterranean Bronze Age trading systems. *Bronze age trade in the Mediterranean: papers presented at the Conference held at Rewley House, Oxford, in December 1989*. Jonsered: Åstrom; 1991. p. 351–386.

294.

Sillar B. Reputable pots and disreputable potters: Individual and community choice in present-day pottery production and exchange in the Andes. *Not so much a pot, more a way of life: current approaches to artefact analysis in archaeology*. Oxford: Oxbow; 1997. p. 1–20.

295.

Van Der Leuw S. Some notes from the potter's point of view. *The complex past of pottery: production, circulation and consumption of Mycenaean and Greek pottery (sixteenth to early fifth centuries BC) : proceedings of the ARCHON international conference, held in Amsterdam, 8-9 November 1996*. Amsterdam: J.C. Gieben; 1999. p. 115–132.

296.

Woolf G. World-systems analysis and the Roman empire. *Journal of Roman archaeology* [Internet]. [Ann Arbor, Mich: Editorial Committee of the Journal of Roman Archaeology; 1999;3:44–58. Available from:
<http://berlinarchaeology.files.wordpress.com/2013/01/woolf-1990.pdf>

297.

Flintsource.Net [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.flintsource.net/>

298.

IAOS World Obsidian Source Catalog [Internet]. Available from:
http://www.obsidianlab.com/sourcecatalog/s_home.html

299.

FREUND KP. AN ASSESSMENT OF THE CURRENT APPLICATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS OF OBSIDIAN SOURCING STUDIES IN ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH. *Archaeometry*. 2013;55(5):779-793.

300.

Gratuze B. Obsidian Characterization by Laser Ablation ICP-MS and its Application to Prehistoric Trade in the Mediterranean and the Near East: Sources and Distribution of Obsidian within the Aegean and Anatolia. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1999;26(8):869-881.

301.

Herz N. Sourcing lithic artefacts by instrumental analysis. *Earth sciences and archaeology*. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; 2001. p. 449-472.

302.

Huckell BB, Kilby JD, Boulanger MT, Glascock MD. Sentinel Butte: neutron activation analysis of White River Group chert from a primary source and artifacts from a Clovis cache in North Dakota, USA. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2011;38(5):965-976.

303.

Maniatis Y. Scientific techniques and methodologies for the provenance of white marble. *Physics methods in archaeometry*. Amsterdam: IOS Press; 2004. p. 179-202.

304.

Renfrew C, Dixon JE, Cann JR. Obsidian and early cultural contact in the Near East. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society*. London: Prehistoric Society; 1966 Dec;32:30-72.

305.

Pollard, A. M., Heron, Carl, Royal Society of Chemistry (Great Britain). *Archaeological chemistry*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Royal Society of Chemistry; 2008.

306.

Michael E. Smith, Adrian L. Burke, Timothy S. Hare and Michael D. Glascock. Sources of Imported Obsidian at Postclassic Sites in the Yauhtepec Valley, Morelos: A Characterization Study Using XRF and INAA. *Latin American Antiquity* [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 2007;18(4):429–450. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25478196>

307.

Thorpe RS. The geological sources and transport of the bluestones of Stonehenge, Wiltshire, U.K. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society*. London: Prehistoric Society; 1991;103–157.

308.

Blomster JP. Olmec Pottery Production and Export in Ancient Mexico Determined Through Elemental Analysis. *Science*. 2005;307(5712):1068–1072.

309.

Day PM, Kiriati E, Tsolakidou A, Kilikoglou V. Group Therapy in Crete: A Comparison Between Analyses by NAA and Thin Section Petrography of Early Minoan Pottery. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1999;26(8):1025–1036.

310.

Patrick E. McGovern, Thomas L. Sever, J. Wilson Myers, Eleanor Emlen Myers, Bruce Bevan, Naomi F. Miller, S. Bottema, Hitomi Hongo, Richard H. Meadow, Peter Ian Kuniholm, S. G. E. Bowman, M. N. Leese, R. E. M. Hedges, Frederick R. Matson, Ian C. Freestone, Sarah J. Vaughan, Julian Henderson, Pamela B. Vandiver, Charles S. Tumosa, Curt W. Beck, Patricia Smith, A. M. Child, A. M. Pollard, Ingolf Thuesen and Catherine Sease. Science in Archaeology: A Review. *American Journal of Archaeology* [Internet]. Archaeological Institute of America; 1995;99(1):79–142. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/506880>

311.

Morris EL, Woodward A. Ceramic petrology and prehistoric pottery in the UK. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society*. London: Prehistoric Society; 2003 Jan;69:279–304.

312.

Peacock DPS. Neolithic pottery production in Cornwall. *Antiquity* [Internet]. [Gloucester, Eng.]: Antiquity Publications [etc.]; 1969;43(170):145-149. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/1293745764?accountid=14511>

313.

Gale NH. A response to the paper of A. M. Pollard. *From mine to microscope: advances in the study of ancient technology*. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2009. p. 191-196.

314.

Gale NH, Stos-Gale Z. Lead isotope analyses applied to provenance studies. *Modern analytical methods in art and archaeology*. New York: Wiley; 2000. p. 503-584.

315.

GUERRA MF, CALLIGARO T, PEREA A. THE TREASURE OF GUARRAZAR: TRACING THE GOLD SUPPLIES IN THE VISIGOTHIC IBERIAN PENINSULA. *Archaeometry*. 2007;49(1):53-74.

316.

Krause, Rüdiger. *Studien zur kupfer- und frühbronzezeitlichen Metallurgie zwischen Karpatenbecken und Ostsee*. Rahden/Westf: Leidorf; 2003.

317.

Pernicka E. *Archaeometallurgy: examples of the application of scientific methods to the provenance of archaeological metal objects*. Physics methods in archaeometry. Amsterdam: IOS Press; 2004. p. 309-329.

318.

Pollard AM. What a long, strange Trip it's been: lead Isotopes and Archaeology. *From mine to microscope: advances in the study of ancient technology*. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2009. p. 181-189.

319.

Stos-Gale Z. Across the wine dark seas.... sailor tinkers and royal cargoes in the late Bronze Age Eastern Mediterranean. From mine to microscope: advances in the study of ancient technology. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2009. p. 163–180.

320.

Calligaro T, Dran JC, Poirot JP, Querré G, Salomon J, Zwaan JC. PIXE/PIGE characterisation of emeralds using an external micro-beam. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms. 2000;161–163:769–774.

321.

Calligaro T, Colinart S, Poirot JP, Sudres C. Combined external-beam PIXE and μ -Raman characterisation of garnets used in Merovingian jewellery. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms. 2002;189(1–4):320–327.

322.

Gratuze B, Janssens K. Provenance analysis of glass artefacts. Non-destructive microanalysis of cultural heritage materials. Amsterdam, London: Elsevier; 2004. p. 663–712.

323.

Popelka-Filcoff RS, Miksa EJ, Robertson JD, Glascock MD, Wallace H. Elemental analysis and characterization of ochre sources from Southern Arizona. Journal of Archaeological Science. 2008;35(3):752–762.

324.

Robertshaw P, Wood M, Melchiorre E, Popelka-Filcoff RS, Glascock MD. Southern African glass beads: chemistry, glass sources and patterns of trade. Journal of Archaeological Science. 2010;37(8):1898–1912.

325.

Stein GJ, Hollander D, Schwartz M. Reconstructing Mesopotamian Exchange Networks in the 4th Millennium BC : Geochemical and Archaeological Analyses of Bitumen Artifacts from Hacinebi Tepe, Turkey. *Paléorient*. 1999;25(1):67–82.

326.

Weigand PC. Turquoise sources and source analysis: Mesoamerica and the southwestern USA. *Exchange systems in prehistory*. New York: Academic Press; 1977. p. 15–34.

327.

Eerkens JW, Lipo CP. Cultural transmission, copying errors, and the generation of variation in material culture and the archaeological record. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2005;24(4):316–334.

328.

Brian Hayden. Practical and Prestige Technologies: The Evolution of Material Systems. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. Springer; 1998;5(1):1–55. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/20177377>

329.

David Wengrow. The Evolution of Simplicity: Aesthetic Labour and Social Change in the Neolithic Near East. *World Archaeology* [Internet]. Taylor & Francis, Ltd.; 2001;33(2):168–188. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/827897>

330.

Bailey GN. Concepts, time-scales and explanations in economic prehistory. *Economic archaeology: towards an integration of ecological and social approaches* [Internet]. Oxford: B.A.R.; 1981. p. 97–117. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45090.pdf

331.

Barnett, William, Hoopes, John W. The emergence of pottery: technology and innovation in ancient societies. Washington [D.C.]: Smithsonian Institution Press; 1995.

332.

Basalla, George. The evolution of technology. Cambridge University Press; 1988.

333.

Bayley J. Innovation in later medieval urban metalworking. *Historical metallurgy: journal of the Historical Metallurgy Society* [Internet]. Sheffield: Historical Metallurgy Society; 1996;30:67–71. Available from:
http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45091.pdf

334.

Blackman MJ, Stein GJ, Vandiver PB. The Standardization Hypothesis and Ceramic Mass Production: Technological, Compositional, and Metric Indexes of Craft Specialization at Tell Leilan, Syria. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 1993;58(1):60–80. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/281454>

335.

Charlton MF, Crew P, Rehren T, Shennan SJ. Explaining the evolution of ironmaking recipes – An example from northwest Wales. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2010;29(3):352–367.

336.

Costin C. The impact of the Inca conquest on local technology in the Upper Mantaro Valley, Peru. *What's new?: a closer look at the process of innovation*. London: Unwin Hyman; 1989. p. 107–139.

337.

Crossley D. The English glassmaker and his search for materials in the 16th and 17th centuries. *The prehistory & history of glassmaking technology* [Internet]. Westerville, OH: American Ceramic Society; 1998. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=5e208207-d864-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

338.

Fitzhugh B. Risk and Invention in Human Technological Evolution. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2001;20(2):125–167.

339.

Brian Hayden. Practical and Prestige Technologies: The Evolution of Material Systems. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. Springer; 1998;5(1):1–55. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/20177377>

340.

Henderson et al. J. Experiment and innovation: early Islamic industry at al-Raqqqa, Syria. *Antiquity* [Internet]. [Gloucester, Eng.]: Antiquity Publications [etc.]; 2005;79:130–145. Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=asu&AN=505112931&site=ehost-live&scope=site>

341.

Humphris J, Martín-Torres M, Rehren T, Reid A. Variability in single smelting episodes – a pilot study using iron slag from Uganda. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2009;36(2):359–369.

342.

Knecht H. The role of innovation in changing early upper paleolithic organic projectile technologies - ResearchGate. *Techniques et Culture* [Internet]. 1991;115–144. Available from: http://www.researchgate.net/publication/30453447_The_role_of_innovation_in_changing_early_upper_paleolithic_organic_projectile_technologies

343.

Lechtman H. Andean value systems and the development of prehistoric metallurgy. *Technology and culture* [Internet]. Baltimore, MD: John Hopkins University Press;

1984;25:1-36. Available from: <http://muse.jhu.edu/journals/tech/>

344.

Lesick, Kurtis, University of Calgary. Eureka: the archaeology of innovation & science : proceedings of the twenty-ninth annual conference of the Archaeological Association of the University of Calgary. Calgary: Archaeological Association of the University of Calgary; 2002.

345.

Loney HL. Society and Technological Control: A Critical Review of Models of Technological Change in Ceramic Studies. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 2000;65(4):646-668. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2694420>

346.

Martinón-Torres M, Li XJ, Bevan A, Xia Y, Kun Z, Rehren T. Making Weapons for the Terracotta Army. *Archaeology International*. 2011;13.

347.

Martinón-Torres M. Inside Solomon's House: An Archaeological Study of the Old Ashmolean Chymical Laboratory in Oxford*. *Ambix*. 2012;59(1):22-48.

348.

Martinon-Torres M, Rehren T. Post-medieval crucible production and distribution: a study of materials and materialities. *Archaeometry* [Internet]. 2009;51(1):49-74. Available from: http://www.ucl.ac.uk/archaeology/people/staff/rehren/usercontent_profile/MMTRehrenMaterialityCruciblesAmetry51.pdf

349.

Mellars P. Technological changes across the Middle-upper Palaeolithic transition: economic, social and cognitive perspectives. *The Human revolution: behavioural and biological perspectives on the origins of modern humans* [Internet]. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press; 1989. p. 338-365. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=697b38f3-ba64-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

350.

Moorey PR. The mobility of artisans and opportunities for technology transfer between Western Asia and Egypt in the Late Bronze Age. The social context of technological change: Egypt and the Near East, 1650-1550 BC : proceedings of a conference held at St Edmund Hall, Oxford, 12-14 September 2000. Oxford: Oxbow; 2001. p. 1-14.

351.

Margaret C. Nelson. The Study of Technological Organization. *Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. Springer; 1991;3:57-100. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/20170213>

352.

Michael J. O'Brien, Thomas D. Holland, Robert J. Hoard and Gregory L. Fox. Evolutionary Implications of Design and Performance Characteristics of Prehistoric Pottery. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. Springer; 1994;1(3):259-304. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/20177313>

353.

Raymond, Robert. *Out of the fiery furnace: the impact of metals on the history of mankind*. University Park: Pennsylvania State UP; 1986.

354.

Rehder JE. Blowpipes versus bellows in ancient metallurgy. *Journal of Field Archaeology* [Internet]. 1994;21(3). Available from: <http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/maney/jfa/1994/00000021/00000003/art00005>

355.

Rehren T, Martinon-Torres M. *Naturam ars imitata: European brassmaking between craft and science*. *Archaeology, history and science: integrating approaches to ancient materials* [Internet]. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press; 2008. p. 167-188. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG108_46356.pdf

356.

Rehren T, Pusch E, Herold A. Glass coloring works within a copper-centered industrial complex in Late Bronze Age Egypt. *The prehistory & history of glassmaking technology* [Internet]. Westerville, OH: American Ceramic Society; 1998. p. 227–250. Available from: http://www.academia.edu/1082340/Glass_coloring_works_within_a_copper-centered_industrial_complex_in_Late_Bronze_Age_Egypt

357.

Roux V. Ceramic Standardization and Intensity of Production: Quantifying Degrees of Specialization. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 2003;68(4):768–782. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3557072>

358.

Schiffer MB. *The explanation of long-term technological change. Anthropological perspectives on technology*. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press; 2001. p. 215–235.

359.

Schiffer, Michael B. *Studying technological change: a behavioral approach*. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 2011.

360.

S. J. Shennan and J. R. Wilkinson. Ceramic Style Change and Neutral Evolution: A Case Study from Neolithic Europe. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 2001;66(4):577–593. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2694174>

361.

Shennan, Stephen. *Genes, memes and human history: Darwinian archaeology and cultural evolution*. London: Thames & Hudson; 2002.

362.

Shortland AJ. Hopeful monsters? Invention and innovation in the archaeological record. *Invention and innovation: the social context of technological change*, 2: Egypt, the Aegean

and the Near East, 1650-1150 BC [Internet]. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2004. p. 1-11.
Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=466f3fbb-b764-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

363.

Torrence, Robin, Leeuw, Sander Ernst van der. What's new?: a closer look at the process of innovation. London: Unwin Hyman; 1989.

364.

Van der Leeuw SE, Papousek DA, Coudart A. Technical traditions and unquestioned assumptions : the case of pottery in Michoacan. Techniques & culture. 1992;(17-18).

365.

STRAND B - RESEARCH DESIGN AND MATERIALS ANALYSIS.

366.

Archaeometry - Volume 49, Issue 2 - May 2007 - Wiley Online Library. Available from:
<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/doi/10.1111/arch.2007.49.issue-2/issuetoc;jsessionid=C29BB0DA1059927413EA82D1C17CC253.d03t04>

367.

Archaeometry - Volume 50, Issue 6 - December 2008 - Wiley Online Library. Available from:
<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/doi/10.1111/arch.2008.50.issue-6/issuetoc>

368.

Archaeometry - Volume 50, Issue 6 - December 2008 - Wiley Online Library. Available from:
<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/doi/10.1111/arch.2008.50.issue-6/issuetoc>

369.

Artioli G, Angelini I. Scientific methods and cultural heritage: an introduction to the application of materials science to archaeometry and conservation science. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2010.

370.

Bowman S, British Museum. Department of Scientific Research. Science and the past [Internet]. Toronto, Ont: University of Toronto Press; 1991. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.3138/j.ctt2tv44s>

371.

Brothwell DR, Pollard AM. Handbook of archaeological sciences. Chichester: John Wiley; 2001.

372.

Demortier G, Adriaens A, European Cooperation in the Field of Scientific and Technical Research (Organization), European Commission. Ion beam study of art and archaeological objects. Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities; 2000.

373.

Lambert JB. Traces of the past: unraveling the secrets of archaeology through chemistry. Cambridge, Mass: Perseus; 1997.

374.

Ciliberto E, Spoto G. Modern analytical methods in art and archaeology. New York: Wiley; 2000.

375.

Edwards HGM, Chalmers JM, Royal Society of Chemistry (Great Britain). Raman spectroscopy in archaeology and art history. Cambridge: Royal Society of Chemistry; 2005.

376.

Edwards, Howell G. M., Lewis, Ian R. Handbook of Raman spectroscopy: from the research laboratory to the process line. New York: Marcel Dekker; 2001.

377.

Goffer Z. Archaeological chemistry. 2nd ed. Hoboken, N.J.: Wiley; 2007.

378.

Henderson J. Scientific analysis in archaeology and its interpretation. Oxford: Oxford University Committee for Archaeology, Institute of Archaeology; 1989.

379.

Henderson J. The science and archaeology of materials: an investigation of inorganic materials. London: Routledge; 2000.

380.

Janssens KHA, Grieken R van. Non-destructive microanalysis of cultural heritage materials. Amsterdam, London: Elsevier; 2004.

381.

Martini M, Milazzo M, Piacentini M, Società italiana di fisica, International School of Physics 'Enrico Fermi'. Physics methods in archaeometry. Amsterdam: IOS Press; 2004.

382.

Martinón-Torres M, Rehren T. Archaeology, history and science: integrating approaches to ancient materials. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press; 2008.

383.

Moreau JF. Proceedings: ISA 2006 : 36th International Symposium on Archaeometry : 2-6 May 2006, Quebec City, Canada. Québec: CELAT, Université Laval; 2009.

384.

Arthur M. Sackler Colloquia of the National Academy of Sciences, National Academy of Sciences (U.S.). Scientific examination of art: modern techniques in conservation and analysis : National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D.C., March 19-21, 2003. Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press; 2005.

385.

Nesse WD. Introduction to optical mineralogy. 3rd ed. New York: Oxford University Press; 2004.

386.

Olsen SL. Scanning electron microscopy in archaeology. Oxford: B.A.R.; 1988.

387.

Parkes PA. Current scientific techniques in archaeology. London: Croom Helm; 1986.

388.

Pérez-Arantegui J, editor. Proceedings of the 34th International Symposium on Archaeometry [Internet]. 2006. Available from: <http://ifc.dpz.es/publicaciones/ebooks/id/2610>

389.

Pollard AM, Heron C, Royal Society of Chemistry (Great Britain). Archaeological chemistry. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Royal Society of Chemistry; 2008.

390.

Pollard AM, Batt C, Young S, Stern B. Analytical chemistry in archaeology. Cambridge:

Cambridge University Press; 2007.

391.

Shackley, M. Steven. X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (XRF) in geoarchaeology. New York: Springer; 2011.

392.

Shackley M. An introduction to X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) analysis in archaeology. X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (XRF) in geoarchaeology. New York: Springer; 2011. p. 7-44.

393.

Uda M, Demortier G, Nakai I, International Symposium on X-ray Archaeometry. X-rays for archaeology. Dordrecht: Springer; 2005.

394.

De Atley SP, Bishop RL. Toward an integrated interface for archaeology and archaeometry. The ceramic legacy of Anna O Shepard [Internet]. 1991. p. 358-381. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45285.pdf

395.

Hamilton E. The four scales of technical analysis; or 'how to make archaeometry more useful. Exploring the role of analytical scale in archaeological interpretation [Internet]. Oxford: Archaeopress; 2004. p. 45-48. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45097.pdf

396.

Killick D. Archaeology and archaeometry: From casual dating to a meaningful relationship? Antiquity [Internet]. Antiquity Publications, Ltd.; 1997;71(273):518-524. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/217552149?accountid=14511>

397.

Jones A. Archaeological theory and scientific practice. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2001.

398.

Jones A. Archaeometry and materiality: materials-based analysis in theory and practice*. Archaeometry. 2004;46(3):327-338.

399.

Martinón-Torres M. Why should archaeologists take history and science seriously? Archaeology, history and science: integrating approaches to ancient materials [Internet]. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press; 2008. p. 15-36. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45457.pdf

400.

Rehren T. Qantir-Piramesses and the organisation of the Egyptian glass industry. The social context of technological change: Egypt and the Near East, 1650-1550 BC : proceedings of a conference held at St Edmund Hall, Oxford, 12-14 September 2000 [Internet]. Oxford: Oxbow; 2001. p. 223-138. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45094.pdf

401.

Sillar B, Tite MS. The challenge of 'Technological choices' for materials science approaches in archaeology. Archaeometry. 2000;42(1):2-20.

402.

Tite MS. Overview - materials study in archaeology. Handbook of archaeological sciences [Internet]. Chichester: John Wiley; 2001. p. 443-448. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45098.pdf

403.

Giumlia-Mair A. Surface characterisation techniques in the study and conservation of art

and archaeological artefacts: a review. *Materials technology* [Internet]. 2010;25(5):345–261. Available from: http://www.academia.edu/3427109/Surface_characterisation_techniques_in_the_study_and_conservation_of_art_and_archaeological_artefacts_a_review_Giumlia-Mair_Albertson_Bosc_hian_Giachi_Lacomussi_Pallecchi_Rossi_Shugar_and_Stock_

404.

Dran JC, Salomon J, Calligaro T, Walter P. Ion beam analysis of art works: 14 years of use in the Louvre. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms*. 2004;219–220:7–15.

405.

Adriaens A. Non-destructive analysis and testing of museum objects: An overview of 5 years of research. *Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy*. 2005 Dec;60(12):1503–1516.

406.

Hancock RGV. Elemental analysis. *Modern analytical methods in art and archaeology*. New York: Wiley; 2000. p. 11–20.

407.

Shackley M. An introduction to X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) analysis in archaeology. *X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (XRF) in geoarchaeology*. New York: Springer; 2011. p. 7–44.

408.

Craig N, Speakman RJ, Popelka-Filcoff RS, Glascock MD, Robertson JD, Shackley MS, Aldenderfer MS. Comparison of XRF and PXRF for analysis of archaeological obsidian from southern Perú. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2007;34(12):2012–2024.

409.

Speakman RJ, Little NC, Creel D, Miller MR, Iñáñez JG. Sourcing ceramics with portable XRF spectrometers? A comparison with INAA using Mimbres pottery from the American

Southwest. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2011 Dec;38(12):3483–3496.

410.

Heginbotham A. AN EVALUATION OF INTER-LABORATORY REPRODUCIBILITY FOR QUANTITATIVE XRF OF HISTORIC COPPER ALLOYS | Arlen Heginbotham - Academia.edu. Metal 2010 Proceedings of the International Conference on Metal Conservation, Charleston, South Carolina, USA, October 11-15, 2010 [Internet]. 2010. p. 244–255. Available from: http://www.academia.edu/346786/AN_EVALUATION_OF_INTER-LABORATORY_REPRODUCIBILITY_FOR_QUANTITATIVE_XRF_OF_HISTORIC_COPPER_ALLOYS

411.

Guerra MF. Analysis of archaeological metals. The place of XRF and PIXE in the determination of technology and provenance. *X-Ray Spectrometry*. 1998;27(2):73–80.

412.

MILAZZO M, CICARDI C. X-RAY FLUORESCENCE CHARACTERIZATION OF THE CORONA FERREA. *Archaeometry*. 1998;40(2):351–360.

413.

Figueiredo E, Silva RJC, Araújo MF, Senna-Martinez JC. Identification of ancient gilding technology and Late Bronze Age metallurgy by EDXRF, Micro-EDXRF, SEM-EDS and metallographic techniques. *Microchimica Acta*. 2010;168(3–4):283–291.

414.

Orton C. *Sampling in archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2000.

415.

Tite MS. Archaeological collections: invasive sampling versus object integrity. *Papers from the Institute of Archaeology: PIA* [Internet]. London: The Institute; 2002;13:1–6. Available from: <http://pia-journal.co.uk/articles/abstract/10.5334/pia.189/>

416.

Tubb KW. Irreconcilable Differences? Problems with Unprovenanced Antiquities. *Papers from the Institute of Archaeology*. 2007;18.

417.

Conservation institute TG. Infrared Spectroscopy in Conservation Science - infrared spectroscopy [Internet]. 1999. Available from: http://www.getty.edu/conservation/publications_resources/pdf_publications/pdf/infrared_spectroscopy.pdf

418.

De Benedetto GE, Laviano R, Sabbatini L, Zambonin PG. Infrared spectroscopy in the mineralogical characterization of ancient pottery. *Journal of Cultural Heritage*. 2002;3(3):177-186.

419.

Eiland ML, Williams Q. Investigation of Islamic ceramics from Tell Tuneinir using X-ray diffraction. *Geoarchaeology*. 2001;16(8):875-903.

420.

Conservation institute TG. Infrared Spectroscopy in Conservation Science - infrared spectroscopy [Internet]. 1999. Available from: http://www.getty.edu/conservation/publications_resources/pdf_publications/pdf/infrared_spectroscopy.pdf

421.

Ricciardi P, Colombari P, Tournié A, Macchiarola M, Ayed N. A non-invasive study of Roman Age mosaic glass tesserae by means of Raman spectroscopy. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2009;36(11):2551-2559.

422.

Ingo GM, Balbi S, de Caro T, Fragalà I, Angelini E, Bultrini G. Combined use of SEM-EDS, OM

and XRD for the characterization of corrosion products grown on silver roman coins. *Applied Physics A*. 2006;83(4):493–497.

423.

Abe Y, Nakai I, Takahashi K, Kawai N, Yoshimura S. On-site analysis of archaeological artifacts excavated from the site on the outcrop at Northwest Saqqara, Egypt, by using a newly developed portable fluorescence spectrometer and diffractometer. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*. 2009;395(7):1987–1996.

424.

Cotte M, Dumas P, Taniguchi Y, Checroun E, Walter P, Susini J. Recent applications and current trends in Cultural Heritage Science using synchrotron-based Fourier transform infrared micro-spectroscopy. *Comptes Rendus Physique*. 2009;10(7):590–600.

425.

Young ML, Casadio F, Schnepf S, Pearlstein E, Almer JD, Haeffner DR. Non-invasive characterization of manufacturing techniques and corrosion of ancient Chinese bronzes and a later replica using synchrotron X-ray diffraction. *Applied Physics A*. 2010;100(3):635–646.

426.

Baxter MJ. *Exploratory multivariate analysis in archaeology*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press; 1994.

427.

Baxter MJ. *Statistics in archaeology*. London: Arnold; 2003.

428.

Baxter MJ, Buck CE. Data handling and statistical analysis. *Modern analytical methods in art and archaeology* [Internet]. New York: Wiley; 2000. p. 681–746. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45286.pdf

429.

BAXTER MJ, FREESTONE IC. LOG-RATIO COMPOSITIONAL DATA ANALYSIS IN ARCHAEOOMETRY*. *Archaeometry*. 2006;48(3):511-531.

430.

Charlton MF, Blakelock E, Martinon-Torres M. Investigating the production provenance of iron artifacts with multivariate methods. *Journal of Archaeological Science* [Internet]. 2012;39(7):2280-2293. Available from: <http://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/1375923/1/1375923.pdf>

431.

Drennan RD. *Statistics for archaeologists: a commonsense approach* [Internet]. 2nd ed. New York: Springer; 2009. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-0413-3>

432.

Fletcher M, Lock GR. *Digging numbers: elementary statistics for archaeologists*. Oxford: Oxford University Committee for Archaeology; 1991.

433.

Orton, Clive. *Mathematics in archaeology*. London: Collins; 1980.

434.

Shennan S. *Quantifying archaeology*. 2nd ed. Iowa City: University of Iowa Press; 1997.

435.

Thornton CP, Lamberg-Karlovsky CC, Liezers M, Young SMM. On Pins and Needles: Tracing the Evolution of Copper-base Alloying at Tepe Yahya, Iran, via ICP-MS Analysis of Common-place Items. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2002;29(12):1451-1460.

436.

Ponting MJ. Keeping up with the Romans? Romanisation and copper alloys in First Revolt Palestine. Institute for Archaeo-Metallurgical Studies newsletter [Internet]. London: Institute of Archaeology; 2002;22:3-6. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL3001_44722.pdf

437.

Chippindale C. Colleagues, talking, writing, publishing. Handbook of archaeological methods [Internet]. Lanham, Md: Altamira Press; 2006. p. 1339-1371. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45101.pdf

438.

Sand-Jensen K. How to write consistently boring scientific literature. Oikos. 2007;116(5):723-727.

439.

White P. Producing the record. Archaeology in practice: a student guide to archaeological analyses [Internet]. Malden, MA: Blackwell; 2006. p. 410-425. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45099.pdf