

LAWS3010: Family Law

View Online



1

Oldham M. Blackstone's statutes on family law 2014-2015. 23rd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2014.

2

Herring J. Family law. 6th ed. Harlow: : Pearson 2013.

3

Lowe NV, Douglas G, Bromley PM. Bromley's family law. 10th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2007.

4

Masson JM, Bailey-Harris RJ, Probert R, et al. Cretney principles of family law. 8th ed. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2008.

5

Diduck A. Law's families. London: : LexisNexis 2003.

6

George RH. Ideas and debates in family law. Oxford: : Hart 2012.

7

Herring J, Probert R, Gilmore S. Great debates in family law. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2012.

8

Choudhry S, Herring J. European human rights and family law. Oxford: : Hart 2010.

9

Gilmore S, Herring J, Probert R. Landmark cases in family law. Oxford: : Hart 2011.

10

Diduck A, Kaganas F. Family law, gender and the state. 3rd ed. Oxford: : Hart 2012.

11

Harris-Short S, Miles J. Family law: text, cases, and materials. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

12

Hale B. The family, law & society. 6th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2009.

13

Archard D. Children: Rights and Childhood. 2nd ed. Hoboken: : Taylor and Francis 2004.
<http://UCL.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=199397>

14

Archard D. Children, family, and the state. Aldershot: : Ashgate 2003.

15

Bainham A. Children and their families: contact, rights and welfare. Oxford: : Hart 2003.

16

Barker N. Not the marrying kind: a feminist critique of same-sex marriage. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2012.

17

Barlow A. Cohabitation, marriage and the law: social change and legal reform in the 21st century. Oxford: : Hart 2005.

18

Bernstein A. Marriage proposals: questioning a legal status. New York: : New York University Press 2006.

19

Bradley D. Family law and political culture: (Scandinavian laws in comparative perspective) . London: : Sweet & Maxwell 1996.

20

Monk D, Bridgeman J. Feminist perspectives on child law. London: : Cavendish 2000.

21

Bridgeman J, Lind C, Keating HM. Responsibility, law and the family. Aldershot: : Ashgate 2008.

22

Bridgeman J, Keating HM, Lind C. Regulating family responsibilities. Farnham: : Ashgate 2011.

23

Burton M. Legal responses to domestic violence. London: : Routledge-Cavendish 2008.

24

Carling AH, Duncan S, Edwards R. Analysing families: morality and rationality in policy and practice. London: : Routledge 2002.

25

Collier R, Sheldon S. Fragmenting fatherhood: a socio-legal study. Oxford: : Hart 2008.

26

Cretney S. Family Law in the Twentieth Century. Oxford University Press 2005.
doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199280919.001.0001

27

Sclater SD. Divorce: a psychosocial study. Aldershot, Hants, England: : Ashgate 1999.

28

Piper C, Sclater SD. Undercurrents of divorce. Aldershot, England: : Ashgate 1999.

29

Diduck A. Marriage and cohabitation. Aldershot: : Ashgate 2008.

30

Diduck A, O'Donovan K. Feminist perspectives on family law. Abingdon: : Routledge-Cavendish 2006.

31

Dobash RE, Cavanagh K. Changing violent men. Thousand Oaks, Calif: : Sage Publications 2000.

32

Donzelot J, Hurley R. The policing of families. London: : Hutchinson

33

Lowe NV, Douglas G. The continuing evolution of family law. Bristol: : Family Law 2009.

34

Eekelaar J. Family Law and Personal Life. Oxford University Press 2007.
doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199535422.001.0001

35

Eekelaar J, Maclean M. Family justice: the work of family judges in uncertain times. Oxford: : Hart Publishing 2013.

36

Eskridge WN. Equality practice: civil unions and the future of gay rights. New York: : Routledge 2002.

37

Finch J, Mason J. Negotiating family responsibilities. Routledge 1992.

38

Fineman M. The illusion of equality: the rhetoric and reality of divorce reform. Chicago: : University of Chicago Press 1991.

39

Fineman M. *The neutered mother, the sexual family, and other twentieth century tragedies*. New York: : Routledge 1995.

40

Fineman M. *The autonomy myth: a theory of dependency*. New York: : New Press 2004.

41

Fortin J. *Children's rights and the developing law*. 3rd ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2009.

42

Freeman MDA. *The moral status of children: essays on the rights of the child*. Boston: : Martinus Nijhoff Publishers 1997.

43

Goldstein J. *The best interests of the child: the least detrimental alternative*. New York: : Free Press 1996.

44

Hale B, Hamlyn Trust. *From the test tube to the coffin: choice and regulation in private life*. London: : Stevens & Sons/Sweet & Maxwell 1996.

45

Heaphy B, Smart C, Einarsdottir A. *Same sex marriages: new generations, new relationships*. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2013.

46

Herring J. *Caring and the law*. Oxford: : Hart Publishing 2013.

47

Heinze E. Of innocence and autonomy: children, sex and human rights. Aldershot, Hants, England: : Dartmouth 2000.

48

King M. A better world for children?: explorations in morality and authority. New York: : Routledge 1997.

49

Lee N. Childhood and society: growing up in an age of uncertainty. Maidenhead: : Open University 2001.

50

Maclean M, Eekelaar J. The parental obligation: a study of parenthood across households. Oxford: : Hart Publishing 1997.

51

Maclean M, Eekelaar J. Family law advocacy: how barristers help the victims of family failure. Oxford: : Hart 2009.

52

Eekelaar J, Maclean M. Family justice: the work of family judges in uncertain times. Oxford: : Hart Publishing 2013.

53

Maclean M, Eekelaar J. Managing family justice in diverse societies. Oxford: : Hart Publishing 2013.

54

Maclean M. Parenting after partnering: containing conflict after separation. Oxford: : Hart Pub 2007.

55

Dempsey MM. Prosecuting domestic violence: a philosophical analysis. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2009. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199562169.001.1>

56

Miles J, Probert R. Sharing lives, dividing assets: an inter-disciplinary study. Oxford: : Hart Pub 2009.
<https://www-bloomsburycollections-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/book/sharing-lives-dividing-assets-an-inter-disciplinary-study/>

57

Morgan DHJ. Family connections: an introduction to family studies. Cambridge, UK: : Polity Press 1996.

58

Morgan DHJ. Rethinking family practices. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2011.

59

Mount F. The subversive family: an alternative history of love and marriage. London: : Unwin 1982.

60

Mullender A, Morley R. Children living with domestic violence: putting men's abuse of women on the child care agenda. London: : Whiting & Birch 1994.

61

O'Donovan K. Sexual divisions in law. London: : Weidenfeld and Nicolson 1985.

62

O'Donovan K. Family law matters. London: : Pluto Press 1993.

63

Okin SM. Justice, gender, and the family. New York: : Basic Books 1989.

64

Pahl J. Money and marriage. Basingstoke: : Macmillan Education 1989.

65

Parker S. Informal marriage, cohabitation and the law, 1750-1989. London: : Macmillan 1990.

66

Parton N. The politics of child abuse. Basingstoke: : Macmillan 1985.

67

Pateman C. The sexual contract. Cambridge: : Polity 1988.

68

Piper C. The responsible parent: a study of divorce mediation. New York: : Harvester Wheatsheaf 1993.

69

Pizzey E, Forbes A. Scream quietly or the neighbours will hear. Harmondsworth: : Penguin 1974.

70

Probert R. Family life and the law: under one roof. Aldershot: : Ashgate 2007.

71

Probert R. Marriage law and practice in the long eighteenth century: a reassessment. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2009.

72

Probert R, Barton C, Cretney SM. Fifty years in family law: essays for Stephen Cretney. Cambridge: : Intersentia 2012.

73

Probert R. The changing legal regulation of cohabitation: from fornicators to family, 1600-2010. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2012.

74

Reece H. Divorcing responsibly. Oxford: : Hart 2003.

75

Regan MC. Family law and the pursuit of intimacy. New York: : New York University Press 1993.

76

Rodger JJ. Family life and social control: a sociological perspective. Basingstoke: : Macmillan 1996.

77

Sarat A, Felstiner WLF. Divorce lawyers and their clients: power and meaning in the legal process. New York: : Oxford University Press 1995.

78

Shanley ML. Just marriage. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2004.

79

Silva EB, Smart C. The new family? London: : Sage 1999.

80

Smart C. Personal life: new directions in sociological thinking. Cambridge: : Polity 2007.

81

Smart C. The ties that bind: law, marriage and the reproduction of patriarchal relations. London: : Routledge & Kegan Paul 1984.

82

Smart C. Feminism and the power of law. London: : Routledge 1989.

83

Smart C, Neale B. Family fragments? Cambridge: : Polity Press 1999.

84

Smart C, Neale B, Wade A. The changing experience of childhood: families and divorce. Cambridge: : Polity 2001.

85

Spencer JR, Bois-Pedain A de. Freedom and responsibility in reproductive choice. Oxford: : Hart Publishing 2006.

86

Stark E. Coercive control: the entrapment of women in personal life. New York: : Oxford University Press 2007.

87

Wallbank JA, Choudhry S, Herring J. Rights, gender and family law. Abingdon: : Routledge 2010.

88

Wallerstein JS, Kelly JB. Surviving the breakup: how children and parents cope with divorce. London: : Grant McIntyre 1980.

89

Wallerstein JS, Blakeslee S. Second chances. London: : Bantam 1989.

90

Weitzman LJ. The divorce revolution: the unexpected social and economic consequences for women and children in America. 1st Free Press paperback ed. New York: : Free Press 1987.

91

Wikeley N. Child support: law and policy. Oxford: : Hart 2006.

92

International journal of law, policy and the family.

93

Family law.

94

Child and family law quarterly.

95

The Journal of social welfare & family law.

96

Family law quarterly.

97

Children's Legal Centre (London, England). Childright. 1983.

98

The International Journal of Children's Rights » Brill Online.
<http://booksandjournals.brillonline.com/content/journals/15718182>

99

International Family Law.

100

Fitzpatrick v Sterling Housing Association Ltd - [2001] 1 AC 27. Published Online First: 1999.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4JT8-8WH0-TXD8-61G7&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

101

Vallianatos and others v Greece (App. nos. 29381/09 and 32684/09) - [2013] ECHR 29381/09. Published Online First: 2013.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=5BXR-HSG1-DYBP->

X29X&csi=274793&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t

102

SCHALK AND KOPF v. AUSTRIA - 30141/04 [2010] ECHR 995 (24 June 2010).
<http://www.bailii.org/eu/cases/ECHR/2010/995.html>

103

Diduck A, Kaganas F. *Chapter 1. In: Family law, gender and the state. Oxford: : Hart 2012.

104

Herring J. *Chapter 1. In: Family law. Harlow: : Pearson 2013.

105

Harris-Short S, Miles J. Chapter 1. In: Family law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

106

Secretary of State for Work and Pensions v M. Published Online First:
2006. <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=59MP-VFV1-DYBP-P1PV&csi=274662&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

107

Diduck A, Kaganas F. *Chapter 5. In: Family law, gender and the state. Oxford: : Hart 2012.

108

Green Paper on the Family.
<http://www.centreforsocialjustice.org.uk/publications/green-paper-on-the-family>

109

JDiduck A. A Family by any other Name ... or Starbucks™ comes to England. *Journal of Law and Society* 2001;**28**:290–310. doi:10.1111/1467-6478.00190

110

Bridgeman J, Lind C, Keating HM. *Responsibility, law and the family*. Aldershot: : Ashgate 2008.

111

Silva EB, Smart C. *The new family?* London: : Sage 1999.

112

Dewar J. The Normal Chaos of Family Law. *Modern Law Review* 1998;**61**:467–85. doi:10.1111/1468-2230.00157

113

Dewar J. Family law and its discontents. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family* 2000;**14**:59–85. doi:10.1093/lawfam/14.1.59

114

Fineman M. *The neutered mother, the sexual family, and other twentieth century tragedies*. New York: : Routledge 1995.

115

Weeks, J. *Elective Families: lesbian and gay life experiments*. In: *Analysing families: morality and rationality in policy and practice*. London: : Routledge 2002.

116

Carling AH, Duncan S, Edwards R. *Analysing families: morality and rationality in policy and practice*. London: : Routledge 2002.

117

Diduck A. Shifting Familiarity. *Current Legal Problems* 2005;**58**:235–54.
doi:10.1093/clp/58.1.235

118

Eekelaar J. *Family Law and Personal Life*. Oxford University Press 2007.
doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199535422.001.0001

119

Glennon L. Obligations Between Adult Partners: Moving From Form to Function?
International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family 2007;**22**:22–60.
doi:10.1093/lawfam/ebm017

120

Millbank J. The role of 'functional family' in same-sex family recognition trends. *Family Law* 2008;**20**
:131–283.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2008_06_2.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2008_06_2&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2008_06_2

121

Duncan S, Carter J, Phillips M, et al. Legal rights for people who 'Live Apart Together'?
Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law 2012;**34**:443–58.
doi:10.1080/09649069.2012.753731

122

Farrah Ahmed and Jane Calderwood Norton*. Religious tribunals, religious freedom, and concern for vulnerable women. *Child and Family Law Quarterly* 2012;**24**
:363–87.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2012_12_1.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2012_12_1&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2012_12_1

012_12_1

123

Authority and Authenticity: Sharia Councils, Muslim Women's Rights and the English courts. *Child and family law quarterly* 2013; **25**

:119-36. http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2013_06_2.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2013_06_2&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2013_06_2

124

Family justice review: final report.

2011. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/family-justice-review-final-report--2>

125

Government response to the family justice review.

2012. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/government-response-to-the-family-justice-review-a-system-with-children-and-families-at-its-heart>

126

Long R. Family Justice Review update: Contact and other issues for parents following separation - Commons Library Standard Note.

2012. <http://www.parliament.uk/business/publications/research/briefing-papers/SN06335/family-justice-review-update-contact-and-other-issues-for-parents-following-separation>

127

Justice Ryder. Judicial Proposals for the Modernisation of Family Justice.

2012. <https://www.judiciary.gov.uk/publications/family-modernisation-final-report/>

128

A brighter future for Family Justice.

2014. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/whats-happened-since-the-family-justice-review-a-brighter-future-for-family-justice>

129

George RH. *Ideas and debates in family law. Oxford: : Hart 2012.

130

Eekelaar, John. Evaluating Legal Regulation of Family Behaviour. *International Journal of the Jurisprudence of the Family* 2010;**1**
. http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/ijjf1&div=6&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=3&men_tab=srchresults&terms=Eekelaar,%20John&type=matchall

131

Eekelaar J. "Not of the Highest Importance": Family justice under threat. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law* 2011;**33**:311-7. doi:10.1080/09649069.2011.632883

132

A Diduck. Autonomy and Vulnerability in family law: the missing link. In: Wallbank JA, Herring J, eds. *Vulnerabilities, care and family law*. Abingdon, Oxon: : Routledge 2014.

133

Eekelaar J, Maclean M. *Family justice: the work of family judges in uncertain times*. Oxford: : Hart Publishing 2013.

134

Cobb S. Legal aid reform: its impact on family law. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law* 2013;**35**:3-19. doi:10.1080/09649069.2013.774607

135

Family Law Online special issue May 2014.
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_30&addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_30.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_30&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc

=false

136

Q v Q [2014] EWFC 31.

2014.<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5CVC-5321-F0JY-C2TR&csi=316762&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

137

Diduck A, Kaganas F. Chapter 2. In: Family law, gender and the state. Oxford: : Hart 2012.

138

Herring J. Chapter 2. In: Family law. Harlow: : Pearson 2013.

139

Harris-Short S, Miles J. Chapter 2. In: Family law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

140

George RH. Ideas and debates in family law. Oxford: : Hart 2012.

141

Matrimonial Causes Act 1973.

1973.<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-61R0-TWPY-Y1H9&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

142

Marriage (Same Sex Couples) Act 2013.

2013.<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=58YH-KVW1-DYCN-C1YY&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

143

Hudson v Leigh [2009] EWHC 1306.

2009.<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?oc=00240&elb=t&Ini=7VYJ-BVP0-YBF6-71X9&perma=true&csi=316762&secondRedirectIndicator=true>

144

Leigh v Hudson [2009] EWCA Civ 1442.

2009.<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?oc=00240&elb=t&Ini=7XK5-RG80-YBF6-73KP&perma=true&csi=316762&secondRedirectIndicator=true>

145

MA v JA [2012] EWHC 2219 (Fam).

2012.<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5676-WV21-F0JY-C46B&csi=316762&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

146

Bevan C. The role of intention in non-marriage cases post Hudson v Leigh. *Child and family law quarterly* 2013;**25**[.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2013_04_5.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2013_04_5&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2013_04_5](http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2013_04_5.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2013_04_5&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2013_04_5)

147

Probert, Rebecca. The Evolving Concept of Non-Marriage. *Child and Family Law Quarterly* 2013;:314-51.http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq25&div=27&g_sent=1&collection=journals

148

Gender Recognition Act 2004.

2004.<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-61F0-TWPY-Y18X&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

149

Sheffield City Council v E & Anor [2004] EWHC 2808 (Fam) (02 December 2004).
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Fam/2004/2808.html>

150

Wilkinson v Kitzinger and others [2006] EWHC 2022 (Fam).
2006.<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=59MP-VG31-DYBP-P0N7&csi=274662&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

151

Harding R. 'Wilkinson v Kitzinger' (An alternative Judgment). In: Feminist judgments: from theory to practice. Oxford: : Hart 2010. 425–30.

152

Equal marriage consultation - Consultations - GOV.UK.
2012.<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/equal-marriage-consultation>

153

R (on the application of Quila and another) v Secretary of State for the Home Department;
R (on the application of Bibi and another) v Secretary of State for the Home Department
[2011] UKSC 45.
2011.<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=540Y-JNJ1-F0JY-C4JF&csi=316762&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

154

Every Family Matters: An in-depth review of family law in Britain.
2009.<http://www.centreforsocialjustice.org.uk/publications/every-family-matters>

155

R (on the application of Baiai and others) v Secretary of State for the Home Department; R
(on the application of Trzcinska and others) v Secretary of State for the Home Department

[2008] UKHL 53.

2008. <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=59MP-VGC1-DYBP-P4KY&csi=274662&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

156

P v R (Forced Marriage: Annulment) [2003] 1 FLR 661.

2002. http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20031FLR0661.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20031FLR0661&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_20031FLR0661

157

B v I [2010] 1 FLR 1721 (forced marriage as non-marriage).

2010. http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/web/pub.xql?action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en&addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20101FLR1721.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20101FLR1721

158

NS v MI [2006] EWHC 1646 (Fam), [2007] 2 FCR 748.

2006. <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4R46-GW00-TWP1-D108&csi=274607&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

159

Goodwin v United Kingdom (Application 28957/95) [2002] IRLR 664, [2002] 2 FCR 577.

2002. <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4R47-6YT0-TWP1-D0YN&csi=274607&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

160

Schafer, L. Marrying an in-law? The future of prohibited degrees of affinity and consanguinity in English Law. *Child and Family Law Quarterly* 2008; **20**

:219–29. <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq20&id=219&collection=journals&index=journals/chilflq>

161

Lewis, Jane. Marriage and Cohabitation and the Nature of Commitment. *Child and Family Law Quarterly* 1999;**11**
.http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq11&id=363&collection=journals

162

Diduck A. Chapter 2. In: *Law's families*. London: : LexisNexis 2003.

163

Probert R. *Marriage law and practice in the long eighteenth century: a reassessment*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2009.

164

Norrie, Kenneth Mck. Marriage is for Heterosexuals - May the Rest of Us Be Saved From It. *Child and Family Law Quarterly* 2000;**12**
.http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq12&div=37&g_sent=1&collection=journals

165

Marriage Act 1949.
1949.http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4ST8-G0K0-TWPY-Y0ND&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t

166

Anitha S, Gill A. Coercion, Consent and the Forced Marriage Debate in the UK. *Feminist Legal Studies* 2009;**17**:165-84. doi:10.1007/s10691-009-9119-4

167

A choice by right : the report of the working group on forced marriage. [London]: : Home Office Communications Directorate 2000.

168

Bellinger v Bellinger (Lord Chancellor intervening) - [2003] 2 AC 467.
2003.<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JT8-8WN0-TXD8-60S7&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

169

CORBETT v. CORBETT (OTHERWISE ASHLEY) - [1971] P. 83.
1970.<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JNM-XPY0-TXD6-F09Y&csi=296981&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

170

Corbett v Corbett (otherwise Ashley) - [1970] 2 All ER 33.
1970.<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-35T0-TWP1-60SD&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

171

THE REES CASE [1987] 2 FLR 111.
1986.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19872FLR0111.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19872FLR0111&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_19872FLR0111

172

Cossey v Cossey [1991] 2 FLR 492.
1991.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19912FLR0492.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19912FLR0492&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_19912FLR0492

173

SHEFFIELD AND HORSHAM v UK [1998] 2 FLR 928.
1998.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19982FLR0928.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19982FLR0928&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_19982FLR0928

174

W v W (Nullity: Gender) [2001] 1 FLR 324.

2001.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20011FLR0324.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20011FLR0324&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_20011FLR0324

175

Gereis v Yagoub [1997] 1 FLR 854.

1997.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19971FLR0854.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19971FLR0854&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_19971FLR0854

176

Chief Adjudication Officer v Bath [2000] 1 FLR 8.

2000.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20001FLR0008.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20001FLR0008&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_20001FLR0008

177

B and L v UK [2006] 1 FLR 35.

2006.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20061FLR0035.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20061FLR0035&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_20061FLR0035

178

The Marriage Act 1949 (Remedial) Order 2007 No. 438.

2007.<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4SW7-STT0-TX08-H19V&csi=283307&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

179

Singh v Singh [1971] P 226.

1971.<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JNM-XPY0-TXD6-F0FK&csi=296981&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

180

Hirani v Hirani [1983] 4 FLR 232.

1982.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19834FLR0232.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19834FLR0232&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_19834FLR0232

181

Vervaeke v Smith (Messina and A-G intervening) [1983] 1 AC 145, [1982] 2 All ER 144.

1983.<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JT8-8WP0-TXD8-60P2&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

182

Hyde v Hyde and Woodmansee - [1861-73] All ER Rep 175.

1866.<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FPP-FDH0-TWXJ-213C&csi=279846&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

183

Gandhi v Patel et al [2002] 1 FLR 603.

2011.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20021FLR0603.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20021FLR0603&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_20021FLR0603

184

BALFOUR v. BALFOUR. - [1919] 2 K.B. 571.

1919.<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K4F-RWJ0-TXD5->

X0ST&csi=296982&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t

185

Eekelaar J. Marriage: a modest proposal. Family Law 2013;**83**.

186

Halpern et al. v. Attorney General of Canada et al. (June 10, 2003).
2003.<http://www.ontariocourts.on.ca/decisions/2003/june/halpernC39172.htm>

187

Minister of Home Affairs and another v Fourie and another; Lesbian and Gay Equality Project and others v Minister of Home Affairs and others - (2005) 20 BHRC 368. Published Online First:
2005.<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4K8Y-4HW0-TWP1-F189&csi=274794&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

188

Home Affairs v Fourie [2005] ZACC 19.
2005.<http://www.saflii.org/za/cases/ZACC/2005/19.html>

189

United States v Windsor 570 US 2013.
2012.<http://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/slipopinion/12>

190

Auchmuty R. What's so special about marriage? The Impact of Wilkinson v Kitzinger. Child and family law quarterly 2008;**20**
. http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2008_12_3.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2008_12_3&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2008_12_3

191

Tatchell P. Why our new same-sex marriage is not yet equal marriage. New statesman
Published Online First: 19 July
2013.<http://www.newstatesman.com/uk-politics/2013/07/why-our-new-same-sex-marriage-not-yet-equal-marriage>

192

Civil Partnership Act 2004.
2004.<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-FBY0-TWPY-Y055&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

193

Equality Act 2010 section 202.
2010.<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7Y7R-3430-Y97X-73G2&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

194

*Vallianatos v Greece (Application nos 29381/09 and 32684/09).
2013.[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-128294#{%22itemid%22:\[%22001-128294%22\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-128294#{%22itemid%22:[%22001-128294%22]})

195

Bamforth N. The benefits of marriage in all but name? Same sex couples and the Civil Partnership Act 2004. *Child and family law quarterly* 2007;**19**
. http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2007_06_1.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2007_06_1&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2007_06_1

196

Stychin C. *Family Friendly?: Rights, Responsibilities and Relationship Recognition. In: *Feminist perspectives on family law*. Abingdon: : Routledge-Cavendish 2006.

197

Crompton L. The Civil Partnerships Bill 2004: The Illusion of Equality. Family law 2004;**34**.

198

Hale B. Homosexual Rights. Child and family law quarterly 2004;**16**
.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2004_03_1.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2004_03_1&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2004_03_1

199

Civil Partnership: A Framework for the legal recognition of same-sex couples. 2003.<https://web.archive.org/web/20030801141524/http://www.womenandequalityunit.gov.uk/research/index.htm#civilpartnerships>

200

*Cohabitation: The Financial Consequences of Relationship Breakdown (Overview). 2006.<http://lawcommission.justice.gov.uk/areas/cohabitation.htm>

201

*Cohabitation: The Financial Consequences of Relationship Breakdown. 2007.<http://lawcommission.justice.gov.uk/areas/cohabitation.htm>

202

Ghaidan v Godin-Mendoza [2004] UKHL 30. 2004.<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=59MP-VFS1-DYBP-P11K&csi=274662&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

203

Barlow A, James G. *Regulating Marriage and Cohabitation in 21st Century Britain. Modern Law Review 2004;**67**:143–76. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2230.2004.00482.x

204

CM v STS [2008] CSOH 125 (2 September 2008).
2008.<http://www.scotcourts.gov.uk/opinions/2008CSOH125.html>

205

Miles J, Wasoff F, Mordaunt E. Reforming family law – the case of cohabitation: 'things may not work out as you expect'. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law* 2012;**34**:167–77.
doi:10.1080/09649069.2012.718532

206

Douglas G, Pearce J, Woodward H. Cohabitants, Property and the Law: A Study of Injustice. *Modern Law Review* 2009;**72**:24–47. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2230.2009.00732.x

207

Barlow A. Cohabitation, marriage and the law: social change and legal reform in the 21st century. Oxford: : Hart 2005.

208

Deech R. Cohabitant. *Family law* 2010;**40**
.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_n
avParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2010_01_31.dita.xml
&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2010_01_31&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=
&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2010_01_31

209

Lewis, Jane. Marriage and Cohabitation and the Nature of Commitment. *Child and Family Law Quarterly* 1999;**11**
.http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq11&div=40&g_sent=1&collection=journals

210

Parker S. *Informal marriage, cohabitation and the law, 1750-1989*. London: : Macmillan 1990.

211

Re J (Income Support: Cohabitation) [1995] 1 FLR 660.
1995.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19951FLR0660.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19951FLR0660&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_19951FLR0660

212

Freeman MDA, Lyon CM. *Cohabitation without marriage: an essay in law and social policy*. Aldershot: : Gower 1983.

213

Deech R. *The Case Against the Legal Recognition of Cohabitation*. In: *Marriage and cohabitation in contemporary societies: areas of legal, social and ethical change*. Toronto: : Butterworths 1980.

214

Diduck A, Kaganas F. Chapter 12. In: *Family law, gender and the state*. Oxford: : Hart 2012.

215

Herring J. Chapter 6. In: *Family law*. Harlow: : Pearson 2013.

216

Harris-Short S, Miles J. Chapter 4. In: *Family law: text, cases, and materials*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

217

New government domestic violence and abuse definition.

2013. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/new-government-domestic-violence-and-abuse-definition>

218

Family Law Act 1996 Part IV.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-61P0-TWPY-Y1F8&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

219

Protection from Harassment Act 1997.

1997. <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-HC70-TWPY-Y0CJ&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

220

Domestic Violence, Crime and Victims Act 2004.

2004. <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-61F0-TWPY-Y0BK&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

221

Forced Marriage (Civil Protection) Act 2007.

2007. <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-HC60-TWPY-Y0KY&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

222

Crime and Security Act 2010.

2010. <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7Y7B-PJM0-Y97X-74GB&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

223

Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014.

2014. <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5BS9-MNJ1-DYCN-C2CP&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

224

B v B (Occupation Order) [1999] 1 FLR 715.

1998.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/web/pub.xql?action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en&addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19991FLR0715.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19991FLR0715

225

Chalmers v Johns [1999] 1 FLR 392.

http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/web/pub.xql?action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en&addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19991FLR0715.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19991FLR0715#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19991FLR0392.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19991FLR0392&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_19991FLR0392

226

Mark John Smith v R [2012] EWCA Crim 2566.

2012.<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Crim/2012/2566.html>

227

Burris v Azadani - [1995] 4 All ER 802.

1995.<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-60YF&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

228

House of Lords. R v Ireland, R v Burstow [1997] 3 WLR 534. 1997.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I4DA7AC70E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

229

R v Hills [2001] 1 FLR 580.

2000.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/web/pub.xql?action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en&addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20011FLR0580.dita.x

ml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20011FLR0580

230

Lau v Director of Public Prosecutions [2000] 1 FLR 799.

2000.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/web/pub.xql?action=home&pub=FAMILY pa&lang=en&addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20011FLR0580.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20011FLR0580#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20001FLR0799.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20001FLR0799&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_20001FLR0799

231

R v Colohan [2001] EWCA Crim 1251.

2001.<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Crim/2001/1251.html>

232

Harris J. An Evaluation of the Use and Effectiveness of the Protection from Harassment Act 1997.

2000.<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20110218135832/http://rds.homeoffice.gov.uk/rds/hors2000.html>

233

Bowcott O. Stalking prosecutions rise by 20% under new law on harassment | Law | The Guardian. The guardian Published Online First: 11 September

2014.<http://www.theguardian.com/law/2014/sep/11/stalking-prosecutions-rise-new-law-cps-acpo-victim-support>

234

C v C [1998] Fam 70.

1998.<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JNM-XPX0-TXD6-F1C5&csi=296981&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

235

Chechi v Bashier [1999] 2 FLR 489.

1999.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/web/pub.xql?action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en&addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19992FLR0489.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19992FLR0489

236

G v F (Non-Molestation Order : Jurisdiction), [2000] 2 FLR 533.

2000.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/web/pub.xql?action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en&addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20002FLR0533.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20002FLR0533

237

P v P (Contempt of Court: Mental Capacity) [1999] 2 FLR 897.

1999.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/web/pub.xql?action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en&addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19992FLR0897.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19992FLR0897

238

Banks v Banks [1999] 1 FLR 726.

1999.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2Gdn5Ctm1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

239

H v O (Contempt of Court: Sentencing) [2004] EWCA Civ 1691.

2004.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYGtm2KJn0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

240

Burton M. Civil law remedies for domestic violence: why are applications for non-molestation orders declining? *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law* 2009; **31**:109–20. doi:10.1080/09649060903043497

241

Dolan v Corby [2011] EWCA (Civ) 1664.

2011.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3GtmYmJnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP>

9MBjrMi6atF

242

Richards v Richards (1983) 2 All ER 807.

1983.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYKZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

243

Kaganas, Felicity. B v B (Occupation Order) and Chalmers v Johns Occupation Orders under the Family Law Act 1996. Child and Family Law Quarterly 1999;**11**

.<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq11&id=197&collection=journals&index=journals/chilflq>

244

G v G (Occupation Order: Conduct) [2000] 2 FLR 36.

2000.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYGZnZWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

245

Murray v Robinson [2006] 1FLR 365.

2006.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2mZn0qtmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

246

Kazimirski et al. A. Forced marriage: prevalence and service response.

2009.<http://www.natcen.ac.uk/our-research/research/forced-marriage/>

247

Pearce N, Gill A. Criminalising Forced Marriage Through Stand alone Legislation: Will it Work? Family law 2012;**42**

:534–42.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2012_05_30.data.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2012_05_30&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2012_05_30

248

*Yemshaw v Hounslow LBC [2011] UKSC 3.

2011.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3mZm1GJm3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

249

Choudhry S, Herring J. Chapter 9. In: European human rights and family law. Oxford: : Hart 2010.

250

Chief Constable of the Hertfordshire Police v Van Colle [2008] UKHL 50.

2008.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYKZnYetnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

251

Burton M. Failing to Protect: Victims' Rights and Police Liability. Modern Law Review 2009; **72**:283-95. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2230.2009.00744.x

252

Platt J. The Domestic Violence, Crime and Victims Act 2004 Part 1: Is it Working? Family law 2008;**38**

.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2008_07_53.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2008_07_53&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2008_07_53

253

Dobash RP. Women's Violence to Men in Intimate Relationships: Working on a Puzzle. British Journal of Criminology 2004;**44**:324-49. doi:10.1093/bjc/azh026

254

Finney A. Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault and Stalking: Findings from the 2004/5 British Crime Survey Summary.
2006.<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20110218135832/http://rds.homeoffice.gov.uk/rds/onlinepubs1.html>

255

Call to end violence against women and girls.
2010.<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/call-to-end-violence-against-women-and-girls>

256

Hester M. Who does what to whom? Gender and domestic violence perpetrators in English police records. *European Journal of Criminology* 2013;**10**:623–37.
doi:10.1177/1477370813479078

257

Walby S, Allen J. Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault and Stalking: Findings from the British Crime Survey.
2004.<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20110218135832/http://rds.homeoffice.gov.uk/rds/hors2004.html>

258

Hale v Tanner [2000] 2 FLR 879.
2000.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYKZm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

259

Nwogbe v Nwogbe [2000] 2 FLR 744.
2000.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYKJm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

260

Humphreys C, Thiara RK. Neither justice nor protection: women's experiences of post-separation violence. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law* 2003;**25**:195–214.

doi:10.1080/0964906032000145948

261

Paradine K, Wilkinson J. A research and literature review. Protection and accountability: the reporting, investigation and prosecution of domestic violence cases. [England: : National Centre for Policing Excellence 2004.

http://www.justiceinspectors.gov.uk/cjji/inspections/violence-at-home-a-joint-thematic-inspection-of-the-investigation-and-prosecution-of-cases-involving-domestic-violence/#.VSaKF_A71wA

262

Dobash RE, Dobash RP. The Politics and Policies of Responding to Violence Against Women. In: Home truths about domestic violence: feminist influences on policy and practice : a reader. London: : Routledge 2000.

263

Law's Progressive Potential: The Value of Engagement with the Law for Domestic Violence. *Social & Legal Studies* 2001;**10**:105–30.<http://sls.sagepub.com/content/10/1/105.abstract>

264

Great Britain. The Law Commission (Law Com. No. 207). Family law: domestic violence and occupation of the family home. [London: : House of Commons?] 1992.

http://gateway.proquest.com/openurl?url_ver=Z39.88-2004&res_dat=xri:hcpp&rft_dat=xri:hcpp:rec:1992-091023

265

Freeman M. Legal Ideologies; patriarchal precedents and domestic violence. In: The State, the law, and the family. London: : Tavistock 1984.

266

Hayes M. Non-Molestation Protection: Only Associated Persons Need Apply. *Family law* 1996;**26**.

267

Itzin C. Gendering Domestic Violence: The Influence of Feminism on Policy and Practice. In: Home truths about domestic violence: feminist influences on policy and practice : a reader. London: : Routledge 2000.

268

Strengthening the law on domestic abuse - Consultations - Summary of responses. 2014. <https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/strengthening-the-law-on-domestic-abuse>

269

Matrimonial Causes Act 1973 ss 1 – 10A. 1973. <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYmJmZKJnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

270

Civil Partnership Act 2004 ss 44 - 48. 2004. <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYidn3KJmWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

271

Diduck A, Kaganas F. Chapter 3. In: Family law, gender and the state. Oxford: : Hart 2012.

272

Herring J. Chapter 3. In: Family law. Harlow: : Pearson 2013.

273

Harris-Short S, Miles J. Chapter 5. In: Family law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

274

Pheasant v Pheasant [1972] 1 All ER 587.

1972.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn0qtnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

275

Buffery v Buffery [1988] 2 FLR 365.

1987.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo5qtnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

276

Cleary v Cleary [1974] 1 All ER 498.

1974.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZm2CJnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

277

Carr v Carr [1974] 1 All ER 1193.

1974.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZm4GdnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

278

Ash v Ash [1972] 1 All ER 582.

1972.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn0qdmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

279

Pheasant v Pheasant [1972] 1 All ER 587.

1972.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn0qtnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

280

Thurlow v Thurlow [1975] 2 All ER 979.

1975.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZmXqZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

281

Bradley v Bradley [1973] 3 All ER 750.

1973.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udnYCdoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

282

Buffery v Buffery [1988] 2 FLR 365.

1987.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo5qtnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

283

Livingstone-Stollard v Livingstone-Stollard [1974] Fam 47.

1974.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZm1qdoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

284

Butterworth v Butterworth [1997] 2 FLR 336.

1997.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYmtm4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

285

Birch v Birch [1992] 1 FLR 564.

1992.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXmZm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

286

Perry v Perry [1963] 3 All ER 766.

1963.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udo0mZmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

287

Glenister v Glenister [1945] P 30.

1945.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CdnXCZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha/glenister-v-glenister>

288

Dunn v Dunn [1967] P 217.

1967.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZn5uJmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

289

Stringfellow v Stringfellow [1976] 2 All ER 539.

1976.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZmWiZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

290

Pardy v Pardy [1939] P 288.

1939.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2Ctn2CZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

291

Slawson v Slawson [1942] 2 All ER 527.

1942.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYGdn3iZm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

292

Santos v Santos [1972] 2 All ER 246.

1972.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn0GdoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

293

Mouncer v Mouncer [1972] 1 All ER 289.

1972.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn1CJnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

294

Parker v Parker [1972] 1 All ER 410.

1972.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn0iZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

295

Rukat v Rukat [1975] 1 All ER 343.

1974.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZmYatmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

296

Grenfell v Grenfell [1978] Fam 128.

1977.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2ujm1eJmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

297

Archer v Archer [1999] 1 FLR 327.

1998.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYydmXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

298

K v K (Financial relief: Widow's Pension) [1997] 1 FLR 35.

1997.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYidm4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

299

Wickler v Wickler [1998] 2 FLR 326.

1998.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYudmXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

300

Douglas et al. G. The Role of Religious Tribunals in Regulating Marriage and Divorce. *Child and family law quarterly* 2012;**24**:139–57. http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2012_02_1.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2012_02_1&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2012_02_1

301

Douglas et al G. Accommodating Religious Divorce in the Secular State: A Case-Study Analysis. In: *Families: Deviance, Diversity and the Law*. Hart Publishing 2013. 185–201.

302

Sandberg R, Douglas G, Doe N, et al. Britain's Religious Tribunals: 'Joint Governance' in Practice. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies* 2013;**33**:263–91. doi:10.1093/ojls/gqs031

303

Diduck A. *Chapter 3. In: *Law's families*. London: : LexisNexis 2003.

304

Reece H. *Divorcing responsibly*. Oxford: : Hart 2003.

305

Looking to the future: mediation and the ground for divorce the Government's proposals - Publications - GOV.UK. 1995. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/looking-to-the-future-mediation-and-the-ground-for-divorce-the-governments-proposals>

306

Family Law Act 1996. 1996. <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYiZnXqdm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP>

9MBjrMi6atF

307

Supporting Families: A consultation document.
1998.<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/+http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ERO/Records/HO/421/2/P2/ACU/SUPPFAM.HTM>

308

Eekelaar J. Family Justice: Ideal or Illusion?: Family Law and Communitarian Values. *Current Legal Problems* 1995;**48**:191–216. doi:10.1093/clp/48.Part_2.191

309

Cretney S. Divorce Reform in England: Humbug and Hypocrisy or a Smooth Transition? In: *Divorce: where next?* Aldershot, Hants: : Dartmouth Pub. Co 1996.

310

Deech R. *Divorce – A Disaster? *Family law* 2009;**39**
. http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2009_11_48.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2009_11_48&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2009_11_48

311

Smart C, Neale B. *Good enough morality? Divorce and postmodernity. *Critical Social Policy* 1997;**17**:3–27. doi:10.1177/026101839701705301

312

Dewar J. *The Normal Chaos of Family Law. *Modern Law Review* 1998;**61**:467–85.
doi:10.1111/1468-2230.00157

313

Sclater SD, Piper C. *The Family Law Act 1996 in Context. In: Undercurrents of divorce. Aldershot, England: : Ashgate 1999.

314

Douglas et al. G. Supporting Children when Parents Separate - A Neglected Family Justice or Mental Health Issue? Child and family law quarterly 1996;**8**
.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_n
avParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_1996_02_3.dita.x
ml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_1996_02_3&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&reso
urce=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_1996_02_3

315

Sclater SD. *Narratives of divorce. Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law 1997;**19**
:423-41. doi:10.1080/09649069708410209

316

Sclater SD. Divorce: a psychosocial study. Aldershot, Hants, England: : Ashgate 1999.

317

Sclater SD, Brown J. Divorce: A Psychodynamic Perspective. In: Undercurrents of divorce. Aldershot, England: : Ashgate 1999.

318

Sclater SD. Experiences of Divorce. In: Undercurrents of divorce. Aldershot, England: : Ashgate 1999.

319

Collier R. The Dashing of a 'Liberal Dream'? - The Information Meeting, The 'New Family' and the Limits of Law. Child and family law quarterly 1999;**11**
.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_n
avParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_1999_03_4.dita.x
ml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_1999_03_4&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&reso
urce=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_1999_03_4

320

Eekelaar J. *Family Law: Keeping us 'On Message'. Child and family law quarterly 1999;**11**.
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_1999_04_5.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_1999_04_5&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_1999_04_5

321

Sclater SD, Piper C. *Re-moralising the Family? – Family Policy, Family Law and Youth Justice. Child and family law quarterly 2000;**12**.
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2000_02_3.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2000_02_3&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2000_02_3

322

Reece H. *Divorcing Responsibly. Feminist Legal Studies 2000;**8**:65–91.
doi:10.1023/A:1009283815775

323

Reece H. Divorcing the Children. In: Feminist perspectives on child law. London: : Cavendish 2000.

324

Eekelaar J, Beinart S, Maclean M. Family lawyers: the divorce work of solicitors. Oxford: : Hart 2000.

325

Walker et al. J. Picking up the Pieces: Marriage and Divorce: Two years after Information Provision.

2004.<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/+http://www.dca.gov.uk/pubs/reports/family2004-webpageintro.htm>

326

Responses to Civil Partnership: A framework for the legal recognition of same-sex couples. 2003.http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20040105034450/http://www.womenandequalityunit.gov.uk/research/index.htm#cp_responses

327

Matrimonial Causes Act 1973.
1973.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYmJmZKJnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

328

Civil Partnership Act 2004.
2004.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYidn3KJmWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

329

Diduck A, Kaganas F. Chapter 7. In: Family law, gender and the state. Oxford: : Hart 2012.

330

Herring J. Chapter 5. In: Family law. Harlow: : Pearson 2013.

331

Harris-Short S, Miles J. Chapter 7. In: Family law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

332

White v White [2001] 1 AC 596, [2000] 2 FLR 981.

2000.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYudmWWIikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/white-v-white>

333

Miller v Miller; McFarlane v McFarlane [2006] UKHL 24.
2006.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZuJn4WliikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/miller-v-miller-short-marriage-clean-break>

334

Charman v Charman [2007] EWCA Civ 503.
2007.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3KdnYuto2WliikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

335

Matrimonial Property, Needs and Agreements - Law Commission.
2014.<http://lawcommission.justice.gov.uk/publications/matrimonial-property.htm>

336

Suter v Suter [1987] 2 FLR 232.
1986.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3KdoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

337

Re A [1998] 1 FLR 347.
1998.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYmtoWWIikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

338

*B v B (Financial Provision Welfare of Child and Conduct) [2002] 1 FLR 555.
2002.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZetmWWIikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

339

Prest v Petrodel Resources and others [2013] UKSC 34.
2013.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo5yJn5itm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

340

George R. Family finances and the corporate veil: Prest v Petrodel. Family Law 2013;**43**
. http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/web/pub.xql?action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en&addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20011FLR0580.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20011FLR0580#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2013_08_61.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2013_08_61&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2013_08_61

341

Sharland v Sharland [2014] EWCA 95.
2014.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo5GJm5adn1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

342

Delaney v Delaney [1990] 2 FLR 457.
1990.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19902FLR0457.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19902FLR0457&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_19902FLR0457

343

Leadbeater v Leadbeater [1985] FLR 789.
1985.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo1mJnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

344

P v P (Divorce: Financial Provision Clean Break) [2002] EWCA Civ 1886; [2003] 1 FLR 942.
2002.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZiJnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/parra-v-parra>

345

N v F [2011] EWHC 586 (pre-acquired wealth).

2011.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3udoWqJm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

346

*Jones v Jones [2011] EWCA Civ 41.

2011.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3mZm3ytmYWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/jones-v-jones>

347

Y v Y (financial orders inherited wealth) [2012] EWHC Fam 2063.

2012.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4uJn3mdn5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

348

SK v WL (post separation accrual) [2011] 1 FLR 1471.

2011.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3uZm1qJmWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

349

*Miller v Miller; McFarlane v McFarlane [2006] UKHL 24.

2006.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZuJn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/miller-v-miller-short-marriage-clean-break>

350

*Charman v Charman [2007] EWCA Civ 503.

2007.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3KdnYuto2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

351

Robson v Robson [2010] EWCA Civ 1171.

2010.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3itm3GtoYWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/robson-v-robson>

352

K v L [2011] EWCA Civ 550.

2011.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3eJn2udo2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

353

McCartney v Mills-McCartney [2008] EWHC 401; [2008] 1 FCR 707.

2008.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZetoXydm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP99MBjrMi6atF>

354

North v North [2007] EWCA Civ 760.

2007.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZajm1Kto1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

355

Dart v Dart [1996] 2 FLR 286.

1996.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYetn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

356

P v P (Inherited property) [2004] EWHC 1364; [2005] 1 FLR 576.

2004.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CZm3yZm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9P9MBjrMi6atF>

357

Grey v Grey [2009] EWCA Civ 1424.

2009.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3ajm3Ctn5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

358

SA v PA [2014] EWCA 392.

2014.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXatmZuZmXqdIIouDYL2CKL2y0L2BULezlOdm9baa>

359

H v H [2014] EWHC 760.

2014.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXadm3uJmWedIIouDYL2CKL2y0L2BULezlOdm9baa>

360

White v White [2001] 1 AC 596, [2000] 2 FLR 981.

2000.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYudmWWIikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/white-v-white>

361

Miller v Miller; McFarlane v McFarlane [2006] UKHL 24.

2006.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZuJn4WlIkvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/miller-v-miller-short-marriage-clean-break>

362

Preston v Preston (1982) Fam 17.

1982.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXiZmSils1jxAZrwaJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

363

McCartney v Mills-McCartney [2008] EWHC 401; [2008] 1 FCR 707.

2008.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZetoXydm5WlIkvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

364

Miller v Miller; McFarlane v McFarlane [2006] UKHL 24.
2006.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZuJn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/miller-v-miller-short-marriage-clean-break>

365

McCartney v Mills-McCartney [2008] EWHC 401; [2008] 1 FCR 707.
2008.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZetoXydm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

366

White v White [2001] 1 AC 596, [2000] 2 FLR 981.
2000.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYudmWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/white-v-white>

367

*C v C (Financial Relief: Short Marriage) [1997] 2 FLR 26.
1997.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYitoYWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

368

Miller v Miller; McFarlane v McFarlane [2006] UKHL 24.
2006.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZuJn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/miller-v-miller-short-marriage-clean-break>

369

*White v White [2001] 1 AC 596, [2000] 2 FLR 981.
2000.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYudmWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/white-v-white>

370

*Miller v Miller; McFarlane v McFarlane [2006] UKHL 24.
2006.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZuJn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/miller-v-miller-short-marriage-clean-break>

371

B v B (Mesher Order) [2002] EWHC 3106 (Fam); [2003] 2 FLR 285.
2002.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CZm1eZn0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

372

*Miller v Miller; McFarlane v McFarlane [2006] UKHL 24.
2006.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZuJn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/miller-v-miller-short-marriage-clean-break>

373

Wachtel v Wachtel [1973] Fam 72.
1973.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZm5GJnSils1jxAZrwaJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

374

K v L [2010] EWCA Civ 125 (Child sexual abuse).
2010.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo5qdn3CdoYWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

375

Vaughn v Vaughn (financial misconduct) [2007] EWCA Civ 1085.
2007.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZado0adn0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

376

K v K [1990] 2 FLR 225.
1990.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXeZm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

377

Clark v Clark [1999] 2 FLR 498.

1999.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYCJm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

378

Kokosinski v Kokosinski [1980] 1 All ER 1106.

1980.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo0edlIOuDYL2CKL2y0L2BULezIOdm9baa>

379

H v H (Financial Relief: Attempted Murder as Conduct) [2006] 1 FLR 990.

2006.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloY CZm5mtnWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

380

T v T (Financial relief: Pensions) [1998] 1 FLR 1072.

1998.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYqZnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

381

C v C (Financial Relief: Short Marriage) [1997] 2 FLR 26.

1997.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYitoYWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

382

Suter v Suter [1987] 2 All ER 336.

1987.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3KdoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

383

Mawson v Mawson [1994] 2 FLR 985.

1994.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXCto1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

384

K v K (Ancillary Relief: Prenuptial Agreement) [2003] 1 FLR 120.

2003.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZitm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

385

K v K (Periodical Payment: Cohabitation) [2006] 2 FLR 468.

2006.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYGJn2iZm1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

386

Miller v Miller; McFarlane v McFarlane [2006] UKHL 24.

2006.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZuJn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/miller-v-miller-short-marriage-clean-break>

387

Martin v Martin [1978] Fam 12.

1977.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYGJn4Gto4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

388

Clutton v Clutton [1991] 1 All ER 340.

1991.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXetoZWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

389

Mesher v Mesher and Hall [1980] 1 All ER 126.

1980.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYCZn1adoWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

390

Piglowska v Piglowski [1999] 1 WLR 1360.

1999.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4uZmWGZnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/piglowski-v-piglowski>

391

B v B (Mesher Order) [2002] EWHC 3106 (Fam); [2003] 2 FLR 285.

2002.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CZm1eZn0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

392

Richardson v Richardson [1978] 9 Fam Law 86.

1978.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZidm4Ctn5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

393

T v T (Financial relief: Pensions) [1998] 1 FLR 1072.

1998.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYqZnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

394

S v S [2002] 1 FLR 457.

2002.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZedm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/s-v-s-rescission-of-decree-nisi-pension-sharing-provision>

395

Do Divorced Women Catch Up in Pension Building? Child and family law quarterly 2002; **14**.
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2002_02_3.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2002_02_3&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2002_02_3

396

PRICE D. Closing the Gender Gap in Retirement Income: What Difference Will Recent UK

Pension Reforms Make? Journal of Social Policy 2007;**36**. doi:10.1017/S0047279407001183

397

Price D. Pension Accumulation and Gendered Household Structures. In: Sharing lives, dividing assets: an inter-disciplinary study. Oxford: : Hart Pub 2009.

<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781847315274>

398

Xydias v Xydias [1999] 1 FLR 683 – general policy.

1999.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYyZmXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

399

Soulsbury v Soulsbury [2007] EWCA Civ 969.

2007.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZetoXytm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

400

Edgar v Edgar [1980] 1 WLR 1410.

1980.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3GdIIOuDYl2CKL2y0L2BULezIOdm9baa>

401

MacLeod v MacLeod [2008] UKPC 64.

2009.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZido0mJm3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/macleod-v-macleod>

402

Crossley v Crossley [2007] EWCA Civ 1491.

2007.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZetn5Cto4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

403

*Radmacher v Granatino [2009] EWCA 649.

2010. <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CZn4adn5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

404

Z v Z (No 2) [2011] EWHC 2878.

2011. <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo5qdn2udm3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

405

B v S [2012] EWHC 265.

2012. <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4aJnXKZn3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

406

V v V [2011] EWHC 3230.

2011. <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3GZmYKdo2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

407

SA v PA (pre-marital agreement) [2014] EWCA 392.

2014. <http://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXatmZuZmXqdIIOuDYl2CKL2y0L2BULezlOdm9baa>

408

Pavlou P. Pre-Nuptial Agreements – Back Where we Were? Kremen v Agrest. Family law 2012;**42**

.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2012_08_40.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2012_08_40&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2012_08_40

409

Stack v Dowden [2007] UKHL 17.

2007.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2mZn0itnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha/stack-v-dowden>

410

Jones v Kernott [2011] UKSC 53.

2011.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2GdnZuto0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

411

Consultation Paper No 179: Cohabitation: The Financial Consequences of Relationship Breakdown (Overview). 2006.<http://lawcommission.justice.gov.uk/areas/cohabitation.htm>

412

Supplementary Consultation Paper Matrimonial Property, Needs and Agreements.

2012.http://lawcommission.justice.gov.uk/consultations/matrimonial_property.htm

413

*V v V [2011] EWHC 3230.

2011.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3GZmYKdo2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

414

McFarlane v McFarlane (no 2) [2009] EWHC 891.

2009.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CdoXGJnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

415

GW v RW (Financial provision: Departure From Equality) [2003] 2 FLR 108.

2003.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYcto3CdoZWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

416

MacLeod v MacLeod [2008] UKPC 64.

2009.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZido0mJm3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/macleod-v-macleod>

417

Radmacher v Granatino [2009] EWCA 649.

2010.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CZn4adn5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP99MBjrMi6atF>

418

H v H [2007] EWHC 459 (Fam).

2007.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYKtn4ytn2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

419

S v S [2007] EWHC 1975 (Fam).

2007.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYCJnXeJn5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

420

B v B [2008] EWCA Civ 543.

2008.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZetoXmZmXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP99MBjrMi6atF/b-v-b-ancillary-relief-distribution-of-assets>

421

VB v JP [2008] EWHC 112.

2008.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZeJn1qdn5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

422

Piglowska v Piglowski [1999] 1 WLR 1360.

1999.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4uZmWGZnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/piglowski-v-piglowski>

423

McCartney v Mills-McCartney [2008] EWHC 401; [2008] 1 FCR 707.

2008.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZetoXydm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

424

Myerson v. Myerson (2009) 1 FLR 826.

2009.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZido1iZm3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

425

Miles J. *Charman v Charman: making sense of need, compensation and equal sharing after Miller/McFarlane. *Child and family law quarterly* 2008;**20**

.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2008_03_5.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2008_03_5&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2008_03_5

426

Diduck A. *Ancillary Relief: Complicating the Search for Principle. *Journal of Law and Society* 2011;**38**:272–300. doi:10.1111/j.1467-6478.2011.00543.x

427

Leech S, Young R. Marriage, divorce and ancillary relief under the Human Rights Act 1998: an introduction. In: *European human rights law review*. 2001.

300–11.<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I8497AF10E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

428

Douglas G, Perry A. How parents cope financially on separation and divorce- implications for the future of ancillary relief. *Child and family law quarterly* 2001;**13**
.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2001_01_5.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2001_01_5&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2001_01_5

429

Eekelaar J. Back to Basics and Forward into the Unknown. *Family law* 2001;**31**
.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2001_01_27.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2001_01_27&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2001_01_27

430

Diduck A. Fairness and Justice for All? The House of Lords in *White v. White* [2000] 2 F.L.R. 981. *Feminist Legal Studies* 2001;**9**:173-83. doi:10.1023/A:1016744705288

431

Diduck A. Chapter 6. In: *Law's families*. London: : LexisNexis 2003.

432

Cretney S. The Family and the Law - Status or Contract? *Child and family law quarterly* 2003;**15**
.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2003_04_6.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2003_04_6&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2003_04_6

433

Edwards S. Division of Assets and Fairness - 'Brick Lane' - Gender, Culture and Ancillary Relief on Divorce. *Family law* 2004;**34**.

434

Eekelaar J. Asset distribution on divorce - the durational element. *Law quarterly review* 2001;**117**

.<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I79FFBD40E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

435

Eekelaar J. Asset Distribution on Divorce – Time and Property. *Family law* 2003;**33**

.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2003_11_29.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2003_11_29&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2003_11_29

436

Herring J. Why Financial Orders on Divorce should be Unfair. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family* 2005;**19**:218–28. doi:10.1093/lawfam/ebi016

437

Symes P. INDISSOLUBILITY AND THE CLEAN BREAK. *The Modern Law Review* 1985;**48**:44–60. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2230.1985.tb00825.x

438

John Eekelaar. Equality and the Purpose of Maintenance. *Journal of Law and Society* 1988;**15**:188–200.http://www.jstor.org/stable/1410053?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

439

Diduck A, Orton H. Equality and Support for Spouses. *The Modern Law Review* 1994;**57**:681–702. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2230.1994.tb01969.x

440

Diduck A. *What is Family Law For? *Current Legal Problems* 2011;**64**:287–314. doi:10.1093/clp/cur010

441

Hale B. *Equality and autonomy in family law. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law* 2011;**33**:3-14. doi:10.1080/09649069.2011.571466

442

Thorpe M. London- The Divorce Capital of the World. *Family law* 2009;**39**
.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_n
avParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2009_01_24.dita.xml
&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2009_01_24&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=
&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2009_01_24

443

Deech R. What's a Woman Worth? *Family law* 2009;**39**
.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_n
avParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2009_12_27.dita.xml
&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2009_12_27&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=
&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2009_12_27

444

Ferguson L. *Family, Social Inequalities, and the Persuasive Force of Interpersonal
Obligation. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family* 2007;**22**:61-90.
doi:10.1093/lawfam/ebm015

445

Glennon L. *The limitations of equality discourses on the contours of intimate relations. In:
Rights, gender and family law. Abingdon: : Routledge 2010.

446

Hitchings E. *Chaos or Consistency? Ancillary Relief in the 'Everyday' Case. In: *Sharing
lives, dividing assets: an inter-disciplinary study*. Oxford: : Hart Pub 2009.
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781847315274>

447

Ouazzani S. Prenuptial agreements: the implications of gender. Family law 2013;**43**
.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_n
avParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2013_04_56.dita.xml
&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2013_04_56&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=
&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2013_04_56

448

Herring J. Chapter 5. In: Family law. Harlow: : Pearson 2013.

449

Diduck A, Kaganas F. Chapter 7. In: Family law, gender and the state. Oxford: : Hart 2012.

450

Harris-Short S, Miles J. Chapter 6. In: Family law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford: :
Oxford University Press 2011.

451

Supporting Separated Families, Securing Children's Futures: A Public Consultation
Document.
2012.<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/supporting-separated-families-securing-children-s-futures>

452

Government response to the consultation: Supporting separated families: securing
children's futures.
2013.<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/supporting-separated-families-securing-children-s-futures>

453

Douglas et al. G. Contact is not a Commodity to be Bartered for Money. Family law 2011; **41**

.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2011_05_44.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2011_05_44&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2011_05_44

454

R. (on the application of Kehoe) v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions [2005] UKHL 48; [2004] EWCA Civ 225; [2004] 1 FLR 1132 (on enforcement).
2005.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZmtm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

455

R (Rowley and others) v Sec State for Work and Pensions [2007] EWCA Civ 598.
2007.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZatn5yto3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

456

T v B [2010] EWHC 1444 (Fam).
2010.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3idmZitn2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

457

Re A (child of the Family) [1998] 1 FLR 347.
1998.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYmtoWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

458

Re C (A Minor) (child Support Agency: Disclosure) [1995] 1 FLR 201.
1995.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXmjn0CdIIOuDYl2CKL2y0L2BULezlOdm9baa>

459

Re E (A Minor) (child Support: Blood Test) [1994] 2 FLR 548.
1994.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXCdn5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

460

J v C (Child: Financial Provision) [1999] 1 FLR 152.
1999.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYudo1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

461

Re P (A Child) (Financial provision) [2003] 2 FLR 865.
2003.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo1uZm3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

462

Secretary of State for Work and Pensions v Jones [2004] 1 FLR. 282.
2003.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CZm4Ktn1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

463

Treharne v. Sec of State for W&P [2009] 1 FLR 853.
2008.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZido5mZmXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

464

Brookes v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions [2010] EWCA Civ 420.
2010.<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2KZn1iZmXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

465

Bryson C, Ellman IM, McKay S, et al. Child Maintenance: How Much Should the State Require Fathers to Pay When Families Separate? 2013. doi:10.2139/ssrn.2321788

466

Skinner C. Child Maintenance Reforms: Understanding Fathers' Expressive Agency and the Power of Reciprocity. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family* 2013;**27**:242–65. doi:10.1093/lawfam/ebt005

467

Strengthening Families, Promoting Parental Responsibility: the future of child maintenance Cm 7990. 2011. <https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/strengthening-families-promoting-parental-responsibility-the-future-of-child-maintenance>

468

Henshaw D. Recovering Child Support: routes to responsibility. 2006. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/recovering-child-support-routes-to-responsibility>

469

Diduck A. Chapter 7. In: *Law's families*. London: : LexisNexis 2003.

470

Wikeley N. Private Cases and the Child Support Agency. *Family law* 2001;**31**. http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/web/pub.xql?c=t&action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2001_01_28.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2001_01_28&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2001_01_28

471

Collier R. The Campaign Against the Child Support Act: 'Errant Fathers and Family Men'. *Family law* 1994;**24**:384–7.

472

Alison Diduck. The Unmodified Family: The Child Support Act and the Construction of Legal Subjects. *Journal of Law and Society* 1995;**22**

:527-48.http://www.jstor.org/stable/1410613?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

473

MACLEAN M, EEKELAAR J. CHILD SUPPORT: THE BRITISH SOLUTION. 'International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family' 1993;**7**:205-29. doi:10.1093/lawfam/7.2.205

474

Altman S. A Theory of Child Support. International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family 2003;**17**:173-210. doi:10.1093/lawfam/17.2.173