

LAWS3010: Family Law

[View Online](#)

-
1.
Oldham, M.: Blackstone's statutes on family law 2014-2015. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).
 2.
Herring, J.: Family law. Pearson, Harlow (2013).
 3.
Lowe, N.V., Douglas, G., Bromley, P.M.: Bromley's family law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2007).
 4.
Masson, J.M., Bailey-Harris, R.J., Probert, R., Cretney, S.M.: Cretney principles of family law. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2008).
 5.
Diduck, A.: Law's families. LexisNexis, London (2003).
 6.
George, R.H.: Ideas and debates in family law. Hart, Oxford (2012).

7.

Herring, J., Probert, R., Gilmore, S.: Great debates in family law. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2012).

8.

Choudhry, S., Herring, J.: European human rights and family law. Hart, Oxford (2010).

9.

Gilmore, S., Herring, J., Probert, R.: Landmark cases in family law. Hart, Oxford (2011).

10.

Diduck, A., Kaganas, F.: Family law, gender and the state. Hart, Oxford (2012).

11.

Harris-Short, S., Miles, J.: Family law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

12.

Hale, B.: The family, law & society. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2009).

13.

Archard, D.: Children: Rights and Childhood. Taylor and Francis, Hoboken (2004).

14.

Archard, D.: Children, family, and the state. Ashgate, Aldershot (2003).

15.

Bainham, A.: Children and their families: contact, rights and welfare. Hart, Oxford (2003).

16.

Barker, N.: Not the marrying kind: a feminist critique of same-sex marriage. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2012).

17.

Barlow, A.: Cohabitation, marriage and the law: social change and legal reform in the 21st century. Hart, Oxford (2005).

18.

Bernstein, A.: Marriage proposals: questioning a legal status. New York University Press, New York (2006).

19.

Bradley, D.: Family law and political culture: (Scandinavian laws in comparative perspective). Sweet & Maxwell, London (1996).

20.

Monk, D., Bridgeman, J.: Feminist perspectives on child law. Cavendish, London (2000).

21.

Bridgeman, J., Lind, C., Keating, H.M.: Responsibility, law and the family. Ashgate, Aldershot (2008).

22.

Bridgeman, J., Keating, H.M., Lind, C.: Regulating family responsibilities. Ashgate, Farnham (2011).

23.

Burton, M.: Legal responses to domestic violence. Routledge-Cavendish, London (2008).

24.

Carling, A.H., Duncan, S., Edwards, R.: Analysing families: morality and rationality in policy and practice. Routledge, London (2002).

25.

Collier, R., Sheldon, S.: Fragmenting fatherhood: a socio-legal study. Hart, Oxford (2008).

26.

Cretney, S.: Family Law in the Twentieth Century. Oxford University Press (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199280919.001.0001>.

27.

Sclater, S.D.: Divorce: a psychosocial study. Ashgate, Aldershot, Hants, England (1999).

28.

Piper, C., Sclater, S.D.: Undercurrents of divorce. Ashgate, Aldershot, England (1999).

29.

Diduck, A.: Marriage and cohabitation. Ashgate, Aldershot (2008).

30.

Diduck, A., O'Donovan, K.: Feminist perspectives on family law. Routledge-Cavendish, Abingdon (2006).

31.

Dobash, R.E., Cavanagh, K.: Changing violent men. Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks, Calif (2000).

32.

Donzelot, J., Hurley, R.: The policing of families. Hutchinson, London.

33.

Lowe, N.V., Douglas, G.: The continuing evolution of family law. Family Law, Bristol (2009).

34.

Eekelaar, J.: Family Law and Personal Life. Oxford University Press (2007).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199535422.001.0001>.

35.

Eekelaar, J., Maclean, M.: Family justice: the work of family judges in uncertain times. Hart Publishing, Oxford (2013).

36.

Eskridge, W.N.: Equality practice: civil unions and the future of gay rights. Routledge, New York (2002).

37.

Finch, J., Mason, J.: Negotiating family responsibilities. Routledge (1992).

38.

Fineman, M.: The illusion of equality: the rhetoric and reality of divorce reform. University

of Chicago Press, Chicago (1991).

39.

Fineman, M.: The neutered mother, the sexual family, and other twentieth century tragedies. Routledge, New York (1995).

40.

Fineman, M.: The autonomy myth: a theory of dependency. New Press, New York (2004).

41.

Fortin, J.: Children's rights and the developing law. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2009).

42.

Freeman, M.D.A.: The moral status of children: essays on the rights of the child. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, Boston (1997).

43.

Goldstein, J.: The best interests of the child: the least detrimental alternative. Free Press, New York (1996).

44.

Hale, B., Hamlyn Trust: From the test tube to the coffin: choice and regulation in private life. Stevens & Sons/Sweet & Maxwell, London (1996).

45.

Heaphy, B., Smart, C., Einarsdottir, A.: Same sex marriages: new generations, new relationships. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2013).

46.

Herring, J.: *Caring and the law*. Hart Publishing, Oxford (2013).

47.

Heinze, E.: *Of innocence and autonomy: children, sex and human rights*. Dartmouth, Aldershot, Hants, England (2000).

48.

King, M.: *A better world for children?: explorations in morality and authority*. Routledge, New York (1997).

49.

Lee, N.: *Childhood and society: growing up in an age of uncertainty*. Open University, Maidenhead (2001).

50.

Maclean, M., Eekelaar, J.: *The parental obligation: a study of parenthood across households*. Hart Publishing, Oxford (1997).

51.

Maclean, M., Eekelaar, J.: *Family law advocacy: how barristers help the victims of family failure*. Hart, Oxford (2009).

52.

Eekelaar, J., Maclean, M.: *Family justice: the work of family judges in uncertain times*. Hart Publishing, Oxford (2013).

53.

Maclean, M., Eekelaar, J.: *Managing family justice in diverse societies*. Hart Publishing, Oxford (2013).

54.

Maclean, M.: Parenting after partnering: containing conflict after separation. Hart Pub, Oxford (2007).

55.

Dempsey, M.M.: Prosecuting domestic violence: a philosophical analysis. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2009).

56.

Miles, J., Probert, R.: Sharing lives, dividing assets: an inter-disciplinary study. Hart Pub, Oxford (2009).

57.

Morgan, D.H.J.: Family connections: an introduction to family studies. Polity Press, Cambridge, UK (1996).

58.

Morgan, D.H.J.: Rethinking family practices. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2011).

59.

Mount, F.: The subversive family: an alternative history of love and marriage. Unwin, London (1982).

60.

Mullender, A., Morley, R.: Children living with domestic violence: putting men's abuse of women on the child care agenda. Whiting & Birch, London (1994).

61.

O'Donovan, K.: Sexual divisions in law. Weidenfeld and Nicolson, London (1985).

62.

O'Donovan, K.: Family law matters. Pluto Press, London (1993).

63.

Okin, S.M.: Justice, gender, and the family. Basic Books, New York (1989).

64.

Pahl, J.: Money and marriage. Macmillan Education, Basingstoke (1989).

65.

Parker, S.: Informal marriage, cohabitation and the law, 1750-1989. Macmillan, London (1990).

66.

Parton, N.: The politics of child abuse. Macmillan, Basingstoke (1985).

67.

Pateman, C.: The sexual contract. Polity, Cambridge (1988).

68.

Piper, C.: The responsible parent: a study of divorce mediation. Harvester Wheatsheaf, New York (1993).

69.

Pizzey, E., Forbes, A.: Scream quietly or the neighbours will hear. Penguin, Harmondsworth (1974).

70.

Probert, R.: Family life and the law: under one roof. Ashgate, Aldershot (2007).

71.

Probert, R.: Marriage law and practice in the long eighteenth century: a reassessment. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2009).

72.

Probert, R., Barton, C., Cretney, S.M.: Fifty years in family law: essays for Stephen Cretney. Intersentia, Cambridge (2012).

73.

Probert, R.: The changing legal regulation of cohabitation: from fornicators to family, 1600-2010. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012).

74.

Reece, H.: Divorcing responsibly. Hart, Oxford (2003).

75.

Regan, M.C.: Family law and the pursuit of intimacy. New York University Press, New York (1993).

76.

Rodger, J.J.: Family life and social control: a sociological perspective. Macmillan, Basingstoke (1996).

77.

Sarat, A., Felstiner, W.L.F.: Divorce lawyers and their clients: power and meaning in the

legal process. Oxford University Press, New York (1995).

78.

Shanley, M.L.: Just marriage. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2004).

79.

Silva, E.B., Smart, C.: The new family? Sage, London (1999).

80.

Smart, C.: Personal life: new directions in sociological thinking. Polity, Cambridge (2007).

81.

Smart, C.: The ties that bind: law, marriage and the reproduction of patriarchal relations. Routledge & Kegan Paul, London (1984).

82.

Smart, C.: Feminism and the power of law. Routledge, London (1989).

83.

Smart, C., Neale, B.: Family fragments? Polity Press, Cambridge (1999).

84.

Smart, C., Neale, B., Wade, A.: The changing experience of childhood: families and divorce. Polity, Cambridge (2001).

85.

Spencer, J.R., Bois-Pedain, A. de: Freedom and responsibility in reproductive choice. Hart

Publishing, Oxford (2006).

86.

Stark, E.: Coercive control: the entrapment of women in personal life. Oxford University Press, New York (2007).

87.

Wallbank, J.A., Choudhry, S., Herring, J.: Rights, gender and family law. Routledge, Abingdon (2010).

88.

Wallerstein, J.S., Kelly, J.B.: Surviving the breakup: how children and parents cope with divorce. Grant McIntyre, London (1980).

89.

Wallerstein, J.S., Blakeslee, S.: Second chances. Bantam, London (1989).

90.

Weitzman, L.J.: The divorce revolution: the unexpected social and economic consequences for women and children in America. Free Press, New York (1987).

91.

Wikeley, N.: Child support: law and policy. Hart, Oxford (2006).

92.

International journal of law, policy and the family.

93.

Family law.

94.

Child and family law quarterly.

95.

The Journal of social welfare & family law.

96.

Family law quarterly.

97.

Children's Legal Centre (London, England): Childright. (1983).

98.

The International Journal of Children's Rights » Brill Online.

99.

International Family Law.

100.

Fitzpatrick v Sterling Housing Association Ltd - [2001] 1 AC 27. (1999).

101.

Vallianatos and others v Greece (App. nos. 29381/09 and 32684/09) - [2013] ECHR 29381/09. (2013).

102.

SCHALK AND KOPF v. AUSTRIA - 30141/04 [2010] ECHR 995 (24 June 2010),
<http://www.bailii.org/eu/cases/ECHR/2010/995.html>.

103.

Diduck, A., Kaganas, F.: *Chapter 1. In: Family law, gender and the state. Hart, Oxford (2012).

104.

Herring, J.: *Chapter 1. In: Family law. Pearson, Harlow (2013).

105.

Harris-Short, S., Miles, J.: Chapter 1. In: Family law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

106.

Secretary of State for Work and Pensions v M. (2006).

107.

Diduck, A., Kaganas, F.: *Chapter 5. In: Family law, gender and the state. Hart, Oxford (2012).

108.

Green Paper on the Family,
<http://www.centreforsocialjustice.org.uk/publications/green-paper-on-the-family>.

109.

JDiduck, A.: A Family by any other Name ... or StarbucksTM comes to England. Journal of Law and Society. 28, 290–310 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-6478.00190>.

110.

Bridgeman, J., Lind, C., Keating, H.M.: Responsibility, law and the family. Ashgate, Aldershot (2008).

111.

Silva, E.B., Smart, C.: The new family? Sage, London (1999).

112.

Dewar, J.: The Normal Chaos of Family Law. *Modern Law Review*. 61, 467–485 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2230.00157>.

113.

Dewar, J.: Family law and its discontents. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 14, 59–85 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1093/lawfam/14.1.59>.

114.

Fineman, M.: The neutered mother, the sexual family, and other twentieth century tragedies. Routledge, New York (1995).

115.

Weeks, J.: Elective Families: lesbian and gay life experiments. In: *Analysing families: morality and rationality in policy and practice*. Routledge, London (2002).

116.

Carling, A.H., Duncan, S., Edwards, R.: *Analysing families: morality and rationality in policy and practice*. Routledge, London (2002).

117.

Diduck, A.: Shifting Familiarity. *Current Legal Problems*. 58, 235–254 (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/clp/58.1.235>.

118.

Eekelaar, J.: *Family Law and Personal Life*. Oxford University Press (2007).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199535422.001.0001>.

119.

Glennon, L.: Obligations Between Adult Partners: Moving From Form to Function? *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 22, 22–60 (2007).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/lawfam/ebm017>.

120.

Millbank, J.: The role of 'functional family' in same-sex family recognition trends. *Family Law*. 20, 131–283 (2008).

121.

Duncan, S., Carter, J., Phillips, M., Roseneil, S., Stoilova, M.: Legal rights for people who 'Live Apart Together'? *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 34, 443–458 (2012).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09649069.2012.753731>.

122.

Farrah Ahmed and Jane Calderwood Norton*: Religious tribunals, religious freedom, and concern for vulnerable women. *Child and Family Law Quarterly*. 24, 363–387 (2012).

123.

Authority and Authenticity: Sharia Councils, Muslim Women's Rights and the English courts. *Child and family law quarterly*. 25, 119–136 (2013).

124.

Family justice review: final report,

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/family-justice-review-final-report--2>, (2011).

125.

Government response to the family justice review,
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/government-response-to-the-family-justice-review-a-system-with-children-and-families-at-its-heart>, (2012).

126.

Long, R.: Family Justice Review update: Contact and other issues for parents following separation - Commons Library Standard Note,
<http://www.parliament.uk/business/publications/research/briefing-papers/SN06335/family-justice-review-update-contact-and-other-issues-for-parents-following-separation>, (2012).

127.

Justice Ryder: Judicial Proposals for the Modernisation of Family Justice,
<https://www.judiciary.gov.uk/publications/family-modernisation-final-report/>, (2012).

128.

A brighter future for Family Justice,
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/whats-happened-since-the-family-justice-review-a-brighter-future-for-family-justice>, (2014).

129.

George, R.H.: *Ideas and debates in family law. Hart, Oxford (2012).

130.

Eekelaar, John: Evaluating Legal Regulation of Family Behaviour. International Journal of the Jurisprudence of the Family. 1, (2010).

131.

Eekelaar, J.: "Not of the Highest Importance": Family justice under threat. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 33, 311-317 (2011).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09649069.2011.632883>.

132.

A Diduck: Autonomy and Vulnerability in family law: the missing link. In: Wallbank, J.A. and Herring, J. (eds.) *Vulnerabilities, care and family law*. Routledge, Abingdon, Oxon (2014).

133.

Eekelaar, J., Maclean, M.: *Family justice: the work of family judges in uncertain times*. Hart Publishing, Oxford (2013).

134.

Cobb, S.: Legal aid reform: its impact on family law. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 35, 3-19 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1080/09649069.2013.774607>.

135.

Family Law Online special issue May 2014.

136.

Q v Q [2014] EWFC 31,
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5CVC-5321-F0JY-C2TR&csi=316762&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (2014).

137.

Diduck, A., Kaganas, F.: Chapter 2. In: *Family law, gender and the state*. Hart, Oxford (2012).

138.

Herring, J.: Chapter 2. In: *Family law*. Pearson, Harlow (2013).

139.

Harris-Short, S., Miles, J.: Chapter 2. In: Family law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

140.

George, R.H.: Ideas and debates in family law. Hart, Oxford (2012).

141.

Matrimonial Causes Act 1973,
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-61R0-TWPY-Y1H9&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (1973).

142.

Marriage (Same Sex Couples) Act 2013,
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=58YH-KVW1-DYCN-C1YY&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (2013).

143.

Hudson v Leigh [2009] EWHC 1306,
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?oc=00240&elb=t&Ini=7VYJ-BVP0-YBF6-71X9&perma=true&csi=316762&secondRedirectIndicator=true>, (2009).

144.

Leigh v Hudson [2009] EWCA Civ 1442,
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?oc=00240&elb=t&Ini=7XK5-RG80-YBF6-73KP&perma=true&csi=316762&secondRedirectIndicator=true>, (2009).

145.

MA v JA [2012] EWHC 2219 (Fam),
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5676-WV21-F0JY-C46B&csi=316762&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (2012).

146.

Bevan, C.: The role of intention in non-marriage cases post *Hudson v Leigh*. *Child and family law quarterly*. 25, (2013).

147.

Probert, Rebecca: The Evolving Concept of Non-Marriage. *Child and Family Law Quarterly*. 314–351 (2013).

148.

Gender Recognition Act 2004,
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-61F0-TWPY-Y18X&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (2004).

149.

Sheffield City Council v E & Anor [2004] EWHC 2808 (Fam) (02 December 2004),
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Fam/2004/2808.html>.

150.

Wilkinson v Kitzinger and others [2006] EWHC 2022 (Fam),
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=59MP-VG31-DYBP-P0N7&csi=274662&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (2006).

151.

Harding, R.: 'Wilkinson v Kitzinger' (An alternative Judgment). In: *Feminist judgments: from theory to practice*. pp. 425–430. Hart, Oxford (2010).

152.

Equal marriage consultation - Consultations - GOV.UK,
<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/equal-marriage-consultation>, (2012).

153.

R (on the application of Quila and another) v Secretary of State for the Home Department; R (on the application of Bibi and another) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2011] UKSC 45,
<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=540Y-JNJ1-F0JY-C4JF&csi=316762&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (2011).

154.

Every Family Matters: An in-depth review of family law in Britain,
<http://www.centreforsocialjustice.org.uk/publications/every-family-matters>, (2009).

155.

R (on the application of Baiai and others) v Secretary of State for the Home Department; R (on the application of Trzcinska and others) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2008] UKHL 53,
<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=59MP-VGC1-DYBP-P4KY&csi=274662&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (2008).

156.

P v R (Forced Marriage: Annulment) [2003] 1 FLR 661,
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20031FLR0661.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20031FLR0661&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_20031FLR0661, (2002).

157.

B v I [2010] 1 FLR 1721 (forced marriage as non-marriage),
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/web/pub.xql?action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en&addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20101FLR1721.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20101FLR1721, (2010).

158.

NS v MI [2006] EWHC 1646 (Fam), [2007] 2 FCR 748,
<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4R46-GW00-TWP1-D108&csi=274607&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (2006).

159.

Goodwin v United Kingdom (Application 28957/95) [2002] IRLR 664, [2002] 2 FCR 577, <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4R47-6YT0-TWP1-D0YN&csi=274607&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (2002).

160.

Schafer, L: Marrying an in-law? The future of prohibited degrees of affinity and consanguinity in English Law. *Child and Family Law Quarterly*. 20, 219–229 (2008).

161.

Lewis, Jane: Marriage and Cohabitation and the Nature of Commitment. *Child and Family Law Quarterly*. 11, (1999).

162.

Diduck, A.: Chapter 2. In: *Law's families*. LexisNexis, London (2003).

163.

Probert, R.: *Marriage law and practice in the long eighteenth century: a reassessment*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2009).

164.

Norrie, Kenneth McK: Marriage is for Heterosexuals - May the Rest of Us Be Saved From It. *Child and Family Law Quarterly*. 12, (2000).

165.

Marriage Act 1949,
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-G0K0-TWPY-Y0ND&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (1949).

166.

Anitha, S., Gill, A.: Coercion, Consent and the Forced Marriage Debate in the UK. *Feminist Legal Studies*. 17, 165–184 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10691-009-9119-4>.

167.

A choice by right : the report of the working group on forced marriage. Home Office Communications Directorate, [London] (2000).

168.

Bellinger v Bellinger (Lord Chancellor intervening) - [2003] 2 AC 467, <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JT8-8WN0-TXD8-60S7&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (2003).

169.

CORBETT v. CORBETT (OTHERWISE ASHLEY) - [1971] P. 83, <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JNM-XPY0-TXD6-F09Y&csi=296981&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (1970).

170.

Corbett v Corbett (otherwise Ashley) - [1970] 2 All ER 33, <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-35T0-TWP1-60SD&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (1970).

171.

THE REES CASE [1987] 2 FLR 111, http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19872FLR0111.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19872FLR0111&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_19872FLR0111, (1986).

172.

Cossey v Cossey [1991] 2 FLR 492,

http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19912FLR0492.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19912FLR0492&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_19912FLR0492, (1991).

173.

SHEFFIELD AND HORSHAM v UK [1998] 2 FLR 928,
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19982FLR0928.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19982FLR0928&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_19982FLR0928, (1998).

174.

W v W (Nullity: Gender) [2001] 1 FLR 324,
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20011FLR0324.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20011FLR0324&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_20011FLR0324, (2001).

175.

Gereis v Yagoub [1997] 1 FLR 854,
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19971FLR0854.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19971FLR0854&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_19971FLR0854, (1997).

176.

Chief Adjudication Officer v Bath [2000] 1 FLR 8,
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20001FLR0008.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20001FLR0008&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_20001FLR0008, (2000).

177.

B and L v UK [2006] 1 FLR 35,
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20061FLR0035.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20061FLR0035&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_20061FLR0035, (2006).

178.

The Marriage Act 1949 (Remedial) Order 2007 No. 438,
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4SW7-STT0-TX08-H19V&csi=283307&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (2007).

179.

Singh v Singh [1971] P 226,
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JNM-XPY0-TXD6-F0FK&csi=296981&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (1971).

180.

Hirani v Hirani [1983] 4 FLR 232,
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19834FLR0232.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19834FLR0232&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_19834FLR0232, (1982).

181.

Vervaeke v Smith (Messina and A-G intervening) [1983] 1 AC 145, [1982] 2 All ER 144,
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JT8-8WP0-TXD8-60P2&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (1983).

182.

Hyde v Hyde and Woodmansee - [1861-73] All ER Rep 175,
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FPP-FDH0-TWXJ-213C&csi=279846&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (1866).

183.

Gandhi v Patel et al [2002] 1 FLR 603,
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20021FLR0603.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20021FLR0603&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_20021FLR0603, (2011).

184.

BALFOUR v. BALFOUR. - [1919] 2 K.B. 571,
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K4F-RWJ0-TXD5-X0ST&csi=296982&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (1919).

185.

Eekelaar, J.: Marriage: a modest proposal. Family Law. 83, (2013).

186.

Halpern et al. v. Attorney General of Canada et al. (June 10, 2003),
<http://www.ontariocourts.on.ca/decisions/2003/june/halpernC39172.htm>, (2003).

187.

Minister of Home Affairs and another v Fourie and another; Lesbian and Gay Equality Project and others v Minister of Home Affairs and others - (2005) 20 BHRC 368. (2005).

188.

Home Affairs v Fourie [2005] ZACC 19, <http://www.saflii.org/za/cases/ZACC/2005/19.html>, (2005).

189.

United States v Windsor 570 US 2013,
<http://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/slipopinion/12>, (2012).

190.

Auchmuty, R.: What's so special about marriage? The Impact of Wilkinson v Kitzinger. Child and family law quarterly. 20, (2008).

191.

Tatchell, P.: Why our new same-sex marriage is not yet equal marriage. New statesman. (2013).

192.

Civil Partnership Act 2004,
<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-FBY0-TWPY-Y055&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (2004).

193.

Equality Act 2010 section 202,
<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7Y7R-3430-Y97X-73G2&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (2010).

194.

*Vallianatos v Greece (Application nos 29381/09 and 32684/09),

195.

Bamforth, N.: The benefits of marriage in all but name? Same sex couples and the Civil Partnership Act 2004. Child and family law quarterly. 19, (2007).

196.

Stychin, C.: *Family Friendly?: Rights, Responsibilities and Relationship Recognition. In: Feminist perspectives on family law. Routledge-Cavendish, Abingdon (2006).

197.

Crompton, L.: The Civil Partnerships Bill 2004: The Illusion of Equality. Family law. 34, (2004).

198.

Hale, B.: Homosexual Rights. Child and family law quarterly. 16, (2004).

199.

Civil Partnership: A Framework for the legal recognition of same-sex couples, <https://web.archive.org/web/20030801141524/http://www.womenandequalityunit.gov.uk/research/index.htm#civilpartnerships>, (2003).

200.

*Cohabitation: The Financial Consequences of Relationship Breakdown (Overview), <http://lawcommission.justice.gov.uk/areas/cohabitation.htm>, (2006).

201.

*Cohabitation: The Financial Consequences of Relationship Breakdown, <http://lawcommission.justice.gov.uk/areas/cohabitation.htm>, (2007).

202.

Ghaidan v Godin-Mendoza [2004] UKHL 30, <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=59MP-VFS1-DYBP-P11K&csi=274662&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (2004).

203.

Barlow, A., James, G.: *Regulating Marriage and Cohabitation in 21st Century Britain. Modern Law Review. 67, 143–176 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2230.2004.00482.x>.

204.

CM v STS [2008] CSOH 125 (2 September 2008),
<http://www.scotcourts.gov.uk/opinions/2008CSOH125.html>, (2008).

205.

Miles, J., Wasoff, F., Mordaunt, E.: Reforming family law – the case of cohabitation: ‘things may not work out as you expect’. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 34, 167–177 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1080/09649069.2012.718532>.

206.

Douglas, G., Pearce, J., Woodward, H.: Cohabitants, Property and the Law: A Study of Injustice. *Modern Law Review*. 72, 24–47 (2009).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2230.2009.00732.x>.

207.

Barlow, A.: Cohabitation, marriage and the law: social change and legal reform in the 21st century. Hart, Oxford (2005).

208.

Deech, R.: Cohabitant. *Family law*. 40, (2010).

209.

Lewis, Jane: Marriage and Cohabitation and the Nature of Commitment. *Child and Family Law Quarterly*. 11, (1999).

210.

Parker, S.: Informal marriage, cohabitation and the law, 1750-1989. Macmillan, London (1990).

211.

Re J (Income Support: Cohabitation) [1995] 1 FLR 660,
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19951FLR0660.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19951FLR0660&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_19951FLR0660,
(1995).

212.

Freeman, M.D.A., Lyon, C.M.: Cohabitation without marriage: an essay in law and social policy. Gower, Aldershot (1983).

213.

Deech, R.: The Case Against the Legal Recognition of Cohabitation. In: Marriage and cohabitation in contemporary societies: areas of legal, social and ethical change. Butterworths, Toronto (1980).

214.

Diduck, A., Kaganas, F.: Chapter 12. In: Family law, gender and the state. Hart, Oxford (2012).

215.

Herring, J.: Chapter 6. In: Family law. Pearson, Harlow (2013).

216.

Harris-Short, S., Miles, J.: Chapter 4. In: Family law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

217.

New government domestic violence and abuse definition,
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/new-government-domestic-violence-and-abuse-definition>.

218.

Family Law Act 1996 Part IV,

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-61P0-TWPY-Y1F8&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>.

219.

Protection from Harassment Act 1997,

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-HC70-TWPY-Y0CJ&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (1997).

220.

Domestic Violence, Crime and Victims Act 2004,

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-61F0-TWPY-Y0BK&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (2004).

221.

Forced Marriage (Civil Protection) Act 2007,

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-HC60-TWPY-Y0KY&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (2007).

222.

Crime and Security Act 2010,

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7Y7B-PJM0-Y97X-74GB&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (2010).

223.

Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014,

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5BS9-MNJ1-DYCN-C2CP&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (2014).

224.

B v B (Occupation Order) [1999] 1 FLR 715,

<http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/web/pub.xql?action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&la>

ng=en&addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19991FLR0715.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19991FLR0715, (1998).

225.

Chalmers v Johns [1999] 1 FLR 392,
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/web/pub.xql?action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en&addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19991FLR0715.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19991FLR0715#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19991FLR0392.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19991FLR0392&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_19991FLR0392.

226.

Mark John Smith v R [2012] EWCA Crim 2566,
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Crim/2012/2566.html>, (2012).

227.

Burris v Azadani - [1995] 4 All ER 802,
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-60YF&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (1995).

228.

House of Lords: R v Ireland, R v Burstow [1997] 3 WLR 534. (1997).

229.

R v Hills [2001] 1 FLR 580,
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/web/pub.xql?action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en&addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20011FLR0580.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20011FLR0580, (2000).

230.

Lau v Director of Public Prosecutions [2000] 1 FLR 799,
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/web/pub.xql?action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en&addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20011FLR0580.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20011FLR0580.

ocid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20011FLR0580#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20001FLR0799.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20001FLR0799&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_20001FLR0799, (2000).

231.

R v Colohan [2001] EWCA Crim 1251,
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Crim/2001/1251.html>, (2001).

232.

Harris, J.: An Evaluation of the Use and Effectiveness of the Protection from Harassment Act 1997,
<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20110218135832/http://rds.homeoffice.gov.uk/rds/hors2000.html>, (2000).

233.

Bowcott, O.: Stalking prosecutions rise by 20% under new law on harassment | Law | The Guardian. The guardian. (2014).

234.

C v C [1998] Fam 70,
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JNM-XPX0-TXD6-F1C5&csi=296981&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>, (1998).

235.

Chechi v Bashier [1999] 2 FLR 489,
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/web/pub.xql?action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en&addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19992FLR0489.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19992FLR0489, (1999).

236.

G v F (Non-Molestation Order : Jurisdiction), [2000] 2 FLR 533,
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/web/pub.xql?action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en&addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20002FLR0533.dita.xml&d

ocid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20002FLR0533, (2000).

237.

P v P (Contempt of Court: Mental Capacity) [1999] 2 FLR 897,
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/web/pub.xql?action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en&addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19992FLR0897.dita.xml&ocid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19992FLR0897, (1999).

238.

Banks v Banks [1999] 1 FLR 726,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2Gdn5Ctm1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (1999).

239.

H v O (Contempt of Court: Sentencing) [2004] EWCA Civ 1691,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYGtm2KJn0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2004).

240.

Burton, M.: Civil law remedies for domestic violence: why are applications for non-molestation orders declining? *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 31, 109–120 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1080/09649060903043497>.

241.

Dolan v Corby [2011] EWCA (Civ) 1664,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3GtmYmJnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2011).

242.

Richards v Richards (1983) 2 All ER 807,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYKZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>, (1983).

243.

Kaganas, Felicity: *B v B (Occupation Order) and Chalmers v Johns Occupation Orders under the Family Law Act 1996*. *Child and Family Law Quarterly*. 11, (1999).

244.

G v G (Occupation Order: Conduct) [2000] 2 FLR 36,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYGZnZWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2000).

245.

Murray v Robinson [2006] 1FLR 365,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2mZn0qtmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>, (2006).

246.

Kazimirski et al., A.: *Forced marriage: prevalence and service response*,
<http://www.natcen.ac.uk/our-research/research/forced-marriage/>, (2009).

247.

Pearce, N., Gill, A.: *Criminalising Forced Marriage Through Stand alone Legislation: Will it Work?* *Family law*. 42, 534–542 (2012).

248.

**Yemshaw v Hounslow LBC* [2011] UKSC 3,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3mZm1GJm3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2011).

249.

Choudhry, S., Herring, J.: Chapter 9. In: *European human rights and family law*. Hart, Oxford (2010).

250.

Chief Constable of the Hertfordshire Police v Van Colle [2008] UKHL 50,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYKZnYetnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2008).

251.

Burton, M.: Failing to Protect: Victims' Rights and Police Liability. *Modern Law Review*. 72, 283–295 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2230.2009.00744.x>.

252.

Platt, J.: The Domestic Violence, Crime and Victims Act 2004 Part 1: Is it Working? *Family law*. 38, (2008).

253.

Dobash, R.P.: Women's Violence to Men in Intimate Relationships: Working on a Puzzle. *British Journal of Criminology*. 44, 324–349 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjc/azh026>.

254.

Finney, A.: Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault and Stalking: Findings from the 2004/5 British Crime Survey Summary,
<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20110218135832/http://rds.homeoffice.gov.uk/rds/onlinepubs1.html>, (2006).

255.

Call to end violence against women and girls,
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/call-to-end-violence-against-women-and-girls>, (2010).

256.

Hester, M.: Who does what to whom? Gender and domestic violence perpetrators in English police records. *European Journal of Criminology*. 10, 623–637 (2013).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1477370813479078>.

257.

Walby, S., Allen, J.: Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault and Stalking: Findings from the British Crime Survey,
<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20110218135832/http://rds.homeoffice.gov.uk/rds/hors2004.html>, (2004).

258.

Hale v Tanner [2000] 2 FLR 879,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYKZm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2000).

259.

Nwogbe v Nwogbe [2000] 2 FLR 744,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYKJm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2000).

260.

Humphreys, C., Thiara, R.K.: Neither justice nor protection: women's experiences of post-separation violence. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 25, 195–214 (2003).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/0964906032000145948>.

261.

Paradine, K., Wilkinson, J.: A research and literature review. Protection and accountability: the reporting, investigation and prosecution of domestic violence cases. National Centre for Policing Excellence, [England (2004).

262.

Dobash, R.E., Dobash, R.P.: The Politics and Policies of Responding to Violence Against Women. In: *Home truths about domestic violence: feminist influences on policy and practice : a reader*. Routledge, London (2000).

263.

Law's Progressive Potential: The Value of Engagement with the Law for Domestic Violence. *Social & Legal Studies*. 10, 105–130 (2001).

264.

Great Britain: The Law Commission (Law Com. No. 207). *Family law: domestic violence and occupation of the family home*. House of Commons?, [London (1992)].

265.

Freeman, M.: *Legal Ideologies; patriarchal precedents and domestic violence*. In: *The State, the law, and the family*. Tavistock, London (1984).

266.

Hayes, M.: *Non-Molestation Protection: Only Associated Persons Need Apply*. *Family law*. 26, (1996).

267.

Itzin, C.: *Gendering Domestic Violence: The Influence of Feminism on Policy and Practice*. In: *Home truths about domestic violence: feminist influences on policy and practice : a reader*. Routledge, London (2000).

268.

Strengthening the law on domestic abuse - Consultations - Summary of responses, <https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/strengthening-the-law-on-domestic-abuse>, (2014).

269.

Matrimonial Causes Act 1973 ss 1 – 10A, <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYmJmZKJnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (1973).

270.

Civil Partnership Act 2004 ss 44 - 48,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYidn3KJmWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2004).

271.

Diduck, A., Kaganas, F.: Chapter 3. In: Family law, gender and the state. Hart, Oxford (2012).

272.

Herring, J.: Chapter 3. In: Family law. Pearson, Harlow (2013).

273.

Harris-Short, S., Miles, J.: Chapter 5. In: Family law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

274.

Pheasant v Pheasant [1972] 1 All ER 587,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn0qtnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>, (1972).

275.

Buffery v Buffery [1988] 2 FLR 365,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo5qtnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>, (1987).

276.

Cleary v Cleary [1974] 1 All ER 498,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZm2CJnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>, (1974).

277.

Carr v Carr [1974] 1 All ER 1193,
[http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZm4GdnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha,\(1974\).](http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZm4GdnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha,(1974).)

278.

Ash v Ash [1972] 1 All ER 582,
[http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn0qdmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha,\(1972\).](http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn0qdmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha,(1972).)

279.

Pheasant v Pheasant [1972] 1 All ER 587,
[http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn0qtnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha,\(1972\).](http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn0qtnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha,(1972).)

280.

Thurlow v Thurlow [1975] 2 All ER 979,
[http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZmXqZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha,\(1975\).](http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZmXqZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha,(1975).)

281.

Bradley v Bradley [1973] 3 All ER 750,
[http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udnYCdoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha,\(1973\).](http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udnYCdoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha,(1973).)

282.

Buffery v Buffery [1988] 2 FLR 365,
[http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo5qtnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha,\(1987\).](http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo5qtnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha,(1987).)

283.

Livingstone-Stollard v Livingstone-Stollard [1974] Fam 47,
[http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZm1qdoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha,\(1974\).](http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZm1qdoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha,(1974).)

284.

Butterworth v Butterworth [1997] 2 FLR 336,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYmtm4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (1997).

285.

Birch v Birch [1992] 1 FLR 564,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXmZm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (1992).

286.

Perry v Perry [1963] 3 All ER 766,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udo0mZmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>, (1963).

287.

Glenister v Glenister [1945] P 30,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CdnXCZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha/glenister-v-glenister>, (1945).

288.

Dunn v Dunn [1967] P 217,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZn5uJmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>, (1967).

289.

Stringfellow v Stringfellow [1976] 2 All ER 539,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZmWiZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>, (1976).

290.

Pardy v Pardy [1939] P 288,
[http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2Ctn2CZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha,\(1939\).](http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2Ctn2CZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha,(1939).)

291.

Slawson v Slawson [1942] 2 All ER 527,
[http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYGdn3iZm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF,\(1942\).](http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYGdn3iZm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF,(1942).)

292.

Santos v Santos [1972] 2 All ER 246,
[http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn0GdoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha,\(1972\).](http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn0GdoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha,(1972).)

293.

Mouncer v Mouncer [1972] 1 All ER 289,
[http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn1CJnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha,\(1972\).](http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn1CJnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha,(1972).)

294.

Parker v Parker [1972] 1 All ER 410,
[http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn0iZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha,\(1972\).](http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn0iZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha,(1972).)

295.

Rukat v Rukat [1975] 1 All ER 343,
[http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZmYatmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha,\(1974\).](http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZmYatmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha,(1974).)

296.

Grenfell v Grenfell [1978] Fam 128,
[http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uJm1ejmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha,\(1977\).](http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uJm1ejmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha,(1977).)

297.

Archer v Archer [1999] 1 FLR 327,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYydmXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (1998).

298.

K v K (Financial relief: Widow's Pension) [1997] 1 FLR 35,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYidm4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (1997).

299.

Wickler v Wickler [1998] 2 FLR 326,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYudmXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (1998).

300.

Douglas et al., G.: The Role of Religious Tribunals in Regulating Marriage and Divorce. *Child and family law quarterly*. 24, 139-157 (2012).

301.

Douglas et al, G.: Accommodating Religious Divorce in the Secular State: A Case-Study Analysis. In: *Families: Deviance, Diversity and the Law*. pp. 185-201. Hart Publishing (2013).

302.

Sandberg, R., Douglas, G., Doe, N., Gilliat-Ray, S., Khan, A.: Britain's Religious Tribunals: 'Joint Governance' in Practice. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*. 33, 263-291 (2013).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/gqs031>.

303.

Diduck, A.: *Chapter 3. In: *Law's families*. LexisNexis, London (2003).

304.

Reece, H.: Divorcing responsibly. Hart, Oxford (2003).

305.

Looking to the future: mediation and the ground for divorce the Government's proposals - Publications - GOV.UK,
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/looking-to-the-future-mediation-and-the-ground-for-divorce-the-governments-proposals>, (1995).

306.

Family Law Act 1996,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYiZnXqdm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (1996).

307.

Supporting Families: A consultation document,
<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/+/http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ERORecords/HO/421/2/P2/ACU/SUPPFAM.HTM>, (1998).

308.

Eekelaar, J.: Family Justice: Ideal or Illusion?: Family Law and Communitarian Values. Current Legal Problems. 48, 191–216 (1995). https://doi.org/10.1093/clp/48.Part_2.191.

309.

Cretney, S.: Divorce Reform in England: Humbug and Hypocrisy or a Smooth Transition? In: Divorce: where next? Dartmouth Pub. Co, Aldershot, Hants (1996).

310.

Deech, R.: *Divorce – A Disaster? Family law. 39, (2009).

311.

Smart, C., Neale, B.: *Good enough morality? Divorce and postmodernity. Critical Social Policy. 17, 3-27 (1997). <https://doi.org/10.1177/026101839701705301>.

312.

Dewar, J.: *The Normal Chaos of Family Law. Modern Law Review. 61, 467-485 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2230.00157>.

313.

Sclater, S.D., Piper, C.: *The Family Law Act 1996 in Context. In: Undercurrents of divorce. Ashgate, Aldershot, England (1999).

314.

Douglas et al., G.: Supporting Children when Parents Separate - A Neglected Family Justice or Mental Health Issue? Child and family law quarterly. 8, (1996).

315.

Sclater, S.D.: *Narratives of divorce. Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law. 19, 423-441 (1997). <https://doi.org/10.1080/09649069708410209>.

316.

Sclater, S.D.: Divorce: a psychosocial study. Ashgate, Aldershot, Hants, England (1999).

317.

Sclater, S.D., Brown, J.: Divorce: A Psychodynamic Perspective. In: Undercurrents of divorce. Ashgate, Aldershot, England (1999).

318.

Sclater, S.D.: Experiences of Divorce. In: Undercurrents of divorce. Ashgate, Aldershot,

England (1999).

319.

Collier, R.: The Dashing of a 'Liberal Dream'? - The Information Meeting, The 'New Family' and the Limits of Law. *Child and family law quarterly*. 11, (1999).

320.

Eekelaar, J.: *Family Law: Keeping us 'On Message'. *Child and family law quarterly*. 11, (1999).

321.

Sclater, S.D., Piper, C.: *Re-moralising the Family? – Family Policy, Family Law and Youth Justice. *Child and family law quarterly*. 12, (2000).

322.

Reece, H.: *Divorcing Responsibly. *Feminist Legal Studies*. 8, 65–91 (2000).
<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009283815775>.

323.

Reece, H.: Divorcing the Children. In: *Feminist perspectives on child law*. Cavendish, London (2000).

324.

Eekelaar, J., Beinart, S., Maclean, M.: *Family lawyers: the divorce work of solicitors*. Hart, Oxford (2000).

325.

Walker et al., J.: Picking up the Pieces: Marriage and Divorce: Two years after Information Provision,
<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/+/http://www.dca.gov.uk/pubs/reports/family2004-webpageintro.htm>, (2004).

326.

Responses to Civil Partnership: A framework for the legal recognition of same-sex couples, http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20040105034450/http://www.womenandequalityunit.gov.uk/research/index.htm#cp_responses, (2003).

327.

Matrimonial Causes Act 1973, <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYmJmZKJnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (1973).

328.

Civil Partnership Act 2004, <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYidn3KJmWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2004).

329.

Diduck, A., Kaganas, F.: Chapter 7. In: Family law, gender and the state. Hart, Oxford (2012).

330.

Herring, J.: Chapter 5. In: Family law. Pearson, Harlow (2013).

331.

Harris-Short, S., Miles, J.: Chapter 7. In: Family law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

332.

White v White [2001] 1 AC 596, [2000] 2 FLR 981, <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYudmWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/white-v-white>, (2000).

333.

Miller v Miller; McFarlane v McFarlane [2006] UKHL 24,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZuJn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/miller-v-miller-short-marriage-clean-break>, (2006).

334.

Charman v Charman [2007] EWCA Civ 503,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3KdnYuto2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2007).

335.

Matrimonial Property, Needs and Agreements - Law Commission,
<http://lawcommission.justice.gov.uk/publications/matrimonial-property.htm>, (2014).

336.

Suter v Suter [1987] 2 FLR 232,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3KdoSils1jxAZrwAjrAV5wsKjloW0ha>,
(1986).

337.

Re A [1998] 1 FLR 347,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYmtWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (1998).

338.

*B v B (Financial Provision Welfare of Child and Conduct) [2002] 1 FLR 555,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZetmWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2002).

339.

Prest v Petrodel Resources and others [2013] UKSC 34,

<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo5yJn5itm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2013).

340.

George, R.: Family finances and the corporate veil: *Prest v Petrodel*. Family Law. 43, (2013).

341.

Sharland v Sharland [2014] EWCA 95,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo5GJm5adn1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2014).

342.

Delaney v Delaney [1990] 2 FLR 457,
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19902FLR0457.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19902FLR0457&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_19902FLR0457, (1990).

343.

Leadbeater v Leadbeater [1985] FLR 789,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo1mJnSiIs1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>, (1985).

344.

P v P (Divorce: Financial Provision Clean Break) [2002] EWCA Civ 1886; [2003] 1 FLR 942,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZiJnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/parra-v-parra>, (2002).

345.

N v F [2011] EWHC 586 (pre-acquired wealth),
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3udoWqJm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2011).

346.

*Jones v Jones [2011] EWCA Civ 41,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3mZm3ytmYWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/jones-v-jones>, (2011).

347.

Y v Y (financial orders inherited wealth) [2012] EWHC Fam 2063,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4uJn3mdn5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2012).

348.

SK v WL (post separation accrual) [2011] 1 FLR 1471,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3uZm1qJmWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2011).

349.

*Miller v Miller; McFarlane v McFarlane [2006] UKHL 24,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZuJn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/miller-v-miller-short-marriage-clean-break>, (2006).

350.

*Charman v Charman [2007] EWCA Civ 503,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3KdnYuto2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2007).

351.

Robson v Robson [2010] EWCA Civ 1171,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3itm3GtoYWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/robson-v-robson>, (2010).

352.

K v L [2011] EWCA Civ 550,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3eJn2udo2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2011).

353.

McCartney v Mills-McCartney [2008] EWHC 401; [2008] 1 FCR 707,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZetoXydm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2008).

354.

North v North [2007] EWCA Civ 760,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZaJm1Kto1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2007).

355.

Dart v Dart [1996] 2 FLR 286,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYetn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (1996).

356.

P v P (Inherited property) [2004] EWHC 1364; [2005] 1 FLR 576,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CZm3yZm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2004).

357.

Grey v Grey [2009] EWCA Civ 1424,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3aJm3Ctn5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2009).

358.

SA v PA [2014] EWCA 392,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXatmZuZmXqdIIOuDYl2CKL2y0L2BULezlOdm9baa>, (2014).

359.

H v H [2014] EWHC 760,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXadm3uJmWedlIOuDYL2CKL2y0L2BULezlOdm9baa>, (2014).

360.

White v White [2001] 1 AC 596, [2000] 2 FLR 981,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYudmWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/white-v-white>, (2000).

361.

Miller v Miller; McFarlane v McFarlane [2006] UKHL 24,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZuJn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/miller-v-miller-short-marriage-clean-break>, (2006).

362.

Preston v Preston (1982) Fam 17,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXiZmSilS1jxAZrWAjrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>, (1982).

363.

McCartney v Mills-McCartney [2008] EWHC 401; [2008] 1 FCR 707,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZetoXydm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2008).

364.

Miller v Miller; McFarlane v McFarlane [2006] UKHL 24,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZuJn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/miller-v-miller-short-marriage-clean-break>, (2006).

365.

McCartney v Mills-McCartney [2008] EWHC 401; [2008] 1 FCR 707,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZetoXydm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2008).

366.

White v White [2001] 1 AC 596, [2000] 2 FLR 981,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYudmWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/white-v-white>, (2000).

367.

*C v C (Financial Relief: Short Marriage) [1997] 2 FLR 26,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYitoYWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (1997).

368.

Miller v Miller; McFarlane v McFarlane [2006] UKHL 24,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZujn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/miller-v-miller-short-marriage-clean-break>, (2006).

369.

*White v White [2001] 1 AC 596, [2000] 2 FLR 981,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYudmWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/white-v-white>, (2000).

370.

*Miller v Miller; McFarlane v McFarlane [2006] UKHL 24,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZujn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/miller-v-miller-short-marriage-clean-break>, (2006).

371.

B v B (Mesher Order) [2002] EWHC 3106 (Fam); [2003] 2 FLR 285,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CZm1eZn0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2002).

372.

*Miller v Miller; McFarlane v McFarlane [2006] UKHL 24,
[http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZuJn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/miller-v-miller-short-marriage-clean-break,\(2006\).](http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZuJn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/miller-v-miller-short-marriage-clean-break,(2006).)

373.

Wachtel v Wachtel [1973] Fam 72,
[http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZm5GJnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha,\(1973\).](http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZm5GJnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha,(1973).)

374.

K v L [2010] EWCA Civ 125 (Child sexual abuse),
[http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo5qdn3CdoYWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF,\(2010\).](http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo5qdn3CdoYWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF,(2010).)

375.

Vaughn v Vaughn (financial misconduct) [2007] EWCA Civ 1085,
[http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZado0adn0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF,\(2007\).](http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZado0adn0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF,(2007).)

376.

K v K [1990] 2 FLR 225,
[http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXeZm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF,\(1990\).](http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXeZm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF,(1990).)

377.

Clark v Clark [1999] 2 FLR 498,
[http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYCJm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF,\(1999\).](http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYCJm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF,(1999).)

378.

Kokosinski v Kokosinski [1980] 1 All ER 1106,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo0edIIOuDYl2CKL2y0L2BULezlOdm9baa>, (1980).

379.

H v H (Financial Relief: Attempted Murder as Conduct) [2006] 1 FLR 990,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYcZm5mtnWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2006).

380.

T v T (Financial relief: Pensions) [1998] 1 FLR 1072,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYqZnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (1998).

381.

C v C (Financial Relief: Short Marriage) [1997] 2 FLR 26,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYitoYWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (1997).

382.

Suter v Suter [1987] 2 All ER 336,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3KdoSils1jxAZrwAjrAV5wsKjloW0ha>, (1987).

383.

Mawson v Mawson [1994] 2 FLR 985,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXCto1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (1994).

384.

K v K (Ancillary Relief: Prenuptial Agreement) [2003] 1 FLR 120,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZitm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2003).

385.

K v K (Periodical Payment: Cohabitation) [2006] 2 FLR 468,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYGJn2iZm1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2006).

386.

Miller v Miller; McFarlane v McFarlane [2006] UKHL 24,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZuJn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/miller-v-miller-short-marriage-clean-break>, (2006).

387.

Martin v Martin [1978] Fam 12,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYGJn4Gto4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (1977).

388.

Clutton v Clutton [1991] 1 All ER 340,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXetoZWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (1991).

389.

Mesher v Mesher and Hall [1980] 1 All ER 126,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYCN1adoWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (1980).

390.

Piglowska v Piglowski [1999] 1 WLR 1360,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4uZmWGZnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/piglowski-v-piglowski>, (1999).

391.

B v B (Mesher Order) [2002] EWHC 3106 (Fam); [2003] 2 FLR 285,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CZm1eZn0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2002).

392.

Richardson v Richardson [1978] 9 Fam Law 86,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZidm4Ctn5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (1978).

393.

T v T (Financial relief: Pensions) [1998] 1 FLR 1072,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYqZnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (1998).

394.

S v S [2002] 1 FLR 457,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZedm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/s-v-s-rescission-of-decree-nisi-pension-sharing-provision>, (2002).

395.

Do Divorced Women Catch Up in Pension Building? Child and family law quarterly. 14, (2002).

396.

PRICE, D.: Closing the Gender Gap in Retirement Income: What Difference Will Recent UK Pension Reforms Make? Journal of Social Policy. 36, (2007).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0047279407001183>.

397.

Price, D.: Pension Accumulation and Gendered Household Structures. In: Sharing lives, dividing assets: an inter-disciplinary study. Hart Pub, Oxford (2009).

398.

Xydias v Xydias [1999] 1 FLR 683 – general policy,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYyZmXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (1999).

399.

Soulsbury v Soulsbury [2007] EWCA Civ 969,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZetoXytm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2007).

400.

Edgar v Edgar [1980] 1 WLR 1410,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3GdlIOuDYL2CKL2y0L2BULezIOdm9baa>, (1980).

401.

MacLeod v MacLeod [2008] UKPC 64,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZido0mJm3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/macleod-v-macleod>, (2009).

402.

Crossley v Crossley [2007] EWCA Civ 1491,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZetn5Cto4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2007).

403.

*Radmacher v Granatino [2009] EWCA 649,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CZn4adn5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2010).

404.

Z v Z (No 2) [2011] EWHC 2878,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo5qdn2udm3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjr>

Mi6atF, (2011).

405.

B v S [2012] EWHC 265,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4aJnXKZn3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2012).

406.

V v V [2011] EWHC 3230,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3GZmYKdo2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2011).

407.

SA v PA (pre-marital agreement) [2014] EWCA 392,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXatmZuZmXqdIIOuDYl2CKL2y0L2BULezlOdm9baa>, (2014).

408.

Pavlou, P.: Pre-Nuptial Agreements – Back Where we Were? Kremen v Agrest. Family law. 42, (2012).

409.

Stack v Dowden [2007] UKHL 17,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2mZn0itnSils1jxAZrWAjrxAV5wsKjloW0ha/stack-v-dowden>, (2007).

410.

Jones v Kernott [2011] UKSC 53,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2GdnZuto0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2011).

411.

Consultation Paper No 179: Cohabitation: The Financial Consequences of Relationship Breakdown (Overview), <http://lawcommission.justice.gov.uk/areas/cohabitation.htm>, (2006).

412.

Supplementary Consultation Paper Matrimonial Property, Needs and Agreements, http://lawcommission.justice.gov.uk/consultations/matrimonial_property.htm, (2012).

413.

*V v V [2011] EWHC 3230,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3GZmYKdo2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2011).

414.

McFarlane v McFarlane (no 2) [2009] EWHC 891,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CdoXGJnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2009).

415.

GW v RW (Financial provision: Departure From Equality) [2003] 2 FLR 108,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYCto3CdoZWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2003).

416.

MacLeod v MacLeod [2008] UKPC 64,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZido0mJm3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/macleod-v-macleod>, (2009).

417.

Radmacher v Granatino [2009] EWCA 649,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CZn4adn5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2010).

418.

H v H [2007] EWHC 459 (Fam),
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYKtn4ytn2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2007).

419.

S v S [2007] EWHC 1975 (Fam),
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYCJnXeJn5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2007).

420.

B v B [2008] EWCA Civ 543,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZetoXmZmXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/b-v-b-ancillary-relief-distribution-of-assets>, (2008).

421.

VB v JP [2008] EWHC 112,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZeJn1qdn5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2008).

422.

Piglowska v Piglowski [1999] 1 WLR 1360,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4uZmWGZnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/piglowski-v-piglowski>, (1999).

423.

McCartney v Mills-McCartney [2008] EWHC 401; [2008] 1 FCR 707,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZetoXydm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2008).

424.

Myerson v. Myerson (2009) 1 FLR 826,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZido1iZm3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrM>

i6atF, (2009).

425.

Miles, J.: *Charman v Charman: making sense of need, compensation and equal sharing after Miller/McFarlane. *Child and family law quarterly*. 20, (2008).

426.

Diduck, A.: *Ancillary Relief: Complicating the Search for Principle. *Journal of Law and Society*. 38, 272–300 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6478.2011.00543.x>.

427.

Leech, S., Young, R.: Marriage, divorce and ancillary relief under the Human Rights Act 1998: an introduction. In: *European human rights law review*. pp. 300–311 (2001).

428.

Douglas, G., Perry, A.: How parents cope financially on separation and divorce-implications for the future of ancillary relief. *Child and family law quarterly*. 13, (2001).

429.

Eekelaar, J.: Back to Basics and Forward into the Unknown. *Family law*. 31, (2001).

430.

Diduck, A.: Fairness and Justice for All? The House of Lords in *White v. White* [2000] 2 F.L.R. 981. *Feminist Legal Studies*. 9, 173–183 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1016744705288>.

431.

Diduck, A.: Chapter 6. In: *Law's families*. LexisNexis, London (2003).

432.

Cretney, S.: The Family and the Law – Status or Contract? *Child and family law quarterly*. 15, (2003).

433.

Edwards, S.: Division of Assets and Fairness - 'Brick Lane' – Gender, Culture and Ancillary Relief on Divorce. *Family law*. 34, (2004).

434.

Eekelaar, J.: Asset distribution on divorce - the durational element. *Law quarterly review*. 117, (2001).

435.

Eekelaar, J.: Asset Distribution on Divorce – Time and Property. *Family law*. 33, (2003).

436.

Herring, J.: Why Financial Orders on Divorce should be Unfair. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 19, 218–228 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1093/lawfam/ebi016>.

437.

Symes, P.: INDISSOLUBILITY AND THE CLEAN BREAK. *The Modern Law Review*. 48, 44–60 (1985). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2230.1985.tb00825.x>.

438.

John Eekelaar: Equality and the Purpose of Maintenance. *Journal of Law and Society*. 15, 188–200 (1988).

439.

Diduck, A., Orton, H.: Equality and Support for Spouses. *The Modern Law Review*. 57, 681–702 (1994). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2230.1994.tb01969.x>.

440.

Diduck, A.: *What is Family Law For? Current Legal Problems. 64, 287-314 (2011).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/clp/cur010>.

441.

Hale, B.: *Equality and autonomy in family law. Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law. 33, 3-14 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1080/09649069.2011.571466>.

442.

Thorpe, M.: London- The Divorce Capital of the World. Family law. 39, (2009).

443.

Deech, R.: What's a Woman Worth? Family law. 39, (2009).

444.

Ferguson, L.: *Family, Social Inequalities, and the Persuasive Force of Interpersonal Obligation. International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family. 22, 61-90 (2007).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/lawfam/ebm015>.

445.

Glennon, L.: *The limitations of equality discourses on the contours of intimate relations. In: Rights, gender and family law. Routledge, Abingdon (2010).

446.

Hitchings, E.: *Chaos or Consistency? Ancillary Relief in the 'Everyday' Case. In: Sharing lives, dividing assets: an inter-disciplinary study. Hart Pub, Oxford (2009).

447.

Ouazzani, S.: Prenuptial agreements: the implications of gender. *Family law*. 43, (2013).

448.

Herring, J.: Chapter 5. In: *Family law*. Pearson, Harlow (2013).

449.

Diduck, A., Kaganas, F.: Chapter 7. In: *Family law, gender and the state*. Hart, Oxford (2012).

450.

Harris-Short, S., Miles, J.: Chapter 6. In: *Family law: text, cases, and materials*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

451.

Supporting Separated Families, Securing Children's Futures: A Public Consultation Document,
<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/supporting-separated-families-securing-children-s-futures>, (2012).

452.

Government response to the consultation: Supporting separated families: securing children's futures,
<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/supporting-separated-families-securing-children-s-futures>, (2013).

453.

Douglas et al., G.: Contact is not a Commodity to be Bartered for Money. *Family law*. 41, (2011).

454.

R. (on the application of Kehoe) v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions [2005] UKHL 48; [2004] EWCA Civ 225; [2004] 1 FLR 1132 (on enforcement)., <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZmtm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2005).

455.

R (Rowley and others) v Sec State for Work and Pensions [2007] EWCA Civ 598, <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZatn5yto3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2007).

456.

T v B [2010] EWHC 1444 (Fam), <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3idmZitn2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2010).

457.

Re A (child of the Family) [1998] 1 FLR 347, <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYmt0WWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (1998).

458.

Re C (A Minor) (child Support Agency: Disclosure) [1995] 1 FLR 201, <http://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXmJn0CdIIouDYl2CKL2y0L2BULezlOd m9baa>, (1995).

459.

Re E (A Minor) (child Support: Blood Test) [1994] 2 FLR 548, <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXCdn5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (1994).

460.

J v C (Child: Financial Provision) [1999] 1 FLR 152, <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYudo1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (1999).

461.

Re P (A Child) (Financial provision) [2003] 2 FLR 865,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo1uZm3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2003).

462.

Secretary of State for Work and Pensions v Jones [2004] 1 FLR. 282,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CZm4Ktn1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2003).

463.

Treharne v. Sec of State for W&P [2009] 1 FLR 853,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZido5mZmXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2008).

464.

Brookes v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions [2010] EWCA Civ 420,
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2KZn1iZmXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>, (2010).

465.

Bryson, C., Ellman, I.M., McKay, S., Miles, J.: Child Maintenance: How Much Should the State Require Fathers to Pay When Families Separate?, (2013).
<https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2321788>.

466.

Skinner, C.: Child Maintenance Reforms: Understanding Fathers' Expressive Agency and the Power of Reciprocity. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 27, 242–265 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1093/lawfam/ebt005>.

467.

Strengthening Families, Promoting Parental Responsibility: the future of child maintenance Cm 7990,
<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/strengthening-families-promoting-parental-responsibility-the-future-of-child-maintenance>, (2011).

468.

Henshaw, D.: Recovering Child Support: routes to responsibility,
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/recovering-child-support-routes-to-responsibility>, (2006).

469.

Diduck, A.: Chapter 7. In: Law's families. LexisNexis, London (2003).

470.

Wikeley, N.: Private Cases and the Child Support Agency. Family law. 31, (2001).

471.

Collier, R.: The Campaign Against the Child Support Act: 'Errant Fathers and Family Men'. Family law. 24, 384-387 (1994).

472.

Alison Diduck: The Unmodified Family: The Child Support Act and the Construction of Legal Subjects. Journal of Law and Society. 22, 527-548 (1995).

473.

MACLEAN, M., EEKELAAR, J.: CHILD SUPPORT: THE BRITISH SOLUTION. 'International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family'. 7, 205-229 (1993).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/lawfam/7.2.205>.

474.

Altman, S.: A Theory of Child Support. International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family.

17, 173–210 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1093/lawfam/17.2.173>.