

LAWS3010: Family Law

[View Online](#)

-
1.

Oldham M. Blackstone's statutes on family law 2014-2015. 23rd ed. Vol. Blackstone's statutes series. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014.
 2.

Herring J. Family law. 6th ed. Vol. Longman law series. Harlow: Pearson; 2013.
 3.

Lowe NV, Douglas G, Bromley PM. Bromley's family law. 10th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2007.
 4.

Masson JM, Bailey-Harris RJ, Probert R, Cretney SM. Cretney principles of family law. 8th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2008.
 5.

Diduck A. Law's families. Vol. Law in context. London: LexisNexis; 2003.
 6.

George RH. Ideas and debates in family law. Oxford: Hart; 2012.

7.

Herring J, Probert R, Gilmore S. Great debates in family law. Vol. Palgrave Macmillan great debates in law. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2012.

8.

Choudhry S, Herring J. European human rights and family law. Oxford: Hart; 2010.

9.

Gilmore S, Herring J, Probert R. Landmark cases in family law. Oxford: Hart; 2011.

10.

Diduck A, Kaganas F. Family law, gender and the state. 3rd ed. Oxford: Hart; 2012.

11.

Harris-Short S, Miles J. Family law: text, cases, and materials. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011.

12.

Hale B. The family, law & society. 6th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2009.

13.

Archard D. Children: Rights and Childhood [Internet]. 2nd ed. Vol. Ideas. Hoboken: Taylor and Francis; 2004. Available from: <http://UCL.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=199397>

14.

Archard D. Children, family, and the state. Vol. Live questions in ethics and moral philosophy. Aldershot: Ashgate; 2003.

15.

Bainham A. Children and their families: contact, rights and welfare. Oxford: Hart; 2003.

16.

Barker N. Not the marrying kind: a feminist critique of same-sex marriage. Vol. Palgrave Macmillan socio-legal studies. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2012.

17.

Barlow A. Cohabitation, marriage and the law: social change and legal reform in the 21st century. Oxford: Hart; 2005.

18.

Bernstein A. Marriage proposals: questioning a legal status. New York: New York University Press; 2006.

19.

Bradley D. Family law and political culture: (Scandinavian laws in comparative perspective). Vol. Modern legal studies. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 1996.

20.

Monk D, Bridgeman J. Feminist perspectives on child law. Vol. Feminist perspectives in law. London: Cavendish; 2000.

21.

Bridgeman J, Lind C, Keating HM. Responsibility, law and the family. Aldershot: Ashgate; 2008.

22.

Bridgeman J, Keating HM, Lind C. Regulating family responsibilities. Farnham: Ashgate;

2011.

23.

Burton M. Legal responses to domestic violence. London: Routledge-Cavendish; 2008.

24.

Carling AH, Duncan S, Edwards R. Analysing families: morality and rationality in policy and practice. London: Routledge; 2002.

25.

Collier R, Sheldon S. Fragmenting fatherhood: a socio-legal study. Oxford: Hart; 2008.

26.

Cretney S. Family Law in the Twentieth Century [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2005. Available from: <http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199280919.001.0001/acprof-9780199280919>

27.

Sclater SD. Divorce: a psychosocial study. Aldershot, Hants, England: Ashgate; 1999.

28.

Piper C, Sclater SD. Undercurrents of divorce. Aldershot, England: Ashgate; 1999.

29.

Diduck A. Marriage and cohabitation. Vol. The family, law&society. Aldershot: Ashgate; 2008.

30.

Diduck A, O'Donovan K. Feminist perspectives on family law. Abingdon: Routledge-Cavendish; 2006.

31.

Dobash RE, Cavanagh K. Changing violent men. Vol. Sage series on violence against women. Thousand Oaks, Calif: Sage Publications; 2000.

32.

Donzelot J, Hurley R. The policing of families. London: Hutchinson;

33.

Lowe NV, Douglas G. The continuing evolution of family law. Bristol: Family Law; 2009.

34.

Eekelaar J. Family Law and Personal Life [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2007. Available from: <http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199535422.001.0001/acprof-9780199535422>

35.

Eekelaar J, Maclean M. Family justice: the work of family judges in uncertain times. Oxford: Hart Publishing; 2013.

36.

Eskridge WN. Equality practice: civil unions and the future of gay rights. New York: Routledge; 2002.

37.

Finch J, Mason J. Negotiating family responsibilities. Routledge; 1992.

38.

Fineman M. The illusion of equality: the rhetoric and reality of divorce reform. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1991.

39.

Fineman M. The neutered mother, the sexual family, and other twentieth century tragedies. New York: Routledge; 1995.

40.

Fineman M. The autonomy myth: a theory of dependency. New York: New Press; 2004.

41.

Fortin J. Children's rights and the developing law. 3rd ed. Vol. The law in context series. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2009.

42.

Freeman MDA. The moral status of children: essays on the rights of the child. Boston: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers; 1997.

43.

Goldstein J. The best interests of the child: the least detrimental alternative. New York: Free Press; 1996.

44.

Hale B, Hamlyn Trust. From the test tube to the coffin: choice and regulation in private life. Vol. Hamlyn lectures. London: Stevens & Sons/Sweet & Maxwell; 1996.

45.

Heaphy B, Smart C, Einarsdottir A. Same sex marriages: new generations, new relationships. Vol. Genders and sexualities in the social sciences. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2013.

46.

Herring J. Caring and the law. Oxford: Hart Publishing; 2013.

47.

Heinze E. Of innocence and autonomy: children, sex and human rights. Vol. Programme on International Rights of the Child (Series). Aldershot, Hants, England: Dartmouth; 2000.

48.

King M. A better world for children?: explorations in morality and authority. New York: Routledge; 1997.

49.

Lee N. Childhood and society: growing up in an age of uncertainty. Vol. Issues in society. Maidenhead: Open University; 2001.

50.

Maclean M, Eekelaar J. The parental obligation: a study of parenthood across households. Oxford: Hart Publishing; 1997.

51.

Maclean M, Eekelaar J. Family law advocacy: how barristers help the victims of family failure. Oxford: Hart; 2009.

52.

Eekelaar J, Maclean M. Family justice: the work of family judges in uncertain times. Oxford: Hart Publishing; 2013.

53.

Maclean M, Eekelaar J. Managing family justice in diverse societies. Vol. Oñati international series in law and society. Oxford: Hart Publishing; 2013.

54.

Maclean M. Parenting after partnering: containing conflict after separation. Vol. Oñati international series in law and society. Oxford: Hart Pub; 2007.

55.

Dempsey MM. Prosecuting domestic violence: a philosophical analysis [Internet]. Vol. Oxford monographs on criminal law and justice. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2009. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199562169.001.1>

56.

Miles J, Probert R. Sharing lives, dividing assets: an inter-disciplinary study [Internet]. Oxford: Hart Pub; 2009. Available from: <https://www.bloomsburycollections-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/book/sharing-lives-dividing-assets-an-inter-disciplinary-study/>

57.

Morgan DHJ. Family connections: an introduction to family studies. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press; 1996.

58.

Morgan DHJ. Rethinking family practices. Vol. Palgrave Macmillan studies in family and intimate life. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2011.

59.

Mount F. The subversive family: an alternative history of love and marriage. London: Unwin; 1982.

60.

Mullender A, Morley R. Children living with domestic violence: putting men's abuse of women on the child care agenda. London: Whiting & Birch; 1994.

61.

O'Donovan K. Sexual divisions in law. Vol. Law in context. London: Weidenfeld and Nicolson; 1985.

62.

O'Donovan K. Family law matters. Vol. Law and social theory. London: Pluto Press; 1993.

63.

Okin SM. Justice, gender, and the family. New York: Basic Books; 1989.

64.

Pahl J. Money and marriage. Basingstoke: Macmillan Education; 1989.

65.

Parker S. Informal marriage, cohabitation and the law, 1750-1989. London: Macmillan; 1990.

66.

Parton N. The politics of child abuse. Basingstoke: Macmillan; 1985.

67.

Pateman C. The sexual contract. Cambridge: Polity; 1988.

68.

Piper C. The responsible parent: a study of divorce mediation. New York: Harvester Wheatsheaf; 1993.

69.

Pizzey E, Forbes A. Scream quietly or the neighbours will hear. Vol. A Penguin special. Harmondsworth: Penguin; 1974.

70.

Probert R. Family life and the law: under one roof. Aldershot: Ashgate; 2007.

71.

Probert R. Marriage law and practice in the long eighteenth century: a reassessment. Vol. Cambridge studies in English legal history. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2009.

72.

Probert R, Barton C, Cretney SM. Fifty years in family law: essays for Stephen Cretney. Cambridge: Intersentia; 2012.

73.

Probert R. The changing legal regulation of cohabitation: from fornicators to family, 1600-2010. Vol. Law in context. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012.

74.

Reece H. Divorcing responsibly. Oxford: Hart; 2003.

75.

Regan MC. Family law and the pursuit of intimacy. New York: New York University Press; 1993.

76.

Rodger JJ. Family life and social control: a sociological perspective. Basingstoke: Macmillan; 1996.

77.

Sarat A, Felstiner WLF. Divorce lawyers and their clients: power and meaning in the legal process. New York: Oxford University Press; 1995.

78.

Shanley ML. Just marriage. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2004.

79.

Silva EB, Smart C. The new family? London: Sage; 1999.

80.

Smart C. Personal life: new directions in sociological thinking. Cambridge: Polity; 2007.

81.

Smart C. The ties that bind: law, marriage and the reproduction of patriarchal relations. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul; 1984.

82.

Smart C. Feminism and the power of law. Vol. Sociology of law and crime. London: Routledge; 1989.

83.

Smart C, Neale B. Family fragments? Cambridge: Polity Press; 1999.

84.

Smart C, Neale B, Wade A. The changing experience of childhood: families and divorce. Cambridge: Polity; 2001.

85.

Spencer JR, Bois-Pedain A de. Freedom and responsibility in reproductive choice. Oxford: Hart Publishing; 2006.

86.

Stark E. Coercive control: the entrapment of women in personal life. Vol. Interpersonal violence. New York: Oxford University Press; 2007.

87.

Wallbank JA, Choudhry S, Herring J. Rights, gender and family law. Abingdon: Routledge; 2010.

88.

Wallerstein JS, Kelly JB. Surviving the breakup: how children and parents cope with divorce. London: Grant McIntyre; 1980.

89.

Wallerstein JS, Blakeslee S. Second chances. London: Bantam; 1989.

90.

Weitzman LJ. The divorce revolution: the unexpected social and economic consequences for women and children in America. 1st Free Press paperback ed. New York: Free Press; 1987.

91.

Wikeley N. Child support: law and policy. Oxford: Hart; 2006.

92.

International journal of law, policy and the family.

93.

Family law.

94.

Child and family law quarterly.

95.

The Journal of social welfare & family law.

96.

Family law quarterly.

97.

Children's Legal Centre (London, England). Childright. 1983;

98.

The International Journal of Children's Rights » Brill Online. Available from:
<http://booksandjournals.brillonline.com/content/journals/15718182>

99.

International Family Law.

100.

Fitzpatrick v Sterling Housing Association Ltd - [2001] 1 AC 27. 1999; Available from:

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JT8-8WH0-TXD8-61G7&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

101.

Vallianatos and others v Greece (App. nos. 29381/09 and 32684/09) - [2013] ECHR 29381/09. 2013; Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5BXR-HSG1-DYBP-X29X&csi=274793&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

102.

SCHALK AND KOPF v. AUSTRIA - 30141/04 [2010] ECHR 995 (24 June 2010) [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.bailii.org/eu/cases/ECHR/2010/995.html>

103.

Diduck A, Kaganas F. *Chapter 1. In: Family law, gender and the state. 3rd ed. Oxford: Hart; 2012.

104.

Herring J. *Chapter 1. In: Family law. 6th ed. Harlow: Pearson; 2013.

105.

Harris-Short S, Miles J. Chapter 1. In: Family law: text, cases, and materials. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011.

106.

Secretary of State for Work and Pensions v M. 2006; Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=59MP-VFV1-DYBP-P1PV&csi=274662&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

107.

Diduck A, Kaganas F. *Chapter 5. In: Family law, gender and the state. 3rd ed. Oxford:

Hart; 2012.

108.

Green Paper on the Family [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.centreforsocialjustice.org.uk/publications/green-paper-on-the-family>

109.

JDiduck A. A Family by any other Name ... or Starbucks™ comes to England. *Journal of Law and Society*. 2001 Jun;28(2):290–310.

110.

Bridgeman J, Lind C, Keating HM. *Responsibility, law and the family*. Aldershot: Ashgate; 2008.

111.

Silva EB, Smart C. *The new family?* London: Sage; 1999.

112.

Dewar J. The Normal Chaos of Family Law. *Modern Law Review*. 1998 Jul;61(4):467–85.

113.

Dewar J. Family law and its discontents. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2000 Apr 1;14(1):59–85.

114.

Fineman M. *The neutered mother, the sexual family, and other twentieth century tragedies*. New York: Routledge; 1995.

115.

Weeks, J. Elective Families: lesbian and gay life experiments. In: Analysing families: morality and rationality in policy and practice. London: Routledge; 2002.

116.

Carling AH, Duncan S, Edwards R. Analysing families: morality and rationality in policy and practice. London: Routledge; 2002.

117.

Diduck A. Shifting Familiarity. Current Legal Problems. 2005 Jan 1;58(1):235–54.

118.

Eekelaar J. Family Law and Personal Life [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2007. Available from: <http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199535422.001.0001/acprof-9780199535422>

119.

Glennon L. Obligations Between Adult Partners: Moving From Form to Function? International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family. 2007 Mar 15;22(1):22–60.

120.

Millbank J. The role of 'functional family' in same-sex family recognition trends. Family Law [Internet]. 2008;20(2):131–283. Available from: http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2008_06_2.data.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2008_06_2&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2008_06_2

121.

Duncan S, Carter J, Phillips M, Roseneil S, Stoilova M. Legal rights for people who 'Live Apart Together'? Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law. 2012 Dec;34(4):443–58.

122.

Farrah Ahmed and Jane Calderwood Norton*. Religious tribunals, religious freedom, and concern for vulnerable women. *Child and Family Law Quarterly* [Internet].

2012;24(4):363-87. Available from:

http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2012_12_1.data.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2012_12_1&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2012_12_1

123.

Authority and Authenticity: Sharia Councils, Muslim Women's Rights and the English courts. *Child and family law quarterly* [Internet]. 2013;25(2):119-36. Available from:

http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2013_06_2.data.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2013_06_2&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2013_06_2

124.

Family justice review: final report [Internet]. Ministry of Justice; 2011. Available from:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/family-justice-review-final-report--2>

125.

Government response to the family justice review [Internet]. London; 2012. Available from:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/government-response-to-the-family-justice-review-a-system-with-children-and-families-at-its-heart>

126.

Long R. Family Justice Review update: Contact and other issues for parents following separation - Commons Library Standard Note [Internet]. London: UK Parliament; 2012. Available from:

<http://www.parliament.uk/business/publications/research/briefing-papers/SN06335/family-justice-review-update-contact-and-other-issues-for-parents-following-separation>

127.

Justice Ryder. Judicial Proposals for the Modernisation of Family Justice [Internet]. Judiciary of England and Wales; 2012. Available from:
<https://www.judiciary.gov.uk/publications/family-modernisation-final-report/>

128.

A brighter future for Family Justice [Internet]. Ministry of Justice; 2014. Available from:
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/whats-happened-since-the-family-justice-review-a-brighter-future-for-family-justice>

129.

George RH. *Ideas and debates in family law. Oxford: Hart; 2012.

130.

Eekelaar, John. Evaluating Legal Regulation of Family Behaviour. International Journal of the Jurisprudence of the Family [Internet]. 2010;1. Available from:
http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/ijjf1&div=6&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=3&men_tab=srchresults&terms=Eekelaar,%20John&type=matchall

131.

Eekelaar J. "Not of the Highest Importance": Family justice under threat. Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law. 2011 Dec;33(4):311–7.

132.

A Diduck. Autonomy and Vulnerability in family law: the missing link. In: Wallbank JA, Herring J, editors. Vulnerabilities, care and family law. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2014.

133.

Eekelaar J, Maclean M. Family justice: the work of family judges in uncertain times. Oxford: Hart Publishing; 2013.

134.

Cobb S. Legal aid reform: its impact on family law. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 2013 Mar;35(1):3-19.

135.

Family Law Online special issue May 2014. Available from:
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_30&addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_30.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_30&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false

136.

Q v Q [2014] EWFC 31 [Internet]. Royal Courts of Justice; 2014. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5CVC-5321-F0JY-C2TR&csi=316762&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

137.

Diduck A, Kaganas F. Chapter 2. In: *Family law, gender and the state*. 3rd ed. Oxford: Hart; 2012.

138.

Herring J. Chapter 2. In: *Family law*. 6th ed. Harlow: Pearson; 2013.

139.

Harris-Short S, Miles J. Chapter 2. In: *Family law: text, cases, and materials*. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011.

140.

George RH. *Ideas and debates in family law*. Oxford: Hart; 2012.

141.

Matrimonial Causes Act 1973 [Internet]. 1973. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-61R0-TWPY-Y1H9&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

142.

Marriage (Same Sex Couples) Act 2013 [Internet]. 2013. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=58YH-KVW1-DYCN-C1YY&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

143.

Hudson v Leigh [2009] EWHC 1306 [Internet]. Royal Courts of Justice; 2009. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?oc=00240&elb=t&Ini=7VYJ-BVP0-YBF6-71X9&perma=true&csi=316762&secondRedirectIndicator=true>

144.

Leigh v Hudson [2009] EWCA Civ 1442 [Internet]. Royal Courts of Justice; 2009. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?oc=00240&elb=t&Ini=7XK5-RG80-YBF6-73KP&perma=true&csi=316762&secondRedirectIndicator=true>

145.

MA v JA [2012] EWHC 2219 (Fam) [Internet]. 2012. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5676-WV21-F0JY-C46B&csi=316762&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

146.

Bevan C. The role of intention in non-marriage cases post Hudson v Leigh. Child and family law quarterly [Internet]. 2013;25(1). Available from:
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2013_04_5.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2013_04_5&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2013_04_5

147.

Probert, Rebecca. The Evolving Concept of Non-Marriage. *Child and Family Law Quarterly* [Internet]. 2013;(3):314-51. Available from: http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq25&div=27&g_sent=1&collection=journals

148.

Gender Recognition Act 2004 [Internet]. 2004. Available from: <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-61F0-TWPY-Y18X&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

149.

Sheffield City Council v E & Anor [2004] EWHC 2808 (Fam) (02 December 2004) [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Fam/2004/2808.html>

150.

Wilkinson v Kitzinger and others [2006] EWHC 2022 (Fam) [Internet]. 2006. Available from: <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=59MP-VG31-DYBP-P0N7&csi=274662&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

151.

Harding R. 'Wilkinson v Kitzinger' (An alternative Judgment). In: *Feminist judgments: from theory to practice*. Oxford: Hart; 2010. p. 425-30.

152.

Equal marriage consultation - Consultations - GOV.UK [Internet]. Government Equalities Office; 2012. Available from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/equal-marriage-consultation>

153.

R (on the application of Quila and another) v Secretary of State for the Home Department; R (on the application of Bibi and another) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2011] UKSC 45 [Internet]. Supreme Court; 2011. Available from:

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=540Y-JNJ1-F0JY-C4JF&csi=316762&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

154.

Every Family Matters: An in-depth review of family law in Britain [Internet]. The Centre for Social Justice; 2009. Available from:
<http://www.centreforsocialjustice.org.uk/publications/every-family-matters>

155.

R (on the application of Baiai and others) v Secretary of State for the Home Department; R (on the application of Trzcinska and others) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2008] UKHL 53 [Internet]. HOUSE OF LORDS; 2008. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=59MP-VGC1-DYBP-P4KY&csi=274662&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

156.

P v R (Forced Marriage: Annulment) [2003] 1 FLR 661 [Internet]. 2002. Available from:
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20031FLR0661.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20031FLR0661&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_20031FLR0661

157.

B v I [2010] 1 FLR 1721 (forced marriage as non-marriage) [Internet]. 2010. Available from:
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/web/pub.xql?action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en&addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20101FLR1721.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20101FLR1721

158.

NS v MI [2006] EWHC 1646 (Fam), [2007] 2 FCR 748 [Internet]. 2006. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4R46-GW00-TWP1-D108&csi=274607&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

159.

Goodwin v United Kingdom (Application 28957/95) [2002] IRLR 664, [2002] 2 FCR 577 [Internet]. ECtHR; 2002. Available from: <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4R47-6YT0-TWP1-D0YN&csi=274607&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

160.

Schafer, L. Marrying an in-law? The future of prohibited degrees of affinity and consanguinity in English Law. Child and Family Law Quarterly [Internet]. 2008;20(2):219-29. Available from: <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq20&id=219&collection=journals&index=journals/chilflq>

161.

Lewis, Jane. Marriage and Cohabitation and the Nature of Commitment. Child and Family Law Quarterly [Internet]. 1999;11. Available from: <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq11&id=363&collection=journals>

162.

Diduck A. Chapter 2. In: Law's families. London: LexisNexis; 2003.

163.

Probert R. Marriage law and practice in the long eighteenth century: a reassessment. Vol. Cambridge studies in English legal history. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2009.

164.

Norrie, Kenneth McK. Marriage is for Heterosexuals - May the Rest of Us Be Saved From It. Child and Family Law Quarterly [Internet]. 2000;12. Available from: http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq12&div=37&g_sent=1&collection=journals

165.

Marriage Act 1949 [Internet]. 1949. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-G0K0-TWPY-Y0ND&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

166.

Anitha S, Gill A. Coercion, Consent and the Forced Marriage Debate in the UK. *Feminist Legal Studies*. 2009 Aug;17(2):165–84.

167.

A choice by right : the report of the working group on forced marriage. [London]: Home Office Communications Directorate; 2000.

168.

Bellinger v Bellinger (Lord Chancellor intervening) - [2003] 2 AC 467 [Internet]. House of Lords; 2003. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JT8-8WN0-TXD8-60S7&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

169.

CORBETT v. CORBETT (OTHERWISE ASHLEY) - [1971] P. 83 [Internet]. 1970. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JNM-XPY0-TXD6-F09Y&csi=296981&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

170.

Corbett v Corbett (otherwise Ashley) - [1970] 2 All ER 33 [Internet]. 1970. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-35T0-TWP1-60SD&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

171.

THE REES CASE [1987] 2 FLR 111 [Internet]. European Court of Human Rights; 1986. Available from:
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19872FLR0111.dit

a.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19872FLR0111&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_19872FLR0111

172.

Cossey v Cossey [1991] 2 FLR 492 [Internet]. European Court of Human Rights; 1991. Available from:

http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19912FLR0492.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19912FLR0492&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_19912FLR0492

173.

SHEFFIELD AND HORSHAM v UK [1998] 2 FLR 928 [Internet]. European Court of Human Rights; 1998. Available from:

http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19982FLR0928.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19982FLR0928&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_19982FLR0928

174.

W v W (Nullity: Gender) [2001] 1 FLR 324 [Internet]. 2001. Available from:

http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20011FLR0324.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20011FLR0324&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_20011FLR0324

175.

Gereis v Yagoub [1997] 1 FLR 854 [Internet]. 1997. Available from:

http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19971FLR0854.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19971FLR0854&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_19971FLR0854

176.

Chief Adjudication Officer v Bath [2000] 1 FLR 8 [Internet]. Court of Appeal; 2000.

Available from:

http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20001FLR0008.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20001FLR0008&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_20001FLR0008

177.

B and L v UK [2006] 1 FLR 35 [Internet]. European Court of Human Rights; 2006. Available from:

http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20061FLR0035.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20061FLR0035&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_20061FLR0035

178.

The Marriage Act 1949 (Remedial) Order 2007 No. 438 [Internet]. 2007. Available from:

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4SW7-STT0-TX08-H19V&csi=283307&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

179.

Singh v Singh [1971] P 226 [Internet]. Court of Appeal; 1971. Available from:

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JNM-XPY0-TXD6-F0FK&csi=296981&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

180.

Hirani v Hirani [1983] 4 FLR 232 [Internet]. Court of Appeal; 1982. Available from:

http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19834FLR0232.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19834FLR0232&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_19834FLR0232

181.

Vervaeke v Smith (Messina and A-G intervening) [1983] 1 AC 145, [1982] 2 All ER 144 [Internet]. House of Lords; 1983. Available from: <http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JT8-8WP0-TXD8-60P2&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

182.

Hyde v Hyde and Woodmansee - [1861-73] All ER Rep 175 [Internet]. 1866. Available from: <http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FPP-FDH0-TWXJ-213C&csi=279846&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

183.

Gandhi v Patel et al [2002] 1 FLR 603 [Internet]. 2011. Available from: http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20021FLR0603.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20021FLR0603&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_20021FLR0603

184.

BALFOUR v. BALFOUR. - [1919] 2 K.B. 571 [Internet]. 1919. Available from: <http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K4F-RWJ0-TXD5-X0ST&csi=296982&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

185.

Eekelaar J. Marriage: a modest proposal. Family Law. 2013;83(1).

186.

Halpern et al. v. Attorney General of Canada et al. (June 10, 2003) [Internet]. 2003. Available from: <http://www.ontariocourts.on.ca/decisions/2003/june/halpernC39172.htm>

187.

Minister of Home Affairs and another v Fourie and another; Lesbian and Gay Equality

Project and others v Minister of Home Affairs and others - (2005) 20 BHRC 368. 2005; Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K8Y-4HW0-TWP1-F189&csi=274794&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

188.

Home Affairs v Fourie [2005] ZACC 19 [Internet]. CONSTITUTIONAL COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA; 2005. Available from: <http://www.saflii.org/za/cases/ZACC/2005/19.html>

189.

United States v Windsor 570 US 2013 [Internet]. Supreme Court of the United States; 2012. Available from: <http://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/slipopinion/12>

190.

Auchmuty R. What's so special about marriage? The Impact of Wilkinson v Kitzinger. Child and family law quarterly [Internet]. 2008;20(4). Available from:
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2008_12_3.data.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2008_12_3&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2008_12_3

191.

Tatchell P. Why our new same-sex marriage is not yet equal marriage. New statesman [Internet]. 2013 Jul 19; Available from:
<http://www.newstatesman.com/uk-politics/2013/07/why-our-new-same-sex-marriage-not-yet-equal-marriage>

192.

Civil Partnership Act 2004 [Internet]. 2004. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-FBY0-TWPY-Y055&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

193.

Equality Act 2010 section 202 [Internet]. 2010. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7Y7R-3430-Y97X-73G2&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

194.

*Vallianatos v Greece (Application nos 29381/09 and 32684/09) [Internet]. European Court of Human Rights; 2013. Available from:
[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-128294#{%22itemid%22:\[%22001-128294%22\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-128294#{%22itemid%22:[%22001-128294%22]})

195.

Bamforth N. The benefits of marriage in all but name? Same sex couples and the Civil Partnership Act 2004. *Child and family law quarterly* [Internet]. 2007;19(2). Available from:
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2007_06_1.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2007_06_1&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2007_06_1

196.

Stychin C. *Family Friendly?: Rights, Responsibilities and Relationship Recognition. In: *Feminist perspectives on family law*. Abingdon: Routledge-Cavendish; 2006.

197.

Crompton L. The Civil Partnerships Bill 2004: The Illusion of Equality. *Family law*. 2004;34(12).

198.

Hale B. Homosexual Rights. *Child and family law quarterly* [Internet]. 2004;16(2). Available from:
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2004_03_1.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2004_03_1&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2004_03_1

199.

Civil Partnership: A Framework for the legal recognition of same-sex couples [Internet]. Department of Trade and Industry; 2003. Available from: <https://web.archive.org/web/20030801141524/http://www.womenandequalityunit.gov.uk/research/index.htm#civilpartnerships>

200.

*Cohabitation: The Financial Consequences of Relationship Breakdown (Overview) [Internet]. The Law Commission; 2006. Available from: <http://lawcommission.justice.gov.uk/areas/cohabitation.htm>

201.

*Cohabitation: The Financial Consequences of Relationship Breakdown [Internet]. The Law Commission; 2007. Available from: <http://lawcommission.justice.gov.uk/areas/cohabitation.htm>

202.

Ghaidan v Godin-Mendoza [2004] UKHL 30 [Internet]. HOUSE OF LORDS; 2004. Available from: <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=59MP-VFS1-DYBP-P11K&csi=274662&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

203.

Barlow A, James G. *Regulating Marriage and Cohabitation in 21st Century Britain. Modern Law Review. 2004 Mar;67(2):143–76.

204.

CM v STS [2008] CSOH 125 (2 September 2008) [Internet]. 2008. Available from: <http://www.scotcourts.gov.uk/opinions/2008CSOH125.html>

205.

Miles J, Wasoff F, Mordaunt E. Reforming family law – the case of cohabitation: 'things may not work out as you expect'. Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law. 2012

Jun;34(2):167-77.

206.

Douglas G, Pearce J, Woodward H. Cohabitants, Property and the Law: A Study of Injustice. *Modern Law Review*. 2009 Jan;72(1):24-47.

207.

Barlow A. Cohabitation, marriage and the law: social change and legal reform in the 21st century. Oxford: Hart; 2005.

208.

Deech R. Cohabitant. *Family law* [Internet]. 2010;40(1). Available from: http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2010_01_31.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2010_01_31&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2010_01_31

209.

Lewis, Jane. Marriage and Cohabitation and the Nature of Commitment. *Child and Family Law Quarterly* [Internet]. 1999;11(4). Available from: http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq11&div=40&g_sent=1&collection=journals

210.

Parker S. Informal marriage, cohabitation and the law, 1750-1989. London: Macmillan; 1990.

211.

Re J (Income Support: Cohabitation) [1995] 1 FLR 660 [Internet]. 1995. Available from: http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19951FLR0660.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19951FLR0660&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_19951FLR0660

212.

Freeman MDA, Lyon CM. Cohabitation without marriage: an essay in law and social policy. Aldershot: Gower; 1983.

213.

Deech R. The Case Against the Legal Recognition of Cohabitation. In: Marriage and cohabitation in contemporary societies: areas of legal, social and ethical change. Toronto: Butterworths; 1980.

214.

Diduck A, Kaganas F. Chapter 12. In: Family law, gender and the state. 3rd ed. Oxford: Hart; 2012.

215.

Herring J. Chapter 6. In: Family law. 6th ed. Harlow: Pearson; 2013.

216.

Harris-Short S, Miles J. Chapter 4. In: Family law: text, cases, and materials. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011.

217.

New government domestic violence and abuse definition [Internet]. 2013. Available from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/new-government-domestic-violence-and-abuse-definition>

218.

Family Law Act 1996 Part IV [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-61P0-TWPY-Y1F8&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

219.

Protection from Harassment Act 1997 [Internet]. 1997. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-HC70-TWPY-Y0CJ&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

220.

Domestic Violence, Crime and Victims Act 2004 [Internet]. 2004. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-61F0-TWPY-Y0BK&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

221.

Forced Marriage (Civil Protection) Act 2007 [Internet]. 2007. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-HC60-TWPY-Y0KY&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

222.

Crime and Security Act 2010 [Internet]. 2010. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7Y7B-PJM0-Y97X-74GB&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

223.

Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 [Internet]. 2014. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5BS9-MNJ1-DYCN-C2CP&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

224.

B v B (Occupation Order) [1999] 1 FLR 715 [Internet]. Court of Appeal; 1998. Available from:
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/web/pub.xql?action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en&addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19991FLR0715.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19991FLR0715

225.

Chalmers v Johns [1999] 1 FLR 392 [Internet]. Court of Appeal; Available from: http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/web/pub.xql?action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en&addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19991FLR0715.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19991FLR0715#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19991FLR0392.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19991FLR0392&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_19991FLR0392

226.

Mark John Smith v R [2012] EWCA Crim 2566 [Internet]. 2012. Available from: <http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Crim/2012/2566.html>

227.

Burris v Azadani - [1995] 4 All ER 802 [Internet]. 1995. Available from: <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-60YF&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

228.

House of Lords. R v Ireland, R v Burstow [1997] 3 WLR 534 [Internet]. 1997. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I4DA7AC70E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

229.

R v Hills [2001] 1 FLR 580 [Internet]. Court of Appeal; 2000. Available from: http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/web/pub.xql?action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en&addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20011FLR0580.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20011FLR0580

230.

Lau v Director of Public Prosecutions [2000] 1 FLR 799 [Internet]. 2000. Available from: http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/web/pub.xql?action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en&addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20011FLR0580.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20011FLR0580#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20001FLR0799.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20001FLR0799&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLR

ONLINE_FLR_20001FLR0799

231.

R v Colohan [2001] EWCA Crim 1251 [Internet]. 2001. Available from:
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Crim/2001/1251.html>

232.

Harris J. An Evaluation of the Use and Effectiveness of the Protection from Harassment Act 1997 [Internet]. Home Office; 2000. Available from:
<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20110218135832/http://rds.homeoffice.gov.uk/rds/hors2000.html>

233.

Bowcott O. Stalking prosecutions rise by 20% under new law on harassment | Law | The Guardian. The guardian [Internet]. 2014 Sep 11; Available from:
<http://www.theguardian.com/law/2014/sep/11/stalking-prosecutions-rise-new-law-cps-acpo-victim-support>

234.

C v C [1998] Fam 70 [Internet]. 1998. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JNM-XPX0-TXD6-F1C5&csi=296981&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

235.

Chechi v Bashier [1999] 2 FLR 489 [Internet]. Court of Appeal; 1999. Available from:
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/web/pub.xql?action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en&addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19992FLR0489.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19992FLR0489

236.

G v F (Non-Molestation Order : Jurisdiction), [2000] 2 FLR 533 [Internet]. 2000. Available from:
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/web/pub.xql?action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en&addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20002FLR0533.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20002FLR0533

ocid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20002FLR0533

237.

P v P (Contempt of Court: Mental Capacity) [1999] 2 FLR 897 [Internet]. Court of Appeal; 1999. Available from:
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/web/pub.xql?action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en&addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19992FLR0897.dita.xml&ocid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19992FLR0897

238.

Banks v Banks [1999] 1 FLR 726 [Internet]. 1999. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2Gdn5Ctm1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

239.

H v O (Contempt of Court: Sentencing) [2004] EWCA Civ 1691 [Internet]. 2004. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYGtm2KJn0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

240.

Burton M. Civil law remedies for domestic violence: why are applications for non-molestation orders declining? *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 2009 Jun;31(2):109–20.

241.

Dolan v Corby [2011] EWCA (Civ) 1664 [Internet]. 2011. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3GtmYmJnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

242.

Richards v Richards (1983) 2 All ER 807 [Internet]. 1983. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYKZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

243.

Kaganas, Felicity. *B v B (Occupation Order) and Chalmers v Johns Occupation Orders under the Family Law Act 1996*. *Child and Family Law Quarterly* [Internet]. 1999;11(2). Available from:

<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq11&id=197&collection=journals&index=journals/chilflq>

244.

G v G (Occupation Order: Conduct) [2000] 2 FLR 36 [Internet]. 2000. Available from:

<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYGZnZWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

245.

Murray v Robinson [2006] 1FLR 365 [Internet]. 2006. Available from:

<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2mZn0qtmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

246.

Kazimirski et al. A. *Forced marriage: prevalence and service response* [Internet]. National Centre for Social Research; 2009. Available from:

<http://www.natcen.ac.uk/our-research/research/forced-marriage/>

247.

Pearce N, Gill A. *Criminalising Forced Marriage Through Stand alone Legislation: Will it Work?* *Family law* [Internet]. 2012;42(5):534–42. Available from:

http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2012_05_30.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2012_05_30&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2012_05_30

248.

**Yemshaw v Hounslow LBC* [2011] UKSC 3 [Internet]. 2011. Available from:

<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3mZm1GJm3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

249.

Choudhry S, Herring J. Chapter 9. In: European human rights and family law. Oxford: Hart; 2010.

250.

Chief Constable of the Hertfordshire Police v Van Colle [2008] UKHL 50 [Internet]. 2008. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYKZnYetnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

251.

Burton M. Failing to Protect: Victims' Rights and Police Liability. Modern Law Review. 2009 Mar;72(2):283-95.

252.

Platt J. The Domestic Violence, Crime and Victims Act 2004 Part 1: Is it Working? Family law [Internet]. 2008;38(7). Available from:
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2008_07_53.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2008_07_53&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2008_07_53

253.

Dobash RP. Women's Violence to Men in Intimate Relationships: Working on a Puzzle. British Journal of Criminology. 2004 Apr 8;44(3):324-49.

254.

Finney A. Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault and Stalking: Findings from the 2004/5 British Crime Survey Summary [Internet]. Home Office; 2006. Available from:
<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20110218135832/http://rds.homeoffice.gov.uk/rds/onlinepubs1.html>

255.

Call to end violence against women and girls [Internet]. London: TSO; 2010. Available from:
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/call-to-end-violence-against-women-and-girls>

256.

Hester M. Who does what to whom? Gender and domestic violence perpetrators in English police records. *European Journal of Criminology*. 2013 Sep 1;10(5):623–37.

257.

Walby S, Allen J. Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault and Stalking: Findings from the British Crime Survey [Internet]. Home Office; 2004. Available from:
<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20110218135832/http://rds.homeoffice.gov.uk/rds/hors2004.html>

258.

Hale v Tanner [2000] 2 FLR 879 [Internet]. 2000. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYKZm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

259.

Nwogbe v Nwogbe [2000] 2 FLR 744 [Internet]. 2000. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYKJm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

260.

Humphreys C, Thiara RK. Neither justice nor protection: women's experiences of post-separation violence. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 2003 Sep;25(3):195–214.

261.

Paradine K, Wilkinson J. A research and literature review. Protection and accountability: the

reporting, investigation and prosecution of domestic violence cases [Internet]. [England: National Centre for Policing Excellence; 2004. Available from: http://www.justiceinspectorates.gov.uk/cjji/inspections/violence-at-home-a-joint-thematic-inspection-of-the-investigation-and-prosecution-of-cases-involving-domestic-violence/#.VSaKF_A71wA

262.

Dobash RE, Dobash RP. The Politics and Policies of Responding to Violence Against Women. In: Home truths about domestic violence: feminist influences on policy and practice : a reader. London: Routledge; 2000.

263.

Law's Progressive Potential: The Value of Engagement with the Law for Domestic Violence. Social & Legal Studies [Internet]. 2001 Mar 1;10(1):105–30. Available from: <http://sls.sagepub.com/content/10/1/105.abstract>

264.

Great Britain. The Law Commission (Law Com. No. 207). Family law: domestic violence and occupation of the family home [Internet]. [London: House of Commons?]; 1992. Available from: http://gateway.proquest.com/openurl?url_ver=Z39.88-2004&res_dat=xri:hcpp&rft_dat=xri:hcpp:rec:1992-091023

265.

Freeman M. Legal Ideologies; patriarchal precedents and domestic violence. In: The State, the law, and the family. London: Tavistock; 1984.

266.

Hayes M. Non-Molestation Protection: Only Associated Persons Need Apply. Family law. 1996;26.

267.

Itzin C. Gendering Domestic Violence: The Influence of Feminism on Policy and Practice. In: Home truths about domestic violence: feminist influences on policy and practice : a reader.

London: Routledge; 2000.

268.

Strengthening the law on domestic abuse - Consultations - Summary of responses [Internet]. Home Office; 2014. Available from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/strengthening-the-law-on-domestic-abuse>

269.

Matrimonial Causes Act 1973 ss 1 – 10A [Internet]. 1973. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYmJmZKJnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

270.

Civil Partnership Act 2004 ss 44 - 48 [Internet]. 2004. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYidn3KJmWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

271.

Diduck A, Kaganas F. Chapter 3. In: Family law, gender and the state. 3rd ed. Oxford: Hart; 2012.

272.

Herring J. Chapter 3. In: Family law. 6th ed. Harlow: Pearson; 2013.

273.

Harris-Short S, Miles J. Chapter 5. In: Family law: text, cases, and materials. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011.

274.

Pheasant v Pheasant [1972] 1 All ER 587 [Internet]. 1972. Available from:

<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn0qtnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

275.

Buffery v Buffery [1988] 2 FLR 365 [Internet]. 1987. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo5qtnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

276.

Cleary v Cleary [1974] 1 All ER 498 [Internet]. 1974. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZm2CjnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

277.

Carr v Carr [1974] 1 All ER 1193 [Internet]. 1974. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZm4GdnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

278.

Ash v Ash [1972] 1 All ER 582 [Internet]. 1972. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn0qdmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

279.

Pheasant v Pheasant [1972] 1 All ER 587 [Internet]. 1972. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn0qtnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

280.

Thurlow v Thurlow [1975] 2 All ER 979 [Internet]. 1975. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZmXqZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

281.

Bradley v Bradley [1973] 3 All ER 750 [Internet]. 1973. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udnYCdoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

282.

Buffery v Buffery [1988] 2 FLR 365 [Internet]. 1987. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo5qtnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

283.

Livingstone-Stollard v Livingstone-Stollard [1974] Fam 47 [Internet]. 1974. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZm1qdoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

284.

Butterworth v Butterworth [1997] 2 FLR 336 [Internet]. 1997. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYmtm4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

285.

Birch v Birch [1992] 1 FLR 564 [Internet]. 1992. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXmZm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

286.

Perry v Perry [1963] 3 All ER 766 [Internet]. 1963. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udo0mZmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

287.

Glenister v Glenister [1945] P 30 [Internet]. 1945. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CdnXCZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW>

Oha/glenister-v-glenister

288.

Dunn v Dunn [1967] P 217 [Internet]. 1967. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZn5uJmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

289.

Stringfellow v Stringfellow [1976] 2 All ER 539 [Internet]. 1976. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZmWiZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

290.

Pardy v Pardy [1939] P 288 [Internet]. 1939. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2Ctn2CZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

291.

Slawson v Slawson [1942] 2 All ER 527 [Internet]. 1942. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYGdn3iZm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

292.

Santos v Santos [1972] 2 All ER 246 [Internet]. 1972. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn0GdoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

293.

Mouncer v Mouncer [1972] 1 All ER 289 [Internet]. 1972. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn1CJnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

294.

Parker v Parker [1972] 1 All ER 410 [Internet]. 1972. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn0iZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

295.

Rukat v Rukat [1975] 1 All ER 343 [Internet]. 1974. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZmYatmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

296.

Grenfell v Grenfell [1978] Fam 128 [Internet]. 1977. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uJm1ejmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

297.

Archer v Archer [1999] 1 FLR 327 [Internet]. 1998. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYydmXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

298.

K v K (Financial relief: Widow's Pension) [1997] 1 FLR 35 [Internet]. 1997. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYidm4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

299.

Wickler v Wickler [1998] 2 FLR 326 [Internet]. 1998. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYudmXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

300.

Douglas et al. G. The Role of Religious Tribunals in Regulating Marriage and Divorce. Child and family law quarterly [Internet]. 2012;24(2):139–57. Available from:

http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2012_02_1.data.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2012_02_1&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2012_02_1

301.

Douglas et al G. Accommodating Religious Divorce in the Secular State: A Case-Study Analysis. In: Families: Deviance, Diversity and the Law. Hart Publishing; 2013. p. 185–201.

302.

Sandberg R, Douglas G, Doe N, Gilliat-Ray S, Khan A. Britain's Religious Tribunals: 'Joint Governance' in Practice. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies. 2013 Jun 1;33(2):263–91.

303.

Diduck A. *Chapter 3. In: Law's families. London: LexisNexis; 2003.

304.

Reece H. Divorcing responsibly. Oxford: Hart; 2003.

305.

Looking to the future: mediation and the ground for divorce the Government's proposals - Publications - GOV.UK [Internet]. London; 1995. Available from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/looking-to-the-future-mediation-and-the-ground-for-divorce-the-governments-proposals>

306.

Family Law Act 1996 [Internet]. 1996. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYiZnXqdm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

307.

Supporting Families: A consultation document [Internet]. Home Office; 1998. Available from: <http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/+/http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ERORecords/HO/421/2/P2/ACU/SUPPFAM.HTM>

308.

Eekelaar J. Family Justice: Ideal or Illusion?: Family Law and Communitarian Values. *Current Legal Problems*. 1995 Jan 1;48(Part 2):191–216.

309.

Cretney S. Divorce Reform in England: Humbug and Hypocrisy or a Smooth Transition? In: *Divorce: where next?* Aldershot, Hants: Dartmouth Pub. Co; 1996.

310.

Deech R. *Divorce – A Disaster? Family law [Internet]. 2009;39(11). Available from: http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2009_11_48.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2009_11_48&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2009_11_48

311.

Smart C, Neale B. *Good enough morality? Divorce and postmodernity. *Critical Social Policy*. 1997 Nov 1;17(53):3–27.

312.

Dewar J. *The Normal Chaos of Family Law. *Modern Law Review*. 1998 Jul;61(4):467–85.

313.

Sclater SD, Piper C. *The Family Law Act 1996 in Context. In: *Undercurrents of divorce*. Aldershot, England: Ashgate; 1999.

314.

Douglas et al. G. Supporting Children when Parents Separate - A Neglected Family Justice or Mental Health Issue? Child and family law quarterly [Internet]. 1996;8(2). Available from:

http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_1996_02_3.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_1996_02_3&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_1996_02_3

315.

Sclater SD. *Narratives of divorce. Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law. 1997 Oct;19(4):423-41.

316.

Sclater SD. Divorce: a psychosocial study. Aldershot, Hants, England: Ashgate; 1999.

317.

Sclater SD, Brown J. Divorce: A Psychodynamic Perspective. In: Undercurrents of divorce. Aldershot, England: Ashgate; 1999.

318.

Sclater SD. Experiences of Divorce. In: Undercurrents of divorce. Aldershot, England: Ashgate; 1999.

319.

Collier R. The Dashing of a 'Liberal Dream'? - The Information Meeting, The 'New Family' and the Limits of Law. Child and family law quarterly [Internet]. 1999;11(3). Available from:

http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_1999_03_4.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_1999_03_4&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_1999_03_4

320.

Eekelaar J. *Family Law: Keeping us 'On Message'. Child and family law quarterly [Internet]. 1999;11(4). Available from: http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_1999_04_5.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_1999_04_5&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_1999_04_5

321.

Sclater SD, Piper C. *Re-moralising the Family? – Family Policy, Family Law and Youth Justice. Child and family law quarterly [Internet]. 2000;12(2). Available from: http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2000_02_3.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2000_02_3&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2000_02_3

322.

Reece H. *Divorcing Responsibly. Feminist Legal Studies. 2000;8(1):65–91.

323.

Reece H. Divorcing the Children. In: Feminist perspectives on child law. London: Cavendish; 2000.

324.

Eekelaar J, Beinart S, Maclean M. Family lawyers: the divorce work of solicitors. Oxford: Hart; 2000.

325.

Walker et al. J. Picking up the Pieces: Marriage and Divorce: Two years after Information Provision [Internet]. London: Department for Constitutional Affairs; 2004. Available from: <http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/+/http://www.dca.gov.uk/pubs/reports/family2004-webpageintro.htm>

326.

Responses to Civil Partnership: A framework for the legal recognition of same-sex couples [Internet]. London: DTI; 2003. Available from: http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20040105034450/http://www.womenandequalityunit.gov.uk/research/index.htm#cp_responses

327.

Matrimonial Causes Act 1973 [Internet]. 1973. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYmJmZKJnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

328.

Civil Partnership Act 2004 [Internet]. 2004. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYidn3KJmWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

329.

Diduck A, Kaganas F. Chapter 7. In: Family law, gender and the state. 3rd ed. Oxford: Hart; 2012.

330.

Herring J. Chapter 5. In: Family law. 6th ed. Harlow: Pearson; 2013.

331.

Harris-Short S, Miles J. Chapter 7. In: Family law: text, cases, and materials. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011.

332.

White v White [2001] 1 AC 596, [2000] 2 FLR 981 [Internet]. 2000. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYudmWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/white-v-white>

333.

Miller v Miller; McFarlane v McFarlane [2006] UKHL 24 [Internet]. 2006. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZuJn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/miller-v-miller-short-marriage-clean-break>

334.

Charman v Charman [2007] EWCA Civ 503 [Internet]. 2007. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3KdnYuto2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

335.

Matrimonial Property, Needs and Agreements - Law Commission [Internet]. London: Law Commission; 2014. Available from: <http://lawcommission.justice.gov.uk/publications/matrimonial-property.htm>

336.

Suter v Suter [1987] 2 FLR 232 [Internet]. 1986. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3KdoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

337.

Re A [1998] 1 FLR 347 [Internet]. 1998. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYmtoWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

338.

*B v B (Financial Provision Welfare of Child and Conduct) [2002] 1 FLR 555 [Internet]. 2002. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZetmWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

339.

Prest v Petrodel Resources and others [2013] UKSC 34 [Internet]. 2013. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo5yJn5itm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

340.

George R. Family finances and the corporate veil: Prest v Petrodel. Family Law [Internet]. 2013;43(8). Available from: http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/web/pub.xql?action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en&addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20011FLR0580.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_20011FLR0580#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2013_08_61.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2013_08_61&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2013_08_61

341.

Sharland v Sharland [2014] EWCA 95 [Internet]. 2014. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo5GJm5adn1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

342.

Delaney v Delaney [1990] 2 FLR 457 [Internet]. 1990. Available from: http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_napParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19902FLR0457.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLRONLINE_FLR_19902FLR0457&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLRONLINE_FLR_19902FLR0457

343.

Leadbeater v Leadbeater [1985] FLR 789 [Internet]. 1985. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo1mJnSils1jxAZrwAjrXAV5wsKjloW0ha>

344.

P v P (Divorce: Financial Provision Clean Break) [2002] EWCA Civ 1886; [2003] 1 FLR 942 [Internet]. 2002. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZiJnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/parra-v-parra>

345.

N v F [2011] EWHC 586 (pre-acquired wealth) [Internet]. 2011. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3udoWqJm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

346.

*Jones v Jones [2011] EWCA Civ 41 [Internet]. 2011. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3mZm3ytmYWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/jones-v-jones>

347.

Y v Y (financial orders inherited wealth) [2012] EWHC Fam 2063 [Internet]. 2012. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4uJn3mdn5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

348.

SK v WL (post separation accrual) [2011] 1 FLR 1471 [Internet]. 2011. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3uZm1qJmWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

349.

*Miller v Miller; McFarlane v McFarlane [2006] UKHL 24 [Internet]. 2006. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZuJn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/miller-v-miller-short-marriage-clean-break>

350.

*Charman v Charman [2007] EWCA Civ 503 [Internet]. 2007. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3KdnYuto2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

351.

Robson v Robson [2010] EWCA Civ 1171 [Internet]. 2010. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3itm3GtoYWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/robson-v-robson>

352.

K v L [2011] EWCA Civ 550 [Internet]. 2011. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3ejn2udo2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

353.

McCartney v Mills-McCartney [2008] EWHC 401; [2008] 1 FCR 707 [Internet]. 2008.
Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZetoXydm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

354.

North v North [2007] EWCA Civ 760 [Internet]. 2007. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZajm1Kto1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

355.

Dart v Dart [1996] 2 FLR 286 [Internet]. 1996. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYetn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

356.

P v P (Inherited property) [2004] EWHC 1364; [2005] 1 FLR 576 [Internet]. 2004. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CZm3yZm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

357.

Grey v Grey [2009] EWCA Civ 1424 [Internet]. 2009. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3ajm3Ctn5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

i6atF

358.

SA v PA [2014] EWCA 392 [Internet]. 2014. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXatmZuZmXqdIIOuDYl2CKL2y0L2BULezlOdm9baa>

359.

H v H [2014] EWHC 760 [Internet]. 2014. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXadm3uJmWedIIOuDYl2CKL2y0L2BULezlOdm9baa>

360.

White v White [2001] 1 AC 596, [2000] 2 FLR 981 [Internet]. 2000. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYudmWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/white-v-white>

361.

Miller v Miller; McFarlane v McFarlane [2006] UKHL 24 [Internet]. 2006. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZuJn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/miller-v-miller-short-marriage-clean-break>

362.

Preston v Preston (1982) Fam 17 [Internet]. 1982. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXiZmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

363.

McCartney v Mills-McCartney [2008] EWHC 401; [2008] 1 FCR 707 [Internet]. 2008.
Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZetoXydm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

364.

Miller v Miller; McFarlane v McFarlane [2006] UKHL 24 [Internet]. 2006. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZuJn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/miller-v-miller-short-marriage-clean-break>

365.

McCartney v Mills-McCartney [2008] EWHC 401; [2008] 1 FCR 707 [Internet]. 2008. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZetoXydm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

366.

White v White [2001] 1 AC 596, [2000] 2 FLR 981 [Internet]. 2000. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYudmWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/white-v-white>

367.

*C v C (Financial Relief: Short Marriage) [1997] 2 FLR 26 [Internet]. 1997. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYitoYWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

368.

Miller v Miller; McFarlane v McFarlane [2006] UKHL 24 [Internet]. 2006. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZuJn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/miller-v-miller-short-marriage-clean-break>

369.

*White v White [2001] 1 AC 596, [2000] 2 FLR 981 [Internet]. 2000. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYudmWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/white-v-white>

370.

*Miller v Miller; McFarlane v McFarlane [2006] UKHL 24 [Internet]. 2006. Available from:

<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZuJn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/miller-v-miller-short-marriage-clean-break>

371.

B v B (Mesher Order) [2002] EWHC 3106 (Fam); [2003] 2 FLR 285 [Internet]. 2002.

Available from:

<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CZm1eZn0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

372.

*Miller v Miller; McFarlane v McFarlane [2006] UKHL 24 [Internet]. 2006. Available from:

<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZuJn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/miller-v-miller-short-marriage-clean-break>

373.

Wachtel v Wachtel [1973] Fam 72 [Internet]. 1973. Available from:

<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZm5GJnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

374.

K v L [2010] EWCA Civ 125 (Child sexual abuse) [Internet]. 2010. Available from:

<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo5qdn3CdoYWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

375.

Vaughn v Vaughn (financial misconduct) [2007] EWCA Civ 1085 [Internet]. 2007. Available from:

<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZado0adn0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

376.

K v K [1990] 2 FLR 225 [Internet]. 1990. Available from:

<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXeZm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

377.

Clark v Clark [1999] 2 FLR 498 [Internet]. 1999. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYcJm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

378.

Kokosinski v Kokosinski [1980] 1 All ER 1106 [Internet]. 1980. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo0edlIOuDYL2CKL2y0L2BULezlOdm9baa>

379.

H v H (Financial Relief: Attempted Murder as Conduct) [2006] 1 FLR 990 [Internet]. 2006. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYcZm5mtnWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atFrMi6atF>

380.

T v T (Financial relief: Pensions) [1998] 1 FLR 1072 [Internet]. 1998. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYqZnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

381.

C v C (Financial Relief: Short Marriage) [1997] 2 FLR 26 [Internet]. 1997. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYitoYWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

382.

Suter v Suter [1987] 2 All ER 336 [Internet]. 1987. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3KdoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

383.

Mawson v Mawson [1994] 2 FLR 985 [Internet]. 1994. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXCto1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

384.

K v K (Ancillary Relief: Prenuptial Agreement) [2003] 1 FLR 120 [Internet]. 2003. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZitm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

385.

K v K (Periodical Payment: Cohabitation) [2006] 2 FLR 468 [Internet]. 2006. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYGJn2iZm1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

386.

Miller v Miller; McFarlane v McFarlane [2006] UKHL 24 [Internet]. 2006. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZujn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/miller-v-miller-short-marriage-clean-break>

387.

Martin v Martin [1978] Fam 12 [Internet]. 1977. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYGJn4Gto4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

388.

Clutton v Clutton [1991] 1 All ER 340 [Internet]. 1991. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXetoZWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

389.

Mesher v Mesher and Hall [1980] 1 All ER 126 [Internet]. 1980. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYCZn1adoWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

390.

Piglowska v Piglowski [1999] 1 WLR 1360 [Internet]. 1999. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4uZmWGZnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/piglowski-v-piglowski>

391.

B v B (Mesher Order) [2002] EWHC 3106 (Fam); [2003] 2 FLR 285 [Internet]. 2002.
Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CZm1eZn0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

392.

Richardson v Richardson [1978] 9 Fam Law 86 [Internet]. 1978. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZidm4Ctn5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

393.

T v T (Financial relief: Pensions) [1998] 1 FLR 1072 [Internet]. 1998. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYqZnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

394.

S v S [2002] 1 FLR 457 [Internet]. 2002. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZedm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/s-v-s-rescission-of-decree-nisi-pension-sharing-provision>

395.

Do Divorced Women Catch Up in Pension Building? Child and family law quarterly [Internet]. 2002;14(2). Available from:
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2002_02_3.data.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2002_02_3&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2002_02_3

396.

PRICE D. Closing the Gender Gap in Retirement Income: What Difference Will Recent UK Pension Reforms Make? *Journal of Social Policy*. 2007 Oct;36(04).

397.

Price D. Pension Accumulation and Gendered Household Structures. In: *Sharing lives, dividing assets: an inter-disciplinary study* [Internet]. Oxford: Hart Pub; 2009. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781847315274>

398.

Xydias v Xydias [1999] 1 FLR 683 – general policy [Internet]. 1999. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYyZmXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

399.

Soulsbury v Soulsbury [2007] EWCA Civ 969 [Internet]. 2007. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZetoXytm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

400.

Edgar v Edgar [1980] 1 WLR 1410 [Internet]. 1980. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3GdIIOuDYl2CKL2y0L2BULezIOdm9baa>

401.

MacLeod v MacLeod [2008] UKPC 64 [Internet]. 2009. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZido0mJm3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/macleod-v-macleod>

402.

Crossley v Crossley [2007] EWCA Civ 1491 [Internet]. 2007. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZetn5Cto4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

403.

*Radmacher v Granatino [2009] EWCA 649 [Internet]. 2010. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CZn4adn5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

404.

Z v Z (No 2) [2011] EWHC 2878 [Internet]. 2011. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo5qdn2udm3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

405.

B v S [2012] EWHC 265 [Internet]. 2012. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4ajnxKZn3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

406.

V v V [2011] EWHC 3230 [Internet]. 2011. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3GZmYKdo2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

407.

SA v PA (pre-marital agreement) [2014] EWCA 392 [Internet]. 2014. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXatmZuZmXqdIIouDYL2CKL2y0L2BULezlOdm9baa>

408.

Pavlou P. Pre-Nuptial Agreements – Back Where we Were? Kremen v Agrest. Family law [Internet]. 2012;42(8). Available from:

http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2012_08_40.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2012_08_40&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2012_08_40

409.

Stack v Dowden [2007] UKHL 17 [Internet]. 2007. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2mZn0itnSils1jxAZrWAjrxAV5wsKjloW0ha/stack-v-dowden>

410.

Jones v Kernott [2011] UKSC 53 [Internet]. 2011. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2GdnZuto0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

411.

Consultation Paper No 179: Cohabitation: The Financial Consequences of Relationship Breakdown (Overview) [Internet]. Law Commission; 2006. Available from:
<http://lawcommission.justice.gov.uk/areas/cohabitation.htm>

412.

Supplementary Consultation Paper Matrimonial Property, Needs and Agreements [Internet]. London: Law Commission; 2012. Available from:
http://lawcommission.justice.gov.uk/consultations/matrimonial_property.htm

413.

*V v V [2011] EWHC 3230 [Internet]. 2011. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3GZmYKdo2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

414.

McFarlane v McFarlane (no 2) [2009] EWHC 891 [Internet]. 2009. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CdoXGJnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

415.

GW v RW (Financial provision: Departure From Equality) [2003] 2 FLR 108 [Internet]. 2003. Available from:

<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYCto3CdoZWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

416.

MacLeod v MacLeod [2008] UKPC 64 [Internet]. 2009. Available from:

<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZido0mJm3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/macleod-v-macleod>

417.

Radmacher v Granatino [2009] EWCA 649 [Internet]. 2010. Available from:

<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CZn4adn5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

418.

H v H [2007] EWHC 459 (Fam) [Internet]. 2007. Available from:

<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYKtn4ytn2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

419.

S v S [2007] EWHC 1975 (Fam) [Internet]. 2007. Available from:

<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYCNXeJn5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

420.

B v B [2008] EWCA Civ 543 [Internet]. 2008. Available from:

<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZetoXmZmXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/b-v-b-ancillary-relief-distribution-of-assets>

421.

VB v JP [2008] EWHC 112 [Internet]. 2008. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZeJn1qdn5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

422.

Piglowska v Piglowski [1999] 1 WLR 1360 [Internet]. 1999. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4uZmWGZnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/piglowski-v-piglowski>

423.

McCartney v Mills-McCartney [2008] EWHC 401; [2008] 1 FCR 707 [Internet]. 2008. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZetoXydm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

424.

Myerson v. Myerson (2009) 1 FLR 826 [Internet]. 2009. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZido1iZm3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

425.

Miles J. *Charman v Charman: making sense of need, compensation and equal sharing after Miller/McFarlane. Child and family law quarterly [Internet]. 2008;20(3). Available from:
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2008_03_5.data.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2008_03_5&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2008_03_5

426.

Diduck A. *Ancillary Relief: Complicating the Search for Principle. Journal of Law and Society. 2011 Jun;38(2):272–300.

427.

Leech S, Young R. Marriage, divorce and ancillary relief under the Human Rights Act 1998: an introduction. In: European human rights law review [Internet]. 2001. p. 300–11. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I8497AF10E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

428.

Douglas G, Perry A. How parents cope financially on separation and divorce- implications for the future of ancillary relief. Child and family law quarterly [Internet]. 2001;13(1). Available from: http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2001_01_5.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2001_01_5&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2001_01_5

429.

Eekelaar J. Back to Basics and Forward into the Unknown. Family law [Internet]. 2001;31(1). Available from: http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2001_01_27.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2001_01_27&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2001_01_27

430.

Diduck A. Fairness and Justice for All? The House of Lords in *White v. White* [2000] 2 F.L.R. 981. Feminist Legal Studies. 2001;9(2):173–83.

431.

Diduck A. Chapter 6. In: Law's families. London: LexisNexis; 2003.

432.

Cretney S. The Family and the Law – Status or Contract? Child and family law quarterly [Internet]. 2003;15(4). Available from: http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2003_04_6.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2003_04_6&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2003_04_6

ta.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2003_04_6&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2003_04_6

433.

Edwards S. Division of Assets and Fairness - 'Brick Lane' - Gender, Culture and Ancillary Relief on Divorce. Family law. 2004;34(11).

434.

Eekelaar J. Asset distribution on divorce - the durational element. Law quarterly review [Internet]. 2001;117(Oct). Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I79FFBD40E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

435.

Eekelaar J. Asset Distribution on Divorce - Time and Property. Family law [Internet]. 2003;33(11). Available from: http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2003_11_29.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2003_11_29&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2003_11_29

436.

Herring J. Why Financial Orders on Divorce should be Unfair. International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family. 2005 Aug 1;19(2):218-28.

437.

Symes P. INDISSOLUBILITY AND THE CLEAN BREAK. The Modern Law Review. 1985 Jan;48(1):44-60.

438.

John Eekelaar. Equality and the Purpose of Maintenance. Journal of Law and Society [Internet]. 1988;15(2):188-200. Available from:

http://www.jstor.org/stable/1410053?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

439.

Diduck A, Orton H. Equality and Support for Spouses. *The Modern Law Review*. 1994 Sep;57(5):681–702.

440.

Diduck A. *What is Family Law For? *Current Legal Problems*. 2011 Jan 1;64(1):287–314.

441.

Hale B. *Equality and autonomy in family law. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 2011 Mar;33(1):3–14.

442.

Thorpe M. London- The Divorce Capital of the World. *Family law* [Internet]. 2009;39(1). Available from:

http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2009_01_24.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2009_01_24&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2009_01_24

443.

Deech R. What's a Woman Worth? *Family law* [Internet]. 2009;39(12). Available from:

http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2009_12_27.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2009_12_27&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2009_12_27

444.

Ferguson L. *Family, Social Inequalities, and the Persuasive Force of Interpersonal Obligation. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2007 Mar 15;22(1):61–90.

445.

Glennon L. *The limitations of equality discourses on the contours of intimate relations. In: Rights, gender and family law. Abingdon: Routledge; 2010.

446.

Hitchings E. *Chaos or Consistency? Ancillary Relief in the 'Everyday' Case. In: Sharing lives, dividing assets: an inter-disciplinary study [Internet]. Oxford: Hart Pub; 2009.

Available from:

<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781847315274>

447.

Ouazzani S. Prenuptial agreements: the implications of gender. Family law [Internet]. 2013;43(4). Available from:

http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2013_04_56.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2013_04_56&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2013_04_56

448.

Herring J. Chapter 5. In: Family law. 6th ed. Harlow: Pearson; 2013.

449.

Diduck A, Kaganas F. Chapter 7. In: Family law, gender and the state. 3rd ed. Oxford: Hart; 2012.

450.

Harris-Short S, Miles J. Chapter 6. In: Family law: text, cases, and materials. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011.

451.

Supporting Separated Families, Securing Children's Futures: A Public Consultation Document [Internet]. DWP; 2012. Available from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/supporting-separated-families-securing-children-s-futures>

452.

Government response to the consultation: Supporting separated families: securing children's futures [Internet]. DWP; 2013. Available from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/supporting-separated-families-securing-children-s-futures>

453.

Douglas et al. G. Contact is not a Commodity to be Bartered for Money. Family law [Internet]. 2011;41(5). Available from: http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2011_05_44.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2011_05_44&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2011_05_44

454.

R. (on the application of Kehoe) v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions [2005] UKHL 48; [2004] EWCA Civ 225; [2004] 1 FLR 1132 (on enforcement). [Internet]. 2005. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZmtm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

455.

R (Rowley and others) v Sec State for Work and Pensions [2007] EWCA Civ 598 [Internet]. 2007. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZatn5yto3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

456.

T v B [2010] EWHC 1444 (Fam) [Internet]. 2010. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3idmZitn2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

457.

Re A (child of the Family) [1998] 1 FLR 347 [Internet]. 1998. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYmtoWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

458.

Re C (A Minor) (child Support Agency: Disclosure) [1995] 1 FLR 201 [Internet]. 1995.
Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXmJn0CdIIOuDYl2CKL2y0L2BULezlOdm9baa>

459.

Re E (A Minor) (child Support: Blood Test) [1994] 2 FLR 548 [Internet]. 1994. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXCdn5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

460.

J v C (Child: Financial Provision) [1999] 1 FLR 152 [Internet]. 1999. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYudo1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

461.

Re P (A Child) (Financial provision) [2003] 2 FLR 865 [Internet]. 2003. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo1uZm3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

462.

Secretary of State for Work and Pensions v Jones [2004] 1 FLR. 282 [Internet]. 2003.
Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CZm4Ktn1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

463.

Treharne v. Sec of State for W&P [2009] 1 FLR 853 [Internet]. 2008. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZido5mZmXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

464.

Brookes v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions [2010] EWCA Civ 420 [Internet]. 2010. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2KZn1iZmXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

465.

Bryson C, Ellman IM, McKay S, Miles J. Child Maintenance: How Much Should the State Require Fathers to Pay When Families Separate? 2013.

466.

Skinner C. Child Maintenance Reforms: Understanding Fathers' Expressive Agency and the Power of Reciprocity. International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family. 2013 Aug 1;27(2):242-65.

467.

Strengthening Families, Promoting Parental Responsibility: the future of child maintenance Cm 7990 [Internet]. London: DWP; 2011. Available from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/strengthening-families-promoting-parental-responsibility-the-future-of-child-maintenance>

468.

Henshaw D. Recovering Child Support: routes to responsibility [Internet]. DWP; 2006. Available from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/recovering-child-support-routes-to-responsibility>

469.

Diduck A. Chapter 7. In: Law's families. London: LexisNexis; 2003.

470.

Wikeley N. Private Cases and the Child Support Agency. Family law [Internet]. 2001;31(1). Available from:
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/web/pub.xql?c=t&action=home&pub=FAMILY pa&lang=en#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2001_01_28.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2001_01_28&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2001_01_28

471.

Collier R. The Campaign Against the Child Support Act: 'Errant Fathers and Family Men'. Family law. 1994;24:384-7.

472.

Alison Diduck. The Unmodified Family: The Child Support Act and the Construction of Legal Subjects. Journal of Law and Society [Internet]. 1995;22(4):527-48. Available from:
http://www.jstor.org/stable/1410613?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

473.

MACLEAN M, EEKELAAR J. CHILD SUPPORT: THE BRITISH SOLUTION. 'International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family'. 1993;7(2):205-29.

474.

Altman S. A Theory of Child Support. International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family. 2003 Aug 1;17(2):173-210.