

ARCL1016: Sites and artefacts

View Online



1.

Renfrew C, Bahn PG. Archaeology: theories, methods and practice. 5th ed. London: Thames & Hudson; 2008.

2.

Renfrew C, Bahn PG. Archaeology: theories, methods, and practice [Internet]. Seventh edition. London: Thames & Hudson; 2016. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/ucl/detail.action?docID=6178544>

3.

Caple, Chris. Objects: reluctant witnesses to the past [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2006. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203409060>

4.

Carver, M. O. H. Archaeological investigation. London: Routledge; 2009.

5.

Carver M. Resources: Archaeological Investigation [Internet]. Routledge Resources for Archaeology. London: Taylor and Francis; Available from: http://cw.routledge.com/textbooks/resourcesforarchaeology/resources_archaeological_investigation.asp

6.

Edmonds, M. R. Stone tools and society: working stone in Neolithic and Bronze Age Britain. London: Batsford; 1995.

7.

Greene, Kevin, Moore, Tom. Archaeology: an introduction [Internet]. 5th ed. London: Routledge; 2010. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203835975>

8.

Henderson, Julian. The science and archaeology of materials: an investigation of inorganic materials. London: Routledge; 2000.

9.

Orton, Clive, Tyers, Paul, Vince, A. G. Pottery in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1993.

10.

Renfrew, Colin, Bahn, Paul G. Archaeology: the key concepts [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2005. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203491096>

11.

Schiffer, Michael B. Behavioral archaeology: first principles. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 1995.

12.

Schiffer, Michael B. Formation processes of the archaeological record. Albuquerque, N.M.: University of New Mexico Press; 1987.

13.

Renfrew C, Bahn PG. Archaeology: theories, methods and practice. 5th ed. London: Thames & Hudson; 2008.

14.

Binford LR. A Consideration of Archaeological Research Design. American Antiquity [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 1964;29(4):425-441. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/277978>

15.

Childe VG. Piecing together the past: the interpretation of archaeological data. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul; 1956.

16.

Lamotta V, Schiffer M. Archaeological formation processes. Archaeology: the key concepts [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2005. p. 121-127. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9780203491096>

17.

Lucas G. Splitting objects. Critical approaches to fieldwork: contemporary and historical archaeological practice [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2001. p. 64-106. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203132258>

18.

Miksicek CH. Formation Processes of the Archaeobotanical Record. Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory [Internet]. Springer; 1987;10:211-247. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20210089>

19.

Schiffer MB. Formation processes of the archaeological record. Albuquerque, N.M.: University of New Mexico Press; 1987.

20.

Stein JK. A review of site formation processes and their relevance to geoarchaeology. *Earth sciences and archaeology*. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; 2001. p. 37-51.

21.

van der Leeuw SE. *Dust to Dust: a transformational view of the ceramic cycle. The many dimensions of pottery: ceramics in archaeology and anthropology*. Amsterdam: Universiteit van Amsterdam; 1984. p. 709-773.

22.

Renfrew C, Bahn PG. *Archaeology: theories, methods and practice*. 5th ed. London: Thames & Hudson; 2008.

23.

Binford LR. Behavioral Archaeology and the 'Pompeii Premise'. *Journal of Anthropological Research* [Internet]. University of New Mexico; 1981;37(3):195-208. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3629723>

24.

Richard Bradley. The Destruction of Wealth in Later Prehistory. *Man* [Internet]. Royal Anthropological Institute of Great Britain and Ireland; 1982;17(1):108-122. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2802104>

25.

Brain CK. *The hunters or the hunted?: An introduction to African cave taphonomy*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1980.

26.

Hayden B, Cannon A. Where the garbage goes: Refuse disposal in the Maya Highlands. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 1983;2(2):117–163.

27.

Hill JD. *Ritual and rubbish in the Iron Age of Wessex: a study on the formation of a specific archaeological record*. Oxford: Tempus Reparatum; 1995.

28.

LaMotta VM, Schiffer B. Formation processes of housefloor assemblages. In: Allison PM, editor. *The archaeology of household activities* [Internet]. London: Routledge; 1999. p. 19–29. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9780203014929>

29.

Lucas G. Eventful contexts. *Critical approaches to fieldwork: contemporary and historical archaeological practice* [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2001. p. 146–199. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9780203132258>

30.

Schiffer MB. Behavioral chain analysis: activities, organization and the use of space. *Behavioral archaeology: first principles*. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 1995. p. 55–66.

31.

Schiffer MB. Archaeological Context and Systemic Context. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 1972;37(2):156–165. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/278203>

32.

Schiffer MB. *Formation processes of the archaeological record*. Albuquerque, N.M.: University of New Mexico Press; 1987.

33.

Carver MOH. Archaeological investigation. London: Routledge; 2009.

34.

Renfrew C, Bahn PG. Archaeology: theories, methods and practice. 5th ed. London: Thames & Hudson; 2008.

35.

Carver MOH. The nature of urban deposits. Urban archaeology in Britain [Internet]. London: Council for British Archaeology; 1987. p. 9–26. Available from: http://archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/archives/view/cba_rr/rr61.cfm

36.

Carver MOH. Underneath English towns: interpreting urban archaeology. London: B.T. Batsford; 1987.

37.

Drewett P, Ellison AB, Cartwright CR, Hinton P, O'Connor TP. Later Bronze Age Downland Economy and Excavations at Black Patch, East Sussex. Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society. 1982;48:321–400.

38.

Gardner A. Time and empire in the Roman world. Journal of Social Archaeology. 2012 Jun 1;12(2):145–166.

39.

Greig J. The interpretation of pollen spectra from urban deposits. Environmental archaeology in the urban context [Internet]. London: Council for British Archaeology; 1982. p. 47–65. Available from: http://archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/archives/view/cba_rr/rr43.cfm

40.

Lucas G. Eventful contexts. *Critical approaches to fieldwork: contemporary and historical archaeological practice* [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2001. p. 146–199. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203132258>

41.

Parker Pearson, Michael. *The archaeology of death and burial*. Stroud: Sutton; 1999.

42.

Lock G, Harris T. Visualising spatial data: the importance of Geographic Information Systems. *Archaeology and the information age: a global perspective* [Internet]. London: Routledge; 1992. p. 80–96. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=f700f2c6-5136-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

43.

Vita-Finzi C, Higgs ES, Sturdy D, Harriss J, Legge AJ, Tippet H. Prehistoric Economy in the Mount Carmel Area of Palestine: Site Catchment Analysis. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society*. 1970;36:1–37.

44.

Bevan A. Spatial methods for analysing large-scale artefact inventories. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 2012;86(332):492–506. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/1021249050?accountid=14511>

45.

Binford LR. *People in their lifespaces. In pursuit of the past: decoding the archaeological record : with a new afterword*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press; 2002.

46.

Hodder I. Locational models and the study of Romano-British settlement. *Models in archaeology* [Internet]. London: Methuen; 1972. p. 887–909. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=f662ae83-5636-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

47.

Renfrew C, Bahn PG. Archaeology: theories, methods and practice. 5th ed. London: Thames & Hudson; 2008.

48.

Hirsch, Eric, O'Hanlon, Michael. The anthropology of landscape: perspectives on place and space. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1995.

49.

Hamilton S, Whitehouse R, Brown K, Combes P, Herring E, Thomas MS. Phenomenology in Practice: Towards a Methodology for a 'Subjective' Approach. *European Journal of Archaeology*. 2006;9(1):31-71.

50.

Tilley C. Phenomenological approaches to landscape archaeology. *Handbook of landscape archaeology* [Internet]. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2008. p. 271-276. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1016_70496.pdf

51.

Bender, Barbara. *Landscape: politics and perspectives*. New York: Berg; 1993.

52.

Barbara Bender. Theorising Landscapes, and the Prehistoric Landscapes of Stonehenge. *Man* [Internet]. Royal Anthropological Institute of Great Britain and Ireland; 1992;27(4):735-755. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2804172>

53.

Bender et al. B. Methodologies. *Stone worlds: narrative and reflexivity in landscape archaeology* [Internet]. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2007. p. 50-75. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1016_70497.pdf

54.

Cosgrove D. Geography is everywhere: culture and symbolism in human landscapes. *Horizons in human geography* [Internet]. Basingstoke: Macmillan Education; 1989. p. 118–135. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1016_70498.pdf

55.

Hamilton S, Seager Thomas M, Whitehouse R. Say it with stone: constructing with stones on Easter Island. *World Archaeology*. 2011;43(2):167–190.

56.

Hamilton S, Harrison S, Bender B. Conflicting imaginations: Archaeology, anthropology and geomorphology on Leskernick Hill, Bodmin Moor, southwest Britain. *Geoforum*. 2008 Mar;39(2):602–615.

57.

Morphy H. Landscape and the reproduction of the ancestral past. *The anthropology of landscape: perspectives on place and space* [Internet]. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1995. p. 184–209. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1016_70499.pdf

58.

Tilley CY. Space, place, landscape and perception: phenomenological perspectives. *A phenomenology of landscape: places, paths, and monuments* [Internet]. Oxford: Berg; 1994. p. 7–34. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1016_70500.pdf

59.

Christopher Tilley. The Powers of Rocks: Topography and Monument Construction on Bodmin Moor. *World Archaeology* [Internet]. Taylor & Francis, Ltd.; 1996;28(2):161–176. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/125068>

60.

Parker Pearson M, Ramiisonina. Stonehenge for the Ancestors: the stones pass on the message. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 1998;72(276):308–326. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/217551333?accountid=14511>

61.

Renfrew, Colin, Bahn, Paul G. *Archaeology: theories, methods and practice*. 5th ed. London: Thames & Hudson; 2008.

62.

David N, Kramer C. Studying artifacts: functions, operating sequences, taxonomy. *Ethnoarchaeology in action* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2001. p. 138–167. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG1016_70501.pdf

63.

DeBoer W, Lathrap D. The making and breaking of Shipibo-Conibo ceramics. *Ethnoarchaeology: implications of ethnography for archaeology*. New York: Columbia University Press; 1979. p. 102–138.

64.

Ferguson, Jeffrey R. *Designing experimental research in archaeology: examining technology through production and use*. Boulder, Colo: University Press of Colorado; 2010.

65.

Hayden B, Cannon A. Where the garbage goes: Refuse disposal in the Maya Highlands. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 1983;2(2):117–163.

66.

Hodder, Ian. *The present past: an introduction to anthropology for archaeologists*. London: Batsford; 1982.

67.

Millson, Dana C. E., Theoretical Archaeology Group (England). Experimentation and interpretation: the use of experimental archaeology in the study of the past. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2011.

68.

Peacock, D. P. S. Pottery in the Roman world: an ethnoarchaeological approach. London: Longman; 1982.

69.

Reynolds PJ. The nature of experiment in archaeology. Experiment and design: archaeological studies in honour of John Coles [Internet]. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 1999. p. 156–162. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1007_59088.pdf

70.

Saraydar, Stephen C. Replicating the past: the art and science of the archaeological experiment. Long Grove, Ill: Waveland Press; 2008.

71.

Michael Brian Schiffer and James M. Skibo. The Explanation of Artifact Variability. American Antiquity [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 1997;62(1):27–50. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/282378>

72.

Shimada I. Experimental archaeology. Handbook of archaeological methods. Lanham, Md: Altamira Press; 2005. p. 603–642.

73.

Jean-Pierre Protzen. Inca Quarrying and Stonecutting. Journal of the Society of Architectural Historians [Internet]. University of California Press; 1985;44(2):161–182. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/990027>

74.

Bell M, Fowler PJ, Hillson S, Andrews P, Council for British Archaeology, British Association for the Advancement of Science. The experimental earthwork project, 1960-1992 [Internet]. York: Council for British Archaeology; 1996. Available from: http://archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/archives/view/cba_rr/rr100.cfm

75.

Bradley R. The significance of monuments: on the shaping of human experience in Neolithic and Bronze Age Europe. London: Routledge; 1998.

76.

Jewell PA, Dimbleby GW. The experimental earthwork on Overton Down, Wiltshire, England: the first four years. Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society [Internet]. 1966;32:313-342. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0079497X00014420>

77.

Ogburn D. Power in Stone: The Long-Distance Movement of Building Blocks in the Inca Empire. Ethnohistory [Internet]. Duke University Press; 2004;51(1):101-135. Available from: <http://muse.jhu.edu/journals/ethnohistory/v051/51.1ogburn.html>

78.

Parker Pearson M, Ramiiisonina. Stonehenge for the Ancestors: the stones pass on the message. Antiquity [Internet]. 1998;72(276):308-326. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/217551333?accountid=14511>

79.

Sillar B. The building and rebuilding of walls: Aspirations, commitments and tensions within an Andean community and the archaeological monument they inhabit. Journal of Material Culture. 2013;18(1):27-51.

80.

Peacock DPS. Neolithic pottery production in Cornwall. Antiquity [Internet]. 1969;43(170):145-149. Available from:

<http://antiquity.ac.uk/Ant/043/0137/Ant0430137.pdf>

81.

Bowman S. Tracing to Source. *Science and the past* [Internet]. London: British Museum Press; 1991. p. 99–116. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=23c734a4-6336-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

82.

Renfrew C, Bahn PG. *Archaeology: theories, methods and practice*. 5th ed. London: Thames & Hudson; 2008.

83.

Blomster et al. JP. Olmec Pottery Production and Export in Ancient Mexico Determined Through Elemental Analysis. *Science*. 2005;307(5712):1068–1072.

84.

Henderson, Julian. *The science and archaeology of materials: an investigation of inorganic materials*. London: Routledge; 2000.

85.

Thomas HH. The source of the stones of Stonehenge. *Antiquaries Journal*. 1923;3(3):239–260.

86.

Tykot RH. Archaeological provenance studies. In: Martini et al. M, editor. *Physics methods in archaeometry*. Amsterdam: IOS Press; 2004. p. 407–432.

87.

Wilson L, Pollard AM. The provenance hypothesis. In: Brothwell DR, Pollard AM, editors. *Handbook of archaeological sciences*. Chichester: John Wiley; 2001. p. 507–517.

88.

Greene K, Moore T. Dating the past. *Archaeology: an introduction* [Internet]. 5th ed. London: Routledge; 2010. p. 148–189. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203835975>

89.

Renfrew C. When? Dating Methods and Chronology. *Archaeology: theories, methods and practice* [Internet]. 5th ed. London: Thames & Hudson; 2008. p. 121–174. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1016_69038

90.

Dee M, Wengrow D, Shortland A, Stevenson A, Brock F, Girdland Flink L, Bronk Ramsey C. An absolute chronology for early Egypt using radiocarbon dating and Bayesian statistical modelling. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*. 2013;469(2159):20130395–20130395.

91.

Darvill et al. T. Stonehenge remodelled. *Antiquity*. 2012;86(334):1021–1040.

92.

Adams WY. Archaeological classification: theory versus practice. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 1988;62(234):40–56. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/1293842480?accountid=14511>

93.

Aitken MJ. *Science-based dating in archaeology*. London: Longman; 1990.

94.

Lowe, J. J., Walker, M. J. C. *Reconstructing Quaternary environments*. 2nd ed. Harlow:

Prentice Hall; 1997.

95.

Bailey G. Concepts, time-scales and explanations in economic prehistory. *Economic archaeology: towards an integration of ecological and social approaches* [Internet]. Oxford: British Archaeological Reports; 1981. p. 97–117. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=c2cbede4-7236-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

96.

Lucas, Gavin. *The archaeology of time*. London: Routledge; 2005.

97.

Plog S, Hantman JL. Chronology Construction and the Study of Prehistoric Culture Change. *Journal of Field Archaeology* [Internet]. Maney Publishing; 1990;17(4):439–456. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/530005>

98.

Smart, Peter, Frances, Peter D., Quaternary Research Association (Great Britain). *Quaternary dating methods: a user's guide*. Cambridge: Quaternary Research Association; 1991.

99.

Taylor RE, Aitken MJ. *Chronometric dating in archaeology*. New York: Plenum Press; 1997.

100.

Sillar B, Tite MS. The challenge of 'technological choices' for materials science approaches in archaeology. *Archaeometry*. 2000;42(1):2–20.

101.

Renfrew C, Bahn P. How did they make and use tools technology. *Archaeology: theories, methods and practice*. 5th ed. London: Thames & Hudson; 2008. p. 317–356.

102.

Barnett, William, Hoopes, John W. *The emergence of pottery: technology and innovation in ancient societies*. Washington [D.C.]: Smithsonian Institution Press; 1995.

103.

Cathy Lynne Costin. *Craft Specialization: Issues in Defining, Documenting, and Explaining the Organization of Production*. *Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. Springer; 1991;3:1–56. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20170212>

104.

Kingery, W. D. *Learning from things: method and theory of material culture studies*. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press; 1996.

105.

Lemonnier, Pierre. *Technological choices: transformation in material cultures since the Neolithic*. London: Routledge; 1993.

106.

Lemonnier P. *The study of material culture today: Toward an anthropology of technical systems*. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 1986;5(2):147–186.

107.

Lemonnier, Pierre. *Elements for an anthropology of technology*. Ann Arbor, Mich: Museum of Anthropology, University of Michigan; 1992.

108.

Schlanger N. *Mindful technology: unleashing the chaine operateire for an archaeology of the mind*. *The ancient mind: elements of cognitive archaeology* [Internet]. Cambridge:

Cambridge University Press; 1994. p. 143–151. Available from:
http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1016_70505.pdf

109.

Sigaut F. Technology. Companion encyclopedia of anthropology [Internet]. [New ed.]. London: Routledge; 2002. p. 420–459. Available from:
http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1016_70506.pdf

110.

Edmonds MR. Squeezing blood from stones. Stone tools and society: working stone in Neolithic and Bronze Age Britain [Internet]. London: Batsford; 1995. p. 9–19. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1016_69042

111.

Whittaker JC. A brief history of flintknapping. Flintknapping: making and understanding stone tools [Internet]. Austin: University of Texas Press; 1994. p. 23–63. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1016_69043

112.

Binford L. The challenge of the Mousterian. In pursuit of the past: decoding the archaeological record : with a new afterword [Internet]. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press; 2002. p. 79–94. Available from:
http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1016_70507.pdf

113.

Edmonds, M. R. Stone tools and society: working stone in Neolithic and Bronze Age Britain. London: Batsford; 1995.

114.

Holdaway S, Stern N. A record in stone: the study of Australia's flaked stone artefacts. Canberra: Aboriginal Studies Press; 2004.

115.

Inizan ML, Féblot-Augustins J, Cercle de Recherches et d'Etude Préhistoriques. Technology and terminology of knapped stone: followed by a multilingual vocabulary Arabic, English, French, German, Greek, Italian, Portuguese, Spanish. Nanterre: CREP; 1999.

116.

Odell GH. Tool function. Lithic analysis. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; 2004. p. 135–174.

117.

Pigeot N. Technical and social actors: flintknapping specialists and apprentices at Magdalenian Etiolles. Archaeological review from Cambridge [Internet].

1990;9(1):126–141. Available from:

http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1016_70508.pdf

118.

Schick, Kathy Diane, Toth, Nicholas Patrick. Making silent stones speak: human evolution and the dawn of technology. London: Phoenix; 1995.

119.

Torrence R. The obsidian quarries and their use. An Island polity: the archaeology of exploitation in Melos. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1982. p. 193–221.

120.

Renfrew C. Trade as Action at a Distance: Questions of Integration and Communication. Ancient civilization and trade [Internet]. 1st ed. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press; 1975. p. 3–59. Available from:

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=ca1eda40-7036-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

121.

Renfrew C, Bahn PG. What contact did they have? Archaeology: theories, methods and practice. 5th ed. London: Thames & Hudson; 2008. p. 347–380.

122.

Appadurai A. Introduction: commodities and the politics of value. *The social life of things: commodities in cultural perspective*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1986. p. 3-63.

123.

Bradley, Richard, Edmonds, M. R. *Interpreting the axe trade: production and exchange in Neolithic Britain*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1993.

124.

Graham E. Resource Diversity in Belize and Its Implications for Models of Lowland Trade. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 1987;52(4):753-767. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/281383>

125.

Gregory CA. Exchange and reciprocity. In: Ingold T, editor. *Companion encyclopedia of anthropology*. [New ed.]. London: Routledge; 2002. p. 911-933.

126.

Peacock DPS, Williams DF. *Amphorae and the Roman economy: an introductory guide*. London: Longman; 1986.

127.

Perles C. Systems of Exchange and Organization of Production in Neolithic Greece. *Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology*. 1992;5(2):115-164.

128.

Woolf G. World-systems analysis and the Roman Empire. *Journal of Roman archaeology*. 1990;3:44-58.

129.

M. S. Tite. Pottery Production, Distribution, and Consumption: The Contribution of the Physical Sciences. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. Springer; 1999;6(3):181–233. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20177403>

130.

Van Der Leeuw SE. *Dust to Dust: A transformational view of the ceramic cycle. The many dimensions of pottery: ceramics in archaeology and anthropology*. Amsterdam: Universiteit van Amsterdam; 1984. p. 709–773.

131.

Arnold, Dean E. *Ceramic theory and cultural process*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1985.

132.

Barnett, William, Hoopes, John W. *The emergence of pottery: technology and innovation in ancient societies*. Washington [D.C.]: Smithsonian Institution Press; 1995.

133.

Freestone, Ian, Gaimster, David R. M. *Pottery in the making: world ceramic traditions*. London: British Museum Press; 1997.

134.

Henderson J. *Ceramics. The science and archaeology of materials: an investigation of inorganic materials*. London: Routledge; 2000. p. 109–207.

135.

Orton C. The potential of pottery as archaeological evidence. *Pottery in archaeology* [Internet]. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013. p. 23–35. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1016_70518.pdf

136.

Rice, Prudence M. Pottery analysis: a sourcebook. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1987.

137.

Prudence M. Rice. Recent Ceramic Analysis: 2. Composition, Production, and Theory. *Journal of Archaeological Research* [Internet]. Springer; 1996;4(3):165–202. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41053131>

138.

Rye, Owen S. Pottery technology: principles and reconstruction. Washington, D.C.: Taraxacum; 1981.

139.

Skibo, James M., Feinman, Gary M. Pottery and people: a dynamic interaction. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 1999.

140.

Tyers, Paul. Roman pottery in Britain. London: Batsford; 1996.

141.

Richard Bradley. The Destruction of Wealth in Later Prehistory. *Man* [Internet]. Royal Anthropological Institute of Great Britain and Ireland; 1982;17(1):108–122. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2802104>

142.

Chapman J. Fragmentation in archaeology: people, places, and broken objects in the prehistory of south-eastern Europe. London: Routledge; 2000.

143.

Corbey R, Layton R, Tanner JT. Archaeology and Art. A companion to archaeology [Internet]. Malden, MA: Blackwell; 2004. p. 357–379. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9780470998618>

144.

Deal M. Household pottery disposal in the Maya highlands: An ethnoarchaeological interpretation. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 1985;4(4):243–291.

145.

Deal M, Hagstrum B. Ceramic reuse behavior among the Maya and Wanka: Implications for Archaeology. *Expanding archaeology*. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 1995. p. 111–125.

146.

Graham E. Control without Controlling. *Motul de San José: politics, history, and economy in a classic Maya polity*. Gainesville: University Press of Florida; 2012. p. 419–430.

147.

Hodder I, World Archaeological Congress. *The meanings of things: material culture and symbolic expression*. London: Unwin Hyman, HarperCollins Academic; 1989.

148.

Hodder I. *The Decoration of Containers: An Ethnographic and Historical Study*. Ceramic ethnoarchaeology. Tucson: University of Arizona Press; 1991. p. 71–94.

149.

Kopytoff I. The cultural biography of things: commoditization as process. *The social life of things: commodities in cultural perspective* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1986. p. 64–94. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=f60c4109-5a36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

150.

Parker Pearson M. Status, rank and power. *The archaeology of death and burial* [Internet]. Stroud: Sutton; 1999. p. 72–94. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=c28d105b-6936-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

151.

Renfrew C, Bahn PG. How were societies organized? *Archaeology: theories, methods and practice*. 5th ed. London: Thames & Hudson; 2008. p. 169–222.

152.

Sackett JR. Style and Ethnicity in the Kalahari: A Reply to Wiessner. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 1985;50(1):154–159. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/280642>

153.

Shennan S. Introduction: Archaeological approaches to cultural identity. *Archaeological approaches to cultural identity* [Internet]. London: Routledge; 1994. p. 1–32. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=536ec789-4b36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

154.

Is there a Place for Aesthetics in Archaeology? *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*. 1994;4(2):249–269.

155.

Wiessner P. Style and Social Information in Kalahari San Projectile Points. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 1983;48(2):253–276. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/280450>

156.

Wobst HM. Stylistic behavior and information exchange. *For the director: research essays*

in honor of James B Griffin. Ann Arbor: Museum of Anthropology, University of Michigan; 1977. p. 317–342.

157.

J. Bayley et al., English Heritage. Archaeometallurgy. Centre for Archaeology Guidelines [Internet]. Swindon: English Heritage; 2001. Available from: <http://www.english-heritage.org.uk/publications/archaeometallurgy/>

158.

Renfrew C, Bahn PG. How did they make and use tools technology. Archaeology: theories, methods and practice. 5th ed. London: Thames & Hudson; 2008. p. 317–356.

159.

Bayley, J., Crossley, David W., Ponting, Matthew. Metals and metalworking: a research framework for archaeometallurgy [Internet]. London: Historical Metallurgy Society; 2008. Available from: <http://hist-met.org/publications/hms-occasional-publications.html>

160.

Cleere H. Ironmaking in the economy of the ancient world: the potential of archaeometallurgy. In: Scott et al. BG, editor. The Crafts of the blacksmith. Belfast?]: UISPP Comité pour la Sidérurgie Ancienne and the Ulster Museum; 1986. p. 1–6.

161.

Craddock PT. Mining and smelting in Antiquity. In: Bowman S, editor. Science and the past. London: British Museum Press; 1991. p. 57–73.

162.

Craddock PT. Early metal mining and production. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press; 1995.

163.

Rehren TH, Pernicka E. Coins, artefacts and isotopes - archaeometallurgy and archaeometry. *Archaeometry*. 2008;50(2):232–248.

164.

Tylecote RF. *The early history of metallurgy in Europe*. London: Longman; 1987.

165.

Lambert JB. Metals. *Traces of the past: unraveling the secrets of archaeology through chemistry* [Internet]. Cambridge, Mass: Perseus; 1997. p. 168–213. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1016_70524.pdf

166.

Cronyn JM. *Introducing archaeological conservation. The elements of archaeological conservation* [Internet]. London: Routledge; 1990. p. 1–13. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=3225f62f-5136-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

167.

Cronyn JM. *Agents of Deterioration and Preservation. The elements of archaeological conservation*. London: Routledge; 1990. p. 14–42.

168.

Jones S, Holden J. *It's a material world: caring for the public realm*. London: Demos; 2008.

169.

Buttler CJ, Davis M, National Museum Wales. *Things fall apart--: museum conservation in practice*. Cardiff: National Museum Wales Books; 2006.

170.

Pye, Elizabeth. *Caring for the past: issues in conservation for archaeology and museums*. London: James & James; 2001.

171.

Sease C. A conservation manual for the field archaeologist [Internet]. 2nd ed. Los Angeles: Institute of Archaeology, University of California; 1994. Available from: <http://escholarship.org/uc/item/8ft6488x?query=sease#page-1>

172.

Watkinson, David, Neal, Virginia, United Kingdom Institute for Conservation of Historic and Artistic Works, Museum of London, Rescue (Trust). First aid for finds. 3rd ed. Hertford: RESCUE - The British Archaeological Trust; Archaeology Section of the UKIC; The Museum of London; 1998.

173.

Barber, E. J. W. Prehistoric textiles: the development of cloth in the Neolithic and Bronze Ages with special reference to the Aegean. Princeton, N.J: Princeton University Press; 1991.

174.

Elizabeth M. Brumfiel. The Quality of Tribute Cloth: The Place of Evidence in Archaeological Argument. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 1996;61(3):453-462. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/281834>

175.

Davis, Simon J. M. The archaeology of animals. London: Batsford; 1987.

176.

Dijkman, W., Eryvynck, A. Antler, bone, horn, ivory and teeth: the use of animal skeletal materials in Roman and early medieval Maastricht. Maastricht: Archaeology Section, Department of Urban Development and Ground Maintenance, Municipality of Maastricht in collaboration with the Institute for the Archaeological Heritage of the Flemish Community; 1998.

177.

McCorrison J. The Fibre Revolution. Textile Extensification, Alienation, and Social Stratification in Ancient Mesopotamia. *Current Anthropology*. 1997 Aug;38(4):517–535.

178.

Mc Donnell JG. Pyrotechnology. *Handbook of archaeological sciences*. Chichester: John Wiley; 2001. p. 493–312.

179.

Renfrew C, Bahn PG. *Archaeology: theories, methods and practice*. 5th ed. London: Thames & Hudson; 2008.

180.

Sillar B. Dung by preference: The choice of fuel as an example of how Andean pottery production is embedded within wider technical, social, and economic practices. *Archaeometry*. 2000;42(1):43–60.

181.

Wild, John Peter. *Textiles in archaeology*. Princes Risborough: Shire; 1988.