

ARCL1016: Sites and artefacts

View Online



1.

Renfrew C, Bahn PG. Archaeology: theories, methods and practice. 5th ed. London: Thames & Hudson; 2008.

2.

Renfrew C, Bahn PG. Archaeology: theories, methods, and practice [Internet]. Seventh edition. London: Thames & Hudson; 2016. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/ucl/detail.action?docID=6178544>

3.

Caple, Chris. Objects: reluctant witnesses to the past [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2006. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203409060>

4.

Carver, M. O. H. Archaeological investigation. London: Routledge; 2009.

5.

Carver M. Resources: Archaeological Investigation [Internet]. Routledge Resources for Archaeology. London: Taylor and Francis; Available from: http://cw.routledge.com/textbooks/resourcesforarchaeology/resources_archaeological_investigation.asp

6.

Edmonds, M. R. Stone tools and society: working stone in Neolithic and Bronze Age Britain. London: Batsford; 1995.

7.

Greene, Kevin, Moore, Tom. Archaeology: an introduction [Internet]. 5th ed. London: Routledge; 2010. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203835975>

8.

Henderson, Julian. The science and archaeology of materials: an investigation of inorganic materials. London: Routledge; 2000.

9.

Orton, Clive, Tyers, Paul, Vince, A. G. Pottery in archaeology. Vol. Cambridge manuals in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1993.

10.

Renfrew, Colin, Bahn, Paul G. Archaeology: the key concepts [Internet]. Vol. [Routledge key guides]. London: Routledge; 2005. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203491096>

11.

Schiffer, Michael B. Behavioral archaeology: first principles. Vol. Foundations of archaeological inquiry. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 1995.

12.

Schiffer, Michael B. Formation processes of the archaeological record. Albuquerque, N.M.: University of New Mexico Press; 1987.

13.

Renfrew C, Bahn PG. Archaeology: theories, methods and practice. 5th ed. London: Thames & Hudson; 2008.

14.

Binford LR. A Consideration of Archaeological Research Design. American Antiquity [Internet]. 1964;29(4):425–41. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/277978>

15.

Childe VG. Piecing together the past: the interpretation of archaeological data. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul; 1956.

16.

Lamotta V, Schiffer M. Archaeological formation processes. In: Archaeology: the key concepts [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2005. p. 121–7. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9780203491096>

17.

Lucas G. Splitting objects. In: Critical approaches to fieldwork: contemporary and historical archaeological practice [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2001. p. 64–106. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203132258>

18.

Miksicek CH. Formation Processes of the Archaeobotanical Record. Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory [Internet]. 1987;10:211–47. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20210089>

19.

Schiffer MB. Formation processes of the archaeological record. Albuquerque, N.M.:

University of New Mexico Press; 1987.

20.

Stein JK. A review of site formation processes and their relevance to geoarchaeology. In: Earth sciences and archaeology. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; 2001. p. 37–51.

21.

van der Leeuw SE. Dust to Dust: a transformational view of the ceramic cycle. In: The many dimensions of pottery: ceramics in archaeology and anthropology. Amsterdam: Universiteit van Amsterdam; 1984. p. 709–73.

22.

Renfrew C, Bahn PG. Archaeology: theories, methods and practice. 5th ed. London: Thames & Hudson; 2008.

23.

Binford LR. Behavioral Archaeology and the 'Pompeii Premise'. Journal of Anthropological Research [Internet]. 1981;37(3):195–208. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3629723>

24.

Richard Bradley. The Destruction of Wealth in Later Prehistory. Man [Internet]. 1982;17(1):108–22. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2802104>

25.

Brain CK. The hunters or the hunted?: An introduction to African cave taphonomy. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1980.

26.

Hayden B, Cannon A. Where the garbage goes: Refuse disposal in the Maya Highlands.

Journal of Anthropological Archaeology. 1983;2(2):117-63.

27.

Hill JD. Ritual and rubbish in the Iron Age of Wessex: a study on the formation of a specific archaeological record. Vol. BAR British series. Oxford: Tempus Reparatum; 1995.

28.

LaMotta VM, Schiffer B. Formation processes of housefloor assemblages. In: Allison PM, editor. The archaeology of household activities [Internet]. London: Routledge; 1999. p. 19-29. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9780203014929>

29.

Lucas G. Eventful contexts. In: Critical approaches to fieldwork: contemporary and historical archaeological practice [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2001. p. 146-99. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9780203132258>

30.

Schiffer MB. Behavioral chain analysis: activities, organization and the use of space. In: Behavioral archaeology: first principles. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 1995. p. 55-66.

31.

Schiffer MB. Archaeological Context and Systemic Context. American Antiquity [Internet]. 1972;37(2):156-65. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/278203>

32.

Schiffer MB. Formation processes of the archaeological record. Albuquerque, N.M.: University of New Mexico Press; 1987.

33.

Carver MOH. Archaeological investigation. London: Routledge; 2009.

34.

Renfrew C, Bahn PG. Archaeology: theories, methods and practice. 5th ed. London: Thames & Hudson; 2008.

35.

Carver MOH. The nature of urban deposits. In: Urban archaeology in Britain [Internet]. London: Council for British Archaeology; 1987. p. 9–26. Available from: http://archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/archives/view/cba_rr/rr61.cfm

36.

Carver MOH. Underneath English towns: interpreting urban archaeology. London: B.T. Batsford; 1987.

37.

Drewett P, Ellison AB, Cartwright CR, Hinton P, O'Connor TP. Later Bronze Age Downland Economy and Excavations at Black Patch, East Sussex. Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society. 1982;48:321–400.

38.

Gardner A. Time and empire in the Roman world. Journal of Social Archaeology. 2012 Jun 1;12(2):145–66.

39.

Greig J. The interpretation of pollen spectra from urban deposits. In: Environmental archaeology in the urban context [Internet]. London: Council for British Archaeology; 1982. p. 47–65. Available from: http://archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/archives/view/cba_rr/rr43.cfm

40.

Lucas G. Eventful contexts. In: Critical approaches to fieldwork: contemporary and historical archaeological practice [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2001. p. 146–99. Available

from:

<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203132258>

41.

Parker Pearson, Michael. The archaeology of death and burial. Stroud: Sutton; 1999.

42.

Lock G, Harris T. Visualising spatial data: the importance of Geographic Information Systems. In: Archaeology and the information age: a global perspective [Internet]. London: Routledge; 1992. p. 80–96. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=f700f2c6-5136-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

43.

Vita-Finzi C, Higgs ES, Sturdy D, Harriss J, Legge AJ, Tippet H. Prehistoric Economy in the Mount Carmel Area of Palestine: Site Catchment Analysis. Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society. 1970;36:1–37.

44.

Bevan A. Spatial methods for analysing large-scale artefact inventories. Antiquity [Internet]. 2012;86(332):492–506. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/1021249050?accountid=14511>

45.

Binford LR. People in their lifespace. In: In pursuit of the past: decoding the archaeological record: with a new afterword. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press; 2002.

46.

Hodder I. Locational models and the study of Romano-British settlement. In: Models in archaeology [Internet]. London: Methuen; 1972. p. 887–909. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=f662ae83-5636-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

47.

Renfrew C, Bahn PG. Archaeology: theories, methods and practice. 5th ed. London: Thames & Hudson; 2008.

48.

Hirsch, Eric, O'Hanlon, Michael. The anthropology of landscape: perspectives on place and space. Vol. Oxford studies in social and cultural anthropology. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1995.

49.

Hamilton S, Whitehouse R, Brown K, Combes P, Herring E, Thomas MS. Phenomenology in Practice: Towards a Methodology for a 'Subjective' Approach. European Journal of Archaeology. 2006;9(1):31–71.

50.

Tilley C. Phenomenological approaches to landscape archaeology. In: Handbook of landscape archaeology [Internet]. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2008. p. 271–6. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1016_70496.pdf

51.

Bender, Barbara. Landscape: politics and perspectives. Vol. Explorations in anthropology. New York: Berg; 1993.

52.

Barbara Bender. Theorising Landscapes, and the Prehistoric Landscapes of Stonehenge. Man [Internet]. 1992;27(4):735–55. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2804172>

53.

Bender et al. B. Methodologies. In: Stone worlds: narrative and reflexivity in landscape archaeology [Internet]. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2007. p. 50–75. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1016_70497.pdf

54.

Cosgrove D. Geography is everywhere: culture and symbolism in human landscapes. In: Horizons in human geography [Internet]. Basingstoke: Macmillan Education; 1989. p. 118–35. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1016_70498.pdf

55.

Hamilton S, Seager Thomas M, Whitehouse R. Say it with stone: constructing with stones on Easter Island. *World Archaeology*. 2011;43(2):167–90.

56.

Hamilton S, Harrison S, Bender B. Conflicting imaginations: Archaeology, anthropology and geomorphology on Leskernick Hill, Bodmin Moor, southwest Britain. *Geoforum*. 2008 Mar;39(2):602–15.

57.

Morphy H. Landscape and the reproduction of the ancestral past. In: *The anthropology of landscape: perspectives on place and space* [Internet]. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1995. p. 184–209. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1016_70499.pdf

58.

Tilley CY. Space, place, landscape and perception: phenomenological perspectives. In: *A phenomenology of landscape: places, paths, and monuments* [Internet]. Oxford: Berg; 1994. p. 7–34. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1016_70500.pdf

59.

Christopher Tilley. The Powers of Rocks: Topography and Monument Construction on Bodmin Moor. *World Archaeology* [Internet]. 1996;28(2):161–76. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/125068>

60.

Parker Pearson M, Ramiiisonina. Stonehenge for the Ancestors: the stones pass on the message. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 1998;72(276):308–26. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/217551333?accountid=14511>

61.

Renfrew, Colin, Bahn, Paul G. *Archaeology: theories, methods and practice*. 5th ed. London: Thames & Hudson; 2008.

62.

David N, Kramer C. Studying artifacts: functions, operating sequences, taxonomy. In: *Ethnoarchaeology in action* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2001. p. 138–67. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG1016_70501.pdf

63.

DeBoer W, Lathrap D. The making and breaking of Shipibo-Conibo ceramics. In: *Ethnoarchaeology: implications of ethnography for archaeology*. New York: Columbia University Press; 1979. p. 102–38.

64.

Ferguson, Jeffrey R. *Designing experimental research in archaeology: examining technology through production and use*. Boulder, Colo: University Press of Colorado; 2010.

65.

Hayden B, Cannon A. Where the garbage goes: Refuse disposal in the Maya Highlands. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 1983;2(2):117–63.

66.

Hodder, Ian. *The present past: an introduction to anthropology for archaeologists*. London: Batsford; 1982.

67.

Millson, Dana C. E., Theoretical Archaeology Group (England). Experimentation and interpretation: the use of experimental archaeology in the study of the past. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2011.

68.

Peacock, D. P. S. Pottery in the Roman world: an ethnoarchaeological approach. Vol. Longman archaeology series. London: Longman; 1982.

69.

Reynolds PJ. The nature of experiment in archaeology. In: Experiment and design: archaeological studies in honour of John Coles [Internet]. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 1999. p. 156–62. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1007_59088.pdf

70.

Saraydar, Stephen C. Replicating the past: the art and science of the archaeological experiment. Long Grove, Ill: Waveland Press; 2008.

71.

Michael Brian Schiffer and James M. Skibo. The Explanation of Artifact Variability. American Antiquity [Internet]. 1997;62(1):27–50. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/282378>

72.

Shimada I. Experimental archaeology. In: Handbook of archaeological methods. Lanham, Md: Altamira Press; 2005. p. 603–42.

73.

Jean-Pierre Protzen. Inca Quarrying and Stonecutting. Journal of the Society of Architectural Historians [Internet]. 1985;44(2):161–82. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/990027>

74.

Bell M, Fowler PJ, Hillson S, Andrews P, Council for British Archaeology, British Association for the Advancement of Science. The experimental earthwork project, 1960-1992 [Internet]. Vol. CBA research report. York: Council for British Archaeology; 1996. Available from: http://archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/archives/view/cba_rr/rr100.cfm

75.

Bradley R. The significance of monuments: on the shaping of human experience in Neolithic and Bronze Age Europe. London: Routledge; 1998.

76.

Jewell PA, Dimbleby GW. The experimental earthwork on Overton Down, Wiltshire, England: the first four years. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society* [Internet]. 1966;32:313-42. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0079497X00014420>

77.

Ogburn D. Power in Stone: The Long-Distance Movement of Building Blocks in the Inca Empire. *Ethnohistory* [Internet]. 2004;51(1):101-35. Available from: <http://muse.jhu.edu/journals/ethnohistory/v051/51.1ogburn.html>

78.

Parker Pearson M, Ramilisonina. Stonehenge for the Ancestors: the stones pass on the message. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 1998;72(276):308-26. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/217551333?accountid=14511>

79.

Sillar B. The building and rebuilding of walls: Aspirations, commitments and tensions within an Andean community and the archaeological monument they inhabit. *Journal of Material Culture*. 2013;18(1):27-51.

80.

Peacock DPS. Neolithic pottery production in Cornwall. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 1969;43(170):145-9. Available from: <http://antiquity.ac.uk/Ant/043/0137/Ant0430137.pdf>

81.

Bowman S. Tracing to Source. In: Science and the past [Internet]. London: British Museum Press; 1991. p. 99–116. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=23c734a4-6336-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

82.

Renfrew C, Bahn PG. Archaeology: theories, methods and practice. 5th ed. London: Thames & Hudson; 2008.

83.

Blomster et al. JP. Olmec Pottery Production and Export in Ancient Mexico Determined Through Elemental Analysis. Science. 2005;307(5712):1068–72.

84.

Henderson, Julian. The science and archaeology of materials: an investigation of inorganic materials. London: Routledge; 2000.

85.

Thomas HH. The source of the stones of Stonehenge. Antiquaries Journal. 1923;3(3):239–60.

86.

Tykot RH. Archaeological provenance studies. In: Martini et al. M, editor. Physics methods in archaeometry. Amsterdam: IOS Press; 2004. p. 407–32.

87.

Wilson L, Pollard AM. The provenance hypothesis. In: Brothwell DR, Pollard AM, editors. Handbook of archaeological sciences. Chichester: John Wiley; 2001. p. 507–17.

88.

Greene K, Moore T. Dating the past. In: Archaeology: an introduction [Internet]. 5th ed. London: Routledge; 2010. p. 148–89. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203835975>

89.

Renfrew C. When? Dating Methods and Chronology. In: Archaeology: theories, methods and practice [Internet]. 5th ed. London: Thames & Hudson; 2008. p. 121–74. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1016_69038

90.

Dee M, Wengrow D, Shortland A, Stevenson A, Brock F, Girdland Flink L, et al. An absolute chronology for early Egypt using radiocarbon dating and Bayesian statistical modelling. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*. 2013;469(2159):20130395–20130395.

91.

Darvill et al. T. Stonehenge remodelled. *Antiquity*. 2012;86(334):1021–40.

92.

Adams WY. Archaeological classification: theory versus practice. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 1988;62(234):40–56. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/1293842480?accountid=14511>

93.

Aitken MJ. Science-based dating in archaeology. Vol. Longman archaeology series. London: Longman; 1990.

94.

Lowe, J. J., Walker, M. J. C. Reconstructing Quaternary environments. 2nd ed. Harlow: Prentice Hall; 1997.

95.

Bailey G. Concepts, time-scales and explanations in economic prehistory. In: Economic archaeology: towards an integration of ecological and social approaches [Internet]. Oxford: British Archaeological Reports; 1981. p. 97–117. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=c2cbede4-7236-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

96.

Lucas, Gavin. The archaeology of time. Vol. Themes in archaeology. London: Routledge; 2005.

97.

Plog S, Hantman JL. Chronology Construction and the Study of Prehistoric Culture Change. Journal of Field Archaeology [Internet]. 1990;17(4):439–56. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/530005>

98.

Smart, Peter, Frances, Peter D., Quaternary Research Association (Great Britain). Quaternary dating methods: a user's guide. Vol. Technical guide / Quaternary Research Association. Cambridge: Quaternary Research Association; 1991.

99.

Taylor RE, Aitken MJ. Chronometric dating in archaeology. Vol. Advances in archaeological and museum science. New York: Plenum Press; 1997.

100.

Sillar B, Tite MS. The challenge of 'technological choices' for materials science approaches in archaeology. Archaeometry. 2000;42(1):2–20.

101.

Renfrew C, Bahn P. How did they make and use tools technology. In: Archaeology:

theories, methods and practice. 5th ed. London: Thames & Hudson; 2008. p. 317–56.

102.

Barnett, William, Hoopes, John W. The emergence of pottery: technology and innovation in ancient societies. Vol. Smithsonian series in archaeological inquiry. Washington [D.C.]: Smithsonian Institution Press; 1995.

103.

Cathy Lynne Costin. Craft Specialization: Issues in Defining, Documenting, and Explaining the Organization of Production. *Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. 1991;3:1–56. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20170212>

104.

Kingery, W. D. Learning from things: method and theory of material culture studies. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press; 1996.

105.

Lemonnier, Pierre. Technological choices: transformation in material cultures since the Neolithic. Vol. Material cultures. London: Routledge; 1993.

106.

Lemonnier P. The study of material culture today: Toward an anthropology of technical systems. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 1986;5(2):147–86.

107.

Lemonnier, Pierre. Elements for an anthropology of technology. Vol. Anthropological papers / Museum of Anthropology, University of Michigan. Ann Arbor, Mich: Museum of Anthropology, University of Michigan; 1992.

108.

Schlanger N. Mindful technology: unleashing the chaîne opératoire for an archaeology of the

mind. In: The ancient mind: elements of cognitive archaeology [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1994. p. 143–51. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1016_70505.pdf

109.

Sigaut F. Technology. In: Companion encyclopedia of anthropology [Internet]. [New ed.]. London: Routledge; 2002. p. 420–59. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1016_70506.pdf

110.

Edmonds MR. Squeezing blood from stones. In: Stone tools and society: working stone in Neolithic and Bronze Age Britain [Internet]. London: Batsford; 1995. p. 9–19. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1016_69042

111.

Whittaker JC. A brief history of flintknapping. In: Flintknapping: making and understanding stone tools [Internet]. Austin: University of Texas Press; 1994. p. 23–63. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1016_69043

112.

Binford L. The challenge of the Mousterian. In: In pursuit of the past: decoding the archaeological record : with a new afterword [Internet]. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press; 2002. p. 79–94. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1016_70507.pdf

113.

Edmonds, M. R. Stone tools and society: working stone in Neolithic and Bronze Age Britain. London: Batsford; 1995.

114.

Holdaway S, Stern N. A record in stone: the study of Australia's flaked stone artefacts. Canberra: Aboriginal Studies Press; 2004.

115.

Inizan ML, Féblot-Augustins J, Cercle de Recherches et d'Etude Préhistoriques. Technology and terminology of knapped stone: followed by a multilingual vocabulary Arabic, English, French, German, Greek, Italian, Portuguese, Spanish. Vol. Préhistoire de la pierre taillée; 5. Nanterre: CREP; 1999.

116.

Odell GH. Tool function. In: Lithic analysis. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; 2004. p. 135–74.

117.

Pigeot N. Technical and social actors: flintknapping specialists and apprentices at Magdalenian Etioilles. Archaeological review from Cambridge [Internet]. 1990;9(1):126–41. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1016_70508.pdf

118.

Schick, Kathy Diane, Toth, Nicholas Patrick. Making silent stones speak: human evolution and the dawn of technology. London: Phoenix; 1995.

119.

Torrence R. The obsidian quarries and their use. In: An Island polity: the archaeology of exploitation in Melos. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1982. p. 193–221.

120.

Renfrew C. Trade as Action at a Distance: Questions of Integration and Communication. In: Ancient civilization and trade [Internet]. 1st ed. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press; 1975. p. 3–59. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=ca1eda40-7036-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

121.

Renfrew C, Bahn PG. What contact did the have? In: Archaeology: theories, methods and practice. 5th ed. London: Thames & Hudson; 2008. p. 347–80.

122.

Appadurai A. Introduction: commodities and the politics of value. In: *The social life of things: commodities in cultural perspective*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1986. p. 3–63.

123.

Bradley, Richard, Edmonds, M. R. *Interpreting the axe trade: production and exchange in Neolithic Britain*. Vol. *New studies in archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1993.

124.

Graham E. Resource Diversity in Belize and Its Implications for Models of Lowland Trade. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 1987;52(4):753–67. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/281383>

125.

Gregory CA. Exchange and reciprocity. In: Ingold T, editor. *Companion encyclopedia of anthropology*. [New ed.]. London: Routledge; 2002. p. 911–33.

126.

Peacock DPS, Williams DF. *Amphorae and the Roman economy: an introductory guide*. Vol. *Longman archaeology series*. London: Longman; 1986.

127.

Perles C. Systems of Exchange and Organization of Production in Neolithic Greece. *Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology*. 1992;5(2):115–64.

128.

Woolf G. World-systems analysis and the Roman Empire. *Journal of Roman archaeology*. 1990;3:44–58.

129.

M. S. Tite. Pottery Production, Distribution, and Consumption: The Contribution of the Physical Sciences. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. 1999;6(3):181–233. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20177403>

130.

Van Der Leeuw SE. Dust to Dust: A transformational view of the ceramic cycle. In: *The many dimensions of pottery: ceramics in archaeology and anthropology*. Amsterdam: Universiteit van Amsterdam; 1984. p. 709–73.

131.

Arnold, Dean E. Ceramic theory and cultural process. Vol. *New studies in archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1985.

132.

Barnett, William, Hoopes, John W. The emergence of pottery: technology and innovation in ancient societies. Vol. *Smithsonian series in archaeological inquiry*. Washington [D.C.]: Smithsonian Institution Press; 1995.

133.

Freestone, Ian, Gaimster, David R. M. Pottery in the making: world ceramic traditions. London: British Museum Press; 1997.

134.

Henderson J. Ceramics. In: *The science and archaeology of materials: an investigation of inorganic materials*. London: Routledge; 2000. p. 109–207.

135.

Orton C. The potential of pottery as archaeological evidence. In: *Pottery in archaeology* [Internet]. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013. p. 23–35. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1016_70518.pdf

136.

Rice, Prudence M. Pottery analysis: a sourcebook. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1987.

137.

Prudence M. Rice. Recent Ceramic Analysis: 2. Composition, Production, and Theory. *Journal of Archaeological Research* [Internet]. 1996;4(3):165–202. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41053131>

138.

Rye, Owen S. Pottery technology: principles and reconstruction. Vol. Manuals on archeology. Washington, D.C.: Taraxacum; 1981.

139.

Skibo, James M., Feinman, Gary M. Pottery and people: a dynamic interaction. Vol. Foundations of archaeological inquiry. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 1999.

140.

Tyers, Paul. Roman pottery in Britain. London: Batsford; 1996.

141.

Richard Bradley. The Destruction of Wealth in Later Prehistory. *Man* [Internet]. 1982;17(1):108–22. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2802104>

142.

Chapman J. Fragmentation in archaeology: people, places, and broken objects in the prehistory of south-eastern Europe. London: Routledge; 2000.

143.

Corbey R, Layton R, Tanner JT. Archaeology and Art. In: A companion to archaeology [Internet]. Malden, MA: Blackwell; 2004. p. 357–79. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9780470998618>

144.

Deal M. Household pottery disposal in the Maya highlands: An ethnoarchaeological interpretation. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 1985;4(4):243–91.

145.

Deal M, Hagstrum B. Ceramic reuse behavior among the Maya and Wanka: Implications for Archaeology. In: *Expanding archaeology*. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 1995. p. 111–25.

146.

Graham E. Control without Controlling. In: *Motul de San José: politics, history, and economy in a classic Maya polity*. Gainesville: University Press of Florida; 2012. p. 419–30.

147.

Hodder I, World Archaeological Congress. The meanings of things: material culture and symbolic expression. Vol. *One world archaeology*. London: Unwin Hyman, HarperCollins Academic; 1989.

148.

Hodder I. The Decoration of Containers: An Ethnographic and Historical Study. In: *Ceramic ethnoarchaeology*. Tucson: University of Arizona Press; 1991. p. 71–94.

149.

Kopytoff I. The cultural biography of things: commoditization as process. In: *The social life of things: commodities in cultural perspective* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1986. p. 64–94. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=f60c4109-5a36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

150.

Parker Pearson M. Status, rank and power. In: The archaeology of death and burial [Internet]. Stroud: Sutton; 1999. p. 72-94. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=c28d105b-6936-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

151.

Renfrew C, Bahn PG. How were societies organized? In: Archaeology: theories, methods and practice. 5th ed. London: Thames & Hudson; 2008. p. 169-222.

152.

Sackett JR. Style and Ethnicity in the Kalahari: A Reply to Wiessner. American Antiquity [Internet]. 1985;50(1):154-9. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/280642>

153.

Shennan S. Introduction: Archaeological approaches to cultural identity. In: Archaeological approaches to cultural identity [Internet]. London: Routledge; 1994. p. 1-32. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=536ec789-4b36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

154.

Is there a Place for Aesthetics in Archaeology? Cambridge Archaeological Journal. 1994;4(2):249-69.

155.

Wiessner P. Style and Social Information in Kalahari San Projectile Points. American Antiquity [Internet]. 1983;48(2):253-76. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/280450>

156.

Wobst HM. Stylistic behavior and information exchange. In: For the director: research essays in honor of James B Griffin. Ann Arbor: Museum of Anthropology, University of Michigan; 1977. p. 317–42.

157.

J. Bayley et al., English Heritage. Archaeometallurgy. Centre for Archaeology Guidelines [Internet]. Vol. Centre for Archaeology guidelines. Swindon: English Heritage; 2001. Available from: <http://www.english-heritage.org.uk/publications/archaeometallurgy/>

158.

Renfrew C, Bahn PG. How did they make and use tools technology. In: Archaeology: theories, methods and practice. 5th ed. London: Thames & Hudson; 2008. p. 317–56.

159.

Bayley, J., Crossley, David W., Ponting, Matthew. Metals and metalworking: a research framework for archaeometallurgy [Internet]. Vol. Occasional publication, No. 6. London: Historical Metallurgy Society; 2008. Available from: <http://hist-met.org/publications/hms-occasional-publications.html>

160.

Cleere H. Ironmaking in the economy of the ancient world: the potential of archaeometallurgy. In: Scott et al. BG, editor. The Crafts of the blacksmith. Belfast?]: UISPP Comité pour la Sidérurgie Ancienne and the Ulster Museum; 1986. p. 1–6.

161.

Craddock PT. Mining and smelting in Antiquity. In: Bowman S, editor. Science and the past. London: British Museum Press; 1991. p. 57–73.

162.

Craddock PT. Early metal mining and production. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press; 1995.

163.

Rehren TH, Pernicka E. Coins, artefacts and isotopes - archaeometallurgy and archaeometry. *Archaeometry*. 2008;50(2):232–48.

164.

Tylecote RF. The early history of metallurgy in Europe. Vol. Longman archaeology series. London: Longman; 1987.

165.

Lambert JB. Metals. In: *Traces of the past: unraveling the secrets of archaeology through chemistry* [Internet]. Cambridge, Mass: Perseus; 1997. p. 168–213. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1016_70524.pdf

166.

Cronyn JM. Introducing archaeological conservation. In: *The elements of archaeological conservation* [Internet]. London: Routledge; 1990. p. 1–13. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=3225f62f-5136-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

167.

Cronyn JM. Agents of Deterioration and Preservation. In: *The elements of archaeological conservation*. London: Routledge; 1990. p. 14–42.

168.

Jones S, Holden J. *It's a material world: caring for the public realm*. London: Demos; 2008.

169.

Buttler CJ, Davis M, National Museum Wales. *Things fall apart--: museum conservation in practice*. Cardiff: National Museum Wales Books; 2006.

170.

Pye, Elizabeth. *Caring for the past: issues in conservation for archaeology and museums*. London: James & James; 2001.

171.

Sease C. *A conservation manual for the field archaeologist* [Internet]. 2nd ed. Vol. Archaeological research tools. Los Angeles: Institute of Archaeology, University of California; 1994. Available from: <http://escholarship.org/uc/item/8ft6488x?query=sease#page-1>

172.

Watkinson, David, Neal, Virginia, United Kingdom Institute for Conservation of Historic and Artistic Works, Museum of London, Rescue (Trust). *First aid for finds*. 3rd ed. Hertford: RESCUE - The British Archaeological Trust; Archaeology Section of the UKIC; The Museum of London; 1998.

173.

Barber, E. J. W. *Prehistoric textiles: the development of cloth in the Neolithic and Bronze Ages with special reference to the Aegean*. Princeton, N.J: Princeton University Press; 1991.

174.

Elizabeth M. Brumfiel. *The Quality of Tribute Cloth: The Place of Evidence in Archaeological Argument*. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 1996;61(3):453-62. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/281834>

175.

Davis, Simon J. M. *The archaeology of animals*. London: Batsford; 1987.

176.

Dijkman, W., Ervynck, A. *Antler, bone, horn, ivory and teeth: the use of animal skeletal materials in Roman and early medieval Maastricht*. Vol. *Archaeologica Mosana*. Maastricht: Archaeology Section, Department of Urban Development and Ground Maintenance, Municipality of Maastricht in collaboration with the Institute for the Archaeological Heritage of the Flemish Community; 1998.

177.

McCorriston J. The Fibre Revolution. Textile Extensification, Alienation, and Social Stratification in Ancient Mesopotamia. *Current Anthropology*. 1997 Aug;38(4):517–35.

178.

Mc Donnell JG. Pyrotechnology. In: *Handbook of archaeological sciences*. Chichester: John Wiley; 2001. p. 493–312.

179.

Renfrew C, Bahn PG. *Archaeology: theories, methods and practice*. 5th ed. London: Thames & Hudson; 2008.

180.

Sillar B. Dung by preference: The choice of fuel as an example of how Andean pottery production is embedded within wider technical, social, and economic practices. *Archaeometry*. 2000;42(1):43–60.

181.

Wild, John Peter. *Textiles in archaeology*. Vol. *Shire archaeology*. Princes Risborough: Shire; 1988.