

SECU0021: Forensic Geoscience

View Online



1.

Inman K, Rudin N. The origin of evidence. *Forensic Science International*. 2002;126(1):11-16. doi:10.1016/S0379-0738(02)00031-2

2.

Morgan RM, Wiltshire P, Parker A, Bull PA. The role of forensic geoscience in wildlife crime detection. *Forensic Science International*. 2006;162(1-3):152-162. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.06.045

3.

Morgan RM, Bull PA. The philosophy, nature and practice of forensic sediment analysis. *Progress in Physical Geography*. 2007;31(1):43-58. doi:10.1177/0309133307073881

4.

Ruffell A, McKinley J. Forensic geoscience: applications of geology, geomorphology and geophysics to criminal investigations. *Earth-Science Reviews*. 2005;69(3-4):235-247. doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2004.08.002

5.

Jonathan. J. Koehler MJS. The Individualization Fallacy in Forensic Science Evidence. 2008;61(1):199-219. http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1432516

6.

Cole SA. Forensic culture as epistemic culture: The sociology of forensic science. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences*. 2013;44(1):36-46. doi:10.1016/j.shpsc.2012.09.003

7.

Jasanoff S. Just Evidence: The Limits of Science in the Legal Process. *The Journal of Law, Medicine Ethics*. 2006;34(2):328-341. doi:10.1111/j.1748-720X.2006.00038.x

8.

Kiely TF. *Forensic Evidence: Science and the Criminal Law*. Second edition. CRC Press; 2006. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1201/9781420038064>

9.

Kirk PL. *Crime Investigation*. Second edition. (Thornton JI, ed.). John Wiley & Sons; 1974.

10.

Michael Lynch and Sheila Jasanoff. Introduction: Contested Identities: Science, Law and Forensic Practice. *Social Studies of Science*. 1998;28(5):675-686.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/285513?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&archUri=%2Faction%2FdoAdvancedSearch%3Fc5%3DAND%26amp%3Bq2%3D%26amp%3Bf4%3Dall%26amp%3Bf2%3Dall%26amp%3Bla%3D%26amp%3Bpt%3D%26amp%3Bq4%3D%26amp%3Bq6%3D%26amp%3Bc4%3DAND%26amp%3Bf6%3Dall%26amp%3Bf3%3Dall%26amp%3Bq0%3DContested%2BIdentities%253A%2Bscience%252C%2Blaw%2Bband%2Bforensic%2Bpractice%26amp%3Bc3%3DAND%26amp%3Bf0%3Dall%26amp%3Bacc%3Don%26amp%3Bc1%3DAND%26amp%3Bq1%3D%26amp%3Bf1%3Dall%26amp%3Bc6%3DAND%26amp%3Bf5%3Dall%26amp%3Bq3%3D%26amp%3Bisbn%3D%26amp%3Bed%3D%26amp%3Bsd%3D%26amp%3Bc2%3DAND%26amp%3Bq5%3D%26amp%3Bgroup%3Dnone&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

11.

Morgan RM, Bull PA. Data Interpretation in Forensic Sediment and Soil Geochemistry. *Environmental Forensics*. 2006;7(4):325-334. doi:10.1080/15275920600996248

12.

Rawlins BG, Kemp SJ, Hodgkinson EH, et al. Potential and Pitfalls in Establishing the Provenance of Earth-Related Samples in Forensic Investigations. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 2006;51(4):832-845. doi:10.1111/j.1556-4029.2006.00152.x

13.

BBC Radio 4 - The Infinite Monkey Cage, Series 12, Forensic Science.
<http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/b064yglg>

14.

The Forensics Library. <http://aboutforensics.co.uk/>

15.

BBC Radio 4 - Forensics in Crisis.
<http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/b05sv09g/broadcasts/2015/05>

16.

Hamzelou J. Hair analysis on trial after FBI admits to using flawed evidence. Published online 2015.
<https://www.newscientist.com/article/dn27386-hair-analysis-on-trial-after-fbi-admits-to-using-flawed-evidence/#.VTnvtpOcvvs>

17.

Drahl C, Widener A. Forcing Change In Forensic Science. 2014;92(19):10-15.
<http://cen.acs.org/articles/92/i19/Forcing-Change-Forensic-Science.html>

18.

BBC Radio 4 - The Life Scientific, Niamh Nic Daeid.
<http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/b062k9zz>

19.

BBC Four - Catching History's Criminals: The Forensics Story.

<http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/p02l4p5x>

20.

BBC Radio 4 - The Report, Forensic Science. <http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/b01m68w2>

21.

Balding DJ, Buckleton J. Interpreting low template DNA profiles. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*. 2009;4(1):1-10. doi:10.1016/j.fsigen.2009.03.003

22.

Jasanoff S. Law's Knowledge: Science for Justice in Legal Settings. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2005;95(S1):S49-S58. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2004.045732

23.

Morgan, RM. The relevance of the evolution of experimental studies for the interpretation and evaluation of some trace physical evidence. *Science & Justice*. Published online 2009. https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=UCL_EPR_DS84827&context=L&vid=UCL_VU2□=en_US&search_scope=CSCOP_UCL&adaptor=Local%20Search%20Engine&tab=local&query=any,contains,The%20relevance%20of%20the%20evolution%20of%20experimental%20studies%20for%20the%20interpretation%20and%20evaluation%20of%20some%20trace%20physical%20evidence&sortby=rank&offset=0

24.

Thompson WC, Schumann EL. Interpretation of statistical evidence in criminal trials: The prosecutor's fallacy and the defense attorney's fallacy. *Law and Human Behavior*. 1987;11(3):167-187. doi:10.1007/BF01044641

25.

Morgan RM, Bull PA. Forensic Geoscience and Crime detection: Identification, interpretation and presentation in forensic geoscience. 2007;127:73-90. http://www.geog.ox.ac.uk/staff/pbull_pub01.pdf

26.

Morgan RM, Cohen J, McGookin I, et al. The relevance of the evolution of experimental studies for the interpretation and evaluation of some trace physical evidence. *Science & Justice*. 2009;49(4):277-285. doi:10.1016/j.scijus.2009.02.004

27.

Morgan RM, Flynn J, Sena V, Bull PA. Experimental forensic studies of the preservation of pollen in vehicle fires. *Science & Justice*. 2014;54(2):141-145. doi:10.1016/j.scijus.2013.04.001

28.

Bull PA, Morgan RM, Sagovsky A, Hughes GJA. The Transfer and Persistence of Trace Particulates: Experimental studies using clothing fabrics. *Science & Justice*. 2006;46(3):185-195. doi:10.1016/S1355-0306(06)71592-1

29.

Chisum WJ, Turvey BE. *Crime Reconstruction*. 2nd ed. Academic Press; 2011. <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/book/9780123864604>

30.

Dachs J, McNaught IJ, Robertson J. The persistence of human scalp hair on clothing fabrics. *Forensic Science International*. 2003;138(1-3):27-36. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2003.07.014

31.

Morgan RM, French JC, O'Donnell L, Bull PA. The reincorporation and redistribution of trace geoforensic particulates on clothing: An introductory study. *Science & Justice*. 2010;50(4):195-199. doi:10.1016/j.scijus.2010.04.002

32.

Pounds CA, Smalldon KW. The Transfer of Fibres between Clothing Materials During

Simulated Contacts and their Persistence During Wear. *Journal of the Forensic Science Society*. 1975;15(1):29-37. doi:10.1016/S0015-7368(75)70933-7

33.

Sugita R, Marumo Y. Validity of color examination for forensic soil identification. *Forensic Science International*. 1996;83(3):201-210. doi:10.1016/S0379-0738(96)02038-5

34.

Morgan RM, Flynn J, Sena V, Bull PA. Experimental forensic studies of the preservation of pollen in vehicle fires. *Science & Justice*. 2014;54(2):141-145. doi:10.1016/j.scijus.2013.04.001

35.

Morgan, RM. The spatial and temporal distribution of pollen in a room: forensic implications. Published online 2014.
https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=UCL_EPR_DS1425730&context=L&vid=UCL_VU2&lang=en_US&search_scope=CSCOP_UCL&adaptor=Local%20Search%20Engine&tab=local&query=any,contains,The%20spatial%20and%20temporal%20distribution%20of%20pollen%20in%20a%20room:%20Forensic%20implications&sortby=rank

36.

The 'CSI effect'. Published online 2010. <http://www.economist.com/node/15949089>

37.

Solved- Trace Evidence. Published online 23 October 2008.
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AMmSCXzmxD4>

38.

Allen TJ, Scranage JK. The transfer of glass—part 1. *Forensic Science International*. 1998;93(2-3):167-174. doi:10.1016/S0379-0738(98)00041-3

39.

Allen TJ, Hoefler K, Rose S. The transfer of glass—part 3. *Forensic Science International*. 1998;93(2-3):195-200. doi:10.1016/S0379-0738(98)00043-7

40.

Schweitzer, N.J. THE CSI EFFECT: POPULAR FICTION ABOUT FORENSIC SCIENCE AFFECTS THE PUBLIC'S EXPECTATIONS ABOUT REAL FORENSIC SCIENCE. *Jurimetrics*. 47(3):357-364. https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=TN_jstor_archive_1229762978&context=PC&vid=UCL_VU2□=en_US&search_scope=CSCOP_UCL&adaptor=primo_central_multiple_fe&tab=local&query=any,contains,HE%20CSI%20EFFECT:%20POPULAR%20FICTION%20ABOUT%20FORENSIC%20SCIENCE%20AFFECTS%20THE%20PUBLIC%27S%20EXPECTATIONS%20ABOUT%20REAL%20FORENSIC%20SCIENCE&sortby=rank

41.

Dachs J, McNaught IJ, Robertson J. The persistence of human scalp hair on clothing fabrics. *Forensic Science International*. 2003;138(1-3):27-36. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2003.07.014

42.

French JC, Morgan RM, Baxendell P, Bull PA. Multiple transfers of particulates and their dissemination within contact networks. *Science & Justice*. 2012;52(1):33-41. doi:10.1016/j.scijus.2011.05.001

43.

French, J. The secondary transfer of gunshot residue: an experimental investigation carried out with SEM-EDX analysis. *X-RAY SPECTROMETRY*. Published online 2014. https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=UCL_EPR_DS1422146&context=L&vid=UCL_VU2□=en_US&search_scope=CSCOP_UCL&adaptor=Local%20Search%20Engine&tab=local&query=any,contains,The%20secondary%20transfer%20of%20gunshot%20residue:%20an%20experimental%20investigation%20carried%20out%20with%20SEM-EDX%20analysis&sortby=rank

44.

Grieve MC, Dunlop J, Haddock PS. Transfer experiments with acrylic fibres. *Forensic Science International*. 1989;40(3):267-277. doi:10.1016/0379-0738(89)90185-0

45.

Grieve MC. Glitter particles—an unusual source of trace evidence? *Journal of the Forensic Science Society*. 1987;27(6):405-412. doi:10.1016/S0015-7368(87)72789-3

46.

Garrett, Brandon L. Invalid forensic science testimony and wrongful convictions. *Virginia Law Review*. 95(1):1-97.
https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=TN_scopus2-s2.0-65349105013&context=PC&vid=UCL_VU2□=en_US&search_scope=CSCOP_UCL&adaptor=primo_central_multiple_fe&tab=local&query=any,contains,Invalid%20Forensic%20Science%20Testimony%20and%20Wrongful%20Convictions&sortby=rank

47.

Pringle JK, Ruffell A, Jervis JR, et al. The use of geoscience methods for terrestrial forensic searches. *Earth-Science Reviews*. 2012;114(1-2):108-123.
doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2012.05.006

48.

Ruffell A, McKinley J. Forensic geoscience: applications of geology, geomorphology and geophysics to criminal investigations. *Earth-Science Reviews*. 2005;69(3-4):235-247.
doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2004.08.002

49.

Ruffell A, Pringle JK, Forbes S. Search protocols for hidden forensic objects beneath floors and within walls. *Forensic Science International*. 2014;237:137-145.
doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2013.12.036

50.

Ruffell A, McKinley J. Forensic geomorphology. *Geomorphology*. 2014;206:14-22.
doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.12.020

51.

Bevan BW. The search for graves. 1991;56(9):1310-1319.

<http://www.olemiss.edu/research/anthropology/haley/class2010/library/Bevan1991.pdf>

52.

G. Clark Davenport. Remote Sensing Applications in Forensic Investigations. *Historical Archaeology*. 2001;35(1):87-100.

http://www.jstor.org/stable/25616896?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoAdvancedSearch%3Facc%3Don%26amp%3Bq6%3D%26amp%3Bf0%3Dall%26amp%3Bc4%3DAND%26amp%3Bc2%3DAND%26amp%3Bq1%3D%26amp%3Bc1%3DAND%26amp%3Bc3%3DAND%26amp%3Bf4%3Dall%26amp%3Bf1%3Dall%26amp%3Bsd%3D%26amp%3Bq5%3D%26amp%3Bf6%3Dall%26amp%3Bgroup%3Dnone%26amp%3Bpt%3D%26amp%3Bq4%3D%26amp%3Bc5%3DAND%26amp%3Bf3%3Dall%26amp%3Bisbn%3D%26amp%3Bed%3D%26amp%3Bf5%3Dall%26amp%3Bq2%3D%26amp%3Bq0%3D%2BRemote%2Bsensing%2Bapplications%2Bin%2Bforensic%2Binvestigations%26amp%3Bla%3D%26amp%3Bq3%3D%26amp%3Bc6%3DAND%26amp%3Bf2%3Dall&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

53.

Fenning PJ, Donnelly LJ. Geophysical techniques for forensic investigation.

2004;232(1):11-20. doi:10.1144/GSL.SP.2004.232.01.03

54.

Hansen JD, Pringle JK. Comparison of magnetic, electrical and ground penetrating radar surveys to detect buried forensic objects in semi-urban and domestic patio environments.

2013;384(1):229-251. doi:10.1144/SP384.13

55.

Scott J, Hunter JR. Environmental influences on resistivity mapping for the location of clandestine graves.

2004;232(1):33-38. doi:10.1144/GSL.SP.2004.232.01.05

56.

Beck, Richard A. Remote Sensing and GIS as Counterterrorism Tools in the Afghanistan War: A Case Study of the Zhawar Kili Region. *The Professional Geographer*. 55(2).

doi:10.1111/0033-0124.5502005

57.

Pringle JK, Holland C, Szkornik K, Harrison M. Establishing forensic search methodologies and geophysical surveying for the detection of clandestine graves in coastal beach environments. *Forensic Science International*. 2012;219(1-3):e29-e36. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2012.01.010

58.

Morgan RM, Bull PA. Data Interpretation in Forensic Sediment and Soil Geochemistry. *Environmental Forensics*. 2006;7(4):325-334. doi:10.1080/15275920600996248

59.

Gepard GPR ground penetrating radar - Applications and functionality. Published online 17AD. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JQAeExJwjpE>

60.

SERIAL. <https://serialpodcast.org/>

61.

Undisclosed. <http://undisclosed-podcast.com/>

62.

The Murder Trial. <https://learningonscreen.ac.uk/ondemand/index.php/prog/057FF632?bcast=98658101>

63.

Hanson ID. The importance of stratigraphy in forensic investigation. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*. 2004;232(1):39-47. doi:10.1144/GSL.SP.2004.232.01.06

64.

Holzer, Thomas L. Seismograms offer insight into Oklahoma City bombing. *Eos*. 77(41). https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=TN_georef_1997-016939&context=PC&vid=UCL_VU2□=en_US&search_scope=CSCOP_UCL&adaptor=primo_central_multiple_fe&tab=local&query=any,contains,Seismograms%20Offer%20Insight%20Into%20Oklahoma%20City%20Bombing&sortby=rank

65.

Koper KD, Wallace TC, Taylor SR, Hartse HE. Forensic seismology and the sinking of the Kursk [textit{ Kursk}]. *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union*. 2001;82(4):37-37. doi:10.1029/01EO00023

66.

Scott J, Hunter JR. Environmental influences on resistivity mapping for the location of clandestine graves. Geological Society, London, Special Publications. 2004;232(1):33-38. doi:10.1144/GSL.SP.2004.232.01.05

67.

Bull PA, Parker A, Morgan RM. The forensic analysis of soils and sediment taken from the cast of a footprint. *Forensic Science International*. 2006;162(1-3):6-12. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.06.075

68.

Bull PA, Morgan RM. Sediment Fingerprints: A forensic technique using quartz sand grains. *Science & Justice*. 2006;46(2):107-124. doi:10.1016/S1355-0306(06)71581-7

69.

Dawson LA, Hillier S. Measurement of soil characteristics for forensic applications. *Surface and Interface Analysis*. 2010;42(5):363-377. doi:10.1002/sia.3315

70.

Morgan RM, Robertson J, Lennard C, Hubbard K, Bull PA. Quartz grain surface textures of soils and sediments from Canberra, Australia: A forensic reconstruction tool. *Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 2010;42(3):169-179. doi:10.1080/00450610903258110

71.

Bailey MJ, Morgan RM, Comini P, Calusi S, Bull PA. Evaluation of Particle-Induced X-ray Emission and Particle-Induced γ -ray Emission of Quartz Grains for Forensic Trace Sediment Analysis. *Analytical Chemistry*. 2012;84(5):2260-2267. doi:10.1021/ac2028722

72.

Konopinski DI, Hudziak S, Morgan RM, Bull PA, Kenyon AJ. Investigation of quartz grain surface textures by atomic force microscopy for forensic analysis. *Forensic Science International*. 2012;223(1-3):245-255. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2012.09.011

73.

Newell AJ, Morgan RM, Griffin LD, Bull PA, Marshall JR, Graham G. Automated Texture Recognition of Quartz Sand Grains for Forensic Applications*. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 2012;57(5):1285-1289. doi:10.1111/j.1556-4029.2012.02126.x

74.

Sugita R, Marumo Y. Screening of soil evidence by a combination of simple techniques: validity of particle size distribution. *Forensic Science International*. 2001;122(2-3):155-158. doi:10.1016/S0379-0738(01)00490-X

75.

Morgan RM, Bull PA. The philosophy, nature and practice of forensic sediment analysis. *Progress in Physical Geography*. 2007;31(1):43-58. doi:10.1177/0309133307073881

76.

Newell AJ, Morgan RM, Griffin LD, Bull PA, Marshall JR, Graham G. Automated Texture Recognition of Quartz Sand Grains for Forensic Applications*. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 2012;57(5):1285-1289. doi:10.1111/j.1556-4029.2012.02126.x

77.

Inspecting Detectives, *The Long Shadow of the World's End*.

<http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/b06cy69y>

78.

Green N. Get ready for CSI: Soil. Published online 2011.

<https://www.theguardian.com/science/blog/2011/sep/13/forensic-science-content-transference>

79.

1969 FBI Soil Exam Video. Published online 8AD.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1Op0-A752IY>

80.

The Soil Sleuth. Published online 21AD. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NyurHTD2Kro>

81.

Zala, Krista. Dirty Science: Soil Forensics Digs into New Techniques. *Science*.

318(5849):386-387.

https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=TN_jstor_archive_2320051376&context=PC&vid=UCL_VU2□=en_US&search_scope=CS_COP_UCL&adaptor=primo_central_multiple_fe&tab=local&query=any,contains,Dirty%20Science:%20Soil%20Forensics%20Digs%20Into%20New%20Techniques&sortby=rank

82.

Bull PA, Morgan RM, Freudiger-Bonzon J. A critique of the present use of some geochemical techniques in geoforensic analysis. *Forensic Science International*. 2008;178(2-3):e35-e40. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2007.09.003

83.

Ritz K, Dawson L, Miller D. *Criminal and Environmental Soil Forensics*. Springer; 2009.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/ucl/detail.action?docID=417347>

84.

Pye K, Blott SJ, Croft DJ, Carter JF. Forensic comparison of soil samples: Assessment of small-scale spatial variability in elemental composition, carbon and nitrogen isotope ratios, colour, and particle size distribution. *Forensic Science International*. 2006;163(1-2):59-80. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2005.11.008

85.

Rawlins BG, Cave M. Investigating multi-element soil geochemical signatures and their potential for use in forensic studies. 2004;232:197-206. doi:10.1144/GSL.SP.2004.232.01.18

86.

McCulloch G, Dawson LA, Brewer MJ, Morgan RM. The identification of markers for Geoforensic HPLC profiling at close proximity sites. *Forensic Science International*. 2017;272:127-141. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2017.01.009

87.

Cheshire K, Morgan RM, Holmes J. The potential for geochemical discrimination of single- and mixed-source soil samples from close proximity urban parkland locations. *Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 2017;49(2):161-174. doi:10.1080/00450618.2016.1144789

88.

Bell S. *Forensic Chemistry*. Pearson Prentice Hall; 2006.

89.

Saferstein R. *Criminalistics: An Introduction to Forensic Science*. Edition 11, global edition. Pearson; 2015.

90.

Saferstein R. *Criminalistics: An Introduction to Forensic Science*. Edition 11, global edition. Pearson; 2015.

91.

Muccio Z, Jackson GP. Isotope ratio mass spectrometry. *The Analyst*. 2009;134(2):213-222. doi:10.1039/B808232D

92.

Pye K, Croft D. Forensic analysis of soil and sediment traces by scanning electron microscopy and energy-dispersive X-ray analysis: An experimental investigation. *Forensic Science International*. 2007;165(1):52-63. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.03.001

93.

Croft DJ, Pye K. The potential use of continuous-flow isotope-ratio mass spectrometry as a tool in forensic soil analysis: a preliminary report. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*. 2003;17(23):2581-2584. doi:10.1002/rcm.1174

94.

Reidy L, Bu K, Godfrey M, Cizdziel JV. Elemental fingerprinting of soils using ICP-MS and multivariate statistics: A study for and by forensic chemistry majors. *Forensic Science International*. 2013;233(1-3):37-44. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2013.08.019

95.

Quaak FCA, Kuiper I. Statistical data analysis of bacterial t-RFLP profiles in forensic soil comparisons. *Forensic Science International*. 2011;210(1-3):96-101. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2011.02.005

96.

Young JM, Weyrich LS, Cooper A. Forensic soil DNA analysis using high-throughput sequencing: A comparison of four molecular markers. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*. 2014;13:176-184. doi:10.1016/j.fsigen.2014.07.014

97.

Amendt J, Campobasso CP, Gaudry E, Reiter C, LeBlanc HN, J. R. Hall M. Best practice in

forensic entomology—standards and guidelines. *International Journal of Legal Medicine*. 2007;121(2):90-104. doi:10.1007/s00414-006-0086-x

98.

Amendt J, Richards CS, Campobasso CP, Zehner R, Hall MJR. Forensic entomology: applications and limitations. *Forensic Science, Medicine, and Pathology*. 2011;7(4):379-392. doi:10.1007/s12024-010-9209-2

99.

Márquez-Grant N, Roberts J, eds. *Forensic Ecology Handbook*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2012. doi:10.1002/9781118374016

100.

Bugelli V, Forni D, Bassi LA, et al. Forensic Entomology and the Estimation of the Minimum Time Since Death in Indoor Cases. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 2015;60(2):525-531. doi:10.1111/1556-4029.12647

101.

Catts EP, Goff ML. Forensic Entomology in Criminal Investigations. *Annual Review of Entomology*. 1992;37(1):253-272. doi:10.1146/annurev.en.37.010192.001345

102.

Bernard Greenberg. Flies as Forensic Indicators. *Journal of Medical Entomology*. 1991;28(5):565-577. <http://jme.oxfordjournals.org/content/28/5/565.long>

103.

Maehly A, Williams RL, eds. *Forensic Science Progress 5*. Vol 5. Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 1991. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-58233-2

104.

Catching History's Criminals: The Forensics Story.

<http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/p02tydb7>

105.

Abdulla S. The buzzing detective. *news@nature*. Published online 23 September 1999. doi:10.1038/news990923-2

106.

From Eggs to Maggots.

<http://www.pbs.org/wnet/nature/crime-scene-creatures-video-from-eggs-to-maggots/5209/>

107.

Forensic entomology - The crime scene (Wellcome Collection). Published online 5AD. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HIVKISCmjTQ>

108.

Cameron NG. The use of diatom analysis in forensic geoscience. 2004;232:277-280. doi:10.1144/GSL.SP.2004.232.01.25

109.

Peabody AJ, Cameron NG. Forensic science and diatoms. In: Smol JP, Stoermer EF, eds. *The Diatoms*. Cambridge University Press; 2010:534-539. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511763175.030

110.

Scott KR, Morgan RM, Jones VJ, Cameron NG. The transferability of diatoms to clothing and the methods appropriate for their collection and analysis in forensic geoscience. *Forensic Science International*. 2014;241:127-137. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2014.05.011

111.

Cox EJ. Diatoms and Forensic Science. In: Márquez-Grant N, Roberts J, eds. *Forensic*

Ecology Handbook. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2012:141-151.
doi:10.1002/9781118374016.ch9

112.

Piette MHA, De Letter EA. Drowning: Still a difficult autopsy diagnosis. *Forensic Science International*. 2006;163(1-2):1-9. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2004.10.027

113.

Pollanen MS. Diatoms and homicide. *Forensic Science International*. 1998;91(1):29-34.
doi:10.1016/S0379-0738(97)00162-X

114.

Siver PA, Lord WD, McCarthy DJ. Forensic Limnology: The Use of Freshwater Algal Community Ecology to Link Suspects to an Aquatic Crime Scene in Southern New England. 1994;39(3):847-853.
https://compass.astm.org/DIGITAL_LIBRARY/JOURNALS/JFS/PAGES/JFS13663J.htm

115.

Zimmerman KA, Wallace JR. The Potential to Determine a Postmortem Submersion Interval Based on AlgalDiatom Diversity on Decomposing Mammalian Carcasses in Brackish Ponds in Delaware. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 2008;53(4):935-941.
doi:10.1111/j.1556-4029.2008.00748.x

116.

Crime Scene Creatures - Diatom Detective (PBS).
<http://www.pbs.org/wnet/nature/crime-scene-creatures-video-diatom-detective/5208/>

117.

Forensic Files Historic Cases Reel Danger. Published online 13AD.
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cXcYpd1iacM>

118.

Brock JH, Norris DO. Forensic botany: an under-utilized resource. 1997;42(3):364-367. https://compass.astm.org/DIGITAL_LIBRARY/JOURNALS/JFS/PAGES/JFS14130J.htm

119.

Horrocks M, Walsh KAJ. Forensic palynology: assessing the value of the evidence. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology. 1998;103(1-2):69-74. doi:10.1016/S0034-6667(98)00027-X

120.

Mildenhall DC, Wiltshire PEJ, Bryant VM. Forensic palynology: Why do it and how it works. Forensic Science International. 2006;163(3):163-172. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.07.012

121.

Brown AG. The use of forensic botany and geology in war crimes investigations in NE Bosnia. Forensic Science International. 2006;163(3):204-210. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.05.025

122.

Hawksworth DL, Wiltshire PEJ. Forensic mycology: the use of fungi in criminal investigations. Forensic Science International. 2011;206(1-3):1-11. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2010.06.012

123.

Mildenhall DC. Hypericum pollen determines the presence of burglars at the scene of a crime: An example of forensic palynology. Forensic Science International. 2006;163(3):231-235. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2005.11.028

124.

Wiltshire PEJ. Consideration of some taphonomic variables of relevance to forensic palynological investigation in the United Kingdom. Forensic Science International. 2006;163(3):173-182. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.07.011

125.

Plant detectives: How brambles can help solve murder cases - Dr Mark Spencer.
<http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/articles/5q2xGXDZv0S7hg3KQI11vNg/plant-detectives-how-bramble-and-co-can-help-solve-crimes>

126.

Crime Scene Creatures - Counting Rings to Catch a Murderer (PBS).
<http://www.pbs.org/wnet/nature/crime-scene-creatures-video-counting-rings-to-catch-a-murderer/5207/>

127.

Jonathan Drori: Every pollen grain has a story. Published online 8AD.
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vXDJ-nAykKE&feature=youtu.be>

128.

Márquez-Grant N, Roberts J. Forensic Ecology Handbook: From Crime Scene to Court. Wiley-Blackwell; 2012.
http://ucl.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=3189830300004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760

129.

Micropalaeontological Society. The Archaeological and Forensic Applications of Microfossils: A Deeper Understanding of Human History. (Williams M, Hill T, Boomer I, Wilkinson I, eds.). Published for the Micropalaeontological Society by the Geological Society; 2017.

130.

Missing Persons. Routledge; 2016. doi:10.4324/9781315595603

131.

Cox M. The Scientific Investigation of Mass Graves: Towards Protocols and Standard Operating Procedures. Cambridge University Press; 2008.

132.

Brown, Antony G. The combined use of pollen and soil analyses in a search and subsequent murder investigation. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 47(3):614-618.
https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=TN_scopus2-s2.0-0036100201&context=PC&vid=UCL_VU2&lang=en_US&search_scope=CSCOP_UCL&adaptor=primo_central_multiple_fe&tab=local&query=any,contains,The%20combined%20use%20of%20pollen%20and%20petrologic%20analyses%20in%20a%20search%20and%20subsequent%20murder%20investigation&sortby=rank&offset=0

133.

Bryant VM, Jones GD. Forensic palynology: Current status of a rarely used technique in the United States of America. *Forensic Science International*. 2006;163(3):183-197.
doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2005.11.021

134.

Bryant VM, Jones JG, Mildenhall DC. Forensic palynology in the United States of America. *Palynology*. 1990;14(1):193-208. doi:10.1080/01916122.1990.9989380

135.

Horrocks, Mark. Forensic palynology: Variation in the pollen content of soil surface samples. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 43(2).
https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=TN_proquest219694836&context=PC&vid=UCL_VU2&lang=en_US&search_scope=CSCOP_UCL&adaptor=primo_central_multiple_fe&tab=local&query=any,contains,Forensic%20palynology:%20variation%20in%20the%20pollen%20content%20of%20soil%20surface%20samples&sortby=rank

136.

Horrocks, Mark. Fine resolution of pollen patterns in limited space: Differentiating a crime scene and alibi scene seven meters apart. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 44(2):417-420.
https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=TN_proquest219695512&context=PC&vid=UCL_VU2&lang=en_US&search_scope=CSCOP_UCL&adaptor=primo_central_multiple_fe&tab=local&query=any,contains,Fine%20resolution%20of%20pollen%20patterns%20in%20limited%20space:%20differentiating%20a%20crime%20scene%20and%20alibi%20scene%20seven%20meters%20apart.&sortby=rank

137.

Jantunen J, Saarinen K. Pollen transport by clothes. *Aerobiologia*. 2011;27(4):339-343. doi:10.1007/s10453-011-9200-8

138.

Mildenhall DC. Forensic palynology in New Zealand. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology*. 1990;64(1-4):227-234. doi:10.1016/0034-6667(90)90137-8

139.

Pye K, Croft DJ, Geological Society of London. *Forensic Geoscience: Principles, Techniques and Applications*. Vol 232. Geological Society; 2004.

140.

Riding, Jb. Changes in soil pollen assemblages on footwear worn at different sites. *Palynology*. 31:135-151.
https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=TN_wos000252435100014&context=PC&vid=UCL_VU2&lang=en_US&search_scope=CSCOP_UCL&adaptor=primo_central_multiple_fe&tab=local&query=any,contains,Riding%20JB,%20Rawlins%20BG,%20Coley%20KH.%20Changes%20in%20soil%20pollen%20assemblages%20on%20footwear%20worn%20at%20different%20sites.%20Palynology%202007;31:135%E2%80%93151.&sortby=rank

141.

Ruffell A. Forensic pedology, forensic geology, forensic geoscience, geoforensics and soil forensics. *Forensic Science International*. 2010;202(1-3):9-12. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2010.03.044

142.

Ruffell A, Wiltshire P. Conjunctive use of quantitative and qualitative X-ray diffraction analysis of soils and rocks for forensic analysis. *Forensic Science International*. 2004;145(1):13-23. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2004.03.017

143.

Wiltshire PEJ. Consideration of some taphonomic variables of relevance to forensic palynological investigation in the United Kingdom. *Forensic Science International*. 2006;163(3):173-182. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.07.011

144.

Wiltshire PEJ, Black S. The cribriform approach to the retrieval of palynological evidence from the turbinates of murder victims. *Forensic Science International*. 2006;163(3):224-230. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2005.11.019

145.

Zavada MS, McGraw SM, Miller MA. The role of clothing fabrics as passive pollen collectors in the north-eastern United States. *Grana*. 2007;46(4):285-291. doi:10.1080/00173130701780104

146.

Hawksworth DL, Wiltshire PEJ. Forensic mycology: the use of fungi in criminal investigations. *Forensic Science International*. 2011;206(1-3):1-11. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2010.06.012

147.

Etienne D, Jouffroy-Bapicot I. Optimal counting limit for fungal spore abundance estimation using *Sporormiella* as a case study. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany*. 2014;23(6):743-749. doi:10.1007/s00334-014-0439-1

148.

Moore PD, Webb JA, Collinson ME. *Pollen Analysis*. 2nd ed. Blackwell Scientific Publications; 1991.

149.

Nakagawa, T. Dense-media separation as a more efficient pollen extraction method for use with organic sediment/deposit samples: comparison with the conventional method. *Boreas*. 27(1):15-24.

https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=TN_wos00073443500002&context=PC&vid=UCL_VU2&lang=en_US&search_scope=CSCOP_UCL&adaptor=primo_central_multiple_fe&tab=local&query=any,contains,Nakagawa,%20T.%20Brugiapaglia,%20E.,%20Digerfeldt,%20G.%20Reille,%20M.%20De%20Beaulieu,%20J-L.%20and.%20Yasuda,%20Y%201998.%20Dense-media%20separation%20as%20a%20more%20efficient%20pollen%20extraction%20method%20for%20use%20with%20organic%20sediment%20deposit%20samples:%20comparison%20with%20the%20conventional%20method.%20Boreas%2027,%20&sortby=rank

150.

Ruffell A, McKinley J. *Geoforensics*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2008.
doi:10.1002/9780470758854

151.

Dent BB, Forbes SL, Stuart BH. Review of human decomposition processes in soil. *Environmental Geology*. 2004;45(4):576-585. doi:10.1007/s00254-003-0913-z

152.

Forbes SL, Stuart BH, Dent BB. The identification of adipocere in grave soils. *Forensic Science International*. 2002;127(3):225-230. doi:10.1016/S0379-0738(02)00127-5

153.

Forbes SL, Stuart BH, Dent BB. The effect of the burial environment on adipocere formation. *Forensic Science International*. 2005;154(1):24-34.
doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2004.09.107

154.

Forbes SL, Dent BB, Stuart BH. The effect of soil type on adipocere formation. *Forensic Science International*. 2005;154(1):35-43. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2004.09.108

155.

Haglund W, Sorg M, eds. *Forensic Taphonomy*. CRC Press; 1996.
doi:10.1201/9781439821923

156.

Stover E, Haglund WD, Samuels M. Exhumation of Mass Graves in Iraq. *JAMA*. 2003;290(5). doi:10.1001/jama.290.5.663

157.

Tibbett M, Carter DO, eds. *Soil Analysis in Forensic Taphonomy : Chemical and Biological Effects of Buried Human Remains*. CRC; 2008.
[http://explore.bl.uk/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=moreTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=BLL01014458757&indx=1&reclids=BLL01014458757&reclidx=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&dscnt=1&scp.scps=scope%3A%28BLCCONTENT%29&frbg=&tab=local_tab&dstmp=1477944307615&srt=rank&mode=Basic&vl\(488279563UI0\)=any&dum=true&tb=t&vl\(freeText0\)=soil%20analysis%20in%20forensic%20taphonomy%20chemical%20and%20biological%20effects%20of%20buried%20human%20remains&vid=BLVU1](http://explore.bl.uk/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=moreTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=BLL01014458757&indx=1&reclids=BLL01014458757&reclidx=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&dscnt=1&scp.scps=scope%3A%28BLCCONTENT%29&frbg=&tab=local_tab&dstmp=1477944307615&srt=rank&mode=Basic&vl(488279563UI0)=any&dum=true&tb=t&vl(freeText0)=soil%20analysis%20in%20forensic%20taphonomy%20chemical%20and%20biological%20effects%20of%20buried%20human%20remains&vid=BLVU1)

158.

The fascinating process of human decomposition. Published online 28 October 2014.
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OFJrow7yaec&feature=youtu.be>

159.

Waxing Historical: A Potted History of Adipocere. Published online 12AD.
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=apLz4uT6jWY&feature=youtu.be>

160.

Anderson GS, Hobischak NR. Decomposition of carrion in the marine environment in British Columbia, Canada. *International Journal of Legal Medicine*. 2004;118(4). doi:10.1007/s00414-004-0447-2

161.

Delabarde T, Keyser C, Tracqui A, Charabidze D, Ludes B. The potential of forensic analysis on human bones found in riverine environment. *Forensic Science International*. 2013;228(1-3):e1-e5. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2013.03.019

162.

Keiper JB, Casamatta DA. Benthic organisms as forensic indicators. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society*. 2001;20(2):311-324. doi:10.2307/1468325

163.

Parker R, Ruffell A, Hughes D, Pringle J. Geophysics and the search of freshwater bodies: A review. *Science & Justice*. 2010;50(3):141-149. doi:10.1016/j.scijus.2009.09.001

164.

Dickson GC, Poulter RTM, Maas EW, Probert PK, Kieser JA. Marine bacterial succession as a potential indicator of postmortem submersion interval. *Forensic Science International*. 2011;209(1-3):1-10. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2010.10.016

165.

Magni PA, Venn C, Aquila I, et al. Evaluation of the floating time of a corpse found in a marine environment using the barnacle *Lepas anatifera* L. (Crustacea: Cirripedia: Pedunculata). *Forensic Science International*. 2015;247:e6-e10. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2014.11.016

166.

Mateus M, de Pablo H, Vaz N. An investigation on body displacement after two drowning accidents. *Forensic Science International*. 2013;229(1-3):e6-e12. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2013.03.010

167.

Merritt RW, Wallace JR. The role of aquatic insects in forensic investigations. In: Byrd JH, Castner JL, eds. *Forensic Entomology : The Utility of Arthropods in Legal Investigations*. CRC Press; 2000:271-320.
[26/31](http://explore.bl.uk/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?frbrVersion=2&tabs=moreTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=BLL01010447216&indx=1&recIds=BLL01010447216&recIdxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=2&dscnt=1&scp.scps=scope%3A%28BLCONTENT%29&frbg=&tab=local_tab&dstmp=1477947071905&srt=rank&mode=Basic&vl(488279563UI0)=any&dum=true&tb=t&vl(</p></div><div data-bbox=)

freeText0)=Forensic%20entomology%3B%20the%20utility%20of%20arthropods%20in%20legal%20investigations.&vid=BLVU1

168.

Ruffell A. Under-water Scene Investigation Using Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) in the Search for a Sunken Jet ski, Northern Ireland. *Science & Justice*. 2006;46(4):221-230. doi:10.1016/S1355-0306(06)71602-1

169.

Police Divers & Underwater Investigations.
<http://lawofficer.com/archive/police-divers-underwater-investigations/>

170.

Underwater Forensics (Science Channel).
<http://www.sciencechannel.com/tv-shows/science-channel-presents/videos/discoveries-this-week-underwater-forensics/>

171.

Underwater Forensics Robot on Beyond Tomorrow.
<http://www.dailymotion.com/video/x2xj6jp>

172.

Flanagan RJ. Cut Costs at All Costs! *Forensic Science International*. 2018;290:e26-e28. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2018.06.038

173.

Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nature Methods*. 2012;9(7):671-675. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2089

174.

Cook R, Evett IW, Jackson G, Jones PJ, Lambert JA. A hierarchy of propositions: deciding

which level to address in casework. *Science & Justice*. 1998;38(4):231-239.
doi:10.1016/S1355-0306(98)72117-3

175.

White P. *Crime Scene to Court: The Essentials of Forensic Science*. 2nd ed. Royal Society of Chemistry; 2004.

176.

Reference and Research Book News. 2001;16(4).
[https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=TN_proquest199526850&context=PC&vid=UCL_VU2&lang=en_US&search_scope=CSCOP_UCL&adaptor=primo_central_multiple_fe&tab=local&query=any,contains,Houck,%20M.%20M.%20\(2001\).%20Mute%20witnesses:%20Trace%20evidence%20analysis:%20Academic%20Press.&sortby=rank](https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=TN_proquest199526850&context=PC&vid=UCL_VU2&lang=en_US&search_scope=CSCOP_UCL&adaptor=primo_central_multiple_fe&tab=local&query=any,contains,Houck,%20M.%20M.%20(2001).%20Mute%20witnesses:%20Trace%20evidence%20analysis:%20Academic%20Press.&sortby=rank)

177.

Inman K, Rudin N. The origin of evidence. *Forensic Science International*. 2002;126(1):11-16. doi:10.1016/S0379-0738(02)00031-2

178.

Evetts IW, Berger CEH, Buckleton JS, Champod C, Jackson G. Finding the way forward for forensic science in the US—A commentary on the PCAST report. *Forensic Science International*. 2017;278:16-23. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2017.06.018

179.

Morgan RM, Wiltshire P, Parker A, Bull PA. The role of forensic geoscience in wildlife crime detection. *Forensic Science International*. 2006;162(1-3):152-162.
doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.06.045

180.

Morgan, RM. *Conceptualising Forensic Science and Forensic Reconstruction. Part I: A Conceptual Model.*; 2017.
https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=UCL_EPR_DS1563693&context=L&vid=UCL_VU2&lang=en_US&search_scope=CSCOP_UCL&adaptor

=Local%20Search%20Engine&tab=local&query=any,contains,Morgan,%20R.%20M.%20(2017).%20Conceptualising%20forensic%20science%20and%20forensic%20reconstruction.%20Part%20I:%20A%20conceptual%20model.%20Science%20&%20Justice,%2057(6),%20455-459.&sortby=rank

181.

Ruffell A, McKinley J. Geoforensics. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2008.
doi:10.1002/9780470758854

182.

Bull PA, Morgan RM, Sagovsky A, Hughes GJA. The Transfer and Persistence of Trace Particulates: Experimental studies using clothing fabrics. Science & Justice. 2006;46(3):185-195. doi:10.1016/S1355-0306(06)71592-1

183.

French JC, Morgan RM, Baxendell P, Bull PA. Multiple transfers of particulates and their dissemination within contact networks. Science & Justice. 2012;52(1):33-41.
doi:10.1016/j.scijus.2011.05.001

184.

Morgan RM, Davies G, Balestri F, Bull PA. The recovery of pollen evidence from documents and its forensic implications. Science & Justice. 2013;53(4):375-384.
doi:10.1016/j.scijus.2013.03.004

185.

Morgan, RM. The forensic analysis of sediments recovered from footwear. In: Criminal and Environmental Soil Forensics. Springer; 2009.
https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/permalink/44UCL_INST/167dvkm/alma9931231541804761

186.

Slot A, van der Weerd J, Roos M, Baiker M, Stoel RD, Zuidberg MC. Tracers as invisible evidence — The transfer and persistence of flock fibres during a car exchange. Forensic Science International. 2017;275:178-186. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2017.03.005

187.

Analyzing fluorescence microscopy images with ImageJ.

http://www.microscopist.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/ImageJ_FL_Image_Analysis.pdf

188.

Kloster, Michael. *Fragilariopsis Kerguelensis* Images from Sediment Core PS1768-8, Supplement to: Kloster, Michael; Kauer, Gerhard; Beszteri, Bánk (2014): SHERPA: An Image Segmentation and Outline Feature Extraction Tool for Diatoms and Other Objects. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 15(1), 218. PANGAEA - Data Publisher for Earth & Environmental Science; 2014. doi:10.1594/PANGAEA.833665

189.

Kloster, Michael. Measurements of valves of the diatom *Fragilariopsis kerguelensis* from Southern Ocean sediment core PS1768-8, supplement to: Kloster, Michael; Kauer, Gerhard; Esper, Oliver; Fuchs, Nike; Beszteri, Bánk (2018): Morphometry of the diatom *Fragilariopsis kerguelensis* from Southern Ocean sediment: High-throughput measurements show second morphotype occurring during glacials. *Marine Micropaleontology*. doi:10.1594/PANGAEA.892593

190.

Levin EA, Morgan RM, Griffin LD, Jones VJ. A Comparison of Thresholding Methods for Forensic Reconstruction Studies Using Fluorescent Powder Proxies for Trace Materials. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*. Published online 25 October 2018. doi:10.1111/1556-4029.13938

191.

Levin EA, Morgan RM, Griffin LD, Jones VJ. A Comparison of Thresholding Methods for Forensic Reconstruction Studies Using Fluorescent Powder Proxies for Trace Materials. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*. Published online 25 October 2018. doi:10.1111/1556-4029.13938

192.

Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis.

Nature Methods. 2012;9(7):671-675. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2089

193.

Schulze K, Tillich UM, Dandekar T, Frohme M. PlanktoVision – an automated analysis system for the identification of phytoplankton. BMC Bioinformatics. 2013;14(1). doi:10.1186/1471-2105-14-115

194.

Cox MR, Budhu M. A practical approach to grain shape quantification. Engineering Geology. 2008;96(1-2):1-16. doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2007.05.005

195.

Cox MR, Budhu M. A practical approach to grain shape quantification. Engineering Geology. 2008;96(1-2):1-16. doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2007.05.005

196.

Igathinathane C, Pordesimo LO, Columbus EP, Batchelor WD, Sokhansanj S. Sieveless particle size distribution analysis of particulate materials through computer vision. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2009;66(2):147-158. doi:10.1016/j.compag.2009.01.005

197.

Mazzoli A, Favoni O. Particle size, size distribution and morphological evaluation of airborne dust particles of diverse woods by Scanning Electron Microscopy and image processing program. Powder Technology. 2012;225:65-71. doi:10.1016/j.powtec.2012.03.033

198.

Mazzoli A, Moriconi G. Particle size, size distribution and morphological evaluation of glass fiber reinforced plastic (GRP) industrial by-product. Micron. 2014;67:169-178. doi:10.1016/j.micron.2014.07.007