

SECU0021: Forensic Geoscience

[View Online](#)

1.

Inman, K., Rudin, N.: The origin of evidence. *Forensic Science International*. 126, 11-16 (2002). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-0738\(02\)00031-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-0738(02)00031-2).

2.

Morgan, R.M., Wiltshire, P., Parker, A., Bull, P.A.: The role of forensic geoscience in wildlife crime detection. *Forensic Science International*. 162, 152-162 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.06.045>.

3.

Morgan, R.M., Bull, P.A.: The philosophy, nature and practice of forensic sediment analysis. *Progress in Physical Geography*. 31, 43-58 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0309133307073881>.

4.

Ruffell, A., McKinley, J.: Forensic geoscience: applications of geology, geomorphology and geophysics to criminal investigations. *Earth-Science Reviews*. 69, 235-247 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2004.08.002>.

5.

Jonathan. J. Koehler, M.J.S.: The Individualization Fallacy in Forensic Science Evidence. 61, 199-219 (2008).

6.

Cole, S.A.: Forensic culture as epistemic culture: The sociology of forensic science. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences*. 44, 36–46 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.shpsc.2012.09.003>.

7.

Jasanoff, S.: Just Evidence: The Limits of Science in the Legal Process. *The Journal of Law, Medicine Ethics*. 34, 328–341 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-720X.2006.00038.x>.

8.

Kiely, T.F.: *Forensic evidence: science and the criminal law*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL (2006).

9.

Kirk, P.L.: *Crime investigation*. John Wiley & Sons, New York (1974).

10.

Michael Lynch and Sheila Jasanoff: Introduction: Contested Identities: Science, Law and Forensic Practice. *Social Studies of Science*. 28, 675–686 (1998).

11.

Morgan, R.M., Bull, P.A.: Data Interpretation in Forensic Sediment and Soil Geochemistry. *Environmental Forensics*. 7, 325–334 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1080/15275920600996248>.

12.

Rawlins, B.G., Kemp, S.J., Hodgkinson, E.H., Riding, J.B., Vane, C.H., Poulton, C., Freeborough, K.: Potential and Pitfalls in Establishing the Provenance of Earth-Related Samples in Forensic Investigations. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 51, 832–845 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1556-4029.2006.00152.x>.

13.

BBC Radio 4 - The Infinite Monkey Cage, Series 12, Forensic Science,
<http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/b064yglg>.

14.

The Forensics Library, <http://aboutforensics.co.uk/>.

15.

BBC Radio 4 - Forensics in Crisis,
<http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/b05sv09g/broadcasts/2015/05>.

16.

Hamzelou, J.: Hair analysis on trial after FBI admits to using flawed evidence. (2015).

17.

Drahl, C., Widener, A.: Forcing Change In Forensic Science. 92, 10–15 (2014).

18.

BBC Radio 4 - The Life Scientific, Niamh Nic Daeid,
<http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/b062k9zz>.

19.

BBC Four - Catching History's Criminals: The Forensics Story,
<http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/p02l4p5x>.

20.

BBC Radio 4 - The Report, Forensic Science,
<http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/b01m68w2>.

21.

Balding, D.J., Buckleton, J.: Interpreting low template DNA profiles. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*. 4, 1–10 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigen.2009.03.003>.

22.

Jasanoff, S.: Law's Knowledge: Science for Justice in Legal Settings. *American Journal of Public Health*. 95, S49–S58 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2004.045732>.

23.

Morgan, R.M.: The relevance of the evolution of experimental studies for the interpretation and evaluation of some trace physical evidence. *Science & Justice*. (2009).

24.

Thompson, W.C., Schumann, E.L.: Interpretation of statistical evidence in criminal trials: The prosecutor's fallacy and the defense attorney's fallacy. *Law and Human Behavior*. 11, 167–187 (1987). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01044641>.

25.

Morgan, R.M., Bull, P.A.: Forensic Geoscience and Crime detection: Identification, interpretation and presentation in forensic geoscience. 127, 73–90 (2007).

26.

Morgan, R.M., Cohen, J., McGookin, I., Murly-Gotto, J., O'Connor, R., Muress, S., Freudiger-Bonzon, J., Bull, P.A.: The relevance of the evolution of experimental studies for the interpretation and evaluation of some trace physical evidence. *Science & Justice*. 49, 277–285 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scijus.2009.02.004>.

27.

Morgan, R.M., Flynn, J., Sena, V., Bull, P.A.: Experimental forensic studies of the preservation of pollen in vehicle fires. *Science & Justice*. 54, 141–145 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scijus.2013.04.001>.

28.

Bull, P.A., Morgan, R.M., Sagovsky, A., Hughes, G.J.A.: The Transfer and Persistence of Trace Particulates: Experimental studies using clothing fabrics. *Science & Justice*. 46, 185–195 (2006). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1355-0306\(06\)71592-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1355-0306(06)71592-1).

29.

Chisum, W.J., Turvey, B.E.: *Crime Reconstruction*. Academic Press, Amsterdam (2011).

30.

Dachs, J., McNaught, I.J., Robertson, J.: The persistence of human scalp hair on clothing fabrics. *Forensic Science International*. 138, 27–36 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2003.07.014>.

31.

Morgan, R.M., French, J.C., O'Donnell, L., Bull, P.A.: The reincorporation and redistribution of trace geoforensic particulates on clothing: An introductory study. *Science & Justice*. 50, 195–199 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scijus.2010.04.002>.

32.

Pounds, C.A., Smalldon, K.W.: The Transfer of Fibres between Clothing Materials During Simulated Contacts and their Persistence During Wear. *Journal of the Forensic Science Society*. 15, 29–37 (1975). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0015-7368\(75\)70933-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0015-7368(75)70933-7).

33.

Sugita, R., Marumo, Y.: Validity of color examination for forensic soil identification. *Forensic Science International*. 83, 201–210 (1996). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-0738\(96\)02038-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-0738(96)02038-5).

34.

Morgan, R.M., Flynn, J., Sena, V., Bull, P.A.: Experimental forensic studies of the preservation of pollen in vehicle fires. *Science & Justice*. 54, 141–145 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scijus.2013.04.001>.

35.

Morgan, RM: The spatial and temporal distribution of pollen in a room: forensic implications. (2014).

36.

The 'CSI effect'. (2010).

37.

Solved- Trace Evidence, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AMmSCXzmxD4>, (2008).

38.

Allen, T.J., Scrannage, J.K.: The transfer of glass—part 1. *Forensic Science International*. 93, 167–174 (1998). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-0738\(98\)00041-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-0738(98)00041-3).

39.

Allen, T.J., Hoefler, K., Rose, S.: The transfer of glass—part 3. *Forensic Science International*. 93, 195–200 (1998). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-0738\(98\)00043-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-0738(98)00043-7).

40.

Schweitzer, N.J.: THE CSI EFFECT: POPULAR FICTION ABOUT FORENSIC SCIENCE AFFECTS THE PUBLIC'S EXPECTATIONS ABOUT REAL FORENSIC SCIENCE. *Jurimetrics*. 47, 357–364.

41.

Dachs, J., McNaught, I.J., Robertson, J.: The persistence of human scalp hair on clothing fabrics. *Forensic Science International*. 138, 27–36 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2003.07.014>.

42.

French, J.C., Morgan, R.M., Baxendell, P., Bull, P.A.: Multiple transfers of particulates and their dissemination within contact networks. *Science & Justice*. 52, 33–41 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scijus.2011.05.001>.

43.

French, J.: The secondary transfer of gunshot residue: an experimental investigation carried out with SEM-EDX analysis. *X-RAY SPECTROMETRY*. (2014).

44.

Grieve, M.C., Dunlop, J., Haddock, P.S.: Transfer experiments with acrylic fibres. *Forensic Science International*. 40, 267–277 (1989). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0379-0738\(89\)90185-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0379-0738(89)90185-0).

45.

Grieve, M.C.: Glitter particles—an unusual source of trace evidence? *Journal of the Forensic Science Society*. 27, 405–412 (1987). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0015-7368\(87\)72789-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0015-7368(87)72789-3).

46.

Garrett, Brandon L.: Invalid forensic science testimony and wrongful convictions. *Virginia Law Review*. 95, 1–97.

47.

Pringle, J.K., Ruffell, A., Jervis, J.R., Donnelly, L., McKinley, J., Hansen, J., Morgan, R., Pirrie, D., Harrison, M.: The use of geoscience methods for terrestrial forensic searches. *Earth-Science Reviews*. 114, 108–123 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2012.05.006>.

48.

Ruffell, A., McKinley, J.: Forensic geoscience: applications of geology, geomorphology and geophysics to criminal investigations. *Earth-Science Reviews*. 69, 235–247 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2004.08.002>.

49.

Ruffell, A., Pringle, J.K., Forbes, S.: Search protocols for hidden forensic objects beneath floors and within walls. *Forensic Science International*. 237, 137–145 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2013.12.036>.

50.

Ruffell, A., McKinley, J.: Forensic geomorphology. *Geomorphology*. 206, 14–22 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.12.020>.

51.

Bevan, B.W.: The search for graves. 56, 1310–1319 (1991).

52.

G. Clark Davenport: Remote Sensing Applications in Forensic Investigations. *Historical Archaeology*. 35, 87–100 (2001).

53.

Fenning, P.J., Donnelly, L.J.: Geophysical techniques for forensic investigation. 232, 11–20 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2004.232.01.03>.

54.

Hansen, J.D., Pringle, J.K.: Comparison of magnetic, electrical and ground penetrating radar surveys to detect buried forensic objects in semi-urban and domestic patio environments. 384, 229–251 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1144/SP384.13>.

55.

Scott, J., Hunter, J.R.: Environmental influences on resistivity mapping for the location of clandestine graves. 232, 33–38 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2004.232.01.05>.

56.

Beck, Richard A.: Remote Sensing and GIS as Counterterrorism Tools in the Afghanistan

War: A Case Study of the Zhawar Kili Region. *The Professional Geographer*. 55,.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/0033-0124.5502005>.

57.

Pringle, J.K., Holland, C., Szkornik, K., Harrison, M.: Establishing forensic search methodologies and geophysical surveying for the detection of clandestine graves in coastal beach environments. *Forensic Science International*. 219, e29–e36 (2012).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2012.01.010>.

58.

Morgan, R.M., Bull, P.A.: Data Interpretation in Forensic Sediment and Soil Geochemistry. *Environmental Forensics*. 7, 325–334 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1080/15275920600996248>.

59.

Gepard GPR ground penetrating radar - Applications and functionality,
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JQAeExJwjpE>, (17)AD.

60.

SERIAL, <https://serialpodcast.org/>.

61.

Undisclosed, <http://undisclosed-podcast.com/>.

62.

The Murder Trial,
<https://learningonscreen.ac.uk/ondemand/index.php/prog/057FF632?bcast=98658101>.

63.

Hanson, I.D.: The importance of stratigraphy in forensic investigation. Geological Society, London, Special Publications. 232, 39–47 (2004).

<https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2004.232.01.06>.

64.

Holzer, Thomas L.: Seismograms offer insight into Oklahoma City bombing. *Eos*. 77,.

65.

Koper, K.D., Wallace, T.C., Taylor, S.R., Hartse, H.E.: Forensic seismology and the sinking of the Kursk [textit{ Kursk}]. *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union*. 82, 37–37 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1029/01EO00023>.

66.

Scott, J., Hunter, J.R.: Environmental influences on resistivity mapping for the location of clandestine graves. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*. 232, 33–38 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2004.232.01.05>.

67.

Bull, P.A., Parker, A., Morgan, R.M.: The forensic analysis of soils and sediment taken from the cast of a footprint. *Forensic Science International*. 162, 6–12 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.06.075>.

68.

Bull, P.A., Morgan, R.M.: Sediment Fingerprints: A forensic technique using quartz sand grains. *Science & Justice*. 46, 107–124 (2006). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1355-0306\(06\)71581-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1355-0306(06)71581-7).

69.

Dawson, L.A., Hillier, S.: Measurement of soil characteristics for forensic applications. *Surface and Interface Analysis*. 42, 363–377 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1002/sia.3315>.

70.

Morgan, R.M., Robertson, J., Lennard, C., Hubbard, K., Bull, P.A.: Quartz grain surface

textures of soils and sediments from Canberra, Australia: A forensic reconstruction tool. *Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 42, 169–179 (2010).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00450610903258110>.

71.

Bailey, M.J., Morgan, R.M., Comini, P., Calusi, S., Bull, P.A.: Evaluation of Particle-Induced X-ray Emission and Particle-Induced γ -ray Emission of Quartz Grains for Forensic Trace Sediment Analysis. *Analytical Chemistry*. 84, 2260–2267 (2012).
<https://doi.org/10.1021/ac2028722>.

72.

Konopinski, D.I., Hudziak, S., Morgan, R.M., Bull, P.A., Kenyon, A.J.: Investigation of quartz grain surface textures by atomic force microscopy for forensic analysis. *Forensic Science International*. 223, 245–255 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2012.09.011>.

73.

Newell, A.J., Morgan, R.M., Griffin, L.D., Bull, P.A., Marshall, J.R., Graham, G.: Automated Texture Recognition of Quartz Sand Grains for Forensic Applications*. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 57, 1285–1289 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1556-4029.2012.02126.x>.

74.

Sugita, R., Marumo, Y.: Screening of soil evidence by a combination of simple techniques: validity of particle size distribution. *Forensic Science International*. 122, 155–158 (2001).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-0738\(01\)00490-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-0738(01)00490-X).

75.

Morgan, R.M., Bull, P.A.: The philosophy, nature and practice of forensic sediment analysis. *Progress in Physical Geography*. 31, 43–58 (2007).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0309133307073881>.

76.

Newell, A.J., Morgan, R.M., Griffin, L.D., Bull, P.A., Marshall, J.R., Graham, G.: Automated Texture Recognition of Quartz Sand Grains for Forensic Applications*. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 57, 1285–1289 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1556-4029.2012.02126.x>.

77.

Inspecting Detectives, The Long Shadow of the World's End,
<http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/b06cy69y>.

78.

Green, N.: Get ready for CSI: Soil. (2011).

79.

1969 FBI Soil Exam Video, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1Op0-A752IY>, (8)AD.

80.

The Soil Sleuth, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NyurHTD2Kro>, (21)AD.

81.

Zala, Krista: Dirty Science: Soil Forensics Digs into New Techniques. *Science*. 318, 386–387.

82.

Bull, P.A., Morgan, R.M., Freudiger-Bonzon, J.: A critique of the present use of some geochemical techniques in geoforensic analysis. *Forensic Science International*. 178, e35–e40 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2007.09.003>.

83.

Ritz, K., Dawson, L., Miller, D.: Criminal and environmental soil forensics. Springer, [Dordrecht?] (2009).

84.

Pye, K., Blott, S.J., Croft, D.J., Carter, J.F.: Forensic comparison of soil samples: Assessment

of small-scale spatial variability in elemental composition, carbon and nitrogen isotope ratios, colour, and particle size distribution. *Forensic Science International*. 163, 59–80 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2005.11.008>.

85.

Rawlins, B.G., Cave, M.: Investigating multi-element soil geochemical signatures and their potential for use in forensic studies. 232, 197–206 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2004.232.01.18>.

86.

McCulloch, G., Dawson, L.A., Brewer, M.J., Morgan, R.M.: The identification of markers for Geoforensic HPLC profiling at close proximity sites. *Forensic Science International*. 272, 127–141 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2017.01.009>.

87.

Cheshire, K., Morgan, R.M., Holmes, J.: The potential for geochemical discrimination of single- and mixed-source soil samples from close proximity urban parkland locations. *Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 49, 161–174 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1080/00450618.2016.1144789>.

88.

Bell, S.: *Forensic chemistry*. Pearson Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, N.J. (2006).

89.

Saferstein, R.: *Criminalistics: an introduction to forensic science*. Pearson, Boston (2015).

90.

Saferstein, R.: *Criminalistics: an introduction to forensic science*. Pearson, Boston (2015).

91.

Muccio, Z., Jackson, G.P.: Isotope ratio mass spectrometry. *The Analyst*. 134, 213–222 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1039/B808232D>.

92.

Pye, K., Croft, D.: Forensic analysis of soil and sediment traces by scanning electron microscopy and energy-dispersive X-ray analysis: An experimental investigation. *Forensic Science International*. 165, 52–63 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.03.001>.

93.

Croft, D.J., Pye, K.: The potential use of continuous-flow isotope-ratio mass spectrometry as a tool in forensic soil analysis: a preliminary report. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*. 17, 2581–2584 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.1174>.

94.

Reidy, L., Bu, K., Godfrey, M., Cizdziel, J.V.: Elemental fingerprinting of soils using ICP-MS and multivariate statistics: A study for and by forensic chemistry majors. *Forensic Science International*. 233, 37–44 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2013.08.019>.

95.

Quaak, F.C.A., Kuiper, I.: Statistical data analysis of bacterial t-RFLP profiles in forensic soil comparisons. *Forensic Science International*. 210, 96–101 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2011.02.005>.

96.

Young, J.M., Weyrich, L.S., Cooper, A.: Forensic soil DNA analysis using high-throughput sequencing: A comparison of four molecular markers. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*. 13, 176–184 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigen.2014.07.014>.

97.

Amendt, J., Campobasso, C.P., Gaudry, E., Reiter, C., LeBlanc, H.N., J. R. Hall, M.: Best practice in forensic entomology—standards and guidelines. *International Journal of Legal Medicine*. 121, 90–104 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00414-006-0086-x>.

98.

Amendt, J., Richards, C.S., Campobasso, C.P., Zehner, R., Hall, M.J.R.: Forensic entomology: applications and limitations. *Forensic Science, Medicine, and Pathology*. 7, 379–392 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12024-010-9209-2>.

99.

Márquez-Grant, N., Roberts, J. eds: *Forensic Ecology Handbook*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, UK (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118374016>.

100.

Bugelli, V., Forni, D., Bassi, L.A., Di Paolo, M., Marra, D., Lenzi, S., Toni, C., Giusiani, M., Domenici, R., Gherardi, M., Vanin, S.: Forensic Entomology and the Estimation of the Minimum Time Since Death in Indoor Cases. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 60, 525–531 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1556-4029.12647>.

101.

Catts, E.P., Goff, M.L.: Forensic Entomology in Criminal Investigations. *Annual Review of Entomology*. 37, 253–272 (1992). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.en.37.010192.001345>.

102.

Bernard Greenberg: Flies as Forensic Indicators. *Journal of Medical Entomology*. 28, 565–577 (1991).

103.

Maehly, A., Williams, R.L. eds: *Forensic Science Progress 5*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg (1991). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-58233-2>.

104.

Catching History's Criminals: The Forensics Story,
<http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/p02tydb7>.

105.

Abdulla, S.: The buzzing detective. *news@nature*. (1999).
<https://doi.org/10.1038/news990923-2>.

106.

From Eggs to Maggots,
<http://www.pbs.org/wnet/nature/crime-scene-creatures-video-from-eggs-to-maggots/5209/>.

107.

Forensic entomology - The crime scene (Wellcome Collection),
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HIVKISCmjTQ>, (5)AD.

108.

Cameron, N.G.: The use of diatom analysis in forensic geoscience. 232, 277–280 (2004).
<https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2004.232.01.25>.

109.

Peabody, A.J., Cameron, N.G.: Forensic science and diatoms. In: Smol, J.P. and Stoermer, E.F. (eds.) *The Diatoms*. pp. 534–539. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511763175.030>.

110.

Scott, K.R., Morgan, R.M., Jones, V.J., Cameron, N.G.: The transferability of diatoms to clothing and the methods appropriate for their collection and analysis in forensic geoscience. *Forensic Science International*. 241, 127–137 (2014).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2014.05.011>.

111.

Cox, E.J.: Diatoms and Forensic Science. In: Márquez-Grant, N. and Roberts, J. (eds.) *Forensic Ecology Handbook*. pp. 141–151. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, UK (2012).

<https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118374016.ch9>.

112.

Piette, M.H.A., De Letter, E.A.: Drowning: Still a difficult autopsy diagnosis. *Forensic Science International*. 163, 1–9 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2004.10.027>.

113.

Pollanen, M.S.: Diatoms and homicide. *Forensic Science International*. 91, 29–34 (1998). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-0738\(97\)00162-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-0738(97)00162-X).

114.

Siver, P.A., Lord, W.D., McCarthy, D.J.: Forensic Limnology: The Use of Freshwater Algal Community Ecology to Link Suspects to an Aquatic Crime Scene in Southern New England. 39, 847–853 (1994).

115.

Zimmerman, K.A., Wallace, J.R.: The Potential to Determine a Postmortem Submersion Interval Based on AlgalDiatom Diversity on Decomposing Mammalian Carcasses in Brackish Ponds in Delaware. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 53, 935–941 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1556-4029.2008.00748.x>.

116.

Crime Scene Creatures - Diatom Detective (PBS),
<http://www.pbs.org/wnet/nature/crime-scene-creatures-video-diatom-detective/5208/>.

117.

Forensic Files Historic Cases Reel Danger,
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cXcYpd1iacM>, (13)AD.

118.

Brock, J.H., Norris, D.O.: Forensic botany: an under-utilized resource. 42, 364–367 (1997).

119.

Horrocks, M., Walsh, K.A.J.: Forensic palynology: assessing the value of the evidence. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology*. 103, 69–74 (1998).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-6667\(98\)00027-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-6667(98)00027-X).

120.

Mildenhall, D.C., Wiltshire, P.E.J., Bryant, V.M.: Forensic palynology: Why do it and how it works. *Forensic Science International*. 163, 163–172 (2006).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.07.012>.

121.

Brown, A.G.: The use of forensic botany and geology in war crimes investigations in NE Bosnia. *Forensic Science International*. 163, 204–210 (2006).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.05.025>.

122.

Hawksworth, D.L., Wiltshire, P.E.J.: Forensic mycology: the use of fungi in criminal investigations. *Forensic Science International*. 206, 1–11 (2011).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2010.06.012>.

123.

Mildenhall, D.C.: *Hypericum* pollen determines the presence of burglars at the scene of a crime: An example of forensic palynology. *Forensic Science International*. 163, 231–235 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2005.11.028>.

124.

Wiltshire, P.E.J.: Consideration of some taphonomic variables of relevance to forensic palynological investigation in the United Kingdom. *Forensic Science International*. 163, 173–182 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.07.011>.

125.

Plant detectives: How brambles can help solve murder cases - Dr Mark Spencer, <http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/articles/5q2xGXDZv0S7hg3KQl11vNg/plant-detectives-how-bramble-and-co-can-help-solve-crimes>.

126.

Crime Scene Creatures - Counting Rings to Catch a Murderer (PBS), <http://www.pbs.org/wnet/nature/crime-scene-creatures-video-counting-rings-to-catch-a-murderer/5207/>.

127.

Jonathan Drori: Every pollen grain has a story, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vXDJ-nAykKE&feature=youtu.be>, (8)AD.

128.

Márquez-Grant, N., Roberts, J.: Forensic ecology handbook: from crime scene to court. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester (2012).

129.

Micropalaeontological Society: The archaeological and forensic applications of microfossils: a deeper understanding of human history. Published for the Micropalaeontological Society by the Geological Society, London (2017).

130.

Missing Persons. Routledge (2016). <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315595603>.

131.

Cox, M.: The scientific investigation of mass graves: towards protocols and standard operating procedures. Cambridge University Press, New York (2008).

132.

Brown, Antony G.: The combined use of pollen and soil analyses in a search and subsequent murder investigation. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 47, 614–618.

133.

Bryant, V.M., Jones, G.D.: Forensic palynology: Current status of a rarely used technique in the United States of America. *Forensic Science International*. 163, 183–197 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2005.11.021>.

134.

Bryant, V.M., Jones, J.G., Mildenhall, D.C.: Forensic palynology in the United States of America. *Palynology*. 14, 193–208 (1990). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01916122.1990.9989380>.

135.

Horrocks, Mark: Forensic palynology: Variation in the pollen content of soil surface samples. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 43,.

136.

Horrocks, Mark: Fine resolution of pollen patterns in limited space: Differentiating a crime scene and alibi scene seven meters apart. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 44, 417–420.

137.

Jantunen, J., Saarinen, K.: Pollen transport by clothes. *Aerobiologia*. 27, 339–343 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10453-011-9200-8>.

138.

Mildenhall, D.C.: Forensic palynology in New Zealand. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology*. 64, 227–234 (1990). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-6667\(90\)90137-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-6667(90)90137-8).

139.

Pye, K., Croft, D.J., Geological Society of London: *Forensic geoscience: principles,*

techniques and applications. Geological Society, London (2004).

140.

Riding, Jb: Changes in soil pollen assemblages on footwear worn at different sites. *Palynology*. 31, 135–151.

141.

Ruffell, A.: Forensic pedology, forensic geology, forensic geoscience, geoforensics and soil forensics. *Forensic Science International*. 202, 9–12 (2010).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2010.03.044>.

142.

Ruffell, A., Wiltshire, P.: Conjunctive use of quantitative and qualitative X-ray diffraction analysis of soils and rocks for forensic analysis. *Forensic Science International*. 145, 13–23 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2004.03.017>.

143.

Wiltshire, P.E.J.: Consideration of some taphonomic variables of relevance to forensic palynological investigation in the United Kingdom. *Forensic Science International*. 163, 173–182 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.07.011>.

144.

Wiltshire, P.E.J., Black, S.: The cribriform approach to the retrieval of palynological evidence from the turbinates of murder victims. *Forensic Science International*. 163, 224–230 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2005.11.019>.

145.

Zavada, M.S., McGraw, S.M., Miller, M.A.: The role of clothing fabrics as passive pollen collectors in the north-eastern United States. *Grana*. 46, 285–291 (2007).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00173130701780104>.

146.

Hawksworth, D.L., Wiltshire, P.E.J.: Forensic mycology: the use of fungi in criminal investigations. *Forensic Science International*. 206, 1–11 (2011).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2010.06.012>.

147.

Etienne, D., Jouffroy-Bapicot, I.: Optimal counting limit for fungal spore abundance estimation using *Sporormiella* as a case study. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany*. 23, 743–749 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00334-014-0439-1>.

148.

Moore, P.D., Webb, J.A., Collinson, M.E.: Pollen analysis. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford (1991).

149.

Nakagawa, T: Dense-media separation as a more efficient pollen extraction method for use with organic sediment/deposit samples: comparison with the conventional method. *Boreas*. 27, 15–24.

150.

Ruffell, A., McKinley, J.: Geoforensics. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, UK (2008).
<https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470758854>.

151.

Dent, B.B., Forbes, S.L., Stuart, B.H.: Review of human decomposition processes in soil. *Environmental Geology*. 45, 576–585 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00254-003-0913-z>.

152.

Forbes, S.L., Stuart, B.H., Dent, B.B.: The identification of adipocere in grave soils. *Forensic Science International*. 127, 225–230 (2002).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-0738\(02\)00127-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-0738(02)00127-5).

153.

Forbes, S.L., Stuart, B.H., Dent, B.B.: The effect of the burial environment on adipocere formation. *Forensic Science International*. 154, 24–34 (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2004.09.107>.

154.

Forbes, S.L., Dent, B.B., Stuart, B.H.: The effect of soil type on adipocere formation. *Forensic Science International*. 154, 35–43 (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2004.09.108>.

155.

Haglund, W., Sorg, M. eds: *Forensic Taphonomy*. CRC Press (1996).
<https://doi.org/10.1201/9781439821923>.

156.

Stover, E., Haglund, W.D., Samuels, M.: Exhumation of Mass Graves in Iraq. *JAMA*. 290, (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.290.5.663>.

157.

Tibbett, M., Carter, D.O. eds: *Soil analysis in forensic taphonomy : chemical and biological effects of buried human remains*. CRC, Boca Raton, Florida (2008).

158.

The fascinating process of human decomposition,
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OFJrow7yaec&feature=youtu.be>, (2014).

159.

Waxing Historical: A Potted History of Adipocere,
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=apLz4uT6jWY&feature=youtu.be>, (12)AD.

160.

Anderson, G.S., Hobischak, N.R.: Decomposition of carrion in the marine environment in British Columbia, Canada. *International Journal of Legal Medicine*. 118, (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00414-004-0447-2>.

161.

Delabarde, T., Keyser, C., Tracqui, A., Charabidze, D., Ludes, B.: The potential of forensic analysis on human bones found in riverine environment. *Forensic Science International*. 228, e1–e5 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2013.03.019>.

162.

Keiper, J.B., Casamatta, D.A.: Benthic organisms as forensic indicators. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society*. 20, 311–324 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.2307/1468325>.

163.

Parker, R., Ruffell, A., Hughes, D., Pringle, J.: Geophysics and the search of freshwater bodies: A review. *Science & Justice*. 50, 141–149 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scijus.2009.09.001>.

164.

Dickson, G.C., Poulter, R.T.M., Maas, E.W., Probert, P.K., Kieser, J.A.: Marine bacterial succession as a potential indicator of postmortem submersion interval. *Forensic Science International*. 209, 1–10 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2010.10.016>.

165.

Magni, P.A., Venn, C., Aquila, I., Pepe, F., Ricci, P., Di Nunzio, C., Ausania, F., Dadour, I.R.: Evaluation of the floating time of a corpse found in a marine environment using the barnacle *Lepas anatifera* L. (Crustacea: Cirripedia: Pedunculata). *Forensic Science International*. 247, e6–e10 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2014.11.016>.

166.

Mateus, M., de Pablo, H., Vaz, N.: An investigation on body displacement after two drowning accidents. *Forensic Science International*. 229, e6–e12 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2013.03.010>.

167.

Merritt, R.W., Wallace, J.R.: The role of aquatic insects in forensic investigations. In: Byrd, J.H. and Castner, J.L. (eds.) *Forensic entomology : the utility of arthropods in legal investigations*. pp. 271–320. CRC Press, Boca Raton (2000).

168.

Ruffell, A.: Under-water Scene Investigation Using Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) in the Search for a Sunken Jet ski, Northern Ireland. *Science & Justice*. 46, 221–230 (2006). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1355-0306\(06\)71602-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1355-0306(06)71602-1).

169.

Police Divers & Underwater Investigations.

170.

Underwater Forensics (Science Channel), <http://www.sciencechannel.com/tv-shows/science-channel-presents/videos/discoveries-this-week-underwater-forensics/>.

171.

Underwater Forensics Robot on Beyond Tomorrow, <http://www.dailymotion.com/video/x2xj6jp>.

172.

Flanagan, R.J.: Cut Costs at All Costs! *Forensic Science International*. 290, e26–e28 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2018.06.038>.

173.

Schneider, C.A., Rasband, W.S., Eliceiri, K.W.: NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nature Methods*. 9, 671–675 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089>.

174.

Cook, R., Evett, I.W., Jackson, G., Jones, P.J., Lambert, J.A.: A hierarchy of propositions: deciding which level to address in casework. *Science & Justice*. 38, 231–239 (1998). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1355-0306\(98\)72117-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1355-0306(98)72117-3).

175.

White, P.: *Crime scene to court: the essentials of forensic science*. Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, UK (2004).

176.

Reference and Research Book News. 16, (2001).

177.

Inman, K., Rudin, N.: The origin of evidence. *Forensic Science International*. 126, 11–16 (2002). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-0738\(02\)00031-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-0738(02)00031-2).

178.

Evett, I.W., Berger, C.E.H., Buckleton, J.S., Champod, C., Jackson, G.: Finding the way forward for forensic science in the US—A commentary on the PCAST report. *Forensic Science International*. 278, 16–23 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2017.06.018>.

179.

Morgan, R.M., Wiltshire, P., Parker, A., Bull, P.A.: The role of forensic geoscience in wildlife crime detection. *Forensic Science International*. 162, 152–162 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.06.045>.

180.

Morgan, RM: *Conceptualising forensic science and forensic reconstruction. Part I: A conceptual model*. (2017).

181.

Ruffell, A., McKinley, J.: *Geoforensics*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, UK (2008).
<https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470758854>.

182.

Bull, P.A., Morgan, R.M., Sagovsky, A., Hughes, G.J.A.: The Transfer and Persistence of Trace Particulates: Experimental studies using clothing fabrics. *Science & Justice*. 46, 185–195 (2006). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1355-0306\(06\)71592-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1355-0306(06)71592-1).

183.

French, J.C., Morgan, R.M., Baxendell, P., Bull, P.A.: Multiple transfers of particulates and their dissemination within contact networks. *Science & Justice*. 52, 33–41 (2012).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scijus.2011.05.001>.

184.

Morgan, R.M., Davies, G., Balestri, F., Bull, P.A.: The recovery of pollen evidence from documents and its forensic implications. *Science & Justice*. 53, 375–384 (2013).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scijus.2013.03.004>.

185.

Morgan, RM: The forensic analysis of sediments recovered from footwear. In: *Criminal and Environmental Soil Forensics*. Springer (2009).

186.

Slot, A., van der Weerd, J., Roos, M., Baiker, M., Stoel, R.D., Zuidberg, M.C.: Tracers as invisible evidence — The transfer and persistence of flock fibres during a car exchange. *Forensic Science International*. 275, 178–186 (2017).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2017.03.005>.

187.

Analyzing fluorescence microscopy images with ImageJ,
http://www.microscopist.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/ImageJ_FL_Image_Analysis.pdf.

188.

Kloster, Michael: *Fragilariopsis kerguelensis* images from sediment core PS1768-8, supplement to: Kloster, Michael; Kauer, Gerhard; Beszteri, Bánk (2014): SHERPA: an image segmentation and outline feature extraction tool for diatoms and other objects. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 15(1), 218. PANGAEA - Data Publisher for Earth & Environmental Science (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.833665>.

189.

Kloster, Michael: Measurements of valves of the diatom *Fragilariopsis kerguelensis* from Southern Ocean sediment core PS1768-8, supplement to: Kloster, Michael; Kauer, Gerhard; Esper, Oliver; Fuchs, Nike; Beszteri, Bánk (2018): Morphometry of the diatom *Fragilariopsis kerguelensis* from Southern Ocean sediment: High-throughput measurements show second morphotype occurring during glacials. *Marine Micropaleontology*, [https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=TN_datacite15843521&context=PC&vid=UCL_VU2&lang=en_US&search_scope=CSCOP_UCL&adaptor=primo_central_multiple_fe&tab=local&query=any,contains,Kloster,%20M.,%20Kauer,%20G.,%20Esper,%20O.,%20Fuchs,%20N.,%20&20Beszteri,%20B.%20\(2018\).%20Morphometry%20of%20the%20diatom%20Fragilariopsis%20kerguelensis%20from%20Southern%20Ocean%20sediment:%20High-throughput%20measurements%20show%20second%20morphotype%20occurring%20during%20glacials.%20Marine%20Micropaleontology,%20143,%2070-79.&sortby=rank](https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=TN_datacite15843521&context=PC&vid=UCL_VU2&lang=en_US&search_scope=CSCOP_UCL&adaptor=primo_central_multiple_fe&tab=local&query=any,contains,Kloster,%20M.,%20Kauer,%20G.,%20Esper,%20O.,%20Fuchs,%20N.,%20&20Beszteri,%20B.%20(2018).%20Morphometry%20of%20the%20diatom%20Fragilariopsis%20kerguelensis%20from%20Southern%20Ocean%20sediment:%20High-throughput%20measurements%20show%20second%20morphotype%20occurring%20during%20glacials.%20Marine%20Micropaleontology,%20143,%2070-79.&sortby=rank). <https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.892593>.

190.

Levin, E.A., Morgan, R.M., Griffin, L.D., Jones, V.J.: A Comparison of Thresholding Methods for Forensic Reconstruction Studies Using Fluorescent Powder Proxies for Trace Materials. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*. (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1556-4029.13938>.

191.

Levin, E.A., Morgan, R.M., Griffin, L.D., Jones, V.J.: A Comparison of Thresholding Methods for Forensic Reconstruction Studies Using Fluorescent Powder Proxies for Trace Materials. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*. (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1556-4029.13938>.

192.

Schneider, C.A., Rasband, W.S., Eliceiri, K.W.: NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nature Methods*. 9, 671–675 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089>.

193.

Schulze, K., Tillich, U.M., Dandekar, T., Frohme, M.: PlanktoVision – an automated analysis system for the identification of phytoplankton. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 14, (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-14-115>.

194.

Cox, M.R., Budhu, M.: A practical approach to grain shape quantification. *Engineering Geology*. 96, 1–16 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2007.05.005>.

195.

Cox, M.R., Budhu, M.: A practical approach to grain shape quantification. *Engineering Geology*. 96, 1–16 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2007.05.005>.

196.

Igathinathane, C., Pordesimo, L.O., Columbus, E.P., Batchelor, W.D., Sokhansanj, S.: Sieveless particle size distribution analysis of particulate materials through computer vision. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*. 66, 147–158 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2009.01.005>.

197.

Mazzoli, A., Favoni, O.: Particle size, size distribution and morphological evaluation of airborne dust particles of diverse woods by Scanning Electron Microscopy and image processing program. *Powder Technology*. 225, 65–71 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2012.03.033>.

198.

Mazzoli, A., Moriconi, G.: Particle size, size distribution and morphological evaluation of glass fiber reinforced plastic (GRP) industrial by-product. *Micron*. 67, 169–178 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micron.2014.07.007>.