ARCLG120: Approaches to Artefact Studies

View Online

1.

STRAND A - TECHNOLOGY WITHIN SOCIETY .

2.

Appadurai, Arjun. The social life of things: commodities in cultural perspective. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1986.

3.

Caple, Chris. Objects: reluctant witnesses to the past [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2006. Available from: https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203409060

4.

Ewen, Charles Robin. Artifacts. Walnut Creek, CA: AltaMira Press; 2003.

5.

Hurcombe, L. M. Archaeological artefacts as material culture. London: Routledge; 2007.

6.

Jones A. Archaeometry and materiality: materials-based analysis in theory and practice.

Archaeometry. 2004;46(3):327–338.

7.

Kingery, W. D. Learning from things: method and theory of material culture studies. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press; 1996.

8.

Latour, Bruno. Pandora's hope: essays on the reality of science studies. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 1999.

9.

Lemonnier P. The study of material culture today: Toward an anthropology of technical systems. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology [Internet]. 1986; Available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/science/article/pii/0278416586900127

10.

Miller, Heather Margaret-Louise. Archaeological approaches to technology. Amsterdam: Elsevier/Academic Press; 2007.

11.

Nanoglou S. Qualities of Humanness: Material Aspects of Greek Neolithic Anthropomorphic Imagery. Journal of Material Culture. 2008;13(3):311–334.

12.

Olsen, Bjørnar. In defense of things: archaeology and the ontology of objects. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers; 2010.

13.

Schiffer, Michael B., Miller, Andrea R. The material life of human beings: artifacts, behavior, and communication. London: Routledge; 1999.

14.

Sigaut F. Technology. Companion encyclopedia of anthropology [Internet]. [New ed.]. London: Routledge; 2002. p. 420–459. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG192_51976

15.

Tilley, Christopher Y. Handbook of material culture [Internet]. London: SAGE; 2006. Available from: https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781446206430

16.

Thornton C. Archaeometallurgy. Metals and societies: studies in honour of Barbara S Ottaway. Bonn: R. Habelt; 2009. p. 25–33.

17.

Boivin, Nicole. Material cultures, material minds: the impact of things on human thought, society, and evolution. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2008.

18.

Dant, Tim. Material culture in the social world: values, activities, lifestyles. Buckingham: Open Univeristy Press; 1999.

19.

Henare, Amiria J. M., Holbraad, Martin, Wastell, Sari. Thinking through things: theorising artefacts ethnographically [Internet]. Abingdon: Routledge; 2007. Available from: https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203088791

20.

Lubar, Steven D., Kingery, W. D. History from things: essays on material culture.

Washington: Smithsonian Institution Press; 1993.

21.

Meskell, Lynn. Archaeologies of materiality. Malden, Mass: Blackwell; 2006.

22.

Miller, Daniel. Materiality. Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press; 2005.

23.

Andrefsky, William. Lithics: macroscopic approaches to analysis. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2005.

24.

Henderson, Julian. The science and archaeology of materials: an investigation of inorganic materials. London: Routledge; 2000.

25.

Orton, Clive, Hughes, Mike. Pottery in archaeology. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013.

26.

Rice, Prudence M. Pottery analysis: a sourcebook. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1987.

27.

Schick, Kathy Diane, Toth, Nicholas Patrick. Making silent stones speak: human evolution and the dawn of technology. London: Phoenix; 1993.

28.

Ingold T. Materials against materiality. Archaeological Dialogues. 2007;14(01).

29.

Kopytoff I. The cultural biology of things: commoditization as a process. The social life of things: commodities in cultural perspective [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1986. p. 64–94. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1007_43263.pdf

30.

Meskell, Lynn. Object worlds in ancient Egypt: material biographies past and present. Oxford: Berg; 2004.

31.

Meskell L. Introduction, object orientations. Archaeologies of materiality. Malden, Mass: Blackwell; 2006. p. 1–17.

32.

Olsen, Bjørnar. In defense of things: archaeology and the ontology of objects. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers; 2010.

33.

Adams WY. Archaeological classification: theory versus practice. Antiquity [Internet]. 1988;56(234):40–56. Available from: http://search.proquest.com/docview/1293842480?accountid=14511

34.

Michael S. Bisson. Nineteenth Century Tools for Twenty-First Century Archaeology? Why the Middle Paleolithic Typology of François Bordes Must Be Replaced. Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory [Internet]. Springer; 2000;7(1):1–48. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/20177411

35.

Brian Hayden. Are Emic Types Relevant to Archaeology? Ethnohistory [Internet]. Duke University Press; 1984;31(2):79–92. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/482057

36.

Adams, William Yewdale, Adams, Ernest W. Archaeological typology and practical reality: a dialectical approach to artifact classification and sorting. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1991.

37.

Barrett JC. Bronze Age pottery and the problem of classification. Papers on the prehistoric archaeology of Cranborne Chase [Internet]. Oxford: Oxbow; 1991. p. 201–230. Available from: https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=57e50199-1364-e811-80cd-005056af4099

38.

Biers, William R. Art, artefacts, and chronology in classical archaeology. London: Routledge; 1992.

39.

Lewis R. Binford. Archaeology as Anthropology. American Antiquity [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 1962;28(2):217–225. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/278380

40.

Binford LR. Archaeological perspectives. New perspectives in archaeology [Internet]. Chicago: Aldine; 1968. p. 155–186. Available from: https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=78318775-0f64-e811-80cd-005056af4099

41.

Buck, Caitlin E., Millard, Andrew. Tools for constructing chronologies: crossing disciplinary boundaries. London: Springer; 2004.

42.

Caple, Chris. Objects: reluctant witnesses to the past [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2006. Available from: https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203409060

43.

Carver MOH. Theory and practice in urban pottery seriation. Journal of Archaeological Science [Internet]. 1985;12:353–366. Available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/science/article/pii/0305440385900640

44.

Chapman WR. Arranging ethnology: A.H.L.F. Pitt Rivers and the typological tradition. Objects and others : essays on museums and material culture [Internet]. 1985. p. 15–48. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG120_47361.pdf

45.

Clarke, David L. Analytical archaeologist: collected papers of David L. Clarke. London: Academic Press; 1979.

46.

Cumberpatch CG. Towards a phenomenological approach to medieval pottery. Not so much a pot, more a way of life : current approaches to artefact analysis in archaeology [Internet]. 1997. p. 125–152. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45078.pdf

47.

Robert C. Dunnell. Methodological Issues in Americanist Artifact Classification. Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory [Internet]. SpringerSpringer; 1986;9:149–207. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/20210077?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

48.

Fish PR. Consistency in Archaeological Measurement and Classification: A Pilot Study.

American Antiquity [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 1978;43(1):86–89. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/279635

49.

Gräslund, Bo. The birth of prehistoric chronology: dating methods and dating systems in nineteenth-century Scandinavian archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1987.

50.

Ian Hodder. The Narrative and Rhetoric of Material Culture Sequences. World Archaeology [Internet]. Taylor & Francis, Ltd.; 1993;25(2):268–282. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/124819?&Search=yes&searchText=narrative&searchText=sequences&searchText=rhetoric&searchText=culture&searchText=material&list=hide&searchUri=%252Faction%252FdoBasicSearch%253FQuery%253DThe%252Bnarrative%252Band%252Brhetoric%252Bof%252Bmaterial%252Bculture%252Bsequences%2526Search%253DSearch%2526wc%253Don%2526fc%253Doff%2526globalSearch%253D%2526sbbBox%253D%2526sbjBox%253D%2526sbpBox%253D&prevSearch=&item=3&ttl=3322&returnArticleService=showFullText

51.

Kempton, Willett. The folk classification of ceramics: a study of cognitive prototypes. New York: Academic Press; 1981.

52.

Margolis, Eric, Laurence, Stephen. Creations of the mind: theories of artifacts and their representation. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2007.

53.

Miller D. Artefacts as products of human categorisation processes. Symbolic and structural archaeology [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 1982. p. 17–25. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45077.pdf

54.

Miller, Daniel. Artefacts as categories: a study of ceramic variability in central India.

Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1985.

55.

Stephen Plog. Analysis of Style in Artifacts. Annual Review of Anthropology [Internet]. Annual Reviews; 1983;12:125–142. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/2155643

56.

Stephen Plog and Jeffrey L. Hantman. Chronology Construction and the Study of Prehistoric Culture Change. Journal of Field Archaeology [Internet]. Maney Publishing; 1990;17(4):439–456. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/530005

57.

Read, Dwight W. Artifact classification: a conceptual and methodological approach. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2007.

58.

Prudence M. Rice. Rethinking the Ware Concept. American Antiquity [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 1976;41(4):538–543. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/279024

59.

Sackett J. Approaches to style in lithic archaeology. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology [Internet]. 1982;59–112. Available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/science/article/pii/0278416582900083

60.

Schnapp A. Between antiquarians and archaeologists--continuities and ruptures. Antiquity [Internet]. Antiquity Publications, Ltd.; 2002;76(291):134–140. Available from: http://search.proquest.com/docview/217579824?accountid=14511

61.

Wendrich W. The World According to Basketry [Internet]. 1999. Available from: http://escholarship.org/uc/item/6n42w0rg

62.

Wheat JB. Ceramic classification: Bradfield and Shepard, types and varieties. The ceramic legacy of Anna O Shepard. Niwot, Colo: University Press of Colorado; 1991. p. 121–131.

63.

White JP, Thomas DH. What mean these stones? Ethnotaxonomic models and archaeological interpretations in the New Guinea Highlands. In: Clarke DL, editor. Models in archaeology [Internet]. 1972. p. 275–308. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG113_44842.pdf

64.

Widemann F. Why is archaeometry so boring for archaeologists? Archaeological ceramics : papers presented at a seminar on Ceramics as Archaeological Material held at the Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC and the National Bureau of Standards, Gaithersburg, Maryland, 29 September-1 October 1980, one of the Smithsonian Institution/National Bureau of Standards seminars on the Application of the Materials and Measurement Sciences to Archaeology and Museum Conservation. Smithsonian Institution Press; 1982. p. 29–36.

65.

Wylie A. The typology debate. Thinking from things: essays in the philosophy of archaeology. Berkeley, Calif: University of California Press; 2002. p. 42–56.

66.

Benco NL. Worked bone tools: Linking metal artisans and animal processors in medieval Islamic Morocco. Antiquity [Internet]. Antiquity Publications, Ltd.; 2002;76(292):447–457. Available from: http://search.proquest.com/docview/217562124?accountid=14511

67.

Schlanger N. The chaîne opératoire. Archaeology : the key concepts [Internet]. Routledge;

2005. p. 25–31. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45057.pdf

68.

SILLAR B, TITE MS. THE CHALLENGE OF 'TECHNOLOGICAL CHOICES'FOR MATERIALS SCIENCE APPROACHES IN ARCHAEOLOGY. Archaeometry. 2000;42(1):2–20.

69.

Françoise Audouze. Leroi-Gourhan, a Philosopher of Technique and Evolution. Journal of Archaeological Research [Internet]. Springer; 2002;10(4):277–306. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/41053189

70.

Bar-Yosef O, Van Peer P. The Chaine Operatoire Approach in Middle Paleolithic Archaeology. Current Anthropology. 2009 Feb;50(1):103–131.

71.

Dobres MA. Technology's links and chaînes : the processual unfolding of technique and technician. The social dynamics of technology : practice, politics, and world views. Smithsonian Institution Press; 1999. p. 124–146.

72.

Binford LR. Hunters in a landscape. In pursuit of the past: decoding the archaeological record [Internet]. Thames and Hudson; 1983. p. 109–143. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1006_43800.pdf

73.

Chanteller C. Lithic technology and the Chaîne Opératoire. Prehistoric Britain. Malden, Mass: Blackwell; 2008. p. 160–176.

74.

Collins MB. Lithic technology as a means of processual inference. Lithic technology: making and using stone tools. The Hague: Mouton; 1975. p. 15–34.

75.

Crabtree DE. Comments of lithic technology and experimental archaeology. Lithic technology: making and using stone tools. The Hague: Mouton; 1975. p. 105–113.

76.

David N, Kramer C. Studying artifacts: functions, operating sequences, taxonomy. Ethnoarchaeology in action. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2001. p. 138–167.

77.

Elizabeth DeMarrais, Luis Jaime Castillo and Timothy Earle. Ideology, Materialization, and Power Strategies. Current Anthropology [Internet]. The University of Chicago Press; 1996;37(1):15–31. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/2744153

78.

Chris Gosden and Yvonne Marshall. The Cultural Biography of Objects. World Archaeology [Internet]. Taylor & Francis, Ltd.; 1999;31(2):169–178. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/125055

79.

Holtorf C. Notes on the Life History of a Pot Sherd. Journal of Material Culture. 2002;7(1):49–71.

80.

Hoskins, Janet. Biographical objects: how things tell the stories of people's lives. New York: Routledge; 1998.

81.

Hurcombe L. Plant processing for cordage and textiles using serrated flint edges: new chaines operatoires suggested by ethnographic, archaeological and experimental evidence for bast fibre processing. Plant processing form a prehistoric and ethnographic perspective =: Préhistoire et ethnographie du travail des plantes : proceedings of a workshop at Ghent University (Belgium) November 28, 2006. Oxford: John & Erica Hedges; 2007. p. 41–66.

82.

Ingold T. `Tools for the Hand, Language for the Face': An Appreciation of Leroi-Gourhan's Gesture and Speech. Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences. 1999;30(4):411–453.

83.

Jennings J, Antrobus KL, Atencio SJ, Glavich E, Johnson R, Loffler G, Luu C. "Drinking Beer in a Blissful Mood" Alcohol Production, Operational Chains, and Feasting in the Ancient World. Current Anthropology. 2005;46(2):275–303.

84.

Lemonnier, Pierre. Elements for an anthropology of technology. Ann Arbor, Mich: Museum of Anthropology, University of Michigan; 1992.

85.

Lucas G. Case study: the life and times of a Roman jar. The archaeology of time [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2005. p. 95–113. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45056.pdf

86.

Meskell, Lynn. Object worlds in ancient Egypt: material biographies past and present. Oxford: Berg; 2004.

87.

Rye, Owen S. Pottery technology: principles and reconstruction. Washington, D.C.: Taraxacum; 1981.

88.

Shanks M. The life of an artefact in an interpretive archaeology [Internet]. Available from: http://documents.stanford.edu/michaelshanks/229

89.

Schiffer MB. Behavioral chain analysis: activities, organization and the use of space. Behavioral archaeology: first principles. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 1995. p. 103–174.

90.

Schlanger N. Mindful technology: unleashing the chaîne opératoire for an archaeology of mind. The ancient mind: elements of cognitive archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1994. p. 143–151.

91.

Schlanger N, Silclair A. Technology in the Humanities. Archaeological review from Cambridge. Cambridge: University of Cambridge; 1990;9(1):3–167.

92.

Skibo JM, Schiffer MB. Understanding artifact variability and change: a behavioral framework. Anthropological perspectives on technology. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press; 2001. p. 139–149.

93.

Vidale M. Operational sequences beyond linearity. Papers from the EAA Third Annual Meeting at Ravenna 1997 [Internet]. Oxford: Archaeopress; 1998. p. 179–184. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45067.pdf

94.

Rapp, George Robert. Archaeomineralogy. 2nd ed. Berlin: Springer; 2009.

95.

Ashurst, John, Dimes, Francis G., Honeyborne, D. B. Conservation of building and decorative stone: Vol. 1. London: Butterworths; 1990.

96.

Bachmann, H. G. The identification of slags from archaeological sites. London: Institute of Archaeology; 1982.

97.

Bayley J, Dungworth D, Paynter S. Centre for Archaeology Guidelines: Archaeometallurgy [Internet]. English Heritage; 2001. Available from: https://www.historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications

98.

Leo Biek and Justine Bayley. Glass and other Vitreous Materials. World Archaeology [Internet]. Taylor & Francis, Ltd.; 1979;11(1):1–25. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/124331

99.

Freestone, Ian, Gaimster, David R. M. Pottery in the making: world ceramic traditions. London: British Museum Press; 1997.

100.

Gleba, Margarita. Textile production in pre-Roman Italy. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2008.

101.

Hurcombe, L. M. Archaeological artefacts as material culture. London: Routledge; 2007.

102.

Orton, Clive, Hughes, Mike. Pottery in archaeology. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013.

103.

Paynter S, Dungworth D. Archaeological Evidence for Glassworking [Internet]. English Heritage; 2011. Available from: http://www.english-heritage.org.uk/publications/glassworkingguidelines/glassworking-guidelines.pdf

104.

Thomson, Roy, Mould, Quita. Leather tanneries: the archaeological evidence. London: Archetype; 2011.

105.

Tomber, R., Dore, John, English Heritage, British Museum, National Roman Fabric Reference Collection, Museum of London. The National Roman Fabric Reference Collection: a handbook. London: Museum of London Archaeology Service; 1998.

106.

BGS Rock Classification Scheme - British Geological Survey [Internet]. Available from: http://www.bgs.ac.uk/bgsrcs/

107.

Archaeological Geology of Ancient Egypt [Internet]. Available from: http://www.eeescience.utoledo.edu/Faculty/Harrell/Egypt/AGRG_Home.html

108.

Utilitarian Stones [Internet]. 2012. Available from: http://escholarship.org/uc/item/77t294df#page-1

109.

Building Stones [Internet]. 2012. Available from: http://escholarship.org/uc/item/3fd124g0?query=building%20stones#page-1

110.

Pigments through the Ages - detailed pigment histories, recipes [Internet]. Available from: http://www.webexhibits.org/pigments/

111.

Mineralogy Database - Mineral Collecting, Localities, Mineral Photos and Data [Internet]. Available from: http://www.mindat.org/

112.

Freestone I. Pliny on Roman glassmaking. Archaeology, history and science: integrating approaches to ancient materials. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press; 2008. p. 77–100.

113.

Freestone I, Huges M, Stapleton C. The composition and production of Anglo-Saxon glass. Catalogue of Anglo-Saxon glass in the British Museum [Internet]. London: British Museum; 2008. p. 29–46. Available from: http://www.britishmuseum.org/research/publications/research_publications_series/2008/catalogue_of_anglo_saxon_glass.aspx

114.

Paynter S, Dungworth D. Archaeological Evidence for Glassworking [Internet]. English Heritage; 2011. Available from: http://www.english-heritage.org.uk/publications/glassworkingguidelines/glassworking-guidelines.pdf

115.

Price, J., Cottam, Sally. Romano-British glass vessels: a handbook. York: Council for British Archaeology; 1998.

116.

Strand EA, Frei KM, Gleba M, Mannering U, Nosch ML, Skals I. Old Textiles -- New Possibilities. European Journal of Archaeology. 2010;13(2):149–173.

117.

Barber, E. J. W. Prehistoric textiles: the development of cloth in the Neolithic and Bronze Ages with special reference to the Aegean. Princeton, N.J: Princeton University Press; 1991.

118.

Jørgensen, Lise Bender. North European textiles until AD 1000. Aarhus: Aarhus University Press; 1992.

119.

Gleba, Margarita, Mannering, Ulla. Textiles & textile production in Europe: from prehistory to AD 400. Oxford: Oxbow; 2012.

120.

Harris S. Smooth and cool or warm and soft, investigating the properties of cloth in prehistory. | Susanna Harris - Academia.edu. In: Strand A, editor. North European Symposium for Archaeological Textiles X. Oxbow Books; 2010.

121.

Maniatis Y, Tite MS. Technological examination of Neolithic-Bronze Age pottery from central and southeast Europe and from the Near East. Journal of Archaeological Science [Internet]. 1981; Available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/science/article/pii/0305440381900121

122.

Orton, Clive, Hughes, Mike. Pottery in archaeology. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013.

123.

Quinn, Patrick S. Ceramic petrography: the interpretation of archaeological pottery & related artefacts in thin section. Oxford: Archaeopress; 2013.

124.

Radivojević M, Rehren T, Pernicka E, Šljivar D, Brauns M, Borić D. On the origins of extractive metallurgy: new evidence from Europe. Journal of Archaeological Science. 2010 Nov;37(11):2775–2787.

125.

Beckmann H. Sophisticated Roman recovery techniques for gold. IAMS Newsletter [Internet]. 1995;19:7–9. Available from: http://www.ucl.ac.uk/iams/newsletter/accordion/journals/iams_19/iams_19_1995_bachmann

126.

Bachmann, H. G. The identification of slags from archaeological sites. London: Institute of Archaeology; 1982.

127.

Bayley J, Dungworth D, Paynter S. Centre for Archaeology Guidelines: Archaeometallurgy [Internet]. English Heritage; 2001. Available from: http://www.english-heritage.org.uk/publications

128.

Rehren T, Schneider J, Bartels C. Medieval lead-silver smelting in the Siegerland, West Germany. Historical metallurgy: journal of the Historical Metallurgy Society [Internet]. Sheffield: Historical Metallurgy Society; 1999;33. Available from: https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=922db862-ce64-e811-80cd-005056af4099

129.

Wagner D. Introductory orientations: the traditional Chinese iron industry in recent

centuries. Science and civilisation in China: Volume 5: Chemistry and chemical technology. London: Cambridge University Press; 2008. p. 5–72.

130.

Andrefsky, William. Lithics: macroscopic approaches to analysis. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2005.

131.

Mesoudi A. Cultural Evolution: How Darwinian Theory Can Explain Human Culture and Synthesize the Social Sciences. University of Chicago Press; 2011.

132.

Schick, Kathy Diane, Toth, Nicholas Patrick. Making silent stones speak: human evolution and the dawn of technology. London: Phoenix; 1993.

133.

Whittaker, John C. Flintknapping: making and understanding stone tools. Austin: University of Texas Press; 1994.

134.

Benito-Calvo A, de la Torre I. Analysis of orientation patterns in Olduvai Bed I assemblages using GIS techniques: Implications for site formation processes. Journal of Human Evolution. 2011;61(1):50–60.

135.

Bevan A. Spatial methods for analysing large-scale artefact inventories. Antiquity [Internet]. 2012;86(332):492–506. Available from: http://search.proquest.com/docview/1021249050?accountid=14511

136.

Martinón-Torres M, Li XJ, Bevan A, Xia Y, Kun Z, Rehren T. Making Weapons for the

Terracotta Army. Archaeology International. 2011;13.

137.

Tilley C, Hamilton S, Harrison S, Anderson E. Nature, Culture, Clitter: Distinguishing Between Cultural and Geomorphological Landscapes; The Case of Hilltop Tors in South-West England. Journal of Material Culture. 2000;5(2):197–224.

138.

Blankholm, H. P. Intrasite spatial analysis in theory and practice. Aarhus: Aarhus University Press; 1991.

139.

BRUGHMANS T. CONNECTING THE DOTS: TOWARDS ARCHAEOLOGICAL NETWORK ANALYSIS. Oxford Journal of Archaeology. 2010;29(3):277–303.

140.

Hietala, Harold J. Intrasite spatial analysis in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1984.

141.

Hodder, Ian, Orton, Clive. Spatial analysis in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1976.

142.

Katsianis M, Tsipidis S, Kotsakis K, Kousoulakou A. A 3D digital workflow for archaeological intra-site research using GIS. Journal of Archaeological Science. 2008;35(3):655–667.

143.

Kroll, Ellen M., Price, T. Douglas, Society for American Archaeology. The interpretation of archaeological spatial patterning. New York: Plenum Press; 1991.

144.

Livingood PC, Cordell AS. Point/counter point: the accuracy and feasibility of digital image techniques in the analysis of ceramic thin sections. Journal of Archaeological Science. 2009;36(3):867–872.

145.

Vanzetti et al. A. The iceman as a burial. Antiquity [Internet]. Antiquity Publications, Ltd.; 2010;84(325):681–692. Available from: http://search.proquest.com/docview/755013317?accountid=14511

146.

Cathy Lynne Costin. Craft Specialization: Issues in Defining, Documenting, and Explaining the Organization of Production. Archaeological Method and Theory [Internet]. Springer; 1991;3:1–56. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/20170212

147.

Peacock DPS. Towards a a model for Roman pottery studies. Pottery in the Roman world: an ethnoarchaeological approach. London: Longman; 1982. p. 6–11.

148.

Katherine A. Spielmann. Feasting, Craft Specialization, and the Ritual Mode of Production in Small-Scale Societies. American Anthropologist [Internet]. Wiley; 2002;104(1):195–207. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/683770

149.

M. James Blackman, Gil J. Stein and Pamela B. Vandiver. The Standardization Hypothesis and Ceramic Mass Production: Technological, Compositional, and Metric Indexes of Craft Specialization at Tell Leilan, Syria. American Antiquity [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 1993;58(1):60–80. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/281454

150.

Burri E. Production and use: temper as a marker of domestic production in the case of two middle Neolithic villages in Concise (VD, CH). Archaeometric and archaeological approaches to ceramics: papers presented at EMAC '05, 8th European meeting on ancient ceramics, Lyon 2005. Oxford: Archaeopress; 2007. p. 33–39.

151.

Castanzo RA. Ceramics on the Side: Pottery Making as an Augmentation of Household Economy in the Valley of Puebla during the Formative Period. Archeological Papers of the American Anthropological Association. 2009;19(1):133–147.

152.

Cathy Lynne Costin. The Use of Ethnoarchaeology for the Archaeological Study of Ceramic Production. Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory [Internet]. Springer; 2000;7(4):377–403. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/20177427

153.

Freestone I. Glass production in Late Antiquity and the Early Islamic period: a geochemical perspective. Geomaterials in cultural heritage. London: The Geological Society; 2006. p. 201–216.

154.

Freestone I. Pliny on Roman glassmaking. Archaeology, history and science: integrating approaches to ancient materials. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press; 2008. p. 77–100.

155.

Freestone I, Price J, Cartwright C. The batch: its recognition and significance. Annales du 17e Congrès de l'Association Internationale pour l'Histoire du Verre, Anvers, 2006 =: Annales of the 17th Congress of the International Association for the History of Glass, 2006, Antwerp. Antwerp: University Press Antwerp; 2009. p. 130–135.

156.

Freestone, Ian, Gaimster, David R. M. Pottery in the making: world ceramic traditions. London: British Museum Press; 1997.

157.

Haines HR, Feinman GM, Nicholas LM. Household eceonomic specialization and social differentiation: the stone-tool assemblage at El Pamillo, Oaxaca. Ancient Mesoamerica. 2004;15(02):251–266.

158.

Henderson J, McLoughlin SD, McPhail DS. Radical changes in Islamic glass technology: evidence for conservatism and experimentation with new glass recipes from early and middle Islamic Raqqa, Syria*. Archaeometry. 2004;46(3):439–468.

159.

Henderson et al. J. Experiment and innovation: early Islamic industry at al-Raqqa, Syria. Antiquity [Internet]. 2005;79:130–145. Available from: http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=asu&AN=505112931&site=ehost-live&scope=site

160.

Henderson et al. J. Experiment and innovation: early Islamic industry at al-Raqqa, Syria. Antiquity [Internet]. 2005;79:130–145. Available from: http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=asu&AN=505112931&site=ehost-live&scope=site

161.

Hodder I. Changing entanglement and temporalities. Changing materialities at Çatalhöyük: reports from the 1995-99 seasons. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research; 2005. p. 1–22.

162.

Humphris J, Martinón-Torres M, Rehren T, Reid A. Variability in single smelting episodes – a pilot study using iron slag from Uganda. Journal of Archaeological Science. 2009;36(2):359–369.

163.

Peacock, D. P. S., University of Southampton. Rome in the desert: a symbol of power. Southampton: University of Southampton; 1992.

164.

Poblome J, Degryse P, Viaene W, Ottenburgs R, Waelkens M, Degeest R, Naud J. The Concept of a Pottery Production Centre. An Archaeometrical Contribution from Ancient Sagalassos. Journal of Archaeological Science. 2002;29(8):873–882.

165.

Rehren Th, Pusch E, Herold A. Glass coloring works within a copper-centered industrial complex in Late Bronze Age Egypt. The prehistory & history of glassmaking technology. Westerville, OH: American Ceramic Society; 1998. p. 227–250.

166.

Rehren Th, Pusch E, Herold A. Qantir-Piramesses and the organisation of the Egyptian glass industry. The social context of technological change: Egypt and the Near East, 1650-1550 BC : proceedings of a conference held at St Edmund Hall, Oxford, 12-14 September 2000. Oxford: Oxbow; 2001.

167.

Rehren Th, Martinón-Torres M. Naturam ars imitata: European brassmaking between craft and science. Archaeology, history and science: integrating approaches to ancient materials. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press; 2008. p. 167–188.

168.

Prudence M. Rice, William Y. Adams, Joseph W. Ball, Whitney M. Davis, Timothy Earle, Robert E. Fry, Ian Hodder, L. R. V. Joesink-Mandeville, Charles C. Kolb, Masae Nishimura, Yasushi Kojo, Miguel Rivera Dorado, Barbara L. Stark and Sander E. Van Der Leeuw. Evolution of Specialized Pottery Production: A Trial Model [and Comments and Reply]. Current Anthropology [Internet]. The University of Chicago Press; 1981;22(3):219–240. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2742199

169.

Prudence M. Rice. Recent Ceramic Analysis: 2. Composition, Production, and Theory.

Journal of Archaeological Research [Internet]. Springer; 1996;4(3):165–202. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/41053131

170.

Prudence M. Rice. Recent Ceramic Analysis: 2. Composition, Production, and Theory. Journal of Archaeological Research [Internet]. Springer; 1996;4(3):165–202. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/41053131

171.

Prudence M. Rice. Late Classic Maya Pottery Production: Review and Synthesis. Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory [Internet]. Springer; 2009;16(2):117–156. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/25653117

172.

Valentine Roux. Ceramic Standardization and Intensity of Production: Quantifying Degrees of Specialization. American Antiquity [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 2003;68(4):768–782. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/3557072

173.

Shennan S. Cost, benefit and value in the organization of early European copper production. Antiquity [Internet]. Antiquity Publications, Ltd.; 1999;73(280):352–363. Available from: http://search.proquest.com/docview/217558342/140C04B59B9217AF9C4/9?accountid=14511

174.

Shortland AJ. The Number, Extent and Distribution of the Vitreous Materials Workshops at Amarna. Oxford Journal of Archaeology. 2000;19(2):115–134.

175.

Shortland AJ, Nicholson P, Jackson C. Glass and faience at Amarna: different methods of both supply for production and subsequent distribution. The social context of technological change: Egypt and the Near East, 1650-1550 BC : proceedings of a conference held at St Edmund Hall, Oxford, 12-14 September 2000. Oxford: Oxbow; 2001. p. 147–160.

176.

Beck ME. Midden Ceramic Assemblage Formation: A Case Study from Kalinga, Philippines. American Antiquity [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 2006;71(1):27–51. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/40035320

177.

Hardy-Smith T, Edwards PC. The Garbage Crisis in prehistory: artefact discard patterns at the Early Natufian site of Wadi Hammeh 27 and the origins of household refuse disposal strategies. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology. 2004;23(3):253–289.

178.

Michael B. Schiffer. Formation processes of the archaeological record Chapter 1: The nature of archaeological evidence and Chapter 2: The dimensions of artifact variability. Formation processes of the archaeological record [Internet]. Albuquerque, N.M.: University of New Mexico Press; 1987. Available from: https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=2db1cce5-cf64-e811-80cd-005056af4099

179.

Needham, Stuart, Spence, Tony, Serjeantson, D., Sørensen, Marie Louise Stig, Ambers, J., Crummy, Stephen, British Museum. Refuse and disposal at Area 16 East, Runnymede. London: Trustees of the British Museum; 1996.

180.

Lewis R. Binford. Behavioral Archaeology and the 'Pompeii Premise'. Journal of Anthropological Research [Internet]. University of New Mexico; 1981;37(3):195–208. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/3629723?&Search=yes&searchText=archaeology&searchText=Behavioral&list=hide&searchUri=%252Faction%252FdoBasicSearch%253FQuery%253DBehavioral%252Barchaeology%252Band%252Bthe%2526Search%253DSearch%2526wc%253Don%2526fc%253Doff%2526globalSearch%253D%2526sbbBox%253D%2526sbjBox%253D%2526sbpBox%253D&prevSearch=&item=17&ttl=9941&returnArticleService=showFullText

181.

Richard Bradley. The Destruction of Wealth in Later Prehistory. Man [Internet]. Royal Anthropological Institute of Great Britain and Ireland; 1982;17(1):108–122. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2802104

182.

Carmen J. Commodities, rubbish and treasure: valuing archaeological objects. Archaeological review from Cambridge [Internet]. Cambridge: University of Cambridge; 1990;9:195–207. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_47259.pdf

183.

Chapman, John. Fragmentation in archaeology: people, places, and broken objects in the prehistory of south-eastern Europe. London: Routledge; 2000.

184.

Chapman, John, Gaydarska, Bisserka. Parts and wholes: fragmentation in prehistoric context. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2007.

185.

Deal M. Household pottery disposal in the Maya highlands: An ethnoarchaeological interpretation. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology [Internet]. 1985;243–291. Available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/027841658590008X

186.

Deal M, Hagstrum MB. Ceramic reuse behavior among the Maya and Wanka: implications for archaeology. Expanding archaeology [Internet]. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 1995. p. 111–125. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG112_45626.pdf

187.

Hayden B, Cannon A. Where the garbage goes: Refuse disposal in the Maya Highlands. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology [Internet]. 1983;117–163. Available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0278416583900107

188.

Hill, J. D. Ritual and rubbish in the Iron Age of Wessex: a study on the formation of a specific archaeological record. Oxford: Tempus Reparatum; 1995.

189.

Hutson SR, Stanton TW. Cultural Logic and Practical Reason: the Structure of Discard in Ancient Maya Houselots. Cambridge Archaeological Journal. 2007;17(02).

190.

Charles H. LeeDecker. Discard Behavior on Domestic Historic Sites: Evaluation of Contexts for the Interpretation of Household Consumption Patterns. Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory [Internet]. Springer; 1994;1(4):345–375. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/20177317

191.

Link, Thomas. Taphonomische Forschungen (nicht nur) zum Neolithikum. 1., neue Ausg. Kerpen (Eifel): Welt und Erde Verlag; 2013.

192.

Martin L, Russell N. Trashing rubbish. Towards reflexive method in archaeology: the example at Çatalhöyük : by members of the Çatalhöyük team [Internet]. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research; 2000. p. 57–69. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_42230.pdf

193.

Moore, Henrietta L. Space, text, and gender: an anthropological study of the Marakwet of Kenya. New York: Guilford Press; 1996.

194.

Olivier L. The Hochdorf 'princely' grave and the question of the nature of archaeological funerary assemblages. Time and archaeology. London: Routledge; 1999. p. 109–138.

195.

Patrik L. Is there an archaeological record? Advances in archaeological method and theory [Internet]. New York: Academic Press; 1985;8:27–62. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/20170186

196.

Pounds, Norman John Greville. Hearth & home: a history of material culture. Bloomington, [Ind.]: Indiana University Press; 1989.

197.

Michael B. Schiffer. Archaeological Context and Systemic Context. American Antiquity [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 1972;37(2):156–165. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/278203

198.

Schiffer, Michael B. Formation processes of the archaeological record. Albuquerque, N.M.: University of New Mexico Press; 1987.

199.

Schofield, A. J., Theoretical Archaeology Group (England). Interpreting artefact scatters: contributions to ploughzone archaeology. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 1991.

200.

Michael J. Shott. Status and Role of Formation Theory in Contemporary Archaeological Practice. Journal of Archaeological Research [Internet]. Springer; 1998;6(4):299–329. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/41053161

201.

Sommer U. Dirt theory, or archaeological sites seen as rubbish heaps. Journal of theoretical archaeology. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 1990;1:47–60.

202.

Staski, Edward, Sutro, Livingston D. The ethnoarchaeology of refuse disposal. [Tempe, Ariz.]: Arizona State University; 1991.

203.

Thompson, M. Rubbish theory: the creation and destruction of value. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1979.

204.

Walker W. Ceremonial trash? Expanding archaeology [Internet]. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 1995. p. 67–79. Available from: http://www.academia.edu/2519493/Ceremonial_Trash

205.

Wilson DC. Identification and assessment of secondary refuse aggregates. Journal of archaeological method and theory [Internet]. New York, N.Y.: Plenum Pub. Corp; 1994;1(1):41–68. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/20177304

206.

Woodward A. Beads and beakers: Heirlooms and relics in the British Early Bronze Age. Antiquity [Internet]. Antiquity Publications, Ltd.; 2002;76(294):1040–1047. Available from: http://search.proquest.com/docview/217553487/140B1C511961F1AEDFE/1?accountid=14511

207.

Chris Gosden. What Do Objects Want? Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory [Internet]. Springer; 2005;12(3):193–211. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/20177516

208.

Jones A. Archaeometry and materiality: materials-based analysis in theory and practice.

Archaeometry. 2004;46(3):327–338.

209.

Renfrew C. Towards a theory of material engagement. Rethinking materiality: the engagement of mind with the material world [Internet]. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research; 2004. p. 23–31. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45081.pdf

210.

Buchli V. Material culture: current problems. A companion to social archaeology [Internet]. Malden, Mass: Blackwell; 2004. p. 179–194. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45076.pdf

211.

Chilton, Elizabeth S. Material meanings: critical approaches to the interpretation of material culture. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 1999.

212.

Cole, Michael. Cultural psychology: a once and future discipline. Cambridge, Mass: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press; 1996.

213.

DeAtley SP, Bishop RL. Toward an integrated interface for archaeology and archaeometry. The ceramic legacy of Anna O Shepard [Internet]. Niwot, Colo: University Press of Colorado; 1991. p. 358–381. Available from: https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=d6a7df24-c164-e811-80cd-005056af4099

214.

Dobres, Marcia-Anne. Technology and social agency: outlining a practice framework for archaeology. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers; 2000.

215.

Dobres MA, Hoffman CR. Social agency and the dynamics of prehistoric technology. Journal of archaeological method and theory [Internet]. New York: Plenum Press; 1994;1(3):211–258. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2028_47158.pdf

216.

Dobres, Marcia-Anne, Hoffman, Christopher R. The social dynamics of technology: practice, politics, and world views. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press; 1999.

217.

Foucault, Michel. Discipline and punish: the birth of the prison. 2nd Vintage Books ed. New York: Vintage Books; 1995.

218.

Alfred Gell. Technology and Magic. Anthropology Today [Internet]. Royal Anthropological Institute of Great Britain and Ireland; 1988;4(2):6–9. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/3033230

219.

Gell, Alfred. Art and agency: an anthropological theory. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1998.

220.

Olivier P. Gosselain. Technology and Style: Potters and Pottery Among Bafia of Cameroon. Man [Internet]. Royal Anthropological Institute of Great Britain and Ireland; 1992;27(3):559–586. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/2803929

221.

Hodder I. Neo-thingness. Explaining social change: studies in honour of Colin Renfrew [Internet]. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research; 2004. p. 45–52. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45075.pdf

222.

Hosler, Dorothy. The sounds and colors of power: the sacred metallurgical technology of ancient West Mexico. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press; 1994.

223.

Ingold T. Materials against materiality. Archaeological Dialogues. 2007;14(01).

224.

Jones, Andrew. Archaeological theory and scientific practice. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2001.

225.

Jones, Andrew. Memory and material culture. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2007.

226.

Lechtman H. Style in technology - some early thoughts. Material culture: styles, organization, and dynamics of technology [Internet]. St. Paul: West Pub. Co; 1977. p. 3–20. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_47265.pdf

227.

Lemonnier P. The study of material culture today: Toward an anthropology of technical systems. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology [Internet]. 1986; Available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/science/article/pii/0278416586900127

228.

Lemonnier, Pierre. Technological choices: transformation in material cultures since the Neolithic. London: Routledge; 1993.

229.

Lemonnier, Pierre. Elements for an anthropology of technology. Ann Arbor, Mich: Museum

of Anthropology, University of Michigan; 1992.

230.

Andrew Martin. Agents in Inter-Action: Bruno Latour and Agency. Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory [Internet]. Springer; 2005;12(4):283–311. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/20177522

231.

Mauss M. Body techniques. Sociology and psychology: essays [Internet]. London [etc.]: Routledge and Kegan Paul; 1979. p. 97–135. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG120_47365.pdf

232.

Miller D. Artefacts and the meaning of things. Companion encyclopedia of anthropology. [New ed.]. London: Routledge; 2002. p. 420–459.

233.

Morphy H. Art as a mode of action. Some problems with Gell's art and agency. Journal of material culture [Internet]. 2009;14(5):5–27. Available from: http://www.swetswise.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/FullTextProxy/swproxy?url=http%3A%2F%2Fmcu.sagepub.com%2Fcgi%2Freprint%2F14%2F1%2F5.pdf%3Fxdata%3D144082108120&ts=1380019139412&cs=1823710415&userName=8080038.ipdirect&emCondId=3344&articleID=39955852&yevoID=2440547&titleID=113641&remoteAddr=144.82.108.120&hostType=PRO

234.

Nanoglu S. Regional perspectives on the Neolithic anthropomorphic imagery of Northern Greece. Journal of Mediterranean archaeology [Internet]. Sheffield, England: Sheffield Academic Press; 2006;19(2):155–176. Available from: https://www-equinoxpub-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/journals/index.php/JMA/article/view/3188/2071

235.

Bryan Pfaffenberger. Fetishised Objects and Humanised Nature: Towards an Anthropology

of Technology. Man [Internet]. Royal Anthropological Institute of Great Britain and Ireland; 1988;23(2):236–252. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/2802804

236.

Bryan Pfaffenberger. Social Anthropology of Technology. Annual Review of Anthropology [Internet]. Annual Reviews; 1992;21:491–516. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/2155997

237.

Schiffer, Michael B., Miller, Andrea R. The material life of human beings: artifacts, behavior, and communication. London: Routledge; 1999.

238.

Schiffer, Michael B. Anthropological perspectives on technology. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press; 2001.

239.

Sigaut F. Technology. Companion encyclopedia of anthropology [Internet]. [New ed.]. London: Routledge; 2002. p. 420–459. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG192_51976

240.

Mauss, Marcel, Schlanger, Nathan. Techniques, technology and civilisation. New York: Durkheim Press/Berghahn Books; 2006.

241.

SILLAR B, TITE MS. THE CHALLENGE OF 'TECHNOLOGICAL CHOICES'FOR MATERIALS SCIENCE APPROACHES IN ARCHAEOLOGY. Archaeometry. 2000;42(1):2–20.

242.

Sillar B. The Social Agency of Things? Animism and Materiality in the Andes. Cambridge Archaeological Journal. 2009 Oct;19(03).

243.

Appadurai A. Introduction: commodities and the politics of value. The social life of things: commodities in cultural perspective. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1986. p. 3–63.

244.

Earle T. Exchange systems in prehistory. Trade and exchange: archaeological studies from history and prehistory. New York: Springer; 2010. p. 195–204.

245.

Renfrew C. Trade as action at a distance. Ancient civilization and trade [Internet]. 1st ed. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press; 1975. p. 3–59. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1007_49263.pdf

246.

Sahlins M. On the sociology of primitive exchange. Stone Age economics. London: Routledge; 1974.

247.

Hughes M. Tracing to source. Science and the past. London: British Museum Press; 1991.

248.

Wilson L, Pollard AM. The provenance hypothesis. Handbook of archaeological sciences. Chichester: John Wiley; 2001. p. 507–517.

249.

Tykot RH. Archaeological provenance studies. Physics methods in archaeometry.

Amsterdam: IOS Press; 2004. p. 407–432.

250.

Appadurai A. Introduction: commodities and the politics of value. The social life of things: commodities in cultural perspective [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1986. p. 3–63. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_47283.pdf

251.

Dillian, Carolyn D., White, Carolyn L. Trade and exchange: archaeological studies from history and prehistory. New York: Springer; 2010.

252.

Minc LD. Monitoring regional market systems in prehistory: Models, methods, and metrics. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology. 2006;25(1):82–116.

253.

Renfrew C. Trade as action at a distance. Ancient civilization and trade [Internet]. 1st ed. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press; 1975. p. 3–59. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL1007_49263.pdf

254.

Sahlins, Marshall David. Stone Age economics. London: Routledge; 2004.

255.

Crump, Thomas. The phenomenon of money. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul; 1981.

256.

George Dalton. Theoretical Issues in Economic Anthropology. Current Anthropology [Internet]. The University of Chicago Press; 1969;10(1):63–102. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2740685?&Search=yes&searchText=anthropology&searchText

=issues&searchText=Theoretical&searchText=economic&list=hide&searchUri=%252Faction%252FdoBasicSearch%253FQuery%253DTheoretical%252Bissues%252Bin%252Beconomic%252Banthropology%2526acc%253Don%2526wc%253Don%2526fc%253Doff&prevSearch=&item=6&ttl=42299&returnArticleService=showFullText

257.

John H. Dowling. The Goodfellows vs. the Dalton Gang: The Assumptions of Economic Anthropology. Journal of Anthropological Research [Internet]. University of New Mexico; 1979;35(3):292–308. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/3629904

258.

Earle T. Production and exchange in prehistory. Companion encyclopedia of archaeology. London: Routledge; 1999. p. 608–635.

259.

C. A. Gregory. Gifts to Men and Gifts to God: Gift Exchange and Capital Accumulation in Contemporary Papua. Man [Internet]. Royal Anthropological Institute of Great Britain and Ireland; 1980;15(4):626–652. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/2801537

260.

Gregory CA. Exchange and reciprocity. Companion encyclopedia of anthropology. [New ed.]. London: Routledge; 2002. p. 911–933.

261.

Mauss, Marcel. The gift: the form and reason for exchange in archaic societies. London: Routledge; 2002.

262.

Polanyi, Karl. Trade and market in the early empires: economies in history and theory. Glencoe, Ill: Free Press;

263.

Monica L. Smith. The Role of Ordinary Goods in Premodern Exchange. Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory [Internet]. Springer; 1999;6(2):109–135. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/20177399

264.

Weiner, Annette B. Inalienable possessions: the paradox of keeping-while-giving. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1992.

265.

Adams NK. Political affinities and economic fluctuations: the evidence from textiles. Ancient textiles: production, craft and society : proceedings of the First International Conference on Ancient Textiles, held at Lund, Sweden, and Copenhagen, Denmark, on March 19-23, 2003. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2007. p. 201–207.

266.

Bamforth DB, Woodman PC. Tool hoards and Neolithic use of the landscape in north-eastern Ireland. Oxford Journal of Archaeology. 2004;23(1):21–44.

267.

Barrett JC, Needham SP. Production, circulation and exchange: problems in the interpretation of Bronze Age bronzework. The Archaeology of context in the Neolithic and Bronze Age: recent trends [Internet]. Sheffield: Department of Archaeology and Prehistory, University of Sheffield; 1988. p. 127–140. Available from: https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=48de3e7e-1064-e811-80cd-005056af4099

268.

Bell C. Wheels within wheels? A view of Mycenaean trade from the Levantine emporia. Emporia: Aegeans in the central and eastern Mediterranean : proceedings of the 10th International Aegean Conference/10e Rencontre égéenne internationale, Athens, Italian School of Archaeology, 14-18 April 2004. Liège: Université de Liège, Histoire de l'art et archéologie de la Grèce antique; 2005. p. 363–370.

269.

Bradley, Richard, Edmonds, M. R. Interpreting the axe trade: production and exchange in Neolithic Britain. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1993.

270.

Clough, T. H. McK., Cummins, W. A. Stone axe studies: Volume 2: The petrology of prehistoric stone implements from the British Isles. London: Council for British Archaeology; 1988.

271.

Cooney, Gabriel, Mandal, Stephen, Byrnes, Emmet, O'Carroll, Finola. The Irish Stone Axe Project: Monograph I. Bray: Wordwell; 1998.

272.

Cochrane EE, Neff H. Investigating compositional diversity among Fijian ceramics with laser ablation-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS): implications for interaction studies on geologically similar islands. Journal of Archaeological Science. 2006;33(3):378–390.

273.

Crawford, Michael H. Coinage and money under the Roman Republic: Italy and the Mediterranean economy. London: Methuen; 1985.

274.

Creighton, John. Coins and power in late Iron Age Britain. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2000.

275.

Dietler M. Rituals of commensality and the politics of state formation in the 'Princely' societies of Early Iron Age Europe. Les princes de la protohistoire et l'émergence de l'Etat: actes de la table ronde internationale organisée par le Centre Jean Bérard et l'Ecole française de Rome, Naples, 27-29 octobre 1994 [Internet]. Naples: Centre J. Bérard; 1999. p. 135–152. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_59937.pdf

276.

Dietler, Michael. Archaeologies of colonialism: consumption, entanglement, and violence in ancient Mediterranean France. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2010.

277.

Edmonds, M. R. Stone tools and society: working stone in Neolithic and Bronze Age Britain. London: Routledge; 1995.

278.

Frankenstein S, Rowlands M. The Internal Structure and regional Context of Early Iron Age Society in South-Western Germany. Social transformations in archaeology: global and local perspectives. London: Routledge; 1998.

279.

Philip Grierson. Commerce in the Dark Ages: A Critique of the Evidence. Transactions of the Royal Historical Society [Internet]. Royal Historical Society; 1959;9:123–140. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/3678808

280.

Kenneth G. Hirth. Political Economy and Archaeology: Perspectives on Exchange and Production. Journal of Archaeological Research [Internet]. Springer; 1996;4(3):203–239. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/41053132

281.

Ian Hodder. Regression Analysis of Some Trade and Marketing Patterns. World Archaeology [Internet]. Taylor & Francis, Ltd.; 1974;6(2):172–189. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/124001

282.

Hodder, Ian, Orton, Clive. Spatial analysis in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1976.

283.

Christopher Howgego. The Supply and Use of Money in the Roman World 200 B.C. to A.D. 300. The Journal of Roman Studies [Internet]. Society for the Promotion of Roman Studies; 1992;82:1–31. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/301282

284.

Lo Cascio E. How did the Romans view their coinage and its function? Coin finds and coin use in the Roman world: the thirteenth Oxford Symposium on Coinage and Monetary History, 25-2731993 : a NATO advanced research workshop. Berlin: Gebr. Mann Verlag; 1996. p. 273–287.

285.

Minc LD. Monitoring regional market systems in prehistory: Models, methods, and metrics. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology. 2006;25(1):82–116.

286.

Needham S. Displacement and exchange in archaeological methodology. Trade and exchange in prehistoric Europe: proceedings of a conference held at the University of Bristol, April 1992 [Internet]. Oxford: published by Oxbow Books in association with the Prehistoric Society and the Société préhistorique française; 1993. p. 161–169. Available from: https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=d834d987-1564-e811-80cd-005056af4099

287.

Parkinson WA. Tribal boundaries: Stylistic variability and social boundary maintenance during the transition to the Copper Age on the Great Hungarian Plain. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology. 2006;25(1):33–58.

288.

Peacock, D. P. S., Williams, D. F. Amphorae and the Roman economy: an introductory

guide. London: Longman; 1986.

289.

Perlés C. Systems of exchange and organization of production in Neolithic Greece. Journal of Mediterranean archaeology [Internet]. Sheffield, England: Sheffield Academic Press; 1992;5(2):115–164. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_47267.pdf

290.

Stein GJ, Hollander D, Schwartz M. Reconstructing Mesopotamian Exchange Networks in the 4th Millennium BC : Geochemical and Archaeological Analyses of Bitumen Artifacts from Hacinebi Tepe, Turkey. Paléorient. 1999;25(1):67–82.

291.

Shennan S. Commodities, transactions and growth in the Central European Early Bronze Age. European journal of archaeology [Internet]. London: Sage Publications; 1993;1(2):59–72. Available from: http://metalib.ucl.ac.uk:9003/sfx_local?url_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx_enc=info:ofi/enc:UTF-8&rfr_id=info:sid/sfxit.com:opac_856&url_ctx_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:ctx&sfx.ignore_date_threshold=1&rft.object_id=963017837284&svc_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:sch_svc&

292.

Sherratt S. Eppur si muove: Pots, markets, and values in the second-millennium Mediterranean. The complex past of pottery: production, circulation and consumption of Mycenaean and Greek pottery (sixteenth to early fifth centuries BC) : proceedings of the ARCHON international conference, held in Amsterdam, 8-9 November 1996. Amsterdam: J.C. Gieben; 1999. p. 163–211.

293.

Sherratt AG, Sherratt ES. From luxuries to commodities: The nature of Mediterranean Bronze Age trading systems. Bronze age trade in the Mediterranean: papers presented at the Conference held at Rewley House, Oxford, in December 1989. Jonsered: Åstrom; 1991. p. 351–386.

294.

Sillar B. Reputable pots and disreputable potters: Individual and community choice in present-day pottery production and exchange in the Andes. Not so much a pot, more a way of life: current approaches to artefact analysis in archaeology. Oxford: Oxbow; 1997. p. 1–20.

295.

Van Der Leuw S. Some notes from the potter's point of view. The complex past of pottery: production, circulation and consumption of Mycenaean and Greek pottery (sixteenth to early fifth centuries BC) : proceedings of the ARCHON international conference, held in Amsterdam, 8-9 November 1996. Amsterdam: J.C. Gieben; 1999. p. 115–132.

296.

Woolf G. World-systems analysis and the Roman empire. Journal of Roman archaeology [Internet]. [Ann Arbor, Mich: Editorial Committee of the Journal of Roman Archaeology; 1999;3:44–58. Available from: http://berlinarchaeology.files.wordpress.com/2013/01/woolf-1990.pdf

297.

Flintsource.Net [Internet]. Available from: http://www.flintsource.net/

298.

IAOS World Obsidian Source Catalog [Internet]. Available from: http://www.obsidianlab.com/sourcecatalog/s_home.html

299.

FREUND KP. AN ASSESSMENT OF THE CURRENT APPLICATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS OF OBSIDIAN SOURCING STUDIES IN ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH. Archaeometry. 2013;55(5):779–793.

300.

Gratuze B. Obsidian Characterization by Laser Ablation ICP-MS and its Application to Prehistoric Trade in the Mediterranean and the Near East: Sources and Distribution of

Obsidian within the Aegean and Anatolia. Journal of Archaeological Science. 1999;26(8):869–881.

301.

Herz N. Sourcing lithic artefacts by instrumental analysis. Earth sciences and archaeology. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; 2001. p. 449–472.

302.

Huckell BB, Kilby JD, Boulanger MT, Glascock MD. Sentinel Butte: neutron activation analysis of White River Group chert from a primary source and artifacts from a Clovis cache in North Dakota, USA. Journal of Archaeological Science. 2011;38(5):965–976.

303.

Maniatis Y. Scientific techniques and methodologies for the provenance of white marble. Physics methods in archaeometry. Amsterdam: IOS Press; 2004. p. 179–202.

304.

Renfrew C, Dixon JE, Cann JR. Obsidian and early cultural contact in the Near East. Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society. London: Prehistoric Society; 1966 Dec;32:30–72.

305.

Pollard, A. M., Heron, Carl, Royal Society of Chemistry (Great Britain). Archaeological chemistry. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Royal Society of Chemistry; 2008.

306.

Michael E. Smith, Adrian L. Burke, Timothy S. Hare and Michael D. Glascock. Sources of Imported Obsidian at Postclassic Sites in the Yautepec Valley, Morelos: A Characterization Study Using XRF and INAA. Latin American Antiquity [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 2007;18(4):429–450. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/25478196

307.

Thorpe RS. The geological sources and transport of the bluestones of Stonehenge, Wiltshire, U.K. Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society. London: Prehistoric Society; 1991;103–157.

308.

Blomster JP. Olmec Pottery Production and Export in Ancient Mexico Determined Through Elemental Analysis. Science. 2005;307(5712):1068–1072.

309.

Day PM, Kiriatzi E, Tsolakidou A, Kilikoglou V. Group Therapy in Crete: A Comparison Between Analyses by NAA and Thin Section Petrography of Early Minoan Pottery. Journal of Archaeological Science. 1999;26(8):1025–1036.

310.

Freestone I. Ceramic Petrography. American journal of archaeology. Norwood, MA: Archaeological Institute of America; 1995;99:111–115.

311.

Morris EL, Woodward A. Ceramic petrology and prehistoric pottery in the UK. Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society. London: Prehistoric Society; 2003 Jan;69:279–304.

312.

Peacock DPS. Neolithic pottery production in Cornwall. Antiquity [Internet]. [Gloucester, Eng.]: Antiquity Publications [etc.]; 1969;43(170):145–149. Available from: http://search.proquest.com/docview/1293745764?accountid=14511

313.

Gale NH. A response to the paper of A. M. Pollard. From mine to microscope: advances in the study of ancient technology. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2009. p. 191–196.

314.

Gale NH, Stos-Gale Z. Lead isotope analyses applied to provenance studies. Modern analytical methods in art and archaeology. New York: Wiley; 2000. p. 503–584.

315.

GUERRA MF, CALLIGARO T, PEREA A. THE TREASURE OF GUARRAZAR: TRACING THE GOLD SUPPLIES IN THE VISIGOTHIC IBERIAN PENINSULA. Archaeometry. 2007;49(1):53–74.

316.

Krause, Rüdiger. Studien zur kupfer- und frühbronzezeitlichen Metallurgie zwischen Karpatenbecken und Ostsee. Rahden/Westf: Leidorf; 2003.

317.

Pernicka E. Archaeometallurgy: examples of the application of scientific methods to the provenance of archaeological metal objects. Physics methods in archaeometry. Amsterdam: IOS Press; 2004. p. 309–329.

318.

Pollard AM. What a long, strange Trip it's been: lead Isotopes and Archaeology. From mine to microscope: advances in the study of ancient technology. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2009. p. 181–189.

319.

Stos-Gale Z. Across the wine dark seas.... sailor tinkers and royal cargoes in the late Bronze Age Eastern Mediterranean. From mine to microscope: advances in the study of ancient technology. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2009. p. 163–180.

320.

Calligaro T, Dran JC, Poirot JP, Querré G, Salomon J, Zwaan JC. PIXE/PIGE characterisation of emeralds using an external micro-beam. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms. 2000;161–163:769–774.

321.

Calligaro T, Colinart S, Poirot JP, Sudres C. Combined external-beam PIXE and μ-Raman characterisation of garnets used in Merovingian jewellery. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms. 2002;189(1–4):320–327.

322.

Gratuze B, Janssens K. Provenance analysis of glass artefacts. Non-destructive microanalysis of cultural heritage materials. Amsterdam, London: Elsevier; 2004. p. 663–712.

323.

Popelka-Filcoff RS, Miksa EJ, Robertson JD, Glascock MD, Wallace H. Elemental analysis and characterization of ochre sources from Southern Arizona. Journal of Archaeological Science. 2008;35(3):752–762.

324.

Robertshaw P, Wood M, Melchiorre E, Popelka-Filcoff RS, Glascock MD. Southern African glass beads: chemistry, glass sources and patterns of trade. Journal of Archaeological Science. 2010;37(8):1898–1912.

325.

Stein GJ, Hollander D, Schwartz M. Reconstructing Mesopotamian Exchange Networks in the 4th Millennium BC : Geochemical and Archaeological Analyses of Bitumen Artifacts from Hacinebi Tepe, Turkey. Paléorient. 1999;25(1):67–82.

326.

Weigand PC. Turquoise sources and source analysis: Mesoamerica and the southwestern USA. Exchange systems in prehistory. New York: Academic Press; 1977. p. 15–34.

327.

Eerkens JW, Lipo CP. Cultural transmission, copying errors, and the generation of variation in material culture and the archaeological record. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology. 2005;24(4):316–334.

328.

Brian Hayden. Practical and Prestige Technologies: The Evolution of Material Systems. Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory [Internet]. Springer; 1998;5(1):1–55. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/20177377

329.

David Wengrow. The Evolution of Simplicity: Aesthetic Labour and Social Change in the Neolithic Near East. World Archaeology [Internet]. Taylor & Francis, Ltd.; 2001;33(2):168–188. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/827897

330.

Bailey GN. Concepts, time-scales and explanations in economic prehistory. Economic archaeology: towards an integration of ecological and social approaches [Internet]. Oxford: B.A.R.; 1981. p. 97–117. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45090.pdf

331.

Barnett, William, Hoopes, John W. The emergence of pottery: technology and innovation in ancient societies. Washington [D.C.]: Smithsonian Institution Press; 1995.

332.

Basalla, George. The evolution of technology. Cambridge University Press; 1988.

333.

Bayley J. Innovation in later medieval urban metalworking. Historical metallurgy: journal of the Historical Metallurgy Society [Internet]. Sheffield: Historical Metallurgy Society; 1996;30:67–71. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG107_45091.pdf

334.

Blackman MJ, Stein GJ, Vandiver PB. The Standardization Hypothesis and Ceramic Mass Production: Technological, Compositional, and Metric Indexes of Craft Specialization at Tell Leilan, Syria. American Antiquity [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 1993;58(1):60–80. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/281454

335.

Charlton MF, Crew P, Rehren T, Shennan SJ. Explaining the evolution of ironmaking recipes – An example from northwest Wales. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology. 2010;29(3):352–367.

336.

Costin C. The impact of the Inca conquest on local technology in the Upper Mantaro Valley, Peru. What's new?: a closer look at the process of innovation. London: Unwin Hyman; 1989. p. 107–139.

337.

Crossley D. The English glassmaker and his search for materials in the 16th and 17th centuries. The prehistory & history of glassmaking technology [Internet]. Westerville, OH: American Ceramic Society; 1998. Available from: https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=5e208207-d864-e811-80cd-005056af4099

338.

Fitzhugh B. Risk and Invention in Human Technological Evolution. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology. 2001;20(2):125–167.

339.

Brian Hayden. Practical and Prestige Technologies: The Evolution of Material Systems. Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory [Internet]. Springer; 1998;5(1):1–55. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/20177377

340.

Henderson et al. J. Experiment and innovation: early Islamic industry at al-Raqqa, Syria. Antiquity [Internet]. [Gloucester, Eng.]: Antiquity Publications [etc.]; 2005;79:130–145. Available from: http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=asu&AN=505112931&site=ehost-live&scope=site

341.

Humphris J, Martinón-Torres M, Rehren T, Reid A. Variability in single smelting episodes – a pilot study using iron slag from Uganda. Journal of Archaeological Science. 2009;36(2):359–369.

342.

Knecht H. The role of innovation in changing early upper paleolithic organic projectile technologies - ResearchGate. Techniques et Culture [Internet]. 1991;115–144. Available from: http://www.researchgate.net/publication/30453447_The_role_of_innovation_in_changing_early_upper_paleolithic_organic_projectile_technologies

343.

Lechtman H. Andean value systems and the development of prehistoric metallurgy. Technology and culture [Internet]. Baltimore; MD: John Hopkins University Press; 1984;25:1–36. Available from: http://muse.jhu.edu/journals/tech/

344.

Lesick, Kurtis, University of Calgary. Eureka: the archaeology of innovation & science : proceedings of the twenty-ninth annual conference of the Archaeological Association of the University of Calgary. Calgary: Archaeological Association of the University of Calgary; 2002.

345.

Loney HL. Society and Technological Control: A Critical Review of Models of Technological Change in Ceramic Studies. American Antiquity [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 2000;65(4):646–668. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2694420

346.

Martinón-Torres M, Li XJ, Bevan A, Xia Y, Kun Z, Rehren T. Making Weapons for the Terracotta Army. Archaeology International. 2011;13.

347.

Martinon-Torres M, Rehren T. Post-medieval crucible productionand distribution: a study of materials and materialities. Archaeometry [Internet]. 2009;51(1):49–74. Available from: http://www.ucl.ac.uk/archaeology/people/staff/rehren/usercontent_profile/MMTRehrenMaterialityCruciblesAmetry51.pdf

348.

Mellars P. Technological changes across the Middle-upper Palaeolithic transition: economic, social and cognitive perspectives. The Human revolution: behavioural and biological perspectives on the origins of modern humans [Internet]. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press; 1989. p. 338–365. Available from: https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=697b38f3-ba64-e811-80cd-005056af4099

349.

Moorey PR. The mobility of artisans and opportunities for technology transfer between Western Asia and Egypt in the Late Bronze Age. The social context of technological change: Egypt and the Near East, 1650-1550 BC : proceedings of a conference held at St Edmund Hall, Oxford, 12-14 September 2000. Oxford: Oxbow; 2001. p. 1–14.

350.

Margaret C. Nelson. The Study of Technological Organization. Archaeological Method and Theory [Internet]. Springer; 1991;3:57–100. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/20170213

351.

Michael J. O'Brien, Thomas D. Holland, Robert J. Hoard and Gregory L. Fox. Evolutionary Implications of Design and Performance Characteristics of Prehistoric Pottery. Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory [Internet]. Springer; 1994;1(3):259–304. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/20177313

352.

Raymond, Robert. Out of the fiery furnace: the impact of metals on the history of mankind. University Park: Pennsylvania State UP; 1986.

353.

Rehder JE. Blowpipes versus bellows in ancient metallurgy. Journal of Field Archaeology [Internet]. 1994;21(3). Available from: http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/maney/jfa/1994/00000021/00000003/art00005

354.

Rehren T, Martinon-Torres M. Naturam ars imitata: European brassmaking between craft and science. Archaeology, history and science: integrating approaches to ancient materials [Internet]. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press; 2008. p. 167–188. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG108_46356.pdf

355.

Rehren T, Pusch E, Herold A. Glass coloring works within a copper-centered industrial complex in Late Bronze Age Egypt. The prehistory & history of glassmaking technology [Internet]. Westerville, OH: American Ceramic Society; 1998. p. 227–250. Available from: http://www.academia.edu/1082340/Glass_coloring_works_within_a_copper-centered_industrial_complex_in_Late_Bronze_Age_Egypt

356.

Roux V. Ceramic Standardization and Intensity of Production: Quantifying Degrees of Specialization. American Antiquity [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 2003;68(4):768–782. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/3557072

357.

Schiffer MB. The explanation of long-term technological change. Anthropological perspectives on technology. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press; 2001. p. 215–235.

358.

Schiffer, Michael B. Studying technological change: a behavioral approach. Salt Lake City:

University of Utah Press; 2011.

359.

S. J. Shennan and J. R. Wilkinson. Ceramic Style Change and Neutral Evolution: A Case Study from Neolithic Europe. American Antiquity [Internet]. Society for American Archaeology; 2001;66(4):577–593. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2694174

360.

Shennan, Stephen. Genes, memes and human history: Darwinian archaeology and cultural evolution. London: Thames & Hudson; 2002.

361.

Shortland AJ. Hopeful monsters? Invention and innovation in the archaeological record. Invention and innovation: the social context of technological change, 2: Egypt, the Aegean and the Near East, 1650-1150 BC [Internet]. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2004. p. 1–11. Available from: https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=466f3fbb-b764-e811-80cd-005056af4099

362.

Torrence, Robin, Leeuw, Sander Ernst van der. What's new?: a closer look at the process of innovation. London: Unwin Hyman; 1989.

363.

Van der Leeuw SE, Papousek DA, Coudart A. Technical traditions and unquestioned assumptions : the case of pottery in Michoacan. Techniques & culture. 1992;(17–18).

364.

STRAND B - RESEARCH DESIGN AND MATERIALS ANALYSIS.

365.

Barker, Philip. Techniques of archaeological excavation. 3rd ed. London: Batsford; 1993.

366.

Chadwick A. ARCHAEOLOGY AT THE EDGE OF CHAOS: FURTHER TOWARDS REFLEXIVE EXCAVATION METHODOLOGIES . Assemblage . 3.

367.

Lucas, Gavin. Splitting objects. Critical approaches to fieldwork: contemporary and historical archaeological practice. London: Routledge; 2001. p. 64–106.

368.

Drewett P. POST-FIELDWORK PLANNING, PROCESSING AND FINDS ANALYSIS. Field archaeology: an introduction. 2nd ed. London: Routledge; 2011. p. 136–150.

369.

Westman, Andrew, Museum of London. Archaeological site manual. 3rd ed. London: Museum of London; 1994.

370.

Binford L. A Consideration of Archaeological Research Design. American Antiquity. 1964;29(4):425–441.

371.

Flannery KV. The Golden Marshalltown: A Parable for the Archeology of the 1980s. American Anthropologist. 1982;84(2):265–278.

372.

STANDARD AND GUIDANCE for the collection, documentation, conservation and research of archaeological materials.

373.

English Heritage Commentary on the National Planning Policy Framework [Internet]. Available from: http://www.english-heritage.org.uk/content/imported-docs/a-e/eh-commentary-nppf.pdf

374.

Andrews, Gill, English Heritage. Management of archaeological projects. London: English Heritage; 1991.

375.

National Planning Policy Framework - Planning, building and the environment - Department for Communities and Local Government [Internet]. Available from: http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20120919132719/http://www.communities.gov.uk/publications/planningandbuilding/nppf

376.

Ewen, Charles Robin. Artifacts. Walnut Creek, CA: AltaMira Press; 2003.

377.

Flatman J, Perring D. The National Planning Policy Framework and Archaeology: A Discussion. Papers from the Institute of Archaeology. 2013;22.

378.

Greene K. The Roman Fortress at Usk, Wales, and the Processing of Roman Pottery for Publication. Journal of Field Archaeology . 1984;11(4):405–412.

379.

Hinton P. The National Planning Policy Framework and Archaeology: A Response – How did the Profession come to this? Papers from the Institute of Archaeology. 2013;22.

380.

Harris, Edward C. Principles of archaeological stratigraphy. 2nd ed. London: Academic; 1989.

381.

Haselgrove, Colin, Iron Age Research Seminar, Council of the Prehistoric Society, Wessex Archaeology (Firm), English Heritage, Historic Scotland. Understanding the British Iron Age: an agenda for action : a report for the Iron Age Research Seminar and the Council of the Prehistoric Society. Salisbury: Trust for Wessex Archaeology; 2001.

382.

Nixon, Taryn, Museum of London. A research framework for London archaeology 2002. London: Museum of London; 2002.

383.

Society of Museum Archaeologists. Selection, retention and dispersal of archaeological collections: guidelines for use in England, Wales and Northern Ireland. 1st ed. London: Society of Museum Archaeologists; 1993.

384.

Westman A. Archaeological Site Manual (3rd edition) [Internet]. 3rd edition. London: Museum of London; Available from: http://www.museumoflondonarchaeology.org.uk/NR/rdonlyres/056B4AFD-AB5F-45AF-9097-5A53FFDC1F94/0/MoLASManual94.pdf

385.

Orton C, Tyers P, Vince AG. Life in the pot shed. Pottery in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1993. p. 44–66.

386.

Nenk, Beverley, Pearce, Jacqueline, Whittingham, Lucy, Slowikowski, Anna, Medieval Pottery Research Group. Minimum standards for the processing, recording, analysis and publication of post-Roman ceramics. London: Medieval Pottery Research Group; 2001.

387.

Arcelin, Patrice, Tuffreau-Libre, Marie, Centre archéologique européen du Mont Beuvray. La quantification des céramiques: conditions et protocole : actes de la table ronde du Centre archéologique européen du Mont-Beuvray, (Glux-en-Glenne, 7-9 avril 1998). Glux-en-Glenne: Centre archéologique européen du Mont Beuvray; 1998.

388.

Documentation: Recording Systems for Pottery. Journal of Field Archaeology. 1982;9(2):237–251.

389.

Shopland, Norena. Archaeological finds: a guide to identification. Stroud: Tempus; 2005.

390.

Mepham L. (Book Review) Archaeological Finds: a guide to identification by Norena Shopland Stroud, Tempus. 2005. 248 pages, many figs. ISBN 0-7524-3132-3 (£17.99) A Finds Manual: excavating, processing and storing by Norena Shopland Stroud, Tempus. 2006. 158 pages, many figs. ISBN 0-7524-3588-4 (£15.99). Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society [Internet]. London: Prehistoric Society; 2006; Available from: http://www.prehistoricsociety.org/files/reviews/06_11_shopland.htm

391.

Blackmore, Lyn, Pearce, Jacqueline. A dated type series of London medieval pottery: Part 5: Shelly-sandy ware and the greyware industries. [London]: Museum of London Archaeology; 2010.

392.

Brown, Duncan H., Council for British Archaeology. Pottery in medieval Southampton, c.1066-1510. York: Council for British Archaeology; 2002.

393.

Draper, Jo. Post-medieval pottery, 1650-1800. Princes Risborough: Shire Publications; 1984.

394.

Gaimster, David R. M. German stoneware 1200-1900: archaeology and cultural history ; containing a guide to the collections of the British Museum, Victoria & Albert Museum and Museum of London. London: British Museum Press; 1997.

395.

Haslam, Jeremy. Medieval pottery in Britain. 2nd ed. Aylesbury, Bucks: Shire Publications; 1984.

396.

Hurst, John G., Neal, David S., Beuningen, H. J. E. Pottery produced and traded in north-west Europe 1350-1650. Rotterdam: Foundation 'Dutch Domestic Utensils', Museum Boymans-van Beuningen; 1986.

397.

Medieval Pottery Research Group, Medieval Pottery Research Group. A guide to the classification of medieval ceramic forms. S.l: Medieval Pottery Research Group; 1998.

398.

Oswald, Adrian. Clay pipes for the archaeologist [Internet]. Oxford: British Archaeological Reports; 1975. Available from: http://copac.jisc.ac.uk/id/34669273?style=html&title=Clay%20pipes%20for%20the%20archaeologist

399.

Gill D, Chippindale C. The Illicit Antiquities Scandal: What It Has Done to Classical Archaeology Collections. American Journal of Archaeology. 2007;111(3):571–574.

400.

Sparks RT. FLINDERS PETRIE THROUGH WORD AND DEED: RE-EVALUATING PETRIE'S FIELD TECHNIQUES AND THEIR IMPACT ON OBJECT RECOVERY IN BRITISH MANDATE PALESTINE.

Palestine Exploration Quarterly. 2013;145(2):143–159.

401.

PALESTINIAN ANTIQUITIES LOOTERS, THEIR SKILL DEVELOPMENT, METHODOLOGY AND SPECIALISED TERMINOLOGY: AN ETHNOGRAPHIC STUDY. Palestine Exploration Quarterly. 2012;144(2).

402.

Barringer, T. J., Flynn, Tom. Colonialism and the object: empire, material culture and the museum. London: Routledge; 1998.

403.

Colwell-Chanthaphonh, Chip, Vitelli, Karen D. Archaeological ethics. 2nd ed. Lanham, MD: Altamira; 2006.

404.

Drower, Margaret S. Flinders Petrie: a life in archaeology. 2nd ed. Madison, Wis: University of Wisconsin Press; 1995.

405.

Drower, Margaret S. Letters from the desert: the correspondence of Flinders and Hilda Petrie. Oxford: Aris and Phillips; 2004.

406.

Eberhardt G. Methodological reflections on the history of excavations techniques. Archives, ancestors, practices: archaeology in the light of its history. New York: Berghahn Books; 2008. p. 89–96.

407.

Evans JD. The first half century - and after. Bulletin of the Institute of Archaeology. London: Institute of Archaeology, University of London; 1987;24.

408.

ICOM. Code of Ethics - ICOM [Internet]. 2013. Available from: http://icom.museum/the-vision/code-of-ethics

409.

McIntosh et al. S. The good collector". Fabulous beast or endangered species? Public archaeology. London: James and James; 2000;1(1):73–81.

410.

Fakes, Forgeries and Biblical Scholarship. Near Eastern Archaeology [Internet]. 2005;68(1):61–77. Available from: http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=asu&AN=505113883&site=ehost-live

411.

Sparks R. A history of the Petrie Palestinian Collection. A future for the past: Petrie's Palestinian collection : an exhibition held in the Brunei Gallery, 2007. London: Institute of Archaeology, University College London; 2007. p. 13–24.

412.

Sparks R. Flinders Petrie and the archaeology of Palestine. A future for the past: Petrie's Palestinian collection : an exhibition held in the Brunei Gallery, 2007. London: Institute of Archaeology, University College London; 2007;1–12.

413.

Sparks R. Near Eastern Encounters: The Collections and Archives of the Institute of Archaeology, UCL. In: Akar M, Maloigne H, editors. The forgotten kingdom : archaeology and photography at ancient Alalakh. 1. baskı = 1st edition. Istanbul: Koç Üniversitesi Yayınları; 2014. p. 66–83.

414.

Stone, Peter G., Molyneaux, Brian, World Archaeological Congress. The presented past: heritage, museums and education. London: Routledge; 1994.

415.

Thompson M, Renfrew C. The catalogues of the Pitt-Rivers Museum, Farnham, Dorset. Antiquity. 1999;73(280):377–393.

416.

Tite M. Archaeological collections: invasive sampling versus object integrity . Papers from the Institute of Archaeology, University College London. London: Institute of Archaeology; 2002;(13).

417.

Ucko PJ. The Biography of a Collection: The Sir Flinders Petrie Palestinian Collection and the Role of University Museums. Museum Management and Curatorship. 1998 Jan;17(4):351–399.

418.

Bland R. The treasure act and the proposals for the voluntary recording of all archaeological finds. Museum archaeologist [Internet]. Colcester: Society of Museum Archaeologists; 1996;23:3–19. Available from: https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=81e8e1a0-fa72-e811-80cd-005056af4099

419.

Bland R. A pragmatic approach to the problem of portable antiquities: the experience of England and Wales. Antiquity. 2005;79(304):440–447.

420.

Gaimster D. Measures against the illicit trade in cultural objects: the emerging strategy in Britain . Antiquity. 2004;78(301):699–707.

421.

Dobinson C, Denison S. Metal detecting and archaeology in England: Contents [Internet]. 1995. Available from: http://old.britarch.ac.uk/detecting/cont.html

422.

Gregory T, Rogerson A. Metal-detecting in archaeological excavation . Antiquity. 1985;58(224):179–184.

423.

Hobbs R. Finding our past: the Portable Antiquities Scheme in England and Wales. Museum archaeologist. Colcester: Society of Museum Archaeologists; 1999;25.

424.

Sussams K. Half a million hours: a 20 year archaeological survey of Norfolk. Museum archaeologist. Colcester: Society of Museum Archaeologists; 1998;25:39–44.

425.

Worrell, Sally, Portable Antiquities Scheme Conference. A decade of discovery: proceedings of the Portable Antiquities Scheme Conference 2007. Oxford: Archaeopress; 2010.

426.

Cataloguing factsheet: SPECTRUM 4.0 [Internet]. 2011. Available from: http://www.collectionstrust.org.uk/collections-link/collections-management/spectrum/item/678-cataloguing-spectrum-4-0

427.

Museums Australia (Victoria). Small Museums Cataloguing Manual - Museums Australia [Internet]. 2009. Available from: http://www.mavic.asn.au/services/small-museums-cataloguing-manual

428.

SPECTRUM 4.0 [Internet]. 2011. Available from: http://www.collectionstrust.org.uk/collections-link/collections-management/spectrum/the-spectrum-standard

429.

Holm, Stuart A., Museum Documentation Association (Great Britain). Cataloguing made easy: how to catalogue your collections. 2nd ed. Cambridge: MDA; 2002.

430.

Lejeune B. The Effects of Online Catalogues in London and other Museums: A Study of an Alternative Way of Access. Papers from the Institute of Archaeology. 2007;18(S1):79–97.

431.

Shopland, Norena. Archaeological finds: a guide to identification. Stroud: Tempus; 2005.

432.

Thornes et al. R. Introduction to Object ID: Guidelines for Making Records that Describe Art, Antiques and Antiquities. 1999.

433.

Will L. Time taken to create catalogue records for museum objects and archives. Willpower Information; 2010.

434.

Collections Link. Terminology Control.

435.

De Vorsey KL, Elson C, Gregorev NP, Hansen J. The Development of a Local Thesaurus to Improve Access to the Anthropological Collections of the American Museum of Natural

History. D-Lib Magazine. 2006 Apr;12(4).

436.

Cowton, Jeff, Grant, Alice, Museum Documentation Association (Great Britain). SPECTRUM: the UK museum documentation standard. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Museum Documentation Association; 1997.

437.

The SPECTRUM Standard [Internet]. Available from: http://www.collectionslink.org.uk/spectrum-standard?phpMyAdmin=OYNyINPdn3sQmoXugKH1gcCLSW0

438.

Cowgill G. Artifact classification and archaeological processes. Mathematics and information science in archaeology: a flexible framework. Bonn: Holos; 1990. p. 61–78.

439.

Hey, Gill, Lacey, Mark, Kent (England), Oxford Archaeological Unit. Evaluation of archaeological decision-making processes and sampling strategies. [Kent]: Kent County Council; 2001.

440.

Holm, Stuart A., Museum Documentation Association (Great Britain). Cataloguing made easy: how to catalogue your collections. 2nd ed. Cambridge: MDA; 2002.

441.

Nenk, Beverley, Pearce, Jacqueline, Whittingham, Lucy, Slowikowski, Anna, Medieval Pottery Research Group. Minimum standards for the processing, recording, analysis and publication of post-Roman ceramics. London: Medieval Pottery Research Group; 2001.

442.

Prehistoric Ceramics Research Group. The study of later prehistoric pottery: general

policies and guidelines for analysis and publication. Oxford: Prehistoric Ceramics Research Group; 1995.

443.

The British Museum Object Names Thesaurus [Internet]. 1999. Available from: http://www.collectionslink.org.uk/assets/thesaurus_bmon/Objintro.htm?phpMyAdmin=OYNyINPdn3sQmoXugKH1gcCLSW0

444.

Professional Practice [Internet]. Available from: http://www.collectionslink.org.uk/discover

445.

Szrajber, Tanya, British Museum. British Museum materials thesaurus. Cambridge: Museum Documentation Association in association with the British Museum; 1997.

446.

Crabtree, Don E., Muto, Guy, Keeler, Mary, Lovgren, Christine, Swanson, Earl Herbert, Idaho State University. An introduction to flintworking. Pocatello: Idaho State University Museum; 1972.

447.

Perles C. In search of lithic strategies: a cognitive approach to prehistoric chipped stone assemblages. Representations in archaeology. Bloomington: Indiana University Press; 1992.

448.

Schick, Kathy Diane, Toth, Nicholas Patrick. Making silent stones speak: human evolution and the dawn of technology. London: Phoenix; 1995.

449.

Waldorf, D. C., Waldorf, Valerie. The art of flint knapping. 5th ed. Branson, Mo: Mound Builder Books; 2006.

450.

Whittaker, John C. Flintknapping: making and understanding stone tools. Austin: University of Texas Press; 1994.

451.

Griffiths, N., Jenner, M. A., Wilson, Christine, University College, London. Drawing archaeological finds: a handbook. Archetype; 1990.

452.

Brézillon, Michel N., Centre national de la recherche scientifique (France). La dénomination des objets de pierre taillée: matériaux pour un vocabulaire des préhistoriens de langue française. Paris: Éditions du Centre national de la Recherche scientifique; 1968.

453.

Inizan, Marie-Louise, Roche, Hélène, Reduron-Ballinger, Michèle, Tixier, Jacques. The technology of knapped stone: followed by a multilingual vocabulary arabic, english, french, german, greek, italian, russian, spanish. Meudon: CREP; 1992.

454.

Piel-Desruisseaux, Jean-Luc. Outils préhistoriques: forme, fabrication, utilisation. New York: Masson; 1986.

455.

Piel-Desruisseaux, Jean-Luc. L'outil de pierre préhistorique. New York: Masson; 1984.

456.

Adkins, Lesley, Adkins, Roy. Archaeological illustration. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1989.

457.

Dorrell, Peter G. Photography in archaeology and conservation. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1994.

458.

Steiner, Mélanie, Allason-Jones, Lindsay, Association of Archaeological Illustrators & Surveyors. Approaches to archaeological illustration: a handbook. York: Council for British Archaeology; 2005.

459.

Goddard, Sean, Prehistoric Ceramics Research Group, Association of Archaeological Illustrators & Surveyors. Aspects of illustration: prehistoric pottery. [s.l.]: Association of Archaeological Illustrators & Surveyors; 1997.

460.

Griffiths, N., Jenner, M. A., Wilson, Christine, University College, London. Drawing archaeological finds: a handbook. Archetype; 1990.

461.

Martingell, Hazel, Saville, Alan, Lithic Studies Society, Association of Archaeological Illustrators & Surveyors. The illustration of lithic artefacts. Association of Archaeological Illustrators & Surveyors; 1988.

462.

Addington, Lucile R. Lithic illustration: drawing flaked stone artifacts for publication. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1986.

463.

Martingell, Hazel, Saville, Alan, Lithic Studies Society, Association of Archaeological Illustrators & Surveyors. The illustration of lithic artefacts. Association of Archaeological Illustrators & Surveyors; 1988.

464.

Balfet, Hélène, Monzon, S., Fauvet-Berthelot, Marie-France, Musée de l'homme (Muséum national d'histoire naturelle). Pour la normalisation de la description des poteries. Paris: Editions du Centre national de la recherche scientifique; 1983.

465.

Vorschläge zur systematischen Beschreibung von Keramik: Suggestions for the systematic recording of pottery = Propositions pour une description systématique des céramiques. Köln: Rheinland-Verlag; 1986.

466.

Orton, Clive, Tyers, Paul, Vince, A. G. Pottery in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1993.

467.

Tomber, R., Dore, John, English Heritage, British Museum, National Roman Fabric Reference Collection, Museum of London. The National Roman Fabric Reference Collection: a handbook. London: Museum of London Archaeology Service; 1998.

468.

Fulford, M. G., Huddleston, K., English Heritage. The current state of Romano-British pottery studies: a review for English Heritage. London: English Heritage; 1991.

469.

Gibson, Alex M., Woods, A. J. Prehistoric pottery for the archaeologist. 2nd ed. London: Leicester University Press; 1997.

470.

Millett, Martin, University of London Archaeological Society, Pottery and the archaeologist. Pottery and the archaeologist. London: Institute of Archaeology; 1979.

471.

Orton C. An introduction into the quantification of assemblages of pottery. Journal of Roman pottery studies. Oxford: Study Group for Romano-British Pottery; 1989;2.

472.

Quinn, Patrick S. Interpreting silent artefacts: petrographic approaches to archaeological ceramics. Oxford: Archaeopress; 2009.

473.

Rye, Owen S. Pottery technology: principles and reconstruction. Washington, D.C.: Taraxacum; 1981.

474.

Tomber, R., Dore, John, English Heritage, British Museum, National Roman Fabric Reference Collection, Museum of London. The National Roman Fabric Reference Collection: a handbook. London: Museum of London Archaeology Service; 1998.

475.

Tyers, Paul. Roman pottery in Britain. London: Batsford; 1996.

476.

Webster, Peter, Dannell, G. B., Council for British Archaeology. Roman Samian pottery in Britain. York: Council for British Archaeology; 1996.

477.

Adkins, Lesley, Adkins, Roy. Archaeological illustration. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1989.

478.

Rice, Prudence M. Pottery analysis: a sourcebook. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1987.

479.

Ramsey G. Middle Bronze Age metalwork: are artefact studies dead and buried? Ireland in the Bronze Age: proceedings of the Dublin conference, April 1995. Dublin: The Stationery Office; 1995. p. 49–62.

480.

Lucas G. Splitting objects. Critical approaches to fieldwork: contemporary and historical archaeological practice [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2001. p. 64–106. Available from: https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203132258

481.

Philo, Chris, Butterworth, Paula, Bailey, Donald M., Cool, H. E. M., West Yorkshire Archaeology Service. Roman Castleford: excavations 1974-85, Volume 1: The small finds. Wakefield: West Yorkshire Archaeology Service; 1998.

482.

Crummy, Nina, Buckley, D. G., Colchester Archaeological Trust. The Roman small finds from excavations in Colchester 1971-9. Colchester: Colchester Archaeological Trust; 1983.

483.

Walton, Philippa Jane. Rethinking Roman Britain: coinage and archaeology. Wetteren: Moneta; 2012.

484.

Walton, Philippa Jane. Rethinking Roman Britain: coinage and archaeology. Wetteren: Moneta; 2012.

485.

Abdy, Richard Anthony. Romano-British coin hoards. Princes Risborough: Shire; 2002.

486.

Casey, P. J. Roman coinage in Britain. 2nd ed. Aylesbury, Bucks: Shire Publications; 1984.

487.

Casey, P. J., Reece, Richard. Coins and the archaeologist. 2nd ed. London: Seaby; 1988.

488.

McKay B. The coins. Roman Gates Caerleon: the 'Roman Gates' site in the fortress of the Second Augustan Legion at Caerleon, Gwent [Internet]. Oxford: Oxbow Books, in collaboration with Glamorgan-Gwent Archaeological Trust; 1992. p. 87–96. Available from: https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=8b9c835c-7736-e711-80c9-005056af4099

489.

Reece, Richard. Coinage in Roman Britain. London: Seaby; 1987.

490.

Reece, Richard. The coinage of Roman Britain. Stroud: Tempus; 2002.

491.

Reece R. The Roman coins. Excavations at Kingscote and Wycomb, Gloucestershire: a Roman estate centre and small town in the Cotswolds with notes on related settlements [Internet]. Cirencester: Cotswold Archaeological Trust; 1998. p. 400–421. Available from: https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=c9721d13-7836-e711-80c9-005056af4099

492.

Walker D. Roman coins from the sacred spring at Bath. The Temple of Sulis Minerva at Bath: Vol2: The finds from the sacred spring. Oxford: Oxford University Committee for Archaeology; 1988. p. 281–358.

493.

Cronyn, J. M., Robinson, W. S. The elements of archaeological conservation. London: Routledge; 1990.

494.

Jones, Samuel, Holden, John. It's a material world [Internet]. London: Demos; 2008. Available from: http://www.demos.co.uk/publications/materialworld

495.

Buttler, Caroline J., Davis, Mary, National Museum Wales. Things fall apart--: museum conservation in practice. Cardiff: National Museum Wales Books; 2006.

496.

Pye, Elizabeth. Caring for the past: issues in conservation for archaeology and museums. London: James & James; 2001.

497.

Sease, Catherine. A conservation manual for the field archaeologist. 3rd ed. Los Angeles: Institute of Archaeology, University of California; 1994.

498.

Watkinson, David, Neal, Virginia, United Kingdom Institute for Conservation of Historic and Artistic Works, Rescue (Trust), Museum of London. First aid for finds. 3rd ed. Hertford: RESCUE - The British Archaeological Trust; Archaeology Section of the UKIC; The Museum of London; 1998.

499.

Hamilton S. Lost in translation? A comment on the excavation report. Papers from the Institute of Archaeology (PIA). 1999;10:1–8.

500.

Crummy, Nina, Buckley, D. G., Colchester Archaeological Trust. The Roman small finds from excavations in Colchester 1971-9. Colchester: Colchester Archaeological Trust; 1983.

501.

Allison P. Why do excavation reports have find catalogues? Not so much a pot, more a way of life: current approaches to artefact analysis in archaeology. Oxford: Oxbow; 1997. p. 77–84.

502.

Barrett J. Bronze Age pottery and the problem of classification. Papers on the prehistoric archaeology of Cranborne Chase. Oxford: Oxbow; 1991. p. 201–230.

503.

Barrett, John C., Freeman, Philip, Woodward, Ann, Alcock, Leslie, English Heritage. Cadbury Castle, Somerset: the later prehistoric and early historical archaeology. London: English Heritage; 2000.

504.

Bishop, M. C. Finds from Roman Aldborough: a catalogue of small finds from the Romano-British town of Isurium Brigantium. Oxford: Oxbow; 1996.

505.

Philo, Chris, Butterworth, Paula, Bailey, Donald M., Cool, H. E. M., West Yorkshire Archaeology Service. Roman Castleford: excavations 1974-85, Volume 1: The small finds. Wakefield: West Yorkshire Archaeology Service; 1998.

506.

Cassey P, Hoffman B. Excavations at the Roman temple in Lydney Park, Gloucestershire in 1980 and 1981. Antiquaries journal [Internet]. London: Oxford University Press; 1999;79:81–143. Available from: https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=b9c28f61-4a36-e711-80c9-005056af4099

507.

Cool, H. E. M., Price, J., Fricker, David, Baxter, M. J., Crummy, Philip, Heyworth, M. P., Colchester Archaeological Trust. Roman vessel glass from excavations in Colchester, 1971-85. Colchester: Colchester Archaeological Trust; 1995.

508.

Davies, B. J., Richardson, B., Tomber, R., Council for British Archaeology, Museum of London. A dated corpus of early Roman pottery from the City of London. London: published by the Museum of London and the Council for British Archaeology; 1994.

509.

Wilson, P. R., Cool, H. E. M., Abramson, P., Lyons, E. R., English Heritage, Council for British Archaeology. Cataractonium: Roman Catterick and its hinterland : excavations and research, 1958-1997. York: Council for British Archaeology; 2002.

510.

Woodward, Ann, Bayley, J., Leach, Peter, English Heritage. The Uley shrines: excavation of a ritual complex on West Hill, Uley, Gloucestershire, 1977-9. London: English Heritage in association with British Museum Press; 1993.

511.

Hodder, Ian, British Institute of Archaeology at Ankara, McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research, Çatalhöyük Research Trust. On the surface: Çatalhöyük 1993-95. Cambridge: British Institute of Archaeology at Ankara; 1996.

512.

Hodder I. Writing archaeology: site reports in context . Antiquity. 1989;63(239):268–274.

513.

Hodder, Ian. The archaeological process: an introduction. Oxford: Blackwell; 1999.

514.

Hodder I. 'Always momentary, fluid and flexible': towards a reflexive excavation methodology . Antiquity. 1997;71(273):691–700.

515.

Lockyear K. Site Finds in Roman Britain: A Comparison of Techniques. Oxford Journal of Archaeology. 2000;19(4):397–423.

516.

Price, J., Cottam, Sally. Romano-British glass vessels: a handbook. York: Council for British Archaeology; 1998.

517.

Reece R. Site-finds in Roman Britain. Britannia . 1995;26.

518.

Brown, Duncan H., Institute of Field Archaeologists, Archaeological Archives Forum. Archaeological archives: a guide to best practice in creation, compilation, transfer and curation [Internet]. [s.l.]: Institute of Field Archaeologists on behalf of the Archaeological Archives Forum; 2007. Available from: http://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/node-files/ifa_practice_archives.pdf

519.

Edwards R. Archaeological archives and museums 2012 [Internet]. Society of Museum Archaeologists; 2012. Available from:

http://www.socmusarch.org.uk/docs/Archaeological-archives-and-museums-2012.pdf

520.

Edwards R. Notes from the AAF Meeting held in Birmingham, 7th March 2013 [Internet]. 2013. Available from: http://www.socmusarch.org.uk/docs/AAF-meeting-notes-v3.pdf

521.

Museums Association [Internet]. Available from: http://www.museumsassociation.org/home

522.

Merriman N. Museum collections and sustainability. Cultural Trends. 2008 Mar;17(1):3–21.

523.

Museum of London Acquisition and Disposal Policy [Internet]. 2011. Available from: http://www.museumoflondon.org.uk/files/5013/7181/4043/AcquisitionandDisposalPolicy.pdf

524.

Museum of London - Museum Policy on Human Remains [Internet]. Available from: http://archive.museumoflondon.org.uk/Centre-for-Human-Bioarchaeology/Policies/MuseumPolicyonHumanRemains.htm

525.

London Archaeological Archive and Resource Centre (LAARC) Standards and Charges for Deposition [Internet]. 2013. Available from: http://www.museumoflondon.org.uk/collections-research/laarc/standards-deposition/

526.

Collections Trust: Unlocking the Potential [Internet]. Available from:

http://www.collectionstrust.org.uk/

527.

Archaeological Archives Forum (AAF) [Internet]. Available from: http://www.archaeologyuk.org/archives/

528.

Archaeological Data Service/Digital Antiquity Guides to Good Practice: Deposition_1-1 [Internet]. Available from: http://guides.archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/g2gp/Deposition_1-1

529.

National Planning Policy Framework Section 12 [Internet]. Available from: https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/6077/2116950.pdf

530.

Holm, Stuart A. Facts & artefacts: how to document a museum collection. 2nd ed. Cambridge: MDA; 1998.

531.

Owen, Janet, Society of Museum Archaeologists. Towards an accessible archaeological archive: the transfer of archaeological archives to museums: guidelines for use in England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales [Internet]. [S.l.]: Society of Museum Archaeologists; 1995. Available from: http://www.socmusarch.org.uk/docs/towardsaccessiblearchive.pdf

532.

Hinton P. Archives and publication in the real world. 'Picking Up The Pieces': Adapting to Change in Museums and Archaeology Museum archaeologist [Internet]. Colcester: Society of Museum Archaeologists; 1993;18:6–9. Available from: http://socmusarch.org.uk/publications/museum-archaeologist-downloads/

533.

Mepham L. Archive deposition: a united perspective. Significant others: Museums and units, museums and community Museum archaeologist. Colchester: Society of Museum Archaeologists; 2000;25:7–10.

534.

Pearce, Susan M. Researching material culture. Leicester: School of Archaeological Studies, University of Leicester; 2000.

535.

Shanks, Michael, Tilley, Christopher Y. Re-constructing archaeology: theory and practice. 2nd ed. London: Routledge; 1992.

536.

Swain, Hedley, Great Britain, Museum of London. A survey of archaeological archives in England. London: Museums and Galleries Commission; 1998.

537.

Ucko PJ. The Biography of a Collection: The Sir Flinders Petrie Palestinian Collection and the Role of University Museums. Museum Management and Curatorship. 1998;17(4):351–399.

538.

Parman, Alice, Flowers, Jeffrey Jane. Exhibit makeovers: a do-it-yourself workbook for small museums. Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press; 2008.

539.

Monti, Francesca, Keene, Suzanne. Museums and silent objects: designing effective exhibitions. Farnham: Ashgate; 2013.

540.

Cameron DF. A Viewpoint: The Museum as a Communications System and Implications for Museum Education1. Curator: The Museum Journal. 1968;11(1):33–40.

541.

Hooper-Greenhill, Eilean. The educational role of the museum. 2nd ed. London: Routledge; 1999.

542.

Belcher, Michael. Exhibitions in museums. Leicester: Leicester University Press; 1991.

543.

Serrell, Beverly. Exhibit labels: an interpretive approach. Walnut Creek, Calif: Alta Mira; 1996.

544.

KNEZ EI, WRIGHT AG. The Museum as a Communications System: An Assessment of Cameron's Viewpoint. Curator: The Museum Journal. 1970;13(3):204–212.

545.

Museum Identity Ltd - high-quality conferences, study days, publications, for professionals [Internet]. Available from: http://www.museum-id.com/

546.

The Exhibition Handbook: A student guide for creating and presenting exhibitions [Internet]. Fairfax, VA: The New School of Northern Virginia; 2002. Available from: http://www.newschoolva.com/files/Exhibition_Handbook.pdf

547.

Helpsheet: Exhibition and Display Basics [Internet]. Available from: http://mavic.asn.au/assets/Info_Sheet_11_Display_Basics.pdf

548.

Low Cost Exhibition Display Techniques [Internet]. 2005. Available from: http://www.tepapa.govt.nz/SiteCollectionDocuments/NationalServices/Resources/LowCostExhibitionTechniques.pdf

549.

Boylan PJ. Running a museum: a practical handbook [Internet]. ICOM - International Council of Museums, Maison de l'UNESCO; Available from: http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0014/001410/141067e.pdf