

POLS6011: Comparative Politics

[View Online](#)

1.

Newton, K., van Deth, J.W.: The development of the modern state. In: Foundations of comparative politics: democracies of the modern world. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010).

2.

Clark, W.R., Golder, M., Golder, S.N.: The origins of the modern state. In: Principles of comparative politics. CQ Press, Los Angeles (2013).

3.

Caramani, D.: The nation-state. In: Comparative politics. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

4.

Wimmer, A., Min, B.: From Empire to Nation-State: Explaining Wars in the Modern World, 1816-2001. American Sociological Review. 71, 867-897 (2006).

5.

Adams, J.: The familial state: ruling families and merchant capitalism in early modern Europe. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N.Y. (2005).

6.

Bates, R.H.: When Things Fell Apart: State Failure in Late-Century Africa. Cambridge

University Press, Cambridge (2008).

7.

Ertman, T.: *Birth of the Leviathan: Building States and Regimes in Medieval and Early Modern Europe*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1997).

8.

Evans, P.: *The Eclipse of the State? Reflections on Stateness in an Era of Globalization*. *World Politics*. 50, 62–87 (1997). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887100014726>.

9.

Evans, P.B., Rueschemeyer, D., Skocpol, T.: *Bringing the state back in*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1985).

10.

Gorski, P.S.: *The disciplinary revolution: Calvinism and the rise of the state in early modern Europe*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago (2003).

11.

Herbst, J.I.: *States and power in Africa: comparative lessons in authority and control*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (2000).

12.

Jackson, R.H., Rosberg, C.G.: *Why Africa's Weak States Persist: The Empirical and the Juridical in Statehood*. *World Politics*. 35, 1–24 (1982).

13.

Mann, M.: *The Sources of Social Power: Volume 2: The Rise of Classes and Nation-States, 1760–1914*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012).

14.

Melleuish, G.: The State in World History: Perspectives and Problems. *Australian Journal of Politics and History*. 48, 322–335 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8497.00263>.

15.

Scott, J.C.: *Seeing like a state: how certain schemes to improve the human condition have failed*. Yale University Press, New Haven, [Conn.] (1998).

16.

Slaughter, A.-M.: The Real New World Order. *Foreign Affairs*. 76, 183–197 (1997).

17.

Tilly, C.: *The formation of national states in Western Europe*. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1975).

18.

Tilly, C.: *Coercion, capital, and European states, AD 990-1992*. Blackwell, Cambridge, Mass (1992).

19.

Vu, T.: Studying the State through State Formation. *World Politics*. 62, (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887109990244>.

20.

Newton, K., van Deth, J.W.: *State and democracy*. In: *Foundations of comparative politics: democracies of the modern world*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010).

21.

Clark et al., W.R.: Chapter 5 Democracy and dictatorship: conceptualization and measurement. In: *Principles of Comparative Politics*. SAGE Publications US (2017).

22.

Caramani, D.: Democracies. In: Comparative politics. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

23.

Bueno de Mesquita, B., Smith, A., Siverson, R.M., Morrow, J.D.: Reining in the prince. In: The logic of political survival. pp. 1–34. MIT, Cambridge, Mass (2005).

24.

Collier, D., Adcock, R.: Democracy and Dichotomies: A Pragmatic Approach to Choices about Concepts. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2, 537–565 (1999).
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.2.1.537>.

25.

Boix, C.: Democracy, Development, and the International System. *The American Political Science Review*. 809–828 (2011).

26.

Boix, C., Miller, M., Rosato, S.: A Complete Data Set of Political Regimes, 1800–2007. *Comparative Political Studies*. 46, 1523–1554 (2013).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414012463905>.

27.

Cheibub, J.A., Gandhi, J., Vreeland, J.R.: Democracy and dictatorship revisited. *Public Choice*. 143, 67–101 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11127-009-9491-2>.

28.

Dahl, R.A.: Polyarchy: participation and opposition. Yale University Press, New Haven, Conn (1971).

29.

Diamond, L.J.: Three Paradoxes of Democracy. *Journal of Democracy*. 1, 48–60 (1990). <https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.1990.0047>.

30.

Diamond, L., Morlino, L.: The Quality of Democracy: An Overview. *Journal of Democracy*. 20–31 (2004).

31.

Munck, G.L., Verkuilen, J.: Conceptualizing and Measuring Democracy: Evaluating Alternative Indices. *Comparative Political Studies*. 35, 5–34 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1177/001041400203500101>.

32.

Olson, M.: Dictatorship, Democracy, and Development. *The American Political Science Review*. 87, 567–576 (1993). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2938736>.

33.

Pemstein, D., Meserve, S.A., Melton, J.: Democratic Compromise: A Latent Variable Analysis of Ten Measures of Regime Type. *Political Analysis*. 18, 426–449 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1093/pan/mpq020>.

34.

Phillipe, S., Terry Lynne, K.: What Democracy Is...And Is Not. *Journal of Democracy*. 2, 75–88 (1991). <https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.1991.0033>.

35.

Schumpeter, J.A.: *Capitalism, socialism and democracy*. Routledge, London (1992).

36.

Weingast, B.R.: The Political Foundations of Democracy and the Rule of Law. *The American Political Science Review*. 91, 245–263 (1997). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2952354>.

37.

Clark et al., W.R.: Chapter 10: Varieties of dictatorship. In: *Principles of Comparative Politics*. SAGE Publications US (2017).

38.

Caramani, D.: Democracies. In: *Comparative politics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

39.

Svolik, M.W.: The World of Authoritarian Politics. In: *The Politics of Authoritarian Rule*. pp. 19–50. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139176040.002>.

40.

Brooker, P.: *Non-democratic regimes*. Palgrave Macmillan, New York (2009).

41.

Brzezinski, Z.: Totalitarianism and Rationality. *The American Political Science Review*. 50, 751–763 (1956).

42.

Gandhi, J.: *Political institutions under dictatorship*. Cambridge University Press, New York (2008).

43.

Gandhi, J., Przeworski, A.: Authoritarian Institutions and the Survival of Autocrats. *Comparative Political Studies*. 40, 1279–1301 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414007305817>.

44.

Lai, B., Slater, D.: Institutions of the Offensive: Domestic Sources of Dispute Initiation in Authoritarian Regimes, 1950-1992. *American Journal of Political Science*. 50, 113-126 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2006.00173.x>.

45.

Levitsky, S., Way, L.A.: *Competitive Authoritarianism: Hybrid Regimes after the Cold War*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010).

46.

Levitsky, S., Way, L.: The Rise of Competitive Authoritarianism. *Journal of Democracy*. 13, 51-65 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.2002.0026>.

47.

Malesky, E., Schuler, P.: Nodding or Needling: Analyzing Delegate Responsiveness in an Authoritarian Parliament. *American Political Science Review*. 104, 482-502 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055410000250>.

48.

Malesky, E., Schuler, P.: The Single-Party Dictator's Dilemma: Information in Elections without Opposition. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 36, 491-530 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1939-9162.2011.00025.x>.

49.

Schedler, A.: *Electoral authoritarianism: the dynamics of unfree competition*. Lynne Rienner Publishers, Boulder, Colo (2006).

50.

Svolik, M.W.: *The Politics of Authoritarian Rule*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012).

51.

Wintrobe, R.: The Political Economy of Dictatorship. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1998).

52.

Wright, J.: Do Authoritarian Institutions Constrain? How Legislatures Affect Economic Growth and Investment. *American Journal of Political Science*. 52, 322–343 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2008.00315.x>.

53.

Clark, W.R., Golder, M., Golder, S.N.: Principles of comparative politics. CQ Press, Los Angeles (2013).

54.

Newton, K., van Deth, J.W.: Democratic change and persistence. In: *Foundations of comparative politics: democracies of the modern world*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010).

55.

Geddes, B.: What Causes Democratization? In: *The Oxford Handbook of Comparative Politics* (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199566020.003.0014>.

56.

Acemoglu, D., Robinson, J.A.: *Economic Origins of Dictatorship and Democracy*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511510809>.

57.

Boix, C., Stokes, S.C.: Endogenous Democratization. *World Politics*. 55, 517–549 (2003).

58.

Elkins, Z.: Diffusion and the Constitutionalization of Europe. *Comparative Political Studies*. 43, 969–999 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414010370433>.

59.

Huntington, S.P.: *The third wave: democratization in the late twentieth century*. University of Oklahoma Press, Norman [Okla.] (1991).

60.

Levitsky, S., Way, L.: International Linkage and Democratization. *Journal of Democracy*. 16, 20–34 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.2005.0048>.

61.

Linz, J.J., Stepan, A.C.: *Problems of democratic transition and consolidation: southern Europe, South America, and post-communist Europe*. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore (1996).

62.

Mares, I.: *From Open Secrets to Secret Voting*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781316178539>.

63.

O'Donnell, G.A., Schmitter, P.C., Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars. *Latin American Program: Transitions from authoritarian rule: Tentative conclusions about uncertain democracies*. The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore (2013).

64.

Przeworski, A.: *Democracy and the Market*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1991). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139172493>.

65.

Przeworski, A., Alvarez, M.E., Cheibub, J.A., Limongi, F.: Democracy and Development. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2000).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511804946>.

66.

Slater, D.: Revolutions, Crackdowns, and Quiescence: Communal Elites and Democratic Mobilization in Southeast Asia¹. *American Journal of Sociology*. 115, 203–254 (2009).
<https://doi.org/10.1086/597796>.

67.

Svolik, M.: Authoritarian Reversals and Democratic Consolidation. *American Political Science Review*. 102, (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055408080143>.

68.

Whitehead, L.: Democratization: theory and experience. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2002).

69.

Clark, W.R., Golder, M., Golder, S.N.: What is science? In: *Principles of comparative politics*. CQ Press, Los Angeles (2013).

70.

Caramani, D.: *Comparative politics*. In: *Comparative politics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

71.

King, G., Verba, S., Keohane, R.O.: *Designing social inquiry: scientific inference in qualitative research*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (1994).

72.

Brady, H.E., Collier, D.: Refocussing the discussion of methodology. In: *Rethinking social*

inquiry: diverse tools, shared standards. Rowman & Littlefield, Lanham, Md (2004).

73.

Caramani, D.: Federal and local government institutions. In: Comparative politics. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

74.

Geddes, B.: Paradigms and sand castles: theory building and research design in comparative politics. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor (2003).

75.

Hall, P.A., Taylor, R.C.R.: Political Science and the Three New Institutionalisms. Political Studies. 44, 936–957 (1996). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.1996.tb00343.x>.

76.

Levi, M.: Reconsiderations of Rational Choice in Comparative and Historical Analysis. In: Lichbach, M.I. and Zuckerman, A.S. (eds.) Comparative Politics. pp. 117–133. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511804007.006>.

77.

Schmidt, V.A.: Discursive Institutionalism: The Explanatory Power of Ideas and Discourse. Annual Review of Political Science. 11, 303–326 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.11.060606.135342>.

78.

Thelen, K.: Historical Institutionalism in Comparative Politics. Annual Review of Political Science. 2, 369–404 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.2.1.369>.

79.

Bates, R.H.: Analytic narratives. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (1998).

80.

Gerring, J.: What is a case study good for? In: Case study research: principles and practices. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2007).

81.

Laitin, D.D.: Comparative Politics: The State of the Sub-Discipline. In: Katznelson, I. and Milner, H. (eds.) Political science: the state of the discipline. pp. 630–659. W.W. Norton, New York, N.Y. (2002).

82.

Newton, K., van Deth, J.W.: Constitutions. In: Foundations of comparative politics: democracies of the modern world. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010).

83.

Clark, W.R., Golder, M., Golder, S.N.: Institutional veto players. In: Principles of comparative politics. CQ Press, Los Angeles (2013).

84.

Caramani, D.: Constitutions and judicial power. In: Comparative politics. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

85.

Newton, K., van Deth, J.W.: Multi-level government: international, national and sub-national. In: Foundations of comparative politics: democracies of the modern world. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010).

86.

Caramani, D.: Federal and local government institutions. In: Comparative politics. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

87.

Bermeo, N.G.: The Import of Institutions. *Journal of Democracy*. 13, 96–110 (2002).
<https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.2002.0021>.

88.

Constitute Project, <https://www.constituteproject.org/>.

89.

Democratization Special Issue 'Democratization and the Judiciary: the Accountability Function of Courts in New Democracies'. 10, (2003).

90.

Dotan, Y.: Legal Defeats--Political Wins: Why Do Elected Representatives Go to Court? *Comparative Political Studies*. 38, 75–103 (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414004270969>.

91.

Ferejohn, J., Rosenbluth, E., Shipan, C.: Comparative Judicial Politics. In: Boix, C. and Stokes, S.C. (eds.) *The Oxford Handbook of Comparative Politics* Less... More states authoritarianism parties voters collective action institution electoral systems federalism judiciary bureaucracy. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2007).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199566020.003.0030>.

92.

Elkins, Z., Ginsburg, T., Melton, J.: *The Endurance of National Constitutions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511817595>.

93.

Hirschl, R.: The Judicialization of Politics. In: Caldeira, G.A., Kelemen, R.D., and Whittington, K.E. (eds.) *The Oxford Handbook of Law and Politics* Less... More political science jurisprudence constitutionalism judicial politics law and society comparative judicial politics international law democratization law politics. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2008).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199208425.003.0008>.

94.

Hooghe, L., Marks, G.: Unraveling the Central State, but How? Types of Multi-Level Governance. *The American Political Science Review*. 97, 233–243 (2003).

95.

Regional Authority Index, http://www.unc.edu/~gwmarks/data_ra.php.

96.

North, D.C., Weingast, B.R.: Constitutions and Commitment: The Evolution of Institutions Governing Public Choice in Seventeenth-Century England. *The Journal of Economic History*. 49, (1989). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022050700009451>.

97.

Romeu, F.R.: The Establishment of Constitutional Courts: A Study of 128 Democratic Constitutions. *Review of Law & Economics*. 2, 1–33 (2006).

98.

Sartori, G.: *Comparative constitutional engineering: an inquiry into structures, incentives and outcomes*. New York University Press, Washington Square, N.Y. (1997).

99.

Sweet, A.S.: *Governing with Judges*. Oxford University Press (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1093/0198297718.001.0001>.

100.

Tate, C.N., Vallinder, T.: *The global expansion of judicial power*. New York University Press, New York (1995).

101.

Whittington, K.E.: "Interpose Your Friendly Hand": Political Supports for the Exercise of Judicial Review by the United States Supreme Court. *American Political Science Review*. 99, (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055405051890>.

102.

Newton, K., Deth, J.W. van: *Foundations of comparative politics: democracies of the modern world*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010).

103.

Clark, W.R., Golder, M., Golder, S.N.: *Principles of comparative politics*. CQ Press, Los Angeles (2013).

104.

Caramani, D.: *Comparative politics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

105.

Arter, D.: *Comparing and classifying legislatures*. Routledge, London (2007).

106.

Cheibub, J.A.: *Presidentialism, Parliamentarism, and Democracy*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2006).

107.

Cox, G.W.: *The efficient secret: the Cabinet and the development of political parties in Victorian England*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1987).

108.

Druckman, J.N.: Context and Coalition-Bargaining: Comparing Portfolio Allocation in Eastern and Western Europe. *Party Politics*. 11, 535–555 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068805054979>.

109.

Duverger, M., Lijphart, A., Pasquino, G.: A new political system. *European Journal of Political Research*. 31, 125–146 (1997).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6765.1997.tb00769.x>.

110.

Laver, M., Schofield, N.: *Multiparty government: the politics of coalition in Europe*. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor (1998).

111.

Lijphart, A.: *Patterns of democracy: government forms and performance in thirty-six countries*. Yale University Press, New Haven [Conn.] (1999).

112.

Lijphart, A.: Power-sharing versus Majority Rule: Patterns of Cabinet Formation in Twenty Democracies. *Government and Opposition*. 16, 395–413 (1981).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1477-7053.1981.tb00319.x>.

113.

Linz, J.: The Perils of Presidentialism. *Journal of Democracy*. 1, 51–69 (1990).

114.

Poguntke, T., Webb, P.: *The Presidentialization of Politics*. Oxford University Press (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/0199252017.001.0001>.

115.

Samuels, D.: Presidentialism and Accountability for the Economy in Comparative Perspective. *American Political Science Review*. 98, (2004).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S000305540400125X>.

116.

Siaroff, A.: Comparative presidencies: The inadequacy of the presidential, semi-presidential and parliamentary distinction. *European Journal of Political Research*. 42, 287–312 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6765.00084>.

117.

Strøm, K., Müller, W.C., Bergman, T.: *Delegation and Accountability in Parliamentary Democracies*. Oxford University Press (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1093/019829784X.001.0001>.

118.

Strom, K.: Minority Governments in Parliamentary Democracies: The Rationality of Nonwinning Cabinet Solutions. *Comparative Political Studies*. 17, 199–227 (1984). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414084017002004>.

119.

Shugart, M.S.: Comparative Executive-Legislative Relations. In: Binder, S.A., Rhodes, R.A.W., and Rockman, B.A. (eds.) *The Oxford Handbook of Political Institutions*. Less... More political science constitutions federalism bureaucracy constructed nature of institutions new institutionalism. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199548460.003.0018>.

120.

Newton, K., Deth, J.W. van: *Foundations of comparative politics: democracies of the modern world*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010).

121.

Clark, W.R., Golder, M., Golder, S.N.: *Principles of Comparative Politics*. SAGE Publications US (2017).

122.

Caramani, D.: *Comparative politics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

123.

Caramani, D.: Social movements. In: Comparative politics. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

124.

Adams, J., Somer-Topcu, Z.: Policy Adjustment by Parties in Response to Rival Parties' Policy Shifts: Spatial Theory and the Dynamics of Party Competition in Twenty-Five Post-War Democracies. *British Journal of Political Science*. 39, (2009).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123409000635>.

125.

Berger, S.: Organizing interests in Western Europe: pluralism, corporatism, and the transformation of politics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1981).

126.

The Economist: International: The party's (largely) over; Political parties. *The Economist*. 71-72.

127.

Katz, R.S., Mair, P.: Changing Models of Party Organization and Party Democracy: The Emergence of the Cartel Party. *Party Politics*. 1, 5-28 (1995).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068895001001001>.

128.

Klingemann, H.-D., Hofferbert, R.I., Budge, I.: Parties, policies, and democracy. Westview Press, Boulder (1994).

129.

Kitschelt, H., Wilkinson, S.I.: Citizen-politician linkages: an introduction. In: Kitschelt, H. and Wilkinson, S.I. (eds.) *Patrons, Clients, and Policies*. pp. 1-49. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511585869.001>.

130.

Kriesi, H., Koopmans, R., Duyvendak, J.W., Giugni, M.G.: New social movements and political opportunities in Western Europe. *European Journal of Political Research*. 22, 219–244 (1992). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6765.1992.tb00312.x>.

131.

Lindberg, S.I.: Institutionalization of Party Systems? Stability and Fluidity among Legislative Parties in Africa's Democracies. *Government and Opposition*. 42, 215–241 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1477-7053.2007.00219.x>.

132.

Lipset, S.M., Rokkan, S.: Party systems and voter alignments: cross-national perspectives: [Contributors: Robert R. Alford and others]. Free Press, New York (1967).

133.

Mair, P.: Partyless Democracy. *New Left Review*. 2, 15–21 (2000).

134.

Manifesto Project Database, <https://manifestoproject.wzb.eu/>.

135.

McLean, I.: Review Article: The Divided Legacy of Mancur Olson. *British Journal of Political Science*. 30, 651–668 (2000).

136.

Norris, P.: Electoral engineering: voting rules and political behaviour. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2004).

137.

Olson, M.: The logic of collective action: public goods and the theory of groups. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass (1971).

138.

Riker, W.H.: The Number of Political Parties: A Reexamination of Duverger's Law. Comparative Politics. 9, (1976). <https://doi.org/10.2307/421293>.

139.

Rueda, D.: Insider–Outsider Politics in Industrialized Democracies: The Challenge to Social Democratic Parties. American Political Science Review. 99, (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S000305540505149X>.

140.

Newton, K., Deth, J.W. van: Foundations of comparative politics: democracies of the modern world. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010).

141.

Clark et al., W.R.: Chapter 7: Cultural determinants of dictatorship and democracy. In: Principles of Comparative Politics. SAGE Publications US (2017).

142.

Caramani, D.: Political culture. In: Comparative politics. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

143.

Almond, G.A., Verba, S.: The civic culture: political attitudes and democracy in five nations. Sage, Newbury Park, Calif (1989).

144.

Bartle, J., Dellepiane-Avellaneda, S., Stimson, J.: The Moving Centre: Preferences for Government Activity in Britain, 1950–2005. British Journal of Political Science. 41, 259–285

(2011). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123410000463>.

145.

Brooks, C., Manza, J.: Do Changing Values Explain the New Politics? A critical assessment of the postmaterialist thesis. *The Sociological Quarterly*. 35, 541–570 (1994).

146.

Clements, B., Field, C.D.: Public Opinion toward Homosexuality and Gay Rights in Great Britain. *Public Opinion Quarterly*. 78, 523–547 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1093/poq/nfu018>.

147.

Graaf, N.D.D., Evans, G.: Why are the Young more Postmaterialist?: A Cross-National Analysis of Individual and Contextual Influences on Postmaterial Values. *Comparative Political Studies*. 28, 608–635 (1996). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414096028004005>.

148.

Guber, D.L.: A Cooling Climate for Change? Party Polarization and the Politics of Global Warming. *American Behavioral Scientist*. 57, 93–115 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0002764212463361>.

149.

Hall, P.A.: Social Capital in Britain. *British Journal of Political Science*. 29, 417–461 (1999).

150.

Inglehart, R.: *Culture shift in advanced industrial society*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J (1990).

151.

Inglehart, R.F.: *Changing Values among Western Publics from 1970 to 2006*. West

European Politics. 31, 130–146 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402380701834747>.

152.

John, P., Fieldhouse, E., Liu, H.: How Civic is the Civic Culture? Explaining Community Participation Using the 2005 English Citizenship Survey. *Political Studies*. 59, 230–252 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.2011.00891.x>.

153.

Norris, P.: *Democratic Deficit: Critical Citizens Revisited*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2011).

154.

Paxton, P.: Social Capital and Democracy: An Interdependent Relationship. *American Sociological Review*. 67, 254–277 (2002).

155.

Putnam, R.D.: *Bowling alone: the collapse and revival of American community*. Simon & Schuster, New York (2000).

156.

Putnam, R.D., Leonardi, R., Nanetti, R.: *Making democracy work: civic traditions in modern Italy*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (1993).

157.

Skocpol, T.: *Diminished democracy: from membership to management in American civic life*. University of Oklahoma Press, Norman (2003).

158.

Tilley, J.R.: Research Note: Libertarian-authoritarian Value Change in Britain, 1974-2001. *Political Studies*. 53, 442–453 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.2005.00537.x>.

159.

Clark, W.R., Golder, M., Golder, S.N.: Principles of comparative politics. CQ Press, Los Angeles (2013).

160.

Przeworski, A.: Institutions Matter? Government and Opposition. 39, 527–540 (2004).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1477-7053.2004.00134.x>.

161.

Caramani, D.: Comparative politics. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

162.

Alesina, A., Glaeser, E.L.: Fighting poverty in the US and Europe: a world of difference. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2004).

163.

Barnes, L., Hall, P.A.: Neoliberalism and Social Resilience in the Developed Democracies. In: Hall, P.A. and Lamont, M. (eds.) Social Resilience in the Neo-Liberal Era. pp. 209–238. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2013).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139542425.013>.

164.

Tsebelis, G., Russell Sage Foundation: Veto players: how political institutions work. Russell Sage Foundation, New York (2002).

165.

Bawn, K., Rosenbluth, F.: Short versus Long Coalitions: Electoral Accountability and the Size of the Public Sector. American Journal of Political Science. 50, 251–265 (2006).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2006.00182.x>.

166.

Frey, B.S., Stutzer, A.: Happiness, Economy and Institutions. *The Economic Journal*. 110, 918–938 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0297.00570>.

167.

Iversen, T., Rosenbluth, F.: The Political Economy of Gender: Explaining Cross-National Variation in the Gender Division of Labor and the Gender Voting Gap. *American Journal of Political Science*. 50, 1–19 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2006.00166.x>.

168.

Lake, D.A., Baum, M.A.: The Invisible Hand of Democracy: Political Control and the Provision of Public Services. *Comparative Political Studies*. 34, 587–621 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414001034006001>.

169.

Miller, M.K.: Electoral Authoritarianism and Human Development. *Comparative Political Studies*. 48, 1526–1562 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414015582051>.