

POLS6011: Comparative Politics

[View Online](#)

1.

Newton K, van Deth JW. The development of the modern state. In: Foundations of comparative politics: democracies of the modern world. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2010.

2.

Clark WR, Golder M, Golder SN. The origins of the modern state. In: Principles of comparative politics. 2nd ed. Los Angeles: CQ Press; 2013.

3.

Caramani D. The nation-state. In: Comparative politics. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014.

4.

Wimmer A, Min B. From Empire to Nation-State: Explaining Wars in the Modern World, 1816-2001. *American Sociological Review* [Internet]. 2006;71(6):867–97. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25472435>

5.

Adams J. The familial state: ruling families and merchant capitalism in early modern Europe. Vol. The Wilder House series in politics, history, and culture. Ithaca, N.Y.: Cornell University Press; 2005.

6.

Bates RH. When Things Fell Apart: State Failure in Late-Century Africa [Internet]. Vol. Cambridge Studies in Comparative Politics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2008. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511790713>

7.

Ertman T. Birth of the Leviathan: Building States and Regimes in Medieval and Early Modern Europe [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1997. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511529016>

8.

Evans P. The Eclipse of the State? Reflections on Stateness in an Era of Globalization. *World Politics*. 1997;50(01):62–87.

9.

Evans PB, Rueschemeyer D, Skocpol T. Bringing the state back in. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1985.

10.

Gorski PS. The disciplinary revolution: Calvinism and the rise of the state in early modern Europe. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 2003.

11.

Herbst JI. States and power in Africa: comparative lessons in authority and control. Vol. Princeton studies in international history and politics. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 2000.

12.

Jackson RH, Rosberg CG. Why Africa's Weak States Persist: The Empirical and the Juridical in Statehood. *World Politics* [Internet]. 1982;35(1):1–24. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2010277>

13.

Mann M. The Sources of Social Power: Volume 2: The Rise of Classes and Nation-States, 1760–1914 [Internet]. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139381314>

14.

Melleuish G. The State in World History: Perspectives and Problems. Australian Journal of Politics and History. 2002;48(3):322–35.

15.

Scott JC. Seeing like a state: how certain schemes to improve the human condition have failed. Vol. Yale agrarian studies. New Haven, [Conn.]: Yale University Press; 1998.

16.

Slaughter AM. The Real New World Order. Foreign Affairs [Internet]. 1997;76(5):183–97. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20048208>

17.

Tilly C. The formation of national states in Western Europe. Vol. Studies in political development. Princeton: Princeton University Press; 1975.

18.

Tilly C. Coercion, capital, and European states, AD 990-1992. Rev. pbk. ed. Vol. Studies in social discontinuity. Cambridge, Mass: Blackwell; 1992.

19.

Vu T. Studying the State through State Formation. World Politics. 2010;62(01).

20.

Newton K, van Deth JW. State and democracy. In: Foundations of comparative politics: democracies of the modern world. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2010.

21.

Clark et al. WR. Chapter 5 Democracy and dictatorship: conceptualization and measurement. In: Principles of Comparative Politics [Internet]. 3rd ed. SAGE Publications US; 2017. Available from: <https://app.kortext.com/Shibboleth.sso/Login?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&target=https://app.kortext.com/borrow/649298>

22.

Caramani D. Democracies. In: Comparative politics. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014.

23.

Bueno de Mesquita B, Smith A, Siverson RM, Morrow JD. Reining in the prince. In: The logic of political survival [Internet]. Cambridge, Mass: MIT; 2005. p. 1–34. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/POLS6011_77303.pdf

24.

Collier D, Adcock R. Democracy and Dichotomies: A Pragmatic Approach to Choices about Concepts. Annual Review of Political Science. 1999;2(1):537–65.

25.

Boix C. Democracy, Development, and the International System. The American Political Science Review [Internet]. 2011;(4):809–28. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23275354>

26.

Boix C, Miller M, Rosato S. A Complete Data Set of Political Regimes, 1800-2007. Comparative Political Studies. 2013;46(12):1523–54.

27.

Cheibub JA, Gandhi J, Vreeland JR. Democracy and dictatorship revisited. Public Choice.

2010;143(1-2):67-101.

28.

Dahl RA. Polyarchy: participation and opposition. New Haven, Conn: Yale University Press; 1971.

29.

Diamond LJ. Three Paradoxes of Democracy. *Journal of Democracy*. 1990;1(3):48-60.

30.

Diamond L, Morlino L. The Quality of Democracy: An Overview. *Journal of Democracy* [Internet]. 2004;(4):20-31. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/195557911?OpenUrlRefId=info:xri/sid:primo&accountid=14511>

31.

Munck GL, Verkuilen J. Conceptualizing and Measuring Democracy: Evaluating Alternative Indices. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2002;35(1):5-34.

32.

Olson M. Dictatorship, Democracy, and Development. *The American Political Science Review* [Internet]. 1993;87(3):567-76. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2938736>

33.

Pemstein D, Meserve SA, Melton J. Democratic Compromise: A Latent Variable Analysis of Ten Measures of Regime Type. *Political Analysis*. 2010;18(4):426-49.

34.

Phillipe S, Terry Lynne K. What Democracy Is...And Is Not. *Journal of Democracy* [Internet]. 1991;2(3):75-88. Available from:

https://muse.jhu.edu/journals/journal_of_democracy/v002/2.3schmitter.pdf

35.

Schumpeter JA. Capitalism, socialism and democracy. 5th ed. London: Routledge; 1992.

36.

Weingast BR. The Political Foundations of Democracy and the Rule of Law. *The American Political Science Review* [Internet]. 1997;91(2):245–63. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2952354>

37.

Clark et al. WR. Chapter 10: Varieties of dictatorship. In: *Principles of Comparative Politics* [Internet]. 3rd ed. SAGE Publications US; 2017. Available from: <https://app.kortext.com/Shibboleth.sso/Login?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&target=https://app.kortext.com/borrow/649298>

38.

Caramani D. Democracies. In: *Comparative politics*. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014.

39.

Svolik MW. The World of Authoritarian Politics. In: *The Politics of Authoritarian Rule* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012. p. 19–50. Available from: <http://ebooks.cambridge.org/ref/id/CBO9781139176040A012>

40.

Brooker P. Non-democratic regimes. Rev. and updated 2nd ed. Vol. *Comparative government and politics*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan; 2009.

41.

Brzezinski Z. Totalitarianism and Rationality. *The American Political Science Review* [Internet]. 1956;50(3):751–63. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1951557>

42.

Gandhi J. *Political institutions under dictatorship*. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2008.

43.

Gandhi J, Przeworski A. Authoritarian Institutions and the Survival of Autocrats. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2007;40(11):1279–301.

44.

Lai B, Slater D. Institutions of the Offensive: Domestic Sources of Dispute Initiation in Authoritarian Regimes, 1950-1992. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2006;50(1):113–26.

45.

Levitsky S, Way LA. *Competitive Authoritarianism: Hybrid Regimes after the Cold War* [Internet]. Vol. *Problems of International Politics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2010. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511781353>

46.

Levitsky S, Way L. The Rise of Competitive Authoritarianism. *Journal of Democracy*. 2002;13(2):51–65.

47.

Malesky E, Schuler P. Nodding or Needling: Analyzing Delegate Responsiveness in an Authoritarian Parliament. *American Political Science Review*. 2010;104(03):482–502.

48.

Malesky E, Schuler P. The Single-Party Dictator's Dilemma: Information in Elections without

Opposition. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 2011;36(4):491–530.

49.

Schedler A. *Electoral authoritarianism: the dynamics of unfree competition*. Boulder, Colo: Lynne Rienner Publishers; 2006.

50.

Svolik MW. *The Politics of Authoritarian Rule* [Internet]. Vol. Cambridge Studies in Comparative Politics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139176040>

51.

Wintrobe R. *The Political Economy of Dictatorship* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1998. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139174916>

52.

Wright J. Do Authoritarian Institutions Constrain? How Legislatures Affect Economic Growth and Investment. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2008;52(2):322–43.

53.

Clark WR, Golder M, Golder SN. *Principles of comparative politics*. 2nd ed. Los Angeles: CQ Press; 2013.

54.

Newton K, van Deth JW. Democratic change and persistence. In: *Foundations of comparative politics: democracies of the modern world*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2010.

55.

Geddes B. What Causes Democratization? In: *The Oxford Handbook of Comparative Politics* [Internet]. 2009. Available from:

<http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199566020.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199566020-e-14>

56.

Acemoglu D, Robinson JA. Economic Origins of Dictatorship and Democracy [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2005. Available from: <http://ebooks.cambridge.org/ref/id/CBO9780511510809>

57.

Boix C, Stokes SC. Endogenous Democratization. World Politics [Internet]. 2003;55(4):517–49. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25054237>

58.

Elkins Z. Diffusion and the Constitutionalization of Europe. Comparative Political Studies. 2010;43(8–9):969–99.

59.

Huntington SP. The third wave: democratization in the late twentieth century. Vol. The Julian J. Rothbaum distinguished lecture series. Norman [Okla.]: University of Oklahoma Press; 1991.

60.

Levitsky S, Way L. International Linkage and Democratization. Journal of Democracy. 2005;16(3):20–34.

61.

Linz JJ, Stepan AC. Problems of democratic transition and consolidation: southern Europe, South America, and post-communist Europe. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press; 1996.

62.

Mares I. From Open Secrets to Secret Voting [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2015. Available from: <http://ebooks.cambridge.org/ref/id/CBO9781316178539>

63.

O'Donnell GA, Schmitter PC, Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars. Latin American Program. Transitions from authoritarian rule: Tentative conclusions about uncertain democracies. Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins University Press; 2013.

64.

Przeworski A. Democracy and the Market [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1991. Available from: <http://ebooks.cambridge.org/ref/id/CBO9781139172493>

65.

Przeworski A, Alvarez ME, Cheibub JA, Limongi F. Democracy and Development [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2000. Available from: <http://ebooks.cambridge.org/ref/id/CBO9780511804946>

66.

Slater D. Revolutions, Crackdowns, and Quiescence: Communal Elites and Democratic Mobilization in Southeast Asia¹. American Journal of Sociology [Internet]. 2009;115(1):203–54. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/597796>

67.

Svolik M. Authoritarian Reversals and Democratic Consolidation. American Political Science Review. 2008;102(02).

68.

Whitehead L. Democratization: theory and experience [Internet]. Vol. Oxford studies in democratization. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2002. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/0199253285.001.0001>

69.

Clark WR, Golder M, Golder SN. What is science? In: Principles of comparative politics. 2nd ed. Los Angeles: CQ Press; 2013.

70.

Caramani D. Comparative politics. In: Comparative politics. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014.

71.

King G, Verba S, Keohane RO. Designing social inquiry: scientific inference in qualitative research. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 1994.

72.

Brady HE, Collier D. Refocussing the discussion of methodology. In: Rethinking social inquiry: diverse tools, shared standards. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield; 2004.

73.

Caramani D. Federal and local government institutions. In: Comparative politics. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014.

74.

Geddes B. Paradigms and sand castles: theory building and research design in comparative politics. Vol. Analytical perspectives on politics. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press; 2003.

75.

Hall PA, Taylor RCR. Political Science and the Three New Institutionalisms. Political Studies. 1996;44(5):936-57.

76.

Levi M. Reconsiderations of Rational Choice in Comparative and Historical Analysis. In: Lichbach MI, Zuckerman AS, editors. Comparative Politics [Internet]. Cambridge:

Cambridge University Press; 2009. p. 117–33. Available from:
<http://ebooks.cambridge.org/ref/id/CBO9780511804007A012>

77.

Schmidt VA. Discursive Institutionalism: The Explanatory Power of Ideas and Discourse. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2008;11(1):303–26.

78.

Thelen K. Historical Institutionalism in Comparative Politics. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 1999;2(1):369–404.

79.

Bates RH. *Analytic narratives*. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 1998.

80.

Gerring J. What is a case study good for? In: *Case study research: principles and practices* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2007. Available from:
<https://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9780511319686>

81.

Laitin DD. Comparative Politics: The State of the Sub-Discipline. In: Katznelson I, Milner H, editors. *Political science: the state of the discipline*. Centennial ed. New York, N.Y.: W.W. Norton; 2002. p. 630–59.

82.

Newton K, van Deth JW. *Constitutions*. In: *Foundations of comparative politics: democracies of the modern world*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2010.

83.

Clark WR, Golder M, Golder SN. Institutional veto players. In: *Principles of comparative*

politics. 2nd ed. Los Angeles: CQ Press; 2013.

84.

Caramani D. Constitutions and judicial power. In: Comparative politics. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014.

85.

Newton K, van Deth JW. Multi-level government: international, national and sub-national. In: Foundations of comparative politics: democracies of the modern world. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2010.

86.

Caramani D. Federal and local government institutions. In: Comparative politics. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014.

87.

Bermeo NG. The Import of Institutions. *Journal of Democracy*. 2002;13(2):96–110.

88.

Constitute Project [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.constituteproject.org/>

89.

Democratization Special Issue 'Democratization and the Judiciary: the Accountability Function of Courts in New Democracies'. 2003;10(4). Available from: <http://www.tandfonline.com/toc/fdem20/10/4>

90.

Dotan Y. Legal Defeats--Political Wins: Why Do Elected Representatives Go to Court? *Comparative Political Studies*. 2005;38(1):75–103.

91.

Ferejohn J, Rosenbluth E, Shipan C. Comparative Judicial Politics. In: Boix C, Stokes SC, editors. The Oxford Handbook of Comparative Politics. Less. More states authoritarianism parties voters collective action institution electoral systems federalism judiciary bureaucracy [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2007. Available from: <http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199566020.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199566020>

92.

Elkins Z, Ginsburg T, Melton J. The Endurance of National Constitutions [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2009. Available from: <http://ebooks.cambridge.org/ref/id/CBO9780511817595>

93.

Hirschl R. The Judicialization of Politics. In: Caldeira GA, Kelemen RD, Whittington KE, editors. The Oxford Handbook of Law and Politics. Less. More political science jurisprudence constitutionalism judicial politics law and society comparative judicial politics international law democratization law politics [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2008. Available from: <http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199208425.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199208425>

94.

Hooghe L, Marks G. Unraveling the Central State, but How? Types of Multi-Level Governance. The American Political Science Review [Internet]. 2003;97(2):233-43. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3118206>

95.

Regional Authority Index [Internet]. Available from: http://www.unc.edu/~gwm/ra/data_ra.php

96.

North DC, Weingast BR. Constitutions and Commitment: The Evolution of Institutions Governing Public Choice in Seventeenth-Century England. The Journal of Economic History. 1989;49(04).

97.

Romeu FR. The Establishment of Constitutional Courts: A Study of 128 Democratic Constitutions. *Review of Law & Economics* [Internet]. 2006;2(1):1–33. Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=bth&AN=22342214&site=ehost-live&scope=site>

98.

Sartori G. *Comparative constitutional engineering: an inquiry into structures, incentives and outcomes*. 2nd ed. Washington Square, N.Y.: New York University Press; 1997.

99.

Sweet AS. *Governing with Judges* [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2000. Available from: <http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/0198297718.001.0001/acprof-9780198297710>

100.

Tate CN, Vallinder T. *The global expansion of judicial power*. New York: New York University Press; 1995.

101.

Whittington KE. "Interpose Your Friendly Hand": Political Supports for the Exercise of Judicial Review by the United States Supreme Court. *American Political Science Review*. 2005;99(04).

102.

Newton K, Deth JW van. *Foundations of comparative politics: democracies of the modern world*. 2nd ed. Vol. Cambridge textbooks in comparative politics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2010.

103.

Clark WR, Golder M, Golder SN. *Principles of comparative politics*. 2nd ed. Los Angeles: CQ

Press; 2013.

104.

Caramani D. Comparative politics. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014.

105.

Arter D. Comparing and classifying legislatures. Vol. The library of legislative studies. London: Routledge; 2007.

106.

Cheibub JA. Presidentialism, Parliamentarism, and Democracy [Internet]. Vol. Cambridge Studies in Comparative Politics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511813344>

107.

Cox GW. The efficient secret: the Cabinet and the development of political parties in Victorian England. Vol. Political economy of institutions and decisions. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1987.

108.

Druckman JN. Context and Coalition-Bargaining: Comparing Portfolio Allocation in Eastern and Western Europe. Party Politics. 2005;11(5):535–55.

109.

Duverger M, Lijphart A, Pasquino G. A new political system. European Journal of Political Research. 1997;31(1-2):125–46.

110.

Laver M, Schofield N. Multiparty government: the politics of coalition in Europe. Vol. Ann Arbor paperbacks. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press; 1998.

111.

Lijphart A. Patterns of democracy: government forms and performance in thirty-six countries. New Haven [Conn.]: Yale University Press; 1999.

112.

Lijphart A. Power-sharing versus Majority Rule: Patterns of Cabinet Formation in Twenty Democracies. *Government and Opposition*. 1981;16(4):395-413.

113.

Linz J. The Perils of Presidentialism. *Journal of Democracy* [Internet]. 1990;1(1):51-69. Available from: <http://muse.jhu.edu/journals/jod/summary/v001/1.1linz.html>

114.

Poguntke T, Webb P. The Presidentialization of Politics [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2005. Available from: <http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/0199252017.001.0001/acprof-9780199252015>

115.

Samuels D. Presidentialism and Accountability for the Economy in Comparative Perspective. *American Political Science Review*. 2004;98(03).

116.

Siaroff A. Comparative presidencies: The inadequacy of the presidential, semi-presidential and parliamentary distinction. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2003;42(3):287-312.

117.

Strøm K, Müller WC, Bergman T. Delegation and Accountability in Parliamentary Democracies [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2003. Available from: <http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/019829784X.001.0001/acprof-978019829784X>

7840

118.

Strom K. Minority Governments in Parliamentary Democracies: The Rationality of Nonwinning Cabinet Solutions. *Comparative Political Studies*. 1984;17(2):199–227.

119.

Shugart MS. Comparative Executive-Legislative Relations. In: Binder SA, Rhodes RAW, Rockman BA, editors. *The Oxford Handbook of Political Institutions*. Less. Morepolitical scienceconstitutionsfederalismbureaucracyconstructed nature of institutionsnew institutionalism [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2008. Available from: <http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199548460.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199548460>

120.

Newton K, Deth JW van. *Foundations of comparative politics: democracies of the modern world*. 2nd ed. Vol. Cambridge textbooks in comparative politics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2010.

121.

Clark WR, Golder M, Golder SN. *Principles of Comparative Politics* [Internet]. 3rd ed. SAGE Publications US; 2017. Available from: <https://app.kortext.com/Shibboleth.sso/Login?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&target=https://app.kortext.com/borrow/649298>

122.

Caramani D. *Comparative politics*. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014.

123.

Caramani D. Social movements. In: *Comparative politics*. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014.

124.

Adams J, Somer-Topcu Z. Policy Adjustment by Parties in Response to Rival Parties' Policy Shifts: Spatial Theory and the Dynamics of Party Competition in Twenty-Five Post-War Democracies. *British Journal of Political Science*. 2009;39(04).

125.

Berger S. Organizing interests in Western Europe: pluralism, corporatism, and the transformation of politics. Vol. *Cambridge studies in modern political economies*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1981.

126.

The Economist. International: The party's (largely) over; Political parties. *The Economist* [Internet]. (8705):71–2. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/759723461?accountid=14511>

127.

Katz RS, Mair P. Changing Models of Party Organization and Party Democracy: The Emergence of the Cartel Party. *Party Politics*. 1995;1(1):5–28.

128.

Klingemann HD, Hofferbert RI, Budge I. Parties, policies, and democracy. Vol. *Theoretical lenses on public policy*. Boulder: Westview Press; 1994.

129.

Kitschelt H, Wilkinson SI. Citizen–politician linkages: an introduction. In: Kitschelt H, Wilkinson SI, editors. *Patrons, Clients, and Policies* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2007. p. 1–49. Available from: <http://ebooks.cambridge.org/ref/id/CBO9780511585869A010>

130.

Kriesi H, Koopmans R, Duyvendak JW, Giugni MG. New social movements and political opportunities in Western Europe. *European Journal of Political Research*. 1992;22(2):219–44.

131.

Lindberg SI. Institutionalization of Party Systems? Stability and Fluidity among Legislative Parties in Africa's Democracies. *Government and Opposition*. 2007;42(2):215–41.

132.

Lipset SM, Rokkan S. Party systems and voter alignments: cross-national perspectives: [Contributors: Robert R. Alford and others]. Vol. *International yearbook of political behavior research*. New York: Free Press; 1967.

133.

Mair P. Partyless Democracy. *New Left Review* [Internet]. 2000;2:15–21. Available from: http://search.proquest.com/docview/1301913219?rfr_id=info%3Axri%2Fsid%3Aprimo

134.

Manifesto Project Database [Internet]. 2015. Available from: <https://manifestoproject.wzb.eu/>

135.

McLean I. Review Article: The Divided Legacy of Mancur Olson. *British Journal of Political Science* [Internet]. 2000;30(04):651–68. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/194289>

136.

Norris P. Electoral engineering: voting rules and political behaviour [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2004. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9780511313615>

137.

Olson M. The logic of collective action: public goods and the theory of groups. Vol. *Harvard economic studies*. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 1971.

138.

Riker WH. The Number of Political Parties: A Reexamination of Duverger's Law. *Comparative Politics*. 1976;9(1).

139.

Rueda D. Insider–Outsider Politics in Industrialized Democracies: The Challenge to Social Democratic Parties. *American Political Science Review*. 2005;99(01).

140.

Newton K, Deth JW van. Foundations of comparative politics: democracies of the modern world. 2nd ed. Vol. Cambridge textbooks in comparative politics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2010.

141.

Clark et al. WR. Chapter 7: Cultural determinants of dictatorship and democracy. In: *Principles of Comparative Politics* [Internet]. 3rd ed. SAGE Publications US; 2017. Available from: <https://app.kortext.com/Shibboleth.sso/Login?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&target=https://app.kortext.com/borrow/649298>

142.

Caramani D. Political culture. In: *Comparative politics*. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014.

143.

Almond GA, Verba S. The civic culture: political attitudes and democracy in five nations. [New ed.]. Newbury Park, Calif: Sage; 1989.

144.

Bartle J, Dellepiane-Avellaneda S, Stimson J. The Moving Centre: Preferences for Government Activity in Britain, 1950–2005. *British Journal of Political Science*.

2011;41(02):259–85.

145.

Brooks C, Manza J. Do Changing Values Explain the New Politics? A critical assessment of the postmaterialist thesis. *The Sociological Quarterly* [Internet]. 1994;35(4):541–70. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4121518>

146.

Clements B, Field CD. Public Opinion toward Homosexuality and Gay Rights in Great Britain. *Public Opinion Quarterly*. 2014;78(2):523–47.

147.

Graaf NDD, Evans G. Why are the Young more Postmaterialist?: A Cross-National Analysis of Individual and Contextual Influences on Postmaterial Values. *Comparative Political Studies*. 1996;28(4):608–35.

148.

Guber DL. A Cooling Climate for Change? Party Polarization and the Politics of Global Warming. *American Behavioral Scientist*. 2013;57(1):93–115.

149.

Hall PA. Social Capital in Britain. *British Journal of Political Science* [Internet]. 1999;29(3):417–61. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/194145>

150.

Inglehart R. *Culture shift in advanced industrial society*. Princeton, N.J: Princeton University Press; 1990.

151.

Inglehart RF. Changing Values among Western Publics from 1970 to 2006. *West European Politics*. 2008;31(1–2):130–46.

152.

John P, Fieldhouse E, Liu H. How Civic is the Civic Culture? Explaining Community Participation Using the 2005 English Citizenship Survey. *Political Studies*. 2011;59(2):230–52.

153.

Norris P. Democratic Deficit: Critical Citizens Revisited [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2011. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511973383>

154.

Paxton P. Social Capital and Democracy: An Interdependent Relationship. *American Sociological Review* [Internet]. 2002;67(2):254–77. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3088895>

155.

Putnam RD. Bowling alone: the collapse and revival of American community. New York: Simon & Schuster; 2000.

156.

Putnam RD, Leonardi R, Nanetti R. Making democracy work: civic traditions in modern Italy. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 1993.

157.

Skocpol T. Diminished democracy: from membership to management in American civic life. Vol. Julian J. Rothbaum distinguished lecture series. Norman: University of Oklahoma Press; 2003.

158.

Tilley JR. Research Note: Libertarian-authoritarian Value Change in Britain, 1974-2001. *Political Studies*. 2005;53(2):442–53.

159.

Clark WR, Golder M, Golder SN. Principles of comparative politics. 2nd ed. Los Angeles: CQ Press; 2013.

160.

Przeworski A. Institutions Matter? Government and Opposition. 2004;39(4):527–40.

161.

Caramani D. Comparative politics. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014.

162.

Alesina A, Glaeser EL. Fighting poverty in the US and Europe: a world of difference. Vol. Rodolfo Debenedetti lectures. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2004.

163.

Barnes L, Hall PA. Neoliberalism and Social Resilience in the Developed Democracies. In: Hall PA, Lamont M, editors. Social Resilience in the Neo-Liberal Era [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013. p. 209–38. Available from: <http://ebooks.cambridge.org/ref/id/CBO9781139542425A020>

164.

Tsebelis G, Russell Sage Foundation. Veto players: how political institutions work. New York: Russell Sage Foundation; 2002.

165.

Bawn K, Rosenbluth F. Short versus Long Coalitions: Electoral Accountability and the Size of the Public Sector. American Journal of Political Science. American Journal of Political Science. 2006;50(2):251–65.

166.

Frey BS, Stutzer A. Happiness, Economy and Institutions. *The Economic Journal*. 2000;110(466):918-38.

167.

Iversen T, Rosenbluth F. The Political Economy of Gender: Explaining Cross-National Variation in the Gender Division of Labor and the Gender Voting Gap. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2006;50(1):1-19.

168.

Lake DA, Baum MA. The Invisible Hand of Democracy: Political Control and the Provision of Public Services. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2001;34(6):587-621.

169.

Miller MK. Electoral Authoritarianism and Human Development. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2015;48(12):1526-62.