

ANIMGN11 / ANIMGN20: Advanced Imaging

[View Online](#)

1.

Attwell, D. & Iadecola, C. The neural basis of functional brain imaging signals. Trends in Neurosciences **25**, 621–625 (2002).

2.

Buxton, R. B., Uludağ, K., Dubowitz, D. J. & Liu, T. T. Modeling the hemodynamic response to brain activation. NeuroImage **23**, S220–S233 (2004).

3.

Logothetis, N. K. What we can do and what we cannot do with fMRI. Nature **453**, 869–878 (2008).

4.

Edelman, R. R., Hesselink, J. R. & Zlatkin, M. B. MRI: clinical magnetic resonance imaging volume 1. (Saunders, 1996).

5.

Logothetis, N. K. What we can do and what we cannot do with fMRI. Nature **453**, 869–878 (2008).

6.

Dynamic magnetic resonance imaging of human brain activity during primary sensory stimulation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of

America **89**, (15AD).

7.

Norris, D. G. Principles of magnetic resonance assessment of brain function. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging* **23**, 794–807 (2006).

8.

Jezzard, P., Matthews, P. M. & Smith, S. M. *Functional magnetic resonance imaging: an introduction to methods*. (Oxford University Press, 2001).

9.

Buxton, R. B. *Introduction to Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging: Principles and Techniques*. (Cambridge University Press, 2002).

10.

Huettel, S. A., Song, A. W. & McCarthy, G. *Functional magnetic resonance imaging*. (Sinauer Associates, Inc., Publishers, 2014).

11.

Human Brain Function. <http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/doc/books/hbf1/>.

12.

Triantafyllou, C. et al. Comparison of physiological noise at 1.5 T, 3 T and 7 T and optimization of fMRI acquisition parameters. *NeuroImage* **26**, 243–250 (2005).

13.

Wiggins, G. C. et al. 32-channel 3 Tesla receive-only phased-array head coil with soccer-ball element geometry. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* **56**, 216–223 (2006).

14.

Weiskopf, N., Hutton, C., Josephs, O. & Deichmann, R. Optimal EPI parameters for reduction of susceptibility-induced BOLD sensitivity losses: A whole-brain analysis at 3 T and 1.5 T. *NeuroImage* **33**, 493–504 (2006).

15.

Glover, G. H., Li, T.-Q. & Ress, D. Image-based method for retrospective correction of physiological motion effects in fMRI: RETROICOR. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* **44**, 162–167 (2000).

16.

Jezzard, P. & Balaban, R. S. Correction for geometric distortion in echo planar images from B0 field variations. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* **34**, 65–73 (1995).

17.

Andersson, J. L. R., Hutton, C., Ashburner, J., Turner, R. & Friston, K. Modeling Geometric Deformations in EPI Time Series. *NeuroImage* **13**, 903–919 (2001).

18.

Friston, K. J., Harrison, L. & Penny, W. Dynamic causal modelling. *NeuroImage* **19**, 1273–1302 (2003).

19.

Stephan, K. E. On the role of general system theory for functional neuroimaging. *Journal of Anatomy* **205**, 443–470 (2004).

20.

Kahan, J. & Foltynie, T. Understanding DCM: Ten simple rules for the clinician. *NeuroImage* **83**, 542–549 (2013).

21.

Stephan, K. E. et al. Ten simple rules for dynamic causal modeling. *NeuroImage* **49**, 3099–3109 (2010).

22.

Marreiros, A. C., Kiebel, S. J. & Friston, K. J. Dynamic causal modelling for fMRI: A two-state model. *NeuroImage* **39**, 269–278 (2008).

23.

Stephan, K. E. et al. Nonlinear dynamic causal models for fMRI. *NeuroImage* **42**, 649–662 (2008).

24.

Li, B. et al. Generalised filtering and stochastic DCM for fMRI. *NeuroImage* **58**, 442–457 (2011).

25.

Daunizeau, J., Lemieux, L., Vaudano, A. E., Friston, K. J. & Stephan, K. E. An electrophysiological validation of stochastic DCM for fMRI. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience* **6**, (2013).

26.

Friston, K. & Penny, W. Post hoc Bayesian model selection. *NeuroImage* **56**, 2089–2099 (2011).

27.

Rosa, M. J., Friston, K. & Penny, W. Post-hoc selection of dynamic causal models. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods* **208**, 66–78 (2012).

28.

Razi, A., Kahan, J., Rees, G. & Friston, K. J. Construct validation of a DCM for resting state fMRI. *NeuroImage* **106**, 1–14 (2015).

29.

Jones, D. K. Diffusion MRI: theory, methods, and applications. (Oxford University Press, 2011).

30.

Diffusion MRI: from quantitative measurement to in vivo neuroanatomy. (Academic Press, 2014).

31.

Le Bihan, D. Looking into the functional architecture of the brain with diffusion MRI. Nature Reviews Neuroscience **4**, 469–480 (2003).

32.

Questions and Answers in MRI. <http://mri-q.com/index.html>.

33.

Artifacts in Diffusion MRI.

34.

Golay, Xavier PhD*. Perfusion Imaging Using Arterial Spin Labeling. Topics in Magnetic Resonance Imaging **15**, 10–27.

35.

Parkes, L. M. & Detre, J. A. ASL: Blood Perfusion Measurements Using Arterial Spin Labelling. in Quantitative MRI of the Brain (ed. Tofts, P.) 455–473 (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2003). doi:10.1002/0470869526.ch13.

36.

Johnson, G. Absolute Beginners Guide to Perfusion MRI.

37.

John Detre's slides on ASL fMRI. <https://cfn.upenn.edu/perfusion/index.htm>.

38.

Tofts, P. & John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. Quantitative MRI of the brain: measuring changes caused by disease. (Wiley, 2003).

39.

By:van Buchem, MA (van Buchem, MA); Tofts, PS (Tofts, PS). Magnetization transfer imaging. NEUROIMAGING CLINICS OF NORTH AMERICA NEUROIMAGING CLINICS OF NORTH AMERICA **10**, (2000).

40.

Schmitz, C. & Hof, P. R. Design-based stereology in neuroscience. Neuroscience **130**, 813–831 (2005).

41.

Hobbs, N. Z. et al. The structural involvement of the cingulate cortex in premanifest and early Huntington's disease. Movement Disorders **26**, 1684–1690 (2011).

42.

Chupin, M. et al. Anatomically constrained region deformation for the automated segmentation of the hippocampus and the amygdala: Method and validation on controls and patients with Alzheimer's disease. NeuroImage **34**, 996–1019 (2007).

43.

Ashburner, J. & Friston, K. J. Voxel-Based Morphometry—The Methods. NeuroImage **11**, 805–821 (2000).

44.

Barnes, J. et al. A comparison of methods for the automated calculation of volumes and atrophy rates in the hippocampus. *NeuroImage* **40**, 1655–1671 (2008).

45.

Fischl, B. & Dale, A. M. Measuring the thickness of the human cerebral cortex from magnetic resonance images. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **97**, 11050–11055 (2000).

46.

FIRST - FslWiki. <http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/FIRST>.

47.

Ashburner, J. & Klöppel, S. Multivariate models of inter-subject anatomical variability. *NeuroImage* **56**, 422–439 (2011).

48.

Mechelli, A. Structural Covariance in the Human Cortex. *Journal of Neuroscience* **25**, 8303–8310 (2005).

49.

Wright, I. C. et al. A Voxel-Based Method for the Statistical Analysis of Gray and White Matter Density Applied to Schizophrenia. *NeuroImage* **2**, 244–252 (1995).

50.

Ashburner, J. & Friston, K. J. Voxel-Based Morphometry—The Methods. *NeuroImage* **11**, 805–821 (2000).

51.

Good, C. D. et al. A Voxel-Based Morphometric Study of Ageing in 465 Normal Adult Human Brains. *NeuroImage* **14**, 21–36 (2001).

52.

Ashburner, J. & Friston, K. J. Unified segmentation. *NeuroImage* **26**, 839–851 (2005).

53.

Mechelli, A., Price, C., Friston, K. & Ashburner, J. Voxel-Based Morphometry of the Human Brain: Methods and Applications. *Current Medical Imaging Reviews* **1**, 105–113 (2005).

54.

Ashburner, J. A fast diffeomorphic image registration algorithm. *NeuroImage* **38**, 95–113 (2007).

55.

Ashburner, J. & Friston, K. J. Computing average shaped tissue probability templates. *NeuroImage* **45**, 333–341 (2009).

56.

Ashburner, J. Computational anatomy with the SPM software. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging* **27**, 1163–1174 (2009).

57.

Studholme, C., Hill, D. L. G. & Hawkes, D. J. An overlap invariant entropy measure of 3D medical image alignment. *Pattern Recognition* **32**, 71–86 (1999).

58.

Pennec, X., Cachier, P. & Ayache, N. Understanding the "Demon's Algorithm": 3D Non-rigid Registration by Gradient Descent. in *Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention – MICCAI'99* (eds. Taylor, C. & Colchester, A.) vol. 1679 597–605 (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1999).

59.

Rueckert, D. et al. Nonrigid registration using free-form deformations: application to breast MR images. IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging **18**, 712–721 (1999).

60.

Rohlfing, T. Image Similarity and Tissue Overlaps as Surrogates for Image Registration Accuracy: Widely Used but Unreliable. IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging **31**, 153–163 (2012).