

# PSYCGS01: Understanding Individuals and Groups

Understanding Individuals and Groups

[View Online](#)



1.

Moskowitz, G.B.: Social cognition: understanding self and others. Guilford Press, New York (2005).

2.

Todorov, A., Olivola, C.Y., Dotsch, R., Mende-Siedlecki, P.: Social Attributions from Faces: Determinants, Consequences, Accuracy, and Functional Significance. Annual Review of Psychology. 66, 519–545 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-113011-143831>.

3.

Epley, N., Waytz, A., Cacioppo, J.T.: On seeing human: A three-factor theory of anthropomorphism. Psychological Review. 114, 864–886 (2007).

4.

Waytz, A., Morewedge, C.K., Epley, N., Monteleone, G., Gao, J.-H., Cacioppo, J.T.: Making sense by making sentient: Effectance motivation increases anthropomorphism. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology. 99, 410–435 (2010).

5.

Kätsyri, J., Färländer, K., Mäkkäläinen, M., Takala, T.: A review of empirical evidence on different uncanny valley hypotheses: support for perceptual mismatch as one road to the valley of eeriness. Frontiers in Psychology. 6, (2015). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00390>.

6.

MacDorman, K.F., Ishiguro, H.: The uncanny advantage of using androids in cognitive and social science research. *Interaction Studies*. 7, 297–337 (2006).  
<https://doi.org/10.1075/is.7.3.03mac>.

7.

Wang, S., Lilienfeld, S.O., Rochat, P.: The uncanny valley: Existence and explanations. *Review of General Psychology*. 19, 393–407 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1037/gpr0000056>.

8.

Coeckelbergh, M.: Virtual moral agency, virtual moral responsibility: on the moral significance of the appearance, perception, and performance of artificial agents. *AI & SOCIETY*. 24, 181–189 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-009-0208-3>.

9.

Gray, K., Waytz, A., Young, L.: The Moral Dyad: A Fundamental Template Unifying Moral Judgment. *Psychological Inquiry*. 23, 206–215 (2012).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1047840X.2012.686247>.

10.

Gray, K., Young, L., Waytz, A.: Mind Perception Is the Essence of Morality. *Psychological Inquiry*. 23, 101–124 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1080/1047840X.2012.651387>.

11.

Merritt, A.C., Effron, D.A., Monin, B.: Moral Self-Licensing: When Being Good Frees Us to Be Bad. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*. 4, 344–357 (2010).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-9004.2010.00263.x>.

12.

Hilton, J.L., von Hippel, W.: STEREOTYPES. Annual Review of Psychology. 47, 237–271 (1996). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.47.1.237>.

13.

Kunda, Z., Spencer, S.J.: When do stereotypes come to mind and when do they color judgment? A goal-based theoretical framework for stereotype activation and application. Psychological Bulletin. 129, 522–544 (2003).

14.

Hewstone, M., Rubin, M., Willis, H.: Intergroup Bias. Annual Review of Psychology. 53, 575–604 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.53.100901.135109>.

15.

Haslam, N., Loughnan, S., Kashima, Y., Bain, P.: Attributing and denying humanness to others. European Review of Social Psychology. 19, 55–85 (2009).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10463280801981645>.

16.

Mackie, D.M., Smith, E.R., Ray, D.G.: Intergroup Emotions and Intergroup Relations. Social and Personality Psychology Compass. 2, 1866–1880 (2008).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-9004.2008.00130.x>.

17.

Vogt, J., De Houwer, J., Crombez, G.: Multiple Goal Management Starts With Attention. Experimental Psychology. 58, 55–61 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1027/1618-3169/a000066>.

18.

Vogt, J., De Houwer, J., Crombez, G., Van Damme, S.: Competing for attentional priority: Temporary goals versus threats. Emotion. 13, 587–598 (2013).  
<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0027204>.

19.

Vohs, K.D.: Money priming can change people's thoughts, feelings, motivations, and behaviors: An update on 10 years of experiments. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*. 144, e86–e93 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1037/xge0000091>.