

CHMEGH23: Principles of Health Informatics

[View Online](#)

-
1.

Taylor, P.: From patient data to medical knowledge: the principles and practice of health informatics. BMJ, London (2006).
 2.

Coiera, E.: Guide to health informatics. CRC Press, Boca Raton (2015).
 3.

Foley, T., Fairmichael, F.: The Potential of Learning Healthcare Systems,
<http://www.learninghealthcareproject.org/publication/1/112/the-potential-of-learning-health-care-systems>, (2015).
 4.

Wachter, R.: Using information technology to improve the NHS,
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/using-information-technology-to-improve-the-nhs>, (2016).
 5.

GREENHALGH, T., Potts, H.W.W., Wong, G., Bark, P., Swinglehurst, D.: Tensions and paradoxes in electronic patient record research: a systematic literature review using the meta-narrative method. The Milbank Quarterly. 87, 729–788 (2009).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0009.2009.00578.x>.
 - 6.

Friedman, C., Rubin, J., Brown, J., Buntin, M., Corn, M., Etheredge, L., Gunter, C., Musen, M., Platt, R., Stead, W., Sullivan, K., Van Houweling, D.: Toward a science of learning systems: a research agenda for the high-functioning Learning Health System. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*. (2014).
<https://doi.org/10.1136/amiajnl-2014-002977>.

7.

Yom-Tov, E., Borsa, D., Cox, I.J., McKendry, R.A.: Detecting Disease Outbreaks in Mass Gatherings Using Internet Data. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*. 16, (2014).
<https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.3156>.

8.

Dixon, J., Sanderson, C., Elliott, P., Walls, P., Jones, J., Petticrew, M.: Assessment of the reproducibility of clinical coding in routinely collected hospital activity data: a study in two hospitals. *Journal of Public Health*. 20, 63–69 (1998).

9.

Taylor, P.: Rigging the Death Rate. *The London Review of Books*. (11)AD.

10.

Freemantle, N., Richardson, M., Wood, J., Ray, D., Khosla, S., Shahian, D., Roche, W., Stephens, I., Keogh, B., Pagano, D.: Weekend hospitalization and additional risk of death: An analysis of inpatient data. *JRSM*. 105, 74–84 (2012).
<https://doi.org/10.1258/jrsm.2012.120009>.

11.

Li, L., Rothwell, P.M.: Biases in detection of apparent "weekend effect" on outcome with administrative coding data: population based study of stroke. *BMJ*. (2016).
<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i2648>.

12.

Li, L., Cheng, W.-Y., Glicksberg, B.S., Gottesman, O., Tamler, R., Chen, R., Bottinger, E.P., Dudley, J.T.: Identification of type 2 diabetes subgroups through topological analysis of patient similarity. *Science Translational Medicine*. 7, 311ra174–311ra174 (2015).

<https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aaa9364>.

13.

D'Agostino, R.B., Vasan, R.S., Pencina, M.J., Wolf, P.A., Cobain, M., Massaro, J.M., Kannel, W.B.: General Cardiovascular Risk Profile for Use in Primary Care: The Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*. 117, 743–753 (2008).
<https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.699579>.

14.

Weissman, J.S., Schneider, E.C., Weingart, S.N., Epstein, A.M., David-Kasdan, J., Feibelman, S., Annas, C.L., Ridley, N., Kirle, L., Gatsonis, C.: Comparing Patient-Reported Hospital Adverse Events with Medical Record Review: Do Patients Know Something That Hospitals Do Not? *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 149, (2008).
<https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-149-2-200807150-00006>.

15.

Staa, T.-P. v., Goldacre, B., Gulliford, M., Cassell, J., Pirmohamed, M., Taweel, A., Delaney, B., Smeeth, L.: Pragmatic randomised trials using routine electronic health records: putting them to the test. *BMJ*. 344, e55–e55 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.e55>.

16.

GREENHALGH, T., ROBERT, G., MACFARLANE, F., BATE, P., KYRIAKIDOU, O.: Diffusion of Innovations in Service Organizations: Systematic Review and Recommendations. *The Milbank Quarterly*. 82, 581–629 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0887-378X.2004.00325.x>.

17.

Michie, S., van Stralen, M.M., West, R.: The behaviour change wheel: A new method for characterising and designing behaviour change interventions. *Implementation Science*. 6, (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-5908-6-42>.

18.

Fox, J., Gutenstein, M., Khan, O., South, M., Thomson, R.: OpenClinical.net: A platform for creating and sharing knowledge and promoting best practice in healthcare. *Computers in*

Industry. 66, 63–72 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2014.10.001>.

19.

Sherlaw-Johnson, C.: A Method for Detecting Runs of Good and Bad Clinical Outcomes on Variable Life-Adjusted Display (VLAD) Charts. *Health Care Management Science*. 8, 61–65 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10729-005-5217-2>.

20.

Rapley, T., May, C., Heaven, B., Murtagh, M., Graham, R., Kaner, E.F.S., Thomson, R.: Doctor-patient interaction in a randomised controlled trial of decision-support tools. *Social Science & Medicine*. 62, 2267–2278 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2005.10.011>.

21.

Carpenter, I., Ram, M.B., Croft, G.P., Williams, J.G.: Medical records and record-keeping standards. *Clinical Medicine*. 7, 328–331 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.7861/clinmedicine.7-4-328>.

22.

Cimino, J.J.: Desiderata for controlled medical vocabularies in the twenty-first century. *Methods of Information in Medicine*. 37, 394–403 (1998).

23.

IHTSDO: SNOMED Clinical Terms ® User Guide January 2010 International Release, (2010).

24.

Rector, A.L.: Clinical Terminology: Why Is it so Hard? *Methods of Information in Medicine*. 38, 239–252 (1999).

25.

Rector, A.L., Brandt, S., Schneider, T.: Getting the foot out of the pelvis: modeling problems affecting use of SNOMED CT hierarchies in practical applications. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*. 18, 432–440 (2011).
<https://doi.org/10.1136/amiajnl-2010-000045>.

26.

Cornet, R.: Definitions and Qualifiers in SNOMED CT. *Methods of Information in Medicine*. 48, 178–183 (2009).

27.

Bramley, M.: A framework for evaluating health classifications . *Health Information Management*. 34, 71–83 (2005).

28.

Sampalli, T., Shepherd, M., Duffy, J., Fox, R.: An evaluation of SNOMED CT® in the domain of complex chronic conditions. *International Journal of Integrated Care*. 10, (2010).

29.

Kripalani, S., LeFevre, F., Phillips, C.O., Williams, M.V., Basaviah, P., Baker, D.W.: Deficits in Communication and Information Transfer Between Hospital-Based and Primary Care Physicians Implications for Patient Safety and Continuity of Care. *JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association*. 297, (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.297.8.831>.

30.

Knowles, R.L., Bull, C., Wren, C., Dezateux, C.: Ethics, governance and consent in the UK: implications for research into the longer-term outcomes of congenital heart defects. *Archives of Disease in Childhood*. 96, 14–20 (2009).
<https://doi.org/10.1136/adc.2008.152975>.

31.

Lyons, R.A., Jones, K.H., John, G., Brooks, C.J., Verplancke, J.-P., Ford, D.V., Brown, G., Leake, K.: The SAIL databank: linking multiple health and social care datasets. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*. 9, (2009).
<https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6947-9-3>.

32.

Singleton, P., Wadsworth, M.: Consent for the use of personal medical data in research. *BMJ*. 333, 255–258 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.333.7561.255>.

33.

Willard, H.F., Angrist, M., Ginsburg, G.S.: Genomic medicine: genetic variation and its impact on the future of health care. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 360, 1543–1550 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2005.1683>.

34.

Eichelbaum, M., Ingelman-Sundberg, M., Evans, W.E.: Pharmacogenomics and Individualized Drug Therapy. *Annual Review of Medicine*. 57, 119–137 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.med.56.082103.104724>.

35.

El Emam, K., Buckeridge, D., Tamblyn, R., Neisa, A., Jonker, E., Verma, A.: The re-identification risk of Canadians from longitudinal demographics. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*. 11, (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6947-11-46>.

36.

McCowan, C., Kidd, B., Fahey, T.: Factors associated with mortality in Scottish patients receiving methadone in primary care: retrospective cohort study. *BMJ*. 338, b2225–b2225 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b2225>.

37.

Xu, H., Jiang, M., Oetjens, M., Bowton, E.A., Ramirez, A.H., Jeff, J.M., Basford, M.A., Pulley, J.M., Cowan, J.D., Wang, X., Ritchie, M.D., Masys, D.R., Roden, D.M., Crawford, D.C., Denny, J.C.: Facilitating pharmacogenetic studies using electronic health records and natural-language processing: a case study of warfarin. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*. 18, 387–391 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1136/amiajnl-2011-000208>.

38.

Willison, D.J., Emerson, C., Szala-Meneok, K.V., Gibson, E., Schwartz, L., Weisbaum, K.M., Fournier, F., Brazil, K., Coughlin, M.D.: Access to medical records for research purposes: varying perceptions across research ethics boards. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 34, 308–314 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1136/jme.2006.020032>.

39.

Winkler, W.E.: Overview of Record Linkage and Current Research Directions (Statistics #2006-2), (2006).

40.

McCartney, M.: Care.data doesn't care enough about consent. *BMJ*. 348, g2831–g2831 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g2831>.

41.

Sheather, J., Brannan, S.: Patient confidentiality in a time of care.data. *BMJ*. 347, f7042–f7042 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.f7042>.

42.

Fellegi, I.P., Sunter, A.B.: A Theory for Record Linkage. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*. 64, 1183–1210 (1969).

43.

Finney, J.M., Walker, A., Peto, T.E., Wyllie, D.H.: An efficient record linkage scheme using graphical analysis for identifier error detection. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*. 11, (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6947-11-7>.

44.

Steventon, A., Bardsley, M., Billings, J., Dixon, J., Doll, H., Hirani, S., Cartwright, M., Rixon, L., Knapp, M., Henderson, C., Rogers, A., Fitzpatrick, R., Hendy, J., Newman, S.: Effect of telehealth on use of secondary care and mortality: findings from the Whole System Demonstrator cluster randomised trial. *BMJ*. 344, e3874–e3874 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.e3874>.

45.

Cottrell, E., Chambers, R., O'Connell, P.: Using simple telehealth in primary care to reduce blood pressure: a service evaluation. *BMJ Open*. 2, e001391–e001391 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2012-001391>.

46.

Cottrell, E., McMillan, K., Chambers, R.: A cross-sectional survey and service evaluation of simple telehealth in primary care: what do patients think? *BMJ Open*. 2, e001392–e001392 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2012-001392>.

47.

Polisena, J., Tran, K., Cimon, K., Hutton, B., McGill, S., Palmer, K.: Home telehealth for diabetes management: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism*. 11, 913–930 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-1326.2009.01057.x>.

48.

Sanders, C., Rogers, A., Bowen, R., Bower, P., Hirani, S., Cartwright, M., Fitzpatrick, R., Knapp, M., Barlow, J., Hendy, J., Chrysanthaki, T., Bardsley, M., Newman, S.P.: Exploring barriers to participation and adoption of telehealth and telecare within the Whole System Demonstrator trial: a qualitative study. *BMC Health Services Research*. 12, (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-12-220>.

49.

NHS e - Referral Service Vision and Key messages,
<http://systems.hscic.gov.uk/ers/ersvision.pdf>.

50.

Choose and Book Directory of Services,
<http://www.chooseandbook.nhs.uk/staff/communications/fact/dos.pdf>.

51.

Greenhalgh, T., Stones, R., Swinglehurst, D.: Choose and Book: A sociological analysis of 'resistance' to an expert system. *Social Science & Medicine*. 104, 210–219 (2014).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2013.12.014>.

52.

Gallivan, S., Utley, M., Treasure, T., Valencia, O.: Booked inpatient admissions and hospital capacity: mathematical modelling study. *BMJ*. 324, 280–282 (2002).
<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.324.7332.280>.

53.

Green, J., McDowall, Z., Potts, H.W.: Does Choose & Book fail to deliver the expected choice to patients? A survey of patients' experience of outpatient appointment booking. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*. 8, (2008).
<https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6947-8-36>.

54.

Mol, A.: *The Logic of Care*. Routledge (2008). <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203927076>.

55.

Greenhalgh, T., Stramer, K., Bratan, T., Byrne, E., Russell, J., Potts, H.W.W.: Adoption and non-adoption of a shared electronic summary record in England: a mixed-method case study. *BMJ*. 340, c3111–c3111 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.c3111>.

56.

Greenhalgh, T., Hinder, S., Stramer, K., Bratan, T., Russell, J.: Adoption, non-adoption, and abandonment of a personal electronic health record: case study of HealthSpace. *BMJ*. 341, c5814–c5814 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.c5814>.

57.

Walport, M.: Do summary care records have the potential to do more harm than good? No. *BMJ*. 340, c3022–c3022 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.c3022>.

58.

Anderson, R.: Do summary care records have the potential to do more harm than good? Yes. *BMJ*. 340, c3020–c3020 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.c3020>.

59.

Greenhalgh, T., Wood, G.W., Bratan, T., Stramer, K., Hinder, S.: Patients' attitudes to the summary care record and HealthSpace: qualitative study. *BMJ*. 336, 1290–1295 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.a114>.

60.

Tang, P.C., Ash, J.S., Bates, D.W., Overhage, J.M., Sands, D.Z.: Personal Health Records: Definitions, Benefits, and Strategies for Overcoming Barriers to Adoption. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*. 13, 121–126 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1197/jamia.M2025>.

61.

Delbanco, T., Walker, J., Bell, S.K., Darer, J.D., Elmore, J.G., Farag, N., Feldman, H.J., Mejilla, R., Ngo, L., Ralston, J.D., Ross, S.E., Trivedi, N., Vodicka, E., Leveille, S.G.: Inviting Patients to Read Their Doctors' Notes: A Quasi-experimental Study and a Look Ahead. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 157, 461–470 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-157-7-201210020-00002>.

62.

Information for health: an information strategy for the modern NHS 1998-2005 - executive summary : Department of Health - Publications.

63.

Greenhalgh, T., Bratan, T., Byrne, E., Russell, J., Hinder, S., Potts, H.: The Devil's in the Detail: Final report of the independent evaluation of the Summary Care Record and HealthSpace programmes, (2010).

64.

Adams, T., Budden, M., Hoare, C., Sanderson, H.: Lessons from the central Hampshire electronic health record pilot project: issues of data protection and consent. *BMJ*. 328, 871–874 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.328.7444.871>.

65.

BMA Policies BMA General Practitioners, 2006.

66.

National: Medical records: Whose right to know?: What can patients do? The Guardian Newspaper. (2006).

67.

Cresswell, K.M., Worth, A., Sheikh, A.: Integration of a nationally procured electronic health record system into user work practices. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making. 12, (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6947-12-15>.

68.

Greenhalgh, T., Keen, J.: England's national programme for IT. BMJ. 346, f4130–f4130 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.f4130>.

69.

Blumenthal, D.: Implementation of the Federal Health Information Technology Initiative. New England Journal of Medicine. 365, 2426–2431 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMr1112158>.

70.

Wright, A., Henkin, S., Feblowitz, J., McCoy, A.B., Bates, D.W., Sittig, D.F.: Early Results of the Meaningful Use Program for Electronic Health Records. New England Journal of Medicine. 368, 779–780 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc1213481>.

71.

Koppel, R., Lehmann, C.U.: Implications of an emerging EHR monoculture for hospitals and healthcare systems. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association. 22, 465–471 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1136/amiajnl-2014-003023>.

72.

Mandl, K.D., Kohane, I.S.: Escaping the EHR Trap — The Future of Health IT. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 366, 2240–2242 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1203102>.

73.

Wachter, R.: *The Digital Doctor: Hope, Hype, and Harm at the Dawn of Medicine's Computer Age*. McGraw-Hill, New York (2015).

74.

O'Dowd, A.: New e-records system leads to 20% drop in emergency department performance at Addenbrooke's. *BMJ*. 349, g7537–g7537 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g7537>.

75.

National Programme for IT: costs and benefits. Department of Health (2013).

76.

Addenbrookes and the Rosie Hospitals (Quality Report), <http://www.cqc.org.uk/location/RGT01>, (2015).

77.

Shapiro, J.S., Mostashari, F., Hripcsak, G., Soulakakis, N., Kuperman, G.: Using Health Information Exchange to Improve Public Health. *American Journal of Public Health*. 101, 616–623 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2008.158980>.

78.

Dixon, B.E., Pina, J., Kharrazi, H., Gharghabi, F., Richards, J.: What's Past is Prologue: A Scoping Review of Recent Public and Global Health Informatics Literature. *Online Journal of Public Health Informatics*. 7, (2015). <https://doi.org/10.5210/ojphi.v7i2.5931>.

79.

Koo, D., O'Carroll, P., LaVenture, M.: Public Health 101 for Informaticians. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association : JAMIA. 8, (2001).